

Pure Gauss-Bonnet NUT Black Hole Solution: I

Sajal Mukherjee* and Naresh Dadhich†

Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 4, Pune-411007, India

January 11, 2021

Abstract

We find a new exact Λ -vacuum solution in pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity with NUT charge in six dimension with horizon having product topology $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$. We also discuss its horizon and singularity structure, and consequently arrive at a parameter window for its physical viability. It should be noted that all NUT black hole solutions in higher dimensions have product, instead of spherical, topology. We prove, in general, that it is because of the radial symmetry of the NUT spacetime; i.e. in higher dimensions NUT spacetime cannot maintain radial symmetry unless horizon has $S^{(2)}$ or its product topology. On the way we also prove a general result for spherical symmetry that when null energy condition is satisfied, one has then only to solve a first order equation to get a vacuum or Λ -vacuum solution.

1 Introduction

With the recent developments in testing general relativity in various aspects [1–6], the urge to explore alternative theories of gravity receives major scientific attention. While it is of particular interest to study these scenarios with observational implications [7, 8], there also exists sufficient motivation to obtain new black hole solutions in these theories of gravity [9]. It is a general belief that deviation from general relativity is expected to have higher curvature corrections terms [10, 11]. It is therefore pertinent to study these theories in detail. Two of the widely known higher curvature theories of gravity include $f(R)$ [12], and Lovelock theory of gravity [13]. The $f(R)$ theory of gravity was initially introduced to explain the accelerating expansion of the Universe without invoking any nontrivial matter components such as dark energy [14, 15]. Since then, $f(R)$ theory has been a subject of immense activity, and studied extensively in Refs. [16–18]. On the other hand, Lovelock gravity was introduced by David Lovelock in 1971, and stood out as an excellent and natural higher dimensional generalization of general relativity. Given that Lovelock theory of gravity being our primary focus, we briefly recount some of the prime aspects of it in the following paragraph.

The striking and remarkable feature of the Lovelock theory is that the equation retains the second order character despite the action being polynomial in Riemann curvature. This is in contrast to all other alternative theories where the equation of motion involves physically undesirable higher order derivatives. It is therefore free of ghosts [19, 20]. Note that the Einstein gravity – general relativity (GR) is linear order, $N = 1$ in the Riemann curvature in action, the quadratic $N = 2$ is the well known Gauss-Bonnet

*sajal@iucaa.in

†nkd@iucaa.in

(GB) [21], and so on. Each order comes with a dimensionful coupling constant. The particular order N term makes non-zero contribution to the equation of motion only in dimensions, $D \geq 2N + 1$. It is therefore quintessentially higher dimensional generalization of GR.

Pure Lovelock theory is specified by the property that the action has only one chosen N th order term without sum over the lower orders; i.e. pure Gauss-Bonnet action will have only the quadratic term with no Einstein term. Pure Lovelock gravity is characterized by some interesting and distinguishing properties: (a) in the critical odd $D = 2N + 1$, it is kinematic [22, 23], in the sense that N th order Lovelock Riemann is entirely given in terms of the corresponding Ricci, (b) existence of bound orbits around a static object in higher dimensions [24] and (c) stability of static black hole [25], etc. For an insightful overview can be found in Ref. [9].

Static black hole solutions have been obtained in pure Lovelock gravity [26–28]. We shall however in this paper choose to study and obtain pure GB black hole solution with NUT charge. Note that NUT charge arises when asymptotic flatness condition is relaxed yet retaining the radial symmetry of spacetime [29]. It is the most general radially symmetric solution. It turns out that black hole horizon with NUT charge in dimensions greater than four cannot have spherical topology. It is because it conflicts with the radial symmetry. Thus a higher dimensional NUT black hole horizon has necessarily to have non-spherical topology like $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ instead of $S^{(4)}$. We shall explicitly prove that.

Higher dimensional NUT solutions have been obtained [30] with product horizon topology. With this motivation we aim to obtain new black hole solutions in pure Lovelock $N = 2$; i.e., Gauss-Bonnet gravity. NUT was a very strange and unusual solution of Einstein’s equation [31]. There has been much discussion on its physical understanding and interpretation, see for instance an extensive account in [29]. Apart from physical interpretation, several recent studies [32, 33] also involve observational implications and astrophysical imprints of NUT. It turns out that this additional parameter comes as a very natural extension of the Kerr-Newman family of black holes when as pointed earlier asymptotic flatness condition is relaxed. With this condition relaxed it is the most general solution.

In addition to other interesting properties of NUT parameter, it is endowed with a remarkable features of duality. It is shown by Turakulov and Dadhich in Ref. [29, 34], that with the presence of both rotation and NUT parameters, the spacetime is invariant under a duality transformation where mass and NUT charge and radial and angular coordinate are interchanged. This indicates that the NUT parameter is associated with a gravomagnetic field and can be considered as a gravomagnetic charge [35]. It could as well be looked upon as a rotating gravitational dyon. In fact the Kerr-NUT solution is the most general axially symmetric solution that admits separability of Hamilton-Jacobi and Klein-Gordon equations [36]. Alongside these studies, the geodesic trajectories and orbital dynamics, thermodynamics properties and the Lense-Thirring effect etc., have been worked out [37].

In this Part I of the investigation, we shall confine to pure GB NUT black hole while its Maxwell charge generalization would be taken up separately in Part II [38]. The paper is organized as follows: In Section (2), we address the black hole solutions in both Einstein and pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity for different horizon topology. We also set up the necessary field equations for obtaining both Einstein and pure GB vacuum solutions. As emphasized earlier we shall take up the question of NUT parameter and horizon topology in higher dimensions. Section (3) is devoted to explicit demonstration of how radial symmetry and inclusion of NUT parameter in dimension greater than four determine that horizon topology has to be product of spheres and *not* a sphere. Following this, in Section (4), we obtain a new pure Gauss-Bonnet NUT black hole solution and discuss its various properties and spacetime structure in Section (5). Finally, we close the article with a brief discussion in Section (6).

Notation and convention: Throughout the paper, we use ‘D’ as dimension of spacetime, ‘prime’ as a differentiation with respect to the radial coordinate r , and the ‘bracket’ gives a projected quantity,

$X^{(\mu)(\nu)} = e_{\alpha}^{(\mu)} e_{\beta}^{(\nu)} X^{\alpha\beta}$, on a tetrad frame. The Greek letters μ, ν run for both temporal and spatial components, while Latin letters i, j , only for spatial components. Besides, we shall adopt the metric signature: $(-, +, +, \dots)$ and set the fundamental constants as $c = 1 = G$.

2 Warming up: static black hole solutions for different horizon topology

In this section, we introduce static black hole solution in pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity in six dimension without the NUT parameter, and set up the pure GB field equations for later use. We discuss black holes with spherical and product topologies and consider their horizon and spacetime structure properties for future reference in relation to pure GB NUT black hole solution.

2.1 Gauss-Bonnet action and field equations

The gravitational action for the pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity in D dimension is given as

$$\mathcal{S} = \alpha_2 \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{L}_{\text{GB}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{m}}), \quad (1)$$

where α_2 is the coupling constant, \mathcal{L}_{m} is the matter Lagrangian, and the GB Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_{GB} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GB}} = R^2 - 4R^{ab}R_{ab} + R^{abcd}R_{abcd}. \quad (2)$$

By varying the action with respect to the metric, we obtain the field equations in the usual notation,

$$H_{ab} = \alpha_2 \left(2J_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\mathcal{L}_{\text{GB}} \right) = -\Lambda g_{ab} + T_{ab}. \quad (3)$$

We now set $\alpha_2 = 1$, and H_{ab} and J_{ab} in the above equation play the role analogous to Einstein and Ricci tensor in Einstein's gravity [39] and the latter is defined as

$$J_{ab} = RR_{ab} - 2R^c{}_a R_{bc} - 2R^{cd}R_{acbd} + R_a{}^{mnl}R_{bmnl}. \quad (4)$$

These equations are solved for vacuum by setting $T_{ab} = 0$ which we discuss next.

2.2 The metric structure

In higher dimensions, black hole horizon can have different topologies. For instance in six dimension, there are following possible three choices: the spherical $S^{(4)}$, product of two 2-spheres, $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$, and product of 3-sphere and a line element, $S^{(1)} \times S^{(3)}$. Out of these options, we would in this investigation consider only the first two. We shall first begin with the spherical and then take up the product topology.

2.2.1 Spherical topology:

In the case of a spherical topology, which is given by a 4-sphere in six-dimension, the metric ansatz takes the following form in $(t, r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \phi)$ coordinates:

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_4^2, \quad (5)$$

where $d\Omega_4^2$ can be expanded as

$$d\Omega_4^2 = d\theta_3^2 + \sin^2 \theta_3 d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3 d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3 d\phi^2. \quad (6)$$

By employing the null energy condition, i.e., $H_0^0 = H_1^1$ [30, 40], we gather $B = 1/A$, and finally, the field equations become:

$$H_0^0 = H_1^1 = \frac{12}{r^4} \left\{ 2rA'(r) + 2A(r) - [A(r)]^2 - 2rA(r)A'(r) - 1 \right\} = -\Lambda, \quad (7)$$

$$H_2^2 = H_3^3 = H_4^4 = H_5^5 = -\frac{6}{r^3} \left\{ 2[A(r) - 1]A'(r) + r[A'(r)]^2 + r[A(r) - 1]A''(r) \right\} = -\Lambda. \quad (8)$$

With null energy condition satisfied, it turns out that H_0^0 and H_2^2 are not independent, and are in fact related by the following relation,

$$H_2^2 = \frac{r}{4} \frac{dH_1^1}{dr} + H_1^1, \quad (9)$$

This clearly shows that one needs to solve only the first order equation, $H_0^0 = -\Lambda$ for $A(r)$, rest of the equations are then automatically satisfied. It solves to give the solution,

$$A(r) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{M}{r} + \frac{\Lambda r^4}{60}}, \quad (10)$$

where, M is the integration constant indicating mass of the black hole. A general solution with arbitrary order and dimension in pure Lovelock gravity is obtained in Ref. [28].

2.2.2 Non-spherical topology:

In this case, we start with the following metric ansatz in $(t, r, \theta_1, \phi_1, \theta_2, \phi_2)$ coordinates [41]:

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2 \{d\Omega_1^2 + d\Omega_2^2\}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$d\Omega_1^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2, \quad d\Omega_2^2 = d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2. \quad (12)$$

Again the null energy condition, $H_0^0 = H_1^1$, yields $B = 1/A$, and we have:

$$H_0^0 = H_1^1 = -\frac{4}{r^4} \left\{ 1 + 3(A(r))^2 - 2rA'(r) + A(r)[-2 + 6rA'(r)] \right\} = -\Lambda, \quad (13)$$

$$H_2^2 = H_3^3 = H_4^4 = H_5^5 = \frac{1}{r^3} \left\{ [4 - 12A(r)]A'(r) - 6r[A(r)]^2 + 2r[1 - 3A(r)]A''(r) \right\} = -\Lambda. \quad (14)$$

Interestingly, for this topology too, H_2^2 and H_1^1 are related by the same relation Eq. (19), and again we solve the first order equation to get the solution [9]:

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{\frac{M}{r} - 2 + \frac{3\Lambda r^4}{20}} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

The factor $1/3$ above appears as a consequence of product topology. For an arbitrary dimension d , this pre-factor is given by $(d-4)/(2d-6)$. This factor could as well be shifted to product of spheres; i.e., in the metric, write $(1/3)r^2 d\Omega_{1,2}^2$ in place of $r^2 \Omega_{1,2}^2$. With this shift of the factor, we write,

$$A(r) = \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{\frac{M}{r} - 2 + \frac{\Lambda r^4}{60}} \right\}. \quad (16)$$

where M and Λ have been appropriately rescaled. However, the factor of -2 that appears inside the root is also due to product topology which is absent in Eq. (10) for the spherical case. In GB gravity with topology, $S^{d_0} \times S^{d_0}$, this would read as $-d_0/(d_0-1)^2(2d_0-3)$ which for $d_0=2$ would be -2 [30, 40].

Product topology produces topological defect as solid angle deficit and to counter its effect, the prefactor like $1/3$ is needed. That is what happens in linear order Einstein gravity but in the quadratic GB, this is not enough and an additional factor -2 is needed under the square root. There is a very interesting and insightful interplay between these two topological factors, p and q where the former stands for $1/3$ and the latter for -2 , in terms of solid angle deficit as elaborated in [9].

2.3 $H_2^2 = \frac{r}{D-2} \frac{dH_1^1}{dr} + H_1^1$

In spherically symmetric spacetime satisfying the null energy condition, we shall establish the above general relation in D dimension which would also be true for any Lovelock order. To see this we consider the following spherically symmetric metric in D -dimension:

$$ds^2 = -[f_2(r)]^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{1-f_1(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2. \quad (17)$$

The expressions for the field tensor in pure N -th order Lovelock gravity are given by [42]

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2N-1)!} \left[\frac{f_1(r)}{r^2} \right]^N \left\{ Nr \frac{f_1'(r)}{f_1(r)} + D - 2N - 1 \right\}, \\ H_1^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2N-1)!} \left[\frac{f_1(r)}{r^2} \right]^N \left\{ Nr \frac{1-f_1(r)}{f_1(r)} \frac{f_2'(r)}{f_2(r)} + D - 2N - 1 \right\}, \\ H_2^2 &= H_1^1 + \frac{N(D-3)!}{(D-2N-1)!} \left[\frac{f_1(r)}{r^2} \right]^{N-1} \frac{1}{f_2(r)} \left\{ (1-f_1(r))f_2''(r) - \frac{f_2'(r)}{r} [(D-2)(1-f_1(r)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} r f_1'(r) \left(D - 2 - \frac{D-3}{f_1(r)} \right)] - \frac{D-2N-1}{2} \left(\frac{f_1'(r)}{f_1(r)} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \left(f_2'(r)(1-f_1(r)) + \frac{f_1(r)f_2(r)}{r} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since the null energy condition implies $H_0^0 = H_1^1$ giving us $f_2(r) = \sqrt{1-f_1(r)}$. Then from the expressions for H_1^1 and H_2^2 it readily follows:

$$H_2^2 = \frac{r}{D-2} \frac{dH_1^1}{dr} + H_1^1. \quad (19)$$

Thus this is true in general for all Lovelock order and arbitrary dimension. Besides we have also seen above that it also holds good for the product topology for Lovelock order $N=1, 2$. This indicates that it should also hold good for any Lovelock order in general. However this needs to be established explicitly.

In view of this general relation for spherical symmetry, it is therefore easy to solve the Λ -vacuum/electrovac equation trivially by integrating the first order H_0^0 or H_1^1 equation for any Lovelock order with irrespective of topology being spherical or product.

2.4 Spacetime singularity

The topology of the horizon can impart nontrivial effect in shaping the singularity structure of the space-time. Recalling from Ref. [30] the solution given by Eq. (16), there occurs, apart from the central singularity at $r = 0$, an additional non-central singularity as could be seen from the following expression for Ricci scalar,

$$R = \frac{1}{4r^4} \frac{1}{(M/r - 2 + r^4\Lambda/60)^{3/2}} \left\{ 70M^2 + 6Mr(r^4\Lambda - 56) + \frac{r^2}{15}(r^4\Lambda - 144)(r^4\Lambda - 40) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

The non-central singularity is given by $X = -2 + M/r + \Lambda r^4/60 = 0$. Note that $X \geq 0$ is required in Eq. (16) for the solution to be real while the horizon is defined by $X = 1$. Note that here we have considered Ricci scalar which will be zero for vacuum solution, however what it indicates is that X would always appear in the denominator for the Kretschmann like scalar, in particular GB Kretschmann scalar, constructed from the Gauss-Bonnet analog of Riemann tensor \mathcal{R}_{ijkl} [43]. For validity of the solution, non-central singularity has to lie inside the horizon. A detailed analysis of the parameter range required for physical validity of the solution has been comprehensively discussed in [30].

2.5 The event horizon

Before closing this section, we consider a simple example to demonstrate the difference in location of event horizon in $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ and $S^{(4)}$ topology in pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity. In these two cases, A is given in Eq. (10) for $S^{(4)}$ and in Eq. (16) for $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ topology. The horizon is defined by $A = 0$ which is a fifth order equation having two positive roots giving event and cosmological horizons. Fig. (1) shows horizon curves for the two cases where red and black respectively indicate event and cosmological horizons, and solid and dashed lines refer to $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ and $S^{(4)}$ topologies. In each of the cases, there exists a maximum value of the cosmological constant beyond which there exists no horizon. For $S^{(4)}$ it is

$$0 < \log(\Lambda M^4) \lesssim 1.5922, \quad (21)$$

while for $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$,

$$0 < \log(\Lambda M^4) \lesssim 7.0853. \quad (22)$$

3 The interplay between topology and NUT parameter

The presence of NUT charge in higher dimensional black hole solutions introduces a topological impediment, which we have found to be true for both Einstein and Gauss-Bonnet gravity. Due to this limitation, there exists *no* higher dimensional NUT black hole with spherical topology, however, they do exist with non-spherical product topology [44, 45]. But there is no discussion about why spherical topology is not allowed? This is the question we wish to address here.




Figure 1: The location of event horizon (red curve) and cosmological horizon (black curve) for different topologies — solid and dashed curve respectively representing $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ and $S^{(4)}$. Interestingly, the cut-off on Λ is larger for the spherical case than the product topology, which is due to the additional factor -2 in the square root. For appropriate scaling of the plots, we have used $\log(\Lambda M^4)$ instead of ΛM^4 .

NUT spacetime appears as the most general radially symmetric vacuum solution when asymptotic flatness condition is lifted off. Therefore radial symmetry is a prime property of NUT spacetime, and it should always be retained. We shall illustrate in the following that NUT parameter and radial symmetry cannot coexist with spherical topology in dimensions greater than four. For inclusion of NUT parameter in higher dimensions one has necessarily to evoke non-spherical product topology for preserving radial symmetry. Radial symmetry would mean spacetime curvature; i.e. components of the Riemann tensor, depends upon radial coordinate alone. As we will witness in the discussion presented below, the radial symmetry with the NUT parameter is only respected in the 2-sphere topology, and not for higher dimensional sphere.

We begin with the following metric ansatz,

$$ds^2 = -\mathcal{F}(r)(dt + 2l \cos \theta_1 d\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(r)} dr^2 + (r^2 + l^2) d\Omega^2, \quad (23)$$

where, l being the NUT charge, and $d\Omega^2$ can either be a 4-sphere (as in Eq. (6)), or product of two 2-spheres (as in Eq. (12)), and $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is arbitrary. For finding the solution one has to solve for the function $\mathcal{F}(r)$.

We shall now compute a Riemann component, $R^{(0)}_{(2)(0)(2)}$ for the above metric in the orthonormal frame which is given by:

$$R^{(0)}_{(2)(0)(2)} = -\frac{r\mathcal{F}'(r)}{2(r^2 + l^2)} - \frac{l^2 \mathcal{F}(r) (\csc \theta_2)^4 (\csc \theta_3)^4}{(r^2 + l^2)^2}, \quad (24)$$

for $S^{(4)}$ topology. This clearly depends upon the angle coordinates so long as $l \neq 0$ and hence does not respect radial symmetry. On the other hand for the product $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ topology given in Eq. (12), it is

$$R^{(0)}_{(2)(0)(2)} = -\frac{r\mathcal{F}'(r)}{2(r^2 + l^2)} - \frac{l^2 \mathcal{F}(r)}{(r^2 + l^2)^2}, \quad (25)$$

which is clearly radially symmetric. It can be easily verified that whatever is true for this one component is true for all other Riemann components.

Moreover, to strengthen our claim that radial symmetry is responsible for the topological limitation of higher dimensional NUT solution, we consider eight dimensional case with topology $S^{(6)}$, product of three $S^{(2)}$ and product two $S^{(3)}$. It turns out that radial symmetry is preserved only for product of $S^{(2)}$ case. We obtain in particular,

$$R_{(2)(0)(2)}^{(0)} \Big|_{S^{(6)}} = R_{(2)(0)(2)}^{(0)} \Big|_{S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}} + \frac{l^2 \mathcal{F}(r) [1 - (\csc \theta_2)^4 (\csc \theta_3)^4 (\csc \theta_4)^4 (\csc \theta_5)^4]}{(r^2 + l^2)^2}. \quad (26)$$

Like Eq. (25) the first term on the right is function of r alone. Further it turns out even product of two $S^{(3)}$ also does not respect radial symmetry. It thus shows that radial symmetry is only compatible with $S^{(2)}$ and its product topology, and none else.

4 Pure GB NUT Black hole solution

In this section, we solve pure GB Λ -vacuum equation in the NUT spacetime. We obtain a new exact solution describing a pure NUT black hole with Λ in six dimension with product topology $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$. Keeping in mind the above discussion on product topology and its prefactor, $(1/3)$ in Eq. (16), we write the metric for $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ topology as follows:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left\{ dt + P_1 d\phi_1 + P_2 d\phi_2 \right\}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{3} \left\{ d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2 \right\}, \quad (27)$$

where l being the NUT parameter, $\rho^2 = r^2 + l^2$, $\Delta = \rho^2 [1 - f(r)]$, $P_1 = 2l \cos \theta_1 / 3$ and $P_2 = 2l \cos \theta_2 / 3$. Note that in the above metric we have already taken care of the null energy condition as well as the product topology prefactor as in Section (2.2.2) and Ref. [9]. In view of the general relation, Eq. (19), we need only to solve the first order equation, $H_{(0)}^{(0)} = -\Lambda$ as given in Eq. (13) which gets readily solved to give

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{90(l^4 - r^4)} \left[180l^2(r^2 + l^2) - \sqrt{15} \left\{ 9(r^2 + l^2)^2 \left[5l^8 \Lambda - 20l^6 r^2 \Lambda + 10l^4(-12 + r^4 \Lambda) \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. + r^3(60M - 120r + r^5 \Lambda) + 4l^2 r(-15M + 120r + r^5 \Lambda) \right] \right\}^{1/2} \right], \quad (28)$$

where M is the integration constant identified with black hole mass. This is a new solution describing a pure GB NUT black hole in six dimensions with product topology $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$.

For the further discussion, we rewrite the above equation in the following form,

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{l^2 - r^2} \left[2l^2 - \left\{ h(r) \right\}^{1/2} \right], \quad (29)$$

where

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{60} \left\{ 5l^8 \Lambda - 20l^6 r^2 \Lambda + 10l^4(r^4 \Lambda - 12) + 4l^2 r(120r + r^5 \Lambda - 15M) + r^3(-120r + r^5 \Lambda + 60M) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Let's now consider some of interesting limiting cases.

1. In the limit of vanishing NUT charge, i.e., $l = 0$, we retrieve the known solution,

$$f(r)\Big|_{l=0} = \sqrt{\frac{M}{r} - 2 + \frac{\Lambda r^4}{60}}, \quad (31)$$

as given in Eq (16) of [9].

2. In the asymptotic limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$f(r)\Big|_{r \rightarrow \infty} = \left\{ \Lambda \left(\frac{r^4}{60} \right) - 2 \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (32)$$

As in Eq. (31) above, this limit exists only when $\Lambda > 0$ is present; i.e. presence of positive Λ is therefore essential for pure GB black hole with product topology.

3. Eq. (29) appears to diverge when $r = l$. However that is not really the case when we take the limit $r \rightarrow l$ properly and it actually reads as

$$f(r)\Big|_{r=l} = 1 + \frac{M}{4l} + \frac{\Lambda l^4}{15}, \quad (33)$$

which is finite so long as $l \neq 0$.

With this, we finish with the limiting cases and we shall now take up discussion of singularity and horizon.

4.1 Singularity

As we have seen earlier in Eq. (16) that it is the term under the root, the discriminant is required to be non-negative for reality of the solution and when it vanishes it makes Eq. (20) singular. In the present spacetime under consideration, it is $h(r) = 0$ marks the non-central singularity and hence $h(r) > 0$ is required for physical viability of the solution.

Note that whenever NUT parameter is present there occurs no central singularity, spacetime is singular only at $h(r) = 0$ which indicates non-central singularity. For physical viability of the solution, this has to be covered by an event horizon so that it is naked. The polynomial equation $h(r) = 0$ given in Eq. (30) can be rewritten in descending order as:

$$h(r) = \left[\frac{\Lambda}{60} r^8 + \frac{\Lambda}{15} l^2 r^6 + \frac{1}{6} (\lambda - 12) r^4 + M r^3 - \frac{l^2 r^2}{3} (\lambda - 24) - M l^2 r + \frac{l^4}{12} (\lambda - 24) \right] = 0, \quad (34)$$

where we have defined the dimensionless parameter, $\lambda = \Lambda l^4$ which determines number of roots the above equation can have. For instance, when $\lambda < 12$, it can have three positive roots while it can have only one for $\lambda = 12$.

4.2 Horizon structure

The location of the horizons (r_h) is given by $f(r_h) = 1$, and from Eq. (29), this becomes, $h(r_h) = (r_h^2 + l^2)^2$. By using the expression of $h(r)$ given in Eq. (30), we gather

$$h(r_h) - (r_h^2 + l^2)^2 = -\frac{l^2 - r_h^2}{60} \mathcal{X}(r) = 0. \quad (35)$$

In view of Eq. (33), $r_h^2 \neq l^2$, hence horizon would be given by

$$\mathcal{X}(r) = r_h^6 \Lambda + 5\Lambda l^2 r_h^4 + 15r_h^2(\lambda - 12) + 60Mr_h - 5l^2(\lambda - 36) = 0, \quad (36)$$

where $\lambda = \Lambda l^4$ as defined earlier.

The roots analysis of this polynomial could be carried out similarly and it is readily evident that it has no positive roots, i.e. no horizons, in the parameter window: $12 \leq \lambda \leq 36$. For horizon to occur, λ has to be outside this range. Further $h(r) > 0$ has also to be ensured for physical viability.

To highlight an interesting property of the above equation, which will be relevant in the upcoming discussion, we consider large and small r limit of the above equation. This is useful for deciding whether the horizon is event or cosmological. For large r limit, we have

$$r_h^4 \Lambda + 5\Lambda l^2 r_h^2 + 15(\lambda - 12) = 0. \quad (37)$$

It is clear that for $\lambda \geq 12$, the above equation has no positive root which indicates absence of cosmological horizon. This means cosmological horizon can only occur when $\lambda < 12$.

On the other hand, for small r limit, it is

$$15r_h^2(\lambda - 12) + 60Mr_h - 5l^2(\lambda - 36) = 0, \quad (38)$$

which indicates that for $\lambda < 12$ or $\lambda > 36$, there always occurs one positive root giving an event horizon. That is, for event horizon to occur either $\lambda < 12$ or $\lambda > 36$. However referring to the full Eq. (36), it becomes evident that there can occur no horizon for the window, $12 \leq \lambda \leq 36$ as it can admit no positive roots.

This simple analysis has clearly shown the horizon structure of spacetime. Combining the two limits, large and small r together, it follows that both event and cosmological horizons can occur for $\lambda < 12$ and only event horizon for $\lambda > 36$ and none for outside this prescription. We shall do a more detailed analysis in the next section.

5 Physical viability of the solution

It turns out that pure GB solution with product topology, as in the solution in Eq. (16) [9, 21], cannot be valid for all range of parameters for the discriminant, $h(r) \geq 0$, which in turn demands presence of positive $\Lambda > 0$. Another feature is that $h(r) = 0$ gives a non-central singularity (there however occurs no central singularity when NUT charge is present) which has to lie inside the event horizon for physical viability of the solution. These two requirements are in general shared by all pure GB black holes with product topology including the present one. They strongly constrain the parameter space for physical viability of spacetime in question.

Our aim is to find the parameter window for which $h(r) > 0$ always outside the event horizon. This would ensure regularity of spacetime in the physically accessible region lying between event and cosmological horizon. The two curves in Fig. (2) to Fig. (5) respectively refer to plots of $h(r)$, black and $\mathcal{X}(r)$, red.

The intersection of these curves with the x -axis (which represents the radial distance r) denote location of singularity and horizon respectively. These plots capture all the possible scenarios, which may or may not be physically viable. In order to have an orderly study, we may divide the entire spectrum in different parts based on value of the parameter, $\lambda = \Lambda l^4$. There are four distinct possibilities: (a) $\lambda < 12$, (b) $12 \leq \lambda < 24$, (c) $24 \leq \lambda \leq 36$ and (d) $\lambda > 36$ which we now take up.

5.1 $\lambda < 12$

In this case, Eq. (34) can either have 1 or 3 positive root(s), which implies presence of at least one singularity always. Besides, the horizon equation given by Eq. (36) can have either 0 or 2 positive roots. Therefore, in principle, there can be four possibilities such as — (i) three singularities, two horizons, (ii) one singularity, two horizons and the singularity is hidden behind the event horizon, (iii) one singularity and no horizon and (iv) three singularities and no horizon. We straightway rule out the last case. Out of the other three cases, as depicted in Fig. (2), only the second case is physically viable in which the singularity is covered by the event horizon. For $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$ and $\{12/\Lambda\}^{1/4} = 3.76M$, the first option is realized only for $0 < l \lesssim 1.70M$; while for second and third cases it is $1.70M \lesssim l \lesssim 2.64M$ and $2.64M \lesssim l < 3.76M$ respectively.

5.2 $12 \leq \lambda \leq 24$


Within this range, the singularity equation always have one positive root, while the horizon equation has no positive root. This would indicate that singularity will always remain naked, hence this is not physically acceptable. This is shown in Fig. (3), where we take $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$, and the bound on the NUT charge is given as $\{12/\Lambda\}^{1/4} \simeq 3.76M \leq l \leq \{24/\Lambda\}^{1/4} \simeq 4.47M$.

5.3 $24 \leq \lambda \leq 36$


For this range, Eq. (36) has no positive root, which indicates that there can occur neither event nor cosmological horizon. However Eq. (34) can have 0 or 2 positive roots. That means either spacetime is free of singularity or if they occur, they would be naked. The former possibility presents an interesting case of regular spacetime free of singularity as well as horizons. Both of these possibilities are shown in Fig. (4). Similar to the earlier cases, here also the value of NUT parameter is severely constrained. For example, with $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$, if singularity is naked, then the NUT charge is constrained to $\{24/\Lambda\}^{1/4} \simeq 4.47 \leq l \lesssim 4.51M$. On the other hand, if the spacetime is free of both singularity and horizons, it is bound as $4.51M \lesssim l \leq \{36/\Lambda\}^{1/4} \simeq 4.95M$.

5.4 $\lambda > 36$


In this case, there always occurs one horizon while there may either occur none or two singularities. By referring to Eq. (37) and Eq. (38), it becomes evident that this horizon can only be event and not cosmological. Out of these two cases, the first one is only viable because in the other both the singularities are naked. These two cases are shown in Fig. (5) for $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$. For the first option, the NUT charge is constrained as $\{36/\Lambda\}^{1/4} \simeq 4.95M \leq l \lesssim 5.17M$ while for the second, $5.17M \lesssim l < \infty$.



(a) In the above figure, there exist both the horizons, event and cosmological for $l = 1.56M$. There are also three singularities, and two of which are naked, as they are not covered by the event horizon. Therefore, this option is not physically viable and hence ruled out.



(b) This is a physically viable case, as the singularity is covered by the event horizon and hence not naked. In addition to the event horizon, the cosmological horizon is also present. The NUT charge is fixed at $l = 1.82M$.



(c) This is a non-viable possibility where a naked singularity is present, as there is no horizon. To reproduce the above plot, we choose $l = 3.5M$.

Figure 2: The above figures demonstrate three possible cases with $\lambda < 12$, and each of the figures has $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$.






Figure 3: It is shown that the singularity is always naked and there is neither event nor cosmological horizon. Here we set $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$ and $l = 4M$. Given that the singularity is naked, the spacetime is not physically viable.



(a) In this example, the spacetime has two singularities which are naked and hence it is physically ruled out. The value of the NUT charge is fixed at $l = 4.5M$.

(b) In this case, there occur neither singularity nor horizons and hence it presents an interesting possibility where the spacetime is regular everywhere. Here we have set $l = 4.9M$.

Figure 4: The above figure illustrate both the possibilities for $24 \leq \lambda \leq 36$ where we have set $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$.



(a) In the above figure, the spacetime is free of singularity and contains the event horizon, and therefore, the spacetime is physically viable. The NUT charge is fixed at $l = 4.96M$.

(b) In this case, both the singularities are naked and hence physically unacceptable. We fix the NUT charge at $l = 6M$.

Figure 5: The above figures illustrate the two examples with $\lambda > 36$, where we fix the cosmological constant $\Lambda M^4 = 0.06$.

6 Discussion and conclusion

In this paper we have found an exact solution of pure GB Λ -vacuum equation describing a black hole with NUT charge. Its horizon has product, $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ topology. This setting of pure GB and product topology has characteristic properties. One, $\Lambda > 0$ is required for large r validity of the solution and two, occurrence of non-central singularity when discriminant vanishes. A suitable parameter window has to be found for which either non-central singularity does not occur; i.e., discriminant > 0 or if it does, it is hidden behind event horizon [9, 21]. This is so even in absence of NUT parameter [30]. NUT charge brings in its own further complexities. It however removes central singularity, but structure of non-central singularity and horizons becomes highly involved.

Thus occurrence of non-central singularity is a generic feature of pure GB black hole with product topology. The most troublesome part is how to avoid naked singularity given by Eq. (34), $h(r) = 0$. This is a polynomial equation which may have number of positive roots which have to be avoided or to be covered by event horizon so that physically accessible region is good and regular.

Another point of concern is the horizon equation, Eq. (36), which has either one, two or none positive roots. Since $\Lambda > 0$ is present, there should occur both event and cosmological horizons. That happens only for the dimensionless parameter, $\lambda = \Lambda l^4 < 12$. It turns out that for this range, there occurs only one singularity which could indeed be hidden behind the event horizon as shown in Fig. (2b). This is the most acceptable black hole spacetime with expected behaviour of singularity being covered by the event horizon so that physically accessible region bounded by event and cosmological horizons is benign and regular.

On the other hand for $\lambda > 36$, there occurs only event horizon and neither singularity nor cosmological horizon occur as depicted in Fig. (5a). This is rather unusual because $\Lambda > 0$ should generally give cosmological horizon.

It turns out that there can occur no horizon for the entire range, $12 \leq l \leq 36$ while in its sub range $24 \leq l \leq 36$, there is a possibility of having no singularity either. This then presents a remarkably

intriguing situation of spacetime being free of both horizon as well as singularity; i.e. regular everywhere for $0 \leq r \leq \infty$. This is all very fine except one doesn't understand where and how does its source reside? Is it cosmological or describes a compact object? It therefore calls for further investigation for clearer understanding which we intend to take up in future.

It is only the case of $l < 12$ which describes a NUT black hole with both event and cosmological horizons and the region enclosed by them is regular, free of singularity. In the other two cases, one of all through regular spacetime without horizon and the other of black hole having only the event but no cosmological horizon, the situation is rather unusual and hence further study is called for their overall physical understanding. However it is remarkable that despite there being severe restrictions imposed by singularity and horizon equations Eq. (34) and Eq. (36) respectively, yet there exists a parameter window giving a well behaved and physically viable black hole spacetime.

In the above discussion, it is the dimensionless parameter, $\lambda = \Lambda l^4$, which plays the determining role in occurrence of horizon and singularity. In contrast to the black hole charge parameters, M and l , it is curiously interesting that this hybrid parameter arising from clubbing of l and Λ determines the overall physical character of spacetime. For $\lambda < 12$, both event and cosmological horizons occur, then they both disappear in the intermediate range, $12 \leq \lambda \leq 36$ and finally for $\lambda > 36$ only event horizon reappears. It is the combined effect of l , Λ and product topology in pure Gauss-Bonnet framework. It is rather hard to entangle various effects, all that happens is that the hybrid dimensionless parameter λ acquires the determining role.

On the way to the solution we have also established two interesting general results. One concerns the product topology, why is it that all known NUT solutions [44, 45] in higher dimensions always have product topology? We prove that it is due to radial symmetry which is primary to NUT spacetime. Recall that NUT spacetime is the most general radially symmetric vacuum solution when asymptotic flatness condition is lifted off [29]. That is, radial symmetry is its defining property. Interestingly it turns out that NUT spacetime can only preserve it for $S^{(2)}$ or its product topology and none else. In four dimension, this is the only topology available for black hole horizon while in higher dimensions there are multiple choices, for instance in six dimensions it could be $S^{(4)}$ or $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ or $S^{(1)} \times S^{(3)}$. The remarkable feature is that NUT parameter and radial symmetry can coexist only with $S^{(2)}$ or its product topology. Hence for six dimensions it has to be $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$ and for eight, it would be $S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)} \times S^{(2)}$. It is therefore a general and remarkable result for NUT spacetime in higher dimensions. We believe that this has been explicitly proven as a general result for the first time.

Another general result concerns spherical symmetry vacuum (including Λ and electrovac) equation. For static spacetime there are only three independent Einstein (or its Lovelock analogue) vacuum (also including Λ and Maxwell field) equations of which the two get related by the null energy condition. Of the remaining two, one is a first order differential expression while the other is its derivative, Eq. (19). The solution is then easily obtained by solving the first order equation. Note that Eq. (19) is true in general for spherical symmetry in all situations. We believe that this general result is displayed as such to best of our knowledge for the first time.

Finally we end up with the important question that has emerged in this investigation, how does compatibility of radial symmetry picks out $S^{(2)}$ or its product topology for NUT black hole horizon? It may be noted that unlike mass and electric charge but instead like rotation, NUT parameter is an involved parameter that also participates in defining spacetime geometry. The former two appear only as source in potential while the latter two also define space geometry through $r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$ or $r^2 + l^2$. Is that how the question of compatibility of radial symmetry and horizon topology arises? This is the question that would keep us engaged and hopefully we may have something interesting to share in future.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Sumanta Chakraborty for useful discussions. S.M. wishes to thank Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for financial support.

References

- [1] R. A. Hulse and J. H. Taylor, “Discovery of a pulsar in a binary system,” *Astrophys. J.* **195** (1975) L51–L53.
- [2] C. W. F. Everitt *et al.*, “Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 221101, [arXiv:1105.3456 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [3] **Virgo, LIGO Scientific** Collaboration, B. P. Abbott *et al.*, “Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 6, (2016) 061102, [arXiv:1602.03837 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [4] **COBE** Collaboration, G. F. Smoot *et al.*, “Structure in the COBE differential microwave radiometer first year maps,” *Astrophys. J.* **396** (1992) L1–L5.
- [5] **Supernova Cosmology Project** Collaboration, S. Perlmutter *et al.*, “Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae,” *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565–586, [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [6] **Supernova Search Team** Collaboration, A. G. Riess *et al.*, “Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant,” *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009–1038, [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [7] E. Berti, E. Barausse, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, P. Pani, U. Sperhake, L. C. Stein, N. Wex, K. Yagi, T. Baker, *et al.*, “Testing general relativity with present and future astrophysical observations,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** no. 24, (2015) 243001.
- [8] S. Jana and S. Mohanty, “Constraints on $f(R)$ theories of gravity from GW170817,” *Phys. Rev.* **D99** no. 4, (2019) 044056, [arXiv:1807.04060 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [9] N. Dadhich and J. M. Pons, “Static pure Lovelock black hole solutions with horizon topology $S^{(n)} \times S^{(n)}$,” *JHEP* **05** (2015) 067, [arXiv:1503.00974 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [10] K. S. Stelle, “Classical Gravity with Higher Derivatives,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **9** (1978) 353–371.
- [11] R. H. Brandenberger, V. F. Mukhanov, and A. Sornborger, “A Cosmological theory without singularities,” *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 1629–1642, [arXiv:gr-qc/9303001 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [12] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, “ $f(R)$ Theories Of Gravity,” *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **82** (2010) 451–497, [arXiv:0805.1726 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [13] D. Lovelock, “The Einstein tensor and its generalizations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 498–501.
- [14] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models,” *Phys.Rept.* **505** (2011) 59–144, [arXiv:1011.0544 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [15] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, “ $f(R)$ theories,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **13** (2010) 3, [arXiv:1002.4928 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] S. Chakraborty and S. SenGupta, “Solving higher curvature gravity theories,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 10, (2016) 552, [arXiv:1604.05301 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [17] S. Datta and S. Bose, “Quasi-normal Modes of Static Spherically Symmetric Black Holes in $f(R)$ Theory,” [arXiv:1904.01519 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [18] J. Vainio and I. Vilja, “ $f(R)$ gravity constraints from gravitational waves,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **49** no. 8, (2017) 99, [arXiv:1603.09551 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [19] B. Zwiebach, “Curvature Squared Terms and String Theories,” *Phys. Lett.* **156B** (1985) 315–317.
- [20] N. Deruelle and L. Farina-Busto, “The Lovelock Gravitational Field Equations in Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 3696.
- [21] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, “String Generated Gravity Models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** (1985) 2656.
- [22] N. Dadhich, S. G. Ghosh, and S. Jhingan, “The Lovelock gravity in the critical spacetime dimension,” *Phys. Lett.* **B711** (2012) 196–198, [arXiv:1202.4575 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] X. O. Camanho and N. Dadhich, “On Lovelock analogs of the Riemann tensor,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 3, (2016) 149, [arXiv:1503.02889 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] N. Dadhich, S. G. Ghosh, and S. Jhingan, “Bound orbits and gravitational theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88** no. 12, (2013) 124040, [arXiv:1308.4770 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [25] R. Gannouji, Y. Rodríguez Baez, and N. Dadhich, “Pure Lovelock black holes in dimensions $d = 3N + 1$ are stable,” *Phys. Rev.* **D100** no. 8, (2019) 084011, [arXiv:1907.09503 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [26] R.-G. Cai and N. Ohta, “Black Holes in Pure Lovelock Gravities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 064001, [arXiv:hep-th/0604088](#).
- [27] C. Garraffo and G. Giribet, “The Lovelock Black Holes,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **23** (2008) 1801–1818, [arXiv:0805.3575 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [28] N. Dadhich, J. M. Pons, and K. Prabhu, “On the static Lovelock black holes,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **45** (2013) 1131–1144, [arXiv:1201.4994 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] D. Lynden-Bell and M. Nouri-Zonoz, “Classical monopoles: Newton, NUT space, gravimagnetic lensing and atomic spectra,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70** (1998) 427–446, [arXiv:gr-qc/9612049 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [30] J. M. Pons and N. Dadhich, “On static black holes solutions in Einstein and Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity with topology $\mathbf{S}^n \times \mathbf{S}^n$,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** no. 6, (2015) 280, [arXiv:1408.6754 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] E. Newman, L. Tamubirino, and T. Unti, “Empty space generalization of the Schwarzschild metric,” *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 915.
- [32] C. Chakraborty and S. Bhattacharyya, “Does the gravitomagnetic monopole exist? A clue from a black hole x-ray binary,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 4, (2018) 043021, [arXiv:1712.01156 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).

- [33] C. Chakraborty and S. Bhattacharyya, “Circular orbits in Kerr-Taub-NUT spacetime and their implications for accreting black holes and naked singularities,” *JCAP* **05** (2019) 034, [arXiv:1901.04233 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [34] Z. Y. Turakulov and N. Dadhich, “A New stationary vacuum solution dual to the Kerr solution,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** (2001) 1959–1962, [arXiv:gr-qc/0106042 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [35] N. Dadhich and Z. Turakulov, “Gravitational field of a rotating gravitational dyon,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** (2002) 1091–1096, [arXiv:gr-qc/0104027](#).
- [36] N. Dadhich and Z. Ya. Turakulov, “The Most general axially symmetric electrovac space-time admitting separable equations of motion,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 2765, [arXiv:gr-qc/0112031 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [37] S. Mukherjee, S. Chakraborty, and N. Dadhich, “On some novel features of the Kerr–Newman-NUT spacetime,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 2, (2019) 161, [arXiv:1807.02216 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [38] S. Mukherjee and N. Dadhich, “Manuscript in preparation..” 2020.
- [39] T. Padmanabhan and D. Kothawala, “Lanczos-Lovelock models of gravity,” *Phys. Rept.* **531** (2013) 115–171, [arXiv:1302.2151 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] N. Dadhich, “Einstein is Newton with space curved,” [arXiv:1206.0635 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] M. H. Dehghani and R. B. Mann, “NUT-charged black holes in Gauss-Bonnet gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 124006, [arXiv:hep-th/0510083 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] A. Molina, N. Dadhich, and A. Khugaev, “Buchdahl-Vaidya-Tikekar model for stellar interior in pure Lovelock gravity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **49** no. 7, (2017) 96, [arXiv:1607.06229 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] N. Dadhich, “Characterization of the Lovelock gravity by Bianchi derivative,” *Pramana* **74** (2010) 875–882, [arXiv:0802.3034 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] D. Flores-Alfonso and H. Quevedo, “Topological characterization of higher-dimensional charged Taub–NUT instantons,” *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **16** no. 10, (2019) 1950154, [arXiv:1806.10135 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] A. Awad and A. Chamblin, “A Bestiary of higher dimensional Taub - NUT AdS space-times,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 2051–2062, [arXiv:hep-th/0012240](#).