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#### Abstract

Let $S_{g}$ denote a closed, orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ be the associated curve complex. The mapping class group of $S_{g}, \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by isometries. Since Dehn twists about certain curves generate $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$, one can ask how Dehn twists move specific vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ away from themselves. We show that if two curves represent vertices at a distance 3 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ then the Dehn twist of one curve about another yields two vertices at distance 4. This produces many tractable examples of distance 4 vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. We also show that the minimum intersection number of any two curves at a distance 4 on $S_{g}$ is at most $(2 g-1)^{2}$. Keywords : Curve complex, Minimal intersection number, Distance 4 curves, Filling pairs of curves Mathematics Subject Classification : 27M60, 20F65, 20F67


## 1. Introduction

Let $S_{g}$ denote a closed, orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Throughout this article, a curve on $S_{g}$ will mean an essential simple closed curve on it. For two curves, $\alpha, \beta$ in $S_{g}, i(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes their geometric intersection number and $T_{\alpha}(\beta)$ denotes the Dehn twist of the curve $\beta$ about the curve $\alpha$. In [9], Harvey associated with $S_{g}$ a simplical complex called the complex of curves which is denoted by $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and defined as follows. The 0 -skeleton, $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left(S_{g}\right)$ of this complex is in one-to-one correspondence with isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves on $S_{g}$. Two vertices span an edge in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ if and only if these vertices have mutually disjoint representatives. $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left(S_{g}\right)$ can be equipped with a metric, $d$ by defining the distance between any two vertices to be the minimum number of edges in any edge path between them in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. By the distance between two curves on $S_{g}$, we mean the distance between the corresponding vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. The minimal intersection number between any two curves on $S_{g}$ which are at a distance $n$ is denoted by $i_{\text {min }}(g, n)$.

Masur and Minsky, in their seminal paper [12], proved that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a $\delta$ hyperbolic space with the metric $d$. Later, it was shown that the $\delta$ can be chosen to be independent of the surface $S_{g}$, see [1], [5], [6], [10, [14]. The coarse geometry of the curve complex has various applications to 3-manifolds, Teichmuller theory and mapping class groups. One can see $\mathbf{1 3}$ for many such applications.

16, 18, 17] and [4] gave algorithms to compute distances between two vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. The algorithm in [4], although for closed surfaces is by far the most effective in calculating distances in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Given vertices, $\nu, \mu$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$, the algorithm works by giving an initially efficient geodesic, $\nu_{0}=\nu, \nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}=\mu$ where $\nu_{1}$ is chosen from a list of $n^{6 g-6}$ possible vertices.

In [3], Aougab and Huang gave all $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ orbits of minimally intersecting distance 3 curves in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by giving the curves as permutations which are solutions


Figure 1 Distance 3 vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{4}\right)$


Figure 2 Distance 4 vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{4}\right)$
to a system of equation in the permutation group of order $8 g-4$. They further showed that for genus, $g \geq 3, i_{\min }(g, 3)=2 g-1$ and that all pairs of curves which intersect at $2 g-1$ points and cuts up $S_{g \geq 3}$ into a disc are at distance 3 .

For vertices at distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$, we found only limited pictures (like, Figure 2) of such curves in $S_{g \leq 3}$ and none for $S_{g>3}$. In [8], the authors gave a test to determine when two vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ are at a distance $\geq 4$ using the efficient geodesic algorithm of [4]. Using the MICC software, they showed that $i_{\min }(2,4)=$ 12 by giving all minimally intersecting pairs of curves at distance 4 . They also gave examples of curves which are at a distance 4 on a surface of genus 3 and concluded that $i_{\text {min }}(3,4) \leq 29$ (refer [8] Theorem 1.8).

Although $i_{\text {min }}(g, 4)$ is still not known, in [2], Aougab and Taylor proved that $i_{\min }(g, 4)=O\left(g^{2}\right)$ by answering a more general question by Dan Margalit that $i_{\min }(g, n)=O\left(g^{n-2}\right)$.

In this article, we give a method to construct examples of vertices at distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and improve the known upper bound of $i_{\min }(g, 4)$ to $(2 g-1)^{2}$. In particular, using any of the minimally intersecting filling pairs of curves on $S_{3}$, as described in 3], one can get examples of curves at distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{3}\right)$ which intersect at 25 points. This implies that $i_{\text {min }}(3,4) \leq 25$. Using a minimally intersecting pair


Figure $3 Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, Q_{4}$ is an example of an initially efficient geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$
of curves (Figure 1) described in [3] as a permutation of 28 symbols, we use our method to construct a pair of distance 4 curves in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{4}\right)$ as in Figure 2 .

Such workable examples of distance 4 curves were a result of trying to investigate the effect of certain Dehn twists on distances in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ as follows:

Remark 1. If $d(\alpha, \gamma)=1$ or 2 , then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=d(\alpha, \gamma)$.
In general, one can ask the following question:
Question 1. If $d(\alpha, \gamma)>2$, then what is the relation between $d(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $d\left(\gamma, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ ?

In this article, we prove that if $d(\alpha, \gamma) \geq 3$ then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right) \geq 3$. We then answer the question 1 for $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$ and show that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=d(\alpha, \gamma)+1$.

## 2. Setup

For any ordered index in this work, we follow cyclical ordering. For instance, if $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, i=k+1$ will indicate $i=1$.

Two curves, $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ on $S_{g}$ are said to be a filling pair if every component of $S_{g} \backslash\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ is a disk. A component, $D$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ is said to be an $2 n$-gon if its boundary comprises of $n$ arcs of $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$. Consider a pair, $\alpha, \beta$, of filling curves on $S_{g}$ with geometric intersection number, $i(\alpha, \beta)=k$. Let their set of intersection points be $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$. Define a triangulation, $G$ of $S$ as follows:

Let $\left\{w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the 0 -skeleton of $G$. There is an edge between $w_{i}^{\prime}$ and $w_{j}^{\prime}$ in $G$ if and only if there is an arc of $\alpha$ or, $\beta$ between the two intersection points, $w_{i}, w_{j}$ in $S$. As $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are filling pairs, for each disk component, $D$ of $S_{g} \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\}$ attach a disk to the cycle formed by the edges of $G$ corresponding to the arcs of $\alpha, \beta$ that form the boundary of $D$. Using the Euler characteristic of a 2-dimensional complex, the number of faces, $f$ of $G$ is given by $f=k+2-2 g$.

Consider a geodesic, $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ of length $N$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(S)$. An arc, $\omega$ in $S$ is a reference arc for the triple $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{N}$ if $\omega$ and $\nu_{1}$ are in minimal position and the interior of $\omega$ is disjoint from $\nu_{0} \cup \nu_{N}$. The oriented geodesic $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ is said to be initially efficient if $i\left(\nu_{1}, \omega\right) \leq N-1$ for all choices of reference arc, $\omega$. The authors of 4 prove that there exists an initially efficient geodesic between any two vertices of $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

The following theorem from [8] gives a criterion for detecting vertices in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ at distance at-least 4.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 1.3, [8]). For the filling pair, $\kappa$, $\omega$, let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}^{0}(S)$ be the collection of all vertices such that the following hold :
(1) for $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma, d(\kappa, \bar{\gamma})=1$; and
(2) for $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$; for each segment, $b \subset \omega \backslash \kappa, i(\bar{\gamma}, b) \leq 1$.

Then $d(\kappa, \omega) \geq 4$ if and only if $d(\bar{\gamma}, \omega) \geq 3$ for all $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$.
Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two simple closed curves on $S_{g}$ and let $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ be closed regular neighborhoods of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively. We say that the 4 -tuple ( $\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}$ ) is amenable to Dehn twist in special position if the following hold:
(1) $\lambda$ and $\mu$ intersect transversely and minimally on $S_{g}$,
(2) $\lambda$ and $\mu$ fill $S_{g}$,
(3) the number of components of $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$ is equal to the number of components of $S \backslash(\lambda \cup \mu)$ and each of these components is a disc.
When $\lambda$ and $\mu$ fill $S_{g}$, by considering a Euclidean model of $S_{g}$, it is easy to see that a 4-tuple ( $\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}$ ) amenable to Dehn twist in special position always exists.

Consider a 4 -tuple $\left(\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}\right)$ which is amenable to Dehn twist in special position. Let $i(\lambda, \mu)=k$ and $K:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. We construct a curve in the isotopy class of $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ which we call $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ in special position w.r.t. the 4-tuple $\left(\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}\right)$. Start at any one of the components of $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$ and label it as $A_{1}$. Since $\mu$ intersects $\lambda$ transversely, the arc $\mu_{1}$ of $\mu$ contained in $A_{1}$ which has its endpoints $X$ and $Y$ on boundary arcs of $R_{\lambda}$ is such that $X$ and $Y$ lie on distinct boundary component of $\partial R_{\lambda}$. We call the component of $\partial R_{\lambda}$ containing $X$ to be $\partial_{+} R_{\lambda}$ and the other component containing $Y$ to be $\partial_{-} R_{\lambda}$. Equip $A_{1}$ with the Euclidean metric such that it is a square in the $x y$ - plane. Two opposite sides of $A_{1}$ are formed from the arcs of $\partial R_{\lambda}$ and the two remaining sides formed from arcs of $\partial R_{\mu}$ and the $x$-axis lies along $\mu_{1}$ and the value of the $x$-coordinate increases from $X$ to $Y$. Orient $\mu_{1}$ from $X$ to $Y$. This induces an orientation on $\mu$. Next we pick $k$ distinct points $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$ in the interior of $\mu_{1}$ such that the $x$-coordinate of $q_{i}$ is greater than the $x$ coordinate of $q_{j}$ whenever $i>j$ and $i, j \in K$. For each $i \in K$, let $\lambda_{i}$ be a curve in $R_{\lambda}$ which is isotopic to $\lambda$ and passes through $q_{i}$. Further for each $i, j \in K, i \neq j$ let $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ be disjoint.

Orient $\lambda_{1}$ such that the $y$-coordinate on $\lambda_{1}$ increases when following this orientation in the disk $A_{1}$. Starting with $A_{1}$, label the subsequent disk components, $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$, as $A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots, A_{k}$, in the orientation of $\lambda_{1}$. For each $i \in K, A_{i}$ contains a unique arc of $\mu$ which we label as $\mu_{i} . \mu_{i}$ gets an induced orientation from $\mu$. For each $i \in K$, equip $A_{i}$ with Euclidean metric and assume it to be a square in the $x y$-plane where $\mu_{i}$ lies along the $x$-axis with the $x$ coordinate increasing along the orientation of $\mu_{i}$. Assume $A_{i}$ to be positioned such that $\mu_{i}$ is the line segment joining the mid-points of the left and right sides of the square. In this orientation, call the component of $\partial R_{\mu}$ which appears above $\mu_{i}$ as $\partial_{+} R_{\mu}$ and the the component of $\partial R_{\mu}$ below $\mu_{i}$ as $\partial_{-} R_{\mu}$. However, note that the side of $A_{i}$ which is formed of the arcs of $\partial_{+} R_{\lambda}$ could either be to the right or to the left of this square. Accordingly, the side of $A_{i}$ which is formed of the arcs of $\partial_{-} R_{\lambda}$ could either be to the left or to the right of this square. For $i, j \in K$, by an isotopy inside $A_{i}$, we can assume that all the arcs of $\lambda_{j}$ in $A_{i}$ are straight lines.

For each $i, j \in K$, let $u_{i, j}:=A_{i} \cap \lambda_{j} \cap \partial_{+} R_{\mu}$ and $v_{i, j}:=A_{i} \cap \lambda_{j} \cap \partial_{-} R_{\mu}$. Also for each $i \in K$ let the left end point of $\mu_{i}$ in the square $A_{i}$ be $v_{i, 0}$ and the right end point of $\mu_{i}$ in the square $A_{i}$ be $u_{i, k+1}$. Construct the Dehn twist of $\mu$ about $\lambda$ as follows: For each $j \in K \cup\{0\}$ draw line segments, $\theta_{i, j}$, connecting $v_{i, j}$ to $u_{i, j+1}$. $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is the curve

$$
\left(\left(\mu \cup\left(\cup_{i \in K} \lambda_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(S \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in K} A_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\cup_{i, j \in K} \theta_{i, j}\right)\right.
$$

The schematic, figure 4. shows $A_{i}$ before and after this transformation. In the complement of $A_{i}$ 's the transformation described above does not disturb the curves $\lambda_{i}$ 's and $\mu$. In [7], an algorithm to obtain the Dehn twist, $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ has been described


Figure 4 Disk of transformation before (figure on the left) and after (figure on the right) the Dehn twist


Figure 5 Surgery of the curves to obtain $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$
such that the curves in the discs of transformation are as in figure 5. The line segments in figure 4 are isotopic to the corresponding curves in 5 which shows that the above transformation indeed results in $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. When $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is constructed as above and as shown in figure 4, we say that $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is in special position w.r.t. $\lambda$ and $\mu$. We call the $k$ copies of $\lambda, \lambda_{i}, i \in K$, and $\mu$ to be the scaffolding for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. We call the Euclidean disks $A_{i}, i \in K$, along with the line segments $\theta_{i, j}$ 's for $j \in K$ to be the disks of transformation for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. The points $u_{i, j}$ 's, $v_{i, j}$ 's, $u_{i, k+1}$ and $v_{i, 0}$ for $i, j \in K$ shall hold their meaning as defined in the context of the disks of transformations. So, using these phrases, when $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is in special position w.r.t. $\lambda$ and $\mu$, the scaffolding of $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ remains unchanged outside its disks of transformation. Inside the disks of transformation for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$, the schematic in figure 4 describes the changes to its scaffolding.

## 3. Distance 4 curves in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g \geq 2}\right)$

Theorem 2. Let $S$ be a surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be two curves on $S$ with $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$. Then, $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right)=4$.

Proof. Let $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$ be a geodesic from the vertex $\nu_{0}$ corresponding to $\alpha$ to the vertex $\nu_{3}$ corresponding to $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Let $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{0}\right)$ be the vertex in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ corresponding to $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. The existence of the path $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{0}\right), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{2}\right)=\nu_{2}, \nu_{1}$, $\nu_{0}$ gives that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \leq 4$. We prove that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \geq 4$ by using Theorem 1 with $\kappa=T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and $\omega=\alpha$, hence showing that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right)=4$.

Claim 1. $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha$ fill $S$.
Proof of claim 1. Let $i(\gamma, \alpha)=k, K:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, K_{-1}:=\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $K_{2-2 g}:=\{1,2, \ldots, k+2-2 g\}$. We refer to section 2 for the terminology used here. Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ fill $S$, there is a 4 -tuple ( $\alpha, \gamma, R_{\alpha}, R_{\gamma}$ ) which is amenable to


Figure 6 The scaffolding for $T_{Q_{1}}\left(Q_{4}\right)$, where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{4}$ are from example in figure 3 and the shaded region is a rectangle of the scaffolding

Dehn twist in special position. Let $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ be in special position w.r.t to $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. We denote the disks of transformation of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ by $A_{i}$, for $i \in K$. By an isotopy we assume the curve $\alpha$ to be disjoint from $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \backslash A_{i}$ for $i \in K$ and in each $A_{i}$ we further assume the arc $\alpha_{i}:=\alpha \cap A_{i}$ to be a straight line segment below the segment connecting $v_{i, 0}$ and $u_{i, k+1}$.

For $i \in K$, let $g_{i}$ along with $\alpha$ be the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. For $j \in K_{-1}$, one of the components of $S \backslash\left\{g_{j}, g_{j+1}\right\}$ is an annulus, $G_{j}$. Any component of $G_{j} \backslash \alpha$ is a 4 -gon which we call as a rectangle of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Figure 6 shows an example of such a rectangle of the scaffolding. The disks $A_{i}, i \in K$, further divide each rectangle of the scaffolding into three components. There is a unique $i \in K$ such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ intersect a given rectangle of the scaffolding. Denote a rectangle of the scaffolding formed out of $G_{j}$ with its arcs of $\alpha$ lying in $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ by $B_{i, j}$. Denote the sub-rectangles $B_{i, j} \cap A_{i}$, by $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $B_{i, j} \cap A_{i+1}$, by $C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$. Also let $B_{i, j}^{\prime}:=B_{i, j} \backslash\left(C_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let

$$
B=\cup_{i=1}^{k} \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_{i, j} .
$$

$S \backslash(\alpha \cup \gamma)$ has $k+2-2 g$ disk components by Euler characteristic considerations. If $F_{p}$ is a disk component of $S \backslash(\alpha \cup \gamma)$, for some $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, then $F_{p}^{\prime}:=F_{p} \backslash B$ is a single disk as $B$ intersects any $F_{p}$ only in disks which contain a boundary arc of $F_{p}$, namely arcs of $\gamma$. The components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ comprise of $k(k-1)$ rectangles of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$, namely $B_{i, j}$ where $i \in K, j \in K_{-1}$, and $k+2-2 g$ even sided polygonal discs, namely $F_{p}^{\prime}$, where $p \in K_{2-2 g}$. Let $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ denote $F_{p}^{\prime} \backslash R_{\alpha}$ for $p \in K_{2-2 g}$.

For each $j \in K$ let $w_{i, j}:=\theta_{i, j} \cap \alpha_{i}$. For each $i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$, let $D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$ be the parallelogram with vertices $v_{i, j}, v_{i, j+1}, w_{i, j}$ and $w_{i, j+1}$ and $D_{i, j+1}^{\prime}$ be the parallelogram with vertices $w_{i, j}, w_{i, j+1}, u_{i, j+1}, u_{i, j+2}$. In each disk $A_{i}$, for $i \in K$, there is a pentagon, $P_{i, 1}$, which is above $\alpha_{i}$ and bounded by the lines $\theta_{i, 0}, \partial R_{\gamma}$, $\alpha_{i}, \theta_{i, 1}$ and the line segment of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, 1}$ and $u_{i, 2}$. Likewise, in each disk $A_{i}$, for $i \in K$, there is a triangle, $T_{i, k+1}$, which is bounded by the lines $\alpha_{i}, \theta_{i, k}$ and $\partial R_{\gamma}$. Figure 7 shows a schematic before and after the transformation to the disk $A_{i}$; the figure to the left shows the rectangles $C_{i, 1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i, k}^{\prime \prime}$ and the figure on the right shows $P_{i, 1}$ and $T_{i, k+1}$.

Figure 8 shows a schematic of $R_{\alpha}$ before and after the transformation to the scaffolding of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. The shaded region in the figure on the left shows $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i, j-1}^{\prime \prime}$ for some indices $i, j$. The shaded region in the figure on the right shows $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $D_{i, j-1}^{\prime \prime}$ for some indices $i, j$.


Figure 7 The disk of transformation for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ : the figure on the left shows the portion of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$; the figure on the right shows the pentagon $P_{i, 1}$, the triangle $T_{i, k+1}$ and the parallelograms formed due to $\alpha_{i}$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$


Figure 8 A schematic of $R_{\alpha}$ (figure on the left) and after (figure on the right) the Dehn twist


Figure 9 Two adjacent disks of transformation in $R_{\alpha}$

For $i \in K$, note that all the disks $A_{i}$, occur in some sequence in the annulus $R_{\alpha}$ when moving along $\alpha$. So, a disk $A_{i}$ is connected to some disk $A_{j}$ on the left and to some other disk $A_{p}$ on the right by a single arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}$, for some distinct indices $i, j, p \in K$. The schematic for two disks $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$, for some $i, j \in K$, which are connected via a single arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}$ and an arc of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \backslash R_{\gamma}$ is as shown in the figure 9 . Note that this schematic is generic since for every $j \in K$, there is a distinct $i \in K$ such that $A_{j}$ occurs to the left of $A_{i}$, in the sense mentioned above.

Figure 9 is a schematic of a portion of figure 8. In any of the cases, viz. $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ face each other, $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ face each other or $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ face each other, In this schematic, we see that the pentagon $P_{i, 1}$ of the disk $A_{i}$ is connected to the triangle $T_{j, k+1}$ of $A_{j}$ via an arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}, \omega_{i, j}$, and an arc of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$, $\eta_{i, j}$. The disk, $R_{i, j}$ outside $R_{\gamma}$ bounded by $\omega_{i, j}, \eta_{i, j}$ and two arcs of $\partial R_{\gamma}$, will be called a conduit. Equip the conduit with the Euclidean metric and assume that $R_{i, j}$ is a rectangle with two opposite sides $\omega_{i, j}$ and $\eta_{i, j}$. Now $P_{i, 1} \cup R_{i, j} \cup T_{j, k+1}$ is
a 4-gon bounded by four arcs viz. (i) $\theta_{i, 0} \cup \eta_{i, j} \cup \theta_{j, k}$, (ii) $\alpha_{j} \cup \omega_{i, j} \cup \alpha_{i}$, (iii) $\theta_{i, 1}$ and (iv) the arc of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, 1}$ and $u_{i, 2}$. This protracted 4 -gon will be denoted by $D_{i, 1}^{\prime}$.

Let $S^{\prime}=S \backslash R_{\alpha}$. The components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and the components of $R_{\alpha} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ glued at the boundary of $R_{\alpha}$. Since the changes to the scaffolding of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is restricted to $R_{\alpha}$, the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ are precisely the disc components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\left(g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$.

The components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\left(g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ are $B_{i, j}^{\prime}, i \in\{1,2 \ldots k\}, j \in K_{-1}$, along with disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}, p \in K_{2-2 g}$, as explained above. The components of $R_{\alpha} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ will be examined using the schematic figure 9 of a portion of $R_{\alpha}$. There are four kinds of regions in $R_{\alpha}$. The upper disk regions, like $R_{1}$ in the schematic figure 9 , the lower disk regions, like $R_{2}$ in the schematic figure 9 , and the disks $D_{i, j}^{\prime}, D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$, $i \in K, j \in K_{-1}$. Figure 9 shows how the upper and lower disk regions are glued to disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ for $p \in K_{2-2 g}$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, after gluing the lower disk regions and the upper disk regions to the respective disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$, we get disks which we denote by $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We know that $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a disk because the upper and the lower disk regions are disjoint, except for the points $w_{i, j}$ on the boundary and share a single arc of $\partial R_{\alpha}$ with a unique $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, we call $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to be the modified disk corresponding to the initial disk $F_{p}$.

For each $i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$, the line segment of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ is the common boundary of $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$. Likewise, for each such $i, j$, the line segment of $\partial_{-} R_{\alpha}$ between $v_{i, j} v_{i, j+1}$ is the common boundary of $C_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$. So, for such $i, j$, when considering the components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ the rectangular core $B_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is connected to $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ along the boundary segment $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ and to $C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ along the boundary segment $v_{i+1, j} v_{i+1, j+1}$, whereas when considering the components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$, the rectangular core $B_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is connected to $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$ along the boundary segment $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ and $D_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ along the boundary segment $v_{i+1, j}$ $v_{i+1, j+1}$. So the rectangles of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), B_{i, j}$, which are components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$, after the transformation in the disks of transformation for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ result in disks $E_{i, j}:=B_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup D_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup D_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ which now are components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}, F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a disk as seen earlier. The components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are precisely the disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $E_{i, j}$ where $p \in K_{2-2 g}, i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$. This proves that the components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are all disks and hence proving Claim 1 .

The components of $R_{\gamma} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ are disks and their boundary consists of two arc segments of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and one each of $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$. We call these disks as rectangular tracks. The word tracks derives its motivation from how these tracks appear in $R_{\gamma}$. Figure 10 shows $R_{\gamma}$ and rectangular tracks inside $R_{\gamma}$.

Since $i(\alpha, \gamma)=k$, there are $k$ components of $\alpha \cap R_{\gamma}$. Every component of $\alpha \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is either contained in $R_{\gamma}$ or, has a sub-arc which is contained in $R_{\gamma}$. For any $i \in K, \alpha_{i}$ intersects the rectangular tracks.

Let $i_{0} \in K$. In the schematic figure 7, $A_{i_{0}}$ has exactly $k+1 \operatorname{arcs}$ of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Call $\theta_{i_{0}, 0}$ to be the leftmost arc of $A_{i_{0}}$ and $\theta_{i_{0}, k}$ to be the rightmost arc of $A_{i_{0}}$. Let us consider one component of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \cap R_{\gamma}$, call it $\rho_{i_{0}}$, which intersects $A_{i_{0}}$ in its leftmost arc. This $\rho_{i_{0}}$ intersects $A_{i_{0}}$ precisely in the arcs $\theta_{i_{0}, 0}$ and $\theta_{i_{0}, k}$ and it intersects $A_{j}$ for every $j \in K \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$ in the $\operatorname{arcs} \theta_{j, m}$ where $m=\left(j-i_{0}\right)(\bmod k)$. This is easily seen from the construction of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ in special position w.r.t. $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. From this discussion it is clear that $\rho_{i_{0}}$ intersects each $\alpha_{j}$, for $j \in K$, exactly once. It is also clear that, for $j \in K$, the points of $\rho_{i_{0}} \cap \alpha_{j}$ lie on $\rho_{i_{0}}$ in the order $\alpha_{i_{0}+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{0}-1}, \alpha_{i_{0}}$ when $\rho_{i_{0}}$ is traversed from $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ to $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$. We now consider two arc components, $\rho_{i_{0}}$ and $\rho_{i_{0}+1}$, of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \cap R_{\gamma}$ and the rectangular track, $T_{i_{0}}$, which is enclosed by these two components in $R_{\gamma}$. We equip this rectangular


Figure 10 The rectangular tracks shown inside the annulus $R_{\gamma}$


Figure 11 A rectangular track $T_{i}$ along with arcs of $\alpha_{i}$ in it


Figure $12 A_{i}$ shown inside $R_{\gamma}$ in the two possible ways : the figure on the left shows $\alpha_{i}$ oriented from top to bottom; the figure on the right shows $\alpha_{i}$ oriented from bottom to top
tracks $T_{i_{0}}$ with the Euclidean metric so that the boundary arcs $\rho_{i_{0}}, \rho_{i_{0}+1}$, and the arcs of $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial R_{\gamma}$ are all straight lines and so that $T_{i_{0}}$ is a rectangle. We refer to $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ as the left end of the rectangle and $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ as the right end of this rectangular track. We can draw the arcs of $\alpha_{j}$, for $j \in K$, as straight line segments in the rectangular tracks $T_{i_{0}}$. Figure 11 shows a schematic of $T_{i}$ where $i \in K$.

From this schematic, at both the left and right end of this rectangular track $T_{i}$, $a_{i}$ is a common boundary to a triangle and a pentagon. We call $\alpha_{i}$ as the starting arc of this rectangular track $T_{i}$.

Figure 12 shows two possible schematics when $A_{i}$ is pictured in $R_{\gamma}$.
For any of the two possible cases observed in figure 12, a portion of one of the two pentagons of $T_{i}$ appears in the $A_{i}$ which is between $\alpha_{i}$ and $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha_{i}$ is the starting arc of this track. We call this pentagon the upper pentagon of the
rectangular track $T_{i}$, owing to the viewpoint that $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ is the upper boundary of $R_{\alpha}$. A portion of the other pentagon of $T_{i}$ appears in $A_{i}$ which is between $\alpha_{i}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\alpha}$. We call this pentagon the lower pentagon of the rectangular track. Likewise, we define the upper triangle and the lower triangle of a rectangular track $T_{i}$.

Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ as in the statement of the Theorem 1. We prove that $d(\bar{\gamma}, \alpha) \geq 3$ by showing that $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S$. By Theorem 1, this will imply that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \geq 4$.

It can be observed that $i(\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha) \neq 0$ because if $\bar{\gamma}$ is disjoint from both $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ then $\bar{\gamma}$ is non-essential as it will lie completely in one of the disc components of $S \backslash\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \cup \alpha\right)$. Since $d(\bar{\gamma}, \gamma) \geq d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \gamma\right)-d\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}(\gamma)\right)-$ $d\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=3-1=2$, we also conclude that $i(\bar{\gamma} \cap \gamma) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\gamma}$ intersects $\gamma$, it intersects $R_{\gamma}$. It cannot be completely contained in $R_{\gamma}$ because every simple closed curve contained in an annulus bounds a disk or is isotopic to the core curve of the annulus. Since neither of these is true, it follows that that $\bar{\gamma}$ intersects $R_{\gamma}$ in arcs. Since $i\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=\phi$, each component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has to be completely contained in one of the rectangular tracks described by $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Such a component arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ could either be boundary reducible or essential in $R_{\gamma}$.

We consider an isotopy $I_{1}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$, as follows: In the case that a component arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ is boundary reducible in $R_{\gamma}$, we can perform the boundary reduction of $\bar{\gamma}$ preserving its minimal intersection position with $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. This is possible because an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ which is boundary reducible in $R_{\gamma}$ and is contained in the disk $T_{i}$ will bound a bigon with one boundary arc of $R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{i}$. Also, since $\bar{\gamma}$ was already in minimal intersection position with $\alpha$, it does not bound bigons with the $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha_{j}$ inside $T_{i}$. Call the isotopy of $\bar{\gamma}$ which reduces all the boundary-reducible arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ as $I_{1}$. After the isotopy $I_{1}$, we can assume that all the arcs of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ are essential. We know that there is at-least one component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ which is an essential arc of $R_{\gamma}$ as $\bar{\gamma}$ cannot be disjoint from $R_{\gamma}$. By the hypothesis that $i(\bar{\gamma}, b) \leq 1$ for $b \subset \alpha \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ each rectangular track can contain at-most one component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$.

Next, we describe an isotopy $I_{2}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ such that all the points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ will lie inside $R_{\gamma}$ and so that no new boundary reducible arc components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ are introduced and $\bar{\gamma}$ 's minimal intersection position with $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is retained. To this end, suppose that a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ lies outside $R_{\gamma}$.

Following the construction of the disk $D_{i, 1}^{\prime}$ described above using figure 9 , we see that the upper pentagon of the rectangular track $T_{i}$ is connected to the upper triangle of the rectangular track $T_{j}$ via a conduit $R_{i, j}$ where $i, j \in K$ are such that $A_{j}$ is to the left of $A_{i}$ in $R_{\alpha}$ as in schematic 9 .

If a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha, x_{0}$, lies outside $R_{\gamma}$, then it has to lie on $\omega_{i, j}$ for some $i$ and $j$ such that $i, j \in K, i \neq j$. We now refer to the dotted line in figure 13 . Since the intersection of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ is transverse, an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$, call it $\delta$ lies on the two sides of the conduit $R_{i, j}$, one inside and one outside $R_{i, j}$. The endpoint $P$ of the arc $\delta$ inside $R_{i, j}$ is also the endpoint of some other arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ as $\bar{\gamma}$ is a closed curve. If $P$ connects to an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ lying in the upper triangular region of the track $T_{j}$, then an essential arc $\delta_{1}$ of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ lies in $T_{j}$ with its endpoint $Q$ on $\partial R_{\gamma}$ in the upper triangle of $T_{j}$ so that $\delta$, the arc $P Q$ and $\delta_{1}$ together form a bigon with $\alpha$ contradicting the minimal intersection position of $\bar{\gamma}$ with $\alpha$. So, $P$ connects to an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in the upper pentagon in the track $T_{i}$ as is the dotted line in figure 13 . Consider an isotopy $I_{2}$ which slides the point $x_{0}$ onto $\alpha_{i}$. The image of the arc component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ which is in $T_{i}$, under $I_{2}$ has its endpoint in the lower triangle of $T_{i}$ and the image of $x_{0}$ lies in $R_{\gamma}$. A schematic for this isotopy $I_{2}$ is shown in figure 13 .

After finitely many such isotopies, we can now assume that all the points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ lie inside $R_{\gamma}$. Now consider an isotopy $I_{3}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ as follows: If any of the


Figure 13 The isotopy $I_{2}$ moving points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ into $R_{\gamma}$


Figure 14 A schematic showing the normalization move, the isotopy $I_{3}$
components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has its endpoint on the boundary of the upper triangle of $T_{j}$, for some $j \in K$, then by the above discussion, $\bar{\gamma}$ cannot intersect $\omega_{i, j}$ or $\eta_{i, j}$, for some $i \in K$ such that the arcs of $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ forms the opposite sides of a conduit $R_{i, j}$. So $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{i, j}$ is an arc $M N$ which has its endpoints $M \in T_{j}$ and $N \in T_{i}$ on $\partial R_{\gamma}$. Further, since $\bar{\gamma}$ is a closed curve, $\bar{\gamma} \cap T_{i}$ is an arc with its endpoint as $N$ such that $N$ necessarily lies in the upper pentagon of $T_{i}$. Conversely, if any of the components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has its endpoint, $z_{0}$, on the boundary of the upper pentagon of $T_{i}$, then it should be connected to an arc, $g$, of $\bar{\gamma}$ in the conduit $R_{i, j}$. Note that the endpoints, $z_{0}, z_{0}^{\prime}$ of $g$ are on $\partial R_{\gamma}$. There exists an arc component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ lying in $T_{j}$ such that $z_{0}^{\prime}$ is on the boundary of the upper triangle of $T_{j}$, as the dotted line in figure 14 shows. If any such arc $g$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ exists, consider an isotopy, $I_{3}$, of $g$ such that the image, $I_{3}(g)$, lies outside $R_{i, j}$. A schematic of this is figure 14 .

The component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{j}$ now has an endpoint on the boundary of the lower pentagon of $T_{j}$ and the component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{i}$ has an endpoint on the boundary of the lower triangle of $T_{i}$. Also the image of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ under $I_{3}$ moves a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ from the boundary of the upper traingle of $T_{j}$ to the boundary of the lower pentagon of $T_{i}$. We call $I_{3}$ to be a normalization move on $\bar{\gamma}$. After finitely many normalization moves performed on $\bar{\gamma}$, wherever applicable, we can assume that every component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ is contained in a rectangular track $T_{i}$ for some $i \in K$ such that the endpoints of that component lie on the boundary of the lower triangle and the lower pentagon of $T_{i}$. So a schematic of every component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ inside $T_{i}$ is as in figure 15.

After these isotopies $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$, we say that $\bar{\gamma}$ is in a rectified position. We now prove that $\bar{\gamma}$ in rectified position and $\alpha$ fill $S$. From now on we assume that $\bar{\gamma}$ is in a rectified position.

For $i \in K$, let $H_{i}$ be the rectangular component of $R_{\gamma} \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in K} \alpha_{i}\right)$ containing the arcs $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ on its boundary. Each of these $H_{i}$ contains a unique segment, $\gamma_{i}$, of the core curve $\gamma$. The schematic 16 shows $H_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ for instance.

We say that an arc, $g$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ covers $\gamma_{i}$ if $g \subset H_{i}$ has its end points on $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$ and $g$ is isotopic in $H_{i}$ to $\gamma_{i}$ through arcs whose end points stay on $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i+1}$.


Figure 15 The portion of $\bar{\gamma}$ in rectified position inside $T_{i}$


Figure 16 Schematic showing $H_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ in $R_{\gamma}$

Since $\gamma$ and $\alpha$ form a filling pair, the set of essential arcs, $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right\}$ fill $S \backslash \alpha$. It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ fills $S$ along with $\alpha$ if segments of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha$ cover $\gamma_{i}$ for all $i$ with $i \in K$.

Since $\bar{\gamma}$ is in rectified position, each component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ already covers all $\gamma_{i}$ except one as in figure 15. More precisely, if a component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ is in a rectangular track $T_{i}$, then $\overline{\bar{\gamma}}$ covers every $\gamma_{j}$ where $j$ is such that $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $j \neq i-1$. So, if $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has two distinct components, then each component has to lie in $T_{i}$ for distinct $i$ and hence $\bar{\gamma}$ covers $\gamma_{j}$ for $j \in\{1,2, \ldots k\}$. We conclude that $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S$ in this case. Now it remains to show that if there is a single component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$, which is an essential arc of $R_{\gamma}$ and is contained in some rectangular track $T_{i}$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S$. As in the previous case, $\bar{\gamma}$ covers every $\gamma_{j}$ where $j$ is such that $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $j \neq i-1$. The components of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i-1} \gamma_{j}\right)$ will be disks except possibly one which could be a cylinder. This can be seen as follows. Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ fill $S$, the components of $S \backslash \alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \gamma_{j}$ are disks. Each segment of $\gamma_{j} \backslash \alpha$ for $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ contributes to two distinct edges of a component $J_{0}$ or two separate components $J, J^{\prime}$ of $S \backslash \alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \gamma_{j}$.

Let $P_{1}:=\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha_{i}$ and $P_{2}:=\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha_{i-1}$ be points in $T_{i}$ which appear on the unique component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$. Let $\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right]$ represent the arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ with endpoints $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{1}:=\bar{\gamma} \backslash\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right] . \bar{\gamma}_{1}$ is contained in all the components of $S \backslash$ $\alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i-1} \gamma_{j}$ which contain the arcs $\alpha_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ on their boundary. We know that there is at-least one such component because $\gamma_{i-1}$ is also such an arc which joins $\alpha_{i-1}$ to $\alpha_{i}$. If $\gamma_{i-1}$ is the boundary of $J, J^{\prime}$, then it would have been an arc which connected $\alpha_{i-1}$ on one disk to $\alpha_{i}$ on another disk. Note that both $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i-1}$ are also boundary arcs of both $J$ and $J^{\prime}$. So, we would find $P_{1}$ on the disk containing $\alpha_{i}$ and $P_{2}$ on the disk containing $\alpha_{i-1}$. When we join $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ along $\gamma_{i-1}$ we get a disk where $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ is an arc from $P_{1}$ to $P_{2}$ intersecting $\gamma_{i-1}$. Cutting along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ still yields two different disks. The schematic, figure 17 shows this situation.

If $\gamma_{i-1}$ were on the boundary of $J_{0}$ representing two edges of $J_{0}$ then it would have been an arc which connected $\alpha_{i-1}$ to $\alpha_{i}$. When we glue $J_{0}$ to itself along




Figure 17 The figure on the left shows disks $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ formed by cutting along $\gamma_{i-1}$; the figure on the right shows the new disks formed when $J \cup J^{\prime}$ are cut along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$


Figure 18 The disk $J_{0}$ glued to itself along $\gamma_{i-1}$ and cut along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$
$\gamma_{i-1}$, we get a cylinder, $A$, where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i-1}$ will be arcs on different boundary components of $A$. So we would find $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ on distinct boundaries of $A$ and hence $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ would be an essential arc on $A$. So cutting $A$ along this arc $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ would yield a disk as shown in the schematic, figure 18 .

In any case, we get disks by cutting $S \backslash \alpha$ along the arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha$. So this proves the theorem.

Claim 1 of Theorem 2 doesn't use the hypothesis that $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$. So we have the following:

Corollary 1. If $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are a pair of curves which fill $S$, then $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ also fill $S$.

Corollary 2. For a surface of genus $g \geq 3$, $i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$.
Proof. Aougab and Huang [3] proved that $i_{\text {min }}(g, 3)=2 g-1$ for $g \geq 3$. Now, on $S_{g}$, for $g \geq 3$, suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two such minimally intersecting curves with $d(\alpha, \beta)=3$. Then $i\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)=(2 g-1)^{2}$ and by Theorem $2, d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)=4$. So $i_{\text {min }}(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$.

## 4. An initially efficient geodesic

Lemma 1. If $\alpha=\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}=\gamma$ is an initially efficient geodesic then so is $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$.

Proof. For $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, let $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ be the components of $S \backslash\left\{\alpha, R_{\gamma}\right\}$ as in the proof of Theorem 22. Since the geodesic $\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \gamma$ is an initially efficient one, each segment of $\nu_{1}$ intersects every reference arc in $E_{i}$ at most twice. In particular, arcs of $\partial\left(R_{\gamma}\right)$ that form the edges of $E_{i}$ intersect $\nu_{1}$ at most twice. It follows from here that there are at the most two segments of $\nu_{1}$ in each rectangular track $T_{i}$ as


Figure 19 There can be at-most two distinct segments of $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ in any rectangular component of $S \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ in $R_{\gamma}$
defined in section 3. A schematic of this is shown in figure 19 Further, since the interior of a reference arc is disjoint from $\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$, it is sufficient to check for the initial efficiency of the geodesic, $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$ in the modified disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, abbreviated $F$, corresponding to $F_{p}$, abbreviated $E$.

Since $E$ and $F$ are homeomorphic to a $2 g$-gon. Without loss of generality assume $E$ and $F$ to be a regular Euclidean regular polygon with $2 g$ sides. Starting at any segment of $\alpha$ in $E$, we label the edge as $\alpha_{1}$. Label the edges of $E$ in a clockwise direction, starting at $\alpha_{1}$ as $\gamma_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{g}$. Let $S^{\prime}=S \backslash R_{\gamma}$. Since the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ and $S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right\}$ are the same, it follows that for every edge, $a_{j_{0}}$ in $F$ corresponding to $\alpha$, there exists a unique $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, g\}$ such that $a_{j_{0}} \subset \alpha_{i_{0}}$. Index the edges, $a_{j_{0}}$ of $F$ such that $j_{0}=i_{0}$. Label the edge of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ in $F$ between $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ as $t_{i}$. Let $\omega$ be a reference arc in $F$ with end points on $t_{p}$ and $t_{q}$ for some $p, q \in\{1, \ldots, g\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\omega \cap T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right) \geq 3$. Then there exists three segments, $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ of $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ in $F$ such that $z_{j} \cap \omega \neq \phi$. For $j \in\{1,2,3\}$, let the end points of $z_{j}$ lie on $a_{j_{1}}$ and $a_{j_{2}}$. From our previous discussion on Dehn twist and figure 20, there exists arcs of $\nu_{1}$ in $E$ with end points on $\gamma_{j_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{j_{2}}$ for all $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. Consider a line segment, $\omega^{\prime}$ in $E$ from an interior point of $a_{p}$ to an interior point of $a_{q}$. Then $\omega^{\prime}$ is a reference arc for the triple, $\alpha$, $\nu_{1}, \gamma$ and $\omega^{\prime} \cap \nu_{1} \geq 3$. This contradicts that $\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \gamma$ is an initially efficient geodesic. Hence, $\omega \cap T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right) \leq 2$ for any choice of reference arc, $\omega$ for the triple $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \alpha$.

Since $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$ is already a geodesic we have that $d\left(T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \alpha\right)=3$. This gives that $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ is an initially efficient geodesic of distance 4 from $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ to $\alpha$.


Figure 20 Initial efficiency of $T_{\gamma}\left(a_{1}\right)$ follows from the initial efficiency of $a_{1}$
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