

More Tolerant Reconstructed Networks by Self-Healing against Attacks in Saving Resource

Yukio Hayashi

*Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology,
Ishikawa, 923-1292, Japan*

Atsushi Tanaka

*Yamagata University
Yonezawa-city, Yamagata 992-8510, Japan*

Jun Matsukubo

*National Institute of Technology Kitakyushu College
Kitakyushu-city, Fukuoka 802-0985, Japan*

(Dated: April 5, 2022)

Abstract

Complex network infrastructure systems for power-supply, communication, and transportation support our economical and social activities, however they are extremely vulnerable against the frequently increasing large disasters or attacks. Thus, a reconstructing from damaged network is rather advisable than empirically performed recovering to the original vulnerable one. In order to reconstruct a sustainable network, we focus on enhancing loops so as not to be trees as possible by node removals. Although this optimization is corresponded to an intractable combinatorial problem, we propose self-healing methods based on enhancing loops in applying an approximate calculation inspired from a statistical physics approach. We show that both higher robustness and efficiency are obtained in our proposed methods with saving the resource of links and ports than ones in the conventional healing methods. Moreover, the reconstructed network by healing can become more tolerant than the original one before attacks, when some extent of damaged links are reusable or compensated as investment of resource. These results will be open up the potential of network reconstruction by self-healing with adaptive capacity in the meaning of resilience.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Fb, 89.20.-a, 02.60.-x, 05.65.+b

Keywords: Self-Healing, Network Science, Resource Allocation, Enhancing Loops, Belief Propagation, Robustness of Connectivity, Efficiency of Paths, Resilience

I. INTRODUCTION

Unfortunately, the frequencies of large disasters or malicious attacks increase due to climate change, crustal movements, military conflicts, cyber-terrorism, and mega-urbanization in our world day by day. For example, it is well known that a little accident involved the large area's power collapse in North America [1] or Italian peninsula [2] in 2003, and that enormous destruction of social infrastructure systems was happened by the great earthquake in Japan in 2011 [3]. While there exists a surprisingly common topological structure called scale-free (SF) in many real networks [4], such as power-grid, airline, communication, transportation systems, and so on, which support our social activities, economy, industrial production, etc. The SF structure is considered to be generated by a selfish rule: preferential attachment [5], and consisted of many low degree nodes and a few (high degree) hubs, heterogeneously. Here, degree means the number of links at a node. Moreover, by the heterogeneity, a SF network has extreme vulnerability against hub attacks [6]. These vulnerable infrastructures appear everywhere and are interdependent on each other. Exactly, since a node prefers to connect high degree nodes in the efficiency bias to shorten the path lengths counted by hops, the preferential attachment encourages the heavy concentration of links to hubs. In many real networks, once hubs are damaged and removed as malfunction, the remaining nodes are fragmented and lost the basic function for communication or transportation. It is a plausible scenario for our network infrastructures that the weak points of hubs are involved in a large disaster.

Therefore, when large-scale failures or attacks occur, recovery to the original vulnerable network is inadvisable. Rather reconstruction by healing is required. In changing the structure instead of recovering to the original one, a question arises as to how a sustainable network should be reconstructed to maintain the network function. However, the resources of links (wire cables, wireless communication or transportation lines between two nodes, etc.) and ports (channels or plug sockets at a node, etc.) are usually limited, the allocation should be controlled at the same time in the rewiring or additional investment for healing. Such a reconstruction conforms with the concept of resilience in system engineering or ecology as a new supply approach to sustain basic objective and integrity even in encountering with the extreme change of situations or environments (e.g., by disasters or malicious attacks) for technological system, organization, or individual [7][8][9].

In this paper, through numerical simulation, we study how to reconstruct a sustainable network under limited resource, and propose effective self-healing methods based on enhancing loops through a local process around damaged parts. In addition, we show the significant improvement from the previous study [10] to reduce the additional ports prepared in advance besides reusable ports. The motivations for enhancing loops are as follows. In percolation analysis, as a part of network science, it has been found that onion-like structure with positive degree-degree correlations gives the optimal robustness of connectivity even for a SF network with a power-law degree distribution [11][12]. The name of onion-like comes from that it is visualized by the correlations when similar degree nodes are set on a concentric circle arranged in decreasing order of degrees from core to peripheral. Onion-like structure can be generated by whole rewiring [11][13] in enhancing the correlations under a given degree distribution. On the other hand, since dismantling and decycling problems are asymptotically equivalent at infinite graphs in a large class of random networks with light-tailed degree distribution [14], trees remain without loops at the critical state before the complete fragmentation by node removals. Dismantling (or decycling) problem known as NP-hard [15] is to find the minimum set of nodes in which removal leaves a graph broken into connected components whose maximum size is at most a constant (or a graph without loops). It is suggested from the equivalence that the robustness becomes stronger as many loops exist as possible. In fact, to be the optimal onion-like networks at the same level to the rewired ones [13], enhancing loops by copying [16] or intermediation [17][18] is effective for improving the robustness in incrementally growing methods based on a local distributed process as self-organization. Similar effect is also obtained in preserving or non-preserving the degrees at nodes after the other rewiring based on enhancing loops instead of correlations [19]. Thus, we remark that loops make bypasses and may be more important than the degree-degree correlations in order to improve the connectivity in a network reconstruction after large disasters or attacks. It is predicted as the top priority to maximize the decycling set (or called Feedback Vertex Set (FVS) in computer science [15]) so as not to be tree without loops as possible even by the worst case of node removals. In other words, enhancing loops correspond to optimizing the tolerance of connectivity in graphs (but not in the contents of general computing or problem solving). Of course, increasing the path lengths between nodes and wasteful resource should be avoided in the reconstruction by healing. However, even identifying the necessary nodes to form loops is intractable due to combinatorial NP-

hardness [15], we effectively apply an approximate calculation by Belief Propagation (BP) based on a statistical physics approach in our self-healing through rewirings (or additional investment instead) as mentioned later. We describe the healing methods as sequential processes for computer simulation in envisioning the further development of distributed control algorithms.

II. METHODS

A. Outline of Healing Process

Almost simultaneously attacked nodes are not recoverable immediately, therefore are removed from the network function for a while or long time. In such case of emergency for healing, unconnected two nodes are chosen and rewired as the reconstruction assistance or reuse of links emanated from removed qN nodes, when the fraction of attacks is q and N denotes the total number of nodes (as the network size). Some of disconnected links may be reusable at the neighbor's sides according to the damage level. Although we call the reuse rewiring, removal of nodes is a different problem setting to that in the so-called usual rewiring methods [11][13][19]. The outline of healing process is as follows.

Step0: Detection and initiation

After detecting a removal as malfunction at a nearest neighbor of the attacked node, the healing process is initiated autonomously.

Step1: Selection of two nodes

Since the neighbor loses links at least temporary before rewiring, the damaged one becomes an attached candidate for healing. Thus, unconnected two nodes are chosen from neighbors of removed nodes by attacks. The selections are different in our proposed and the conventional healing methods. Moreover, neighbors are extended in our proposed methods.

Step2: Rewiring for healing

The chosen two nodes are connected as rewiring for healing. The above process is repeated for $M_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r_h \times \sum_{i \in D_q} k_i$ links.

Here, $\sum_{i \in D_q} k_i$ means the number of disconnected links by attacks without multiple counts. D_q denotes the set of removed nodes, $|D_q| = qN$. M_h includes the number of reused and additionally invested links. When reusable links are insufficient, we assume to add links as investment until to the considered M_h for a parameter $0 < r_h \leq 1$ in computer simulation.

In the healing process, rewirings are performed by changing directions and ranges of flight routes or wireless beams, though we do not discuss the detail realization that depends on the current or future technologies and target systems. We focus on the connectivity at the most fundamental level in many network systems for not only communication but also transportation, power-supply, and other infrastructures, while our approach may be useful for path control or failure detection e.g. by software-defined network based reconfiguration on communication systems with switches in managing reliability, latency, or security at some service levels [20][21][22]. In addition, we consider that ports work independently from links, as similar to a relation of airport runway or plug socket and flight by airplane or cable line. It is reasonable assumption that the amount of degree k_j ports are reusable at the undamaged neighbor node $j \in \partial i$ of a removed node i by attacks, where ∂i denotes a set of the nearest connecting neighbors of i . Thus, there exist active (reusable) ports of a node at least as many as its degree in the original network before attacks.

B. Proposed Healing Methods

Basically, in our proposed healing methods, there are two phases: ring formation and enhancing loops by applying BP in the next subsection. Moreover, they (RingRecal, RingLimit1,5,10, RingLimit5Recal) are modified to reduce the additional ports from the previous results [10] by avoiding the concentration of links at some nodes.

RingBP Previous our combination method of ring formation and enhancing loops [10].

After making rings on the extended neighbors of removed nodes as shown in Figure 1, enhancing loops on the rings is performed by applying the BP algorithm [23] (see subsection IIC). However, in the BP, a set of $\{p_i^0\}$ as probability of node i to be necessary for loops is calculated only once just after attacks. Note that a ring is the simplest loop by using the least number of links.

RingRecal Modified our method with recalculations of BP. After making rings, a set of

$\{p_i^0\}$ is recalculated one-by-one through each rewiring in the remaining links within M_h for enhancing loops.

RingLimit1,5,10 Modified our method with limited rewirings. After making rings, in enhancing loops, the number of rewiring links is limited at node i to its degree $k_i + 1$, $+5$, or $+10$.

RingLimit5Recal Modified our method by a combination of RingRecal and RingLimit5. After making rings, a set of $\{p_i^0\}$ is recalculated one-by-one through each rewiring in the remaining links within M_h for enhancing loops. Moreover, the number of rewiring links is limited at node i to $k_i + 5$.

First, in ring formation (see Figure 1), the order of process is basically according to the order of the removed nodes i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{qN} . Thus, rings are made for the neighbors $\partial i_1, \partial i_2, \dots, \partial i_{qN}$ in this order. However, if there is $i_{k'} \in \partial i_k$, $k' > k$, it is extended as the union $\partial i_k \leftarrow \partial i_k \cup \partial i_{k'}$. In addition, if there is $i_{k''} \in \partial i_{k'}$, $k'' > k'$, it is also extended as the union $\partial i_k \leftarrow \partial i_k \cup \partial i_{k'} \cup \partial i_{k''}$. Such extensions of neighbors are repeated until that a ring encloses the induced subgraph of removed nodes and their links. To make a ring, a node is chosen u.a.r and connect to a subsequent similarly chosen node in a set of the extended neighbors. This is repeated without multi-selections until return to the first chosen node from the last chosen node.

Next, in enhancing loops on each ring for remained rewirings in M_h , a node j with the minimum p_j^0 is chosen in all of the neighbors of removed nodes, and connected to other node j' with the second minimum $p_{j'}^0$ on the ring to which j belongs. For each rewiring, a set of $\{p_i^0\}$ is recalculated one-by-one in RingRecal and RingLimit5Recal methods. In addition, the number of rewiring links is limited at node i to $k_i + 5$ (or $+1$, $+10$) according to its degree k_i in RingLimit5Recal and RingLimit5 (or RingLimit1, RingLimit10) methods. If the condition is unsatisfied, other node with the second, third, forth, and subsequent minimum is chosen as a candidate for healing. Although a node j'' with small $p_{j''}^0$ tends to not contribute to making loops because of not included in FVS, it is expected that the number of loops is increased by connecting such nodes. This is the reason for the above selection.




FIG. 1: Schematic illustration for ring formation and enhancing loops. Red nodes and their links are removed by attacks. Gray filled nodes are the neighbors. Blue lines make rings, and green lines are rewirings for enhancing loops on rings.

C. Applying Belief Propagation Algorithm

To calculate the probability p_i^0 of belonging to FVS, the following BP algorithm [23] are applied. It is based on a cavity method in statistical physics. We review the outline derived for approximately estimating FVS known as NP-hard problem [15]. In the cavity graph, it is assumed that nodes $j \in \partial i$ are mutually independent of each other when node i is removed (the exception is denoted by $\setminus i$). Then the joint probability is $\mathcal{P}_{\setminus i}(A_j : j \in \partial i) \approx \prod_{j \in \partial i} p_{j \rightarrow i}^{A_j}$ by the product of independent marginal probability $p_{j \rightarrow i}^{A_j}$ for the state A_j as the node index of j 's root or empty 0: it belongs to FVS. The corresponding probabilities are represented by

$$p_i^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{z_i(t)}, \quad (1)$$

$$p_{i \rightarrow j}^0 = \frac{1}{z_{i \rightarrow j}(t)}, \quad (2)$$

$$p_{i \rightarrow j}^i = \frac{e^x \prod_{k \in \partial i(t) \setminus j} [p_{k \rightarrow i}^0 + p_{k \rightarrow i}^k]}{z_{i \rightarrow j}(t)}, \quad (3)$$

where $\partial i(t)$ denotes node i 's set of connecting neighbor nodes at time t , and $x > 0$ is a parameter of inverse temperature. The normalization constants are

$$z_i(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + e^x \left[1 + \sum_{k \in \partial i(t)} \frac{1 - p_{k \rightarrow i}^0}{p_{k \rightarrow i}^0 + p_{k \rightarrow i}^k} \right] \prod_{j \in \partial i(t)} [p_{j \rightarrow i}^0 + p_{j \rightarrow i}^j], \quad (4)$$

$$z_{i \rightarrow j}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + e^x \prod_{k \in \partial i(t) \setminus j} [p_{k \rightarrow i}^0 + p_{k \rightarrow i}^k] \times \left[1 + \sum_{l \in \partial i(t) \setminus j} \frac{1 - p_{l \rightarrow i}^0}{p_{l \rightarrow i}^0 + p_{l \rightarrow i}^l} \right], \quad (5)$$

to be satisfied for any node i and link $i \rightarrow j$ as

$$p_i^0 + p_i^i + \sum_{k \in \partial i} p_i^k = 1, \quad p_{i \rightarrow j}^0 + p_{i \rightarrow j}^i + \sum_{k \in \partial i} p_{i \rightarrow j}^k = 1.$$

We repeat these calculations of message-passing until to be self-consistent in principle but practically to reach appropriate rounds from initial setting of $(0, 1)$ random values. The unit time from t to $t + 1$ for calculating a set $\{p_i^0\}$ consists of a number of rounds by updating equations (1)-(5) in order of random permutation of the total N nodes. Since the sums or products in equations (1)-(5) are restricted in the nearest neighbor, they are local processes. The distributed calculations can be also considered. As included in FVS, a node k with the maximum p_k^0 is chosen. After removing the chosen node, $\{p_i^0\}$ is recalculated at next time. Such process is repeated until to be acyclic for finding the FVS. However, in our healing method, $\{p_i^0\}$ is used for selecting attached two nodes on a ring by rewiring.

D. Conventional Healing Methods

We briefly explain the following typical healing methods in network science (inspired from fractal statistical physics) and computer science.

RBR Conventional Random Bypass Rewiring (RBR) method [24] (corresponded to $r_h = 0.5$).

GBR Greedy Bypass Rewiring (GBR) method improved from RBR heuristically [24].

SLR Conventional Simple Local Repair (SLR) method [25] with priority of rewirings to more damaged nodes.

In network science, a self-healing method by adding new random links on interdependent two-layered networks of square lattices has been proposed, and the effect against node attacks is numerically studied [26]. In particular, for adding links by the healing process, the candidates of linked nodes are incrementally extended from only the direct (nearest connecting) neighbors of the removed node by attacks until no more separation of components occurs. In other words, the whole connectivity is maintained except the isolating removed parts. Such extension of the candidates of linked nodes is a key idea in our proposed self-healing method.

Furthermore, the following self-healing methods, whose effects are investigated for some data of real networks, are worthy to note. One is a distributed SLR [25] with the repair by a link between the most damaged node and a randomly chosen node from the unremoved node set in its next-nearest neighbors before attacks. The priority of damaged nodes is according to the smaller fraction k_{dam}/k_{orig} of its remained degree k_{dam} and the original degree k_{orig} before the attacks. The selections are repeated until reaching a given rate f_s controlled by the fraction of nodes whose k_{dam}/k_{orig} falls below a threshold. Another is RBR [24] on more limited resource of links and ports. To establish links between pair nodes, a node is randomly chosen only one time in the neighbors of each removed node. When k_i denotes the degree of removed node i , only $\lfloor k_i/2 \rfloor$ links are reused. Note that reserved additional ports are not necessary: they do not exceed the original one before attacks. Moreover, GBR [24] is proposed in order to improve the robustness, the selection of pair nodes is based on the number of the links not yet rewired and the size of the neighboring components.

In computer science, ForgivingTree algorithm has been proposed [27]. Under the repeated attacks, the following self-healing is processed one-by-one after each node removal, except when the removed node is a leaf (whose degree is one). It is based on both distributed process of sending messages and data structure, furthermore developed to an efficient algorithm called as compact routing [28]. In each rewiring process, a removed node and its links are replaced by a binary tree. Note that each vertex of the binary tree was the neighbors of the removed node, whose links to the neighbors are reused as the edges of the binary tree. Thus, additional links for healing is unnecessary, but not controllable. It is remarkable for computation (e.g., in routing or information spreading) that the multiplicative factor of diameter of the graph after healing is never more than $O(\log k_{max})$, where k_{max} is the maximum degree in the original network because of the replacing by binary trees. However, the robustness of connectivity is not taken into account in the limited rewiring based on binary trees, since a tree structure is easily fragmented into subtrees by any attack to the articulation node. Thus, this healing method is excluded from compared ones.

III. RESULTS

We evaluate the effect of healing by four measures: the ratio $S(q)/N_q$ [25] for the connectivity, the robustness index $R(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{q'} S_q(q')/N_q$, the efficiency of paths $E(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$

$\frac{1}{N_q(N_q-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{L_{ij}}$, and the average degree $k_{avg}(q)$ in $N_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1-q)N$ nodes, where $S(q)$ and $S_q(q')$ denote the sizes of GC (giant component or largest connected cluster) after removing qN nodes by attacks from the original network and removing $q'N_q$ nodes by further attacks from the surviving N_q nodes, respectively. Here, a removed node is chosen with recalculation of the highest degree node as the target. Remember that $q = 1/N, 2/N, \dots, (N-1)/N$ (or $q' = 1/N_q, 2/N_q, \dots, (N_q-1)/N_q$) is a fraction of attacks. While $S(q)$ or $S_q(q')$ represents the size of GC after attacks to qN or $q'N_q$ nodes, $R(q)$ is a measure of tolerance of connectivity against further attacks. L_{ij} denote the length of the shortest path counted by hops between i - j nodes in the surviving N_q nodes. The ranges are $0 < S(q)/N_q \leq 1$, $0 < R(q) \leq 0.5$, and $0 < E(q) \leq 1$. We investigate the four measures before or after healing for OpenFlights between airports, Internet AS Oregon, and US PowerGrid as examples of typical infrastructure of SF networks [29] after extracting from each of them to a connected and undirected graph without multiple links (see Table I). We compare the results shown by color lines with marks in figures for the conventional RBR, GBR, SLR, and our proposed RingBP, RingRecal, RingLimit1,5,10, RingLimit5Recal methods.

TABLE I: Basic properties for the original networks. N and M denote the numbers of nodes and links. $k_{avg} = 2M/N$, k_{min} , and k_{max} are the average, minimum, and maximum degrees. L_{avg} , D , R and E denote the average path length, diameter, robustness index, and efficiency of paths, respectively.

Network	N	M	k_{avg}	k_{min}	k_{max}	L_{avg}	D	R	E
OpenFlight	2905	15645	10.77	1	242	4.097	14	0.080912	0.266934
AS Oregon	6474	12572	3.883	1	1458	3.705	9	0.012500	0.290399
PowerGrid	4941	6594	2.669	1	19	18.989	46	0.052428	0.062878

In each Figure 2,3,4,5, no-healing, conventional, and previous our methods are compared in (a), previous our and RingRecal or RingLimit methods are compared in (c)(d), previous our and the best combination RingLimit5Recal methods are compared in (b). Red, green, blue, orange, and purple lines denote the rate $r_h = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5$ and 1.0 , respectively, for the number M_h of rewirings. The results for the original and no-healing networks are shown by dashed magenta and solid black lines. The following results are averaged over 100 samples with random process for tie-breaking in a node selection or ordering of nodes on a




FIG. 2: Ratio $S(q)/N_q$ of connectivity vs fraction q of attacks for the rate r_h in rewirings.

ring.

Figure 2 shows the ratio $S(q)/N_q$ of connectivity in the surviving N_q nodes. Remember that $S(q)$ is the size of GC after healing (or no-healing) against attacks to qN nodes. Higher ratio means larger connectivity as maintaining the network function for communication or transportation, $S(q)/N_q < 1$ indicates the incomplete ring formation stopped in M_h . As shown in Figure 2(a), the ratio rapidly decreases in the conventional SLR method marked by open circles for OpenFlights and PowerGrid, while it is moderately higher around $S(q)/N_q \approx 0.5$ on purple and blue lines or increasing in green and red lines marked by open circles for AS Oregon. Moreover, in Figure 2(a), the following results are common for Open-

Flights, AS Oregon, and PowerGrid. The ratio also decreases in the conventional RBR and GBR methods denoted by dashed light-blue and brown lines, respectively. In the corresponding RingBP method, the ratio is the highest as the horizontal orange (but overlapped purple) line at $S(q)/N_q \approx 1.0$ marked by open squares. The bottom dashed black lines around $S(q)/N_q = 0$ are the results without the network function for no-healing. Thus, previous our RingBP method marked by open squares has higher ratio than the conventional methods in comparison with same color lines. Figure 2(b)(c) shows that the ratio in RingBP method marked by open squares almost coincide with ones in RingLimit5Recal method marked by open diamonds and RingRecal method marked by filled diamonds. Similarly, Figure 2(d) shows that the ratio in RingBP method marked by open squares almost coincide with ones in RingLimit5,10 methods marked by lower triangles and asterisks. However it is slightly lower in RingLimit1 method marked by open upper triangles. Therefore, RingLimit5Recal, RingRecal, and RingLimit5,10 methods are the best at the same level to RingBP in maintaining the connectivity. The constraint to the number of additional ports is slightly too strong as only one in RingLimit1 method.

Figure 3 shows the robustness index $R(q)$ as the tolerance of connectivity against further attacks to the surviving N_q nodes after healing. Note that a major part of N_q nodes belong to the GC but other parts belong to isolated clusters. In Figure 3(a) for OpenFlights, AS Oregon, and PowerGrid, the values of $R(q)$ rapidly decrease to very low level ≤ 0.1 with vulnerability in the conventional SLR method marked by open circles and in RBR and GBR methods denoted by light-blue and brown dashed lines, while there exist higher values of $R(q)$ (on purple and orange lines for $r_h \geq 0.5$) in RingBP method marked by open squares than the horizontal dashed magenta lines in the original network. The results for no-healing are at the bottom as $R(q) \approx 0$ because of $S_q \approx 0$ from Figure 2(a). Moreover, as shown in Figure 3(b)(c), RingLimit5Recal method marked by open diamonds and RingRecal method marked by filled diamonds have higher values of $R(q)$ than RingBP marked by open squares in comparison with same color lines for OpenFlights and AS Oregon, while these methods have almost same values of $R(q)$ to ones in RingBP for PowerGrid. Similarly, as shown in Figure 3(d) for OpenFlights and AS Oregon, RingLimit1,5,10 methods marked by open lower, upper triangles and asterisks have higher values of $R(q)$ than RingBP method marked by open squares in comparison with same color lines. However, the difference becomes smaller in green and red lines for $r_h \leq 0.1$. In Figure 3(d) for PowerGrid, similar values of




FIG. 3: Robustness index as the tolerance of connectivity against further attacks to the surviving N_q nodes vs fraction q of attacks for the rate r_h in rewirings.

$R(q)$ are obtained on each color lines regardless of marks for different methods. Partially, for OpenFlights and AS Oregon, purple, orange and blue line ($r_h \geq 0.2$) in RingLimit5Recal marked by open diamonds are slightly higher than ones in Ringlimit1,5,10 marked by open upper, lower triangles and asterisks as shown in Figure 3(b)(d). Thus, the reconstructed networks by our proposed healing methods can become more stronger with higher values of $R(q)$ than the original network against further attacks. In particular, the improvement is remarkable from $R(q) < 0.1$ to $R(q) > 0.3$ for OpenFlights and AS Oregon.

Figure 4 shows the efficiency $E(q)$ of shortest paths between two nodes in the surviving




FIG. 4: Efficiency of paths in the surviving N_q nodes vs fraction q of attacks for the rate r_h in rewirings.

N_q nodes. Note that $E(q) = 0.1, 0.2, 0.25$ is corresponded to 10, 5, 4 hops of the average path length $L_{avg}(q)$ from $L_{avg}(q) \approx 1/E(q)$ in the arithmetic and the harmonic means of path lengths. The following results are common for OpenFlights, AS Oregon, and PowerGrid. As similar to Figures 2(a) and 3(a), Figure 4(a) shows that the values of $E(q)$ rapidly decrease in the conventional SLR method marked by open circles, RBR and GBR methods denoted by light-blue and brown dashed lines, while the values are higher in RingBP method marked by squares in comparison with same color lines. In Figure 4(b)(c), RingLimit5Recal method marked by open diamonds and RingRecal method marked by filled diamonds have similar or

slightly lower values of $E(q)$ than ones in RingBP method marked by squares in comparison with same color lines. In Figure 4(d) for OpenFlights and AS Oregon, the values are slightly lower in RingLimit1,3,5 methods marked by open upper, lower triangles and asterisks than ones in RingBP method marked by squares, while for PowerGrid the values are similar regardless of these methods in comparison with same color lines.




FIG. 5: Average degree $k_{avg}(q)$ in the surviving N_q nodes vs fraction q of attacks for the rate r_h in rewirings.

Figure 5 shows the average degree $k_{avg}(q)$ in the surviving N_q nodes. This value indicates how much links are effectively used for hearing. In other words, a small value of $k_{avg}(q)$ means that rewirings are restricted and not fully used until the possible number M_h of links

especially in the conventional methods, by the constraints on linking between not the extended but the nearest neighbors of attacked nodes or the limitation (see the subsection IID). The following results are common for OpenFlights, AS Oregon, and PowerGrid. As shown in Figure 5(a), it is remarkable that the values are small $k_{avg}(q) < 10$ in the conventional SLR method marked by open circles, RBR and GBR methods denoted by dashed light-blue and brown lines, while the values are higher in RingBP method marked by open squares in comparison with same color lines. In Figure 5(b)(d), by saving rewired links due to the limitation of additional ports, the values of $k_{avg}(q)$ are not large in RingLimit5Recal method marked by open diamonds or in RingLimit1,5,10 methods marked by open upper, lower triangles and asterisks. In Figure 5(c), on each color line, the values of $k_{avg}(q)$ in RingBP method marked by open squares are almost coincident with ones in RingRecal method marked by filled diamonds. However, in RingLimit5Recal and RingLimit1,5,10 methods with saving rewired links, both $R(q)$ and $E(q)$ are high values as shown in Figures 3(b)(d) and 4(b)(d). Therefore, these methods are more effective for healing to improve the robustness and efficiency to similar levels by using less resource. Figure 6 shows that the reconstructed degree distribution $P(k)$ in RingLimit5Recal method becomes exponential approximately in a semi-logarithmic plot from a power-law in the original network. The maximum degrees are bounded as 65, 19, and 14 for OpenFlights, AS Oregon, and PowerGrid, respectively. They tend to be smaller as q increases.

Moreover, Table II shows the maximum number (or in parentheses, the average value over the nodes that perform much more rewirings than their degrees of the reusable number of ports) of additional ports in RingRecal method. Although the values are reduced to less than k_{max} from nearly $2k_{max} \sim 3k_{max}$ in previous our RingBP method [10], they are still large. Here, k_{max} is 242, 1458, or 19 for the original networks: OpenFlights, AS Oregon, or PowerGrid as shown in Table I. Off course, the maximum number of additional ports is significantly restricted to a constant 1, 5, 10 or 5 in RingLimit1,5,10 or RingLimit5Recal method. Since additional ports should be stored in advance beside reusable number of its degree in the original network, fewer preparing is better within lower investment cost of resource. Thus, RingBP or RingRecal method is not desirable because of requiring many additional ports.

TABLE II: Maximum number of additional ports (the average number in parenthesis) for the fraction q of attacks and the rate r_h in rewirings.

OpenFlights									
$q \backslash r_h$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
0.05	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (1.36)	14.7 (3.32)
0.1	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (1.10)	2 (1.37)	4.03 (1.91)	20.68 (3.55)	21.59 (4.46)
0.2	26.54 (1.66)	3.67 (1.59)	3.44 (1.68)	3.81 (1.91)	10.22 (2.51)	29.44 (3.64)	44.08 (5.47)	49.23 (8.46)	21.56 (4.46)
0.3	55.44 (3.57)	23.93 (2.83)	26.55 (3.02)	42.07 (3.72)	50.64 (4.86)	65.58 (6.56)	69.37 (8.92)	63.04 (9.74)	21.61 (4.46)
0.4	77.65 (5.57)	56.61 (4.44)	62.22 (4.81)	69.58 (5.74)	79.96 (7.20)	88.11 (9.28)	81.62 (12.17)	63.09 (9.73)	21.6 (4.46)
0.5	96.35 (7.56)	81.88 (6.07)	87.52 (6.61)	98.26 (7.77)	106.62 (9.59)	105.12 (11.84)	107.14 (14.84)	62.99 (9.74)	21.62 (4.46)
1.0	179.04 (16.78)	175.97 (13.95)	173.53 (14.85)	177.32 (16.91)	160.94 (19.68)	170.93 (20.66)	115.33 (15.10)	63.02 (9.74)	21.57 (4.46)

AS Oregon									
$q \backslash r_h$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
0.05	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)
0.1	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	6.43 (2.26853)
0.2	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	2.03 (1.29)	6.44 (2.23)	56.47 (6.30)
0.3	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (1.21)	3.08 (1.42)	6.67 (2.22)	49.06 (4.21)	85.74 (10.38)
0.4	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (1.16)	2 (1.31)	3.66 (1.57)	5.48 (2.21)	48.89 (3.53)	79.8 (6.24)	107.53 (14.48)
0.5	2.49 (1.11)	2 (1.26)	2 (1.37)	3.77 (1.68)	6.22 (2.22)	48.81 (3.20)	79.89 (4.88)	104.12 (8.25)	127.04 (18.54)
1.0	40.64 (2.67)	73.63 (3.20)	93.29 (3.92)	111.4 (4.87)	128 (6.22)	146.73 (8.21)	164.37 (11.56)	181.31 (18.30)	199.37 (38.81)

PowerGrid									
$q \backslash r_h$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
0.05	1.08 (1.00)	1 (1)	1.02 (1.00)	1.01 (1.00)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)
0.1	1.65 (1.01)	1.99 (1.01)	1.93 (1.02)	1.59 (1.00)	1.15 (1.00)	1.02 (1.00)	1.04 (1.00)	1.02 (1.00)	3.81 (1.19)
0.2	2 (1.02)	2.1 (1.02)	2.12 (1.03)	2.25 (1.03)	2.13 (1.02)	1.91 (1.00)	2.01 (1.01)	3.8 (1.32)	3.69 (1.19)
0.3	2 (1.02)	2.59 (1.05)	2.95 (1.07)	2.93 (1.07)	2.95 (1.08)	3.01 (1.10)	3.12 (1.27)	6.21 (1.51)	3.74 (1.19)
0.4	2.42 (1.04)	3.06 (1.09)	3.25 (1.10)	3.14 (1.13)	3.25 (1.15)	3.11 (1.26)	8.99 (1.90)	6.27 (1.51)	3.74 (1.19)
0.5	2.87 (1.06)	3.76 (1.11)	3.47 (1.14)	3.47 (1.17)	3.31 (1.27)	5.66 (1.78)	9.03 (1.90)	6.35 (1.51)	3.77 (1.19)
1.0	4.9 (1.64)	6.08 (1.86)	13.42 (2.31)	15.44 (2.94)	14.95 (2.80)	11.85 (2.35)	9.01 (1.90)	6.29 (1.51)	3.78 (1.19)




FIG. 6: Degree distribution in surviving N_q nodes after healing by RingLimit5Recal method for the fraction of attacks.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have proposed self-healing methods with modifications from the previous one [10] for reconstructing a resilient network through rewirings against attacks or disasters in resource allocation control of links and ports. The healing strategy is based on maintaining the connectivity by ring formation on the extended neighbors of attacked nodes and enhancing loops for improving the robustness of connectivity in applying the approximate calculations

of BP [23] inspired from statistical physics in distributed manner. We have taken into account the limitation of additions and the recalculations of BP as modifications to reduce the preparing of additional ports by avoiding the concentration of links at some nodes.

Simulation results show that our proposed combination methods of ring formation and enhancing loops are better than the conventional SLR [25], RBR, and GBR [24] methods. Especially, in RingLimit5Recal method, both high robustness of connectivity and efficiency of paths are obtained in saving the resource of links and ports, even though the number of additional ports is significantly restricted to a constant 5 from the previous $O(k_{max}) \sim 10^3$ [10]. Moreover, we have found that the reconstructed networks by healing can become more robust and efficient than the original network before attacks, when some extent of damaged links are reusable or compensated as the rate $r_h \geq 0.5$.

However, it remains an open question what structure is the optimally tolerant against further attacks in varying the degree distribution after healing. Even if our prediction comes true, it is not yet known what approach is more effective and practical for approximately maximizing the FVS. These challenging problems are beyond the discussion of onion-like structure under a given degree distribution [11][12]. In addition, it gives an intensive issue how the healing method should be extended to interdependent or multilayer networks as networks of networks. On the other hand, in application points of view, further investigations will be useful for other networks if huge computation is available, since our obtained some results seem to depend on the special topological structure such as PowerGrid with a large diameter D (see Table I). The development of distributed algorithms within only local information is also important for our self-healing methods.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP.17H01729.
<https://kaken.nii.ac.jp/ja/grant/KAKENHI-PROJECT-17H01729/>

-
- [1] U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force. Final Report on the August 14, 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations (2004). <https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/DocumentsandMedia/BlackoutFinal-Web.pdf>

- [2] FINAL REPORT of the Investigation Committee on the 28 September 2003 Blackout in Italy.
http://www.rae.gr/old/cases/C13/italy/UCTE_rept.pdf
- [3] The Great East Japan earthquake: a story of a devastating natural disaster, a tale of human compassion, 11 March 2011. World Health Organization, Western Pacific Region, Dec. 2013.
<https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/920441/retrieve>
- [4] Amaral, L.; Scala, A.; Barthélemy, M.; Stanley, H.E. Classes of small-world networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **2000**, *97*(21), 11149-11152; DOI:10.1073/pnas.200327197.
- [5] Barabási, A.-L.; Albert, R.; Jeong, H. Mean-field theory for scale-free random networks. *Physica A*. **2000**, *272*, 173-187; DOI:10.1016/S0378-4371(99)00291-5.
- [6] Albert, R.; Jeong, H.; Barabási, A.-L. Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. *Nature*. **2000**, *406*, 378-382; DOI:10.1038/35019019.
- [7] Hollnagel, E.; Woods, D-D.; Leveson, N. *Resilience Engineering -Concepts and Precepts-*, ASHGATE Publishing, 2006.
- [8] Folke, C. Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change*. **2006**, *16*, 253-267; DOI:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.04.002.
- [9] Zolli, A.; Healy, A. *Resilience -Why Things Bounce Back-*, Free Press, 2012.
- [10] Hayashi, Y.; Tanaka, A.; Matsukubo, J. Effective Self-Healing Networks against Attacks or Disasters in Resource Allocation Control. In *Proceeding of the 12th International Conference on Adaptive and Self-Adaptive Systems and Applications (ADAPTIVE)*, October 25-29, 2020; pp.85-91, ISBN:978-1-61208-781-8; ThinkMind Digital Library https://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=article&articleid=adaptive_2020_3_10_50004
- [11] Schneider, C.M.; Moreira, A.A.; Andrade Jr. J.S.; Havlin, S; Herrmann, H.J. Mitigation of malicious attacks on networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.(USA)*. **2011**, *108*, 3838-3841; DOI:10.1073/pnas.1009440108.
- [12] Tanizawa, T.; Havlin, S.; Stanley, H.E. Robustness of onion-like correlated networks against targeted attacks. *Phys. Rev. E*. **2012**, *85*, 046109; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.85.046109.
- [13] Wu, Z.-X.; Holme, P. Onion structure and network robustness. *Phys. Rev. E*. **2011**, *84*, 026106; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.026106.
- [14] Braunstein, A.; Dall'Asta, L.; Semerjand G.; Zdeborová, L. Network dismantling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.(USA)*. **2016**, *113*(44), 12368–12373; DOI:/10.1073/pnas.1605083113.
- [15] Karp, R.M. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In *Complexity of Computer Com-*

- munications*, Miller, E.; Thatcher, J.W.; Bohlinger, J.D. Eds.; Plenum Press, NY , 1972; pp.85-103; DOI:/10.1007/978-1-4684-2001-2_9.
- [16] Hayashi, Y. Growing Self-organized Design of Efficient and Robust Complex Networks. In Proceeding of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Self-Adaptive and Self-Organizing Systems (SASO), London, UK, Sept. 8-12, 2014; *IEEE Xplore Digital Library SASO 2014*. **2014**, 50-59; DOI:10.1109/SASO.2014.17. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=7001000&tag=1>
 - [17] Hayashi, Y. A new design principle of robust onion-like networks self-organized in growth. *Network Science*. **2018**, *6(1)*, 54-70.; DOI:10.1017/nws.2017.25.
 - [18] Hayashi, Y.; Uchiyama, N. Onion-like networks are both robust and resilient. *Scientific Reports*. **2018**, *8*, 11241; DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-29626-w. Author Correction of Fig. 9 (2018). <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-32563-3>
 - [19] Chujoyo, M.; Hayashi, Y. A loop enhancement strategy for network robustness. To appear in *Applied Network Science*. **2021**. Significant improvement of network robustness by enhancing loops through rewiring. In Proceeding of the 8th International Conference on Complex Networks and their Applications. **2019**, 569-571.
 - [20] Song, S.; Park, H.; Choi, B.-Y.; Zhu, H. Control path management framework for enhancing software-defined network (SDN) reliability. *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*. **2017**, *4(2)*, 302-316. DOI:10.1109/TNSM.2017.2669082.
 - [21] Hu, Y; Wendong, W.; Gong, X.; Que, X.; Shiduan, C. Reliability-aware controller placement for software-defined networks. In Proceeding of the IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management. **2013**, 672-675. DOI:10.1109/CC.2014.6821736.
 - [22] Thyagaturu, A.S.; Mercian, A.; McGray, P.; Reisslein, M.; Kellerer, W. Software defined optical networks (SDONs): A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*. **2016**, *18(4)*, 2738-2786. DOI:10.1109/COMST.2016.2586999.
 - [23] Zhou, H.-J. Spin glass approach to the feedback vertex set problem. *Eur. Phys. J. B*. **2013**, *86*, 455; DOI:10.1140/epjb/e2013-40690-1.
 - [24] Park, J.; Hahn, S.G. Bypass rewiring and robustness of complex networks. *Phy. Rev. E*. **2016**, *94*, 022310; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.94.022310.
 - [25] Gallos, L.K.; Fefferman, N.H. Simple and efficient self-healing strategy for damaged complex networks. *Phy. Rev. E*. **2015**, *92*, 052806; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.92.052806.

- [26] Stippinger, M.; Kertész, J. Enhancing resilience of interdependent networks by healing. *Physica A*. **2014**, *416*, 481-487; DOI:/10.1016/j.physa.2014.08.069
- [27] Hayes, T.; Rustagi, N.; Saia, J.; Trehan, A. The Forgiving Tree: A Self-Healing Distributed Data Structure. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC)*, Toronto Canada, August 18-21, 2008, pp.203-212, ISBN: 978-1-59593-989-0; DOI:10.1145/1400751.1400779. <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1400751.1400779>
- [28] Castañeda, A.; Dolev, D.; Trehan, A. Compact routing messages in self-healing. *Theoretical Computer Science*. **2018**, *709*, 2-19; DOI:10.1016/j.tcs.2016.11.022.
- [29] Data source of real infrastructure networks. OpenFlights: <http://networkrepository.com/inf-openflights.php> Internet AS Oregon: <http://snap.stanford.edu/data/as.html> US PowerGrid: <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/netdata/power.zip>.