

Area bound for surfaces in generic gravitational field

Keisuke Izumi^{1,2}, Yoshimune Tomikawa³, Tetsuya Shiromizu^{2,1}, and Hiroataka Yoshino⁴

¹*Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

²*Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

³*Faculty of Economics, Matsuyama University, Matsuyama 790-8578, Japan*

⁴*Advanced Mathematical Institute, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

.....
 We define an attractive gravity probe surface (AGPS) as a compact 2-surface S_α with positive mean curvature k satisfying $r^\alpha D_\alpha k/k^2 \geq \alpha$ (for a constant $\alpha > -1/2$) in the local inverse mean curvature flow, where $r^\alpha D_\alpha k$ is the derivative of k in the outward unit normal direction. For asymptotically flat spaces, any AGPS is proved to satisfy the areal inequality $A_\alpha \leq 4\pi[(3 + 4\alpha)/(1 + 2\alpha)]^2(Gm)^2$, where A_α is the area of S_α and m is Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass. Equality is realized when the space is isometric to the $t = \text{constant}$ hypersurface of the Schwarzschild spacetime and S_α is an $r = \text{constant}$ surface with $r^\alpha D_\alpha k/k^2 = \alpha$. We adapt the two methods, the inverse mean curvature flow and the conformal flow. Therefore, our result is applicable to the case where S_α has multiple components. For anti-de Sitter (AdS) spaces, the similar inequality is derived, but the proof is performed only by using the inverse mean curvature flow. We also discuss the cases with asymptotically locally AdS spaces.

1. Introduction

Strong gravitational field can make any objects trapped in some region. Even photons cannot escape from such a region, which leads to the existence of a black hole. The strong gravitational field would be created by compactly concentrated gravitational sources, and thus the trapped region must be small. The Penrose inequality [1] is an argument about the area of its boundary; the area of an apparent horizon A_{AH} must satisfy $A_{\text{AH}} \leq 4\pi(2Gm)^2$, where m is the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass and G is Newton's gravitational constant (we use the unit $c = 1$). The proof for the Penrose inequality has been done for time-symmetric initial data [2–4]. To be more precise, their results are applicable for a minimal surface (MS) in an asymptotically flat space with a Ricci scalar that is nonnegative everywhere. This version of the inequality is called the Riemannian Penrose inequality.

The Riemannian Penrose inequality may seem to provide a way to test the theory of general relativity. However, if the cosmic censorship holds, an apparent horizon A_{AH} is hidden by an event horizon, inside of which we cannot observe by the definition of a black hole. It is desired to derive an inequality that is applicable to a surface outside the event horizon for the purpose of testing general relativity. The authors in this paper have succeeded in deriving such an

inequality [5]; We introduced the loosely trapped surface (LTS) as a surface with positive mean curvature $k > 0$ satisfying the nonnegativity of the derivative of k in the outward unit normal direction $r^a D_a k \geq 0$, and proved that the LTS satisfies an areal inequality, $A_{\text{LTS}} \leq 4\pi(3Gm)^2$, where A_{LTS} is the area of the LTS. The upper bound is realized if and only if the space is isometric to the time-constant slice of the Schwarzschild spacetime and the surface is the photon sphere. The photon sphere exists outside the horizon, and thus an LTS is observable for a distant observer. The proof for the areal inequality for the LTS is done with the inverse mean curvature flow in Ref. [5].

In this paper, we further generalize the Riemannian Penrose inequality so that it is applicable to a surface in a region where the gravity is moderately strong or even weak. The surface that we consider in this paper is a compact 2-surface S_α with positive mean curvature k that satisfies $r^a D_a k/k^2 \geq \alpha$ (for a constant $\alpha > -1/2$) in the local inverse mean curvature flow, which we name the attractive gravity probe surface (AGPS) ¹. We show that an AGPS with α satisfies $A_\alpha \leq 4\pi [(3 + 4\alpha)/(1 + 2\alpha)]^2 (Gm)^2$, where A_α is the area of the AGPS. For $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the AGPS becomes the MS and the Riemannian Penrose inequality is obtained. Moreover, for $\alpha = 0$, we have the theorem for the LTS in Ref. [5]. Therefore, the inequality for the AGPS is the generalization of the Riemannian Penrose inequality and the areal inequality for the LTS. We derive the inequality in two different ways; one is by using the inverse mean curvature flow [2, 3, 6] and the other is by using Bray's conformal flow [4]. Both methods were applied for proving the Riemannian Penrose inequality. Since Bray's proof is applicable to a surface with multiple components, our inequality can be applied to an AGPS with multiple components as well.

We also show areal inequalities for AGPSs in asymptotically (locally) AdS spaces. Since Bray's proof based on the conformal flow is not applicable to asymptotically (locally) AdS spaces, our inequality is only derived by using the inverse mean curvature flow for these setups.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we show the proof by usage of the inverse mean curvature flow in the case for asymptotically flat and AdS spaces. We also discuss the case for asymptotically locally AdS spaces. In Sect. 3, we show another proof of the areal inequality for asymptotically flat spaces, by applying Bray's method [4]. We will give a summary and discussions in Sect. 4. An example of the matching function used in Sect. 3 is presented in Sect. A.

2. Inverse mean curvature flow

One of the ways to prove the Riemannian Penrose inequality is applying the monotonicity of the Geroch energy in the inverse mean curvature flow. The idea of the monotonicity was introduced in order to prove the positivity of the ADM mass by Geroch [6]. By applying Geroch's monotonicity, the Riemannian Penrose inequality was proved by Wald and Jang [2] under the assumption of the existence of a global inverse mean curvature flow. This method was extended by Huisken and Ilmanen [3] to resolve the possible singularity formation in the flow. Hence, the monotonicity exists even if a global inverse mean curvature flow cannot be

¹ For a Schwarzschild spacetime, $r^a D_a k + k^2/2 = {}^{(3)}R_{ab} r^a r^b = 2m/r^3 > 0$ holds. Then, the condition may reflect the positivity of mass or gravity as attractive force. This is the reason why we adopt the name, an AGPS.

taken. Geroch's monotonicity was generalized to spaces with negative cosmological constants by Boucher, Horowitz and Gibbons [7, 8].

We first review the monotonicity with and without the negative cosmological constant, based on Ref. [8] in Sect. 2.1. Then, we show the generalized areal inequality in Sect. 2.2. It is applicable also for asymptotically AdS spaces. The areal inequality is further generalized to asymptotically locally AdS spaces, which is shown in Sect. 2.3.

2.1. Geroch Monotonicity

We consider a three-dimensional space Σ where the scalar curvature ${}^{(3)}R$ satisfies

$${}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda \geq 0 \quad (\Lambda \leq 0). \quad (1)$$

Let us introduce an inverse mean curvature flow. We first consider the foliation of $\{S_y\}_{y \in \mathbf{R}}$. The lapse function is denoted by φ . Geroch's quasilocal energy of S_y is defined as

$$E(y) := \frac{A^{1/2}(y)}{64\pi^{3/2}G} \int_{S_y} \left(2{}^{(2)}R - k^2 - \frac{4\Lambda}{3} \right) dA, \quad (2)$$

where $A(y)$ is the area, dA is the areal element, and ${}^{(2)}R$ is the scalar curvature of S_y . Under the condition of the inverse mean curvature flow $k\varphi = 1$, the first derivative of $E(y)$ is shown to satisfy the nonnegativity

$$\frac{dE(y)}{dy} = \frac{A^{1/2}(y)}{64\pi^{3/2}} \int_{S_y} \left[2\varphi^{-2}(\mathcal{D}\varphi)^2 + \tilde{k}_{ab}\tilde{k}^{ab} + {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda \right] dA \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{k}_{ab} is the traceless part of the extrinsic curvature k_{ab} , that is,

$$\tilde{k}_{ab} := k_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}kg_{ab}, \quad (4)$$

with g_{ab} being the metric of S_y . Here, we used the assumption that the topology of S_y does not change under the flow, and thus, $\int {}^{(2)}R dA$ is a constant for all S_y because it is the topological invariant. Therefore, $E(y)$ is an increasing function, which leads to $E(\infty) \geq E(y)$.² Since Geroch's energy at infinity coincides with the ADM mass in an asymptotically flat spacetime and with the Abbott-Deser mass [9] or the Ashtekar-Magnon mass [10] in asymptotically AdS spacetimes, the inequality implies $m \geq E(y)$. If $E(y)$ is expressed with the area of S_y , we have an areal inequality as shown below.

2.2. Attractive Gravity Probe Surface

In an asymptotically flat space without the cosmological constant, $E(y)$ for a minimal surface (MS) and for a loosely trapped surface (LTS) [5] is evaluated as

$$E(y) = \frac{1}{2G} \sqrt{\frac{A(y)}{4\pi}} \quad \text{and} \quad E(y) \geq \frac{1}{3G} \sqrt{\frac{A(y)}{4\pi}}, \quad (5)$$

respectively. Together with the inequality $m \geq E(y)$, they give the inequalities $A(y) \leq 4\pi(2Gm)^2$ for an MS and $A(y) \leq 4\pi(3Gm)^2$ for an LTS. Let us show similar areal inequalities by considering a broader class of surfaces, which includes MSs and LTSs.

² The extension including the singularity resolution in the inverse mean curvature flow was done by Huisken and Ilmanen [3] under the assumption ${}^{(3)}R \geq 0$. It may be true in the case with the negative cosmological constant as pointed out in Ref. [8].

We consider a surface S_α satisfying $k > 0$ and

$$\frac{r^a D_a k}{k^2} \geq \alpha, \quad (6)$$

where α is a constant satisfying

$$\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (7)$$

r^a is the outward unit normal vector to S_α , namely $r_a = \varphi D_a y$, and the derivative of k is taken for the foliation of the inverse mean curvature flow. We call a surface satisfying the above conditions *an attractive gravity probe surface* (AGPS). The limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ gives the condition for an MS, *i.e.* $k = 0$, while the case with $\alpha = 0$ is corresponding to an LTS [5]. Thus, this surface is a generalization of MSs and LTSs. Note that in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow -1/2$, S_α coincides with the S^2 surface at spacelike infinity. In this sense, the concept of the AGPS can cover from the surfaces in extremely strong gravity regions to those in very weak gravity regions by choosing the value of α appropriately. We show that its area A_α satisfies an inequality similar to the Penrose inequality.

On S_α , we have a geometrical identity

$$r^a D_a k = -\varphi^{-1} \mathcal{D}^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(3)}R + \frac{1}{2} {}^{(2)}R - \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + k_{ab} k^{ab}). \quad (8)$$

Integrating this relation over S_α , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_\alpha} {}^{(2)}R dA &= \int_{S_\alpha} \left[r^a D_a k + \varphi^{-2} (\mathcal{D}\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} {}^{(3)}R + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{k}_{ab} \tilde{k}^{ab} + \frac{3}{4} k^2 \right] dA \\ &\geq \int_{S_\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{3}{4} + \alpha \right) k^2 + \Lambda \right] dA, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we used the inequalities of Eqs. (1) and (6). Note that in the case without a cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 0$, this inequality leads to the positivity of its left-hand side. Thus, as a consequence of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, the topology of S_α is S^2 . Moreover, for a generic negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda \leq 0$, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem gives us

$$\int_{S_\alpha} k^2 dA \leq \left(\frac{3}{4} + \alpha \right)^{-1} [2\pi\chi - \Lambda A_\alpha], \quad (10)$$

where A_α is the area of S_α and χ is the Euler characteristic of S_α . The Geroch energy $E(y)$ for the surface S_α is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} E(y)|_{S_\alpha} &= \frac{A_\alpha^{1/2}}{64\pi^{3/2}G} \int_{S_\alpha} \left(2{}^{(2)}R - k^2 - \frac{4\Lambda}{3} \right) dA \\ &\geq \frac{1 + 2\alpha}{3 + 4\alpha} \frac{\chi}{2G} \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{2\alpha}{3(3 + 4\alpha)} \frac{\Lambda}{G} \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{4\pi} \right)^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Under the assumption of the global existence of the inverse mean curvature flow, the topology of S_α should be S^2 even in the case with negative cosmological constant, because the flow cannot change the topology of all leaves of the foliation. Then, the inequality $m \geq E(y)$ gives us

$$Gm \geq \frac{1 + 2\alpha}{3 + 4\alpha} \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{2\alpha}{3(3 + 4\alpha)} \Lambda \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{4\pi} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (12)$$

Equality in the inequality of Eq. (12) occurs if and only if equalities hold in the inequalities in Eqs. (3) and (9), that is, all $\mathcal{D}_a \varphi$, \tilde{k}_{ab} and ${}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda$ vanish on all of S_y and $r^a D_a k / k^2 = \alpha$

holds on S_α . Hence, the metric of Σ is

$$dl^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (13)$$

which corresponds to the metric of the maximal slice of an AdS-Schwarzschild spacetime (or, for $\Lambda = 0$, a Schwarzschild spacetime), and S_α is located at $r = \text{constant}$ on which $r^a D_a k / k^2 = \alpha$ is satisfied.

Since Λ is nonpositive, the right-hand side of the inequality of Eq. (12) is an increasing function with respect to A_α , and the maximum occurs when equality holds. Hence, A_α is bounded by the area of the $r = \text{constant}$ surface satisfying $r^a D_a k / k^2 = \alpha$ on the maximal slice of the AdS spacetime for $\Lambda < 0$, and the Schwarzschild spacetime for $\Lambda = 0$.

In asymptotically flat spacetimes without the cosmological constant (*i.e.* $\Lambda = 0$), the inequality of Eq. (12) can be rewritten as

$$A_\alpha \leq 4\pi \left(\frac{3 + 4\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha} Gm\right)^2. \quad (14)$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ (the MS) and for $\alpha = 0$ (the LTS), the quantity in the bracket of the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{3 + 4\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha} Gm = \begin{cases} 2Gm & (\alpha \rightarrow \infty) \\ 3Gm & (\alpha = 0) \end{cases}. \quad (15)$$

Hence, the inequality of Eq. (14) includes the known results for the MS and for the LTS.

2.3. Asymptotically Locally AdS Spacetime

One often considers asymptotically locally AdS spacetimes. Simple examples are given by the metric

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\omega_\kappa^2, \quad (16)$$

with

$$f(r) = \kappa - \frac{2Gm}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2, \quad (17)$$

where $\kappa = \pm 1$ or 0 . Here, $d\omega_\kappa^2$ denotes the metric of a two-dimensional maximally symmetric surface with the Gauss curvature κ :

$$d\omega_\kappa^2 = \begin{cases} d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 & (\kappa = +1) \\ d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 & (\kappa = 0) \\ d\theta^2 + \sinh^2 \theta d\phi^2 & (\kappa = -1) \end{cases}. \quad (18)$$

Note that two-dimensional section of this space can be compactified into a torus in the case of $\kappa = 0$, and into a multi-torus in the case of $\kappa = -1$, and such black holes are called topological black holes. See Ref. [11] for the procedure for making topological black holes.

Based on these vacuum solutions, it is natural to consider asymptotically locally AdS spaces whose metrics asymptote to

$$dl^2 = f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\omega_\kappa^2 \quad (19)$$

at infinity. Here, the two-dimensional sections with the metric $r^2 d\omega_\kappa^2$ are compactified so that it has genus $g = 0$ for $\kappa = +1$, $g = 1$ for $\kappa = 0$ and $g = 2, 3, \dots$ for $\kappa = -1$. Supposing the

existence of a global inverse mean curvature flow, the topology of S_α satisfying the inequality of Eq. (6) is the same as that of the compactified two-dimensional sections. We modify the definition of the Geroch energy as

$$E(y) := \frac{A^{1/2}(y)}{(4\omega_\kappa)^{3/2}G} \int_{S_y} \left(2^{(2)}R - k^2 - \frac{4\Lambda}{3} \right) dA, \quad (20)$$

where ω_κ is the area of the compact two-dimensional surface with the metric $d\omega_\kappa^2$. Then, $E(y)$ converges to m in the limit $y \rightarrow \infty$, and we have the inequality

$$Gm \geq \frac{1+2\alpha}{3+4\alpha} \kappa \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{\omega_\kappa} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{2\alpha}{3(3+4\alpha)} \Lambda \left(\frac{A_\alpha}{\omega_\kappa} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (21)$$

where we used $\kappa\omega_\kappa = 2\pi\chi$. Here, the area of the unit compact two-dimensional surface ω_κ is given by

$$\omega_\kappa = \begin{cases} 4\pi & (\kappa = +1) \\ \text{arbitrary} & (\kappa = 0) \\ 4\pi(g-1) & (\kappa = -1) \end{cases}. \quad (22)$$

3. Conformal Flow

There is another approach for proving the Riemannian Penrose inequality based on the conformal flow (Bray's theorem [4]). It works for the case of a minimal surface with multiple components, and thus it is more generic than the approach based on the inverse mean curvature flow. In this section, with the aid of Bray's theorem, we prove our inequality of Eq. (14) to make it applicable to an AGPS with multiple components. Since Bray's approach can only be applied to asymptotically flat spaces (not asymptotically AdS spaces), our areal inequalities for AGPSs are only applicable to asymptotically flat spaces as well.

In Sect. 3.1, we present our theorem explicitly. Remaining subsections are devoted to the proof. In Sect. 3.2, we show the sketch of the proof. The main idea is as follows. Our initial data Σ with boundaries S_α (each of which is supposed to be one component of an AGPS) is glued at S_α to certain manifolds $\bar{\Sigma}$ with inner boundaries that are minimal surfaces S_0 . $\bar{\Sigma}$ is constructed so that Bray's method works for $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$. There, we find that the proof is completed if the following two statements hold: that the minimal surface S_0 is the outermost surface and that the extension of the manifold is smooth. The former is proved under certain conditions in Sect. 3.3. With respect to the latter, the extended manifold is generically nonsmooth (C^0 -class) on the gluing surface S_α . However, we can show the existence of a sequence of smooth manifolds, which uniformly converges to the nonsmooth extended manifold. Therefore, we will be able to apply Bray's theorem by taking the limit. This issue will be discussed in Sect. 3.4.

3.1. Main Theorem

The areal inequality that we will prove is for attractive gravity probe surfaces (AGPSs), which we have introduced in Sect. 2.2. To make our theorem clear, we explicitly give the definition of the AGPS here.

Definition 1. *Suppose Σ to be a smooth three-dimensional manifold with a positive definite metric g . A smooth surface S_α in Σ is an attractive gravity probe surface (AGPS) with a parameter α ($\alpha > -1/2$) if the following conditions are satisfied everywhere on S_α :*

-
- (i) The mean curvature k is positive.
 - (ii) With the local mean curvature flow in the neighborhood of S_α ,

$$r^a D_a k \geq \alpha k^2 \quad (23)$$

is satisfied, where r^a is the outward unit normal vector to S_α and D_a is the covariant derivative of the metric g .

Note that the mean curvature flow always can be taken at least locally around a smooth surface [3]. Now, we present our main theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let Σ be an asymptotically flat three-dimensional smooth manifold with non-negative Ricci scalar. The boundaries of Σ are composed of asymptotic infinity and an AGPS S_α , which can have multiple components, with a parameter α . Suppose that no minimal surface satisfying one of the following conditions exists:*

- (i) It encloses (at least) one component of S_α .
- (ii) It has a boundary on S_α and its area is less than $4\pi(2Gm)^2$.

Then, the area of S_α has an upper bound;

$$A_\alpha \leq 4\pi \left(\frac{3 + 4\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha} Gm \right)^2, \quad (24)$$

where m is the ADM mass of the manifold, G is Newton's gravitational constant. Equality holds if and only if Σ is a time-symmetric hypersurface of a Schwarzschild spacetime and S_α is a spherically symmetric surface with $r^a D_a k = \alpha k^2$.

The proof of the theorem is given in the following subsections.

3.2. Sketch of Proof

The local inverse mean curvature flow gives a metric

$$ds^2 = \varphi^2 dr^2 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \quad (25)$$

where each r -constant surface is a leaf of the foliation in the local inverse mean curvature flow with $\varphi k = 1$. Here, we take r such that $r = 0$ on S_α and r increases to the outward direction. Since φ is supposed to have the dimension of the length, the coordinate r is a nondimensional quantity.

On (each component of) S_α , we attach a manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ with a metric in the range $r \leq 0$ (see Fig. 1),

$$d\bar{s}^2 = \frac{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r_0}{2}\right)}{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r+r_0}{2}\right)} \exp(r) \varphi_0^2(x^a) dr^2 + \exp(r) g_{0,ab}(x^a) dx^a dx^b, \quad (26)$$

where $g_{0,ab}$ is $g_{ab}|_{r=0}$ in the metric of Eq. (25) and $\varphi_0 = \varphi|_{r=0}$. The r -constant surface is umbilical, that is, the extrinsic curvature is

$$\bar{k}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{k} \bar{g}_{ab}. \quad (27)$$




Fig. 1 Extension of the manifold: Σ is an asymptotically flat space with a boundary S_α , which is an AGPS with the parameter α (with multiple components, in general). The shaded region is the neighborhood of S_α in Σ , where the local inverse mean curvature flow of Eq. (25) is taken. On S_α , the manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ with the metric of Eq. (26) is glued to Σ . In $\bar{\Sigma}$, a minimal surface S_0 exists at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2 (< 0)$ in our current setup.

Hereafter, quantities with bar indicate that they are associated with the metric of Eq. (26). The mean curvature and its derivative are

$$\bar{k} = \varphi_0^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r+r_0}{2}\right)}{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r_0}{2}\right)} \exp(-r)}, \quad (28)$$

$$\bar{r}^a \bar{D}_a \bar{k} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{k}^2 \frac{1 - 3 \exp\left(-\frac{r+r_0}{2}\right)}{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r+r_0}{2}\right)}. \quad (29)$$

Note that the lapse function in the metric of Eq. (26) has been tuned so that $\bar{k}|_{S_\alpha} = k|_{S_\alpha}$ is satisfied. Meanwhile, r_0 is tuned so that

$$\bar{r}^a \bar{D}_a \bar{k}|_{S_\alpha} = \alpha \bar{k}^2|_{S_\alpha} \quad (30)$$

holds, which is equivalent to

$$\exp\left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right) = \frac{3 + 4\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha}. \quad (31)$$

The manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ is continuously glued to Σ at $r = 0$ because the induced metrics on the gluing surface S_α ($r = 0$) are the same. However, the metric is generally C^0 -class there because S_α may not be umbilical, *i.e.* the extrinsic curvature k_{ab} may have nonvanishing traceless part.

The three-dimensional Ricci scalar of $\bar{\Sigma}$ is expressed with the two-dimensional quantities as

$${}^{(3)}\bar{R} = {}^{(2)}\bar{R} - 2\bar{\varphi}^{-1} \bar{D}^2 \bar{\varphi} - 2\bar{r}^a \bar{D}_a \bar{k} - \frac{3}{2} \bar{k}^2, \quad (32)$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ is the lapse function in the metric of Eq. (26), that is,

$$\bar{\varphi} = \varphi_0(x^a) \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r_0}{2}\right)}{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r+r_0}{2}\right)} \exp(r)}. \quad (33)$$

Each term in the right-hand side of Eq. (32) can be explicitly calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\bar{R} &= \exp(-r){}^{(2)}R_0, & \bar{\varphi}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2\bar{\varphi} &= \exp(-r)\varphi_0^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\varphi_0, \\ 2\bar{r}^a\bar{D}_a\bar{k} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{k}^2 &= \exp(-r)\left(2\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right)\varphi_0^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}\bar{R} &= \exp(-r)\left\{{}^{(2)}R_0 - 2\varphi_0^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\varphi_0 - \left(2\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right)\varphi_0^{-2}\right\} \\ &= \exp(-r){}^{(3)}\bar{R}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where ${}^{(3)}\bar{R}_0$ is defined by

$${}^{(3)}\bar{R}_0 := \lim_{r \nearrow 0} {}^{(3)}\bar{R}. \quad (36)$$

Since $\varphi_0^{-2} = k^2|_{r=0}$ holds in the inverse mean curvature flow and $r^a D_a k|_{r=0} \geq \alpha k^2|_{r=0}$ holds from the definition of the AGPS, ${}^{(3)}\bar{R}_0$ turns out to be nonnegative,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}\bar{R}_0 &\geq {}^{(2)}R_0 - 2\varphi_0^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\varphi_0 - 2r^a D_a k|_{r=0} - k^2|_{r=0} - k_{ab}^2|_{r=0} \\ &= {}^{(3)}R_0 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where ${}^{(3)}R_0$ is the Ricci scalar of Σ on S_α ($r = 0$), that is, ${}^{(3)}R_0 := R|_{r=0}$. Thus, the three-dimensional Ricci scalar of the glued manifold $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ is nonnegative everywhere. Hence, it is expected that Brays' proof to the Riemannian Penrose inequality [4] by the conformal flow would be applicable for $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$. However, it requires the smoothness of the manifold as an assumption, while our manifold $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ is generally C^0 -class, not C^∞ -class at the gluing surface. This problem will be fixed later (see Sect. 3.4), and in the rest of the present subsection, we assume that Bray's theorem is applicable to the manifold $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$.

The manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ has a minimal surface at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ (the value of $-r_0 + 2 \log 2$ is always negative because of Eq. (31) and $\alpha > -1/2$). The minimal surface is denoted by S_0 . From the metric of Eq. (26), we find the direct relation between the area of S_0 and that of S_α as

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \exp(-r_0 + 2 \log 2)A_\alpha \\ &= \left[\frac{2(1 + 2\alpha)}{3 + 4\alpha}\right]^2 A_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where A_0 is the area of S_0 . Supposing S_0 is the outermost minimal surface, the required conditions for which will be discussed in Sect.3.3, the Riemannian Penrose inequality [4] gives

$$A_0 \leq 4\pi(2Gm)^2. \quad (39)$$

Combining this with Eq. (38), we have

$$A_\alpha \leq 4\pi \left(\frac{3 + 4\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha} Gm\right)^2. \quad (40)$$

When equality in the inequality of Eq. (40) holds, that for the Riemannian Penrose inequality of Eq. (39) holds as well. Then, the manifold has the metric of the time-symmetric slice of

a Schwarzschild spacetime. Hence, each of the manifolds Σ and $\bar{\Sigma}$ is isometric to a part of it, and in particular, the surface $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ is a spherically symmetric minimal surface. Hence, because of the construction of the manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ whose metric is given in Eq. (26), S_α should also be spherically symmetric and must satisfy $r^a D_a k = \alpha k^2$. As a result, equality in the inequality of Eq. (40) holds if and only if Σ is the time-symmetric hypersurface of a Schwarzschild spacetime and S_α is the spherically symmetric surface with $r^a D_a k = \alpha k^2$.

As stated before, the remaining task is to show that the manifold $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ can be made smooth at the gluing surface S_α and S_0 is the outermost minimal surface. We will discuss the latter in Sect. 3.3, and then, prove the former in Sect. 3.4.

3.3. Outermost Minimal Surface

In the previous subsection, we used the assumption that the minimal surface S_0 at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ in the extended manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ is outermost. Our Theorem 1 is carefully stated to guarantee this assumption, as we explain below.

The minimal surface at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ is not outermost if an outermost minimal surface exists in $r > 0$, in $r < 0$, or across $r = 0$. We examine these three possible cases, one by one. The first possibility is prohibited by the assumption of the theorem that any part of S_α is not covered by a minimal surface.

The second case is impossible, that is, we cannot take minimal surfaces in the extended manifold $\bar{\Sigma}$ not touching with the boundary of $\bar{\Sigma}$ except the minimal surface $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$. To show this, let us assume that the second case is possible. Such a surface has maximum r at some points. However, we can show that the mean curvature k there becomes positive (that is, non-zero), and thus, it is not a minimal surface.

Let us begin with a generic discussion of geometry. Suppose we have a codimension-one surface σ in a manifold and we take the Gaussian normal coordinates in the neighborhood of σ ,

$$dl^2 = dy^2 + h_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (41)$$

where the surface σ is supposed to exist at $y = 0$ and its unit normal vector is

$$n_\mu = \partial_\mu y. \quad (42)$$

We take another surface $\tilde{\sigma}$ characterized with $\tilde{y} = 0$ and

$$\tilde{y} = y - \delta y(x^i). \quad (43)$$

Suppose that this surface has maximum y at $x^i = 0$ and its value is $y = 0$. Hence, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is tangent to σ at $x^i = 0$ and Hessian matrix of $\delta y(x^i)$ is negative-semidefinite there, *i.e.* we have

$$\partial_\mu \delta y|_{x^i=0} = 0, \quad h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \delta y|_{x^i=0} \leq 0. \quad (44)$$

The unit normal vector of $\tilde{\sigma}$ directing to the same side as n^μ is

$$\tilde{n}_\mu = \alpha \partial_\mu \tilde{y}. \quad (45)$$

with

$$\alpha = (1 + h^{ij} (\partial_i \delta y)(\partial_j \delta y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (46)$$




Fig. 2 Examples of the third case where S_0 is not the outermost minimal surface: The thick solid curves show the surface S_α . The outside of S_α is Σ and the shaded region is $\bar{\Sigma}$. The other boundary of $\bar{\Sigma}$ inside of S_α is the minimal surface S_0 . Minimal surfaces in Σ touching with S_α , which possibly exist, are shown by solid curves. They might be further extended into $\bar{\Sigma}$ as described with dashed curves. If there exists such a smooth closed minimal surface with a vanishing mean curvature, S_0 is not the outermost minimal surface.

The induced metric of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{n}_\mu \tilde{n}_\nu, \quad (47)$$

and $(\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{n}_\mu)$ coincides with $(h_{\mu\nu}, n_\mu)$ at $x^i = 0$. The mean curvature of $\tilde{\sigma}$, \tilde{k} , is calculated as

$$\tilde{k} := g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \tilde{n}_\nu = g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \alpha) (n_\nu - \partial_\nu \delta y) + \alpha g^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu n_\nu - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \delta y - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \partial_\alpha \delta y). \quad (48)$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha|_{x^i=0} &= 1, & g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \delta y|_{x^i=0} &= h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \delta y, \\ \partial_\mu \alpha|_{x^i=0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^3 \left[2h^{ij} (\partial_i \delta y) (\partial_\mu \partial_j \delta y) + (\partial_\mu h^{ij}) (\partial_i \delta y) (\partial_j \delta y) \right] \Big|_{x^i=0} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

the mean curvature \tilde{k} is related to k as

$$\tilde{k}|_{x^i=0} = (k - h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \delta y)|_{x^i=0} \geq k|_{x^i=0}, \quad (50)$$

where we used Eq. (44).

We apply the fact expressed in Eq. (50) to surfaces on $\bar{\Sigma}$; σ , $\tilde{\sigma}$ and the point $x^i = 0$ correspond to r -constant surface, a minimal surface σ_m other than $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ which is assumed to exist, and the point p_M where the maximum of $r (= r_M)$ occurs on the minimal surface, respectively. Hence, the r -constant surface which we take here is indicated by $r = r_M$. Then, from Eq. (50), at p_M the mean curvature k_m of σ_m satisfies

$$k_m \geq k_M, \quad (51)$$

where k_M is the mean curvature of the $r = r_M$ surface. Since r_M is larger than $-r_0 + 2 \log 2$, the mean curvature k_M is positive and then k_m should be positive at p_M . This is inconsistent with the assumption that the surface σ_m is minimal. Therefore, there exists no minimal surface in $\bar{\Sigma}$ not touching with the boundary of $\bar{\Sigma}$ other than $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$.

The last possibility may occur in the following situation. In general, there exist minimal surfaces touching with the boundary of $\bar{\Sigma}$. If one of them can be extended to a compact

minimal surface in Σ , we could have a minimal surface enclosing the minimal surface at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ (see Fig.2). If this occurs, we cannot apply Bray's theorem to the minimal surface at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$.

In our theorem, however, we assume that no minimal surface exists in Σ whose area is less than $4\pi(2Gm)^2$ and which has a boundary on S_α . It prevents the situation in Fig. 2 for the following reason. If we have a minimal surface crossing S_α like Fig. 2, its area has to be smaller than or equal to $4\pi(2Gm)^2$ by Bray's theorem. However, by assumption, the area of its part existing in the domain Σ is equal to or larger than $4\pi(2Gm)^2$. Hence, the area of the connected minimal surface becomes larger than $4\pi(2Gm)^2$, which contradicts Bray's theorem. This means that such a surface cannot exist.

Summarizing all above discussions together, the minimal surface at $r = -r_0 + 2 \log 2$ is guaranteed to be the outermost one under the assumptions of Theorem 1.

3.4. Smooth Extension

To apply Bray's proof for the Riemannian Penrose inequality, the manifold should be smooth [4]. However, the constructed manifold $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ in Sect. 3.2 is C^0 -class at the gluing surface, generally. Here, we show that there is a sequence of smooth manifolds with a nonnegative Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}R$ which uniformly converges to $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$. On each smooth manifold, we can apply the Riemannian Penrose inequality, and taking the limit, we achieve the inequality of Eq. (39) on $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$.

First, without details, we give a brief sketch on the smooth deformation and extension of the manifold (see Fig. 3). We take the foliation of the local inverse mean curvature flow with the metric of Eq. (25) in the neighborhood of S_α ($\delta > r > 0$). The first procedure is to smoothly deform the lapse function φ to $\hat{\varphi}$ in $\delta > \epsilon > r > 0$ to make the three-dimensional Ricci scalar strictly positive. The distorted manifold is denoted by $\hat{\Sigma}$ and the deformed metric is written as $(\hat{\varphi}, g)$. The second procedure is to consider the C^0 -extension of the manifold in the similar way to that in Sect. 3.2, but the position at which the two manifolds are glued is slightly shifted: We choose the gluing position to be $r = \bar{\delta}$ ($\epsilon > \bar{\delta} > 0$), not $r = 0$. The extended manifold is denoted by $\hat{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{g})$, and it uniformly converges to $\bar{\Sigma}$. As seen in Sect. 3.2, $\hat{\Sigma}$ has the nonnegative Ricci scalar everywhere. The third procedure is to introduce a manifold $\check{\Sigma}$ in the domain $\bar{\delta} > r > \bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon} > 0$, where the metric $(\check{\varphi}, \check{g})$ is given by the mixture of $(\hat{\varphi}, g)$ of $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{g})$ of $\hat{\Sigma}$ so that $\check{\Sigma}$ is smoothly connected to $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}$ at $r = \bar{\delta}$ and $r = \bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon}$, respectively. Then, we can show that $\check{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\check{\varphi}, \check{g})$ has the positive Ricci scalar everywhere. Therefore, the obtained manifold, which is the combination of the original manifold in $r \geq \epsilon$, $\hat{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\hat{\varphi}, g)$ in $\epsilon > r \geq \bar{\delta}$, $\check{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\check{\varphi}, \check{g})$ in $\bar{\delta} > r > \bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon}$, and $\bar{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{g})$ in $\bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon} \geq r$, is smooth, has the positive Ricci scalar everywhere, and uniformly converges to $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Below, we provide the detailed description.

Since the original manifold Σ is smooth, we can take a smooth local inverse mean curvature flow [3], where k is also a smooth function in the neighborhood of S_α . Because of the positivity of k on S_α , k is positive in a sufficiently small neighborhood, $0 \leq r < \delta$. Similarly, since $r^a D_a k$ and k_{ab} are smooth as well, there exists a positive constant β satisfying

$$0 < 2r^a D_a k + k^2 + k_{ab}^2 < \beta k^2 \quad (52)$$




Fig. 3 Smooth deformation and extension of the manifold. There are three procedures. The upper-left is the original manifold Σ . The shaded region is the neighborhood of S_α ($\delta > r > 0$) where the local inverse mean curvature flow can be taken. In the first procedure, the lapse function is smoothly deformed in $\delta > \epsilon > r > 0$, which is described in the upper-right, to make the Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}R$ strictly positive. The obtained manifold is described by $\hat{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\hat{\varphi}, g)$. In the second procedure, a manifold $\mathring{\Sigma}$ with a metric $(\mathring{\varphi}, \mathring{g})$ is attached at $r = \bar{\delta}$ ($\epsilon > \bar{\delta} > 0$) to obtain the lower-left manifold. Since the method of gluing the two manifolds is the same as that in Sect. 3.2 except that the gluing position is slightly shifted, the glued manifold is C^0 -class at $r = \bar{\delta}$. In the third procedure, we smooth the glued manifold by introducing a manifold $\check{\Sigma}$ with the metric $(\check{\varphi}, \check{g})$ in the domain $\bar{\delta} > r > \bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon} > 0$, and a smooth manifold in the lower-right is obtained.

everywhere in the neighborhood $0 \leq r < \delta$, where we used our assumption, $r^a D_a k \geq \alpha k^2 > -(1/2)k^2$ on S_α .

On S_α , the three-dimensional Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}R$ is nonnegative by assumption. The first procedure is to deform the metric so that ${}^{(3)}R$ becomes strictly positive on and near S_α . If it is already satisfied, this first procedure is unnecessary and we can skip to the second procedure. Otherwise, we introduce another metric in the region $0 \leq r < \epsilon < \delta$ as

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{s}^2 &= u^2(r)\varphi^2 dr^2 + g_{ab}dx^a dx^b \\ &=: \hat{\varphi}^2 dr^2 + g_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$u(r) := 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right), \quad (54)$$

where C is a constant. At $r = \epsilon$, this metric is smoothly connected with the original metric of Eq. (25). The connected smooth manifold is denoted by $\hat{\Sigma}$. This metric uniformly converges

to the metric of Eq. (25) in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The geometrical quantities with the metric of Eq. (53) on a r -constant surface are written as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\hat{R} &= {}^{(2)}R, & \hat{\varphi}^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^2\hat{\varphi} &= \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{D}^2\varphi, \\ \hat{k}_{ab} &= u^{-1}k_{ab}, & \hat{k} &= u^{-1}k, & \hat{r}^a\hat{D}_a\hat{k} &= -u^{-2}k^2(\partial_r \log u) + u^{-2}r^a D_a k, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where we used $\varphi k = 1$ in the last equation. Here, the variables with and without hat, such as \hat{k} and k , indicate those of the metrics of Eqs. (53) and (25), respectively. The three-dimensional Ricci scalar of the metric of Eq. (53) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(3)}\hat{R} &= {}^{(2)}\hat{R} - 2\hat{\varphi}^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^2\hat{\varphi} - 2\hat{r}^a\hat{D}_a\hat{k} - \hat{k}^2 - \hat{k}_{ab}^2 \\ &= {}^{(3)}R + (1 - u^{-2})(2r^a D_a k + k^2 + k_{ab}^2) + 2u^{-2}k^2\partial_r \log u. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

The functions involving u in the above equation are estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> 1 - u^{-2} = u^{-2} \left[-2 \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right) + \exp\left(-2\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right) \right] \\ &> -2u^{-2} \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\partial_r \log u = u^{-1} \frac{C\delta}{(\epsilon - r)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right) > \frac{C}{\delta} \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right). \quad (58)$$

These relations, together with Eq. (52), imply

$${}^{(3)}\hat{R} > {}^{(3)}R + 2\frac{k^2}{u^2} \left(\frac{C}{\delta} - \beta \right) \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon - r}\right). \quad (59)$$

Therefore, if we take $C > \beta\delta > 0$, ${}^{(3)}\hat{R}$ is strictly positive in the region $0 \leq r < \epsilon$. On S_α , $(\hat{r}^a\hat{D}_a\hat{k})/\hat{k}^2$ is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\hat{r}^a\hat{D}_a\hat{k}}{\hat{k}^2} \right|_{S_\alpha} &= \left. \frac{(r^a D_a k)}{k^2} \right|_{S_\alpha} - \partial_r \log u|_{S_\alpha} \\ &= \left. \frac{(r^a D_a k)}{k^2} \right|_{S_\alpha} - \frac{C\delta}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon}\right)} \\ &\geq \alpha + C_1\epsilon =: \bar{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where C_1 is a (negative) constant.

We now describe the second procedure. We introduce a manifold $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ with the following metric:

$$\begin{aligned} d\overset{\circ}{s}^2 &= \frac{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r-\bar{r}}{2}\right)}{1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{r-\bar{r}+r_{\bar{r}}}{2}\right)} \exp(r - \bar{\delta}) \hat{\varphi}_{\bar{\delta}}^2(x^a) dr^2 + \exp(r - \bar{\delta}) g_{\bar{\delta},ab}(x^a) dx^a dx^b \\ &=: \hat{\varphi}_{\bar{\delta}}^2 dr^2 + \hat{g}_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_{\bar{\delta}} = \hat{\varphi}|_{r=\bar{\delta}}$ and $g_{\bar{\delta},ab}(x^a) = g_{ab}|_{r=\bar{\delta}}$. Here, this metric has one parameter $\bar{\delta}$, which is required to satisfy $0 < \bar{\delta} < \epsilon$, and $r_{\bar{\delta}}$ is determined later. This manifold $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ uniformly converges

to $\bar{\Sigma}$ in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (which leads to $\bar{\delta} \rightarrow 0$). We glue the two manifolds $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ along the surface $r = \bar{\delta}$ denoted by $S_{\bar{\delta}}$. Since $\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k}$ and \hat{k} are smooth regular functions in $0 < r \leq \bar{\delta}$, the relation between the values of $\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k} / \hat{k}^2$ on $S_{\bar{\delta}}$ and on S_α is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k}}{\hat{k}^2} \right|_{S_{\bar{\delta}}} &\geq \left. \frac{\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k}}{\hat{k}^2} \right|_{S_\alpha} + \bar{C}_2 \epsilon \\ &\geq \bar{\alpha} + \bar{C}_2 \epsilon = \alpha + C_2 \epsilon =: \hat{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where \bar{C}_2 and $C_2 (= C_1 + \bar{C}_2)$ are constants, and $\bar{\alpha}$ is determined in Eq. (60). Note that $\hat{\alpha} > -1/2$ can be satisfied by taking a sufficiently small ϵ , because C_2 is a constant independent of ϵ .³ Similarly to the case of the metric of Eq. (26) in Sect. 3.2, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $r_{\bar{\delta}}$ are taken so that $\hat{k} = \overset{\circ}{k}$ and $(\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k}) = \hat{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{k}^2$ are satisfied on $S_{\bar{\delta}}$. Here, the geometric quantities with circles (such as $\overset{\circ}{k}$) are with respect to the metric of Eq. (61). At this point, the obtained manifold, $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ glued to Σ on $S_{\bar{\delta}}$, is still C^0 -class, generally.

The third procedure is as follows. Let us deform the glued manifold in the domain $\bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon} < r < \bar{\delta}$ to make it smooth, where $\bar{\epsilon}$ is any constant satisfying $0 < \bar{\epsilon} < \bar{\delta}$. We consider the difference of the metrics of Eqs. (53) and (61) around $S_{\bar{\delta}}$. On $S_{\bar{\delta}}$, the components of the two metrics have been set to be equal to each other. Since \hat{k} and $\overset{\circ}{k}$ are equal on $S_{\bar{\delta}}$, and the first order derivative of the metric component proportional to $g_{ab}|_{r=\bar{\delta}}$ is continuous as well. By contrast, the first order derivative of the traceless components of the metric is not continuous. Therefore, since each metric is smooth, the difference between them can be written as

$$g_{ab} - \overset{\circ}{g}_{ab} = (r - \bar{\delta})^2 T \overset{\circ}{g}_{ab} + (r - \bar{\delta}) h_{ab}, \quad \hat{\varphi} - \overset{\circ}{\varphi} = 2(r - \bar{\delta}) \hat{\varphi} \Phi, \quad (63)$$

with smooth functions T , h_{ab} and Φ , where h_{ab} is traceless, $\overset{\circ}{g}^{ab} h_{ab} = 0$. In $\bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon} < r < \bar{\delta}$, we consider a manifold with the following smoothed metric:

$$\begin{aligned} d\check{s}^2 &= \hat{\varphi}^2 \left(1 + \left[\frac{d}{dr} F^2(r) \right] \Phi \right)^2 dr^2 + (\overset{\circ}{g}_{ab} [1 + F^2(r)T] + F(r)h_{ab}) dx^a dx^b, \\ &=: \check{\varphi}^2 dr^2 + \check{g}_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where

$$F(r) := (r - \bar{\delta}) f(r - \bar{\delta}) \quad (65)$$

with a function $f(r)$ defined on $-\bar{\epsilon} < r < 0$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \nearrow 0} f(r) = 1, \quad \lim_{r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}} f(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \nearrow 0} f^{(n)}(r) = \lim_{r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}} f^{(n)}(r) = 0, \\ \left| \frac{d}{dr}(rf) \right| < 1, \quad \frac{d^2}{dr^2}(rf)^2 < 2. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Here, $f^{(n)}$ is the n -th order derivative of f for arbitrary positive integer n . Note that there exist functions satisfying the above requirements, as we show an example in Appendix A. Then, this manifold can be smoothly glued to Σ with the metric of Eq. (53) on $S_{\bar{\delta}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ with the metric of Eq. (61) at $r = \bar{\delta} - \bar{\epsilon}$. Moreover, the obtained manifold uniformly converges

³ This is required for $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ to uniformly converge to $\bar{\Sigma}$.

to $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ with the metrics of Eqs. (25) and (26) in Sect. 3.2 in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (which gives $\bar{\delta} \rightarrow 0$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$). The metric of Eq. (64) and its derivatives with respect to r are estimated in terms of those of the metric of Eq. (53) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\varphi} &= \dot{\varphi}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), & \check{g}_{ab} &= \dot{g}_{ab}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \\
\check{g}^{ab} &= \dot{g}^{ab}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} - Fh^{ab} + \mathcal{O}((\bar{\delta} - r)^2), \\
\partial_r \log \check{\varphi} &= (\partial_r \log \dot{\varphi})|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + (F^2)''\Phi + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \\
\partial_r \check{g}_{ab} &= (\partial_r \dot{g}_{ab})|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + (F)'h_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \\
\partial_r^2 \check{g}_{ab} &= (\partial_r^2 \dot{g}_{ab})|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \dot{g}_{ab} (F^2)'' T + F''h_{ab} + 2F' \partial_r h_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r),
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where the prime means the derivative with respect to r , that is $F' = dF/dr$, and $h^{ab} := \dot{g}^{ac} h_{cd} \dot{g}^{db}$. Note that the order of F , F^2 and their derivatives are $F = \mathcal{O}(\bar{\epsilon})$, $F' = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $F'' = \mathcal{O}(1/\bar{\epsilon})$, $F^2 = \mathcal{O}(\bar{\epsilon}^2)$, $(F^2)' = \mathcal{O}(\bar{\epsilon})$, and $(F^2)'' = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then, the geometric quantities are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{k}_{ab} &= \dot{k}_{ab}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \frac{F'}{2\dot{\varphi}|_{r=\bar{\delta}}} h_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), & \check{k} &= \dot{k}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \\
\check{r}^a \check{D}_a \check{k} &= (\dot{r}^a \dot{D}_a \dot{k})|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + (F^2)'' \left(\frac{4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2}{4\dot{\varphi}^2} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \\
{}^{(2)}\check{R} &= {}^{(2)}\dot{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), & \check{\varphi}^{-1} \check{D}^2 \check{\varphi} &= (\dot{\varphi}^{-1} \dot{D}^2 \dot{\varphi}) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r),
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where the geometric functions with check (such as \check{k}) are with respect to the metric of Eq. (64). Note that we used the traceless condition of h_{ab} , *i.e.* $\dot{g}^{ab} h_{ab} = 0$, to simplify the expressions. The three-dimensional Ricci scalar for the metric of Eq. (64) is reduced to

$${}^{(3)}\check{R} = {}^{(3)}\dot{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} - F'^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\dot{\varphi}} h_{ab} \right)^2 \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} - (F^2)'' \left(\frac{4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r), \tag{69}$$

where we used $\dot{k}^{ab} h_{ab} = 0$ since $r = \text{constant}$ surfaces are umbilical for the metric of Eq. (61). Here, we evaluate the value of the three-dimensional Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}\hat{R}$ for the metric of Eq. (53) (*i.e.* on $\hat{\Sigma}$) on $r = \bar{\delta}$. Since ${}^{(3)}\check{R}$ and ${}^{(3)}\hat{R}$ have the same value on $r = \bar{\delta}$, we have

$${}^{(3)}\hat{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} = {}^{(3)}\dot{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} - \left(\frac{1}{2\dot{\varphi}} h_{ab} \right)^2 \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} - \left(\frac{4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2}{\dot{\varphi}^2} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}}, \tag{70}$$

using $F' = 1$ and $(F^2)'' = 2$ at $r = \bar{\delta}$. In the same way, we have

$$\left(\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} = \left(\dot{r}^a \dot{D}_a \dot{k} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \left(\frac{4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}}. \tag{71}$$

Since

$$\frac{\hat{r}^a \hat{D}_a \hat{k}}{\hat{k}^2} \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} \geq \dot{\alpha} = \frac{\dot{r}^a \dot{D}_a \dot{k}}{\dot{k}^2} \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{k} = \dot{k} \tag{72}$$

are satisfied from the construction of the metric of Eq. (61), we have

$$\left(4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2 \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} \geq 0. \tag{73}$$

Using the above and the inequalities of Eq. (66), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(3)}\check{R} &= {}^{(3)}\hat{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + (1 - F'^2) \left(\frac{1}{2\dot{\varphi}} h_{ab} \right)^2 \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \left[2 - (F'^2)'' \right] \left(\frac{4T - 4\dot{\varphi}\Phi\dot{k} - h_{ab}^2}{2\dot{\varphi}^2} \right) \Big|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\delta} - r) \\
&\geq {}^{(3)}\hat{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\epsilon}).
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Since ${}^{(3)}\hat{R}|_{r=\bar{\delta}}$ is strictly positive, ${}^{(3)}\check{R}$ can be made positive everywhere by setting $\bar{\epsilon}$ to be a sufficiently small value. In other words, there is a critical value $\bar{\epsilon}_c$ such that ${}^{(3)}\check{R}$ becomes nonnegative for $\bar{\epsilon} \leq \bar{\epsilon}_c$.

As a result, there exists a sequence of smooth manifolds with a nonnegative Ricci scalar, which uniformly converges to $\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ with the metrics of Eqs. (25) and (26). Moreover, for $r < \epsilon$, the mean curvature k on each r -constant surface is positive. Thus, the discussion in Sect. 3.3 is applicable.

4. Summary

In this paper, we have introduced the new concept, the attractive gravity probe surface (AGPS), to characterize the strength of the gravitational field. An AGPS is a two-dimensional closed surface that satisfies $k > 0$ and $r^a D_a k / k^2 \geq \alpha$ for the local inverse mean curvature flow, where α is a constant satisfying $\alpha > -1/2$. This concept can be applied to surfaces in regions with various strength of the gravitational field, including weak-gravity regions: The parameter α controls the strength of the gravitational field. For instance, for r -constant surfaces in a t -constant slice of a Schwarzschild spacetime, $r^a D_a k / k^2$ is a monotonically decreasing continuous function of r . It converges to ∞ in the limit where the surface approaches the horizon, while it converges to $-1/2$ at spatial infinity. Hence, α is interpreted as an indicator for the minimum strength of the gravitational field on the surface, because it gives the lower bound of $r^a D_a k / k^2$ on that surface.

Then, we have generalized the Riemannian Penrose inequality to the areal inequality for AGPSs; for asymptotically flat or AdS spaces, the area of an AGPS surface has an upper bound, $A_\alpha \leq 4\pi[(3 + 4\alpha)/(1 + 2\alpha)]^2 (Gm)^2$ (the exact statement is presented in Sect. 2.2 and as Theorem 1 in Sect. 3.1). In the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and in the case $\alpha = 0$, our inequality is reduced to the Riemannian Penrose inequality and its analog for the LTS, respectively. The proof for asymptotically flat spaces is done by applying Bray's theorem derived using the conformal flow. This means that our inequality is applicable to the case of an AGPS with multiple components. For asymptotically AdS spaces, our argument relied on the Geroch monotonicity in the inverse mean curvature flow. The proof for the areal inequality for AGPSs based on the conformal flow, which requires the generalization of Bray's method to AdS spaces, will be an important remaining problem.

It would be interesting to extend our inequality to that in Einstein-Maxwell systems, as in the case of the Riemannian Penrose inequality [12–15] and its analog for the LTS [16]. Moreover, the extension to the higher-dimensional cases is expected to be possible for asymptotically flat spaces. We leave these topics for future works.

It is also important to consider the relation to behavior of photons and the possible connection to observations. For LTSs, the relations to dynamically transversely trapping surfaces




Fig. A1 The behavior of the functions f , $(rf)'$, and $(r^2 f^2)''$ for the matching function given by Eq. (A1).

(DTTSs), which is a generalization of the concept of the photon surface for generic space-times based on the photon orbits, have been discussed [16–19]. It would be interesting to explore the relation between the AGPSs and the photon behavior.

Acknowledgement

K. I. and T. S. are supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A)(No.17H01091). K. I. is also supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (20H01902). H. Y. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. JP18K03654) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). The work of H.Y. is partly supported by Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849).

A. An example of the matching function f

An example of the matching function is

$$f(r) = (1 + \exp x(r))^{-1}, \quad x(r) := \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} + 1, \quad (\text{A1})$$

defined on $-\bar{\epsilon} < r < 0$. The behavior of f , $(rf)'$, $[(rf)^2]''$ is shown in Fig. A1, where the prime means the derivative with respect to r (not to x), that is, $f' = \frac{d}{dr} f(x(r))$. From this figure, one can expect that the requirements of Eq. (66) would be satisfied. Here, we provide a solid proof for this fact.

A.1. Evaluation of the first three equations of conditions of Eq. (66)

It is trivial that the first two equations of Eq. (66) are satisfied because of

$$\lim_{r \nearrow 0} e^x = 0, \quad \lim_{r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}} e^x = \infty. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Since the function f converges to 1 and 0 very rapidly in the limit of $r \nearrow 0$ and $r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}$, respectively, the third equation of Eq. (66) is expected to be satisfied. Let us show it exactly.

The n th-order derivatives of $f(x(r))$ with respect to r are written by the finite sum of terms, each of which has the form

$$c_l \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{d^{a_k} x}{dr^{a_k}}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where m is a positive integer, c_l is a constant and a_k 's are positive integers satisfying

$$n = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Different a_k 's may take the same integer. The third equation of Eq. (66) holds if each term of Eq. (A3) converges to zero in the limits $r \nearrow 0$ and $r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}$.

The a_k th-order derivatives of $x(r)$ become

$$\frac{d^{a_k} x}{dr^{a_k}} = (-1)^{a_k} a_k! \left(\frac{1}{r^{a_k+1}} + \frac{1}{(r + \bar{\epsilon})^{a_k+1}} \right) \bar{\epsilon}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Around $r = 0$, the absolute value of it is bounded from above as

$$\left| \frac{d^{a_k} x}{dr^{a_k}} \right| < c_{a_k} \left| \frac{1}{r^{a_k+1}} \right|, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where c_{a_k} is a positive constant. Thus, the absolute value of the product of the derivatives of x in Eq. (A3) is bounded from above as

$$\prod_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{d^{a_k} x}{dr^{a_k}} \right| < c_a \left| \frac{1}{r^{a+m}} \right|, \quad \text{where} \quad c_a := \prod_{k=1}^m c_{a_k}, \quad a := \sum_{k=1}^m a_k. \quad (\text{A7})$$

We now estimate the m th-order derivative of $f(x(r))$ with respect to x . The first-order derivative is calculated as

$$\frac{df}{dx} = f(f - 1). \quad (\text{A8})$$

Defining a functional space \mathcal{F} as

$$\mathcal{F} := \{f(f - 1)p(f) \mid p(f) \text{ is a polynomial of } f\}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

we show

$$\frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{A10})$$

for $m \geq 1$ by the method of induction. For $m = 1$, it is true because of Eq. (A8). Suppose Eq. (A10) is satisfied for $m = q$. The assumption indicates that $d^q f/dx^q$ is written by $f(f - 1)p_q(f)$ with a polynomial $p_q(f)$, and its derivative becomes

$$\frac{d^{q+1} f}{dx^{q+1}} = f(f - 1) \left[(2f - 1)p_q(f) + \frac{dp_q(f)}{df} \right] \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Therefore, Eq. (A10) is proved for arbitrary $m \geq 1$.

Now, we know that each of m th-order derivatives is written as

$$\frac{d^m f}{dx^m} = f(f - 1)p_m(f), \quad (\text{A12})$$

with a polynomial $p_m(f)$. The function f converges to unity in the limit $r \nearrow 0$. Therefore, $|fp_m(f)|$ is bounded from above by a positive constant \tilde{c}_m around $r = 0$. On the other hand,

$|f - 1|$ is estimated around $r = 0$ as

$$|f - 1| = \frac{\exp x}{1 + \exp x} < \bar{c}_m \exp x = \bar{c}_m \exp \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} + 1 \right) < \bar{c}_m \exp \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} \right), \quad (\text{A13})$$

with a positive constant \bar{c}_m . Therefore, we have

$$\left| \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \right| < \tilde{c}_m \bar{c}_m \exp \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} \right) =: c_m \exp \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} \right), \quad (\text{A14})$$

around $r = 0$. As a result, Eq. (A3) is estimated around $r = 0$ as

$$\left| c_l \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \prod_{k=1}^l \frac{d^{a_k} x}{dr^{a_k}} \right| < |c_l| c_a c_m \left| \frac{1}{r^{a+l}} \right| \exp \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} \right) \xrightarrow{r \nearrow 0} 0. \quad (\text{A15})$$

This leads to

$$\lim_{r \nearrow 0} f^{(n)}(r) = 0. \quad (\text{A16})$$

In a similar way, we can show the vanishing of $f^{(n)}(r)$ for the limit $r \searrow -\bar{\epsilon}$.

A.2. Estimate of $(rf)'$

We now show that the condition $|(rf)'| < 1$ is satisfied. First, we show the upper bound of $(rf)'$ as follows:

$$(rf)' = \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \left[1 + \frac{r\bar{\epsilon}e^x}{1 + e^x} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{(r + \bar{\epsilon})^2} \right) \right] < \frac{1}{1 + e^x} < 1. \quad (\text{A17})$$

Note that $f(r)$ is defined on $-\bar{\epsilon} < r < 0$, *i.e.*, r is negative.

Next, we estimate the lower bound of $(rf)'$ in the cases of $-\bar{\epsilon}/2 \leq r < 0$ and $-\bar{\epsilon} < r \leq -\bar{\epsilon}/2$, separately. It is useful to express $(rf)'$ as follows:

$$(rf)' = \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x} \left[x - \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\bar{\epsilon} + r} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x} \left[x - \left(x - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} - 1 \right)^2 - 1 \right] \right\}. \quad (\text{A19})$$

A.2.1. The case $-\bar{\epsilon}/2 \leq r < 0$. In this case, we have the inequalities

$$x \leq 1, \quad e^x \leq e, \quad 1 < \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\bar{\epsilon} + r} \leq 2. \quad (\text{A20})$$

From Eq. (A18), $(rf)'$ is bounded as

$$(rf)' > \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \left[1 + \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x} (x - 5) \right]. \quad (\text{A21})$$

The derivative of the right-hand side of the above is

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^x} \left[1 + \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x} (x - 5) \right] \right) = \frac{e^x(1 - e^x)}{(1 + e^x)^3} (x - 5). \quad (\text{A22})$$

This is negative for $x < 0$ and positive for $0 < x \leq 1$. Thus, the minimum of the right-hand side of Eq. (A21) occurs at $x = 0$, and hence, we have

$$(rf)' \geq \frac{1}{1 + 1} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + 1} (-5) \right] = -\frac{3}{4} > -1. \quad (\text{A23})$$

A.2.2. *The case $-\bar{\epsilon} < r \leq -\bar{\epsilon}/2$.* In this case, we have

$$x \geq 1, \quad e^x \geq e, \quad 1 < -\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} \leq 2. \quad (\text{A24})$$

From Eq. (A19), the lower bound is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} (rf)' &\geq \frac{1}{1+e^x} \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^x}{1+e^x} [x - (x+1)^2 - 1] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+e^x)^2} [1 - e^x(x^2 + x + 1)] \\ &> -\frac{1}{(1+e^{-x})^2} e^{-x}(x^2 + x + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A25})$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dx} [e^{-x}(x^2 + x + 1)] = -e^x x(x-1) < 0 \quad (\text{A26})$$

holds, $e^{-x}(x^2 + x + 1)$ is a decreasing function for $x > 1$. Meanwhile, $1/(1+e^{-x})^2$ is an increasing function. Then, $(rf)'$ is found to be bounded from below as

$$(rf)' > - \left[\frac{1}{(1+e^{-x})^2} \right] \Big|_{x=2} [e^{-x}(x^2 + x + 1)] \Big|_{x=1} > -1 \quad (\text{A27})$$

for $1 < x < 2$, and

$$(rf)' > - \left[\frac{1}{(1+e^{-x})^2} \right] \Big|_{x \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-x}(x^2 + x + 1)] \Big|_{x=2} > -1 \quad (\text{A28})$$

for $2 < x$.

A.3. Estimate of $[(rf)^2]''$

The second-order derivative of $(rf)^2$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &= \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} \left\{ (1+e^x)^2 + 2e^x(1+e^x) \left[x - 1 - \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\bar{\epsilon} + r} \right)^3 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^x(2e^x - 1) \left[x - 1 - \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\bar{\epsilon} + r} \right)^2 \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

We will show that $[(rf)^2]'' < 2$ is satisfied, in the three cases, $e^x \leq 1/2$ (*i.e.* $x \leq -\log 2$), $1/2 < e^x \leq e$ (*i.e.* $-\log 2 < x \leq 1$), and $e < e^x$ (*i.e.* $1 < x$), separately.

A.3.1. *The case $e^x \leq 1/2$ (*i.e.* $x \leq -\log 2$).* Since x and $(2e^x - 1)$ are negative, Eq. (A29) gives

$$[(rf)^2]'' \leq \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} (1+e^x)^2 < 1. \quad (\text{A30})$$

A.3.2. The case $1/2 < e^x \leq e$ (i.e. $-7/10 < -\log 2 < x \leq 1$). We can express $\bar{\epsilon}/r$ in terms of $\bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon})$ as

$$\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r} = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}}\right)^{-1}, \quad (\text{A31})$$

and then, we can see a relation between $\bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon})$ and x ,

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}}\right)^2 = (x + 1) \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} - (x - 1). \quad (\text{A32})$$

The terms including $\bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon})$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (A29) are rewritten as

$$-\left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}}\right)^3 = -(x^2 + x + 2) \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} + x^2 - 1, \quad (\text{A33})$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}}\right)^2 - (x - 1)\right]^2 = (x^3 - x^2 + 3x + 5) \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} - x^3 + 3x^2 - 7x + 5. \quad (\text{A34})$$

Solving Eq. (A32) for $\bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon})$, it is estimated as

$$\frac{7}{5} < \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} = \frac{(x + 1) + \sqrt{(x - 1)^2 + 4}}{2} \leq 2, \quad (\text{A35})$$

where we used $1 < \bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon})$ to choose the sign in the front of the square root and $-7/10 < x \leq 1$. As a consequence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &= \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left\{ 1 + (x^3 - x^2 + 9x - 7) e^x + (-2x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 7) e^{2x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[-(x^3 + x^2 + 5x + 9) + (2x^3 - 4x^2 + 4x + 6) e^x \right] e^x \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left\{ 1 + (x^3 - x^2 + 9x - 7) e^x + (-2x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 7) e^{2x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[-(3x^2 + 3x + 6) + (x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 3) (2e^x - 1) \right] e^x \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{r + \bar{\epsilon}} \right\} \\ &< \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left\{ 1 + (x^3 - x^2 + 9x - 7) e^x + (-2x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 7) e^{2x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[-\frac{7}{5} (3x^2 + 3x + 6) + 2 (x^3 - 2x^2 + 2x + 3) (2e^x - 1) \right] e^x \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left[1 + \left(-x^3 - \frac{6}{5}x^2 + \frac{4}{5}x - \frac{107}{5} \right) e^x + (2x^3 - 4x + 19) e^{2x} \right]. \quad (\text{A36}) \end{aligned}$$

For $-7/10 < x \leq 0$, we have $e^x \leq 1$ and then $[(rf)^2]''$ is bounded from above as

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &\leq \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left[1 + \left(-x^3 - \frac{6}{5}x^2 + \frac{4}{5}x - \frac{107}{5} \right) e^x + (2x^3 - 4x + 19) e^x \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} \left[1 + \left(x^3 - \frac{6}{5}x^2 - \frac{16}{5}x - \frac{12}{5} \right) e^x \right] \\ &< \frac{2}{(1 + e^x)^4} < 2. \quad (\text{A37}) \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < x \leq 1$, we have $e^x \leq e < 3$, and it gives

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &< \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} \left[1 + \frac{1}{3} \left(-x^3 - \frac{6}{5}x^2 + \frac{4}{5}x - \frac{107}{5} \right) e^{2x} + (2x^3 - 4x + 19) e^{2x} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} + \frac{2e^{2x}}{15(1+e^x)^4} [25x^3 - 6x^2 - 56x + 178]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A38})$$

The part in the square bracket of the second term in the second line is positive in the interval $0 < x \leq 1$ since its function has a minimal value at $x = (6 + 2\sqrt{1059})/75 (< 1)$ and a maximal value at $x = (6 - 2\sqrt{1059})/75 (< 0)$. Then, the maximum value in $0 < x \leq 1$ is under 178. Moreover, we can estimate its coefficient as

$$\frac{2e^{2x}}{15(1+e^x)^4} < \frac{1}{120}, \quad (\text{A39})$$

where we used the fact that $e^{2x}/(1+e^x)^4$ monotonically decreases for $x > 0$.

Then, $[(rf)^2]''$ is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &< \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} + \frac{178}{120} \\ &< \frac{1}{8} + \frac{178}{120} < 2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A40})$$

A.3.3. The case $e < e^x$ (i.e. $1 < x$). We estimate the first and second lines of Eq. (A36). The terms in the square bracket in the second line is shown to be positive as

$$\begin{aligned} &-(x^3 + x^2 + 5x + 9) + (2x^3 - 4x^2 + 4x + 6) e^x \\ &= -(x^3 + x^2 + 5x + 9) + 2[x(x-1)^2 + x + 3] e^x \\ &> -(x^3 + x^2 + 5x + 9) + 5[x(x-1)^2 + x + 3] \\ &= 4x^3 - 11x^2 + 5x + 6 > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A41})$$

where we used $5/2 < e < e^x$ and $x > 1$ in the first inequality and used $x > 1$ in the second inequality. Since for $x > 1$ we have $\bar{\epsilon}/(r + \bar{\epsilon}) < x + 1$ [see Eq. (A35)], the value of $[(rf)^2]''$ is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} [(rf)^2]'' &< \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} \left\{ 1 + (x^3 - x^2 + 9x - 7) e^x + (-2x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 7) e^{2x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [-(x^3 + x^2 + 5x + 9) + (2x^3 - 4x^2 + 4x + 6) e^x] e^x(1+x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} \left\{ 1 - (x^4 + x^3 + 7x^2 + 5x + 16) e^x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 2x + 13) e^{2x} \right\} \\ &< \frac{2}{(1+e^x)^4} + \frac{2e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^4} (2x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 2x + 13). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A42})$$

Here, the first term has the maximum value $2(1+e)^{-4} < 1/50$ at $x=1$. Derivative of the second term is shown to be negative as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{2e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^4} (2x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 2x + 13) \right] \\
&= \frac{4e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^5} \left[(2x^4 + 2x^2 + 6x + 12) - e^x (2x^4 - 8x^3 + 14x^2 - 10x + 14) \right] \\
&< \frac{4e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^5} \left[(2x^4 + 2x^2 + 6x + 12) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) (2x^4 - 8x^3 + 14x^2 - 10x + 14) \right] \\
&= -\frac{4e^{2x}}{(1+e^x)^5} \left[(x^3 - x^2 - x - 1)^2 + x(x-1)(x+4) + 3x^2 + 1 \right] < 0, \tag{A43}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$2x^4 - 8x^3 + 14x^2 - 10x + 14 = 2(x-2)^2x^2 + 5(x-1)^2 + x^2 + 9 > 0 \tag{A44}$$

and $e^x > 1 + x + x^2/2$. Thus, the second term of the right-hand side of Eq. (A42) is a decreasing function. The maximum of the right-hand side of the inequality of Eq. (A42) occurs at $x=1$ and its value satisfies

$$\frac{34e^2}{(1+e)^4} < \frac{14}{10}. \tag{A45}$$

Therefore, the value of $[(rf)^2]''$ is less than 2.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, *Annals N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **224**, 125 (1973).
- [2] P. S. Jang and R. M. Wald, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 41 (1977).
- [3] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *J. Diff. Geom.* **59**, 353 (2001).
- [4] H. Bray, *J. Differential Geom.*, **59** (2001), 177-267.
- [5] T. Shiromizu, Y. Tomikawa, K. Izumi and H. Yoshino, *PTEP* **2017** (2017) no.3, 033E01.
- [6] R. Geroch, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **224**, 108 (1973).
- [7] W. Boucher, G. W. Gibbons and G. T. Horowitz, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 2447 (1984).
- [8] G. W. Gibbons, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, 1677 (1999).
- [9] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, *Nucl. Phys. B* **195**, 76-96 (1982).
- [10] A. Ashtekar and A. Magnon, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1**, L39-L44 (1984).
- [11] S. Aminneborg, I. Bengtsson, S. Holst and P. Peldan, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13**, 2707-2714 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9604005 [gr-qc]].
- [12] P. S. Jang, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 834-838 (1979).
- [13] G. Weinstein and S. Yamada, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **257**, 703-723 (2005).
- [14] M. Khuri, G. Weinstein and S. Yamada, *Contemp. Math.* **653**, 219-226 (2015).
- [15] M. Khuri, G. Weinstein and S. Yamada, *J. Diff. Geom.* **106**, no.3, 451-498 (2017).
- [16] K. Lee, T. Shiromizu, H. Yoshino, K. Izumi and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2020**, no.10, 103E03 (2020).
- [17] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2017**, no.6, 063E01 (2017).
- [18] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2020**, no.2, 023E02 (2020).
- [19] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu and Y. Tomikawa, *PTEP* **2020**, no.5, 053E01 (2020).