

THE BRAIDED BRIN-THOMPSON GROUPS

ROBERT SPAHN

ABSTRACT. We construct braided versions sV_{br} of the Brin-Thompson groups sV and prove that they are of type F_∞ . The proof involves showing that the matching complexes of colored arcs on surfaces are highly connected.

INTRODUCTION

A group is of *type* F_∞ if it admits a classifying space whose n -skeleton is compact for every n . Some examples of groups of type F_∞ include Thompson’s group V , the Brin-Thompson group sV for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and the braid group on n strands B_n . A braided version of Thompson’s group V , which we will denote V_{br} , was introduced independently by Brin and Dehornoy in [Bri07, Deh06]. This group contains copies of the braid group B_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and was shown to be finitely presented by Brin in [Bri06] and to be of type F_∞ by Bux-Fluch-Marschler-Witzel-Zaremsky in [BFM⁺16]. The crucial Brin-Thompson groups sV for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, also known as higher dimensional Thompson’s groups, were defined by Brin in [Bri04] and proven to be of type F_∞ by Fluch-Marshler-Witzel-Zaremsky in [FMWZ13]. This infinite family of groups also has a braided variant family, known as the braided Brin-Thompson groups which we denote by sV_{br} . This new infinite family of groups can be defined in a similar way as was done to get from V to V_{br} and will be the main family of groups we focus on in this paper. We will start by constructing the groups sV_{br} and proceed to prove that they are of type F_∞ .

Main Theorem. *The braided Thompson’s groups sV_{br} is of type F_∞ .*

Therefore the sV_{br} provide an infinite family of groups generalizing V_{br} , in particular this is an infinite family of “braided” Thompson-like groups that are all of type F_∞ . Our approach follows the proof in [BFM⁺16] that V_{br} is of type F_∞ , though a number of new ideas are required. In particular, the descending links arising in the study of the local structure are modeled by *multicolored matching complexes on a surface*, which may be of independent interest. These complexes are given by a graph together with a surface containing the vertices of the graph, and consist of multicolored arc systems that yield a matching of the graph. We show these complexes to be highly connected in certain cases.

Date: December 30, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F65; Secondary 20F36, 57M07,

Key words and phrases. Thompson’s group, finiteness properties, braid group, surface, arc complex, matching complex.

Theorem (Theorem 3.5). *The multicolored matching complex on a surface for the complete graph on n vertices is $(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor - 1)$ -connected.*

The Main Theorem can be viewed as part of a general attempt to understand how the finiteness properties of a group change when it is braided. Another instance of this question concerns the *braided Houghton groups* BH_n . In [GLU] Genevois-Lonjou-Urech prove that, for any n , BH_n is of type F_{n-1} but not of type F_n . Another example involves braiding the Higman-Thompson groups $V_{n,r}$ and certain Nekrahevych groups of the form $V_{n,r}(H)$, and inspecting questions of finite generation, details of which can be found in [AC].

In Section 1 we introduce the definition of sV_{br} , using the language similar to how V_{br} was discussed in [BFM⁺16]. We also introduce “multicolored spragues”, or “multicolored split-braid-merge diagrams.” The Stein complex sX_{br} is constructed in Section 2 along with an invariant, cocompact filtration $(sX_{\text{br}}^{\leq n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. In Section 3 multicolored matching complexes on surfaces are introduced and shown to be highly connected. These connectivity results are then used in Section 4 to show that the filtration $(sX_{\text{br}}^{\leq n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is highly connected. Finally we prove the Main Theorem in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to his Ph.D. advisor Matt Zaremsky for guiding him through the strategy to handle the complexes $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ in Section 3 and the filtration in Section 4, especially the assistance with proofs of Lemmas 4.8, 4.9, 4.10, and 4.11, as well as countless other circumstances during the writing of this paper. We also thank Matt Brin for providing the idea of braiding the previously researched Brin-Thompson groups, also known as Higher Dimensional Thompson groups sV for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and discussing the main theorem with the author.

1. THE BRAIDED BRIN-THOMPSON GROUPS

Thompson’s groups and their variants have been studied at length, and possess many unusual and interesting properties. An introduction to the classical Thompson’s groups can be found in [CFP96], in particular Thompson’s group V is an infinite simple group of type F_∞ . A good introduction to the braided Thompson group V_{br} is [BBCS08] which is a group of type F_∞ as proven in [BFM⁺16]. Another example of a variant is the Brin-Thompson groups sV introduced by Brin in [Bri04] which are a family of infinite simple groups which are proven to be of type F_∞ in [FMWZ13]. The family of groups we will define in this section will generalize V and sV , namely by adding colors and braids to the trees. We will picture elements of this new family of groups in the language of *strand diagrams*, as in [BM14].

1.1. The group. We first recall and introduce some important terminology. By a *rooted binary tree* we mean a finite tree such that every vertex has degree 3,






FIGURE 1. Reduction of the top paired multicolored tree diagram to the bottom one.

except the *leaves*, which have degree 1, and the *root*, which has degree 2 (or degree 1 if the root is also a leaf). Usually we draw trees with the root at the top and the nodes descending from it, down to the leaves. A non-leaf node together with the two nodes directly below it is called a *caret*. By a *rooted binary multicolored tree* we mean a rooted binary tree where the carets have a designated color. If the leaves of a caret in T are leaves of T , we will call the caret *elementary*. Note that a rooted binary multicolored tree always consists of $(n - 1)$ carets and has n leaves, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These trees are important in defining the elements of our group, however, first we need to define some types of diagrams. At times it may be necessary to emphasize how many colors a diagram has. In such a case we will replace “multicolored” by “ s -colored” where $s \geq 1$ is the number of colors needed.

Definition 1.1. By a *paired multicolored tree diagram* we mean a triple (T_-, ρ, T_+) consisting of two rooted binary multicolored trees T_- and T_+ with the same number of leaves n , and a permutation $\rho \in S_n$. The leaves of T_- are labeled $1, \dots, n$ from left to right, and for each i , the $\rho(i)^{\text{th}}$ leaf of T_+ is labeled i .

We can draw a paired multicolored tree diagram (T_-, ρ, T_+) in two ways as follows. The first way would be as an ordered pair of the two trees labeled appropriately using the permutation. The second way would be to draw T_- as described, T_+ upside down and below T_- , and arrows representing the permutation ρ . This way of drawing paired multicolored tree diagrams is closely related to the *strand diagrams* model as done in [BM14]. See Figure 1 for an example of a drawing the first way and Figure 2 for an example of drawing the second way.

There exists an equivalence relation on paired multicolored tree diagrams given by reductions, expansions, and application of the cross relation. By a *reduction* we mean the following: Suppose there is an elementary caret in T_- with left leaf labeled i and right leaf labeled $i + 1$, and an elementary caret in T_+ of the same color with left leaf labeled i and right leaf labeled $i + 1$. Then we can “reduce” the diagram by removing those carets, renumbering the leaves and replacing ρ

FIGURE 2. An element of $2V$.FIGURE 3. The cross relation in $2V$

with the permutation $\rho' \in S_{n-1}$ that sends the new leaf of T_- to the new leaf of T_+ , and otherwise behaves like ρ . The resulting paired multicolored tree diagram (T'_-, ρ', T'_+) is then said to be obtained by *reducing* (T_-, ρ, T_+) . The reverse operation to reduction is called *expansion*, so (T_-, ρ, T_+) is an expansion of (T'_-, ρ', T'_+) . See Figure 1 for an idea of reduction of paired multicolored tree diagrams. The additional relation that can be applied is called the *cross relation* and is defined below. Due to this additional relation, “reduced” representatives of paired multicolored tree diagrams are not unique.

Definition 1.2 (Cross Relation). Consider the paired 2-colored tree diagram where the first tree T_- consists of a red caret with a blue caret on each leaf, the second tree consists of a blue caret with a red caret on each leaf, and the permutation $\rho = (23)$. This paired rooted multicolored tree diagram is equivalent to the trivial one. This is defined to be the *cross relation* and can be generalized to any number of dimensions. See Figure 3 for a visualization of this relation.

There is a binary operation $*$ on the set of equivalence classes of paired multicolored tree diagrams. Let $T = (T_-, \rho, T_+)$ and $S = (S_-, \xi, S_+)$ be paired multicolored tree diagrams. By applying repeated expansions and possibly using the cross relation we can find representatives (T'_-, ρ', T'_+) and (S'_-, ξ', S'_+) of the equivalence classes of T and S , respectively, such that $T'_+ = S'_+$. Then we declare $T * S$ to be $(T'_-, \rho' \xi', S'_+)$. This operation is well defined on the equivalence classes, and is a group operation.

Definition 1.3 (Brin-Thompson group sV). The Brin-Thompson group sV ($s \in \mathbb{N}$) is the group of equivalence classes of paired s -colored tree diagrams with the multiplication $*$.

Observe that while the above definition is in terms of s -colored tree diagrams with the group operation $*$, this is different than how the group was first defined in [Bri04]. The s -colored trees represent a subdivision of a s -cube, and so the s -colored tree diagrams represent a map from one subdivided s -cube to another. This way of viewing sV has a group operation of composition as its elements are maps. More details on this model for sV can be found in [Bri04] and [FMWZ13]. Moreover, more details on the model for which we need in this paper can be found in [BC10].

Now we construct the braided version sV_{br} of sV . The idea is to replace the permutations of leaves by braids between the leaves. We will first introduce *braided paired multicolored tree diagrams* and then copy the construction of sV given above to define sV_{br} .

Definition 1.4. A *braided paired multicolored tree diagram* is a triple (T_-, b, T_+) consisting of two rooted binary multicolored trees T_- and T_+ with the same number of leaves n and a braid $b \in B_n$.

As with sV , we can define an equivalence relation on the set of braided paired multicolored tree diagrams using the notions of reduction and expansion plus a braided cross relation. We will first define expansion and then take reduction as the reverse of expansion. The notions of expansions and reductions are all taken from the $s = 1$ case in [BFM⁺16] and we add the braided cross relation which is new to this group. Let $\rho_b \in S_n$ denote the permutation corresponding to the braid $b \in B_n$. Let (T_-, b, T_+) be a braided paired multicolored tree diagram. Label the leaves of T_- from 1 to n , left to right, and for each i label the $\rho_b(i)^{\text{th}}$ leaf of T_+ by i . By the i^{th} strand of the braid we will always mean the strand that begins at the i^{th} leaf of T_- , i.e., we count the strands from the top. An *expansion* of (T_-, b, T_+) amounts to the following. For some $1 \leq i \leq n$, replace T_{\pm} with trees T'_{\pm} obtained from T_{\pm} by adding a caret to the leaf labeled i . Then replace b with a braid $b' \in B_{n+1}$, obtained by “doubling” the i^{th} strand of b . The triple (T'_-, b', T'_+) is an *expansion* of (T_-, b, T_+) . As with paired multicolored tree diagrams, *reduction* is the reverse of expansion, so (T_-, b, T_+) is a reduction of (T'_-, b', T'_+) . See Figure 4 for an idea of reduction of braided paired multicolored tree diagrams. The additional relation that can be applied is called the *braided cross relation* and is defined below. Due to this additional relation, “reduced” representatives of paired multicolored tree diagrams are not unique. The equivalence class of (T_-, b, T_+) will be denoted by $[(T_-, b, T_+)]$, or for simplicity sometimes by $[T_-, b, T_+]$.





FIGURE 4. Reduction of braided paired multicolored tree diagrams.

FIGURE 5. Example of a braided equivalence relation in $2V_{\text{br}}$

Definition 1.5 (Braided cross relation). Consider the cross relation in $2V$. Replace the permutation with a braid as done in Figure 5. This is known as the *braided cross relation* and can be generalized to s dimensions. Fix an arbitrary total ordering of the colors. The convention in the braid of left-over-right is for when red is less than blue. If red is greater than blue, then we braid right-over-left.

Two braided paired multicolored tree diagrams are equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of reductions, expansions, or applications of braided cross relations. We will now discuss a binary operation $*$ on the set of equivalence classes of braided paired multicolored tree diagrams. Let $T = (T_-, b, T_+)$ and $S = (S_-, c, S_+)$ be reduced braided paired multicolored tree diagrams. We can find representatives (T'_-, b', T'_+) and (S'_-, c', S'_+) of the equivalence classes of T and S , respectively, such that $T'_+ = S'_-$. This may require the use of the braided cross relation defined above which was not the case for V_{br} . Then we declare $T * S$ to be $(T'_-, b'c', S'_+)$. We will proceed to show that this operation is well defined on the equivalence classes, and is a group operation. First we will show that it is well defined for reductions and expansions, following with it being well defined for braided cross relations.

Take $[T_-, b, T_+] = [T'_-, b', T'_+]$ and $[U_-, c, U_+]$ such that without loss of generality $T'_+ = U_-$. Moreover, the first equality in the previous sentence holds for the entire equivalence class of (T_-, b, T_+) , so we may take T'_- to be T_- with one new caret

added to the k^{th} leaf, b' is b where we double the k^{th} strand, and T'_+ has a new caret of the same caret on the l^{th} leaf where the bottom of strand which was doubled in b is the l^{th} strand. Observe that b' and T'_+ are determined by T'_- . We need to show that $[T_-, b, T_+][U_-, c, U_+] = [T'_-, b', T'_+][U_-, c, U_+]$. By definition we know that $[T_-, b, T_+][U_-, c, U_+] = [T_-, bc, U_+]$ and we can find $[T'_-, b', T'_+][U_-, c, U_+]$ by expanding U_- to U'_- by adding a single caret of the same color as was added to T'_- to the l^{th} leaf to make $T'_+ = U'_-$ such that c and U_+ turn into c' and U'_+ appropriately. That is, we double the l^{th} strand of c to get c' . This will result in $[T'_-, b', T'_+][U_-, c, U_+] = [T'_-, b', T'_+][U'_-, c', U'_+] = [T'_-, b'c', U'_+]$. When we expand (T_-, bc, U_+) in the same way as described above, we get $(T'_-, (bc)', U'_+)$ where $(bc)'$ is the result of doubling the k^{th} strand of bc . Moreover, the bottom of the k^{th} strand of b is in the l^{th} position of c , so when we double the k^{th} strand of bc we equivalently doubled the k^{th} strand of b and the l^{th} strand of c . This is exactly $b'c'$. Therefore, $(bc)'$ is equal to $b'c'$. Hence we can expand (T_-, bc, U_+) to get $(T'_-, b'c', U'_+)$, that is, $[T'_-, b'c', U'_+] = [T_-, bc, U_+]$. An analogous argument handles changing the representative of the right factor.

Similarly, again take $[T_-, b, T_+] = [T'_-, b', T'_+]$ where (T'_-, b', T'_+) is (T_-, b, T_+) after one application of the expansion of a k^{th} leaf of T_- , k^{th} strand of b , and the l^{th} leaf of T_+ by the braided cross relation as indicated by Figure 5. As with the previous paragraph, also take $[U_-, c, U_+]$ where without loss of generality $T_+ = U_-$. Note the bottom of the k^{th} strand of b is the l^{th} leaf of T_+ . As before, $T_+ = U_-$ implies $[T_-, b, T_+][U_-, c, U_+] = [T_-, bc, U_+]$ and we can find $[T'_-, b', T'_+][U_-, c, U_+]$ using the following argument. As T'_+ was obtained by adding the appropriate combination of carets to the l^{th} leaf of T_+ , namely a blue caret with a red caret on each foot, we apply the braided cross relation upside down to the l^{th} leaf of U_- of (U_-, c, U_+) to get (U'_-, c', U'_+) . It follows that $T'_+ = U'_-$, hence $[T'_-, b', T'_+][U_-, c, U_+] = [T'_-, b', T'_+][U'_-, c', U'_+] = [T'_-, b'c', U'_+]$. Observe that the additional braid in c' is the inverse of the additional braid in b' , so these recently added braids cancel each other out and can be replaced with the trivial braid on four strands. From there we can reduce T'_- and U'_+ by removing the recently added carets. In other words $(T'_-, b'c', U'_+)$ reduces to (T_-, bc, U_+) . Therefore, $[T'_-, b'c', U'_+] = [T_-, bc, U_+]$ as desired.

The operation $*$ on the set of equivalence classes of braided paired multicolored tree diagrams is therefore a well defined group operation.

Definition 1.6 (Braided Brin-Thompson group sV_{br}). The braided Brin-Thompson group sV_{br} ($s \in \mathbb{N}$) is the group of equivalence classes of braided paired s -colored tree diagrams with multiplication $*$.

From now on we will just refer to the braided paired multicolored tree diagrams as being the elements of sV_{br} , though one should keep in mind that the elements




FIGURE 6. Moves to reduce braided paired multicolored tree diagrams after stacking. Note only caretts have colors, not braids, and the color red can be interchanged with other colors as long as it stays consistent for both sides of the equality.

are actually equivalence classes under the reduction, expansion, and braided cross relation operations.

It is convenient to have the following picture in mind for elements of sV_{br} . We can think of T_- as described and T_+ is drawn beneath it upside down so that the root is at the bottom and the leaves are at the top. We then connect the leaves of T_- to the leaves of T_+ as indicated by the braid b . This turns the picture into a kind of strand diagram as in [BM14]. Notice the similarity of drawing elements of sV_{br} to drawing elements of sV as demonstrated in Figure 2. In other words we are taking an element of sV and replacing the permutation with a braid. See the previously mentioned Figure 4 for an example of an element in $2V_{\text{br}}$.

With this way of picturing elements of sV_{br} , we have a convenient way to visualize multiplication in sV_{br} via “stacking” braided paired multicolored tree diagrams. For $g, h \in sV_{\text{br}}$, each pictured as described before, $g * h$ is obtained by attaching the top of h to the bottom of g and then reducing the picture via certain moves. We indicate four of these moves in Figure 6. A “merge” which is a caret opening upwards followed immediately by a “split” which is a caret opening downwards of the same color, or a split followed immediately by a merge of the same color, is equivalent to doing nothing, as seen in the top two pictures. Also, splits and merges interact with braids in ways indicated by the bottom two pictures. Additionally, we may need to apply the braided cross relation in order to obtain $g * h$. We leave it to the reader to further inspect the details of this visualization of multiplication in sV_{br} .

Our current goal is to inspect the higher finiteness properties of the sV_{br} , though first we will need some more language. We now introduce a class of diagrams that will be used throughout the rest of this paper.

1.2. A general class of diagrams. As in [BFM⁺16], to define the spaces we will use, we need a broader class of diagrams that generalizes braided paired multicolored tree diagrams, namely we will consider multicolored forests instead of multicolored trees. We will also continue to use the notion of strand diagrams. Here a multicolored forest will always mean a finite linearly ordered union of binary rooted multicolored trees. Given a braided paired multicolored tree diagram (T_-, b, T_+) we call a caret in T_- a *split*. Similarly a *merge* is a caret in T_+ . With this terminology, we can call the picture representing the braided paired tree diagram a *multicolored split-braid-merge diagram*, abbreviated *multicolored sprai*ge. That is, we first picture one strand splitting up into n strands in a certain way, representing T_- . Then the n strands braid with each other, representing b . Finally according to T_+ we merge the strands back together. These special kinds of diagrams will also be called *multicolored (1, 1)-sprai*ges. More generally:

Definition 1.7 (Multicolored Spraiges). A *multicolored (n, m) -sprai*ge is a multicolored spraige that begins on n strands, the (*multicolored*) *heads*, and ends on m strands, the (*multicolored*) *feet*, and is braided in the middle. We can equivalently think of a *multicolored (n, m) -sprai*ge as a *braided paired multicolored forest diagram* (F_-, b, F_+) , where F_- has n roots, F_+ has m roots and both have the k leaves with $b \in B_k$. By a *multicolored n -sprai*ge we mean a multicolored (n, m) -spraige for some m , and by a *multicolored sprai*ge we mean a multicolored (n, m) -spraige for some n and m . Let $s\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of all s -colored spraiges, $s\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$ denote the set of all s -colored (n, m) -spraiges, and $s\mathcal{S}_n$ denote the set of s -colored n -spraiges.

Observation 1.8 (Left Cancellation). Note that if $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n,m}$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{m,\ell}$ with $[\sigma * \tau_1] = [\sigma * \tau_2]$, then $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$.

Note that a multicolored n -spraige has n heads, but can have any number of feet. Later we will need to use the “number of feet” function, which we define as $f: s\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $f(\sigma) = m$ if $\sigma \in s\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$ for some n .

The pictures in Figure 7 are examples of multicolored spraiges. As a remark, in the figures, a single-node tree will sometimes be elongated to an uncolored edge, for aesthetic reasons. One can generalize the notion of reduction, expansion, and the braided cross relation to arbitrary multicolored spraiges, and consider equivalence classes under these moves. We will just call an equivalence class of multicolored spraiges a multicolored spraige, so in particular we have the following observation:

Observation 1.9. sV_{br} equals the set of multicolored $(1, 1)$ -spraiges $s\mathcal{S}_{1,1}$.




FIGURE 7. Multiplication of multicolored spraiges.




FIGURE 8. On top is a multicolored elementary forest and on the bottom is a multicolored very elementary forest.

The operation $*$ defined for sV_{br} can be defined in general for multicolored spraiges, via concatenation of diagrams. It is only defined for certain pairs of multicolored spraiges, namely we can multiply $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2$ for $\sigma_1 \in s_1 \mathcal{S}_{n_1, m_1}$ and $\sigma_2 \in s_2 \mathcal{S}_{n_2, m_2}$ if and only if $m_1 = n_2$. In this case we obtain $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 \in \max\{s_1, s_2\} \mathcal{S}_{n_1, m_2}$. For an example of the multiplication of multicolored spraiges see Figure 7.

Remark 1.10. As a remark, the following observations show that $s\mathcal{S}$ is a groupoid.

- (i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an identity multicolored (n, n) -spraige 1_n with respect to $*$, namely the multicolored spraige represented by $(1_n, \text{id}, 1_n)$. Here, by abuse of notation, 1_n also denotes the trivial forest with n roots.
- (ii) For every multicolored (n, m) -spraige (F_-, b, F_+) there exists an inverse multicolored (m, n) -spraige (F_+, b^{-1}, F_-) , in the sense that

$$(F_-, b, F_+) * (F_+, b^{-1}, F_-) = 1_n$$

and

$$(F_+, b^{-1}, F_-) * (F_-, b, F_+) = 1_m.$$

We will need a further understanding of a certain characteristic of a multicolored forest in order to set up the Stein complex in following section.

Definition 1.11. A multicolored forest is characterized by being *very elementary* if there is at most one caret per head, and any such forest is then called a *very elementary multicolored forest*. A multicolored forest is called *elementary* if there is at most one caret per color per head, and any such forest is then called an




FIGURE 9. A splitting of a multicolored spraige.




FIGURE 10. From left to right: An elementary merging of a multicolored spraige, the resulting multicolored spraige, and an equivalent spraige after applying the braided cross relation.

elementary multicolored forest. See Figure 8 for an example of an elementary multicolored forest and a very elementary multicolored forest.

Observe that if $s = 1$, that is, we are only dealing with a single color for the entire tree, then elementary and very elementary mean the same thing.

For a given multicolored (n, m) -spraige σ , consider any multicolored forest F with m roots and l leaves. Define a *multicolored splitting of σ by F* as multiplying σ on the right by the multicolored spraige $(F, id, 1_l)$. In a similar manner, one says that we have a *multicolored merging of σ by F'* when we multiply on the right by the multicolored spraige $(1_m, id, F')$. Moreover, if F (respectively F') is a (very) elementary forest, then we call this process a *(very) elementary splitting* (respectively *(very) elementary merging*). If F (respectively F') were a single caret we will shorten it by saying *adding a split* (respectively *adding a merge*) to the multicolored spraige. For an illustration of this splitting of a multicolored spraige see Figure 9 and this multicolored merging of a spraige see Figure 10.

We can specialize multicolored spraiges to multicolored braiges as follows.




FIGURE 11. Multicolored dangling.

Definition 1.12. We call a multicolored spraiage a *multicolored braid-merge diagram*, abbreviated *multicolored braige* if there are no splits, that is, it is of the form $(1_n, b, F)$ for $b \in B_n$ and F is a multicolored forest having n leaves. Moreover, if F is a (very) elementary forest then we have an (very) *elementary braige*. Analogously to multicolored spraiages, we say *multicolored n -braige* and (very) *elementary multicolored n -braige* to mean a multicolored braige with n heads and any number of feet with the latter having a (very) elementary multicolored forest as defined.

1.3. Dangling multicolored spraiages. We can identify the braid group B_n with a subgroup of $s\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ via $b \mapsto (1_n, b, 1_n)$. In particular for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a right action of the braid group B_m on $2\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$, by right multiplication. Quotienting out modulo this action encodes the idea that the feet of a multicolored spraiage may “dangle”. See Figure 11 for an example of the dangling action of B_2 on $2\mathcal{S}_{4,2}$.

Definition 1.13. For $\sigma \in s\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$, denote the orbit of σ under the quotient of this action by $[\sigma]$ and call the orbit a *dangling multicolored (n, m) -spraiage*. We mean *dangling multicolored n -spraiage* and *dangling multicolored spraiage* in the same manner as with other types of multicolored spraiages. The collection of all dangling multicolored spraiages will be denoted by $s\mathcal{P}$, with $s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ denoting the collection of all dangling multicolored (n, m) -spraiages and $s\mathcal{P}_n$ denoting the collection of all dangling multicolored n -spraiages.

Moreover, the action of B_m preserves the property of being a multicolored braige or (very) elementary multicolored braige, so we refer to dangling multicolored braiges and dangling (very) elementary multicolored braiges in similar contexts. When $m = 1$, B_m is trivial, so we will identify $s\mathcal{P}_{n,1}$ with $s\mathcal{S}_{n,1}$ for each n . We denote the collection of dangling elementary multicolored n -braiges by $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ and the collection of dangling very elementary multicolored n -braiges by $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$.

There exists a poset structure on $s\mathcal{P}$. Let $x, y \in s\mathcal{P}$ with $x = [\sigma_x]$. We say that $x \leq y$ if there exists a multicolored forest F with m leaves such that $y = [\sigma_x * (F, id, 1_m)]$. In other words, $x \leq y$ if y is obtained from x via multicolored splittings. Observe that each element can be obtained from itself via the trivial

splitting. Moreover, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, i.e., x and y can be obtained from each other via multicolored splittings, then these splittings must have been trivial, hence $x = y$. Finally, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ in $s\mathcal{P}$, then one can get from x to y and from y to z via splittings, hence we can get from x to z via the concatenation of these splittings so $x \leq z$. Therefore, \leq is a partial order. Also, if $x \in s\mathcal{P}_n$ and $y \in s\mathcal{P}$ with $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$, then $y \in s\mathcal{P}_n$. Thus two elements are comparable only if they have the same number of heads.

We will now define a relation \preceq on $s\mathcal{P}$ as follows. If $x = [\sigma_x] \in s\mathcal{P}$ and $y \in s\mathcal{P}$ such that $y = [\sigma_x * (F, id, 1_m)]$ for some elementary forest F , we write $x \preceq y$. That is, $x \preceq y$ if y is obtained from x via an elementary splitting. \preceq is well defined with respect to dangling. If $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$, then we write $x \prec y$. Note that \prec and \preceq are not transitive, however, if $x \preceq z$ and $x \leq y \leq z$ then $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$.

We will now use the generalized class of diagrams just constructed to discuss the space which will be essential to our proof.

2. THE STEIN COMPLEX

We denote the simplicial complex with a k simplex for every chain $x_0 < \dots < x_k$ in $s\mathcal{P}_1$, called the *geometric realization* of $s\mathcal{P}_1$, by $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$. A simplex is *elementary* if $x_0 \prec x_k$.

Definition 2.1. The *Stein complex* sX_{br} is the subcomplex of $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$ consisting of all elementary simplices.

We will prove sX_{br} is contractible. We will first need to discuss what it means to be an interval in this context.

Definition 2.2. Let $x, y \in |s\mathcal{P}_1|$. We define the closed interval $s[x, y]_{br} := \{z | x \leq z \leq y\}$ and obtain the half open intervals $s(x, y]_{br}$ and $s[x, y)_{br}$ along with the open interval $s(x, y)_{br}$ by restricting the appropriate inequalities. If $x \preceq y$ then we call the interval *elementary*, so $|s[x, y]_{br}|$ is contained in sX_{br} .

We will denote the unbraided version of $s(x, y)_{br}$ by $s(x, y)$, i.e. we replace the braid with a permutation. This interval is in its own poset, however, that is beyond the intent of this paper. The only thing we will need to know about $s(x, y)$ is that its geometric realization $|s(x, y)|$ is contractible by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. [FMWZ13, Lemma 2.4] *For $x < y$ with $x \not\preceq y$, $|s(x, y)|$ is contractible.*

We wish to use Lemma 2.3 to prove that $|s(x, y)_{br}|$ is also contractible.

Lemma 2.4. *For $x \leq y$ where $x, y \in |s\mathcal{P}_1|$ and $x \not\preceq y$, $|s(x, y)_{br}|$ is contractible.*

Proof. We will show that the poset $s(x, y)_{br}$ is isomorphic to $s(x, y)$, hence they have the same geometric realizations providing the desired result.

Recall that the equivalence relation for $s(x, y)_{br}$ is braiding the feet or “mod dangling the feet” and the equivalence relation for $s(x, y)$ is permuting the feet in a similar manner. For this proof we add the subscript br to an equivalence class in $s(x, y)_{br}$ to distinguish it from an equivalence class in $s(x, y)$. Consider the function $\phi : s(x, y)_{br} \rightarrow s(x, y)$ which sends $z = [x * (F, \text{id}, 1_n)]_{br}$ where F is an elementary forest to $\phi(z) := [x * (F, \text{id}, 1_n)]$. Note while we write x as part of $\phi(z)$ in the previous sentence we mean the permutation version of x as $\phi(z)$ is in $s(x, y)$. Due to the equivalence relation on $s\mathcal{P}_1$ we see that if two elements of $s(x, y)_{br}$ are the same up to dangling then their images will be the same up to permutation, hence the function is well defined. Moreover, we get surjectivity by construction as every element of $s(x, y)_{br}$ was constructed by braiding an element of $s(x, y)$.

We must prove the map ϕ is injective to finish the proof. Consider two elements, say $z = [x * (F, \text{id}, 1_n)]_{br}$ and $z' = [x * (F', \text{id}, 1_{n'})]_{br}$. Assuming $\phi(z) = \phi(z')$ we have $[x * (F, \text{id}, 1_n)] = [x * (F', \text{id}, 1_{n'})]$. By left cancellation, we have $[(F, \text{id}, 1_n)] = [(F', \text{id}, 1_{n'})]$. Therefore, $[(F, \sigma, F')]$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$ is trivial, i.e. it is the identity equivalence class. Since $[(F, \sigma, F')]$ is the identity, we know that there exists a sequence of expansions, reductions, and applications of the cross relation that turn (F, id, F) into (F, σ, F') . We can do the corresponding relations in the braided version to $[(F, \text{id}, F)]$ to obtain $[(F, \beta, F')]_{br}$ for some braid β . That is, there exists a braid β such that $[(F, \beta, F')]_{br}$ is the identity in the braided world. Therefore $[(F, \text{id}, 1_n)]_{br} = [(F', \text{id}, 1_n)]_{br}$, hence we have injectivity of ϕ .

Therefore, we have $s(x, y)_{br}$ is isomorphic to $s(x, y)$. Hence $|s(x, y)_{br}|$ is contractible. \square

Corollary 2.5. sX_{br} is contractible.

Proof. Observe that $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$ is contractible since directed posets are contractible. We can build from sX_{br} to $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$ by attaching new subcomplexes, as has been done before for the Stein complexes in [BFM⁺16, FMWZ13], and we claim that this never changes the homotopy type, so sX_{br} is contractible.

Given a closed interval $s[x, y]_{br}$, define $r(s[x, y]_{br}) := f(y) - f(x)$. We will attach closed intervals which are directed subposets, hence contractible subcomplexes, to $|s[x, y]_{br}|$ for $x \not\leq y$ to sX_{br} in increasing order of r -value so that we attach it along $|s[x, y]_{br}| \cup |s(x, y)_{br}|$. That is, we identify the part of $|s[x, y]_{br}|$ which is already in sX_{br} with itself. But this is the suspension of $|s(x, y)_{br}|$ because we have x and y as lower and upper bounds of $s(x, y)_{br}$ respectively, so attaching the intervals in this way makes two cone points. By Lemma 2.4, $|s(x, y)_{br}|$ is contractible and the suspension of a contractible space is contractible.

We conclude that attaching $|s[x, y]_{br}|$ to $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$ does not change the homotopy type, thus $|s\mathcal{P}_1|$ being contractible implies sX_{br} is contractible. \square

We will now define a filtration of the Stein complex. Recall the height of a vertex, denoted by $f : s\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, is the number of feet it has, so its height increases when you add a split.

Definition 2.6. For $n \geq 1$, the sublevel set $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ is the full subcomplex of the Stein complex sX_{br} spanned by all vertices of height at most n . Moreover, $sX_{br}^{< n}$ is the full subcomplex of sX_{br} spanned by the vertices x such that its height is less than n .

This defines a natural filtration $(sX_{br}^{\leq n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sX_{br} . We will show that the orbit space of the action of sV_{br} on $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ is compact, i.e. there are only finitely many orbits of simplices in the sublevel set $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$.

Lemma 2.7 (Cocompactness). *For each $n \geq 1$ the sublevel set $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ is finite modulo sV_{br} .*

Proof. Note that for $k \geq 1$, sV_{br} acts on the left transitively on the set of s -colored $(1, k)$ -spragues. Thus there exists for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ one orbit of vertices x in $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ with $f(x) = k$. Given a vertex x with $f(x) = k$, since there are only finitely many ways to get from x to a vertex with n feet, there exists only finitely many simplices $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ in the sublevel set $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ that have x as the vertex of the simplex with the minimum f value of the simplex. If σ is a simplex in $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$ such that its vertex with the minimum height is in the same orbit as x , then σ must be in the same orbit as σ_i for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. That is, when the group acts on σ it is also acting on x which in turn shows σ is in the orbit of one of the previously mentioned simplices. It follows that there can only be finitely many orbits of simplices in the sublevel set $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$. \square

Lemma 2.8 (Vertex Stabilizers). *Let x be a vertex in sX_{br} with $f(x) = n$. The stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(x)$ is isomorphic to B_n .*

Proof. Firstly, identify B_n with its image under the inclusion $B_n \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ via sending b to $(1_n, b, 1_n)$. Let $g \in \text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(x)$ and fix $\sigma \in s\mathcal{S}_{1,n}$ with $x = [\sigma]$. By the definition of the stabilizer of a group we have $gx = x$, i.e. $[g * \sigma] = [\sigma]$. Thus $[\sigma^{-1} * g * \sigma] = [1_n]$, and so due to coset equality, $\sigma^{-1} * g * \sigma \in B_n$. Now define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(x) &\rightarrow B_n \\ g &\rightarrow \sigma^{-1} * g * \sigma \end{aligned}$$

This map has an inverse $b \rightarrow \sigma * b * \sigma^{-1}$. Thus ψ is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.9 (Simplex Stabilizers). *Let $\tau = \{x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k\}$ be a k -simplex in sX_{br} , $x_0 = [\sigma]$, and $f(x_0) = n$. Then the stabilizer of τ is of type F_∞ .*

Proof. For all $g \in sV_{br}$, $g \in \text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(\tau)$ if and only if g stabilizes each x_i for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Thus $\text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(\tau)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Stab}_{sV_{br}}(x_0) \cong B_n$.

We now wish to prove that PB_n , the pure braid group on n strands, stabilizes the simplex τ . Let $y = [\sigma * \lambda]$ where $\lambda = (F, \text{id}, 1_p)$ and F is an elementary forest with n roots and p feet. Define $b_g := \sigma^{-1} * g * \sigma \in B_n$ and specialize b_g to be a pure braid, so $b_g \in PB_n$. We know that $PB_n = \ker(B_n \rightarrow S_n)$ so pure braids are mapped to the identity permutation in S_n being each strand always ends back where it started, hence $b_g \in B_n^J$ for all $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where J is the subset of indices that are do not move, where B_n^J is as defined in [BFM⁺16]. Thus $[b_g * \lambda] = [\lambda]$ and by left multiplication of σ on both sides we have $[\sigma * b_g * \lambda] = [\sigma * \lambda]$. By the definition of b_g we get $[\sigma * (\sigma^{-1} * g * \sigma) * \lambda] = [\sigma * \lambda]$. After simplification we get $[g * \sigma * \lambda] = [\sigma * \lambda]$, hence $gy = y$. Therefore g stabilizes y . As $x < y$ was arbitrary, we have shown that g stabilizes x_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence g stabilizes τ , i.e. $\psi^{-1}(b_g) \in \text{Stab}_{sV_{\text{br}}}(\tau)$. Therefore, the simplex stabilizer contains PB_n , i.e. $\psi^{-1}(PB_n) < \text{Stab}_{sV_{\text{br}}}(\tau) < \text{Stab}_{sV_{\text{br}}}(x_0) \cong \psi^{-1}(B_n)$. Since S_n is finite, then PB_n has finite index in B_n . Hence $\text{Stab}_{sV_{\text{br}}}(\tau)$ has finite index in $\text{Stab}_{sV_{\text{br}}}(x_0)$. Moreover, finite index subgroups of groups of type F_∞ are of type F_∞ , hence we can conclude the simplex stabilizer is of type F_∞ . \square

The filtration $(sX_{br}^{\leq n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sX_{br} has satisfied all but one of the hypotheses of what is known as Brown's Criterion which we will now state, namely that the connectivity of the pair $(sX_{br}^{\leq n+1}, sX_{br}^{\leq n})$ tends to ∞ as n tends to ∞ .

Brown's Criterion. [Bro87, Corollary 3.3] *Let G be a group and X a contractible G -CW-complex such that the stabilizer of every cell is of type F_∞ . Let $\{X_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a filtration of X such that each X_j is finite mod G . Suppose that the connectivity of the pair (X_{j+1}, X_j) tends to ∞ as j tends to ∞ . Then G is of type F_∞ .*

The property of being type F_∞ and the connectivity properties of CW-pairs (X_{j+1}, X_j) where $X_j \subseteq X_{j+1}$ are closely related. A standard tool for working with such a relationship is Bestvina-Brady Morse Theory, introduced by Bestvina and Brady in [BB97]. The main ideas and results of [BB97] will be the discussion of the rest of this section. This is also the key tool we will need in order finish proving our main result.

Let X be an affine cell complex as in [BFM⁺16]. For our purposes, we merely need X to be a simplicial complex. We need a Morse function on X in the sense of [BB97]. Recall a *Morse function* is a map $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that restricts to a non-constant affine map on each positive dimensional cell of X ; that is h restricted to an n -cell C of $X^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$ is a nonconstant affine map, and $h(X^{(0)})$ is a discrete set in \mathbb{R} . Note that each cell has a unique vertex maximizing h . Call $h(x)$ the *height* of x for vertices x . We can now define the essential notions of the link of a vertex and the corresponding descending link of a vertex.

Definition 2.10. Given that y is an element of $X^{(0)}$, the *link* of y is the space of directions out of y into X and is denoted by $lk(y)$. Moreover, the *descending link* of y is the space of directions along which the given Morse function h goes down and is denoted by $lk_{\downarrow}(y)$.

In particular, if X is the geometric realization of a poset and h is a Morse function on X , then $lk(y)$ is homeomorphic to the realization of the subposet of all x in X such that $x < y$ or $y < x$. Moreover, $lk_{\downarrow}(y)$ is homeomorphic to the realization of the poset of all x such that $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ and $h(x) \leq h(y)$. More details on descending links and links in general can be found in [BB97], and the following Morse Lemma is a consequence of [BB97, Corollary 2.6].

Morse Lemma. *Let X be a simplicial complex and $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function.*

- (1) *Suppose that for any vertex y with $h(y) = t$, $lk_{\downarrow}(y)$ is $(k - 1)$ -connected. Then the pair $(Y^{\leq t}, Y^{< t})$ is k -connected, that is, the inclusion $Y^{< t} \hookrightarrow Y^{\leq t}$ induces an isomorphism in π_j for $j < k$, and an epimorphism in π_k .*
- (2) *Suppose that for any vertex y with $h(y) \geq t$, $lk_{\downarrow}(y)$ is $(k - 1)$ -connected. Then $(Y^{\leq t}, Y^{< t})$ is k -connected.*

Every simplex of sX_{br} has a unique vertex maximizing the number of feet function f , so f extends to a Morse function on $|sX_{br}|$. Hence we can inspect the connectivity of the pair $(sX_{br}^{\leq n}, sX_{br}^{< n})$ by looking at descending links with respect to f . In Section 4, we will describe a convenient model for the descending links which will include discussing how if $f(x) = n$ then $lk_{\downarrow}(x) \cong s\mathcal{EB}_n$, and then analyze the high connectivity of the descending links. Furthermore, later in Section 4 we will prove that $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ is highly connected in Corollary 4.13. Our proof relies on a complex that we call the *multicolored matching complex on a surface*, which we will define and analyze in the next section.

3. MULTICOLORED MATCHING COMPLEXES ON SURFACES

First we will mention what a matching complex on a graph and a multicolored matching arc complex on a surface are as they will be important later. We will finish the section by proving the aforementioned high connectivity result about multicolored matching arc complexes on a surface.

Definition 3.1 (Matching complex of a graph). Let Γ be a graph. The *matching complex* $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the simplicial complex with a k -simplex for every collection $\{e_0, \dots, e_k\}$ of $k + 1$ pairwise disjoint edges, with the face relation given by passing to subcollections.

We will now discuss colored arcs and multicolored matching arc complexes. Informally we consider arcs defined as paths between distinct endpoints and assign




FIGURE 12. From top to bottom: a multicolored arc system σ , a simplex after adding a colored arc to σ , and a simplex after taking away a colored arc from σ .

colors to the arcs. As in previous sections, we will $s \geq 1$ to be the number of desired number of colors. Let S denote a connected surface, with boundary ∂S , and P a finite set of points in $S \setminus \partial S$. The most important case will be S as a disk.

Definition 3.2. By a *colored arc*, we mean a simple path in $S \setminus \partial S$ that intersects P precisely at its endpoints, whose endpoints are distinct, and which is assigned a color between 1 and s .

A collection of arcs that are disjoint except possibly at their endpoints is called an arc system. For an illustration of a multicolored arc system, see Figure 12. Formally we have the following:

Definition 3.3 (Multicolored arc systems). Let $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ be a collection of colored arcs. If the α_i are all disjoint from each other except possibly at their endpoints, and if no α_i and α_j are homotopic relative P , we call $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ a *multicolored arc system*. The homotopy classes, relative P , of multicolored arc systems form the simplices of a simplicial complex, with the face relation given by passing to subcollections of arcs.

Recall that K_n is the complete graph on n vertices.

Definition 3.4 (Multicolored matching complex on a surface). Let $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ be the complex whose simplices are given by multicolored arc systems whose arcs are pairwise disjoint including at their endpoints. We call $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ the *multicolored matching arc complex* on (S, P) corresponding to K_n .

Observe that if $s = 1$, then $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n) = \mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is the subcomplex from [BFM⁺16]. Define $\nu(n) := \lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It was proven in [BFM⁺16] that

$\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. We will now prove the higher connectivity of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ for arbitrary s .

Theorem 3.5. *The complex $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. Let σ be a simplex in $\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ where $\dim(\sigma) = k$. Define a map $\phi : s\mathcal{MA}(K_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ which sends a multicolored arc to the unique arc with the same endpoints. In other words, $\phi(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is σ after forgetting all of its colors. Observe that while $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ and $\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ are not posets, we can barycentrically divide which makes the barycentric subdivisions of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ and $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ geometric realizations of posets. This is sufficient enough to work for [Qui78][Theorem 9.1]. We know by [BFM⁺16, Theorem 3.8] that $\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. It suffices to show that the link $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is $(\nu(n) - k - 2)$ -connected and the fiber $\phi^{-1}(\sigma)$ is $(k - 1)$ -connected. One can tell that the links of $\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ are matching arc complexes themselves, that is, $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MA}(K_{n-2(k+1)})$. It follows from [BFM⁺16][Theorem 3.8] that $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is $(\nu(n - 2k - 2) - 1)$ -connected. Observe the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(n - 2k - 2) - 1 &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-2k-2)-2}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{(n-2)-2k-2}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-2)-2k}{3} \right\rfloor - 2 \\ &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \right\rfloor - k - 2 \\ &= \nu(n) - k - 2 \end{aligned}$$



So the link is $(\nu(n) - k - 2)$ -connected as desired. Observe that the fiber $\phi^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the join of the fibers of the $k + 1$ vertices of σ . Therefore $\phi^{-1}(\sigma)$ is $(k - 1)$ -connected being the join of $k + 1$ nonempty things. We can now apply [Qui78, Theorem 9.1] and conclude that $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. \square

4. DESCENDING LINKS IN THE STEIN COMPLEX

We now return to the Stein complex sX_{br} and inspect the descending links of vertices with respect to the height function f . There is a relationship between the descending link $\text{lk}\downarrow(x)$ of a vertex x where $f(x) = n$ in the Stein complex sX_{br} and $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. In particular, thanks to left cancellation $\text{lk}\downarrow(x)$ is isomorphic to the simplicial complex $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. See Figure 13 for a visualization of this correspondence. It is standard to draw multicolored braiges as emerging from a horizontal line as there are no splits and as a visual reminder of this correspondence.

It is also important to define sL_n to be the linear graph with $n+1$ vertices labeled v_0, \dots, v_n and exactly s edges $e_{i,j}$ between each vertex with $\text{Ends}(e_{i,j}) = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1})$ be the matching complex on sL_{n-1} .

Observation 4.1. Very elementary forests with n leaves correspond bijectively to simplices of $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1})$. This is given by identifying a colored caret to the same colored edge. See Figure 14 for an example of this correspondence.

FIGURE 13. The correspondence between $\text{lk}\downarrow(x)$ and $s\mathcal{EB}_n$.FIGURE 14. An example of the bijective correspondence between very elementary multicolored forests with 9 leaves and simplices of $\mathcal{M}(2L_8)$.

4.1. Connectivity of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$. We will now construct a projection $s\mathcal{VEB}_n \rightarrow s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ to prove the connectivity of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ using the connectivity of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ and the tools of [Qui78]. Similar to what was done in [BFM⁺16], we will denote a very elementary multicolored n -braige by (b, Γ) , where $b \in B_n$ and Γ is a simplex in $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1})$. As we have the equivalence under dangling, we denote the equivalence class by $[(b, \Gamma)]$.

Now let S be the unit disk and fix an embedding $sL_{n-1} \hookrightarrow S$ to be the map which sends a colored edge between two vertices v_i and v_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ to the same coloring of a colored arc between the i^{th} and $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ puncture of S . Let P be the image of the vertex set under this map, hence P has n points in S labeled v_1, \dots, v_n . This set up allows us to consider the s -colored matching arc complex $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ where we have an induced embedding of simplicial complexes $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$. We know from [Bir74] that the braid group on n strands B_n is isomorphic to the mapping class group of the n -punctured disk D_n . Since $S \setminus P = D_n$, we have an action of B_n on $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$. We will specialize to the right action as that follows from what we discussed for dangling multicolored n -braiges, and thus we introduce the notation $(\sigma)b$ to be the image of σ under b where $\sigma \in s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ and $b \in B_n$.




FIGURE 15. From multicolored braiges to multicolored arc systems. From left to right the pictures show the process of “combing straight” the braid.

We will now define our map $s\pi$ from $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ to $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$. Viewing $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1})$ as a subcomplex of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ we can associate to a very elementary multicolored n -braige (b, Γ) the multicolored arc complex $(\Gamma)b^{-1}$ in $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$. By construction with the above discussion, the map $(b, \Gamma) \rightarrow (\Gamma)b^{-1}$ is well defined on equivalence classes under dangling, so we obtain the following map:

Definition 4.2. Using the above construction, define the following simplicial map:

$$s\pi : s\mathcal{VEB}_n \rightarrow s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$$

$$[(b, \Gamma)] \rightarrow (\Gamma)b^{-1}.$$

This map has a visualization of viewing merges as arcs, then “combing straight” the braid and seeing where the arcs are taken.

Note that $s\pi$ is surjective but not injective. This visualization can be seen in Figure 15. Note that the resulting simplex $(\Gamma)b^{-1}$ of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ has the same dimension as the simplex $[(b, \Gamma)]$ of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$. The following lemma and its corresponding proof are similar to the monocolored case where $s = 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ and Φ be simplices in $\mathcal{M}(sL_{n-1})$, such that Γ has one edge and Φ has $e(\Phi)$ edges. Let $[(b, \Gamma)]$ and $[(c, \Phi)]$ be dangling elementary multicolored n -braiges. Suppose that their images under the map π are contained in a simplex of $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$. Then there exists a simplex in $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ that contains $[(b, \Gamma)]$ and $[(c, \Phi)]$.*

Proof. Assume that the simplex $[(b, \Gamma)]$ is not a face of $[(c, \Phi)]$.

There is a natural action of B_n on $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ by braiding the strands at the top, i.e. $b'[(c, \Phi')] = [(b'c', \Phi')]$. It follows that up to the action of B_n without loss of generality we can assume $c = \text{id}$, and Φ is a subgraph of sL_{n-1} which has precisely $e(\Phi)$ edges connecting j to $j + 1$ for $j \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2e(\Phi) - 1\}$.

Now there is a colored arc α representing $s\pi([(b, \Gamma)])$ that is disjoint from Φ . Due to the disjointness, after dangling, we can assume the following: for each edge of Φ , say with endpoints j and $j + 1$, b represents a braid which the j^{th} and $(j + 1)^{\text{st}}$ strands run straight down, parallel to each other, and no strands cross between

them. That is, $[(b, \Phi)] = [(\text{id}, \Phi)]$. Thus $[(b, \Phi \cup \Gamma)]$ is a simplex in $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ with $[(b, \Gamma)]$ and $[(\text{id}, \Phi)]$ as faces. \square

In order to prove the connectivity of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ we first need to use Lemma 4.3 to prove the fiber of any k -simplex is $(k-1)$ -connected. The proof of this proposition is similar to the monocolored case from [BFM⁺16].

Proposition 4.4. *Let σ be a k -simplex in $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ with vertices v_0, \dots, v_k . Then*

$$(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma) = \bigstar_{j=0}^k (s\pi)^{-1}(v_j).$$

In particular $(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ is $(k-1)$ -connected.

Proof. The complexes on either side have the same vertex sets so we need to show that a finite set of vertices spans a simplex on the left if and only if it spans a simplex on the right.

“ \subseteq ”: This inclusion is equivalent to saying that vertices in the fiber $(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ that are connected by an edge map to distinct vertices under $s\pi$. This follows from the construction of $s\pi$.


“ \supseteq ”: We will proceed by induction. The 0-skeleton of $\bigstar_{j=0}^k (s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ consists of vertices which are mapped to vertices under $s\pi$, so it is contained in the fiber $(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$. Now assume that the same is true of the l -skeleton, for some $l \geq 0$. Let τ be an $(l+1)$ -simplex in $\bigstar_{j=0}^k (s\pi)^{-1}(v_j)$. Then decompose τ as the join of a vertex $[(b, \Gamma)]$ and an l -simplex $[(c, \Phi)]$. By induction, these are both in the fiber $(s\pi)^{-1}(\tau)$ and by Lemma 4.3 share a simplex in $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$. The minimal dimensional such simplex maps into σ under $s\pi$, hence we have our desired result.

The consequence of the resulting equality is that $(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the join of $k+1$ nonempty things, which is $(k-1)$ -connected. \square

We will now prove the high connectivity of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$. Recall that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu(n) = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor - 1$.

Corollary 4.5. *$s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. We wish to satisfy the conditions of [Qui78, Theorem 9.1]. We proved in Theorem 3.5 that $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. For any k -simplex σ in $s\mathcal{MA}(K_n)$, we know $(s\pi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ is $(k-1)$ -connected by Proposition 4.4. Moreover, we know the link $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is found by deleting $2k+2$ arcs from σ , hence $2k+2$ points from the set of punctures P . So there are $n - 2k - 2$ punctures left behind and $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is all the combinations of things we could do with the $n - 2k - 2$ punctures, hence $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to $s\mathcal{MA}(K_{n-2k-2})$. Therefore we apply Theorem 3.5 to conclude $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is $(\nu(n - 2k - 2) - 1)$ -connected. By the inequality

FIGURE 16. Given the element z shown, $\mu(z) = (1, 1)$ and $f(z) = 4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(n - 2k - 2) &= \lfloor \frac{(n-2k-2)+1}{3} \rfloor - 1 \\
 &\geq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{-2(k+1)}{3} \rfloor - 1 \\
 &= \nu(n) + \lfloor \frac{-2k-1}{3} \rfloor \\
 &\geq \nu(n) + \lfloor \frac{-2k}{3} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{-1}{3} \rfloor \\
 &\geq \nu(n) - k - 1
 \end{aligned}$$

we have $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ is the desired $(\nu(n) - k - 2)$ -connected. Therefore we have satisfied the hypotheses of [Qui78, Theorem 9.1] and we can conclude that $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. \square

4.2. Connectivity of $s\mathcal{EB}_n$, hence connectivity of the descending links.

We will now build $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ starting from $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ using a Morse function on the elements of $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ inspired by another Morse function in [FMWZ13] for sV . For the rest of this paper, given a braid (b, F) we will refer to the trees F as “merges,” and refer to “very elementary merges” to mean a merge with two leaves. Define μ_k to be the number of merges that have k leaves. This forms a function $\mu := (\mu_{2^s}, \mu_{2^s-1}, \dots, \mu_4, \mu_3)$ which we order lexicographically. Moreover, recall f to denote the number of feet of the given n -braid as before. Finally, define $h := (\mu, f)$ ordered lexicographically which satisfies being a Morse function as in [FMWZ13]. See Figure 16 for an illustration using the Morse function described here.

Fix z to be an element of $s\mathcal{EB}_n \setminus s\mathcal{VEB}_n$. We will analyze the descending link of z that depends on this Morse function h and denote it by $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$. As we have a lexicographic order on h there are two cases to consider: either μ decreases by adding legal splits to z or μ stays the same and f decreases by adding merges. We shall review these two cases for subcomplexes in this context. The full subcomplex spanned by vertices in the former case is called the *(descending) split link* of z . The full subcomplex of vertices that satisfy the latter case form the *(descending) merge link*. Consider two vertices y and w in the split link and merge link of z respectively. By the definition of these subcomplexes, we already know y is obtained from z via splits and w is obtained from z via merges. See Figure 17 for an illustration involving obtaining elements the split and merge links of a certain element.

The following provides two propositions which show the importance of the two subcomplexes of $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(x)$ where $x \in s\mathcal{EB}_n$.




FIGURE 17. Here is an example of an element (left), an element of its merge-link (top-right), and an element of its split-link (bottom-right).

Proposition 4.6. *Let x and y be vertices in $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ with $x < y$. Then $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ and $f(x) < f(y)$. Moreover, $h(x) < h(y)$ if and only if $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$, and $h(x) > h(y)$ if and only if $\mu(x) > \mu(y)$.*

Proof. Observe that the inequality $x < y$ means that y is obtained from x via a nontrivial splitting, which implies the “feet function” f will have the desired inequality $f(x) < f(y)$. In addition, adding splits to x is equivalent to μ_k changing in a decreasing way for some k . That is, if adding a split breaks up a merge with k leaves then μ_k decreases, and if that is the first μ_k where x and y differ we get $\mu(x) > \mu(y)$. Otherwise we get an equality.

Moreover, $h = (\mu, f)$ is ordered lexicographically. As we showed, $f(x) < f(y)$ and $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$. So $h(x) < h(y)$ if and only if $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$. Due to the lexicographic order and our previous observations, $h(x) > h(y)$ if and only if $\mu(x) > \mu(y)$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *The descending link $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$ is the join of the split link and merge link.*

Proof. Observe that every vertex of $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$ is in either the split link or the merge link, but not both due to the previous proposition. Moreover, since any split of z is also a split of any merge of z , then every simplex in the one subcomplex is joined to every simplex in the other subcomplex. \square

With this set up, we can now prove the connectivity of $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. The following two lemmas will help do just that.

Lemma 4.8. *When z has a very elementary merge (so $\mu_2(z)$ is nonzero), we have the split link is contractible and so $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$ is contractible.*

Proof. Let y be a vertex of the split link and so in $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. Since y is in the split link, we know y is obtained from z via (possibly very elementary) splittings. Let y_0 be obtained from z via doing all the same splittings as y except not splitting any very elementary merges. Thus $y \geq y_0$ as y can also be obtained from y_0 via splitting some very elementary merge(s). As y_0 is obtained by nontrivial splittings of z , we have $y_0 \geq z$. Moreover, we have $\mu(y_0) < \mu(z)$, thus y_0 is in the split link of z . Now let z_0 be the maximal element of the split link of z obtained by not splitting any very elementary merges of z . Observe that $\mu_2(z)$ is nonzero, so z_0 is still in $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. Due to z_0 being a maximal element, $y_0 \leq z_0$ for all y in the split link. Thus $y \geq y_0 \leq z_0$. This provides a contraction of the split link of z . \square

Given a merge of z , only certain single-caret splittings can be applied to it to keep it a braige (not merely a spraiage). For example, if z has a merge consisting of a red caret and a blue caret under it, we can apply a single blue single-caret splitting, however, any other splits would no longer result in a braige. Call a merge a *bottleneck merge* if it admits one color of single-caret splitting that yields a braige.

Lemma 4.9. *When z has no very elementary merge (so $\mu_2(z) = 0$), but does have a bottleneck merge, then the split link is contractible, and so $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$ is contractible.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the previous one. Let y be in the split link of z . Let y_0 be obtained from y as follows: if y involves splitting the bottleneck merge, then $y_0 = y$, and if y does not involve splitting the bottleneck merge, then y_0 is y except we additionally do the one legal single-caret splitting to the bottleneck. So far we have $z < y \leq y_0$ and $\mu(y_0) < \mu(z)$, as y is obtained from z via a nontrivial splitting and y_0 is obtained via y through another (possibly trivial) splitting. So y_0 still lies in the split link of z . Now let w be the element of the split link of z obtained by just doing the one legal single-caret splitting to the bottleneck merge, and nothing else. Clearly $w \leq y_0$ due to the second case described previously, and due to the bottleneck property in fact we also have $w \leq y_0$ in the first scenario. Hence we have $w \leq y_0 \geq y$, which provides a contraction of the split link of z . \square

In the process of proving our main result, it was realized that there is a mistake in the proof of Lemma 3.8 of [FMWZ13], namely the split link is not a join since it is missing the maximal simplex given by undoing every merge. That is, the split link in Lemma 3.8 of [FMWZ13] is the join of k nonempty complexes with a simplex removed is not immediately $(k-1)$ -connected, so we have the following lemma which proves this. In particular this fixes Lemma 3.8 of [FMWZ13].

Lemma 4.10. *Let S_1, \dots, S_k be 0-dimensional complexes. Let $X = S_1 * \dots * S_k$, so X is $(k-2)$ -connected. Let Δ be a (maximal) $(k-1)$ -simplex of X , say with*




FIGURE 18. On the left is an example of an unmerged head and on the right is an example of a non-very elementary merge.

vertices $s_i \in S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let Y be X with the interior of Δ removed. Assume that the inclusion $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Y$ is nullhomotopic. Then Y is $(k-2)$ -connected.

Proof. If $k = 1$ there is nothing to show since Y is (-1) -connected (i.e., non-empty). If $k = 2$ then it is easy to see that Y is 0 -connected (i.e., connected). Now assume $k \geq 3$. In particular $\partial\Delta = Y \cap \Delta$ (and Y) are connected, and X (and Δ) are simply connected. Hence applying Van-Kampen to $X = Y \cup \Delta$ yields $\{1\} \cong \pi_1(Y) *_{\pi_1(\partial\Delta)} \{1\}$. Since the map $\pi_1(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is trivial, we conclude $\{1\} \cong \pi_1(Y)$, i.e., Y is simply connected. Now thanks to Hurewicz it only remains to show that Y is $(k-2)$ -acyclic. For this we use Mayer–Vietoris. Since Δ is contractible, the Mayer–Vietoris sequence looks like

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow H_i(Y) \rightarrow H_i(X) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow \cdots$$

for all $i > 1$. Since X is $(k-2)$ -connected it is also $(k-2)$ -acyclic, so for all $i \leq k-2$ this becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow H_i(Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

We are assuming the inclusion $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Y$ is nullhomotopic, so it induces the trivial map in homology, and so by exactness we get that $H_i(Y) = 0$ for all $i \leq k-2$, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Under the set up of the previous lemma, if $|S_i| \geq 2$ for all i then the inclusion $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Y$ is always nullhomotopic.*

Proof. For each i choose $t_i \in S_i \setminus \{s_i\}$. Consider the subcomplex of X given by $Z = \{s_1, t_1\} * \cdots * \{s_k, t_k\}$. Since Z contains $\partial\Delta$, it is enough to show that $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Z \cap Y$ is nullhomotopic. Note that Z is a triangulation of S^{k-1} , namely it is the $(k-1)$ -dimensional hyperoctahedron. The subcomplex $\partial\Delta$ is a copy of S^{k-2} in here. It separates Z into two components, each of which is a $(k-1)$ -disk: one of them is the interior of Δ and the other is $Z \setminus \Delta$. In particular $\partial\Delta$ bounds a $(k-1)$ -disk in $Z \cap Y$, namely $Z \setminus \Delta$. We conclude that $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Z \cap Y$ and hence $\partial\Delta \rightarrow Y$ is nullhomotopic. \square

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and fixed $s > 1$, define $\eta(k) := \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2^s} \rfloor$ and recall that $\nu(k) = \lfloor \frac{k-2}{3} \rfloor$. Observe that $\eta(k)$ grows monotonically towards ∞ as k goes to ∞ .

Lemma 4.12. *When z has no very elementary merges and no bottleneck merges, $\text{lk}_{\downarrow, h}(z)$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. First note that if we consider $s = 1$, then very elementary and elementary mean the same thing and there is nothing more to show. We will maintain that $s > 1$. Observe that there are no very elementary merges, so the following mentions of merges are elementary merges. That is, every collection of merges will consist of at least 3 strands. Call a strand not involved in any merges an *unmerged head*. Also recall that a non-very elementary merge is a merge with at least three feet. See Figure 18 for an example of each of these. Let k_u be the number of unmerged heads and k_e be the number of non-very elementary merges. Observe that each non-very elementary merge can have at most one merge of each color in order to stay elementary, thus each non-very elementary merge has at most 2^s feet. Hence the number of non-very elementary merges satisfies $\frac{n - k_u}{2^s} \leq k_e$. Therefore by multiplication of 2^s we have $n - k_u \leq 2^s k_e$, hence $n \leq 2^s k_e + k_u$.

Since k_e counts the number of non-very elementary merges there are, then there are k_e ways of splitting non-very elementary merges. All but one of these merges could be split and results in an element of $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. The one scenario where we are not allowed to split a non-very elementary merge is when we split all of the merges at once as the resulting vertex would no longer be in $s\mathcal{EB}_n$. So all legal ways of splitting non-very elementary merges would reduce $\mu_k(z)$ for some k , resulting in a vertex of lower height. Thus all but the largest merge contribute a nonempty vertex to the split link. This shows that the split link is the join of all these vertices with a simplex removed representing the case where all merges are split. Observe that the join of k_e nonempty things is $(k_e - 2)$ -connected. Moreover, the simplex being removed that represents the maximal number of splits we can apply to z is itself a k_e -simplex. Since z has no bottlenecks, by Lemma 4.11 we have the boundary of this complex included into the simplex with the interior of the simplex removed is nullhomotopic. Therefore, by Lemma 4.10 we have the whole complex with the maximal simplex removed is $(k_e - 2)$ -connected. This complex is the split link, thus the split link is $(k_e - 2)$ -connected.

Let $X \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be the set of indices of the strands that were already involved in a non-very elementary merge of z . Denote the subcomplex of $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ which does not allow strands indexed by elements of X to be merged by $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n, X}$. Since the indices in X are not allowed to be used in further merges, it follows that each element in the merge link that does not use a strand labeled by X , i.e. every combination of merges applied to z with indices not from X , represents an element of $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n, X}$. Hence the merge link of z is isomorphic to $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n, X}$. Observe $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n, X}$ maps to $s\mathcal{MA}(K_{n-|X|}, S; P)$ where S is a surface with X as its boundary points by restricting $(s\pi)$ to $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n, X}$ and the colored arcs in the codomain do not

have endpoints in X . By Corollary 4.5 where n is replaced with $n - |X|$, $s\mathcal{VEB}_{n,X}$ and hence the merge link is $(\nu(n - |X|) - 1)$ -connected.

As $\text{lk}_{\downarrow,h}(z)$ is the join of the split link and the merge link and $k_e - 2 + \nu(n - |X|) - 1 + 2 = k_e + \nu(n - |X|) - 1$, we have that $\text{lk}_{\downarrow,h}(z)$ is $(k_e + \nu(n - |X|) - 1)$ -connected. Recall that $s > 1$ so $2^s > 3$. Due to properties of inequalities and $n - |X| = k_u$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_e + \nu(n - |X|) - 1 &\geq \frac{n - k_u}{2^s} + \nu(n - |X|) - 1 \\
&= \frac{n - k_u}{2^s} + \left\lfloor \frac{n - |X| - 2}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \\
&\geq \frac{n - k_u}{2^s} + \frac{n - |X| - 2}{3} - 2 \\
&\geq \frac{n - k_u}{2^s} + \frac{n - |X| - 2}{2^s} - 2 \\
&= \frac{n - k_u}{2^s} + \frac{k_u - 2}{2^s} - 2 \\
&= \frac{n - 2}{2^s} - 2 \\
&\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n - 2}{2^s} \right\rfloor - 2 \\
&= \eta(n) - 2
\end{aligned}$$

By this inequality, we can conclude that $\text{lk}_{\downarrow,h}(z)$ is at least $(\eta(n) - 2)$ -connected. \square

We are now able to prove the desired result of this subsection, allowing us to prove the main result of this paper. The Morse Lemma as stated in Section 2 will be of importance for the following two proofs.

Corollary 4.13. *$s\mathcal{EB}_n$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. Hence for any vertex x in sX_{br} with $f(x) = n$, $\text{lk}_{\downarrow}(x)$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. If $s = 1$, by [BFM⁺16, Theorem 3.8] $s\mathcal{EB}_n = s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. Now assume $s > 1$. By Corollary 4.5 and that $\eta \leq \nu$ we know $s\mathcal{VEB}_n$ is at least $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. Moreover, given $z \in s\mathcal{EB}_n \setminus s\mathcal{VEB}_n$, we know by Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.9, and Lemma 4.12, $\text{lk}_{\downarrow,h}(z)$ is contractible or at least $(\eta(n) - 2)$ -connected. It follows from the Morse Lemma that $s\mathcal{EB}_n$ is at least $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected. \square

Along with the previous corollary we also need the connectivity of the pair $(sX_{br}^{\leq n}, sX_{br}^{< n})$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.14. *For each $n \geq 1$, the pair $(sX_{br}^{\leq n}, sX_{br}^{< n})$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected for $s > 1$, and $(1X_{br}^{\leq n}, 1X_{br}^{< n})$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. Let x be a vertex in $sX_{br}^{\leq n}$. By Corollary 4.13, $\text{lk}_{\downarrow}(x)$ is at least $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected for $s > 1$, or $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected when $s = 1$. Therefore, by the Morse Lemma, we have the pair $(sX_{br}^{\leq n}, sX_{br}^{< n})$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected for $s > 1$, and $(1X_{br}^{\leq n}, 1X_{br}^{< n})$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. \square

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We are now prepared to apply Brown's Criterion.

Proof of Main Theorem. We wish to apply Brown's Criterion to sV_{br} . By Corollary 2.5 we know that sX_{br} is contractible. Moreover, we know the stabilizer of every simplex is of type F_∞ by Lemma 2.9. We also know that the filtration $(sX_{\text{br}}^{\leq n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is cocompact by Lemma 2.7 and lastly that the pair $(sX_{\text{br}}^{\leq n}, sX_{\text{br}}^{< n})$ is $(\eta(n) - 1)$ -connected or if $s = 1$ then $(1X_{\text{br}}^{\leq n}, 1X_{\text{br}}^{< n})$ is $(\nu(n) - 1)$ -connected. Therefore by Brown's Criterion we conclude that sV_{br} is of type F_∞ . \square

REFERENCES

- [AC] Julio Aroca and María Cumplido. A new family of infinitely braided Thompson's groups. arXiv:2005.09593.
- [BB97] Mladen Bestvina and Noel Brady. Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups. *Invent. Math.*, 129(3):445–470, 1997.
- [BBCS08] Tom Brady, José Burillo, Sean Cleary, and Melanie Stein. Pure braid subgroups of braided Thompson's groups. *Publ. Mat.*, 52(1):57–89, 2008.
- [BC10] José Burillo and Sean Cleary. Metric properties of higher-dimensional Thompson's groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 248(1):49–62, 2010.
- [BFM⁺16] Kai-Uwe Bux, Martin G. Fluch, Marco Marschler, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew Zaremsky. The braided Thompson's groups are of type F_∞ . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2016(718), Jan 2016.
- [Bir74] Joan S. Birman. *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82.
- [BM14] James Belk and Francesco Matucci. Conjugacy and dynamics in Thompson's groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 169:239–261, 2014.
- [Bri04] Matthew G. Brin. Higher dimensional Thompson groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 108:163–192, 2004.
- [Bri06] Matthew G. Brin. The algebra of strand splitting II: A presentation for the braid group on one strand. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 16(01):203–219, Feb 2006.
- [Bri07] Matthew G Brin. The algebra of strand splitting. I. a braided version of Thompson's group V. *Journal of Group Theory*, 10(6), Jan 2007.
- [Bro87] Kenneth S. Brown. Finiteness properties of groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 44(1-3):45–75, 1987.
- [CFP96] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry. Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 42(3-4):215–256, 1996.
- [Deh06] Patrick Dehornoy. The group of parenthesized braids. *Adv. Math.*, 205(2):354–409, 2006.
- [FMWZ13] Martin G. Fluch, Marco Marschler, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky. The Brin-Thompson groups sV are of type F_∞ . *Pacific J. Math.*, 266(2):283–295, 2013.
- [GLU] Anthony Genevois, Anne Lonjou, and Christian Urech. Asymptotically rigid mapping class groups I: Finiteness properties of braided Thompson's and Houghton's groups. arXiv:2010.07225.
- [Qui78] Daniel Quillen. Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p -subgroups of a group. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(2):101–128, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY AT ALBANY (SUNY), ALBANY, NY 12222

Email address: `rspahn@albany.edu`