

# ON THE GROWTH AND ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS ATTACHED TO ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

BERNHARD HEIM AND MARKUS NEUHAUSER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate growth properties and the zero distribution of polynomials attached to arithmetic functions  $g$  and  $h$ , where  $g$  is normalized, of moderate growth, and  $0 < h(n) \leq h(n+1)$ . We put  $P_0^{g,h}(x) = 1$  and

$$P_n^{g,h}(x) := \frac{x}{h(n)} \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) P_{n-k}^{g,h}(x).$$

As an application we obtain the best known result on the domain of the non-vanishing of the Fourier coefficients of powers of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function. Here,  $g$  is the sum of divisors and  $h$  the identity function. Kostant's result on the representation of simple complex Lie algebras and Han's results on the Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula are extended. The polynomials are related to reciprocals of Eisenstein series, Klein's  $j$ -invariant, and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F30, 11M36, 26C10; Secondary 05A17, 11B37.

*Key words and phrases.* Arithmetic functions, Dedekind eta function, Fourier coefficients, polynomials, recurrence relations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Properties of coefficients of generating series [Wi06], especially Fourier coefficients of powers of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function have been the focus of research since the times of Euler [Ma72, Se85, Ap90, AE04, On03, HNW18]:

$$(1) \quad \eta(\tau)^r := q^{\frac{r}{24}} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^r = q^{\frac{r}{24}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(r) q^n.$$

Here,  $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The coefficients are special values of the D'Arcais polynomials  $P_n(x)$  [DA13, Ne55, Co74, We06]. It has been recently noticed that the growth and vanishing properties of these polynomials have much in common with properties of other interesting polynomials [HLN19, HN20B]. These include special orthogonal polynomials as associated Laguerre polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Also included are polynomials attached to reciprocals of the Klein's  $j$ -invariant and Eisenstein series [HN20A, HN20C].

In this paper we investigate growth properties and the zero distribution of polynomials attached to arithmetic functions  $g$  and  $h$  inspired by Rota [KRY09].

Let  $g$  be normalized and of moderate growth. Further, let  $0 < h(n) \leq h(n+1)$ . We put  $P_0^{g,h}(x) = 1$  and

$$(2) \quad P_n^{g,h}(x) := \frac{x}{h(n)} \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) P_{n-k}^{g,h}(x).$$

This definition includes all mentioned examples. Before providing examples and explicit formulas for these polynomials, we give one application for the coefficients of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function. Let  $g(n) = \sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} d$ ,  $h(n) = \text{id}(n) = n$  and  $a_n(r)$  be defined by (1), the  $n$ th coefficient of the  $r$ th power of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function. Han [Ha10] observed that the Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula [NO06, We06] implies that  $a_n(r) \neq 0$  if  $r > n^2 - 1$ . This improves previous results by Kostant [Ko04]. In [HN20B] we proved that

$$(3) \quad a_n(r) \neq 0 \text{ holds for } r > \kappa \cdot (n - 1) \text{ where } \kappa = 15.$$

Numerical investigations show that  $\kappa$  has to be larger than 9.55 (see Table 5). In this paper we prove that (3) is already true for  $\kappa = 10.82$ .

Since the definition of  $P_n^{g,h}(x)$  is quite abstract, we provide two examples of families of polynomials, to familiarize the reader with the types of polynomials we are studying. At first, they appear to have nothing in common.

Let us start with the Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula [NO06]. Let  $\eta(\tau)$  be the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function. Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$  and let  $|\lambda| = n$ . By  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  we denote the multiset of hook lengths associated with  $\lambda$  and by  $\mathcal{P}$ , the set of all partitions. The Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula ([Ha10], Theorem 1.2) states that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{\sigma}(z) q^n = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \left( 1 + \frac{z-1}{h^2} \right) = q^{\frac{z}{24}} \eta(\tau)^{-z}.$$

The identity (4) is valid for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that the  $P_n^\sigma(x)$  are integer-valued polynomials of degree  $n$ . From the formula it follows that  $(-1)^n P_n^\sigma(x) > 0$  for all real  $x < -(n^2 + 1)$ .

The second example is of a more artificial nature, discovered recently [HN20A], when studying the  $q$ -expansion of the reciprocals of Klein's  $j$ -invariant and reciprocals of Eisenstein series [BB05, BK17, HN20C]. Let

$$j(\tau) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + \dots$$

denote Klein's  $j$ -invariant. Asai, Kaneko, and Ninomiya [AKN97] proved that the coefficients of the  $q$ -expansion of  $1/j(\tau)$  are non-vanishing and have strictly alternating signs. This follows from their result on the zero distribution of the  $n$ th Faber polynomials  $\varphi_n(x)$  and the denominator formula for the monster Lie algebra. The zeros of the Faber polynomials are simple and lie in the interval  $(0, 1728)$ . They obtained the remarkable identity:

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{j(\tau)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi'_n(0) \frac{q^n}{n}.$$

Let  $c^*(n) := c(n)/744$ . Define the polynomials  $Q_{j,n}(x)$  by

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{j,n}(x) q^n := \frac{1}{1 - x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^*(n) q^n}.$$

We have proved in [HN20A] that  $Q_{j,n}(x) = Q_{\gamma_2,n}(x) + 2xQ'_{\gamma_2,n}(x) + \frac{x^2}{2}Q''_{\gamma_2,n}(x)$ , where  $Q_{\gamma_2,n}(x)$  are polynomials attached to Weber's cubic root function  $\gamma_2$  of  $j$  in a similar way. We have also proved that  $Q_{\gamma_2,n}(z) \neq 0$  for all  $|z| > 82.5$ . Hence, the identity

$$\frac{\varphi'_n(0)}{n} = Q_{j,n}(-744) = \left( Q_{\gamma_2,n}(x) + 2xQ'_{\gamma_2,n}(x) + \frac{x^2}{2}Q''_{\gamma_2,n}(x) \right) \Big|_{x=-248}$$

restates and extends the result of [AKN97].

Now, let  $g(n)$  be a normalized arithmetic function with moderate growth, such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(n)| T^n$  is analytic at  $T = 0$ . Then the illustrated examples are special cases of polynomials  $P_n^g(x)$  and  $Q_n^g(x)$  defined by

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^g(z) q^n = \exp \left( z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) \frac{q^n}{n} \right),$$

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^g(z) q^n = \frac{1}{1 - z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) q^n}.$$

Note that  $P_n^{\text{id}}(x) = x L_{n-1}^{(1)}(-x)$  are associated Laguerre polynomials (see [HLN19]). Letting  $g(n) = \sigma(n)$ , then we recover the polynomials provided by the Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula. The polynomials  $Q_n^{\text{id}}(x)$  are related to the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind [HNT20].

It is easy to see that  $P_n^g(z)$  and  $Q_n^g(z)$  are special cases of polynomials  $P_n^{g,h}(x)$  defined by the recursion formula (2). Here,  $P_n^g(x) = P_n^{g,\text{id}}(x)$  and  $Q_n^g(x) = P_n^{g,1}(x)$ . In the next section, we state the main results of this paper.

## 2. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Let  $g, h$  be arithmetic functions. Assume that  $g$  be normalized and  $0 < h(n) \leq h(n+1)$ . It is convenient to extend  $h$  by  $h(0) := 0$ .

We start by recalling what is known [HNT20, HN20A, HN20B]. Assume that  $G_1(T) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(k+1)| T^k$  has a positive radius  $R$  of convergence. Let  $\kappa > 0$  be given, such that  $G_1(2/\kappa) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have for all  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$ :

$$(9) \quad \frac{|x|}{2h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)| < |P_n^{g,h}(x)| < \frac{3|x|}{2h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)|.$$

This implies that  $P_n^{g,h}(x) \neq 0$  for all  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  and  $(-1)^n P_n^{g,h}(x) > 0$  if  $x < -\kappa h(n-1)$ . Let  $g(n) = \sigma(n)$ . In [HN20B] we proved that  $\kappa = 15$  can be an acceptable value. In the following we state our two main results: Improvement A and Improvement B.

**2.1. Improvement A.** The following result reproduces our previous result (9), if we choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $R > 0$  be the radius of convergence of*

$$G_1(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(k+1)| T^k.$$

*Let  $0 < T_\varepsilon < R$  be such that  $G_1(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\kappa = \kappa_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon}$ . Then*

$$(10) \quad \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| < \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)|,$$

*if  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

This result can be reformulated in the following way, which is more suitable for applications to growth and non-vanishing properties.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $R > 0$  be the radius of convergence of*

$$G_1(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(k+1)| T^k.$$

*Let  $0 < T_\varepsilon < R$  be such that  $G_1(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\kappa = \kappa_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon}$ . Then*

$$(11) \quad (1-\varepsilon) \frac{|x|}{h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)| < |P_n^{g,h}(x)| < (1+\varepsilon) \frac{|x|}{h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)|,$$

*if  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\kappa$  be chosen as in Theorem 1 or as in Theorem 2. Then*

$$P_n^{g,h}(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } |x| > \kappa h(n-1).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2, since  $(1-\varepsilon) \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \neq 0$  and  $P_0^{g,h}(x) = 1$ .  $\square$

We note that the smallest possible  $\kappa$  is independent of the function  $h(n)$ . It is also possible to provide a lower bound for the best possible  $\kappa$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The constant  $\kappa_\varepsilon$  obtained in Theorem 1 has the following lower bound:*

$$\kappa_\varepsilon \geq \frac{|g(2)|}{(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon}.$$

As a lower bound independent of  $\varepsilon$  we have  $4|g(2)|$ .

*Proof.* If we consider only the first order term of the power series

$$G_1(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(k+1)| T^k,$$

then for positive  $T$  we always have  $G_1(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(k+1)| T^k \geq |g(2)| T$ . Thus,  $G_1(T) > \varepsilon$  if  $T > \frac{\varepsilon}{|g(2)|}$ . The case  $G_1(T) \leq \varepsilon$  is only possible if  $T \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|g(2)|}$ . This forces  $T_\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|g(2)|}$ .

Applying the last inequality now to

$$(12) \quad \kappa_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)T_\varepsilon}$$

Theorem 1 shows the lower bound  $\kappa_\varepsilon \geq \frac{|g(2)|}{(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon}$  in the proposition depending on  $\varepsilon$ . The minimal value of this lower bound is at  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  because of the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means  $(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon \leq \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. Improvement B.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $R > 0$  be the radius of convergence of*

$$G_2(T) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| T^k.$$

*Let  $0 < T_\varepsilon < R$  be such that  $G_2(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  and*

$$\kappa = \kappa_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + |g(2)| \right).$$

*Then*

$$(13) \quad \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x + g(2)h(n-1)}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| < \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right|$$

*if  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $R > 0$  be the radius of convergence of*

$$G_2(T) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| T^k.$$

*Let  $0 < T_\varepsilon < R$  be such that  $G_2(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  and*

$$\kappa = \kappa_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + |g(2)| \right).$$

Then

$$(14) \quad \frac{|x + g(2)h(n-1)| - \varepsilon|x|}{h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)| < |P_n^{g,h}(x)| < \frac{|x + g(2)h(n-1)| + \varepsilon|x|}{h(n)} |P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x)|$$

if  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\kappa$  be chosen as in Theorem 3 or as in Theorem 4. Then*

$$(15) \quad P_n^{g,h}(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } |x| > \kappa h(n-1).$$

**Proposition 2.** *The constant  $\kappa_\varepsilon$  obtained in Theorem 3 has the following lower bound:*

$$\kappa_\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}{\varepsilon}} + |g(2)| \right).$$

As a lower bound independent of  $\varepsilon$  we have  $\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{3|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|} + |g(2)|$ .

*Proof.* If we consider only the second order term of the power series  $G_2(T) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| T^k$ , then for positive  $T$  we always have

$$G_2(T) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| T^k \geq |(g(2))^2 - g(3)| T^2.$$

Thus,  $G_2(T) > \varepsilon$  if  $T > \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}}$ . The case  $G_2(T) \leq \varepsilon$  is only possible if  $T \leq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}}$ . This forces  $T_\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}}$ .

Applying the last inequality now to

$$(16) \quad \kappa_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + |g(2)| \right)$$

from Theorem 3 shows the lower bound  $\kappa_\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}{\varepsilon}} + |g(2)| \right)$  in the proposition depending on  $\varepsilon$ .

It is clear that

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}{\varepsilon}} + |g(2)| \right) \geq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|}{\varepsilon}} + |g(2)|$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . To estimate  $\kappa_\varepsilon$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  we consider the right hand side of the last inequality as a function in  $\varepsilon$ . Thus, we are interested in the minimal value of this function for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . The inequality of arithmetic and geometric means yields

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{1/2} &= 2((1-\varepsilon)/2)^{1/2} \cdot ((1-\varepsilon)/2)^{1/2} \cdot \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2 \left( \frac{(1-\varepsilon)/2 + (1-\varepsilon)/2 + \varepsilon}{3} \right)^{3/2} = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain  $\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{3|(g(2))^2 - g(3)|} + |g(2)|$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Comparing Improvement A and Improvement B.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$  and  $T_{\varepsilon_1}$  as in Theorem 1. For all  $T \geq 0$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(T) &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|g(k+1)| + |g(2)g(k)|) T^k \\ &= (1 + |g(2)|T) G_1(T) - |g(2)|T. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_2$  be such that

$$(1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}) G_1(T_{\varepsilon_1}) - |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1} \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq (1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}) \varepsilon_1 - |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1} < 1.$$

Then

$$0 \leq G_2(T_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq (1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}) G_1(T_{\varepsilon_1}) - |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1} \leq \varepsilon_2.$$

This shows that we can choose  $T_{\varepsilon_2} = T_{\varepsilon_1}$ .

Let  $\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}$  and  $\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}$  be the respective constants from Theorems 1 and 3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{2,\varepsilon_2} &= \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_2} \left( \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon_1}} + |g(2)| \right) = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_2} (1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}) \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon_1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - (1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1})\varepsilon_1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}} (1 + |g(2)|T_{\varepsilon_1}) \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon_1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_1} \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon_1}} = \kappa_{1,\varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the minimal value of the  $\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}$  is never larger than the minimal value of the  $\kappa_{1,\varepsilon}$ .

### 3. APPLICATIONS

**3.1. Toy example.** Let us consider the case  $g(n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We observe that  $G_2(T) = 0$  for all  $T$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Then we apply Theorem 4. For all  $|x| > \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}h(n-1)$  we obtain

$$\frac{|x + h(n-1)| - \varepsilon|x|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{1,h}(x) \right| < \left| P_n^{1,h}(x) \right| < \frac{|x + h(n-1)| + \varepsilon|x|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{1,h}(x) \right|.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then for all  $|x| > h(n-1)$ :

$$\frac{|x + h(n-1)|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{1,h}(x) \right| \leq \left| P_n^{1,h}(x) \right| \leq \frac{|x + h(n-1)|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{1,h}(x) \right|.$$

Then,  $\left| P_n^{1,h}(x) \right| = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|x+h(k)|}{h(k+1)}$  (we define  $h(0) := 0$ ). Since  $P_1^{1,h}(x) = x/h(1)$  and  $P_n^{1,h}(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with positive leading coefficient, it follows:

$$(18) \quad P_n^{1,h}(x) = \frac{x(x+h(1)) \cdots (x+h(n-1))}{h(1) \cdots h(n)}.$$

**3.2. Reciprocals of Eisenstein series.** Let  $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$  and let  $B_k$  be the  $k$ th Bernoulli number. Then we define Eisenstein series of weight  $k$ :

$$(19) \quad E_k(\tau) := 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \quad (k = 2, 4, 6, \dots).$$

In [AKN97] it was indicated that the  $q$ -expansion of the reciprocal of  $E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n$  given by

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{E_4(\tau)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n q^n,$$

has strictly alternating sign changes:  $(-1)^n \beta_n > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{25}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{982}$ . We can chose  $\kappa$  in Theorem 1–4, such that  $240 > \kappa$ . (In both cases  $T_\varepsilon = \frac{982}{20000}$  does the job. Then  $\kappa_1 = \frac{62500}{261} \approx 239.46$  and  $\kappa_2 = \frac{20408906}{85347} \approx 239.13$ . Note that an approximation of the smallest possible value that can be obtained by our method is  $\kappa_2 = \frac{539}{16} \approx 33.7$ . This we obtain for  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{5}{21}$  and  $T_{\varepsilon_2} = \frac{3}{20}$ .)

*Proof of  $\kappa_2 \leq \frac{20408906}{85347}$ .* Let  $T_\varepsilon = \frac{87}{20000}$ . Let further  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{25}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{982}$ . We have the well-known estimate

$$(21) \quad \sigma_3(k) \leq \left(1 + \int_1^\infty t^{-3} dt\right) k^3 = 3k^3/2.$$

Thus,  $\sigma_3(k) \leq 3k^3/2 \leq 9\binom{k+2}{3}$ . Let  $c_1(k) = \sigma_3(k+1)$  for  $k \leq 2$  and  $c_1(k) = 9\binom{k+3}{3}$  for  $k \geq 3$ . Then  $G_1(T) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_1(k) T^k = 9\frac{1}{(1-T)^4} - 9 - 27T - 62T^2$  and

$$G_1\left(\frac{87}{20000}\right) \leq \frac{1248274072444709335238721}{314468225954099522000000000} < \frac{1}{25}.$$

Thus,  $\kappa_1 \leq \frac{20000}{87} \frac{25}{24} = \frac{62500}{261} \approx 239.46$ .

With (21) it also follows that  $|9\sigma_3(k) - \sigma_3(k+1)| \leq 15(k+1)^3 \leq 90\binom{k+3}{3}$ . Let  $c_2(k) = |9\sigma_3(k) - \sigma_3(k+1)|$  for  $k \leq 4$  and  $c_2(k) = 90\binom{k+3}{3}$  for  $k \geq 5$ . Then  $G_2(T) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_2(k) T^k = \frac{90}{(1-T)^4} - 90 - 360T - 847T^2 - 1621T^3 - 2619T^4$  for  $T > 0$  and

$$G_2\left(\frac{87}{20000}\right) \leq \frac{25605878110865247894531439480101}{251574580763279617600000000000000000000} < \frac{1}{982}.$$

Thus,  $\kappa_2 \leq \left(\frac{20000}{87} + 9\right) \frac{982}{981} = \frac{20408906}{85347} \approx 239.13$ . □



Note that  $\beta_1 = -240$ ,  $\beta_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta_1 \mid \beta_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . From (9), Theorem 1–4 and Corollary 1 the following properties are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|\beta_1\beta_{n-1}| &< |\beta_n| < \frac{3}{2}|\beta_1\beta_{n-1}|, \\ |\beta_n - \beta_1| |\beta_{n-1}| &< \varepsilon_1 |\beta_1\beta_{n-1}|, \\ (1 - \varepsilon_1)|\beta_1\beta_{n-1}| &< |\beta_n| < (1 + \varepsilon_1)|\beta_1\beta_{n-1}|, \\ |\beta_n - (\beta_1 + 9)| &< \varepsilon_2 |\beta_1\beta_{n-1}|, \\ |231 + \varepsilon_2\beta_1| |\beta_{n-1}| &< |\beta_n| < |231 - \varepsilon_2\beta_1| |\beta_{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta_0 = 1$  we can deduce that  $(-1)^n \beta_n > 0$ .

In the previous proof we showed that  $G_2(T_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{982} < \frac{1}{250}$  for  $T_\varepsilon = \frac{87}{20000}$  and  $\kappa_2 < 240$ . This leads to the following

**Theorem 5** ([HN20C]). *Let  $G_2(T)$  be defined by*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |\sigma_3(m+1) - 9\sigma_3(m)| T^m$$

*with positive radius of convergence  $R$ . Suppose that there is  $0 < T_\varepsilon < 1$  such that  $G_2(T_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{250}$  and  $\kappa_2 \leq \frac{250}{249} \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + \sigma_3(2) \right) < \frac{8}{|B_4|} = 240$ , then the absolute value of the  $n$ th coefficient  $\beta_n$  of  $1/E_4$  can be estimated by*

$$(22) \quad 240 \left( \left( 1 \pm \frac{1}{250} \frac{240}{231} \right) 231 \right)^{n-1}.$$

This implies

$$(23) \quad 230^{n-1} \leq \frac{(-1)^n \beta_n}{240} \leq 232^{n-1}.$$

The following table displays the first values.

$n$	$230^{n-1}$	$\frac{\beta_n}{240}$	$232^{n-1}$
1	1	-1	1
2	230	231	232
3	52900	-53308	53824
4	12167000	12301607	12487168
5	2798410000	-2838775326	2897022976
6	643634300000	655088819748	672109330432
7	148035889000000	-151171301803544	155929364660224
8	34048254470000000	34884983226375975	36175612601171968
9	7831098528100000000	-8050218792755033557	8392742123471896576
10	180115266146300000000	1857705425589167301906	1947116172645480005632

TABLE 1. Estimation given by (23)

By dividing  $\beta_n$  by the estimates we obtain the figures displayed in Table 2:

$n$	$\frac{\beta_n}{240 \cdot 230^{n-1}}$	$\frac{\beta_n}{240 \cdot 232^{n-1}}$
0	-1.00000000	-1.00000000
1	1.00434783	0.99568966
2	-1.00771267	-0.99041320
3	1.01106329	0.98513987
4	-1.01442438	-0.97989396
5	1.01779663	0.97467598
6	-1.02118009	-0.96948578
7	1.02457479	0.96432322
8	-1.02798078	-0.95918815
9	1.03139810	0.95408043

TABLE 2. Normalization

**Remarks.** The value  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{982}$  improves the inequalities (23) to

$$230.7648^{n-1} \leq \frac{(-1)^n \beta_n}{240} \leq 231.2353^{n-1}.$$

The lower bound is quite close to the optimal value  $e^{\pi\sqrt{3}} = 230.764588 \dots$

**3.3. Associated Laguerre polynomials and Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.** We briefly recall the definition of associated Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$  and Chebyshev polynomials  $U_n(x)$  of the second kind [RS02, Do16]. Both are orthogonal polynomials. We have

$$(24) \quad L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-k} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \quad (\alpha > -1).$$

The Chebyshev polynomials are uniquely characterized by

$$(25) \quad U_n(\cos(t)) = \frac{\sin((n+1)t)}{\sin(t)} \quad (0 < t < \pi).$$

The Chebyshev polynomials are of special interest in the context of applications, since they are the only classical orthogonal polynomials whose zeros can be determined in explicit form (see Rahman and Schmeisser [RS02], Introduction). Let  $g(n) = \text{id}(n) = n$ . Then

$$(26) \quad P_n^{\text{id}}(x) = \frac{x}{n} L_{n-1}^{(1)}(-x),$$

$$(27) \quad Q_n^{\text{id}}(x) = x U_{n-1}\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right).$$

The generating series of the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind is given by

$$(28) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(x) q^n = \frac{1}{1 - 2xq + q^2}, \quad |x|, |q| < 1.$$

With this we can prove equation (27). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + xq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \left( \frac{x}{2} + 1 \right) q^n &= 1 + \frac{xq}{1 - (2+x)q + q^2} = \frac{1 - 2q + q^2}{1 - (2+x)q + q^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 - xq \frac{1}{(1-q)^2}} = \frac{1}{1 - xq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nq^{n-1}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x) q^n
 \end{aligned}$$

using Definition (8). Note that  $G_1(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)T^k = \frac{1}{(1-T)^2} - 1$  and

$$G_2(T) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)T^k = \frac{T^2}{(1-T)^2}.$$

From this we obtain the following values:

$\varepsilon_1$	$T_{\varepsilon_1}$	$\kappa_1$	$\varepsilon_2$	$T_{\varepsilon_2}$	$\kappa_2$
$\frac{11}{25}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{75}{7}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{20}{3}$

TABLE 3. Case  $g(n) = n$

If we consider the special case  $\varepsilon_1 = 1/2$  in Improvement A, we can chose  $T_{\varepsilon_1} = 2/11$  and finally get  $\kappa_1 = 11$ .

This leads to several applications. For example, let  $|x| > (20/3)n$  then  $L_n^{(1)}(x) \neq 0$  and the estimates hold

$$|(|x + 2n| - 1/4|x|)| |L_{n-1}^{(1)}(x)| < n|L_n^{(1)}(x)| < |(|x + 2n| + 1/4|x|)| |L_{n-1}^{(1)}(x)|.$$

**3.4. Powers of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function.** Let us recall the well-known identity:

$$(29) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) = \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) \frac{q^n}{n} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The  $q$ -expansion of the  $-z$ th power of the Euler product defines the D'Arcais polynomials

$$(30) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{\sigma}(z) q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $P_0^{\sigma}(x) = 1$  and  $P_n^{\sigma}(x) = \frac{x}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma(k) P_{n-k}^{\sigma}(x)$ , as polynomials. Note that these polynomials evaluated at  $-24$  are directly related to the Ramanujan  $\tau$ -function:  $\tau(n) = P_{n-1}^{\sigma}(-24)$ , which gives also a link to the Lehmer conjecture [Le47].

In the spirit of this paper, let  $\varepsilon := \frac{3}{14}$ . Then  $T_{\varepsilon} := \frac{2}{11}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4. We obtain the

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\kappa = \frac{119}{11}$ . Then  $P_n^{\sigma}(z) \neq 0$  for all complex  $z$  with  $|z| > \kappa(n-1)$ .*

We have to show that  $G_2(T_\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)| T_\varepsilon^k < \varepsilon$ . For this let  $c(k) = |\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)|$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 7$  and  $c(k) = 4\binom{k+2}{2}$  for  $k \geq 8$ . Then  $|\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)| \leq c(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  since

$$\sigma(k) \leq (1 + \ln(k))k \leq \left(\frac{k}{4} + \ln(4)\right)k \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$$

for  $k \geq 4$ . This implies  $G_2(T) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(k)T^k$  for  $0 \leq T \leq 1 \leq R$ . The upper bound is now almost, except for the first 8 terms, a multiple of the second derivative of the geometric series of  $T$ . Hence,

$$G_2(T) \leq \frac{4}{(1-T)^3} - 4 - 12T - 19T^2 - 35T^3 - 45T^4 - 78T^5 - 84T^6 - 135T^7.$$

For  $T = T_\varepsilon = \frac{2}{11}$  we obtain

$$G_2(T_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{3043993780}{14206147659} < \frac{3}{14} = \varepsilon.$$

The claim now follows from Corollary 2.

**Remarks.**

- a) Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\kappa$  be as above, and let  $h$  be an arbitrary arithmetic function with  $0 < h(n) \leq h(n+1)$ . Then  $P_n^{\sigma, h}(x)$  satisfies (13), (14), and (15) obtained by Improvement B.
- b) The value  $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{14}$  already leads to

$$\kappa_\varepsilon = \frac{119}{11} = 10.\overline{81}.$$

Note only minor further improvements can be achieved.

- c) Corollary 3 improves our previous result [HN20B], where  $\kappa = 15$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\varepsilon = 0.217$  and  $T_\varepsilon = 0.18289$ . Then the assumptions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled. Furthermore we can take  $\kappa = 10.815$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $T_\varepsilon$  be given. We have to show that

$$G_2(T_\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)| T_\varepsilon^k < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $c(k) = |\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)|$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 11$  and  $c(k) = 4\binom{k+2}{2}$  for  $k \geq 12$ . Then  $|\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)| \leq c(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as

$$\sigma(k) \leq (1 + \ln(k))k \leq \left(\frac{k}{4} + \ln(4)\right)k \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$$

for  $k \geq 4$ . This implies  $G_2(q) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(k)T^k$  for  $0 \leq T \leq 1 \leq R$ . The upper bound is almost (except for the first 12 terms) a multiple of the second derivative

of the geometric series of  $T$ . Hence  $G_2(T) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(k) T^k \leq$

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+2}{2} T^k - 4 - 12T - 19T^2 - 35T^3 - 45T^4 - 78T^5 - 84T^6 - 135T^7 \\ & - 148T^8 - 199T^9 - 222T^{10} - 304T^{11} \\ & = \frac{4}{(1-T)^3} - 4 - 12T - 19T^2 - 35T^3 - 45T^4 - 78T^5 - 84T^6 - 135T^7 \\ & - 148T^8 - 199T^9 - 222T^{10} - 304T^{11}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $T = T_\varepsilon = 0.18289$  we obtain

$$G_2(T_\varepsilon) < 0.216998 < \varepsilon.$$

The claim now follows from Corollary 2.  $\square$

$k$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$ \sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k) $	0	5	5	15	6	28	9	32	21	42	8	70	18	48

TABLE 4. Values of  $|\sigma(k+1) - 3\sigma(k)|$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND THEOREM 2

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The proof will be by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is obvious:  $\left| P_1^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(1)} P_0^{g,h}(x) \right| = 0 < \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(1)} \left| P_0^{g,h}(x) \right|$  for  $|x| > \kappa h(0)$ .

Let now  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$P_n^{g,h}(x) = \frac{x}{h(n)} \left( P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(k+1) P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right).$$

The basic idea for the induction step is to use the inequality

$$\left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \leq \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |g(k+1)| \left| P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right|.$$

We estimate the sum by the following property for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_j^{g,h}(x) \right| & \geq \left| \frac{x}{h(j)} \right| \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| - \left| P_j^{g,h} - \frac{x}{h(j)} P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & > \left( \frac{|x|}{h(j)} - \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(j)} \right) \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)|x|}{h(j)} \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$ . Thus,

$$\left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| < \frac{h(j)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \left| P_j^{g,h}(x) \right|.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| P_{n-k}^{g,h}(x) \right| &< \left| P_{n-k+1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \frac{h(n-k+1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} < \dots \\
&< \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{h(n-j)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \\
&\leq \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \right)^{k-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1) \geq \kappa h(n-k)$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq n$  by assumption. Using this, we can now estimate the sum by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |g(k+1)| \left| P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right| < \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \right)^k$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\
&< \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |g(k+1)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \right)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimating the sum using the assumption from the theorem we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |g(k+1)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \right)^k \leq G_1 \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x|} \right) \leq G_1(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon,$$

since  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1) = \frac{h(n-1)}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon}$  which is equivalent to  $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)|x|}{h(n-1)} > \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon}$  and  $G_1$  increases on  $[0, R)$  as  $|g(k+1)| \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Consider the following upper and lower bounds:

$$\begin{aligned}
|P_n^{g,h}(x)| &\leq \left| \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| + \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right|, \\
|P_n^{g,h}(x)| &\geq \left| \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| - \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (10) leads to the desired result.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3 AND THEOREM 4

*Proof of Theorem 3.* The proof will be by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is obvious:

$$\left| P_1^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x + g(2)h(0)}{h(1)} P_0^{g,h}(x) \right| = 0 < \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(1)} \left| P_0^{g,h}(x) \right|$$

for  $|x| > \kappa h(0)$ . Let now  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & P_n^{g,h}(x) - g(2) \frac{h(n-1)}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \\ &= \frac{x}{h(n)} \left( P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)) P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The basic idea for the induction step is to use the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x + g(2)h(n-1)}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \left| P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The sum can be estimated using for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_j^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & \geq \left| \frac{x + g(2)h(j-1)}{h(j)} \right| \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| - \left| P_j^{g,h} - \frac{x + g(2)h(j-1)}{h(j)} P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & > \left( \frac{|x|}{h(j)} - \frac{|g(2)|h(j-1)}{h(j)} - \varepsilon \frac{|x|}{h(j)} \right) \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(j-1)}{h(j)} \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & \geq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(j)}{h(j)} \left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$ . Note that for  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1)$  we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(j) > \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + |g(2)| \right) h(n-1) - |g(2)|h(j) > 0.$$

Thus,

$$\left| P_{j-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| < \frac{h(j)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(j)} \left| P_j^{g,h}(x) \right|.$$

We use this inequality and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_{n-k}^{g,h}(x) \right| & < \left| P_{n-k+1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \frac{h(n-k+1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-k+1)} < \dots \\ & < \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{h(n-j)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-j)} \\ & \leq \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-1)} \right)^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1) \geq \kappa h(n-k)$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq n$  by assumption. Using this, we can now estimate the sum by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \left| P_{n-1-k}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & < \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-1)} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_n^{g,h}(x) - \frac{x + g(2)h(n-1)}{h(n)} P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \\ & < \frac{|x|}{h(n)} \left| P_{n-1}^{g,h}(x) \right| \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-1)} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the sum using the assumption from the theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-1)} \right)^k \\ & \leq G_2 \left( \frac{h(n-1)}{(1-\varepsilon)|x| - |g(2)|h(n-1)} \right) \leq G_2(T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

since  $|x| > \kappa h(n-1) = \frac{\kappa h(n-1)}{1-\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon} + |g(2)| \right)$  which is equivalent to  $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)|x|}{h(n-1)} - |g(2)| > \frac{1}{T_\varepsilon}$  and  $G_2$  is increasing on  $[0, R)$  as  $|g(k+1) - g(2)g(k)| \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* This basically follows from Theorem 3 (see also the proof of Theorem 2).  $\square$

$n$	$\min \{ \operatorname{Re}(x) : P_n^{\sigma, \text{id}}(x) = 0 \}$
1	0
2	-3
3	-8
4	-14
5	-20.61187
6	-27.64001
7	-34.97153
8	-42.53511
9	-50.28267
10	-58.18014
50	-410.63656
100	-874.47135
500	-4687.67815
1000	-9501.75903

TABLE 5. Minimal zeros of  $P_n^{\sigma, \text{id}}(x)$



**Acknowledgements.** *To be entered later.*

## REFERENCES

- [AE04] G. E. Andrews, K. Eriksson: Integer Partitions. Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [Ap90] T. Apostol: Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory. Second Edition. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York (1990).
- [AKN97] T. Asai, M. Kaneko, H. Ninomiya: Zeros of certain modular functions and an application. *Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli* 46 No. 1 (1997), 93–101.
- [BB05] B. Berndt, P. Bialek: On the power series coefficients of certain quotients of Eisenstein series. *Trans. American Math. Society* 357 No. 11 (2005), 4379–4412.
- [BK17] K. Bringmann, B. Kane: Ramanujan and coefficients of meromorphic modular forms. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 107 (2017), 100–122.
- [Co74] L. Comter: Advanced Combinatorics. Enlarged edition, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht (1974).
- [DA13] F. D’Arcais: Développement en série. *Intermédiaire Math.* 20 (1913), 233–234.
- [Do16] B. Doman: The Classical Orthogonal Polynomials. World Scientific 2016.
- [Ha10] G. Han: The Nekrasov–Okounkov hook length formula: refinement, elementary proof and applications. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 60 No. 1 (2010), 1–29.
- [HLN19] B. Heim, F. Luca, M. Neuhauser: Recurrence relations for polynomials obtained by arithmetic functions. *International J. of Number Theory* 15 No. 6 (2019), 1291–1303.
- [HN20A] B. Heim, M. Neuhauser: On the reciprocal of Klein’s absolute  $j$ -invariant and sign changes. *Research in Number Theory* (2020) 6: 4 doi:10.1007/s40993-019-0179-5.
- [HN20B] B. Heim, M. Neuhauser: The Dedekind eta function and D’Arcais-type polynomials. *Res. Math. Sci.* 7: 3 doi:10.1007/s40687-019-0201-5.
- [HN20C] B. Heim, M. Neuhauser: Polynomials and reciprocals of Eisenstein series. *International J. of Number Theory* 10.1142/S1793042120400199.
- [HNT20] B. Heim, M. Neuhauser, R. Tröger: Zeros of recursively defined polynomials. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* 26 No. 4 (2020), 510–531 doi:10.1080/10236198.2020.1748022.
- [HNW18] B. Heim, M. Neuhauser, A. Weisse: Records on the vanishing of Fourier coefficients of powers of the Dedekind eta function. *Research in Number Theory* 4, Article number: 32 (2018) doi:10.1007/s40993-018-0125-y.
- [Ko04] B. Kostant: Powers of the Euler product and commutative subalgebras of a complex simple Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.* 158 (2004), 181–226.
- [KRY09] J. Kung, G. Rota, C. Yan: Combinatorics: the Rota Way. Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [Le47] D. Lehmer: The vanishing of Ramanujan’s  $\tau(n)$ . *Duke Math. J.* 14 (1947), 429–433.
- [Ma72] I. G. Macdonald: Affine root systems and Dedekind’s  $\eta$ -function. *Invent. Math.* 15 (1972), 91–143.
- [NO06] N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov: Seiberg–Witten theory and random partitions. *The unity of mathematics. Progr. Math.* 244 Birkhäuser Boston (2006), 525–596.
- [Ne55] M. Newman: An identity for the coefficients of certain modular forms. *J. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1955), 488–493.
- [On03] K. Ono: The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and  $q$ -series. Conference Board of Mathematical Sciences 102 (2003).
- [RS02] Q. I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser: Analytic Theory of Polynomials. Clarendon Press, Oxford (2002).
- [Se85] J. Serre: Sur la lacunarité des puissances de  $\eta$ . *Glasgow Math. J.* 27 (1985), 203–221.
- [We06] B. Westbury: Universal characters from the Macdonald identities. *Adv. Math.*, 202 (1) (2006), 50–63.
- [Wi06] H. S. Wilf: Generatingfunctionology. A. K. Peters, Wellesley, Massachusetts, third edition (2006).

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE, AND NATURAL SCIENCES, RWTH  
AACHEN UNIVERSITY, 52056 AACHEN, GERMANY

*Email address:* `bernhard.heim@rwth-aachen.de`

KUTAI SI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY (KIU), 5/7, YOUTH AVENUE, KUTAI SI, 4600, GEOR-  
GIA

*Email address:* `markus.neuhauser@kiu.edu.ge`