

# Change-point detection using spectral PCA for multivariate time series

Shuhao Jiao<sup>\*</sup>, Tong Shen<sup>†</sup>, Zhaoxia Yu<sup>‡</sup>, Hernando Ombao<sup>§</sup>

January 20, 2022

## Abstract

We propose a two-stage approach Spec PC-CP to identify change points in multivariate time series. In the first stage, we obtain a low-dimensional summary of the high-dimensional time series by Spectral Principal Component Analysis (Spec-PCA). In the second stage, we apply cumulative sum-type test on the Spectral PCA component using a binary segmentation algorithm. Compared with existing approaches, the proposed method is able to capture the lead-lag relationship in time series. Our simulations demonstrate that the Spec PC-CP method performs significantly better than competing methods for detecting change points in high-dimensional time series. The results on epileptic seizure EEG data and stock data also indicate that our new method can efficiently detect change points corresponding to the onset of the underlying events.

## 1 Introduction

Detecting change point in time series is an important goal in many scientific disciplines such as medicine, financial markets, and climate (Scheffer et al., 2009). For instance, much effort has been dedicated to capture the sudden changes in brain due to epilepsy. The analysis of epilepsy helps people understand disruptions in normal brain functioning and develop more precise diagnosis, improve therapy, and develop effective early-warning systems for onset of seizure activity (see, for example, Schröder and Ombao 2019; Euan et al., 2019). Epileptic seizure prediction has been a notably

---

<sup>\*</sup>Statistics Program, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Saudi Arabia; shuhao.jiao@kaust.edu.sa

<sup>†</sup>Department of Statistics, UC Irvine, USA; tong@uci.edu

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Statistics, UC Irvine, USA; zhaoxia@ics.uci.edu

<sup>§</sup>Statistics Program, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Saudi Arabia; hernando.ombao@kaust.edu.sa

challenging problem (Mormann et al., 2006). Recent studies showed that prediction might be feasible by analyzing electroencephalography (EEG) time series (Chisci et al., 2010). These automatic algorithms for seizure detection aim to provide real-time detection and onset warnings, which may greatly improve clinical and monitoring diagnosis.

In the financial field, Aue and collaborators (Aue, Horváth and Reimherr, 2009; Aue, Hörmann and Horváth, 2009) developed rigorous change-point detection methods. They introduced an asymptotic test procedure to assess the stability of volatilities and cross-volatilities. It is of prime importance to detect the potential change in stock market and during financial crisis, which enables people to better understand the relationship between financial events and stocks. Choudhry (1996) studied the 1987 financial crisis. Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity-in-mean (GARCH-M) model was used to investigate the volatility and changes in volatility for emerging markets before and after the crisis. In Dooley and Hutchison (2009), the subprime crisis circa 2008 was analyzed. A long decline was observed in U.S. equities at the start of subprime crisis in mid-2007 through September 2008. There was also a dramatic change in U.S. stock market in September 2008 with increased volatility after the crisis.

In the literature, both parametric and nonparametric methods have been proposed to detect change points using either univariate or multivariate time series (Chen et al., 2010; Davis et al., 2006, Chen and Gupta, 2012). Parametric models are efficient if the model assumptions are correct. Kirch et al. (2015) developed an approach where a score type of test statistic based on vector autoregressive (VAR) models was used for identifying change points. Nonparametric change points are also used for detecting changes in the spectral characteristics of the time series such as those based on cumulative sum-type tests (Adak, 1998; Ombao et al., 2005; Terrien, Germain, Marque and Karlsson, 2013). For example, Ombao et al. (2005) developed a procedure for segmentation of a non-stationary time series via model selection using the SLEX library which is a collection of orthonormal time-localized Fourier waveforms. One limitation of these methods is they lack the interpretability for frequency as we expect to detect change points for EEG seizure on frequency bands as well. Frequency-specific change point detection can help people gain more insights in brain activity at different frequencies (Alarcon, Binnie, Elwes and Polkey, 1995; Blondin and Greer, 2011; Schmitt, Pargeon, Frechette, Hirsch, Dalmau, and Friedman, 2012). Moreover, when we extend methods from univariate to multivariate time series case, existing methods can be computationally inefficient.

In practice, multivariate time series are often correlated. Analyzing or modeling them jointly, rather than individually or pairwise, allows us to take the full multivariate information into consideration (Ombao et al., 2005); as a result, multivariate approaches are often more efficient. Besides, in many time series data, spectral analysis is often able to extract critical spectral characteristics of the data by examining different frequency bands [17]. Motivated by these previous work, we develop a two-

stage detection method for multivariate time series which we call the Spectral PCA change point (Spec PC-CP) method. The main advantage of our method is that it reduces computational complexity and is more likely to give lower reconstruction error (Wang et al., 2016) especially when there is lead-lag relationship between components of the time series.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we propose the two-stage Spec PC-CP method and describe the comparison methods: Contemporaneous Mixture Method mentioned (Wang et al., 2016), Structural Break Detection (Safikhani and Shojaje, 2017) and Sparsified Binary Segmentation (Cho and Fryzlewicz, 2015). In section 3 we conduct simulation studies to evaluate the performance of Spec PC-CP under several simulation settings. In section 4, we analyze epileptic seizure EEG data and stock data and show that our method can efficiently detect change points corresponding to the onset of seizure in EEG data and financial issues in stock data. In section 5 we conclude our paper to discuss the potential future directions.

## 2 The Spec PC-CP method

In multivariate analysis, PCA is one of the mostly used techniques to extract linear combinations that provide low dimensional summaries of multivariate data. The first principal component is given by the linear combination with the largest variance among all possible linear combinations. Each following component has the largest variance under the constraint that it is orthogonal to the preceding components. By extracting a few leading components that account for a desired proportion of the total variance, PCA is often used for visualization and dimension reduction.

**Contemporaneous Mixture Method.** Consider a zero-mean  $p$ -dimensional process  $X(t)$ . In classical PCA, the PCs as mentioned in Wang et al., (2016) can be represented as an instantaneous linear mixture of the original  $p$ -dimensional time series  $X(t)$ . The calculating procedure is as follows:

- For  $X(t)$ , compute the eigenvalues-eigenvector pairs of  $\Sigma^x$  as  $\{(\lambda_\ell, \mathbf{e}_\ell)\}_{\ell=1}^q$ , where  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_q$  and  $\|\mathbf{e}_\ell\| = 1$ . When  $\Sigma^x$  is not known, we estimate  $\Sigma^x$  by  $\hat{\Sigma}^x = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X(t)X(t)^T$ , where  $T$  is the length of the series
- The  $\ell$ -th component can be calculated by

$$u_\ell(t) = \mathbf{e}_\ell^T X(t)$$

Although widely used in dimension reduction, classical PCA may not be optimal when there is lead-lag relationships between multiple time series. Spectral PCA, which was originally introduced in Brillinger (1964), aims to find components that explain the variance in the spectral density matrix at all frequencies rather than the contemporaneous covariance matrix. Because of the 1-1 relationship between the

sequence of auto-covariance matrix at all lags and the spectral density matrix at all frequencies, Spectral PCA is able to capture lead-lag dependence at various lags between the different time series. We will show an example in the simulation section where Spectral PCA gives a better summary of the latent source (Figure 3). An additional advantage of the Spectral PCA approach is that it allows us to examine the roles of different frequency bands. Because Spectral PCA has the advantages of both spectral analysis and PCA, it has been used to analyze multivariate time series data, (See, for example, Wang et al., 2016, Ombao et al., 2005, Ombao and Ho, 2006, Böhm et al., 2010 and Stoffer et al., 2002). In spectral PCA, we summarize a  $p$ -dimensional time series  $X(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_p(t))'$ , by  $q(\leq p)$  univariate time series, each of which is a linear combination of all past, present and future observed time series, i.e, a linear filtered version of  $X(t)$ :

$$u(t) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} A(h)X(t-h)$$

Based on  $u(t)$ , one can construct  $X(t)$  by  $X(t) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} B(h)u(t-h)$ . Here  $A(h)$  and  $B(h)$  are absolutely filters with dimensions  $q \times p$  and  $p \times q$  respectively. The filters are chosen to minimize the eigenvalues of the approximation error matrix  $E[(X(t) - \hat{X}(t))^*(X(t) - \hat{X}(t))]$ . Similar to classical PCA, there are up to  $p$  components. We use  $u_\ell(t)$  to denote the  $\ell$ -th spectral PC. We apply the following algorithm to extract the first  $q$  spectral PCs.

---

**Algorithm 1** Spectral PCA Method for Extracting Summaries

---

```
1: function SPECTRAL PCA FOR DATA MATRIX  $X(t)$ 
2:   for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$  do
3:      $d(\omega_j) = T^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^T X(t) \exp(-2\pi i \omega_j t)$  (Compute Fourier coefficients(FFT)),
       where  $\omega_j = j/T$ 
4:     Compute periodogram matrix  $I(\omega_j) = d(\omega_j) \cdot d^*(\omega_j)$ 
5:     Estimate the spectral density matrix  $\hat{f}(\omega_j) = \text{smooth}_r I(\omega_{j+r})$  (use Daniel
       kernel with window size of length 5)
6:     Compute the  $q$  largest eigenvalues of  $\hat{f}(\omega_j)$  denoted
           
$$0 < \lambda_q(\omega_j) < \dots, \lambda_1(\omega_j)$$

7:     Extract the eigenvectors  $V_\ell(\omega_j)$  that correspond to the  $\ell$ -th largest eigen-
       values,  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ 
8:   end for
9:   Compute the filter  $b_\ell(h) = \sum_j V_\ell^*(\omega_j) * \exp(2\pi i \omega_j h)$  (compute the filter that
       correspond to th  $\ell$ -th largest eigenvalue) for  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ 
10:  Obtain the first  $q$  spectral PCs  $u_{t,\ell} = \sum_{h=-R}^R b_{h,\ell} * X_{t-h}$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ 
11: return  $u(t) = u_1(t), \dots, u_q(t)$ 
12: end function
```

---

In the algorithm of Spectral PCA, we first compute the eigenvectors of the smoothed periodogram (an estimation of spectrum) for each frequency. After that we calculate time-varying filter based on the eigenvectors. Finally by applying the filter to the original data, we are able to get the first  $q$  Spectral PCs, which are low dimensional summaries. The low dimensional summaries  $u_\ell(t)$  can be thought as the frequency domain analog of the  $\ell$ -th principal component in classical PCA. In this article, we calculate the first three spectral PCs ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ ) in the algorithm to conduct change point analysis.

## 2.1 Stage II: Identifying change-points from a Spectral PC using the binary segmentation algorithm

Spectral PCA was proposed to conduct dimension reduction for stationary time series (Brillinger 1981; Shumway and Stoffer, 2017). Time series with potential change points, such as EEG and stock data collected over a long period time, are naturally non-stationary. Thus, it is necessary to consider segmentation methods when identifying change points. For example, the SLEX library proposed by Ombao et al. (2005) consists of localized waveform where localization is achieved by binary segmentation

so that each time block is approximately stationary.

When combined with binary segmentation, the CUSUM statistics can consistently detect multiple change-points in a recursive manner (Cho and Fryzlewicz, 2012). In this paper, the CUSUM statistic is applied to the spectrum of the time blocks. For each time block, we first compute the smoothed periodogram of the  $\ell$ -th spectral PC  $u_\ell(t)$  (See algorithm 1(4,5,6)) Similar to Schröder and Ombao (2019), we let  $\hat{f}(\tilde{t}, \omega_j)$  denote the estimate of the spectrum of  $u_\ell(t)$  within the  $\tilde{t}$ -th block at frequency  $\omega_j$ . Figure 2 shows an example of a multivariate time series ( $T = 1,000$  and  $p = 20$  channels) with two change points at  $t = 400$  and  $700$ . The time series is a mixture of AR(2) latent sources with different frequency bands. Between the two change points, the weight of the alpha band (8-12hz) is lower while the weights of delta (0-4hz) and gamma (30-45hz) band remain constant across all time blocks. The estimated spectrum of the first spectral PC is calculated at each time block with length of 100. It is clear that the estimates agree with the latent source. Two change points are detected using the first spectral PC, which agrees with the truth.




Figure 1: Topleft: Time series with  $T = 1,000$  and  $p = 20$  channels, two change points at  $t = 400$  and  $700$ . Topright: The time-frequency plot. Presented are estimated spectrum of the first spectral PC at each time block (blocklength = 100). Bottomleft: The first spectral PC and estimated change points. Bottomright: CUSUM statistics using binary segmentation (Red line: first change point. Blue line: second change point.)

Suppose that the entire time has been split into  $\tilde{T}$  blocks. The CUSUM statistic on the  $\tilde{t}$ -th block is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}} = \sum_{\omega_j} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}}(\omega_j) * \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}}(\omega_j) > \tau_T) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}}(\omega_j) = \left| \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{T} - \tilde{t}}{\tilde{T}\tilde{t}}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{t}} \hat{f}(i, \omega_j) - \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{t}}{\tilde{T}(\tilde{T} - \tilde{t})}} \sum_{i=\tilde{t}+1}^{\tilde{T}} \hat{f}(i, \omega_j) \right| / \hat{\sigma}(\hat{f}(\omega_j)) \quad (2)$$

is the CUSUM statistic at frequency  $\omega_j$ . Here,  $\tau_T = 0.8 \log_{1.1}(T)$  is a threshold, whose theoretical justification has been provided by Schröder and Ombao (2019).

$\hat{\sigma}(\omega_j) = \frac{1}{\tilde{T}} \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{T}} \hat{f}(l, \omega_j)$  is a scaling factor.

In the binary segmentation CUSUM algorithm, for each time block we calculate the periodogram series  $\hat{f}(\tilde{t}, \omega_j)$  at all frequency  $\omega_j$  based on a spectral PC  $u_\ell(t)$ . Then we identify the first candidate change-point that gives the largest discrepancy of CUSUM statistics. Once a change point is detected at time block  $t_0$  ( $1 < t_0 < \tilde{T}$ ), we repeat our procedure on sub-intervals split by  $t_0$ . The following table provides the pseudo code of our algorithm:

---

**Algorithm 2** Binary segmentation CUSUM

---

**Input:** Periodogram series  $\hat{f}(\omega_j) = (\hat{f}(1, \omega_j), \dots, \hat{f}(\tilde{T}, \omega_j))$ , start and end point of the series, threshold  $\tau_T$ .

```

1: function BINARY-CUSUM( $\hat{f}(\omega_j), start, end, \tau_T$ )
2:   if  $end - start \geq 2$  then
3:     for Block  $\tilde{t} = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{T}$  do
4:       Calculate  $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}}$  based on  $\hat{f}(start, \omega_j), \dots, \hat{f}(end, \omega_j)$  using (1), (2)
5:     end for
6:      $t_0 = \arg \max_{\tilde{t} \in [start, end]} \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{t}}$ 
7:     if  $\mathcal{C}_{t_0} > \tau_T$  then
8:       Add  $t_0$  to the set of estimated change points.
9:       Binary-CUSUM( $\hat{f}(\omega_j), start, t_0, \tau_T$ ).
10:      Binary-CUSUM( $\hat{f}(\omega_j), t_0 + 1, end, \tau_T$ ).
11:     else
12:       Break.
13:     end if
14:   end if
15: end function

```

---

Our two-stage Spec PC-CP method is summarized in Figure 2. In the first stage, we partition the whole time series into time blocks of length 100 and compute the first spectral PC at each time interval (Figure 2(b)). In the second stage we compute the periodogram at each time block using the PCs (Figure 2(c)) and conduct change point detection using the binary segmentation CUSUM procedure (Figure 2(d)).

## 2.2 Other methods for comparison

Besides Contemporaneous Mixture Method, we also compared our method with two other existing methods, namely Structural Break Detection (Safikhani and Shojaje, 2017) and Sparsified Binary Segmentation (Cho and Fryzlewicz, 2015). Both of the methods aim to detect change points in multivariate time series. The Structural Break Detection method is a parametric method based on a piecewise vector autoregressive model (VAR) with a regularized estimation using the total variation LASSO penalty. The Sparsified Binary Segmentation method is a non-parametric method that aggregates the CUSUM statistics with a sparsifying step that reduces the effect of noisy contributions. To reduce the impact of the channels with no changes, it applies a threshold to the CUSUM statistics for each single channel and aggregates only the CUSUMs that exceeds the threshold.

## 3 Simulation Studies

### 3.1 Generate the latent sources

In this section, we present simulation studies to examine the performance of our proposed Spec PC-CP method and three existing methods. We consider several methods for generating latent source. To obtain realistic signals, we follow the approach in Gao et al. (2016) to generate latent sources using the AR(2) model. As demonstrated in Gao et al. (2016), the AR(2) model is able to produce signals with the desired oscillatory properties. Following the convention for analyzing electroencephalograms (EEGs), we generated sources with localized power in the following frequency bands: delta (0-4) Hz, theta (4-8) Hz, alpha(8-12) Hz, beta(12-30) Hz, gamma(30-45) Hz. The synthetic signals are generated as follows:

- We first generate multivariate AR(2) latent sources using  $\mathbf{S}(t) = \Phi_1 \mathbf{S}(t-1) + \Phi_2 \mathbf{S}(t-2) + \eta(t)$ , where  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  are diagonal matrices and noise  $\eta(t)$  is independent Gaussian to guarantee independence of the sources,  $\eta(t)$  are Gaussian noise and each column follows  $N(\mathbf{0}_{k \times 1}, \mathbf{I}_k)$ . Note that we consider five ( $k = 5$ ) different latent AR(2) processes that represent different EEG oscillations at the five frequency bands respectively. The coefficients for each of the AR(2) component are listed in table 1.

*Table 1: AR(2) Coefficients for each frequency band*

| Frequency band | Diagonal value of $\Phi_1$ | Diagonal value of $\Phi_2$ |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Delta          | 1.998                      | -0.998                     |
| Theta          | 1.9                        | -0.998                     |
| Alpha          | 1.616                      | -0.998                     |
| Beta           | -0.617                     | -0.998                     |
| Gamma          | -1.616                     | -0.998                     |

- We then generate the  $p$ -dimensional signals from  $k$  latent sources as follows:

$$X(t) = M\mathbf{S}(t) + \epsilon(t)$$

Each column of the noise term  $\epsilon(t)$  follows  $N(\mathbf{0}_{p \times 1}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ . In the simulation study, we are interested in multiple factors that might affect the results, such as different fractions of channels with changes, the number of change points, different types of latent source, the change point locations and different block lengths. Figure 3 shows the latent source in theta band and alpha band. The sampling rate is 100 Hz. By using AR(2) model, we can constrain the power of sources to center at given frequency bands.




Figure 2: The series and spectra of the process in theta band and alpha band. Sampling rate: 100 Hz. (Top: series, bottom: spectra)

## Summary of Simulation Settings

To provide sensitivity analysis on our simulation, we used a variety of simulations settings, which are summarized as follows:

- The length of time series is  $T = 1,000$  with 1 change point(at  $t = 550$ ) or  $T = 4,000$  with 3 change points(at  $t = 980; 2,120; 3,050$ ).
- The number of channels with change points (out of total of  $p=128$ ) is 16, 32, 64.

- The type of latent source is AR(2) or a mixed source of ARMA(1,1), MA(1) and AR(2).
- The first three spectral principal components that correspond to the first three largest eigenvalues from Spectral PCA.

### 3.2 Scenario I: Proportions of channels with a change point

First we evaluate the case where we have AR(2) latent source, 1 change point at  $t = 550$  and  $T = 1,000$ . We assume that changes mainly in the gamma band (the 5-th column of the weight matrix) and add time lag with length 10 or 15 on  $X_t$  to create lead-lag relationship. Under such settings we expect the Spectral PCA method could better summarize the time series because of its ability to extract the latent source and capture the dynamics of the source with time lag. A total of  $p = 128$  channels will be generated. The following numbers of channels with change points are considered: 16, 32, 64.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{10}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix} & M_{15}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \\
M_{10}^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.9 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.9 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & & & \end{pmatrix} & M_{15}^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.9 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.9 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & & & \end{pmatrix} \\
X_t &= \begin{cases} M_{10}^{(1)} S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(1)} S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (0 < t \leq 550) \\ M_{10}^{(2)} S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(2)} S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (550 < t \leq 1,000) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where each column of  $\epsilon(t)$  follows  $N(0_{k \times 1}, I_k)$ .




Figure 3: The Time-Frequency plots of the summarization series (top-left: the first Spectral PC, top right: the first component of Contemporaneous Mixture, bottom: the latent source. Total time points  $T = 1,000$ , 16/128 channels with change point)

As the Time-Frequency plots shown in figure 3, Spectral PCA has higher weights in high frequencies after the change point and lower weights in other frequency bands. As a comparison, the contemporaneous method has higher weights in lower frequencies. This indicates that Spectral PCA gives a better summary than Contemporaneous Method.

Table 2 gives the simulation results. Among the three leading spectral PCs, the first PC performs the best. As the number of channels with change increases, the detection rate increases in all methods. Spec PC-CP and Contemporaneous Method have comparable performance when there are a large number of channels with change points. The advantage of our Spec PC-CP method is particularly substantial when the fraction of channels with change points is small (16 channels). Besides the detection rate, our method is more accurate in locating the change point, as qualified in mean absolute difference (MAD) between the estimated change points and true ones (Figure 4 and Table 2). The change points detected by Spec PC-CP are closer to the true change points ( $t = 550$ ) than contemporaneous method, especially when there is a small proportion of channels with change points. To confirm the robustness of our method against simulation methods, we also run simulations under Structural Break and Binary Segmentation settings. Table 5 and 6 in appendix show the results. In

these settings there is one change point and the Spec PC-CP method gives comparable results in terms of detection rate and slightly higher mean absolute difference.

### 3.3 Scenario II: Multiple Change Points

Next we conduct simulations with multiple change points. The length of time series lengths are chosen as  $T = 4,000$ , and the three change points are at  $t = 980; 2,150; 3,020$ .

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} M_{10}^{(1)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(1)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (0 < t \leq 980) \\ M_{10}^{(2)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(2)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (981 < t \leq 2,150) \\ M_{10}^{(1)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(1)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (2,151 < t \leq 3,020) \\ M_{10}^{(2)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(2)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (3,021 < t \leq 4,000) \end{cases}$$

where each column of  $\epsilon(t)$  follows  $N(0_{k \times 1}, I_k)$ .

The performance of the four methods are summarized in Table 3. Again, the Spec PC-CP method performs the best. In particular, our method outperforms the other methods when there are low or moderate number of channels (16 and 32). When the true number of change point is one, we used detection rate as one metric to compare the performance of different methods. Since there are multiple change points in this setting, it is more reasonable to compare the detection proportion, which is the number of detected change points over total number of change points. The Structural Break Method has about 0.33 detection proportion and only detects 1 change point at the very end of the series in most cases. The Sparsified Binary Segmentation gives lower detection proportion around 0.4 with higher bias. Figure 5 shows the estimated locations for Spec PC-CP and contemporaneous method. Change points detected by our Spec PC-CP are closer to the true change points. Moreover, most of the estimated change points are in a small neighborhood of the true locations ( $t = 1,000; 2,100; 3,100$ ).

### 3.4 Scenario III: Mixed sources

In this setting, we considered mixed latent sources with ARMA(1,1), MA(1) and AR(2) sources.

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} M_{10}^{(1)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(1)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (0 < t \leq 550) \\ M_{10}^{(2)}S(t-10) + M_{15}^{(2)}S(t-15) + \epsilon(t) & (550 < t \leq 1,000) \end{cases}$$

where each column of  $\epsilon(t)$  follows  $N(0_{k \times 1}, I_k)$ . Here  $S(t)$  is a mixed latent source with ARMA(1,1), MA(1) and AR(2). The series lengths are  $T = 1,000$  and there is one change point at  $t = 550$ .

The results are summarized in Table 4 and Figure 6. The spec PC-CP method using the first or second spectral PC outperform contemporaneous and structural break method when there are less channels (16) with change points and gives comparable results when the number of channels with change points is moderate or large. Our method has detection rates mostly from 0.6 to 0.9 in this case. Although structural break method has moderate detection rates as well, it tends to overestimate the number of change points and has inferior performance in terms of the estimated locations. The Sparsified Binary Segmentation has inferior performance with around 0.3 detection rate. Besides, The locations of change point detected in Figure 6 of our method are mostly near the truth, which shows that Spec PC-CP also outperforms contemporaneous method under this setting. The results indicates that our method is robust with different type of sources.

Table 2: Summary of simulation results for the  $AR(2)$  model. Time series length is  $T = 1,000$ . There is one change point at  $t = 550$ . Presented are the detection rates and the mean absolute distances (MADs) between the estimated and true change point.

|                                |                        | Detection Rate |             |        | MAD    |        |        |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                |                        | 16/128         | 32/128      | 64/128 | 16/128 | 32/128 | 64/128 |
| 1st component                  | spec PC CP             | <b>0.92</b>    | <b>0.98</b> | 0.96   | 51.8   | 52.0   | 52.1   |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.72           | 0.92        | 0.97   | 54.7   | 53.3   | 50.9   |
| 2nd component                  | spec PC CP             | <b>0.87</b>    | 0.90        | 0.91   | 61.2   | 56.2   | 52.2   |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.66           | 0.90        | 0.92   | 59.3   | 55.3   | 53.1   |
| 3rd component                  | spec PC CP             | <b>0.75</b>    | 0.77        | 0.84   | 63.3   | 65.6   | 60.9   |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.65           | 0.81        | 0.92   | 56.4   | 51.4   | 53.4   |
| Structural Break               |                        | 0.34           | 0.77        | 0.62   | 439.4  | 440.1  | 439.1  |
| Sparsified Binary Segmentation |                        | 0.22           | 0.29        | 0.44   | 39.6   | 30.4   | 26.4   |




Figure 4: Proportion of being detected as change point(out of 1,000). From left to right: 16, 32 and 64 channels with change point, respectively. From top to bottom: using the first, second, or third spectral PC.

Table 3: Summary of simulation results for multiple change point case. Time series length is  $T = 4,000$ . There is one change point at  $t = 980; 2, 150; 3, 020$ . Presented are the detection rates and the mean absolute distances (MADs) between the estimated and true change point.

|                                |                        | Detection proportion |             |        |        | MAD    |        |        |        |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                |                        | 16/128               | 32/128      | 64/128 | 16/128 | 32/128 | 64/128 | 16/128 | 32/128 |
| 1st component                  | Spec PC CP             | <b>0.71</b>          | <b>0.84</b> | 0.95   | 885.1  | 808.3  | 321.4  |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.46                 | 0.73        | 0.96   | 1195.8 | 1076.7 | 443.5  |        |        |
| 2nd component                  | Spec PC CP             | <b>0.63</b>          | <b>0.69</b> | 0.72   | 544.4  | 584.5  | 432.7  |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.45                 | 0.63        | 0.72   | 610.0  | 598.1  | 525.7  |        |        |
| 3rd component                  | Spec PC CP             | <b>0.56</b>          | <b>0.61</b> | 0.66   | 633.2  | 623.8  | 346.7  |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.45                 | 0.56        | 0.64   | 732.4  | 706.3  | 413.2  |        |        |
| Structural Break               |                        | 0.33                 | 0.33        | 0.37   | 975.8  | 975.7  | 975.4  |        |        |
| Sparsified Binary Segmentation |                        | 0.43                 | 0.42        | 0.43   | 1511.9 | 1576.0 | 1605.0 |        |        |




Figure 5: Proportion of being detected as change point(out of 1,000). From left to right: 16, 32 and 64 channels with change point, respectively. From top to bottom: using the first, second, or third spectral PC.

Table 4: Summary of simulation results for the mixed source simulation method. Time series length is  $T = 1,000$ . There is one change point at  $t = 550$ . Presented are the detection rates and the mean absolute distances (MADs) between the estimated and true change point.

|                                |                        | Detection Rate |        |        |        | MAD    |        |        |        |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                |                        | 16/128         | 32/128 | 64/128 | 16/128 | 32/128 | 64/128 | 16/128 | 32/128 |
| 1st component                  | Spectral PCA           | <b>0.66</b>    | 0.82   | 0.90   | 63.6   | 52.0   | 54.0   |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.49           | 0.80   | 0.92   | 70.9   | 54.2   | 105.4  |        |        |
| 2nd component                  | Spectral PCA           | <b>0.83</b>    | 0.88   | 0.91   | 57.8   | 56.6   | 56.7   |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.52           | 0.79   | 0.92   | 76.9   | 58.1   | 55.1   |        |        |
| 3rd component                  | Spectral PCA           | <b>0.68</b>    | 0.72   | 0.91   | 61.8   | 61.0   | 55.6   |        |        |
|                                | Contemporaneous Method | 0.52           | 0.80   | 0.93   | 76.9   | 57.5   | 54.2   |        |        |
| Structural Break               |                        | 0.69           | 0.63   | 0.23   | 439.7  | 439.7  | 439.1  |        |        |
| Sparsified Binary Segmentation |                        | 0.24           | 0.28   | 0.36   | 10.2   | 18.0   | 21.5   |        |        |




Figure 6: Proportion of being detected as change point(out of 1,000). From left to right: 16, 32 and 64 channels with change point, respectively. From top to bottom: using the first, second, or third spectral PC.

## 4 Data Analysis

### EEG Data

We applied our two-stage change point detection method to two data sets. The first is a seizure recording which captured brain activity of a subject monitored at the epilepsy center at the University of Michigan. The EEG data was sampled at 100Hz and lasted for about 500 seconds with a total length of 50,000 (Schöder and Ombao, 2019). The data was recorded at 31 channels. The placement of the scalp electrodes is illustrated in Figure 7. The abbreviation correspond to different locations on the scalp. For example, Fp means frontal polar and C means central. Figure 8 shows the time series for six channels where the seizure happening time are similar among different channels.




Figure 7: EEG scalp topography




Figure 8: Six channels of the EEG seizure data (channel Fp1, Fp2, F3, F4, C3, C4)


We first examine the time-frequency plots of the first three spectral PCs (Figure 9) where darker color indicates higher weights at certain frequency and time points. It has been known that the low-frequency energy is more varying during epileptic seizure (Schöder and Ombao, 2019). Therefore, it is of interest to use delta band to detect change points.

Figure 10 shows the detected change points using the first three spectral PCs. The red dotted lines denote the location of estimated change points in delta band. We have detected a few pre-ictal change points in all three components at the beginning of the recording. The first component captures multiple change points while the second and third component each detects one change point before seizure took place. The result suggests that it might be possible to build early warning systems on seizure. The other group of change points is identified right before and during seizure onset. All of the three components capture several changes around  $t = 40,000$ , which agree with visual inspection on the frequency-time plot and the findings of neurologists. This demonstrates that our method can detect epileptic seizure well on this data.

To understand how many spectral PC should be analyzed, we plotted the variance proportion plot (Figure 10), which shows that the first two components explain over 90% of the total variance. Thus, analyzing the first three principal component is adequate for this particular dataset.

We also conduct analysis using the other comparison methods. Figure 11 shows the results using Contemporaneous Method and it did not detect the pre-ictal change

points using the first two components. In figure 12 it can be seen that the Structural Break Method can only detect a change point at the end of the series and the Sparsified Binary Segmentation cannot detect the pre-ictal change point either.



*Figure 9: The time-frequency plot of the first three spectral PCs. The x-axis denotes the time; the y-axis denoted the frequency from 0 to 50 Hertz.*




Figure 10: The estimated change point using the first, second or third spectral PC. The red dotted lines denote the locations of estimated change points. Bottom right: The variance explained by the first component only and first and second components together.




Figure 11: The estimated change point using the first, second or third component of Con-temperaneous Method. The red dotted lines denote the location of estimated change points.




Figure 12: The mean EEG values across all the channels and estimated change point using Structural Break Method and Sparsified Binary Segmentation (Downsampling is performed by keeping only every 50th sample to apply the Structural Break Method). The red dotted lines denote the location of estimated change points.

## Stock Data

We also applied our Spec PC-CP method to the log returns of the daily closing values of 9 representative *S&P* 100 stocks which has been analyzed in previous work (Barigozzi, Cho and Fryzlewicz, 2014). The stock data was observed between 4 January 2000 and 10 August 2016 from Yahoo finance. The total length is 4,177 days. We analyzed the following 9 stocks: AXP (American Express), BAC (Bank of America), BK(The Bank of New York Mellon), C (Citigroup), COF (Capital One Financial), GS (Goldman Sachs), JPM (JPMorgan), MS (Morgan Stanley), WFC (Wells Fargo). Figure 13 shows the stock prices of Bank of America and JP Morgan.





Figure 13: Daily log returns of Bank of America and JPMorgan between 2000 and 2016

We used the first two spectral principal components for change point detection. Figure 14 gives the frequency-time plots of two stocks and the first two spectral PCs. Changes appear in multiple frequency bands in this case. The detected change points

are shown in Figure 15. Most of the change points are around events that might have impact on the financial market. For example, the start of the Iraq War in 2003, The financial crisis in 2008, the Greek and EU sovereign debt crisis in 2011 and 2015. Both the first and second spectral principal components are able to detect the change points in a neighbourhood of the above events. These results demonstrates that our method also work well for stock data. Figure 16 shows the results using the comparison methods. The Contemporaneous Method has comparable estimates while the other two methods fail to detect events such as the financial crisis in 2008 and the debt crisis in 2015.



*Figure 14: Time-frequency plots. Top: Bank of America and JP Morgan. Bottom: The first and second spectral PCs. The x-axis denotes the time; the y-axis denotes the frequency from 0 to 50 Hertz.*




Figure 15: Top: The time series of Bank of America and JP Morgan. Bottom: The first and second spectral PCs. The dotted lines denote the locations of estimated change points




Figure 16: Top: The estimated change point using the first or second component of Contemporaneous Method. Bottom: The estimated change point using Structural Break Method and Sparsified Binary Segmentation. The red dotted lines denote the location of estimated change points.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed the Spec PC-PC method, a new change-point detection approach using multivariate time series such as EEG and stock data. The approach consists of two stages: using Spectral PCA to obtain a low-dimensional time series (stage 1) and then applying a binary segmentation algorithm to detect change points (stage 2). In the simulation studies, the spec PC-CP method outperforms competing methods in both detection rate and mean absolute difference. We compared our method with Contemporaneous Mixture method, Structural Break method and Spar-sified Binary Segmentation under a variety settings. These results confirm that Spec PC-CP can capture the time-lag effect in multivariate time series.

When we applied the method to the EEG seizure data, change points were identified at the beginning and around seizure onset. It suggests possibility to build early warning systems of seizure and gives more precise diagnosis, as changes are often not obvious to be observed through visual inspection. We also analyzed the stock data with daily log returns of several representative stocks. Change points are detected around events that have impact on the financial market. For example, the start of the Iraq War in 2003 and the financial crisis in 2008. It demonstrates that our method is efficient in analyzing financial data, which could lead to insights in understanding the fluctuation of financial market.

There are several potential future research directions. First, in simulations we look at the first three components. It is of interest to explore more components and understand how they capture the information of the original time series. Second, research has been done on forecasting time series. For example, Fryzlewicz (2005) modeled financial log-return series in the Locally Stationary Wavelet (LSW) framework. It will be interesting to combine Spectral PCA and forecasting methods to predict future events such as seizure and financial crisis.

## 6 Appendix

In the Structural Break simulation setting we have a piecewise stationary time series model with a single change point at  $t = 500$ . At each time point  $t$ ,  $X(t)$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector.  $\epsilon(t) \sim N(0, 0.01I_k)$ . We have  $p = 10$  in this case.

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 0.9X(t-1) + \epsilon(t) & (0 < t \leq 500) \\ -0.9X(t-1) + \epsilon(t) & (500 < t \leq 1,000) \end{cases}$$

Table 5: Summary of simulation results using Structural Break setting. The length of time series lengths is chosen as  $T = 1,000$ . There is one change point at  $t = 500$ .

|                        | Detection rate | MAD  |
|------------------------|----------------|------|
| Spec PC CP             | 0.97           | 20.1 |
| Contemporaneous Method | 0.96           | 18.6 |
| Structural Break       | 1              | 1.14 |
| Cho's Method           | 0.93           | 2.05 |

In the simulation setting in Cho and Fryzlewicz, (2015), we have a piecewise stationary time series model with a single change point at  $t = 500$  with  $\alpha \sim Uniform(0.5, 0.59)$  and  $\beta \sim Uniform(-0.79, -0.5)$ . At each time point  $t$ ,  $X(t)$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector.  $\epsilon(t) \sim N(0, 4I_k)$ . We have  $k = 100$  in this case.

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha X(t-1) + \epsilon(t) & (0 < t \leq 500) \\ \beta X(t-1) + \epsilon(t) & (500 < t \leq 1,000) \end{cases}$$

Table 6: Summary of simulation results under Cho's setting. The length of time series lengths is chosen as  $T = 1,000$ . There is one change point at  $t = 500$ .

|                        | Detection rate | MAD   |
|------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Spec PC CP             | 0.99           | 21.2  |
| Contemporaneous Method | 0.98           | 22.3  |
| Structural Break       | 0.34           | 442.0 |
| Cho's Method           | 0.91           | 51.5  |

## References

- [1] Aue, A., Hörmann, S., Horváth, L., Reimherr, M. et al. [2009], “Break detection in the covariance structure of multivariate time series models,” The Annals of Statistics, 37(6B), 4046–4087.
- [2] Aue, A., Horváth, L., and Reimherr, M. L. [2009], “Delay times of sequential procedures for multiple time series regression models,” Journal of Econometrics, 149(2), 174–190.
- [3] Barigozzi, M., Cho, H., and Fryzlewicz, P. [2018], “Simultaneous multiple change-point and factor analysis for high-dimensional time series,” Journal of Econometrics, 206(1), 187–225.
- [4] Brillinger, D. R. [1964], A frequency approach to the techniques of principal components, factor analysis and canonical variates in the case of stationary time series,, in Invited Paper, Royal Statistical Society Conference, Cardiff Wales.(Available at <http://stat-www.berkeley.edu/users/brill/papers.html>).
- [5] Chen, Y., Härdle, W. K., and Pigorsch, U. [2010], “Localized realized volatility modeling,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 105(492), 1376–1393.
- [6] Chisci, L., Mavino, A., Perferi, G., Sciandrone, M., Anile, C., Colicchio, G., and Fuggetta, F. [2010], “Real-time epileptic seizure prediction using AR models and support vector machines,” IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 57(5), 1124–1132.
- [7] Cho, H., and Fryzlewicz, P. [2012], “Multiscale and multilevel technique for consistent segmentation of nonstationary time series,” Statistica Sinica, pp. 207–229.
- [8] Cho, H. et al. [2016], “Change-point detection in panel data via double CUSUM statistic,” Electronic Journal of Statistics, 10(2), 2000–2038.
- [9] Choudhry, T. [1996], “Stock market volatility and the crash of 1987: evidence from six emerging markets,” Journal of International Money and Finance, 15(6), 969–981.
- [10] Davis, R. A., Lee, T. C. M., and Rodriguez-Yam, G. A. [2006], “Structural break estimation for nonstationary time series models,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 101(473), 223–239.
- [11] Dooley, M., and Hutchison, M. [2009], “Transmission of the US subprime crisis to emerging markets: Evidence on the decoupling–recoupling hypothesis,” Journal of International Money and Finance, 28(8), 1331–1349.

- [12] Fryzlewicz, P. [2005], “Modelling and forecasting financial log-returns as locally stationary wavelet processes,” Journal of Applied Statistics, 32(5), 503.
- [13] Fryzlewicz, P. et al. [2014], “Wild binary segmentation for multiple change-point detection,” The Annals of Statistics, 42(6), 2243–2281.
- [14] Gao, X., Shahbaba, B., Fortin, N., and Ombao, H. [2016], “Evolutionary state-space model and its application to time-frequency analysis of local field potentials,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.07271, .
- [15] Gao, X., Shen, W., Hu, J., Fortin, N., and Ombao, H. [2019], Modeling Local Field Potentials with Regularized Matrix Data Clustering,, in 2019 9th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering (NER), IEEE, pp. 597–602.
- [16] Gao, X., Shen, W., and Ombao, H. [2018], “Regularized matrix data clustering and its application to image analysis,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.01749, .
- [17] Kaplan, A., Röschke, J., Darkhovsky, B., and Fell, J. [2001], “Macrostructural EEG characterization based on nonparametric change point segmentation: application to sleep analysis,” Journal of neuroscience methods, 106(1), 81–90.
- [18] Kirch, C., Muhsal, B., and Ombao, H. [2015], “Detection of changes in multivariate time series with application to EEG data,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 110(511), 1197–1216.
- [19] Mormann, F., Andrzejak, R. G., Elger, C. E., and Lehnertz, K. [2006], “Seizure prediction: the long and winding road,” Brain, 130(2), 314–333.
- [20] Ombao, H. C., Raz, J. A., von Sachs, R., and Malow, B. A. [2001], “Automatic statistical analysis of bivariate nonstationary time series,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 96(454), 543–560.
- [21] Ombao, H., and Ho, M.-h. R. [2006], “Time-dependent frequency domain principal components analysis of multichannel non-stationary signals,” Computational statistics & data analysis, 50(9), 2339–2360.
- [22] Ombao, H., Von Sachs, R., and Guo, W. [2005], “SLEX analysis of multivariate nonstationary time series,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 100(470), 519–531.
- [23] Safikhani, A., and Shojaie, A. [2017], “Structural break detection in high-dimensional non-stationary var models,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02736, .
- [24] Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., Van Nes, E. H., Rietkerk, M., and Sugihara, G. [2009], “Early-warning signals for critical transitions,” Nature, 461(7260), 53.

- [25] Schröder, A. L., and Ombao, H. [2019], “FreSpeD: Frequency-specific change-point detection in epileptic seizure multi-channel EEG data,” Journal of the American Statistical Association, 114(525), 115–128.
- [26] Shumway, R. H., and Stoffer, D. S. [2017], Time series analysis and its applications: with R examples Springer.
- [27] Stoffer, D. S., Ombao, H. C., and Tyler, D. E. [2002], “Local spectral envelope: an approach using dyadic tree-based adaptive segmentation,” Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 54(1), 201–223.
- [28] Wang, Y., Ting, C.-M., Gao, X., and Ombao, H. [2019], Exploratory Analysis of Brain Signals through Low Dimensional Embedding,, in 2019 9th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering (NER), IEEE, pp. 997–1002.
- [29] Wang, Y., Ting, C.-M., and Ombao, H. [2016], “Modeling effective connectivity in high-dimensional cortical source signals,” IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, 10(7), 1315–1325.