

# IDEAL OF HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS THAT FACTOR THROUGH $\ell^p$

ASUMAN GÜVEN AKSOY, YUNIED PUIG

ABSTRACT. We study the injective and surjective hull of operator ideals generated by hypercyclic backward weighted shifts that factor through  $\ell^p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $T$  be a linear and compact operator acting from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$ . We set  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  to denote the normed vector space of all continuous operators from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  be the collection of all compact operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . The theory of compact linear operators between two Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  has a classical core and is familiar to many. For example, the well-known classical factorization theorem due to W. B. Johnson [5] asserts that every compact operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  factor compactly through a Banach space  $Z$  provided  $T = P \circ Q$  and  $P \in \mathcal{K}(Z, Y)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z)$ . As an application for operators of a classical characterization of compact sets due to Grothendieck [3], we have that  $T$  compact implies

$$T(U_X) \subseteq \left\{ \sum_n \alpha_n y_n : (\alpha_n) \in U_{\ell^1} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $U_X$  denotes the open unit ball in  $X$ ,  $y_n \in Y$  for all  $n$  and  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . There is a close connection between factorization of compact operators through a close subspace of  $c_0$  and above representation of compact sets. In this direction we mention a result due to T. Terzioğlu:

**Theorem 1.1** ([10]). *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  bounded linear operator between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2) *There exists a norm-null sequence  $(x_n^*)$  in  $X^*$  such that*

$$\|Tx\| \leq \sup_n |\langle x, x_n^* \rangle| \quad \forall x \in X,$$

- (3) *For some closed subspace  $Z$  of  $c_0$  there are compact operators  $P \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{K}(Z, Y)$  such that  $T = Q \circ P$ .*

This factorization theorem has a number of important connections and consequences which were discussed in detail in [1].

A linear and bounded operator  $T$  acting on a separable infinite-dimensional Banach space  $X$  is called *hypercyclic* if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\{T^n x : n \geq 0\}$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A16, 47B10; Secondary 47A68.  
*Key words and phrases.* Weighted Shift, Hypercyclic Operator, Operator ideal.

is dense in  $X$ . It is well-known that hypercyclic operators can never be compact [2]. We concentrate our attention on operators that can be hypercyclic and satisfy an expression in the vein of (1.1). More precisely, we are interested in studying operators satisfying

$$T(U_X) \subseteq \left\{ \sum_n \alpha_n y_n : (\alpha_n) \in U_{\ell^{q'}} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $(y_n)$  is a weakly  $q$ -summable sequence in  $X$  with  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ . These operators can be hypercyclic. Indeed, weighted backward shifts are examples of operators satisfying (1.2). Since it is shown by H. Salas in [9] that every operator of the form  $\lambda I +$  (backward shift) is hypercyclic and due to the importance of weighted backward shifts in linear dynamics, we now focus our interest on studying operators satisfying (1.2).

In section 3 below, we introduce the ideal of  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear operators, i.e., the ideal of operators generated by a weighted backward shift acting from  $\ell^{q'}$  into  $\ell^p$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$ . Using the standard techniques which were first systematized in [8], we show that the injective hull of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  is composed by operators satisfying conditions in the spirit of Terzioğlu (Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6). We also prove the elements of the surjective hull of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  satisfy (1.2) (Theorem 3.9). Moreover, we show the dual operator ideal of the surjective (injective) hull of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  is its injective (surjective) hull (Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.13).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we define some notations, concepts and mention some theorems that we will use later.

Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the class of all linear and bounded operators between arbitrary Banach spaces. The identity map of  $E$  is denoted by  $I_E$ .

An *operator ideal*  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subclass of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the components  $\mathcal{U}(E, F) := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $I_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- (2) if  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$  then  $S_1 + S_2 \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ ,
- (3) if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ , then  $RST \in \mathcal{U}(E_0, F_0)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an operator ideal, the map  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called an *s-norm on the operator ideal*  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $0 < s \leq 1$  if the following are satisfied:

- (1)  $\|I_{\mathbb{K}}\| = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\|T_1 + T_2\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s \leq \|T_1\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s + \|T_2\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s$ ,
- (3) if  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{U}(X, Y)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$  then  $\|RTS\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leq \|R\| \|T\|_{\mathcal{U}} \|S\|$ .

If each  $\mathcal{U}(X, Y)$  is a Banach space with respect to the ideal  $s$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ , then  $(\mathcal{U}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}})$  is called an *s-Banach operator ideal*.

Denote by  $\mathcal{U}^{inj}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^{sur}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^{dual}$  and  $\mathcal{U}^{reg}$  the injective, surjective, dual and regular hull of  $\mathcal{U}$  respectively, in the sense of Pietsch, see chapter 4 [7].

Let  $X^*$  be the dual space of  $X$ ,  $a \in X^*$  and  $y \in Y$ . Define  $a \otimes y \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  as  $(a \otimes y)(x) := a(x)y$ . Denote by  $T^*$  the adjoint operator of any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $p'$  the conjugate of  $p \geq 1$ , i.e.  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .

Let  $I$  be an arbitrary index set. Denote by  $\ell_p(I)$ , the *Banach space of all absolutely  $p$ -summable scalar families*  $x = (\epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$ .

Recall that for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the space of all *weakly  $p$ -summable sequences* in a Banach space  $X$  is defined as

$$\ell_p^w(X) = \{(x_i) \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : (a(x_i))_i \in \ell_p, \forall a \in X^*\},$$

with norm

$$\|(x_i)\|_p^w = \sup\{\|(a(x_i))_i\|_p : a \in X^*, \|a\| \leq 1\}.$$

In the same way, the space of all *weak\*  $p$ -summable sequences* in  $X^*$  is defined as

$$\ell_p^{w*}(X^*) = \{(x_i) \in (X^*)^{\mathbb{N}} : (x_i(x))_i \in \ell_p, \forall x \in X\},$$

with norm

$$\|(x_i)\|_p^{w*} = \sup\{\|(x_i(x))_i\|_p : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

For more about these spaces see [4].

### 3. RESULTS

**3.1. The operator ideal of  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear operators.** Classical  $(r, p, q)$ -nuclear operators, in the sense of Pietsch (18.1 [7]) are always compact, hence not hypercyclic. As we are interested in hypercyclicity in connection with  $(r, p, q)$ -nuclear operators, we introduce the following.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  such that  $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is called  *$(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear* if

$$T = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i a_i \otimes y_{i-1}$$

with  $(w_i) \in \ell^\infty$ ,  $(a_i) \in \ell_{q'}^{w*}(X^*)$  and  $(y_i) \in \ell_{p'}^w(Y)$ .

Define

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)} = \inf \|w\|_\infty \| (a_i) \|_{q'}^{w*} \| (y_i) \|_{p'}^w,$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear representations described above.

Denote by  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  the class of all  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear operators. Note that weighted backward shifts on  $\ell^p$  are examples of  $(w, p, p')$ -backward nuclear operators, as they are defined as  $B_w e_n := w_n e_{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  with  $B_w e_0 := 0$ , where  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the canonical basis of  $\ell^p$  and  $B_w$  is the unilateral weighted backward shift operator.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $1/s = 1/p' + 1/q'$ , then  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}, \|\cdot\|_{(w,p,q)})$  is an  $s$ -Banach operator ideal.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we need the following criterion provided in [7].

**Lemma 3.3** (Theorem 6.2.3 [7]). *Let  $0 < s \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{L}$  with an  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued function  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}}$  satisfying:*

- (1)  $I_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\|I_{\mathcal{K}}\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 1$ ,
- (2)  $T_1, T_2, \dots \in \mathcal{U}(X, Y)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s < \infty$  implies  $T := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \in \mathcal{U}(X, Y)$  with  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{\mathcal{U}}^s$ ,
- (3)  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$  imply  $RTS \in \mathcal{U}(X_0, Y_0)$  and  $\|RTS\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leq \|R\| \|T\|_{\mathcal{U}} \|S\|$ .

Then,  $(\mathcal{U}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}})$  is an  $s$ -Banach operator ideal.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let us see that conditions (1)-(3) of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied.

Let us obtain (1). Note that  $I_{\mathbb{K}} = 1 \otimes 1$ , so  $I_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  and  $\|I_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq 1$ . Moreover, let  $I_{\mathbb{K}} = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i a_i \otimes y_{i-1}$  be any  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear representation. Then,  $1 = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i a_i y_{i-1}$  and

$$1 \leq \left( \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |w_i a_i y_{i-1}| \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |w_i a_i y_{i-1}|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \|w\|_{\infty} \| (a_i) \|_{\ell^{q'}}^{w*} \| (y_i) \|_{p'}^w.$$

Hence,  $1 \leq \|I_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{(w,p,q)}$  and (1) is obtained.

Let us establish (2). Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)}^s < \infty$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear representations

$$T_n = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_{n,i} a_{n,i} \otimes y_{n,i-1}$$

such that for every  $n \geq 1$

$$\|(w_{n,i})_i\|_{\infty} \| (a_{n,i})_i \|_{q'}^{w*} \| (y_{n,i-1})_i \|_{p'}^w \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)}.$$

We may suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_{n,i})_i\|_{\infty} &\leq 1 \\ \| (a_{n,i})_i \|_{q'}^{w*} &\leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)})^{s/q'} \\ \| (y_{n,i-1})_i \|_{p'}^w &\leq ((1 + \varepsilon) \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)})^{s/p'} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_{n,i})_{n,i}\|_{\infty} &\leq 1 \\ \| (a_{n,i})_{n,i} \|_{q'}^{w*} &\leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)}^s)^{1/q'} \\ \| (y_{n,i-1})_{n,i} \|_{p'}^w &\leq ((1 + \varepsilon)^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)}^s)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $T := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_{n,i} a_{n,i} \otimes y_{n,i-1} \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$  and

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^s \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n\|_{(w,p,q)}^s,$$

and (2) is obtained.

Finally, let us obtain (3). Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear representation

$$T = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i a_i \otimes y_{i-1}$$

such that  $\|w\|_{\infty} \|(a_i)\|_{q'}^{w*} \|(y_i)\|_{p'}^w \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}$ . Then,  $RTS = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i S^* a_i \otimes R y_{i-1}$  and

$$\|RTS\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq \|w\|_{\infty} \|(S^* a_i)\|_{q'}^{w*} \|(R y_i)\|_{p'}^w \leq \|R\| \|T\|_{(w,p,q)} \|S\|.$$

□

We have the following factorization property of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B_w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{q'}, \ell^p)$  be a unilateral backward weighted shift. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2) there exists  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$  such that  $T = RB_w S$ .

Moreover,  $\|T\|_{(w,p,q)} = \inf \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|$  where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider a backward nuclear representation  $T = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i a_i \otimes y_{i-1}$  such that  $\|w\|_{\infty} \|(a_i)\|_{q'}^{w*} \|(y_i)\|_{p'}^w \leq (1 + \varepsilon) N_{(w,p,q)}(T)$ . Consider the operators  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$  defined as  $Sx = (a_i(x))_i$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $R((\nu_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i y_i$  for any  $(\nu_i)_i \in \ell^p$ . It is well-known that  $\|S\| = \|(a_i)\|_{q'}^{w*}$  and  $\|T\| = \|(y_i)\|_{p'}^w$ . Hence,  $\|B_w\|_{\infty} \|S\| \|T\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) N_{(w,p,q)}(T)$  and  $RB_w S = T$ . Conversely, by definition  $B_w = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i e_i^* \otimes e_{i-1} \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(\ell^{q'}, \ell^p)$  with  $N_{(w,p,q)}(B_w) \leq \|w\|_{\infty} = \|B_w\|$ , where  $e_i^*(e_i) = 1$  and  $e_i^*(e_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  is an operator ideal, we have that  $T = RB_w S = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i S^* e_i^* \otimes R e_{i-1} \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$  and

$$N_{(w,p,q)}(T) \leq \|R\| N_{(w,p,q)}(B_w) \|S\| \leq \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|.$$

□

**3.2. The injective hull of the operator ideal  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}, \|\cdot\|_{(w,p,q)})$ .** Let us focus on the injective hull of the operator ideal  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}, \|\cdot\|_{(w,p,q)})$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, denote  $X^{\infty} := \ell_{\infty}(U_{X^*})$  and the injection  $J_X : X \rightarrow X^{\infty}$  defined as  $J_X x := (a(x))_{a \in U_{X^*}}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B_w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{q'}, \ell^p)$  be a unilateral backward weighted shift. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2) there exist a closed subspace  $F$  of  $\ell^p$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, Y)$  such that  $T = RB_w S$ . Moreover,

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} = \inf \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|, \quad (3.1)$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations, as described above.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}(X, Y)$ , then  $J_Y T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consider  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y^\infty)$  such that  $J_Y T = RB_w S$  with

$$\|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\| \leq \|J_Y T\|_{(w,p,q)} + \varepsilon = \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} + \varepsilon.$$

Define  $H|_{B_w S(X)} := J_Y^{-1} R|_{B_w S(X)}$ . Let  $F$  be the closure of  $B_w S(X)$ , and denote by  $\bar{H}$  the continuous extension of  $H$  to  $F$ . Note that  $T = \bar{H} B_w S$  and

$$\|\bar{H}\| \|B_w S\| \leq \|H\| \|B_w\| \|S\| = \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\| \leq \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} + \varepsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

Conversely, let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  such that  $T = RB_w S$  where  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, Y)$  and  $F$  is a closed subspace of  $\ell^p$ . By the extension property of  $Y^\infty$  there exists  $R^\infty \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y^\infty)$  such that  $R^\infty x = J_Y R x$ , for any  $x \in F$  and  $\|R^\infty\| = \|J_Y R\|$ . Thus,  $J_Y T = R^\infty B_w S$ . Then,  $J_Y T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X, Y^\infty)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}(X, Y)$ . Moreover,

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} = \|J_Y T\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq \|R^\infty\| \|B_w\| \|S\| = \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|. \quad (3.3)$$

Putting together (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain (3.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B_w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{q'}, \ell^p)$  be a unilateral backward weighted shift. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2) there exists  $(x_n) \in \ell_{q'}^{w^*}(X^*)$  such that

$$\|Tx\| \leq \|B_w(x_n(x))_n\|_p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

In addition,  $\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} = \inf \|w\|_\infty \|(x_n)_n\|_{q'}^{w^*}$ , where the infimum is taken over all sequences  $(x_n)_n$  satisfying condition (2).

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}(X, Y)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider a  $(w, p, q)$ -backward nuclear representation of  $J_Y T$  as  $J_Y T = \sum_{i=2}^\infty w_i a_i \otimes y_{i-1}$  such that

$$\|w\|_\infty \|(a_i)\|_{q'}^{w^*} \|(y_i)\|_{p'}^w \leq \|J_Y T\|_{(w,p,q)} + \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &\leq \|J_Y T x\| = \sup_{\|y^*\|_{(Y^\infty)^*} \leq 1} |y^*(J_Y T x)| = \sup_{\|y^*\|_{(Y^\infty)^*} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=2}^\infty w_i a_i(x) \cdot y^*(y_{i-1}) \right| \\ &\leq \|(y_{i-1})\|_{p'}^w \cdot \left( \sum_{i=2}^\infty |w_i a_i(x)^p| \right)^{1/p} = \|B_w x_n(x)\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_n = \|(y_{i-1})\|_{p'}^w \cdot a_n \in \ell_{q'}^{w^*}(X^*)$ . Moreover,  $\|w\|_\infty \|(x_n)\|_{q'}^{w^*} \leq \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} + \varepsilon$ .

Conversely, suppose that condition (2) holds. Define  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell^{q'})$  such that  $Sx = (x_n(x))_n$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Then,  $\|S\| = \|(x_n)\|_{q'}^{w^*}$ . By hypothesis,  $\|Tx\| \leq \|B_w Sx\|_p$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Thus,  $R : B_w S(X) \rightarrow Y$  defined as  $R(B_w Sx) = Tx$  is a bounded and linear operator with  $\|R\| \leq 1$ . Let  $\bar{R}$  be the continuous linear extension of  $R$  to  $F$ ,

where  $F$  denotes the closure of  $B_w S(X)$ . Then,  $\|\bar{R}\| \leq 1$ . Note that  $T = \bar{R}B_w S$  where  $\bar{R} \in \mathcal{L}(F, Y)$ . By Theorem 3.5 we have  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{inj}$  and

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} \leq \|B_w S\| \|\bar{R}\| \leq \|w\|_\infty \|S\| = \|w\|_\infty \|(x_n)\|_{q'}^{w*}.$$

Hence,

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{inj} = \inf \{ \|w\|_\infty \|(x_n)\|_{q'}^{w*} : \|Tx\| \leq \|B_w(x_n(x))_n\|_p, x \in X \}$$

□

**3.3. The surjective hull of the operator ideal  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}, \|\cdot\|_{(w,p,q)})$ .** Let us turn our attention to the surjective hull of the operator ideal  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}, \|\cdot\|_{(w,p,q)})$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, denote  $X^1 := \ell_1(U_X)$  and the surjection  $Q_X^1 : X^1 \rightarrow X$  defined as  $Q_X^1(\epsilon_x) := \sum_{x \in U_X} \epsilon_x x$ , for  $(\epsilon_x) \in \ell_1(U_X)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B_w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{q'}, \ell^p)$  be a unilateral backward weighted shift. The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$ ,

(2) *there exist a quotient space  $Z$  of  $\ell^{q'}$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  such that  $T = QS$ . Moreover,  $RB_w = Q\pi$  with  $\pi : \ell^{q'} \rightarrow Z$  the quotient operator and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$ .*

*In addition,  $\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} = \inf \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations, as described above.*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$ , then  $TQ_X^1 \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X^1, Y)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X^1, \ell^{q'})$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$  with  $TQ_X^1 = RB_w P$  and

$$\|R\| \|B_w\| \|P\| \leq \|TQ_X^1\| + \varepsilon = \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} + \varepsilon.$$

Set  $Z := \ell^{q'} / (RB_w)^{-1}(0)$  and define the one-to-one operator  $Q : Z \rightarrow Y$  as  $Q((\epsilon_n) + (RB_w)^{-1}(0)) = RB_w(\epsilon_n)_n$ , for any  $(\epsilon_n) \in \ell^{q'}$ . Note that,

$$\|Q((\epsilon_n) + (RB_w)^{-1}(0))\| = \|RB_w(\epsilon_n)_n\| \leq \|RB_w\| \|(\epsilon_n)_n + R^{-1}(0)\|, \quad \forall (\epsilon_n)_n \in \ell^p.$$

Hence,  $\|Q\| \leq \|RB_w\|$ . Define,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$  as  $S = Q^{-1}T$ . Note that  $Q^{-1}TQ_X^1 = Q^{-1}RB_w P$ . Then,

$$\|S\| = \|SQ_X^1\| \leq \|Q^{-1}RB_w\| \|P\| \leq \|P\|.$$

Hence,  $T = QS$  and

$$\|S\| \|R\| \|B_w\| \leq \|P\| \|R\| \|B_w\| \leq \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} + \varepsilon. \quad (3.4)$$

Conversely, let  $T = QS$  such that  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  with  $Z$  a quotient space of  $\ell^{q'}$ . Moreover, let  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$  such that  $RB_w = Q\pi$ , where  $\pi : \ell^{q'} \rightarrow Z$  is the quotient operator. By the lifting property of  $X^1$ , for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X^1, \ell^{q'})$  such that  $\pi S_0 = SQ_X^1$ , and

$$\|S_0\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|S\| \|R\| \|B_w\|}\right) \|\pi\| \|SQ_X^1\| \leq \|S\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|R\| \|B_w\|}.$$

Note that  $TQ_X^1 = QSQ_X^1 = Q\pi S_0 = RB_w S_0$ . Then,  $TQ_X^1 \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(X^1, Y)$ , i.e.  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} &= \|TQ_X^1\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S_0\| \\ &\leq \|R\| \|B_w\| \left( \|S\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|R\| \|B_w\|} \right) \\ &\leq \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.4) lead to  $\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} = \inf \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\|$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations as in (2).  $\square$

We will need the following.

**Lemma 3.8** (subsection 8.5.4 [7]). *Let  $(\mathcal{U}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}})$  be a normed operator ideal. Let  $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, F)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{U}(E, F)$ . If  $S_0(U_{E_0}) \subseteq S(U_E)$ , then  $S_0 \in \mathcal{U}^{sur}(E_0, F)$  and  $\|S_0\|_{\mathcal{U}}^{sur} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{U}}$ .*

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B_w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q, \ell^p)$  be a unilateral backward weighted shift. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$ ,
- (2) there exists  $P \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(\ell^q, Y)$  of the form  $Px := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i+1} w_{i+1} y_i$  with  $(y_i) \in \ell_q^w(Y)$  satisfying

$$T(U_X) \subseteq \{Px : (x_n) \in U_{\ell^q}\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} = \inf \{ \|P\|_{(w,p,q)} : T(U_X) \subseteq P(U_{\ell^q}), P \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(\ell^q, Y) \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Theorem 3.7, there exists a quotient space  $Z$  of  $\ell^q$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p, Y)$  such that

$$T = QS, \quad Q\pi = RB_w, \quad \|R\| \|B_w\| \|S\| \leq \|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} + \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ , by the lifting property of  $X^1$ , there exists  $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(X^1, \ell^q)$  such that  $\pi S_0 = SQ_X^1$ , and

$$\|S_0\| \leq (1 + \delta) \|\pi\| \|SQ_X^1\| \leq (1 + \delta) \|S\|.$$

Set  $P := \|S_0\| Q\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^q, Y)$ . Observe that,  $P = RB_w(\|S_0\| \cdot I_{\ell^q})$ , where  $I_{\ell^q}$  denotes the identity on  $\ell^q$ . Hence,  $P \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}(\ell^q, Y)$  with  $\|P\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq \|P\| \|B_w\| \|S_0\|$ . Since  $Q_X^1$  is surjective, for any  $x \in U_X$  there exists  $x_1 \in X^1$  such that  $Q_X^1 x_1 = x$ . Then,

$$Tx = TQ_X^1 x_1 = QSQ_X^1 x_1 = Q\pi S_0 x_1 = P \left( \frac{1}{\|S_0\|} \cdot S_0 x_1 \right).$$

In other words,  $T(U_X) \subseteq P(U_{\ell^q})$ . Finally,

$$\|P\|_{(w,p,q)} \leq (1 + \delta) \|S\| \|R\| \|B_w\| \leq (1 + \delta) (\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} + \varepsilon).$$

Conversely, suppose the condition (2) holds, then we conclude by Lemma 3.8 that  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}(X, Y)$  with  $\|T\|_{(w,p,q)}^{sur} \leq \|P\|_{(w,p,q)}$ .  $\square$

It is worth noticing here the regularity of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}$ . This result can be proven by following the same argument given by Pietsch in Lemma 3 [8], where he showed the regularity of the surjective hull of the ideal of  $(r, p, q)$ -nuclear operators. So, we have the following:

**Proposition 3.10.** *The ideal  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}^{sur}$  is regular.*

The following is proved in [8], we will need it later.

**Lemma 3.11** (Lemma 2 [8]). *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any operator ideal, then  $(\mathcal{U}^{reg})^{sur} = (\mathcal{U}^{sur})^{reg}$ .*

**3.4. Connection between the injective and surjective hull of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$ .** It is well-known that the dual operator ideal of every surjective operator ideal is injective, see Proposition 1, section 4.7 [7]. More precisely, for the operator ideal  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  we have the following.

**Theorem 3.12.**  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{sur})^{dual} = \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{inj}$ .

*Proof.* Note that,

$$\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{inj} = \left( \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{reg} \right)^{inj} = \left( \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{dual} \right)^{inj} \subseteq \left( \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{sur} \right)^{dual}.$$

Conversely, if  $T \in \left( \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{sur} \right)^{dual}(X, Y)$ , then  $T^* \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{sur}(Y^*, X^*)$ . Thus,

$$T^*(U_{Y^*}) \subseteq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} w_{n+1} y_n : (\lambda_n) \in U_{\ell_p} \right\}, \text{ with } (y_n) \in \ell_{p'}^w(X^*).$$

By Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists  $y^* \in U_{Y^*}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= |y^*(Tx)| = |(T^*y^*)x| = \left| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} w_{n+1} y_n \right) x \right| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(w_{n+1} y_n) x|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \|B_w(x_n(x))_n\|_{p'}, \text{ for some } (x_n) \in \ell_{p'}^{w^*}(X^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $T \in \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{inj}(X, Y)$  by Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

It is also known that the dual operator ideal of every injective operator ideal is surjective, see Proposition 2, section 4.7 [7]. More precisely, for the operator ideal  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$  we have the following.

**Theorem 3.13.**  $(\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{inj})^{dual} = \mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{sur}$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.10, Lemma 3.11, 18.1.6 [7] and 4.7.16 [7], we have

$$\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{sur} = (\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{sur})^{reg} = (\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p',p)}^{reg})^{sur} = (\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{dual})^{sur} = (\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,p')}^{inj})^{dual}.$$

$\square$

A linear and bounded operator  $T$  acting on  $X$  is said to be *dual hypercyclic* when both  $T$  and its adjoint  $T^* : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  are hypercyclic. A necessary condition for the existence of dual hypercyclic operator on  $X$  is that both  $X$  and  $X^*$  are separable, thus  $\ell^1$  does not support such an operator. Also it is known that any infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$  with shrinking symmetric basis admits a dual hypercyclic operator [6]. In this way, our work has connections with dual hypercyclicity of operators belonging either to the injective or the surjective hull of  $\mathcal{BN}_{(w,p,q)}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. G. Aksoy, *On a theorem of Terzioğlu*, Turk J Math, 43, (2019), 258-267.
2. F. Bayart and E. Matheron, *Dynamics of linear operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 179. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).
3. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **1955**, (1955) No. 16, 140pp (French).
4. A. Grothendieck, *Sur certaines classes de suites dans les espaces de Banach et le theoreme de Dvoretzky-Rogers*, Bol., Soc., Math Sao Paulo 8, (1956), 81-110.
5. W. B. Johnson, *Factoring compact operators*. Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 337-345.
6. H. Peterson, *Spaces that admit hypercyclic operators with hypercyclic adjoints*, Proc. AMS, **134**, number 6, (2005), 1671-1676.
7. A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
8. A. Pietsch, *The ideal of  $p$ -compact operators and its maximal hull*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **142** (2014), 519-530.
9. H. Salas, *Hypercyclic weighted shifts*. Trans. Amer. Soc. **347**, (1995), 994 – 1004.
10. T. Terzioğlu, *A characterization of compact linear mappings*, Arch. Math. **22** (1971), 76-78.

\*DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE, 850 COLUMBIA AVENUE, CLAREMONT, CA 91711, USA.

*Email address:* [aaksoy@cmc.edu](mailto:aaksoy@cmc.edu)

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE, 850 COLUMBIA AVENUE, CLAREMONT, CA 91711, USA.

*Email address:* [puigdedios@gmail.com](mailto:puigdedios@gmail.com)