

# Plebański-Demiański solutions in Quadratic gravity with conformally coupled scalar fields

Adolfo Cisterna,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Anibal Neira-Gallegos,<sup>3,†</sup>

Julio Oliva,<sup>3,‡</sup> and Scarlett C. Rebolledo-Caceres<sup>3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento,  
Via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo (TN), Italy.*

<sup>2</sup>*TIFPA - INFN, Via Sommarive 14, 38123 Povo (TN), Italy.*

<sup>3</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla, 160-C, Concepción, Chile.*

## Abstract

We show that the Plebański-Demiański spacetime persists as a solution of General Relativity when the theory is supplemented with both, a conformally coupled scalar theory and with quadratic curvature corrections. The quadratic terms are of two types and are given by quadratic combinations of the Riemann tensor as well as a higher curvature interaction constructed with a scalar field which is conformally coupled to quadratic terms in the curvature. The later is built in terms of a four-rank tensor  $S_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho}$  that depends on the Riemann tensor and the scalar field, and that transforms covariantly under local Weyl rescallings. Due to the generality of the Plebański-Demiański family, several new hairy black hole solutions are obtained in this higher curvature model. We pay particular attention to the C-metric spacetime and the stationary Taub-NUT metric, which in the hyperbolic case can be analytically extended leading to healthy, asymptotically AdS, wormhole configurations. Finally, we present a new general model for higher derivative, conformally coupled scalars, depending on an arbitrary function and that we have dubbed Conformal K-essence. We also construct spherically symmetric hairy black holes for these general models.

PACS numbers:

---

\*Electronic address: [adolfo.cisterna@ucentral.cl](mailto:adolfo.cisterna@ucentral.cl)

†Electronic address: [aneira2017@udec.cl](mailto:aneira2017@udec.cl)

‡Electronic address: [juoliva@udec.cl](mailto:juoliva@udec.cl)

§Electronic address: [srebolledo2017@udec.cl](mailto:srebolledo2017@udec.cl)

## I. INTRODUCTION

In gravity, described by General Relativity (GR) or in any of its extensions, a fundamental angle to be investigated is their spectrum of solutions. Such set includes gravitational waves [1] and black holes as well as other astrophysically relevant objects. Black holes are formed by gravitational collapse [2], a catastrophic event that may produce singularities, pathological points in the spacetime where geodesic completeness is broken and where the curvature may diverge [3]. The classical validity of GR is guaranteed by the cosmic censorship hypothesis [4] which asserts that under generic conditions and for realistic forms of matter the singularities are covered by a horizon, a surface from which no information can escape to infinity. Ultimately, a black hole is the region inside the event horizon, a region that is causally disconnected with future null infinity and whose boundary in the spacetime is given by the event horizon itself. It has been argued that, after the gravitational collapse takes place, the formed black hole can only be described by a well-defined set of parameters, its mass, electromagnetic charges and angular momentum, and that no possible further relevant characteristics of the original matter that produced the black hole, such as baryonic or leptonic numbers for example, survive the process. In some case this conjecture can be proven and leads to no-hair theorems [5], where hair is used to name all possible characteristics of black holes that make them not bald, those quantities that do not hold a Gauss law, and as a consequence are not conserved at infinity.

In spite of these results, it is possible to construct hairy black holes in General Relativity with a sensible matter content. The first attempts in this direction were carried out with the backreaction of a conformally coupled scalar field [6, 7]. A backreacting, minimally coupled, static, spherically symmetric massless scalar field inevitably leads to a singular spacetime, which can be integrated analytically [8, 9]. Such pathology remains even beyond spherical symmetry in the static case [10]<sup>1</sup>. Nevertheless, the introduction of a conformal coupling with the curvature allows to by-pass the no-hair results providing a healthy spacetime, but with a scalar field that diverges at the horizon. Notwithstanding this result, as shown in [13] such singular behavior does not imply infinite tidal forces on a falling object. The presence of a cosmological constant and a quartic self-interaction pushes the scalar field singularity

---

<sup>1</sup> Recently these no-hair results have been revisited in the context of stationary, axisymmetric spacetimes endowed with reasonable matter fields that do not share the same symmetries of the metric [11, 12].

inside the event horizon [14, 15] and a rich family of causal structures can be obtained in this setup [16]. Those features are also exhibited by higher-dimensional rotating solutions even when the coupling factor is not conformal and a self-interacting potential is present [17]. In this line, including a self-interacting potential that induces a deviation from conformal symmetry by the presence of linear and cubic terms, allows to construct regular black holes and wormholes in (A)dS spacetime [18], [19], while the most general scalar field potential consistent with a Petrov Type-D background has been found in [20]. A charged generalization of these solutions in the static case permits the presence of a mass term for the scalar [21].

When GR is embedded in a UV complete theory, higher curvature corrections inevitably emerge. In four dimensions, quadratic higher curvature corrections are particularly interesting since they provide a power counting renormalizable theory, at the cost of introducing a ghost mode [22]. Due to the topological nature of the quadratic Euler combination in four dimensions, one has only two arbitrary couplings in the quadratic sector. Unitarity is recovered if one restricts oneself to the model of the form  $R^2$ , since this term is dual to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian with a scalar field. Consequently, it is natural to wonder how these higher curvature corrections modify the spectrum of black holes. In vacuum one can prove that, static, asymptotically flat black holes exist, only when the quadratic combination reduces to the Weyl square term of four-dimensional Conformal Gravity and the static black holes must be integrated numerically [23] (see [24] for the asymptotically (A)dS case). Remarkably, it has been found recently that the static black hole solutions of this model can be constructed as a power series around the horizon, leading to a recurrence equation that can be solved in a closed form [25–28].

Since the presence of a conformally coupled scalar has proven to be fruitful in the construction of analytic hairy black holes, it is also natural to wonder about how to couple in a Weyl invariant manner a scalar field with these higher curvature terms. The simplest way to perform such a task is to make use of the following tensor

$$S^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho} = \phi^2 R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho} - 4\phi\delta_{[\lambda}^{[\mu}\nabla^{\nu]}\nabla_{\rho]}\phi + 8\delta_{[\lambda}^{[\mu}\nabla^{\nu]}\phi\nabla_{\rho]}\phi - 2\delta_{\lambda\rho}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}\phi\nabla^{\alpha}\phi, \quad (1)$$

which was introduced in [29] in order to provide a higher dimensional generalization of the standard conformally coupled scalar field to Euler densities of higher degree (see also the works [30]-[33] for the use of a Weyl gauging in the construction of conformal higher

curvature couplings with a vector field as well as a scalar). This tensor has the same symmetry properties as the Riemann tensor, and under the local Weyl rescaling

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega(x)^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \phi \rightarrow \Omega(x)^{-1} \phi, \quad (2)$$

it transforms into

$$S^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho} \rightarrow \Omega(x)^{-2} S^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho}. \quad (3)$$

Conveniently, the standard Lagrangian for a conformally coupled scalar field is, up to a boundary term, equal to the second trace of the tensor (1),  $S = g^{\mu\lambda} g^{\nu\rho} S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ . Here after we also define its first trace as  $S_{\nu\rho} = g^{\mu\lambda} S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ .

In this work we will consider the following model

$$I[g, \phi] = \int \left( \frac{R - 2\Lambda}{2\kappa} + \alpha_1 R^2 + \alpha_2 C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} C^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - \lambda\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12}R\phi^2 + \beta\phi^{-4}S^2 \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (4)$$

which can be dubbed Conformal Quadratic Gravity. We use  $\kappa = 8\pi G$ . This theory is the natural generalization of the standard Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian with a conformally coupled scalar field, including now conformal couplings with quadratic terms in the curvature. For  $\alpha_1 = \beta = 0$ , this model has been considered for example in [34] and [35] in the context of a cosmological model for the early universe, which may hold up to arbitrarily high energies, and has also been revisited in [36] in the realm of black holes. For non-vanishing  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta$ , new static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically (A)dS black holes were constructed in [37]. The main purpose of the present work is to extend such explorations beyond staticity. There are three algebraically independent quadratic combinations of the Riemann tensor and the tensor  $S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ , namely  $(R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}, R^2)$  and  $(\phi^{-4} S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} S^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \phi^{-4} S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}, \phi^{-4} S^2)$ , where each of the elements of the later set transforms homogeneously, with a weight  $\Omega^{-4}(x)$  under a local Weyl rescaling (2). Using the topological nature of the Euler combination in four dimensions, it is useful to consider  $R^2$  and  $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} C^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$  as the basis for quadratic Lagrangians in four dimensions. In the conformal matter sector, it can also be proven that  $\phi^{-4} (S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} S^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - 4S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} + S^2)$  is a boundary term. Additionally, denoting by  $W_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(S)$  the trace free part of the tensor  $S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ , one can prove that  $\phi^{-4} W_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(S) W^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(S) = C_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} C^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ . Consequently, the Lagrangian (4) is the most general one containing up to quadratic terms in both curvatures. It is interesting to notice that the tensor  $S_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$  can be obtained from a change of frame of the Riemann tensor, where the scalar field emerges as a Weyl compensator. The presence of non-conformally invariant

terms in the action removes the pure gauge nature of the scalar in such construction, and allows to introduce a new conformal degree of freedom.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section II we provide the field equations of the model, and review some of its properties including the existence of static black hole solutions. In Section III, we construct new solutions for this system within the C-metric ansatz as well as the Plebański-Demiański family of spacetimes. Section IV is devoted to the analysis of the causal structures of some particular cases containing Taub-NUT spacetimes as well as asymptotically AdS wormholes. In Section V, we introduce a new family of conformally invariant interactions which we dubbed Conformal K-essence, and we show that they also possess, generically, hairy black holes. Our conclusion is given in Section VI.

## II. THE MODEL AND FIELD EQUATIONS

Stationary variations of the action (4) with respect to the metric and the scalar provide the following set of field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (5)$$

$$\left(\square - \frac{1}{6}R\right)\phi = 4\lambda\phi^3 - 4\beta(-S^2\phi^{-5} + \phi^{-3}RS - 3S\phi^{-4}\square\phi - 3\square(\phi^{-3}S)) \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = & -2\alpha_1 \left( 2g_{\mu\nu}\square R - 2\nabla_\nu\nabla_\mu R + 2RR_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R^2 \right) - 4\alpha_2 (\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta C_{\alpha(\mu\nu)\beta} + R^{\alpha\beta}C_{\alpha(\mu\nu)\beta}) \\ & - 2\beta \left( (2R_{\mu\nu} - 2\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu + 2g_{\mu\nu}\square)(\phi^{-2}S) + 12\nabla_{(\mu}(\phi^{-3}S)\nabla_{\nu)}\phi - 6g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\alpha(\phi^{-3}S\nabla_\alpha\phi) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\phi^{-4}S^2 \right) \\ & + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(G_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu + g_{\mu\nu}\square)\phi^2 - \lambda g_{\mu\nu}\phi^4 . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Due to the conformal invariance of the matter sector, the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is proportional to the field equation for the scalar and therefore, on-shell one has

$$6\alpha_1 \left( \square - \frac{1}{6\alpha_1} \right) (R - 4\Lambda) = 0 . \quad (8)$$

As usual this equation signals the presence of a scalar degree of freedom coming from the quadratic gravity terms. Such d.o.f. has an effective mass of  $m_{eff}^2 = (6\alpha_1)^{-1}$ , and therefore, from the perspective of flat spacetime one must impose  $\alpha_1 > 0$ . Using the standard trick of multiplying and then integrating on the spacelike section of the domain of outer communications of a would be stationary black hole, one can prove that this equation equation

(8) implies the constraint  $R = 4\Lambda$ . This argument also applies in some supergravity models with  $R^2$  terms [38].

As a matter of fact and also for simplicity, hereafter we focus on such sector of the space of solutions of this higher curvature theory.

In the absence of the term  $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$  in the action, i.e. when  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , it was proven in [37] that the model has the following configuration as a solution

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_\gamma^2, \phi = \phi(r), \quad (9)$$

being

$$f(r) = -\frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{r}\right)^2, \quad \phi(r) = \sqrt{\frac{3\mu^2(1 + 128\pi G\Lambda(\alpha_1 + \beta))}{4G\pi}} \frac{1}{r - \mu}, \quad (10)$$

and where  $\gamma$  is the curvature of the horizon and the following relation between the couplings must be met

$$\lambda = -\frac{2\pi G\Lambda}{9(1 + 128\pi G\Lambda(\alpha_1 + \beta))}. \quad (11)$$

This metric, originally constructed in the model without higher derivative terms, contains a rich set of causal structures [14–16], which include asymptotically de Sitter black holes with a scalar field regular on and outside the horizon, as well as asymptotically locally AdS black holes with hyperbolic horizons. Including the higher derivative terms, and assuming staticity, this is the only solution within the ansatz with a single blackening factor. The solution can be charged both electrically and magnetically [37].

### III. THE PLEBAŃSKI-DEMIAŃSKI FAMILY

The Plebański-Demiański spacetime is the most general Petrov Type-D metric which solves the Einstein-Lambda field equations. In spite of its complexity, in [39] it was shown that such stationary spacetime does support a conformal hair on black holes as well as gravitational stealths (non-trivial scalar field profiles with vanishing energy-momentum tensor [40, 41]) in the prototypical second order scalar-tensor model  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta = 0$ , while the static C-metric ansatz was also shown to lead to non-trivial solutions in this system in [42].

The ansatz leading to the Plebański-Demiański solution in General Relativity [43] can be

written as [44]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha xy)^2} \left[ -\frac{X(x) (d\tau - \omega y^2 d\sigma)^2}{x^2 + \omega^2 y^2} + \frac{Y(y) (\omega d\tau + x^2 d\sigma)^2}{x^2 + \omega^2 y^2} + (x^2 + \omega^2 y^2) \left( \frac{dy^2}{Y(y)} + \frac{dx^2}{X(x)} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  are parameters introduced in [44], which facilitate taking different limits for physically relevant cases. Since our matter field is conformally invariant, the trace of the field equations leads to equation (8), and inspired by the no-hair result described above, we solve such an equation by imposing

$$R = 4\Lambda. \quad (13)$$

This equation is in particular solved by quartic polynomials on their variables for  $X$  and  $Y$ , while in this sector, the remaining field equations imply the following non-trivial solution of the system

$$X(x) = -\left(\alpha^2 y_0 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda_0\right)x^4 - y_2 x^2 + x_0, \quad (14)$$

$$Y(y) = -\left(x_0 \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda_0 \omega^2\right)y^4 + y_2 y^2 + y_0, \quad (15)$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{B(1 - \alpha xy)}{(1 + \alpha xy)}, \quad (16)$$

which solves the field equations provided

$$\kappa B^2 = 6(1 + 16\kappa\Lambda_0(\alpha_1 + \beta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = -\frac{\Lambda_0}{6B^2}. \quad (17)$$

This configuration extends to the realm of Conformal Quadratic Gravity the hairy Plebański-Demiański solution constructed in [39] as well as the C-metric found in [42]. As in [39], there are also extra branches that lead to non-trivial scalar field profiles with vanishing energy-momentum tensor on locally constant curvature spacetimes. Nevertheless, the configuration defined by (14)-(16) defines a spacetime with non-trivial curvature. Here,  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$  and  $y_2$  are integration constants. Along the lines of [44] one can analyze the different causal structures contained in (12). Below, we obtain the C-metric as well as the topological Taub-NUT spacetime integrating the equations from scratch, also we provide comments on their causal structures.

### A. C-metric black holes

The metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(y - Ax)^2} \left[ \frac{dx^2}{X(x)} + \frac{dy^2}{Y(y)} - Y(y) dt^2 + X(x) d\sigma^2 \right], \quad (18)$$

with

$$X(x) = -A^2 y_4 x^4 - A y_3 x^3 - y_2 x^2 - \frac{y_3(4y_2 y_4 - y_3^2)}{8A y_4^2} x + x_0, \quad (19)$$

$$Y(y) = y_4 y^4 + y_3 y^3 + y_2 y^2 + \frac{y_3(4y_2 y_4 - y_3^2)}{8y_4^2} y - A^2 x_0 - \frac{\Lambda_0}{3}, \quad (20)$$

$$\phi(x, y) = 4y_4 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\kappa} (1 + 16\kappa\Lambda_0(\alpha_1 + \beta))} \frac{y - Ax}{2y_4(Ax + y) + y_3}, \quad (21)$$

is a solution of the higher-derivative action (4), provided the couplings of the theory are related as

$$\lambda = -\frac{\Lambda_0 \kappa}{36(1 + 16\kappa\Lambda_0(\alpha_1 + \beta))}. \quad (22)$$

Here  $x_0, y_2, y_3$  and  $y_4$  are constants. Depending on the structure of zeros of the polynomial  $X(x)$  one can have constant  $t$  surfaces with different topologies. Our solution is an extension to the higher derivative regime of the hairy C-metric found in [42] and [39]. The sign of the integration constants is not fixed a priori, in contraposition to what occurs with a term of the form  $Q^2$  in the metric, when  $Q$  is the electric charge. When  $X(x)$  has two zeros at  $x = x_{\max}$  and  $x = x_{\min}$ , and it is positive between such points, one can restrict the coordinate  $x$  to such regions and identify the coordinate  $\sigma$ . In general, this introduces conical singularities at  $x = x_{\max}$  and  $x = x_{\min}$ , both of which can be remarkably removed in this case due to the backreaction of the conformal scalar, leading to the cohomogeneity-two black holes reported in [39]. As shown above, such structure persists when higher curvature terms are included.

## IV. TOPOLOGICAL TAUB-NUT WITH A CONFORMAL HAIR

It is known from [39] that a limit can be taken from the Plebański-Demiański spacetime which leads to Taub-NUT spacetime in the second order theory defined by (4) with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta = 0$ . Even more, such spacetimes can be conveniently obtained by direct integration [45] and by using a generalization of the Ernst generating technique [46, 47]. In presence of

the higher derivative terms, when the relation (22) holds, the configurations are corrected and lead to

$$ds^2 = -f(r) \left( dt + n \begin{pmatrix} 4 \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ \rho^2 \\ 4 \sinh^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix} d\phi \right)^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + (r^2 + n^2) \left( \begin{pmatrix} d\theta^2 \\ d\rho^2 \\ d\psi^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \theta \\ \rho^2 \\ \sinh^2 \psi \end{pmatrix} d\phi^2 \right), \quad (23)$$

with

$$f(r) = -\frac{\Lambda_0}{3} (r^2 + n^2) + \left( \gamma - \frac{4}{3} n^2 \Lambda_0 \right) \frac{(r - M)^2}{r^2 + n^2} \quad (24)$$

$$\phi(r) = 2\sqrt{\frac{3(1 + 16\kappa\Lambda_0(\alpha_1 + \beta))}{2\kappa}} \frac{\sqrt{M^2 + n^2}}{r - M} \quad (25)$$

where  $\gamma = +1, 0, -1$ , corresponds to the first, second and third line of (23), respectively,  $M$  is the mass and  $n$  the NUT parameter (notice that Taub-NUT/Bolt solutions with scalar hair have also been recently obtained in Ref. [48]).

Restricting to the hyperbolic case ( $\gamma = -1$ ), one can perform the following formal transformation on such a configuration

$$t = ui + nT, \quad \psi = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}i \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = T, \quad (26)$$

which leads to

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + f(r) (du + 2n \sinh \theta dT)^2 + (r^2 + n^2) (-\cosh^2 \theta dT^2 + d\theta^2). \quad (27)$$

with  $f(r)$  and the scalar field given by (24) and (25), respectively. For negative cosmological constant, when  $f(r) > 0$  in the domain  $-\infty < r < \infty$ , a condition that is achieved in an open set of the parameter space  $(n, M)$ , this configuration describes the hairy extension of the asymptotically locally AdS wormhole found in [49]. When a Maxwell field is included, this spacetime can be embedded in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauged supergravity and admits non-trivial Killing spinors [50]. It is interesting to notice, that the formal transformation (26) is not a double Wick rotation but still it leads to a Lorentzian geometry with a new causal structure. It is known that the hyperbolic Taub-NUT AdS is devoid of closed timelike curves, and the wormhole geometry and its hairy extension presented here maintain this property, which was proven in [49] along the lines of the analysis performed in [51] for a particular quotient of AdS<sub>3</sub> spacetime.

## V. A GENERAL FAMILY OF CONFORMALLY INVARIANT LAGRANGIANS: CONFORMAL K-ESSENCE

Since under a local Weyl rescaling the tensor  $S^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\rho}$  transforms as  $S^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\rho} \rightarrow \Omega^{-4} S^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\rho}$ , the matter theory

$$I_{\text{matter}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \phi^4 F(\phi^{-4} S) , \quad (28)$$

is invariant under conformal transformations for an arbitrary function  $F(Y) = F(\phi^{-4} S)$ . When  $F$  is a constant function, the action (28) corresponds to the conformal potential, while for  $F$  being the identity function, (28) reduces to the standard conformally coupled scalar field, up to a boundary term. The combination  $Y = \phi^{-4} S = \phi^{-4} (\phi^2 R - 6\phi \square \phi)$  is indeed conformally invariant. The action defined by (28) can be thought of as a conformally invariant extension of a K-essence Lagrangian, namely a conformally invariant version of the action  $K(\phi \square \phi)$ . Coupling this conformal invariant action to GR

$$I = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x [R - 2\Lambda + \phi^4 F(\phi^{-4} S)] , \quad (29)$$

leads to the field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} \quad (30)$$

$$2\phi^3 F(Y) - R\phi \frac{dF}{dY} + 9\square\phi \frac{dF}{dY} - 3\square \left( \phi \frac{dF}{dY} \right) = 0 \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \phi^4 F(Y) - \frac{dF}{dY} (\phi^2 R_{\mu\nu} - 6\phi \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi) + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \left( \frac{dF}{dY} \phi^2 \right) \\ & - 3 \left( \nabla_\mu \left( \frac{dF}{dY} \phi \nabla_\nu \phi \right) + \nabla_\nu \left( \frac{dF}{dY} \phi \nabla_\mu \phi \right) \right) - \square \left( \frac{dF}{dY} \phi^2 \right) g_{\mu\nu} + 3\nabla^\lambda \left( \frac{dF}{dY} \phi \nabla_\lambda \phi \right) g_{\mu\nu} . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

One can check that, as expected from conformal invariance, the trace of the energy-momentum tensor (32) vanishes by virtue of the equation for the scalar field (31). The configuration

$$ds^2 = - \left( -\frac{\Lambda_0}{3} r^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^2 \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{-\frac{\Lambda_0}{3} r^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\psi^2) \quad (33)$$

$$\phi(r) = \frac{A}{r - M} , \quad (34)$$

leads to a constant Weyl invariant combination

$$Y = \phi^{-4} S = \phi^{-4} (\phi^2 R - 6\phi \square \phi) = \frac{4\Lambda_0 M^2}{A^2} . \quad (35)$$

Here we focus on the  $\gamma = 1$  case. Specifying the function  $F$  in the action (29), the field equations on the configuration defined by (33) and (34) lead to the following two constraints

$$2M^4\Lambda_0 + A^4F(Y) = 0 , \quad (36)$$

$$A^2F(Y) - 2\Lambda_0M^2\frac{dF(Y)}{dY} = 0 . \quad (37)$$

These constraints can be interpreted as follows: the constraint (36) fixes the value of the constant appearing on the scalar field  $A$ , while the constraint (36) fixes the different parameters that appear in the action functional through the election of the function  $F(Y)$ . For example, choosing  $F(Y) = -\frac{1}{12}Y - \lambda$  in (29) leads to the standard conformally coupled scalar field with a conformal potential coupling  $\lambda$  such that

$$\phi(r) = 2\sqrt{3}\frac{M}{r-M} \text{ and } \lambda = -\frac{\Lambda_0}{72} , \quad (38)$$

which reduces to the solution found in [14] with the normalization  $16\pi G = 1$ . We have therefore proved that provided the constraints (36) and (37) are fulfilled, the black hole configuration (33) and (34) is a solution of General Relativity supported by a general Conformal K-essence field (28).

## VI. FURTHER COMMENTS

We have shown that the Plebański-Demiański spacetime, and therefore all Type-D metrics, as for example the C-metric and topological Taub-NUT spacetimes can be embedded as solutions of a quadratic gravity model, conformally coupled to a scalar field with higher derivative corrections. Some of these spacetimes have already been studied in the presence of a conformally coupled scalar field with second order dynamics and we expect that in the black hole case, the higher derivative terms may induce modifications on the thermodynamics, as well as in the perturbative stability properties of the solutions. Our solutions can also be extended to include a Maxwell field including both electric and magnetic sources, which in the case of static black holes with hyperbolic horizons in AdS may describe the causal structure of a black hole inside a black hole [16].

The tensor  $S^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\rho}$  is the building block that allowed to construct conformal couplings of a scalar to Euler densities in arbitrary dimension [29]. In such setup, one can also construct black hole solutions with simple scalar field profiles, provided the couplings of the different

terms in the matter sector fulfil a relation [52–55], leading to an interesting phase structure [56–59]. Such constraint is of a different sort than the one imposed by (22) which relates the gravitational and the matter couplings. Using the same tensor, it would be interesting to construct new higher curvature and derivative couplings in higher dimensions and classify them. One may even consider complete contractions of tensor products of Weyl tensor  $C^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho}$  and copies of the tensor  $S^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho}$ . Such combinations will transform homogeneously under conformal transformations and therefore it will lead to conformally invariant Lagrangians by the introduction of a compensating power of the scalar field. In order to go beyond the standard conformally coupled scalar field, but still within the realm of a second order theory, one is forced to introduce a second scalar leading to a Bi-scalar Extensions of Horndeski Theories [60], which may admit some of the stationary solutions we have found in this paper.

Finally, we have introduced a general conformally invariant model that depends on an arbitrary function, which we have dubbed Conformal K-essence. It is tempting to conjecture that such general family of theories do also admit stationary solutions in the Plebański-Demiański family. To study different application of such Conformal K-essence it would be interesting to study first the potential formation of caustic as was done for example in [61] for the standard K-essence theory. Work along these lines is in progress.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andrés Anabalón, Nicolás Cáceres, José Figueroa, Nicolás Mora, Marcelo Oyarzo and Ricardo Stuardo for valuable discussions. We would like to express our gratitude to Carmen Lagler for her detailed proofreading of this manuscript. This work is partially funded by Beca Chile de Postdoctorado 74200012 and FONDECYT grant 1181047 and 1210500. J.O. also thanks the support of Proyecto de Cooperación Internacional 2019/13231-7 FAPESP/ANID.

- 
- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no.16, 161101 (2017) [arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc]].
  - [2] P. S. Joshi and D. Malafarina, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **20**, 2641-2729 (2011) [arXiv:1201.3660 [gr-qc]].

- [3] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, “The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [4] R. Penrose, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **1**, 252-276 (1969)
- [5] R. Ruffini and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Today **24**, no.1, 30 (1971)
- [6] N. M. Bocharova, K. A. Bronnikov and V. N. Melnikov, Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Ser. III Fiz. Astron. , no. 6, 706 (1970).
- [7] J. D. Bekenstein, Annals Phys. **82**, 535-547 (1974)
- [8] A. I. Janis, D. C. Robinson and J. Winicour, Phys. Rev. **186**, 1729-1731 (1969)
- [9] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman and J. Winicour, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 878-880 (1968)
- [10] J. D. Bekenstein, [arXiv:gr-qc/9808028 [gr-qc]].
- [11] F. Canfora and H. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no.8, 084049 (2013) [arXiv:1302.3232 [gr-qc]].
- [12] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 221101 (2014) [arXiv:1403.2757 [gr-qc]].
- [13] J. D. Bekenstein, Annals Phys. **91**, 75-82 (1975)
- [14] C. Martinez, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 024008 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0205319 [hep-th]].
- [15] C. Martinez, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 084035 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406111 [hep-th]].
- [16] C. Martinez, J. P. Staforelli and R. Troncoso, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044028 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512022 [hep-th]].
- [17] C. Erices and C. Martinez, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.2, 024034 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.024034 [arXiv:1707.03483 [hep-th]].
- [18] T. Kolyvaris, G. Koutsoumbas, E. Papantonopoulos and G. Siopsis, Gen. Rel. Grav. **43**, 163-180 (2011) doi:10.1007/s10714-010-1079-0 [arXiv:0911.1711 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Anabalón and A. Cisterna, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 084035 (2012) [arXiv:1201.2008 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Anabalón, JHEP **06**, 127 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)127 [arXiv:1204.2720 [hep-th]].
- [21] E. Ayón-Beato, M. Hassaine and J. A. Méndez-Zavaleta, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.2, 024048 (2015) [arXiv:1506.02277 [hep-th]].
- [22] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953-969 (1977)
- [23] H. Lu, A. Perkins, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no.17, 171601 (2015) [arXiv:1502.01028 [hep-th]].
- [24] K. Lin, W. L. Qian, A. B. Pavan and E. Abdalla, EPL **114**, no.6, 60006 (2016)

- [arXiv:1607.04473 [gr-qc]].
- [25] J. Podolsky, R. Svarc, V. Pravda and A. Pravdova, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.2, 021502 (2018) [arXiv:1806.08209 [gr-qc]].
- [26] R. Svarc, J. Podolsky, V. Pravda and A. Pravdova, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no.23, 231104 (2018) [arXiv:1806.09516 [gr-qc]].
- [27] J. Podolský, R. Švarc, V. Pravda and A. Pravdova, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.2, 024027 (2020) [arXiv:1907.00046 [gr-qc]].
- [28] V. Pravda, A. Pravdova, J. Podolsky and R. Svarc, [arXiv:2012.08551 [gr-qc]].
- [29] J. Oliva and S. Ray, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 205008 (2012) [arXiv:1112.4112 [gr-qc]].
- [30] S. Dengiz and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024033 (2011) [arXiv:1104.0601 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. R. Tanhayi, S. Dengiz and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064008 (2012) [arXiv:1112.2338 [hep-th]].
- [32] M. R. Tanhayi, S. Dengiz and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064016 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5068 [hep-th]].
- [33] S. Dengiz, E. Kilicarslan and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104014 (2012) [arXiv:1209.1251 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Salvio and A. Strumia, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, no.2, 124 (2018) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5588-4 [arXiv:1705.03896 [hep-th]].
- [35] A. Salvio, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.9, 750 (2019) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7267-5 [arXiv:1907.00983 [hep-ph]].
- [36] D. C. Zou and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.8, 084021 (2020) [arXiv:2001.01351 [gr-qc]].
- [37] N. Caceres, J. Figueroa, J. Oliva, M. Oyarzo and R. Stuardo, JHEP **04**, 157 (2020) [arXiv:2001.01478 [hep-th]].
- [38] A. Cisterna, M. Hassaine and J. Oliva, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.10, 104012 (2015) [arXiv:1508.05678 [hep-th]].
- [39] A. Anabalón and H. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 041501 (2010) [arXiv:0907.0219 [hep-th]].
- [40] E. Ayon-Beato, C. Martinez and J. Zanelli, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 145-152 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0403228 [hep-th]].
- [41] E. Ayon-Beato, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 104037 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505086 [hep-th]].
- [42] C. Charmousis, T. Kolyvaris and E. Papantonopoulos, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 175012 (2009)

- doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/17/175012 [arXiv:0906.5568 [gr-qc]].
- [43] R. Debever, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.* **23**, 360–76 (1971)
- [44] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 335-370 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0511091 [gr-qc]].
- [45] S. Bhattacharya and H. Maeda, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no.8, 087501 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.087501 [arXiv:1311.0087 [gr-qc]].
- [46] Y. Bardoux, M. M. Caldarelli and C. Charmousis, *JHEP* **05**, 039 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1192 [hep-th]].
- [47] M. Astorino, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 064066 (2015) [arXiv:1412.3539 [gr-qc]].
- [48] E. Arratia, C. Corral, J. Figueroa and L. Sanhueza, [arXiv:2010.02460 [hep-th]].
- [49] A. Anabalón and J. Oliva, *JHEP* **04**, 106 (2019) [arXiv:1811.03497 [hep-th]].
- [50] A. Anabalón, B. de Wit and J. Oliva, *JHEP* **09**, 109 (2020) [arXiv:2001.00606 [hep-th]].
- [51] O. Coussaert and M. Henneaux, [arXiv:hep-th/9407181 [hep-th]].
- [52] G. Giribet, M. Leoni, J. Oliva and S. Ray, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no.8, 085040 (2014) [arXiv:1401.4987 [hep-th]].
- [53] M. Chernicoff, M. Galante, G. Giribet, A. Goya, M. Leoni, J. Oliva and G. Perez-Nadal, *JHEP* **06**, 159 (2016) [arXiv:1604.08203 [hep-th]].
- [54] M. Chernicoff, G. Giribet and J. Oliva, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **761**, no.1, 012074 (2016) [arXiv:1608.05000 [hep-th]].
- [55] M. Chernicoff, O. Fierro, G. Giribet and J. Oliva, *JHEP* **02**, 010 (2017) [arXiv:1612.00389 [hep-th]].
- [56] G. Giribet, A. Goya and J. Oliva, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no.4, 045031 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00184 [hep-th]].
- [57] M. Galante, G. Giribet, A. Goya and J. Oliva, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.10, 104039 (2015) [arXiv:1508.03780 [hep-th]].
- [58] R. A. Hennigar and R. B. Mann, *Entropy* **17**, no.12, 8056-8072 (2015) [arXiv:1509.06798 [hep-th]].
- [59] R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann and E. Tjoa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no.2, 021301 (2017) [arXiv:1609.02564 [hep-th]].
- [60] C. Charmousis, T. Kolyvaris, E. Papantonopoulos and M. Tsoukalas, *JHEP* **07**, 085 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)085 [arXiv:1404.1024 [gr-qc]].

[61] E. Babichev, JHEP **04**, 129 (2016) [arXiv:1602.00735 [hep-th]].