

# Higher-derivative Heterotic Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy

Eric Lescano<sup>†</sup> and Jesús A. Rodríguez<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE-CONICET-UBA)  
*Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón IAFE, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

<sup>\*</sup> Departamento de Física, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA)  
*Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón 1, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

elescano@iafe.uba.ar, jarodriguez@df.uba.ar

## Abstract

The Generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz (GKSA) is a powerful tool for constructing exact solutions in Double Field Theory (DFT). In this paper we focus in the heterotic formulation of DFT, considering up to four-derivative terms in the action principle, while the field content is perturbed by the GKSA. We study the inclusion of the generalized version of the Green-Schwarz mechanism to this setup, in order to reproduce the low energy effective heterotic supergravity upon parametrization. This formalism reproduces higher-derivative heterotic background solutions perturbed by a pair of null vectors. Then we study higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy structure. We use an orthogonality condition between the null vectors to impose linear perturbations on the metric and the b-field. We reproduce higher-derivative solutions for the gravity equations in terms of a pair of U(1) gauge vectors in agreement with the KLT relation for heterotic string theory.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Higher-derivative heterotic supergravity</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Action and field content for background fields . . . . .	4
2.2	Perturbing the background . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Generalized metric formulation . . . . .	7
3.2	Generalized flux formulation . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Higher-derivative Double Field Theory</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Biparametric corrections . . . . .	15
4.2	Generalized Green-Schwarz transformations . . . . .	17
<b>5</b>	<b>Heterotic parametrization</b>	<b>18</b>
5.1	Field Redefinitions . . . . .	20
5.2	Higher-derivative ansatz . . . . .	20
<b>6</b>	<b>Classical Double Copy</b>	<b>21</b>
6.1	Generalized Kerr-Schild ansatz . . . . .	21
6.2	Kerr-Schild ansatz . . . . .	23
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Results of Section 6.1</b>	<b>25</b>

# 1 Introduction

Double Field Theory (DFT) [1, 2] is a duality symmetric formalism that can be understood as a generalization of  $D$ -dimensional Riemannian geometry, manifestly invariant under the action of  $O(D, D)$ . This group is closely related with an exact symmetry of String Theory [3]. One of the most exciting features of this formalism is that the space-time must be doubled to accomplish  $O(D, D)$  as a global symmetry of the theory [4]. The generalized coordinates of the double space  $X^M = (x^\mu, \tilde{x}_\mu)$  are in the fundamental representation of  $O(D, D)$ , where  $\tilde{x}_\mu$  is the extra set of coordinates and  $M = 0, \dots, 2D - 1$ . However, the theory is constrained by the section condition (or strong constraint), which effectively removes the dependence on  $\tilde{x}_\mu$ .

The fundamental bosonic fields consist of a symmetric generalized tensor  $H_{MN}(X)$  and a generalized scalar  $d_o(X)$ . While the former is a multiplet of the duality group, the latter is an invariant, and they are usually referred as the generalized metric and the generalized dilaton. On the other hand, DFT can easily describe the low energy limit of Heterotic String Theory [5]. This formalism requires a generalized frame [6] instead of a generalized metric to embed the gravitational degrees of freedom of the theory. A similar approach is the flux formulation of DFT [7].

One important issue that can be studied with DFT is the incorporation of higher derivative terms in supergravity frameworks. In [8] a biparametric family of higher-derivative duality invariant theories was presented. For particular values of the parameters, the higher-derivative contributions reproduce the  $\alpha'$ -corrections of the heterotic supergravity, also studied in [9].

In this work we are interested in considering  $H_{MN}$  and  $d_o$  as arbitrary background fields that can be perturbed. We focus in the Generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz (GKSA) introduced in [10], which is an exact and linear perturbation of the generalized background metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = H_{MN} + \kappa \bar{K}_M K_N + \kappa K_M \bar{K}_N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\kappa$  is an arbitrary parameter that allows to quantify the order of the perturbations

and  $\bar{K}_M = \bar{P}_M{}^N \bar{K}_N$  and  $K_M = P_M{}^N K_N$  are a pair of generalized null vectors

$$\eta^{MN} \bar{K}_M \bar{K}_N = \eta^{MN} K_M K_N = \eta^{MN} \bar{K}_M K_N = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\eta_{MN}$  is an  $O(D, D)$  invariant metric and  $\bar{P}_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{MN} + H_{MN})$  and  $P_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{MN} - H_{MN})$  are used to project the  $O(D, D)$  indices. This ansatz (plus a generalized dilaton perturbation) is the duality invariant analogous of the ordinary Kerr-Schild ansatz [11] [12], and the inclusion of a pair of generalized null vectors is closely related with the chiral structure of DFT. This duality invariant ansatz was recently used in different context as exceptional field theory [13], supersymmetry [14], among others [15].

In [16] a consistent way to impose the GKSA in a two-derivative heterotic DFT framework was described. In that work the authors considered a generalized metric approach to recover the leading order contributions. Here we extend the formalism by considering higher-derivative terms in the flux formulation of DFT. We use a systematic method for obtaining these corrections, closely related with [17]. Higher-derivative contributions require agreement between the ansatz and the generalized version of the Green-Schwarz mechanism as we show.

As an application we study higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy [18]<sup>1</sup> in a heterotic supergravity background. We consider the case  $l \cdot \bar{l} = 0$  in order to have linear perturbations on the metric and b-field,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{o\mu\nu} - \kappa l_{(\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu)}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$b_{\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} - \kappa l_{[\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu]}. \quad (1.4)$$

We focus in the  $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-)ab} R^{(-)\mu\nu}{}_{ab}$  contributions with  $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = H_{o\mu\nu\rho} = 0$  and we obtain the leading four-derivative corrections to the single copy and zeroth copy. These are  $\kappa^2$  corrections to the Maxwell-like equations that described the gravity sector after identifying the null vectors with a pair of  $U(1)$  gauge fields, in agreement with the KLT relation for heterotic string theory. The particular case where  $l_\mu = \bar{l}_\mu$  and therefore  $A_\mu = \bar{A}_\mu$  is also presented.

This work is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the field content, the symmetries and the action principle of the low energy effective heterotic supergravity,

---

<sup>1</sup>See also [19] for recent approaches to this topic.

considering up to four-derivative terms in the action principle. Section 3 is dedicated to explore the extension of the GKSA to the flux formalism of DFT. First we review the generalized metric formalism and then we discuss the generalized frame formalism that is necessary to construct the generalized fluxes. In Section 4 we start by considering multiplets of  $O(D, D + K)$ , and we perform a suitable breaking to  $O(D, D)$  by identifying the extra gauge field with a particular flux component. With this method we obtain the higher-derivative extension to DFT. Here we choose the free parameters of the construction to match with the heterotic DFT formulation. In Section 5 we parametrize the theory in terms of the field content of heterotic supergravity. We discuss about the tension between the perturbation of the gravitational sector in terms of a pair of null vector with respect to the absence of a perturbation for the gauge sector. Then we apply our formalism in Section 6 to explore higher-derivative corrections to the heterotic Classical Double Copy. Finally, in Section 7, we present the conclusions of the work.

## 2 Higher-derivative heterotic supergravity

In the first part of this section we review the  $D = 10$  heterotic supergravity considering  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  contributions, according to [20]<sup>2</sup>. Then we impose the supergravity version of the GKSA to perturb the background fields.

### 2.1 Action and field content for background fields

The low energy effective action that describes  $D = 10$  heterotic string theory to first order in  $\alpha'$  is

$$\int_{M_{10}} e^{-2\phi_o} \left( R_o - 4\partial_\mu \phi_o \partial^\mu \phi_o - \frac{1}{12} \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} \hat{H}_o^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} (F_{o\mu\nu i} F_o^{\mu\nu i} + R_o^{(-)ab} R_o^{(-)\mu\nu ab}) \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu = 0, \dots, 9$ ,  $a = 0, \dots, 9$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with  $n$  the dimension of the Yang-Mills group, typically  $n = 496$ . The 10-dimensional background fields consist of a background metric  $g_{o\mu\nu} = e_{o\mu a} \eta^{ab} e_{o\nu b}$ , the background Kalb-Ramond field  $b_{o\mu\nu}$ , the background gauge field  $A_{o\mu i}$  and the background dilaton  $\phi_o$ . The action (2.1) is written in terms of the

---

<sup>2</sup>We take  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1$  to simplify notation. Conventions for the field content follows [21]

curvatures of the previous fields, *i. e.*,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} &= 3 \left[ \partial_{[\mu} b_{o\nu\rho]} - \left( A_{o[\mu}^i \partial_{\nu]} A_{o\rho]i} - \frac{1}{3} f_{ijk} A_{o\mu}^i A_{o\nu}^j A_{o\rho}^k \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left( \partial_{[\mu} \Omega_{o\nu}^{(-)cd} \Omega_{o\rho]cd} + \frac{2}{3} \Omega_{o\mu}^{(-)ab} \Omega_{o\nu bc}^{(-)} \Omega_{o\rho}^{(-)c}{}^a \right) \right], \\
F_{o\mu\nu}^i &= 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{o\nu]}^i - f^i{}_{jk} A_{o\mu}^j A_{o\nu}^k, \\
R_{o\mu\nu ab}^{(-)} &= (-2\partial_{[\mu} w_{o\nu]ab} + 2w_{o[\mu|a}{}^c w_{o|\nu]cb}), \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where the spin and Hull connections are defined as

$$w_{o\mu a}{}^b = -e_{o a}^\nu \partial_\mu e_{o\nu}{}^b + \Gamma_{o\mu\nu}^\sigma e_{o\sigma}{}^b e_{o a}^\nu, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Omega_{o\mu ab}^{(-)} = w_{o\mu ab} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} e_{o a}^\nu e_{o b}^\rho, \tag{2.4}$$

and the Christoffel connection is

$$\Gamma_{o\mu\nu}^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} g_o^{\sigma\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{o\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{o\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{o\mu\nu}). \tag{2.5}$$

The action principle (2.1) is invariant under Lorentz transformations. These transformations acting on a generic vector  $V_a$  reads

$$\delta_\Lambda V_a = V_b \Lambda^b{}_a, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\Lambda_{ab} = -\Lambda_{ba}$  is the Lorentz parameter. Contraction of indices in (2.6) make use of the Lorentz metric  $\eta_{ab}$ .

When higher-derivative terms are considered, the invariance of (2.1) requires that the Kalb-Ramond field transforms with a non-covariant transformation, namely,

$$\delta_\Lambda b_{o\mu\nu} = -\Omega_{o[\mu}^{(-)ab} \partial_{\nu]} \Lambda_{ab}. \tag{2.7}$$

The previous transformation is known as the Green-Schwarz mechanism and it is a higher-derivative transformation that cannot be removed with field redefinitions.

The equations of motion for this setup are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi_o &= R_o + 4g_o^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi_o - \partial_\mu\phi_o\partial_\nu\phi_o) - \frac{1}{12}g_o^{\mu\sigma}g_o^{\nu\tau}g_o^{\rho\xi}\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho}\hat{H}_{o\sigma\tau\xi} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}g_o^{\mu\rho}g_o^{\nu\sigma}F_{o\mu\nu}{}^iF_{o\rho\sigma i} - \frac{1}{4}g_o^{\mu\rho}g_o^{\nu\sigma}R_{o\mu\nu ab}^{(-)}R_{o\rho\sigma}^{(-)ab} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g_{o\mu\nu} &= R_{o\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi_o - \frac{1}{4}g_o^{\sigma\tau}g_o^{\lambda\xi}\hat{H}_{o\sigma\lambda\mu}\hat{H}_{o\tau\xi\nu} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}g_o^{\sigma\tau}F_{o\sigma\mu i}F_{o\tau\nu}{}^i - \frac{1}{2}g_o^{\sigma\tau}R_{o\sigma\mu ab}^{(-)}R_{o\tau\nu}^{(-)ab} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Delta b_{o\mu\nu} = g_o^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\rho\left(e^{-2\phi_o}\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\sigma}\right) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Delta A_{o\nu}{}^i = g_o^{\rho\mu}\nabla_\rho^{(+,A)}\left(e^{-2\phi_o}F_{o\mu\nu}{}^i\right) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where we have defined

$$\nabla_\rho^{(+,A)}F_{o\mu\nu}{}^i = \partial_\rho F_{o\mu\nu}{}^i - \Gamma_{o\rho\mu}^{(+)\sigma}F_{o\sigma\nu}{}^i - \Gamma_{o\rho\nu}^{(+)\sigma}F_{o\mu\sigma}{}^i - f_{jk}{}^i A_{o\rho}{}^j F_{o\mu\nu}{}^k, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Gamma_{o\mu\nu}^{(+)\rho} = \Gamma_{o\mu\nu}^\rho + \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\sigma}g_o^{\sigma\rho}, \quad (2.13)$$

which covariantizes the derivative with respect to ten dimensional diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations using the Christoffel and gauge connection respectively. Here we mention that the equations (2.8)-(2.11) are not strictly the ones obtained from variations of the action with respect to the fundamental fields, but combinations of them.

## 2.2 Perturbing the background

Now we are interested in imposing the supergravity version of the GKSA on the previous formulation. For simplicity we study solutions with around a Minkowski background  $g_{0\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  and we do not consider perturbations of the gauge field, *i.e.*,  $A_{\mu i} = A_{o\mu i}$ . The inverse of the 10-dimensional background metric is perturbed as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \kappa l^{(\mu}\bar{l}^{\nu)}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $l_\mu$  and  $\bar{l}_\mu$  are null vectors with respect to  $g^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ , *i.e.*,

$$l_\mu l_\nu g^{\mu\nu} = l_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} l_\nu = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\bar{l}_\mu \bar{l}_\nu g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{l}_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{l}_\nu = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The previous objects also satisfy the following relations

$$\bar{l}^\nu \partial_\nu l_\mu = 0, \quad l^\nu \partial_\nu \bar{l}_\mu = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

which reduce to the standard geodesic condition for flat backgrounds when  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$  are identified [10]. The perturbation of the Kalb-Ramond field is given by

$$b_{\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} - \frac{\kappa}{1 + \frac{1}{2}\kappa l \cdot \bar{l}} l_{[\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu]}, \quad (2.18)$$

while the perturbation of the ten-dimensional vielbein is

$$e_\mu^a = e_{o\mu}^a - \frac{\kappa}{2 + \kappa(l \cdot \bar{l})} l_{(\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu)} e_o^{\nu a} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2 + \kappa(l \cdot \bar{l})} \right)^2 (l \cdot \bar{l}) l_\mu l_\nu e_o^{\nu a}, \quad (2.19)$$

and the dilaton is perturbed as showed in [14].

Inspecting the Lorentz transformation rules for the exact fields we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Lambda e^\mu_a &= e^{\mu b} \Lambda_{ba}, \\ \delta_\Lambda b_{\mu\nu} &= -\Omega_{[\mu}^{(-)ab} \partial_{\nu]} \Lambda_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

while the dilaton and the gauge field are Lorentz invariant. Since  $l_a = e^\mu_a l_\mu$  and  $\bar{l}_a = e^\mu_a \bar{l}_\mu$  transform as Lorentz vectors, the background  $b_{o\mu\nu}$  receives  $\kappa$ -corrections to its Green-Schwarz transformation given by the  $\kappa$ -corrections induce in the Hull connection,

$$\delta_\Lambda b_{o\mu\nu} = -(\Omega_{[\mu}^{(-)ab} - \Omega_{o[\mu}^{(-)ab}) \partial_{\nu]} \Lambda_{ab}. \quad (2.21)$$

## 3 Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz

### 3.1 Generalized metric formulation

In this section we review DFT and the GKSA following the conventions of [14]. The GKSA was formulated in [10] as an exact and linear perturbation of the generalized background metric  $H_{MN}$  ( $M, N = 0, \dots, 2D - 1$ ) and an exact perturbation of the generalized background dilaton  $d_o$ . We work with arbitrary  $D$  until parametrization.



Since the generalized metric is an  $O(D, D)$  element, its perturbation has the following form

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = H_{MN} + \kappa(\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\bar{K}_M = \bar{P}_M{}^N \bar{K}_N$  and  $K_M = P_M{}^N K_N$  are a pair of generalized null vectors

$$\eta^{MN} \bar{K}_M \bar{K}_N = \eta^{MN} K_M K_N = \eta^{MN} \bar{K}_M K_N = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

According to (3.1), the DFT projectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{MN} &= P_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N) \\ \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{MN} &= \bar{P}_{MN} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\bar{K}_M K_N + K_M \bar{K}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Each  $O(D, D)$  multiplet can be written as a sum over its projections,

$$V_M = P_M{}^N V_N + \bar{P}_M{}^N V_N = V_{\underline{M}} + V_{\overline{M}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $V_M$  is a generic double vector. When we use the underline and overline notation, we consider the background projectors  $P_{MN}$  and  $\bar{P}_{MN}$ .

The generalized background dilaton can be perturbed with a generic  $\kappa$  expansion,

$$d = d_o + \kappa f, \quad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa^n f_n, \quad (3.5)$$

with  $n \geq 0$ .

Mimicking the ordinary Kerr-Schild ansatz, the generalized vectors  $K_M$ ,  $\bar{K}_M$  and  $f$  obey some conditions in order to produce finite deformations in the DFT action and EOM's. If we consider a generic double vector  $V_N$ , the covariant derivative can be defined as

$$\nabla_M V_N = \partial_M V_N - \Gamma_{MN}{}^P V_P, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\Gamma_{MNP}$  is the generalized affine connection. Demanding

$$\nabla_M \mathcal{H}_{NP} = 0, \quad \nabla_M \eta_{NP} = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

and a vanishing generalized torsion

$$\Gamma_{[MNP]} = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

the following projections of  $\Gamma_{MNP} = \Gamma_{MPN}$  are well-defined and can be perturbed,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\underline{MN}\bar{Q}} &= -\bar{\mathcal{P}}_Q{}^R \mathcal{P}_M{}^S \partial_S \mathcal{P}_{RN}, & \Gamma_{\overline{MN}\underline{Q}} &= \bar{\mathcal{P}}_N{}^R \bar{\mathcal{P}}_M{}^S \partial_S \mathcal{P}_{RQ}, \\ \Gamma_{\underline{M}\overline{N}\underline{Q}} &= 2\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{[N}{}^R \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{Q]}{}^S \partial_S \mathcal{P}_{RM}, & \Gamma_{\overline{M}\underline{N}\underline{Q}} &= 2\bar{\mathcal{P}}_M{}^R \mathcal{P}_{[N}{}^S \partial_S \mathcal{P}_{Q]R}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

Similarly to Riemannian geometry, the generalized Ricci scalar and the generalized Ricci tensor can be constructed from different (determined) projections of the generalized affine connection. Following the original construction of the GKSA we impose,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{K}^P \partial_P K^M + K_P \partial^M \bar{K}^P - K^P \partial_P \bar{K}^M &= 0, \\ K^P \partial_P \bar{K}^M + \bar{K}_P \partial^M K^P - \bar{K}^P \partial_P K^M &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

and

$$K^M \partial_M f = \bar{K}^M \partial_M f = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.8), we can change  $\partial \rightarrow \nabla$  in (3.10) obtaining,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{K}^P \nabla_P K^M + K_P \nabla^M \bar{K}^P - K^P \nabla_P \bar{K}^M &= 0, \\ K^P \nabla_P \bar{K}^M + \bar{K}_P \nabla^M K^P - \bar{K}^P \nabla_P K^M &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (3.12)$$

In the next part we explore how the previous conditions appear in the flux formalism of DFT [7]. Then we explicitly compute the EOM's of the field content of DFT when we impose the GKSA in this formalism.

### 3.2 Generalized flux formulation

The generalized flux formulation of DFT is closely related with the generalized frame formulation introduced in [6]. The latter is compatible with the GKSA if we consider perturbations of the form,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_M{}^{\bar{A}} &= E_M{}^{\bar{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa E_M{}^{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{B}} \bar{K}^{\bar{A}}, \\ \mathcal{E}_M{}^{\underline{A}} &= E_M{}^{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa E_M{}^{\bar{B}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} K^{\underline{A}},\end{aligned}\quad (3.13)$$

where  $K_A = \mathcal{E}^M{}_{\underline{A}} K_M = E^M{}_{\underline{A}} K_M$  and  $\bar{K}_{\bar{A}} = \mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}_M = E^M{}_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}_M$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{MA}$  is an  $O(D, D)/O(D-1, 1)_L \times O(1, D-1)_R$  frame. In this formulation  $\underline{A} = 0, \dots, D-1$  and  $\bar{A} = 0, \dots, D-1$  are  $O(D-1, 1)_L$  and  $O(1, D-1)_R$  indices, respectively.

We can define flat invariant projectors as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{AB} &= \mathcal{E}_{M\underline{A}}\mathcal{E}^M_{\underline{B}} = P_{AB}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{AB} &= \mathcal{E}_{M\bar{\underline{A}}}\mathcal{E}^M_{\bar{\underline{B}}} = \bar{P}_{AB},\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

where  $P_{AB} = E_{M\underline{A}}E^M_{\underline{B}}$  and  $\bar{P}_{AB} = E_{M\bar{\underline{A}}}E^M_{\bar{\underline{B}}}$  are the standard DFT flat projectors. Using these projectors we can construct two invariant metrics,

$$\eta_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{MA}\eta^{MN}\mathcal{E}_{NB} = E_{MA}\eta^{MN}E_{NB},\tag{3.15}$$

$$H_{AB} = \mathcal{E}_{MA}\mathcal{H}^{MN}\mathcal{E}_{NB} = E_{MA}H^{MN}E_{NB}.\tag{3.16}$$

The flat covariant derivative acting on a generic vector  $V_B$  is

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_B = \mathcal{E}_A V_B + \mathcal{W}_{AB}{}^C V_C,\tag{3.17}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_A = \sqrt{2}\mathcal{E}^M{}_A\partial_M$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{AB}{}^C$  is the generalized spin connection that satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}_{ABC} = -\mathcal{W}_{ACB} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_{A\bar{B}\underline{C}} = \mathcal{W}_{A\underline{B}\bar{C}} = 0.\tag{3.18}$$

With the help of the generalized frames we can construct the generalized fluxes, which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{ABC} &= 3\mathcal{E}_{[A}(\mathcal{E}^M{}_{B})\mathcal{E}_{MC}], \\ \mathcal{F}_A &= \sqrt{2}e^{2d}\partial_M(\mathcal{E}^M{}_A e^{-2d}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

In the flux formulation of DFT, conditions (3.10) and (3.11) become

$$\begin{aligned}K^{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\bar{C}} + K^{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}}F_{\underline{A}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} &= 0, \\ \bar{K}^{\bar{A}}E_{\bar{A}}K^{\underline{C}} + \bar{K}^{\bar{A}}K^{\underline{B}}F_{\bar{A}\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

and

$$K^{\underline{A}}E_{\underline{A}}f = \bar{K}^{\bar{A}}E_{\bar{A}}f = 0.\tag{3.21}$$

It is straightforward to check that the previous conditions are double Lorentz invariant using

$$\delta_{\Gamma}\mathcal{E}_{MA} = \mathcal{E}_M{}^B\Gamma_{BA}, \quad \delta_{\Gamma}E_{MA} = E_M{}^B\Gamma_{BA}\tag{3.22}$$

where  $\Gamma_{AB} = -\Gamma_{BA}$  is the double Lorentz parameter.

Only the totally antisymmetric and trace parts of  $\mathcal{W}_{ABC}$  can be determined in terms of  $\mathcal{E}_M^A$  and  $d$ ,

$$\mathcal{W}_{[ABC]} = -\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}_{ABC}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{BA}{}^B = -\mathcal{F}_A, \quad (3.24)$$

the latter arising from partial integration with the dilaton density. Using these identifications, conditions (3.20) and (3.21) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\underline{A}}D^A\bar{K}^{\bar{B}} &= \bar{K}_{\bar{A}}D^{\bar{A}}K^{\underline{B}} = 0, \\ K_{\underline{A}}D^A f &= K_{\bar{A}}D^{\bar{A}}f = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where  $D_A$  is the background covariant derivative. As we mentioned before, the generalized Ricci scalar and the generalized Ricci tensor are completely determined in terms of the degrees of freedom of DFT and, particularly, can be written in terms of different projections of the fluxes,

$$\mathcal{R} = 2\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}}\mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}}\mathcal{F}^{\bar{A}BC}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\bar{A}\underline{B}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{A}}\mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} - \mathcal{E}_{\underline{C}}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\underline{B}}{}^{\underline{C}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}\bar{D}\bar{A}}\mathcal{F}^{\bar{D}}{}_{\underline{B}}{}^{\underline{C}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\underline{B}}{}^{\underline{C}}. \quad (3.27)$$

The previous projections of the fluxes can be computed using (3.13) and imposing (3.20) and (3.21),

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} = F_{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{3}{2}\kappa\bar{K}^{\bar{D}}K_{[\underline{A}F_{\underline{BC}]\bar{D}}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} = F_{\underline{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} + \kappa\left(\bar{K}_{[\bar{C}D_{\bar{B}}]K_{\underline{A}}} + K_{\underline{A}}E_{[\bar{B}K_{\bar{C}]}} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{K}^{\bar{D}}K_{\underline{A}}F_{\underline{D}\bar{B}\bar{C}}\right), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} = F_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} - \kappa\left(K_{[\bar{C}D_{\bar{B}}]\bar{K}_{\bar{A}}} + \bar{K}_{\bar{A}}E_{[\bar{B}K_{\bar{C}]}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}}\bar{K}_{\bar{A}}F_{\underline{D}\bar{B}\bar{C}}\right), \quad (3.30)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}} = F_{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\left(K_{\underline{A}}D_{\bar{B}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}} + F_{\bar{B}\underline{A}\bar{C}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}}K^{\underline{C}} + 4D_{\underline{A}}f\right). \quad (3.31)$$

Replacing the previous expressions in (3.26) the generalized Ricci scalar can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = R + \kappa &\left[-K_{\underline{A}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}}E_{\bar{B}}F^{\underline{A}} - D_{\underline{A}}\left(K^{\underline{A}}D_{\bar{B}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}} + F_{\bar{B}}{}^{\underline{A}\bar{C}}\bar{K}^{\bar{B}}K_{\underline{C}}\right) + F^{\bar{A}BC}K_{\underline{C}}D_{\bar{B}}\bar{K}_{\bar{A}}\right. \\ &\left.+ F^{\bar{A}BC}\bar{K}_{\bar{A}}E_{\bar{B}}K_{\underline{C}} - 4D_{\underline{A}}D^{\underline{A}}f\right] + \kappa^2[4E_{\underline{A}}fE^{\underline{A}}f], \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

and therefore in the case  $f = \text{const.}$ , the generalized Ricci scalar can be linearized.

With a similar procedure the generalized Ricci tensor can be written as,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\overline{AB}} = R_{\overline{AB}} + \kappa \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{AB}} + \kappa^2 \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^2)\overline{AB}}, \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{AB}} = & -\frac{1}{2}D_{\overline{A}} \left( K_{\underline{B}} D_{\overline{C}} \overline{K}^{\overline{C}} \right) + \frac{1}{2}E_{\underline{C}} (K_{\underline{C}} D_{\underline{B}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}) - \frac{1}{2}E_{\underline{C}} (K_{\underline{B}} D_{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}) + \frac{1}{2}E_{\underline{C}} (\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{C}}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}E_{\underline{C}} (\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}}) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K^{\underline{C}} E_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}E_{\overline{A}} \overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K^{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} E_{\overline{A}} K^{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}E_{\underline{C}} K^{\underline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}} E_{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K^{\underline{C}} E_{\overline{D}} F_{\overline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}} D_{\underline{B}} \overline{K}_{\overline{E}} F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{B}} D_{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{E}} F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}} E_{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{C}} F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{E}} E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}} F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} D_{\overline{D}} K_{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{D}} D_{\overline{A}} K_{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} + \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}} E_{\overline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} - \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}} E_{\overline{A}} \overline{K}_{\overline{D}} F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{C}} D_{\underline{B}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{B}} - \frac{1}{2}K_{\underline{B}} D_{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F^{\underline{C}} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{C}} F^{\underline{C}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}} F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{E}} K_{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{E}\underline{D}\underline{A}} F_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{C}\overline{D}} + \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{E}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} F^{\underline{C}\overline{E}}_{\overline{A}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}K^{\underline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}} F^{\underline{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{E}} K^{\underline{D}} F_{\underline{E}\underline{D}}^{\underline{C}} F_{\underline{A}\underline{B}\underline{C}} - 2D_{\overline{A}} D_{\underline{B}} f, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^2)\overline{AB}} = & \frac{1}{2}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K^{\underline{C}} (E_{\overline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}) (E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}}) + \frac{1}{4}\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} K^{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} (D_{\overline{D}} E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}K^{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} K_{\underline{B}} (E_{\underline{C}} D_{\overline{D}} \overline{K}^{\overline{D}}) - \frac{1}{4}K^{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} K^{\underline{E}} E_{\underline{C}} (\overline{K}^{\overline{D}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{E}}) \\ & - K^{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} (E_{\underline{C}} D_{\underline{B}} f) + (K_{\underline{B}} D_{\underline{C}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}}) (D^{\underline{C}} f) - (\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{B}} K_{\underline{C}}) (D^{\underline{C}} f) \\ & + (\overline{K}_{\overline{A}} E_{\underline{C}} K_{\underline{B}}) (D^{\underline{C}} f) + (K^{\underline{D}} \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} F_{\underline{D}\underline{B}\underline{C}}) (D^{\underline{C}} f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

As can be appreciated, the EOM of the generalized metric contains quadratic terms even if  $f = 0$ , and unlike general relativity there no exist  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that the quadratic terms can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_{(\kappa^2)\overline{AB}} = \alpha_1 \kappa \overline{K}_{\overline{A}} \overline{K}^{\overline{C}} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{CB}} + \alpha_2 \kappa K_{\underline{B}} K^{\underline{C}} \mathcal{R}_{(\kappa)\overline{AC}}.$$

The previous equation shows that the equation of motion of the generalized metric cannot be linearized when the GKSA is considered. Nevertheless, upon breaking the global O(D,D) invariance and using the equation of motion of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $b_{\mu\nu}$ , it is straightforward to probe that the quadratic contributions vanish when  $f = 0$ , as showed in [10].

## 4 Higher-derivative Double Field Theory

Higher-derivative extensions in DFT were analyzed in several works [8] [9]. An iterative procedure to find an infinite tower of this kind of terms was recently given in [17]. In that work the authors consider an  $O(D, D + K)$  multiplet  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}}$ , which is a generalized metric constrained to be an element of  $O(D, D + K)$  with invariant metric  $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}}$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MP}} \widehat{\eta}^{\mathcal{PQ}} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{NQ}} = \widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}} , \quad (4.1)$$

$\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} = 0, \dots, 2d - 1 + K$ . In this formulation,  $K$  is the dimension of a gauge group  $\mathcal{K}$  and therefore  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$  is parametrized by a generalized metric which is an  $O(D, D)$  element and by a generalized constrained  $O(D, D)$  vector field.

The generalized frame  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}$  relates the generalized metric  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}}$  with the flat generalized metric  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{AB}}$ , and the  $O(D, D + K)$  invariant metric  $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}}$  with its flat version  $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{AB}}$  (which we assume to be constant) as follows

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{AB}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{B}} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{AB}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{B}} . \quad (4.3)$$

Since the idea of this formalism is to cast the  $O(D, D + K)$  formulation in terms of  $O(D, D)$  frame multiplets, the extended Lorentz subgroup  $O(1, D - 1 + K) \rightarrow O(1, D - 1) \times O(K)$  is broken such that the flat indices now split as  $\mathcal{A} = (\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) = (\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\alpha})$ , and transform respectively under  $O(D - 1, 1) \times O(1, D - 1) \times O(K)$ .

Under this splitting we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{MN}} = -\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\underline{\mathcal{A}}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\mathcal{A}}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\overline{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\alpha}} , \quad (4.4)$$

$$\widehat{\eta}_{\mathcal{MN}} = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\underline{\mathcal{A}}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\mathcal{A}}} + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\overline{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{N}\overline{\alpha}} , \quad (4.5)$$

where we use the convention that  $\mathcal{P}_{AB}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{AB}$  and  $\kappa_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}$  raise and lower indices once we parametrize the  $O(D - 1, 1) \times O(1, D - 1 + K)$  projectors as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{AB} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{AB} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (4.6)$$

We introduce the following  $O(D, D)$  multiplets

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} , \quad \mathcal{C}_M^\alpha , \quad d , \quad (4.7)$$

and the  $O(D, D)/O(D-1, 1) \times O(1, D-1)$  frames which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{MN} &= \mathcal{H}_{MP} \eta^{PQ} \mathcal{H}_{NQ} \\ \mathcal{H}_{MN} &= -\mathcal{E}_M^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\underline{A}} + \mathcal{E}_M^{\bar{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}} , \\ \eta_{MN} &= \mathcal{E}_M^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\underline{A}} + \mathcal{E}_M^{\bar{A}} \mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and we demand

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_M^N \mathcal{C}_N^\alpha = 0 , \quad \mathcal{P}_M^N \mathcal{C}_N^\alpha = \mathcal{C}_M^\alpha . \quad (4.9)$$

It is straightforward to find the relation between the  $O(D, D)$  multiplets and the components of the  $O(D, D+K)$  multiplets. Given the following parameterization [22]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M^\beta \\ (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^T)^\alpha_N & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \hat{\eta}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{MN} & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4.10)$$

one obtains a non-polynomial relation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{MN} &= \mathcal{H}_{MN} + 2\mathcal{C}_{M\alpha} (\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C})^{-1\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{C}^T)_{\beta N} , \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M\alpha} &= 2\mathcal{C}_{M\beta} (\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C})^{-1\beta\gamma} \kappa_{\gamma\alpha} , \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha\beta} &= -\kappa_{\alpha\beta} + 2\kappa_{\alpha\gamma} (\kappa + \mathcal{C}^T \eta^{-1} \mathcal{C})^{-1\gamma\delta} \kappa_{\delta\beta} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The tilded fields are also  $O(D, D)$  multiplets, which are constrained by the requirement (4.1), that reads

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_M^P \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{NP} + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N\alpha} = \eta_{MN} , \quad (4.12)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_M^P \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_P^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_M^\beta \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\beta^\alpha = 0 , \quad (4.13)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{P\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^P_\beta + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{\gamma\beta} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} . \quad (4.14)$$

On the other hand introducing the following definitions

$$\Delta_\alpha^\beta = \kappa_\alpha^\beta + \mathcal{C}_{M\alpha} \mathcal{C}^{M\beta} \quad (4.15)$$

$$\Xi_M^N = \eta_M^N + \mathcal{C}_{M\alpha} \mathcal{C}^{N\alpha} \quad (4.16)$$

we can parametrize

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_M^{\bar{A}} &= \mathcal{E}_M^{\bar{A}} \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_M^A &= (\Xi^{-\frac{1}{2}})_M{}^P \mathcal{E}_P^A \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_M^{\bar{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{C}_M{}^\gamma (\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})_{\gamma}{}^\beta e_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}} \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha^{\bar{A}} &= 0 \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha^A &= -\mathcal{E}^{PA} (\Xi^{-\frac{1}{2}})_P{}^Q \mathcal{C}_{Q\alpha} \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha^{\bar{\alpha}} &= (\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})_\alpha{}^\beta e_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}}, \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

which verify (4.4) and (4.5). Here we have introduced a constant  $e_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}}$  that identifies the gauge indices  $\alpha$  with  $\bar{\alpha}$  and satisfies  $e_\alpha^{\bar{\alpha}} \kappa_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} e_{\beta}^{\bar{\beta}} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ .

## 4.1 Biparametric corrections

In this work, we explicitly implement the following identification for the gauge group  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\mathcal{K} = O(1, D-1) \subset O(1, D-1+K), \tag{4.18}$$

which is enough to include 4-derivative terms in the ordinary DFT action, as was discussed in appendix A of [17]. The idea is to identify the gauge degrees of freedom  $\mathcal{C}_M^\alpha$  with (derivatives of) the generalized frame  $\mathcal{E}_M^A$ .

Let us first begin by introducing the generators  $(t_\alpha)_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}$  that relate objects with gauge and  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ -projected adjoint Lorentz indices

$$A_\alpha = (t_\alpha)_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}} A_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}, \quad A_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} = A_\alpha (t_\alpha)_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}}. \tag{4.19}$$

This implies that

$$(t^\alpha)^{\bar{A}\bar{B}} (t_\alpha)_{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = -\delta_{[\bar{C}}^{\bar{A}} \delta_{\bar{D}}^{\bar{B}]}, \quad (t_\alpha)_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} (t_\beta)_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$[t_\alpha, t_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma t_\gamma. \tag{4.21}$$

We define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{A}} \mathcal{C}_M{}^\alpha (t_\alpha)_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \tag{4.22}$$



which we identify with  $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}}$ , as its index structure suggests. It is important to remark that this method is valid only to include four-derivative terms in the action principle, as discussed in [17].

Mimicking the previous procedure, but starting with an  $O(D+K, D)$  invariant theory results in an equivalent  $O(D, D)$  formalism up to a  $Z_2$  transformation. Therefore, the most general higher-order action principle in terms of  $O(D, D)$  fields is a biparametric action with the following form,

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^{2d} X e^{-2d} \left( \mathcal{R} + a\mathcal{R}^{(-)} + b\mathcal{R}^{(+)} \right) \quad (4.23)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^{(+)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{(+)} = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}}) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ACD}} + (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{A}}) \mathcal{F}^{\underline{BCD}} + 2(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{B}} \right. \\ & + (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{ACD}}) (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}}) + (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}}) (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{B}}) + 2(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ACD}} \\ & + (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} - (\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} + 2(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{A}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{B}} \\ & - 4(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}}) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CE}}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{D}}^{\underline{BE}} + \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{AC}}^{\underline{E}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{BED}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{A}} \\ & \left. + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CE}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{BED}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CG}}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{BGD}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CE}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{AED}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CG}}^{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{BGD}} - \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{D}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}^{\underline{ABC}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

in agreement with [8], and was determined through the corrections to the extended generalized fluxes using (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}} &= \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}} + \mathcal{F}_{\underline{AE}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}} &= \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} - \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{DEF}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{EF}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{BC}}^{\underline{D}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{ABC}} &= \mathcal{F}_{\underline{ABC}} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{CD}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{D[AB}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{D}CD} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{E[A}}^{\underline{C}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}}^{\underline{ED}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\underline{C}}^{\underline{CD}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{A}} &= \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}} - \frac{1}{4} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CD}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{B}} + \mathcal{E}_{\underline{B}} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\underline{CD}}^{\underline{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{A}}^{\underline{CD}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$\mathcal{R}^{(-)}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{R}^{(+)}$  modulo a  $Z_2$  transformation. Here  $a$  and  $b$  are undetermined constants and there exists an infinite amount of first-order duality invariant theories, some of them not related to String Theory [23]. In this work we focus in the case  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$  in order to match with the higher-derivative heterotic supergravity after parametrization.

## 4.2 Generalized Green-Schwarz transformations

The biparametric higher-derivative DFT action (4.23) is invariant under generalized Lorentz transformations only if the generalized frame receives a higher-derivative correction to its Lorentz transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{A}} &= -\sqrt{2}\mathcal{E}_M{}^B\mathcal{F}_B{}^{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\mathcal{E}_N{}^{\bar{A}}\partial^N\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}, \\ \delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{A}} &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{F}_A{}^{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{MN}\partial^N\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}},\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

where  $\Gamma_{AB}$  was defined in (3.22). Equations (4.25) mimic a Green-Schwarz mechanism, but in a DFT scenario. Using the previous expressions it is straightforward to obtain the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} &= \sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}}(\partial^N\mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{B}})\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{C}} + 2\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{B}}\mathcal{E}^N{}_{\bar{C}]}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}} \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N[\bar{B}}\partial^N\mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{C}]}\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{A}} - 2(\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{C}}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{B}]})\mathcal{E}^N{}_{\bar{A}}, \\ \delta^{(1)}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} &= \sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}}(\partial^N\mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{B}})\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{C}} + 2\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{B}}\mathcal{E}^N{}_{\bar{C}]}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{A}} \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N[\bar{B}}\partial^N\mathcal{E}^M{}_{\bar{C}]}\mathcal{E}_{M\bar{A}} - 2(\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{C}}\delta^{(1)}\mathcal{E}_{N\bar{B}]})\mathcal{E}^N{}_{\bar{A}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

Imposing the GKSA and demanding

$$\delta^{(1)}K_{\bar{A}} = 0, \quad \delta^{(1)}\bar{K}_{\bar{A}} = 0,\tag{4.27}$$

it is straightforward to obtain the higher-derivative corrections to the generalized Lorentz transformations acting on the backgrounds,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{(1)}E_{M\bar{A}} &= -E_M{}^B F_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} - \kappa E_M{}^B \left( \frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{A}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} - F_{\bar{B}\bar{B}}{}^{\bar{C}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{B}\bar{C}\bar{D}} K_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}^{\bar{B}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} + K_{\bar{B}} E_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} + \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E_{\bar{B}} K_{\bar{B}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \right) \\ &\quad - \kappa^2 E_M{}^B \left( -\frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{B}\bar{B}\bar{C}} K_{\bar{D}} K^{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E^{\bar{D}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\bar{B}\bar{C}\bar{D}} K_{\bar{B}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}^{\bar{B}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} K_{\bar{B}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{A}} E_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} + \frac{1}{2} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}_{\bar{C}} E_{\bar{B}} K_{\bar{B}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(1)} E_{M\bar{A}} = & E_M^{\bar{B}} F_{\bar{A}\bar{C}\bar{D}} E_{\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} + \kappa E_M^{\bar{B}} \left( \frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{A}\bar{C}\bar{D}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} - F_{\bar{C}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{E}\bar{C}\bar{D}} K_{\bar{A}} \bar{K}^{\bar{E}} E_{\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} + K_{\bar{A}} E_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E_{\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} + \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E_{\bar{C}} K_{\bar{A}} E_{\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} \left. \right) \\
& + \kappa^2 E_M^{\bar{B}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} F_{\bar{C}\bar{A}\bar{C}} K_{\bar{D}} K^{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E^{\bar{D}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\bar{E}\bar{C}\bar{D}} K_{\bar{A}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}^{\bar{E}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} K_{\bar{A}} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} E_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} + \frac{1}{2} K_{\bar{C}} \bar{K}_{\bar{B}} \bar{K}_{\bar{D}} E_{\bar{C}} K_{\bar{A}} E^{\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} \right). \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point is important to observe that the generalized Green-Schwarz transformation must respect the constraints of the GKSA. Inspecting the null condition we need,

$$\delta^{(1)}(\bar{K}_M) \bar{K}^M = 0, \quad \delta^{(1)}(K_M) K^M = 0. \tag{4.30}$$

Since the generalized Green-Schwarz transformation acts in the following way  $\delta^{(1)} \bar{K}_M = \delta^{(1)}(E_{oM}^{\bar{A}}) \bar{K}_{\bar{A}}$  and  $\delta^{(1)} \bar{K}_M = \delta^{(1)}(E_{oM}^{\bar{A}}) \bar{K}_{\bar{A}}$ , the conditions (4.30) are trivially satisfied. Finally we observe that the generalized geodesic equations (3.20) forces,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2} K^{\bar{A}} \delta^{(1)} E_{M\bar{A}} \partial^M \bar{K}^{\bar{C}} + K^{\bar{A}} \bar{K}^{\bar{B}} \delta^{(1)} F_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} &= 0, \\
\sqrt{2} \bar{K}^{\bar{A}} \delta^{(1)} E_{M\bar{A}} \partial^M K^{\bar{C}} + \bar{K}^{\bar{A}} K^{\bar{B}} \delta^{(1)} F_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} &= 0. \tag{4.31}
\end{aligned}$$

In the next part of the work we break the duality group in order to obtain the low energy effective heterotic supergravity with higher derivative terms.

## 5 Heterotic parametrization

We start by taking  $D = 10$  and promoting the duality group to  $\mathcal{H} = O(9, 1)_L \times O(1, 9+n)_R$  with  $n = 496$  in order to describe the 10-dimensional heterotic supergravity. We split the indices as  $M = (\mu, \bar{\mu}, i)$  and  $A = (\underline{a}, \bar{a}, \bar{i})$ . The generalized frame is parametrized in the following way,

$$E^M_A = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\mu\underline{a}} & E^\mu_{\underline{a}} & E^i_{\underline{a}} \\ E_{\mu\bar{a}} & E^\mu_{\bar{a}} & E^i_{\bar{a}} \\ E_{\mu\bar{i}} & E^\mu_{\bar{i}} & E^i_{\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{e}_{o\mu a} - C_{o\rho\mu} \tilde{e}_{oa}^\rho & \tilde{e}_{oa}^\mu & -A_{o\rho}{}^i \tilde{e}_{oa}^\rho, \\ \tilde{e}_{o\mu a} - C_{o\rho\mu} \tilde{e}_{oa}^\rho & \tilde{e}_{oa}^\mu & -A_{o\rho}{}^i \tilde{e}_{oa}^\rho \\ \sqrt{2} A_{o\mu i} e^i_{\bar{i}} & 0 & \sqrt{2} e^i_{\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

where  $C_{o\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}A_{o\mu}{}^i A_{ovi}$ . The invariant projectors of DFT are parametrized in the following way

$$P_{\underline{ab}} = -\eta_{ab}\delta_{\underline{a}}^a\delta_{\underline{b}}^b, \quad \bar{P}_{\underline{ab}} = \eta_{ab}\delta_{\underline{a}}^a\delta_{\underline{b}}^b. \quad (5.2)$$

According to the previous parametrization, the generalized metric takes the following form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_o^{\mu\nu} & -\tilde{g}_o^{\mu\rho}C_{o\rho\nu} & -\tilde{g}_o^{\mu\rho}A_{o\rho i} \\ -\tilde{g}_o^{\nu\rho}C_{o\rho\mu} & \tilde{g}_{o\mu\nu} + C_{o\rho\mu}C_{o\sigma\nu}\tilde{g}_o^{\rho\sigma} + A_{o\mu}{}^i\kappa_{ij}A_{ov}{}^j & C_{o\rho\mu}\tilde{g}_o^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigma i} + A_{o\mu}{}^j\kappa_{ji} \\ -\tilde{g}_o^{\nu\rho}A_{o\rho i} & C_{o\rho\nu}\tilde{g}_o^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigma i} + A_{ov}{}^j\kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{ij} + A_{o\rho i}\tilde{g}_o^{\rho\sigma}A_{o\sigma j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

On the other hand  $K_M$  and  $\bar{K}_M$  can be parametrized as

$$K_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} l^\mu \\ -l_\mu - C_{o\rho\mu}l^\rho \\ -A_{oi\rho}l^\rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{K}_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{l}^\mu \\ \bar{l}_\mu - C_{o\rho\mu}\bar{l}^\rho \\ -A_{oi\rho}\bar{l}^\rho \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.4)$$

We impose the standard gauge fixing for the double Lorentz group,

$$\tilde{e}_{o\mu a}\eta^{ab}\tilde{e}_{ovb} = \tilde{\bar{e}}_{o\mu a}\eta^{ab}\tilde{\bar{e}}_{ovb} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.5)$$

with  $\eta_{ab}$  the ten dimensional flat metric,  $a, b = 0, \dots, 9$ .

The parametrization of the generalized dilaton is,

$$e^{-2d} = \sqrt{\tilde{g}}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Using (5.1), the parametrization of the generalized fluxes is

$$F_{\underline{abc}} = -\left(\tilde{w}_{abc} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}_{abc}\right)\delta_{\underline{a}}^a\delta_{\underline{b}}^b\delta_{\underline{c}}^c, \quad (5.7)$$

$$F_{\underline{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \left(\tilde{w}_{abc} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}_{abc}\right)\delta_{\underline{a}}^a\delta_{\bar{b}}^b\delta_{\bar{c}}^c, \quad (5.8)$$

$$F_{\bar{a}bc} = 3\left(\tilde{w}_{[abc]} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{H}_{abc}\right)\delta_{\bar{a}}^a\delta_b^b\delta_c^c, \quad (5.9)$$

$$F_{abc} = -3\left(\tilde{w}_{[abc]} + \frac{1}{6}\tilde{H}_{abc}\right)\delta_{\underline{a}}^a\delta_{\underline{b}}^b\delta_{\underline{c}}^c \quad (5.10)$$

$$F_{\underline{a}} = \left(\partial_\mu\tilde{e}_a^\mu + \tilde{e}_a^\mu\tilde{e}_b^\nu\partial_\mu\tilde{e}_\nu^b - 2\tilde{e}_a^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\phi}\right)\delta_{\underline{a}}^a. \quad (5.11)$$

The previous parametrization reproduce the low energy heterotic supergravity with higher-derivative terms. While  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  and  $b_{\mu\nu}$  are consistently perturbed by a pair of null vectors  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$  as in (2.14) and (2.18), the perturbations of the gauge field  $A_{o\mu i}$  are suppressed by the  $O(10, 10 + n)$  invariance.

## 5.1 Field Redefinitions

One of the most interesting aspects of higher-derivative DFT is the need of field redefinitions to match with standard heterotic supergravity using (5.1). From (4.28) it is straightforward to show that  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  transforms under Lorentz and gauge transformations as,

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = -\Omega_{[\mu}^{(-)ab}\partial_{\nu]}\Lambda_{ab}. \quad (5.12)$$

Therefore it is mandatory to consider a metric and dilaton redefinition of the form,

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\mu ab}^{(-)}\Omega_{\nu}^{(-)ab}, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}, \quad (5.14)$$

and hence  $\delta_{\Lambda}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  as in (2.20). An interesting observation here is that  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  must respect the null condition as well as (2.17). The anomalous transformation of the background  $b_{o\mu\nu}$  can be easily obtained parametrizing (4.28) or (4.29) and imposing (5.13),

$$\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}b_{o\mu\nu} = -\Omega_{[\mu}^{(-)ab}\partial_{\nu]}\Lambda_{ab}, \quad (5.15)$$

in agreement with (2.21). Similarly, it is straightforward to check that the rest of the fundamental fields do not receive higher-derivative Lorentz contributions.

## 5.2 Higher-derivative ansatz

The field redefinition (5.13) can be interpreted as a higher-derivative ansatz of the form,

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \kappa l^a \bar{l}^b (e_o^{\mu}{}_a - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{cd}^{(-)\mu}\Omega_a^{(-)cd})(e_o^{\nu}{}_b - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{ef}^{(-)\nu}\Omega_b^{(-)ef}), \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$l_{\mu}l_{\nu}(-\frac{1}{4}\Omega^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd}\Omega^{(-)\nu cd}) = l_{\mu}l_{\nu}(-\frac{1}{4}\Omega_o^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd}\Omega_o^{(-)\nu cd}) \quad (5.17)$$

and

$$\bar{l}_\mu \bar{l}_\nu \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega^{(-)\nu cd}\right) = \bar{l}_\mu \bar{l}_\nu \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega_o^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega_o^{(-)\nu cd}\right). \quad (5.18)$$

The previous conditions show that the field redefinition (5.13) has to respect the null conditions of the  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$  vectors when higher-derivative terms are incorporated. A similar argument can be applied for the parametrization of the generalized geodesic equation, *i.e.*,

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega^{(-)\nu cd}\right) l_\mu \partial_\nu \bar{l}_\rho = \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega_o^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega_o^{(-)\nu cd}\right) l_\mu \partial_\nu \bar{l}_\rho \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega^{(-)\nu cd}\right) \bar{l}_\mu \partial_\nu l_\rho = \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Omega_o^{(-)\mu}{}_{cd} \Omega_o^{(-)\nu cd}\right) \bar{l}_\mu \partial_\nu l_\rho. \quad (5.20)$$

These conditions are 2-derivative constraints over the background solutions in order to preserve the covariance under the Buscher rules (see also [10]).

## 6 Classical Double Copy

### 6.1 Generalized Kerr-Schild ansatz

Higher-derivative terms can be easily incorporated in the classical double copy prescription of the low energy limit of heterotic string theory. We assume that the geometry admits one Killing vector  $\xi^\mu$  such that the Lie Derivative  $L_\xi$  acting on an exact field vanishes. Moreover we choose a coordinate system where  $\xi^\mu$  is covariantly constant, *i.e.*,

$$\nabla_{o\mu} \xi_\nu = \nabla_{o[\mu} \xi_{\nu]} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

We normalize the null vectors to satisfy,

$$\xi_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} l_\nu = \xi_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{l}_\nu = 1. \quad (6.2)$$

In order to obtain the leading order terms with up to four derivatives in the single and zeroth copy, we perturb the gravity contributions to (2.9) by considering  $A_{o\mu i} = \text{const.}$ ,

$\phi = \phi_o = \text{const.}$  and  $\hat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \hat{H}_{o\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ . Then we contract it with  $\xi^\mu$  and  $\xi^\mu\xi^\nu$ ,

$$\xi^\mu \Delta^{(1)} g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \xi^\mu g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab} R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\xi^\mu \xi^\nu \Delta^{(1)} g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \xi^\mu g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab} R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab}, \quad (6.4)$$

to find the single copy and the zeroth copy, respectively. We perturb around a Minkowski background, and we impose  $l \cdot \bar{l} = 0$  to work with a linear perturbation on the metric and  $b$ -field,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} - \kappa l_{(\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu)}, \quad (6.5)$$

$$b_{\mu\nu} = b_{o\mu\nu} - \kappa l_{[\mu} \bar{l}_{\nu]}, \quad (6.6)$$

and we assume that  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$  satisfy geodesic equations,

$$l^\mu \partial_\mu l_\nu = 0, \quad \bar{l}^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{l}_\nu = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

in addition to equations (2.17), for simplicity. After imposing the previous ansatz the spin connection takes the following form

$$w_{\mu bc} = w_{o\mu bc} - \kappa e_{[b}^\nu e_{o c]}^\lambda \partial_\nu (l_{(\mu} \bar{l}_{\lambda)}) + \frac{\kappa^2}{8} e_{[b}^\nu e_{o c]}^\lambda (l_\nu \bar{l}_\lambda \bar{l}_\rho \partial_\mu l^\rho + l_\mu \bar{l}_\lambda \bar{l}_\rho \partial_\nu l^\rho - l_\lambda \bar{l}_\mu \bar{l}_\rho \partial_\nu l^\rho), \quad (6.8)$$

while the Riemann tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \partial_{[\mu} l_{\rho]} \bar{l}_{|\nu]} e_o^{\rho[a} l^{b]} - \partial_{[\nu} l_\sigma \partial^{[a} \bar{l}_{|\mu]} e_o^{\sigma b]} + \partial_{[\mu} l_\sigma l_\rho e_o^{\rho[a} e_o^{\sigma b]} \bar{l}_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu} \bar{l}_\nu] \partial^{[a} l_\sigma e_o^{\sigma b]} \\ &\quad + \partial_{[\mu} l_\rho l_{|\nu]} e_o^{\rho[a} \bar{l}^{b]} - \partial_{[\mu} \bar{l}_\rho e_o^{\rho[a} \partial^{b]} l_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu} l_\rho \bar{l}_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a} e_o^{\sigma b]} l_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu} l_{\nu]} \partial^{[a} \bar{l}_\sigma e_o^{\sigma b]} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2). \end{aligned}$$

In these backgrounds, the Killing vector is just a constant vector,  $\partial_\mu \xi_\nu = 0$ . The leading order contributions to (6.4) were studied in [16] and match with a Maxwell-like equation after identifying  $l_\mu = A_\mu$  and  $\bar{l}_\mu = \bar{A}_\mu$ , where  $A_\mu$  and  $\bar{A}_\mu$  are a pair of  $U(1)$  gauge vectors. The next order are  $\kappa^2$  terms that come from the linear perturbation of the Riemann tensor. The higher-derivative dynamic of these solutions contain contributions from both  $A_\mu$  and  $\bar{A}$  while the leading order Maxwell-like equations depends only on one of them [16]. We present our computations in appendix A.

## 6.2 Kerr-Schild ansatz

Let us focus in the case  $l_\mu = \bar{l}_\mu$ . Interestingly enough, it is not possible to perturbate the generalized metric of DFT with a single generalized vector. Instead, we are able to identified the vectors in the supergravity approach in order to recover the Kerr-Schild ansatz. In this case the spin connection is given by,

$$w_{\mu ab} = w_{o\mu ab} - \kappa(\partial_{[a}l_\mu l_{b]} + \partial_{[a}l_\rho e_o{}^\rho{}_{b]}l_\mu), \quad (6.9)$$

and the Riemann tensor takes the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = & \kappa(2\partial_{[\mu|\rho}l_{|\nu]}e_o{}^{\rho[a}l^{b]} - 2\partial_{[\mu}l_\rho e_o{}^{\rho[a}\partial^{b]}l_{|\nu]} + 2\partial_{[\mu|\rho}l_\sigma e_o{}^{\rho[a}e_o{}^{\sigma b]}l_{|\nu]} \\ & + 2\partial_{[\mu}l_\nu]\partial^{[a}l_\sigma e_o{}^{\sigma b]}) + \kappa^2(-\partial^\gamma l_{[\mu}\partial^{[a}l_\gamma l^{b]}l_{\nu]} + \partial^\sigma l_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_\gamma e_o{}^{\gamma[a}l^{b]}l_{\nu]}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

The leading contributions to the single copy, in this case, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\xi^\mu g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab} R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab} = & \frac{1}{4}\kappa^2(\partial_\mu A^\rho \partial^\sigma A_\rho \partial^\mu{}_\sigma A_\nu - 2\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu{}_\rho A_\sigma - \partial^\mu{}_\rho A_\sigma \partial_\mu{}^\rho A^\sigma A_\nu \\ & - \partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu{}_\sigma A_\nu + \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho{}_\sigma A^\mu + \partial_{\mu\rho} A^\sigma \partial^\mu{}_\sigma A^\rho A_\nu \\ & + \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu{}_\sigma A^\rho - \partial_\mu A_\rho \partial_\sigma A^\rho \partial_\nu{}^\sigma A^\mu + \partial_\nu A^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho{}_\sigma A_\mu \\ & - \partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\nu{}^\mu A_\sigma + \partial_\nu A^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\mu{}^\rho A_\sigma + 2\partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\nu\sigma} A^\mu \\ & - 2\partial_\nu A^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\mu\sigma} A^\rho) \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where  $\partial_\mu{}^\nu \star = \partial_\mu(\partial^\nu \star)$  and we omit terms depending on  $\xi^\mu$ . Similarly, the zeroth copy takes the form,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\xi^\mu \xi^\nu g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab} R_{\tau\nu}{}^{ab} = -1/4\kappa^2(\partial^\mu{}_\nu A_\rho \partial_\mu{}^\nu A^\rho - \partial_{\mu\nu} A^\rho \partial^\mu{}_\rho A^\nu). \quad (6.12)$$

In this case condition (5.17) is trivially satisfied, while

$$\partial_\rho A_\mu \partial^a A^\rho \partial_\sigma e_o{}^\nu{}_\rho A_\nu A^\sigma = 0 \quad (6.13)$$

is required to satisfy (5.19).

## 7 Conclusions

We study the heterotic formulation of DFT when higher-derivative terms are included, and the field content is perturbed with the GKSA. We start by adapting the GKSA to the flux



formulation of DFT. Then we compute a higher-derivative extension for DFT considering multiplets of  $O(D, D + K)$  and we choose the free parameters of the formalism to study the heterotic case. The double Lorentz symmetry receives a generalized Green-Schwarz transformation that must respect the constraints of the GKSA.

Upon parametrization, we reproduce the low energy heterotic supergravity with higher-derivative terms. Higher-derivative field redefinitions are required to match with the standard transformation rules. The gravitational field content,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $b_{\mu\nu}$ , is consistently perturbed by a pair of null vectors  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$ . Interestingly enough, the perturbations of the gauge field  $A_{\mu i}$  are suppressed by the  $O(10, 10+n)$  invariance, using the flux formulation of DFT. This last point indicates a tension between the generalized metric formalism and the generalized frame formalism upon parametrization.

As an application, we study the higher-derivative contributions to the classical double copy. We focus in the single and zeroth copy, starting from a flat background and considering linear perturbations thanks to a orthogonality condition between  $l$  and  $\bar{l}$ . In this scenario we obtain four-derivative corrections to the  $\kappa^2$  Maxwell-like equations previously discussed in [16]. The particular case where  $l_\mu = \bar{l}_\mu$  and therefore  $A_\mu = \bar{A}_\mu$  is also presented.

## Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank K. Lee and K. Cho for exceedingly interesting remarks and comments. Support by CONICET is also gratefully acknowledged.

## A Results of Section 6.1

The full expression for the Riemann tensor (6.9) is

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = \kappa R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)ab} + \kappa^2 R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)ab} + \kappa^3 R_{\mu\nu}^{(3)ab} + \kappa^4 R_{\mu\nu}^{(4)ab}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)ab} &= \partial_{[\mu|\rho}\bar{l}_{|\nu]}e_o^{\rho[a}l^{b]} - \partial_{[\nu}l_\sigma\partial^{[a}\bar{l}_{|\mu]}e_o^{\sigma b]} + \partial_{[\mu|\rho}l_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}e_o^{\sigma b]}\bar{l}_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}_{\nu]}\partial^{[a}l_\sigma e_o^{\sigma b]} \\ &+ \partial_{[\mu|\rho}l_{|\nu]}e_o^{\rho[a}\bar{l}^{b]} - \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}_\rho e_o^{\rho[a}\partial^{b]}l_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu|\rho}\bar{l}_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}e_o^{\sigma b]}l_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu}l_{\nu]}\partial^{[a}\bar{l}_\sigma e_o^{\sigma b]}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)ab} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}_\rho\partial_{|\nu]}l_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}^\sigma + \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\sigma\partial_{\nu]}l_\sigma\bar{l}^{[a}l^{b]} - \partial_{[\mu}l_\rho\partial_{|\nu]}l_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}^\sigma \right. \\ &+ \partial_{[\mu|\rho}l_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^\sigma + \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}_{\nu]}\partial^{[a}l_\sigma l^{b]}\bar{l}^\sigma + \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\gamma\partial^{[a}l_\gamma l^{b]}\bar{l}_{\nu]} \\ &- \partial_{[\mu}l_\rho e_o^{\rho[a}\partial^{b]}l_\gamma\bar{l}_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^\gamma - \partial_{[\mu}l_\rho l_\sigma e_o^{\rho[a}\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}^\sigma l_{|\nu]} + \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}_\rho e_o^{\rho[a}\partial^{b]}l_\gamma\bar{l}^\gamma l_{|\nu]} \\ &- \partial_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\gamma\partial^{[a}l_\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}l_{\nu]} - \partial_{[\mu}l_{\nu]}\partial^{[a}l_\sigma\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}^\sigma + \partial^{[a}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial^{b]}l_\gamma\bar{l}^\gamma l_{\nu]} \\ &- \partial^\gamma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial^{[a}l_\gamma l^{b]}\bar{l}_{\nu]} - \partial^\gamma l_{[\mu}\partial^{[a}l_\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}_{\nu]} - \partial^\epsilon\bar{l}_\sigma e_o^{\sigma[a}\partial^{b]}l_\epsilon\bar{l}_{[\mu}l_{\nu]} \\ &- \partial^{[a}l_{[\mu}\partial^{b]}l_\gamma\bar{l}_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^\gamma + \partial^{[a}\bar{l}^\epsilon\partial^{b]}l_\epsilon\bar{l}_{[\mu}l_{\nu]} - \partial^\gamma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial^{[a}\bar{l}_\gamma l^{b]}l_{\nu]} \\ &- \partial_\gamma l_\epsilon\partial^{[a}\bar{l}^\gamma e_o^{\epsilon b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}l_{\nu]} - \partial_\gamma l_{[\mu}\partial^{[a}\bar{l}^\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}l_{\nu]} + \partial^\sigma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_\gamma e_o^{\gamma[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}_{\nu]} \\ &+ \partial^\sigma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^{[a}l^{b]} + \partial^\sigma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma\bar{l}_\gamma e_o^{\gamma[a}l^{b]}l_{|\nu]} + \partial^\sigma l_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_\gamma e_o^{\gamma[a}\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}_{\nu]} \\ &+ \partial^\gamma\bar{l}_\sigma\partial_\gamma l_\epsilon e_o^{\sigma[a}e_o^{\epsilon b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}l_{\nu]} + \partial^\gamma\bar{l}_\sigma\partial_\gamma l_{[\mu}e_o^{\sigma[a}\bar{l}^{b]}l_{\nu]} \left. \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(3)ab} &= \frac{1}{16} \left( -\partial_{[\mu}l_\rho\partial^{[a}l_\gamma l^{b]}\bar{l}_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^\rho\bar{l}^\gamma - \partial_{[\mu}l_\rho\partial^{[a}l_\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}^\rho\bar{l}^\gamma l_{|\nu]} - \partial_\gamma l_\epsilon\partial^{[a}l^\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\epsilon l_{\nu]} \right. \\ &- \partial_\gamma l_\epsilon\partial^{[a}\bar{l}^\gamma\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\epsilon l_{\nu]} - \partial^\sigma\bar{l}_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_\gamma\bar{l}^{[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}^\gamma l_{|\nu]} + \partial^\gamma l_\sigma\partial_\gamma l_\epsilon e_o^{\sigma[a}\bar{l}^{b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\epsilon l_{\nu]} \\ &\left. - \partial^\sigma l_{[\mu}\partial_\sigma l_\gamma\bar{l}^{[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}_{|\nu]}\bar{l}^\gamma + \partial^\gamma\bar{l}_\sigma\partial_\gamma l_\epsilon e_o^{\sigma[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\epsilon l_{\nu]} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{(4)ab} = -\frac{1}{64}\partial^\gamma l_\sigma\partial_\gamma l_\epsilon\bar{l}^{[a}l^{b]}\bar{l}_{[\mu}\bar{l}^\sigma\bar{l}^\epsilon l_{\nu]}.$$

## Single copy

Using (6.9) it is straightforward to compute the higher-derivative  $\kappa^2$  corrections to the single copy in this background,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\xi^\mu g^{\sigma\tau} R_{\sigma\mu ab}^{(-)} R_{\tau\nu}^{(-)ab} &= \frac{1}{16}\kappa^2 \left( \partial_\mu A^\rho \partial^\sigma A_\rho \partial^\mu \bar{A}_\nu - 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial^\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\sigma - \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho A^\sigma \bar{A}_\nu \right. \\
&- \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\nu \partial_\mu^\rho A_\sigma \bar{A}^\sigma - 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\sigma A_\nu \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A_\rho - \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho A^\sigma A_\nu - \partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}_\nu \\
&+ \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho \bar{\sigma} A^\mu + \partial_{\mu\rho} A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A^\rho \bar{A}_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A^\rho - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A_\nu \\
&+ \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A_\nu \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A^\sigma + \partial_{\mu\rho} \bar{A}^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A^\rho A_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A_\nu \partial_\rho^\sigma A^\mu - \partial_\mu A_\rho \partial_\sigma A^\rho \partial_\nu^\sigma \bar{A}^\mu \\
&+ \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\sigma - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\nu^\mu A_\sigma + \partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho A_\sigma + \partial_{\nu\mu} A^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\sigma \bar{A}^\sigma \\
&+ \partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho \bar{\sigma} A_\mu - \partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\nu^\mu \bar{A}_\sigma + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A_\rho + 2\partial_\mu A^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\nu\sigma} \bar{A}^\mu \\
&- 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial_{\rho\sigma} A^\mu + 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\nu\sigma} A^\mu - 2\partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\mu\sigma} A^\rho + \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\nu \partial_\mu^\rho A_\sigma \bar{A}^\sigma \\
&+ 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}_\rho \partial^\sigma A^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}_\nu - 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial^\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\sigma - \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho A^\sigma \bar{A}_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\sigma \bar{A}_\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A_\nu \\
&- 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\sigma A_\nu \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}_\rho - \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho \bar{A}^\sigma A_\nu - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A^\mu \partial_\rho^\sigma \bar{A}_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}^\mu \\
&+ \partial_{\mu\rho} \bar{A}^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A^\rho \bar{A}_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\nu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}^\rho - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho \bar{A}^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} A_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A_\nu \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}^\sigma \\
&+ \partial_{\mu\rho} \bar{A}^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}^\rho A_\nu + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A_\nu \partial_\rho^\sigma \bar{A}^\mu - \partial_{\nu\mu} \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A_\sigma \bar{A}^\sigma - \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\rho \partial_\sigma A^\rho \partial_\nu^\sigma \bar{A}^\mu \\
&- \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\rho \partial_\sigma A^\rho \partial_\nu^\mu \bar{A}^\sigma + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}_\sigma - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho \bar{A}^\sigma \partial_\nu^\mu A_\sigma + \partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_\mu^\rho \bar{A}_\sigma \\
&- \partial_\mu \bar{A}_\rho \partial_\sigma \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu^\sigma A^\mu + \partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial^\rho \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}_\mu - \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A^\mu \partial_\nu^\sigma \bar{A}_\rho + \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial^\mu \bar{\sigma} \bar{A}_\rho \\
&+ 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\sigma A^\mu \partial_{\nu\rho} \bar{A}^\sigma - 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\nu A^\sigma \partial_{\rho\sigma} \bar{A}^\mu + 2\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\rho \partial_\rho \bar{A}^\sigma \partial_{\nu\sigma} A^\mu - 2\partial_\nu \bar{A}^\mu \partial_\rho A^\sigma \partial_{\mu\sigma} \bar{A}^\rho \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

where we focus in the terms that do not depend on  $\xi^\mu$ .

## Zeroth copy

Similarly, the zeroth copy takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^\mu \xi^\nu \Delta^{(1)} g_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{16}\kappa^2 \left( -\partial^\mu \bar{\nu} A_\rho \partial_\mu^\nu A^\rho - 2\partial^\mu \bar{\nu} \bar{A}_\rho \partial_\mu^\nu A^\rho + \partial_{\mu\nu} A^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A^\nu \right. \\
&\left. + 2\partial_{\mu\nu} \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} A^\nu - \partial^\mu \bar{\nu} \bar{A}_\rho \partial_\mu^\nu \bar{A}^\rho + \partial_{\mu\nu} \bar{A}^\rho \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} \bar{A}^\nu \right). \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] W. Siegel, “Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity”, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 5453 [hep-th/9302036].
- W. Siegel, “Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings”, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 2826 [hep-th/9305073].
- W. Siegel, “Manifest duality in low-energy superstrings”, In \*Berkeley 1993, Proceedings, Strings '93\* 353-363, and State U. New York Stony Brook - ITP-SB-93-050 (93,rec.Sep.) 11 p. (315661) [hep-th/9308133].
- [2] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory”, JHEP **0909** (2009) 099 [hep-th/0904.4664].
- O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Generalized metric formulation of Double Field Theory”, JHEP **1008** (2010) 008 [hep-th/1006.4823].
- I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann”, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 044022 [hep-th/1105.6294].
- I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Differential geometry with a projection: Application to Double Field Theory”, JHEP **1104** (2011) 014 [hep-th/1011.1324].
- [3] A. Sen, “ $O(d) \times O(d)$  symmetry of the space of cosmological solutions in string theory, scale factor duality and two-dimensional black holes”, Phys. Lett. B **271** (1991) 295.
- [4] G. Aldazabal, D. Marques and C. Nuñez, “Double Field Theory: A Pedagogical Review”, Class. Quantum Grav. **30** (2013) 163001, [hep-th/1305.1907].
- O. Hohm, D. Lust and B. Zwiebach, “The Spacetime of Double Field Theory: Review, Remarks, and Outlook”, Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 926, [hep-th/1309.2977].
- D. S. Berman and D. C. Thompson, “Duality Symmetric String and M-Theory”, Phys. Rept. **566** (2014) 1, [hep-th/1306.2643].
- [5] O. Hohm and S. K. Kwak, “Double Field Theory Formulation of Heterotic Strings”, JHEP **1106** (2011) 096 [hep-th/1103.2136].

- [6] O. Hohm and S. K. Kwak, “Frame-like Geometry of Double Field Theory”, J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 085404 [hep-th/1011.4101].
- [7] D. Geissbuhler, D. Marques, C. Nunez and V. Penas, “Exploring Double Field Theory”, JHEP **1306** (2013) 101, [hep-th/1304.1472].
- [8] “T-duality and  $\alpha'$ -corrections,” JHEP **1510**, 084 (2015), [hep-th/1507.00652].
- [9] A. Coimbra, R. Minasian, H. Triendl and D. Waldram, “Generalised geometry for string corrections”, JHEP **1411** (2014) 160 [hep-th/1407.7542].
- K. Lee, “Quadratic  $\alpha'$ -corrections to heterotic double field theory”, Nucl. Phys. B **899**, 594 (2015), [hep-th/1504.00149].
- W. H. Baron, J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, D. Marques and C. Nunez, “The Odd story of  $\alpha'$ -corrections”, JHEP **1704**, 078 (2017), [hep-th/1702.05489].
- [10] K. Lee, “Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy”, JHEP **1810**(2018) 027, [hep-th/1807.08443].
- [11] R. P. Kerr, “Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics”, Phys. Rev. Lett.**11** (1963) 237.
- R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, “A new class of vacuum solutions of the Einstein field equations”, Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.**17**(1965), 199.
- G. C. Debney, R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, “Solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations”, J. Math. Phys.**10** , 1842 (1969).
- [12] M. Gurses and F. Gursey, “Lorentz Covariant Treatment of the Kerr-Schild Geometry”, J. Math. Phys.**16**, 2385 (1975)
- R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, “Black Holes In Higher Dimensional Space-Times”, Annals Phys. **172**, 304 (1986).
- A. Anabalon, N. Deruelle, Y. Morisawa et al., “Kerr-Schild ansatz in Einstein-Gauss Bonnet gravity: An exact vacuum solution in five dimensions”, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 065002 (2009), [hep-th/0812.3194].

- B. Eft and D. Kastor, “Kerr–Schild Ansatz in Lovelock Gravity”, JHEP **1104** (2011) 109, [hep-th/1103.3182].
- [13] D. S. Berman, K. Kim, K. Lee, “The Classical Double Copy for M-theory from a Kerr-Schild Ansatz for Exceptional Field Theory”, [hep-th/2010.08255].
- [14] E. Lescano and A. Rodríguez, “ $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Supersymmetric Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz”, JHEP **10** (2020) 148, [hep-th/2002.07751].
- [15] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, B. Spence, D. P. Veiga “S-duality and the double copy”, [hep-th/1911.06797].
- K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. P. Veiga, “The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge”, JHEP **02** (2020) 046, [hep-th/1912.02177].
- 55] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, and B. Spence, “Weyl doubling”, [hep-th/2007.03264].
- [16] W. Cho, K. Lee, “Heterotic Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy”, JHEP **07**(2019) 030,[hep-th/1904.11650]
- [17] W. H. Baron, E. Lescano and D. Marques, “The generalized Bergshoeff-de Roo identification”, JHEP **1811**, 160 (2018), [hep-th/1810.01427].
- [18] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, “A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings”, Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986).
- Z. Bern, J. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes”, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 085011, [hep-ph/0805.3993].
- Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, “Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory”, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 061602, [hep-th/1004.0476].
- N. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, and P. Vanhove, “Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes”, Phys.Rev.Lett. 103 (2009) 161602, [hep-th/0907.1425].
- S. Stieberger, “Open and Closed vs. Pure Open String Disk Amplitudes”, [hep-th/0907.2211].

- Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y.-t. Huang, and M. Kiermaier, “Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory”, *Phys.Rev. D*82 (2010) 065003, [hep-th/1004.0693].
- N. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, R. Monteiro, and D. O’Connell, “Algebras for Amplitudes”, *JHEP* 1206 (2012) 061, [hep-th/1203.0944].
- [19] A. Momeni, J. Rumbutis, A. J. Tolley, “Massive Gravity from Double Copy”, *JHEP* 12 (2020) 030, [hep-th/2004.07853].
- A.Luna, S. Nagy, C. White, “The convolutional double copy: a case study with a point”, *JHEP* 09 (2020) 062, [hep-th/2004.11254].
- L. Borsten, S. Nagy, “The pure BRST Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian from the double-copy to cubic order”, *JHEP* 07 (2020) 093, [hep-th/2004.14945].
- E. Casali, A. Puhm, “A Double Copy for Celestial Amplitudes”, [hep-th/2007.15027].
- D. A. Easson, C. Keeler, T. Manton, “Classical double copy of nonsingular black holes”, *Phys.Rev.D* 102 (2020) 8, 086015, [gr-qc/2007.16186]
- L. de la Cruz, B. Maybee, D. O’Connell, A. Ross, “Classical Yang-Mills observables from amplitudes”, *JHEP* 12 (2020) 076, [hep-th/2009.03842].
- H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, R. Monteiro, D. P. Veiga, C.N. Pope, “The Weyl Double Copy for Gravitational Waves”, [hep-th/2010.02925].
- J. Carrasco, I. Vazquez-Holm, “Loop-Level Double-Copy for Massive Quantum Particles”, [hep-th/2010.13435].
- S. G. Prabhu, “The classical double copy in curved spacetimes: Perturbative Yang-Mills from the bi-adjoint scalar”, [hep-th/2011.06588].
- P. Ferrero, D. Francia, “On the Lagrangian formulation of the double copy to cubic order”, [hep-th/2012.00713].
- C. D. White, “A Twistorial Foundation for the Classical Double Copy”, [hep-th/2012.02479].
- R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, D. P. Veiga, M. Sergola, “Classical Solutions and their Double Copy in Split Signature”, [hep-th/2012.11190].

- [20] E. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, “The quartic effective action of the heterotic string and supersymmetry”, Nucl.Phys. **B328** 439 (1989).
- [21] W.A. Chemissany, M. de Roo, S. Panda, “ $\alpha'$ -Corrections to Heterotic Superstring Effective Action Revisited”, JHEP **08** 037 (2007).
- [22] O. Hohm, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Heterotic Effective Action and Duality Symmetries Revisited”, JHEP **1502** (2015) 079, [hep-th/1411.5696].
- [23] O. Hohm, W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, “Doubled  $\alpha'$ -geometry”, JHEP **1402**, 065 (2014), [hep-th/1306.2970].
- E. Lescano and D. Marques, “Second order higher-derivative corrections in Double Field Theory”, JHEP **1706**, 104 (2017), [hep-th/1611.05031].