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#### Abstract

Master character of the multidimensional homogeneous Euler equation is discussed. It is shown that under restrictions to the lower dimensions certain subclasses of its solutions provide us with the solutions of various hydrodynamic type equations. Integrable one dimensional systems in terms of Riemann invariants and its extensions, multidimensional equations describing isoenthalpic and polytropic motions and shallow water type equations are among them.


## 1 Introduction

Homogeneous Euler equation (also called pressureless Euler equation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is one of the basic equations in the theories of fluids, gas and other media at $n=3$ (see e.g. [9, 8, 17]). In spite of the fact that it represents the most simplified version (no pressure, no viscosity etc. [9, 8]) of the full equations, it arises in number of studies in many branches of physics.

Euler equation (1.1) has the remarkable property to be solvable by the straightforward multidimensional extension of the classical hodograph equations method [2, 3]. This fact and reductions to lower number of dependent variables has been used to establish the interrelations between equations (1.1) and multi-dimensional Monge-Ampère equations and Bateman equations [3, 4, 5, 10.

In the present paper we will study the restrictions of the $n$-dimensional Euler type equation to the lower dimensional spaces $\mathbb{R}^{m}(m<n)$. We start with the slightly modified equation (1.1), namely, with the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{k}}{\alpha_{k}} \lambda_{k}(\underline{u}) \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\lambda_{k}(\underline{u})=\lambda_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ are arbitrary real-valued functions and $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}$ are arbitrary real constants. Solutions of the system (1.2) are provided by the hodograph equations
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} x_{i}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}(\underline{u}) t+f_{i}(\underline{u})=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $f_{k}(\underline{u})=f_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ are arbitrary real-valued functions (in the case $\lambda_{i}(\underline{u})=u_{i}$ see [2, 3]). Constants $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ are, obviously, transformable away except the cases when some of them vanish. Exactly such cases are related with restrictions of the system (1.2).

It is shown that restrictions on independent variables $x_{i}$, functions $f_{i}$ and parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ in (1.3) gives rise to the various hydrodynamical type systems in the spaces $\mathbb{R}^{m}(m \leq n)$. In particular, under the restriction $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n} \equiv x$ plus certain restrictions on $g_{i}$, the hodograph equations (1.3) provides us with the solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{i}(\underline{u}) \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the classical diagonalized one-dimensional system in terms of Riemann invariants solvable by Tsarev's generalized hodograph method [16, 1].

Under the restriction to the $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace given by $x_{n}=0$, with constraints on functions $f_{i}$, the hodograph equations (1.3) provide us with solutions of $(n-1)$-dimensional hydrodynamical type systems of certain interest. First example is given by equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{1.5}\\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

which describes the adiabatic and isoenthalpic motion where $v=T S$ and $T$ is temperature and $S$ is entropy. In the particular case $f_{i}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n$, this system describes the potential motion $\left(u_{i}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}\right)$. Second case describes the polytropic motion, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{1.6}\\
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\rho u_{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the density $\rho=u_{n}$ and the pressure $p=\rho^{\gamma}$. In this case the functions $f_{i}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}} i=1, \ldots, n$ and the function $W$ obeys a determinant type PDE.

Natural two and higher dimensional extensions of the system (1.4) are considered too.
It is noted that solutions of the systems (1.4)-(1.6) and others are obtained in our approach are given by subclasses of solutions of the original system (1.2) which are characterized by the specific choices of functions $f_{i}$ and restrictions on the coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Interrelations between infinite-dimensional Euler equation (1.2) and Burgers and Korteweg-de Vries equations is considered. We have also discussed the phenomenon of the gradient catastrophe for homogeneous Euler equation. It is shown that it first happens at a point on the $n$-dimensional hypersurface.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2hodograph equations for the generalized homogeneous Euler equations and its one-dimensional reductions are considered. The ( $n-1$ )-dimensional
reductions of the homogeneous Euler equations to the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional equations describing isoenthalpic motion and its potential version are studied in sections 3 and 4. Reduction describing polytropic motion is discussed 5. Reductions of the three-dimensional Euler equation are considered in section 6. Interrelation between infinite-dimensional Euler equation and Burgers and Kortevegde Vries equations is analyzed in section 7. In section 8 we discuss the gradient catastrophe for the homogeneous Euler equation.

## 2 Generalized homogeneous Euler equation and its onedimensional reductions

We start with hodograph equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} x_{i}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}(\underline{u}) t+f_{i}(\underline{u})=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}(\underline{u})$ and $f_{i}(\underline{u})$ are arbitrary real valued functions and $\alpha, \beta_{i}$ are arbitrary constants. The system (2.1) is an obvious extension of the hodograph equations considered in [2, 3, 4].

Differentiating (2.1) w.r.t. $x_{k}$ and $t$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} \delta_{i k}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial u_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad i, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial u_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=0, \quad i, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i} \equiv \alpha_{i} x_{i}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}(\underline{u}) t+f_{i}(\underline{u})$.
Relations (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k} \alpha_{k}, \quad i, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k} \beta_{k} \lambda_{k}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $A$ has elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l k}=\frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=-t \frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}+\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}, \quad l . k=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is assumed that $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0$.
Combining $(\sqrt{2.4})$ and $(\sqrt{2.2})$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{k}}{\alpha_{k}} \lambda_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{k}=u_{k}, \alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=1$ these calculations has been done in [2, 3, 4].
Relations (2.2) and (2.3) also imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n} A_{i l}\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{k}}{\alpha_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that functions $f_{i}(\underline{u})$ are arbitrary one in this construction. They are related to initial data at $t=0$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} x_{i}+f_{i}(\underline{u}(t=0))=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, hodograph equations (2.1) provide us with the general solutions of the system (2.7).
We would like to note that the system (2.7) and the original Euler equation (1.1), in fact, are equivalent. Indeed it is easy to see that if $u_{i}$ obey the system (2.7) then $\lambda_{k}$ obey the system (1.1) and viceversa $\left(u_{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{i}(\underline{u})\right.$ ). It is noted also that the systems (2.7) with different $\lambda_{k}(\underline{u})$ pairwise commute. So one has an infinite hierarchy of equations of the form (2.7).

Now let us consider the simplest reductions of the system (2.7) for which the matrix $A$ is diagonal one, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l k}=-t \frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}+\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=0, \quad l \neq k . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A way to satisfy this condition is to impose the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=0, \quad l \neq k . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the relations (2.2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=0, \quad l \neq k \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $n$-dimensional system (2.7) (with $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=1$ ) is decomposed into $n$ decoupled onedimensional Burgers-Hopf type equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{l}\left(u_{l}\right) \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{l}}=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Less trivial reduction with the diagonal matrix $A$ arises if one considers the restriction to the one-dimensional subspace given by the condition $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n} \equiv x$. In this reduction, the hodograph system (2.1) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\lambda_{i}(\underline{u}) t+f_{i}(\underline{u})=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{l}}}, \quad \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=\frac{\lambda_{l}}{\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{l}}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, one has the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{l}(\underline{u}) \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2.14) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{f_{i}-f_{l}}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{l}}, \quad i \neq l \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently the condition (2.10) is equivalent to the following one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}}{f_{l}-f_{k}}=\frac{\frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}}{\lambda_{l}-\lambda_{k}}, \quad l \neq k \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.16) represent the well known form of the one-dimensional multi-component hydrodynamic type systems in terms of Riemann invariants (see e.g. [9, 17]). Hodograph equation (2.14) and condition (2.18) are exactly those of the Tsarev generalized hodograph method [16, 1].

So, solutions of the homogeneous Euler equations (2.7), for which functions $f_{l}, l=1, \ldots, n$ in (2.1) are selected according to the condition (2.18), after the restriction to the one-dimensional subspace $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{n}$ become the solutions of the system (2.16).

It is noted that reduction of the homogeneous Euler equation to the system (2.16) arises also for other one-dimensional restrictions of the $n$-dimensional space $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, for instance, given by $x_{2}=x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. In these cases the characterizations of functions $f_{l}$ are quite different from (2.18).

## 3 ( $n-1$ )-dimensional reductions: Jordan system

Here we will consider reductions of the Euler system (2.1) with $\lambda_{k}(\underline{u})=u_{k}$ to the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional subspace defined by the restriction $x_{n}=0$. It is equivalent to require $\alpha_{n}=0$ in the hodograph equations (2.1). The relation (2.4) implies that $\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{n}}=0, l=1, \ldots, n$ under this restriction, however due to (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{n}}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n} \neq 0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this relation, one rewrites equation (2.7) as $\left(\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=1, k=1, \ldots, n-1, \beta_{n}=1\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}-u_{n}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n}=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{k}}-u_{n}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n n}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Under the requirements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n}=-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n n}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the system (3.2) assumes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}+u_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{l}} & =0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{k}} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of variables $u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $v=u_{n}^{2} / 2$, the system looks like

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{l}} & =0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}} & =0 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The system (3.6) represents the $(n-1)$-dimensional generalization of the one-dimensional $(n=2)$ Jordan system introduced in 6].

The system (3.6) at $n=4$ arises also in physics. Indeed, hydrodynamical equations describing adiabatic flow of an ideal fluid are of the form [8, 9]

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{3} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{l}} & =0, \quad l=1,2,3 \\
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{3} u_{k} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}} & =0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, $P$ stands for pressure and $S$ is the entropy. The variation of enthalpy $W$ is given by (see e.g. [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}}=T \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad i=1,2,3 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So for the isoenthalpic motion with constant temperature one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(T S), \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, consequently, one immediately concludes that the system (3.6) at $n=4$ and $v=-T S$ describes the adiabatic and isoenthalpic motion of a fluid at constant temperature.

Now let us analyze the conditions (3.3) and (3.4). The relation (2.4) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{l}}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the condition (3.3) is satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n l}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, equations (2.7) are reducible to (3.6) if the matrix $A_{l k}=\frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}$ obeys the constraints (3.11), (3.4) or, equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{l n}=\tilde{A}_{n l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
& \tilde{A}_{n n}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}$ is the matrix adjugate to $A$ (i.e. $\left.A \tilde{A}=\operatorname{det}(A) I_{n}\right)$.
Using the known formula for the adjugate matrix $\tilde{A}$, one can obtain more explicit form of the conditions (3.12). We instead will use an explicit form of the matrix $A^{-1}$. Indeed, since $A_{l k}=\frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k}=\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial g_{k}}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots n \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.13), one rewrites (3.12) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial g_{n}}=\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial g_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{3.14}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial g_{n}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions (3.14) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{l}} \phi\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right), \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
& u_{n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{n}} \phi\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ are arbitrary functions.
Consider now 1 -form $\left(x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right.$ and $t$ are fixed)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{l} \mathrm{~d} g_{l}=\mathrm{d} \phi+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right) \mathrm{d} g_{l} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and perform the Legendre transformation defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n} g_{l} \mathrm{~d} u_{l}=\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{l} g_{l}\right)-\Omega \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (3.16) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n} g_{l} \mathrm{~d} u_{l}=\mathrm{d} W-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right) \mathrm{d} g_{l} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{l} g_{l}\right)-\phi \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.18) rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(g_{l}-\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{l}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_{k} \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial u_{l}}\right) \mathrm{d} u_{l}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{l}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_{k} \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition for (3.21) (i.e. $\partial^{2} W / \partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}=\partial^{2} W / \partial u_{k} \partial u_{l}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial u_{m}}-\frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial u_{l}}+\sum_{k, i=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{k}}{\partial g_{i}}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{i}}{\partial g_{k}}\right) \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial u_{m}} \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial u_{l}}=0, \quad l, m=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly for $f_{l}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}=\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k} \frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{m}}-\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial u_{l}}+\sum_{k, i=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k}}{\partial g_{i}}-\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i}}{\partial g_{k}}\right) \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{m}} \frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial u_{l}}=0, \quad l, m=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{W}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{l}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right), l=1, \ldots, n-1$ are arbitrary functions.
Equations (3.21) and (3.22) or (3.23) and (3.24) plus the condition (3.4) characterise those solutions of the homogeneous Euler equations which are, at the same time, solutions of the ( $n-1$ )dimensional Jordan system (3.6) or the system (3.7). It is noted that in this case the class of solutions is parametrized by one arbitrary function $\tilde{W}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ (or $W$ ) on $n$ variables and ( $n-1$ ) functions $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{l}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)$ of $n-1$ variables.

## 4 Potential flows

The formulae presented in the previous section are simplified drastically in the particular case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{k}}{\partial g_{i}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{i}}{\partial g_{k}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{i}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial g_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is an arbitrary function. So $g_{l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{l}}(W-\psi)$. Equivalently without loose of generalities one can put directly $\mathcal{A}_{i} \equiv 0$. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the matrix $A$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l k}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n n}=\frac{\operatorname{det} B}{\operatorname{det} A} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix $B$ is the algebraic complement to the element $A_{n n}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{l k}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the condition (3.4) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(B)=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form (4.4) of the matrix $A$ leads to a constraint of the variables $u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Indeed, since the matrix $A$ (4.4) is symmetric one, then the matrix $A^{-1}$ is symmetric too. In such a case the relations (2.4) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{l}}, \quad k, l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is some function. Thus in this case the equations (3.6) or (3.7) describe the potential adiabatic isoenthalpic flows.

Due to (4.9) equations (3.6) are equivalent to the following (assuming that all constants of integration vanish)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{2}+v=0 \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The first equation (4.10) is well known in hydrodynamics, e.g. for the isoentropic potential motion (see [9], $\S 5,109$ ).

In our case the elimination of $v$ from the system (4.10) gives us the following equation for the velocity potential $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{k} \partial t}+\sum_{i, k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}}=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solutions of this equation provide us the solutions of the system (4.10) via $v=-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{2}$.
The system (4.10) can be viewed also as the Hamilton-Jacobi equation given by the first of equations (4.10) for the action $\phi$ with the time-dependent potential $v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} ; t\right)$ obeying the second equation (4.10). So the solutions of the system (4.10) provide us with a solvable ( $n-1$ )-dimensional system of classical mechanics.

In the one dimensional case $n=2$ the equation (4.11) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x \partial t}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{3}\right)=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is of parabolic type.
Solutions of the system (4.10) and equation (4.11) are provided by hodograph equations (2.1) with function $g_{l}$ obeying (4.3) and (4.6), (4.7).

One can obtain the corresponding expression also for the functions $f_{l}, l=1, \ldots, n$. Indeed since $g_{l}=x_{l}-u_{l} t+f_{l}$, the 1 -form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Omega}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} f_{l} \mathrm{~d} u_{l}=-\Omega^{*}-\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{l} u_{l}-\frac{t}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{l}^{2}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is closed due to the fact that $\Omega^{*}=\mathrm{d} W$. Consequently one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}=\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $\tilde{W}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. In terms of the function $\tilde{W}$ the condition (4.7) looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\tilde{B})=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}_{l k}=\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-t \delta_{l k}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the $(n-1)$-dimensional Jordan system (3.6) and the system (3.7) and (3.9), hodograph equations (2.1) $\left(\lambda_{i}=u_{i}\right)$ are the equations $\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}=0, i=1, \ldots, n$ defining the critical points of functions $W=W(\underline{u}, \underline{x}, t)$ of the form $\left(v=u_{n}^{2} / 2\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i} u_{i}-t\left(v+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i}^{2}\right)+\tilde{W}(\underline{u}, v) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with functions $\tilde{W}$ obeying the constraint (4.16) at the critical points. The potential Jordan system (3.6) describes the dynamics of the critical points of such functions $W$.

In other words, solutions of the potential Jordan system (3.6) or equations (3.7) and (3.9) are those solutions of the homogeneous Euler equation (2.7) which corresponds to the functions $f_{i}$ in (2.1) being the components of the gradients of functions $W$ of the form (4.18) with obeying the constraints (4.16).

The condition that $\operatorname{det}(\tilde{B})$ belongs to the ideal generated by the functions $\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n$ is the sufficient one to characterize the above subclasses of solutions. However, more explicit description of the class of the class of the functions $W$ would be, definitely, rather convenient.

For this purpose we first observe that at the critical point $t=\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial v}$. So the matrix $\tilde{B}$ can be equivalently rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}_{l k}=\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-\delta_{l k} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial v}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-\delta_{l k} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial v}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-\delta_{l k} \frac{\partial W}{\partial v}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

the condition (4.16) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-\delta_{l k} \frac{\partial W}{\partial v}\right)=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last step is to extend this condition outside the critical points and to consider (4.21) as the equation defining the function $W$ of the form (4.18). For such functions conditions (4.16) and (4.17) are automatically satisfied at the critical points. Note that the formula (4.18) and (4.21) are natural $(n-1)$-dimensional extensions of the corresponding formulae $\frac{\partial W}{\partial v}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{1}^{2}}$ for the one-dimensional Jordan system [6.

We note also that one gets the same system (3.6) considering the restrictions to the ( $n-$ 1)-dimensional subspaces defined by conditions $x_{i}=x_{k}$ with fixed $i$ and $k$. In these cases one has characterizations of the functions $f_{i}$ similar to those considered above.

Finally we note that the subclass of the hodograph equations (2.1) with $g_{i}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{1}}, i=1, \ldots, n$ and, hence, $\lambda_{i}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n$ where $W$ and $F$ are some functions give us solutions of the potential reduction $u_{i}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}, i=1, \ldots, n$ of the homogeneous Euler equation (2.7). In this case equation (2.7) is equivalent to the following $\left(\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=1, i=1, \ldots, n\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+F\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{n}}\right)=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 ( $n-1$ )-dimensional reductions: Polytropic gas

Now we will consider equations (3.2) with the constaints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n}=-u_{n}^{a} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n n}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is an arbitrary real number. Under these constraints equations (3.2) assume the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=-\frac{1}{a+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left(u_{n}^{a+2}\right), \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{5.3}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(u_{n} u_{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

For $a=-1$ this system represents the shallow water equation in $(n-1)$-dimension with $u_{n}$ being the fluid height $h$. For arbitrary $a$ it describes the polytropic motion with pressure $p=\frac{1}{a+3} \rho^{a+3}$ and the density $\rho=u_{n}$ (see e.g. [9, 17]).

The formula (2.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{l}}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{k k} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the constraint (5.1) and (5.2) are equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l n} & =u_{n}^{a}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n n} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{k k} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.13), one rewrites these constraints as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial g_{n}} & =u_{n}^{a} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial g_{l}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{l}} \frac{u_{n}^{a+1}}{a+1}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial g_{n}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial g_{k}} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The first condition (5.7) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{l} & =\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial g_{l}}+\mathcal{B}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right), \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\frac{1}{a+1} u_{n}^{a+1} & =\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial g_{n}} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)$ are arbitrary functions. On can find the corresponding formulae and constraints for $g_{l}$ in a way similar to that described in section 3. Here we will consider the simplest case $\mathcal{B}_{l}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right)=0, l=1, \ldots, n-1$. In this case one has

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{l} & =\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{5.9}\\
g_{n} & =u_{n}^{-a} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

for some function $W$.

So the matrix $A$ is of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & V_{1}  \tag{5.10}\\
V_{2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n}}\left(u_{n}^{-a} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{n}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ is a $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix with elements $\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}$, $V_{1}$ is a column with $(n-1)$ elements $\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{n}}, V_{2}$ is a row with $(n-1)$ elements $u_{n}^{-a} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{n} \partial u_{l}}$. Hence, the second condition (5.6) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} B-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{det} C_{k}=0, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}$ are algebraic complements of the elements $A_{k k}$.
So, the solutions of the system (5.3) describing polytropic motion are those solutions of the homogeneous $n$-dimensional Euler equation which correspond to the choice (5.9) of functions $g_{l}$ with $W$ obeying the constraint (5.11) on the manifold $g_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots n$.

Analogously to the previous section one can show that the functions $f_{l}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{l} & =\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1 \\
f_{n} & =u_{n}^{-a} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{n}} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for some function $\tilde{W}$. So the function $W$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i} u_{i}-t\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k}^{2}+\frac{1}{a+2} u_{n}^{a+2}\right)+\tilde{W} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{k} \partial u_{l}}=-t \delta_{l k}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{k} \partial u_{l}}, \quad l, k=1 \ldots, n-1 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=u_{n}^{-1-a} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{n}} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the condition (5.11) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-u_{n}^{-1-a} \delta_{l k} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{n}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{det} \tilde{C}_{k}=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{C}_{k}$ are principal minors of the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}_{l k}=\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-u_{n}^{-1-a} \delta_{l k} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{n}} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-u_{n}^{-1-a} \delta_{l k} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{n}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-u_{n}^{-1-a} \delta_{l k} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{n}}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, n-1, \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can extend the equation (5.16) outside the critical points $\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}=0$ to obtain the equation characterizing the function $W$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{l} \partial u_{k}}-u_{n}^{-1-a} \delta_{l k} \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{n}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{det} C_{k}=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}$ are principal minors of the matrix (5.17) with the substitution $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$.
In the simplest case $n=2$ all above formulae become rather compact. For arbitrary $a$ the function $W$ is of the form $\left(u_{1}=u, u_{2}=v\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=x u-t\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{a+2} v^{a+2}\right)+\tilde{W} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the equation (5.19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u^{2}}-v^{-a} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial v^{2}}+a v^{-1-a} \frac{\partial W}{\partial v}=0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a=-1$ this equation is quite similar to that associated with is isentropic motion of fluid (see e.g. [9], §105). In the one dimensional case the system (5.3) is diagonalizable to the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ \pm}}{\partial t}=\lambda_{ \pm} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ \pm}}{\partial x} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Riemann invariants $\Gamma_{ \pm}$and the characteristics velocities $\lambda_{ \pm}$given by [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{ \pm}=-\frac{a+2}{2} u \pm v^{\frac{a+2}{2}}, \quad \lambda_{ \pm}=-u \pm v^{\frac{a+2}{2}} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the Riemann invariants, the equation (5.21) becomes the classical Euler-PoissonDarboux equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma_{+}-\Gamma_{-}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u \partial v}=-\frac{a}{a+2}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Gamma_{+}}-\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Gamma_{-}}\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the classical shallow water equation $a=-1$ equation (5.24) coincides with that studied in 7].
We see that equations characterizing functions $W$ are nonlinear for multi-dimensional systems (3.6) and (5.3), in contrast to the one dimensional situation with the linear equation $\frac{\partial W}{\partial v}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{1}^{2}}$ and equation (5.24). Such situation seems to be typical in applications of the hodograph equation to multi-dimensional PDEs [11, [12], except, of course, the master homogeneous Euler equation (2.7).

We note also that one can study in a similar manner the dimensional reductions of the generalised equation (2.7) with arbitrary function $\lambda_{i}(\underline{u})$.

## 6 Reductions of the three-dimensional Euler equations

In this section we consider two particular examples of the Euler equation in three dimensions.
First, let us start with the two-dimensional restriction of the hodograph equation given by $\left(x_{1}=x_{2}=x, x_{3}=y\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x-\lambda_{1} t+f_{1}=0 \\
& x-\lambda_{2} t+f_{2}=0  \tag{6.1}\\
& y-\lambda_{3} t+f_{3}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiation of (6.1) w.r.t. $x, y$ and $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1}-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2}, \\
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial y}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 3},  \tag{6.2}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k} \lambda_{k}, \quad l=1,2,3 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining expressions (6.2), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{12} \\
& \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}  \tag{6.3}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial y}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Imposing the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{12}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{32}=0, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

one gets the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial y}=0  \tag{6.5}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial y}=0
\end{align*}
$$

which is two dimensional extension of the one-dimensional system for Riemann invariant $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.

Constraints (6.4) are equivalent to the following three equations for three functions $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{3}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{3}}=0  \tag{6.6}\\
& \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{2}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the functions $f_{i}$ one has the equations (6.6) with the substitution $\left(t=\left(f_{1}-f_{2}\right) /\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}=\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}-\frac{f_{1}-f_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}, \quad l, k=1,2,3 . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So any solution of the three-dimensional homogeneous Euler equation, constructed using the functions $f_{i}, i=1,2,3$ obeying equations (6.6), is a solution of the two-dimensional system (6.5).

We note that the system (6.5) does not reduce to the expression (2.16) in the naive one dimensional limit $x=y$. The reason is that the constraint (6.4) represent only the part of the constraint (2.10).

In order to recover this system we combine the expressions (6.2) into another system of equations (equivalent to (6.4)), namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x} & =\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{12}+\lambda_{3}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{13} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x} & =\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}+\lambda_{3}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{23}  \tag{6.8}\\
\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}+\lambda_{3} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x} & =\lambda_{1}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{31}+\lambda_{2}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, requiring that $x=y$ and $\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k}=0, l \neq k, l, k=1,2,3$, i.e. $A_{l k}=0, l \neq k$, one obtains the 3 -component system (2.16).

As second example we consider the one-dimensional reduction of the Euler equation with $\lambda_{k}=$ $u_{k}$ and $\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$ and $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=1, \beta_{3}=0$. So we start with the hodograph system

$$
\begin{align*}
x-u_{1} t+f_{1} & =0 \\
-t+f_{2} & =0  \tag{6.9}\\
f_{3} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=x_{1}, x_{2}=x_{3}=0$ and we redefine the function $f_{2} \rightarrow u_{2} f_{2}$. Differentiating (6.9) w.r.t. $x$ and $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1}, \quad l=1,2,3  \tag{6.10}\\
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1} u_{1}+\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently one has the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2}, \quad l=1,2,3 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we impose the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{12}=-\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}, \quad\left(A^{-1}\right)_{22}=-\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x}, \quad\left(A^{-1}\right)_{32}=0 \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the relation (6.10), these constraints are equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{12}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}, \quad\left(A^{-1}\right)_{22}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{31}, \quad\left(A^{-1}\right)_{32}=0 \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the explicit form of the $3 \times 3$ inverse of the matrix $A$, one obtains the following system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{3}}\left(\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\right) & =0 \\
\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{2}}+\frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{3}}\right) & =0  \tag{6.14}\\
\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{2}} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

Any solution of this system with the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial u_{l}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial u_{l}}-f_{2} \delta_{1 l}, \quad \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial u_{l}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial u_{l}}, \quad \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial u_{l}}=\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial u_{l}} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

provide us with the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ for which three dimensional homogeneous Euler equations is reducible to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x} & =0  \tag{6.16}\\
\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

which is the 3 -component one-dimensional Jordan system described in 6].
It is not difficult to show that the system (6.14) has a solution for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $W$ obeys the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial u_{1}^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{3}}=\frac{\partial^{3} W}{\partial u_{1}^{3}} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hodograph equations (6.9) represent the critical points equations $\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}=0, i=1,2,3$ for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=x u_{1}-t\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}\right)+\tilde{W}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right), \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obeys the equations (6.18).
Equations (6.18) and function $W$ (6.19) are exactly those given in the paper [6].

## 7 Infinite-dimensional Euler equation: reductions to Jordan chain, Burgers and Korteweg-de Vries equations

The above result on the 3 -component Jordan system can be extended to the $n$-component case. Indeed, let us consider the hodograph equations for arbitrary $n$ and $\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}=\cdots=\alpha_{n}=0$, $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=1, \beta_{3}=\cdots=\beta_{n}=0$, i.e. the equations $\left(x=x_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
x-u_{1} t+f_{1} & =0, \\
-t+f_{2} & =0,  \tag{7.1}\\
f_{m} & =0, \quad m=3, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

where, for convenience we redefine the function $f_{2} \rightarrow u_{2} f_{2}$. Relation (2.4) imply that $\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}=0$, $k=2,3, \ldots, n$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1} \\
& \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1} u_{1}+\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2} . \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (7.2), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing the constraint

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2} & =-\frac{\partial u_{l+1}}{\partial x}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{7.4}\\
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n 2} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

one obtains the $n$-components system

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{l+1}}{\partial x} & =0, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{7.5}\\
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} & =0,
\end{align*}
$$

that is the $n$-component Jordan system introduced in 6].
Since $\frac{\partial u_{l+1}}{\partial x}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l+1,1}, l=1, \ldots, n-1$, the constraints (7.4) are equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 2} & =\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l+1,1}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{n 2} & =0, \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{l 2}=\tilde{A}_{l+1,1}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
& \tilde{A}_{n 2}=0 \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the explicit expression for the elements of the adjugate matrix $\tilde{A}$, one rewrites the constraints (7.7) as the system of $n$ differential equations for the functions $g_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ or $f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. It is not difficult to show that this system has a solution for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}=\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{i}}, \quad f_{i}=\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial u_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $W$ and $\tilde{W}$ obey the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial u_{k}}=\frac{\partial^{k} W}{\partial u_{1}^{k}}, \quad k=2, \ldots, n \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=x u_{1}-t\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}\right)+\tilde{W}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that coincides with those formulae presented in [6]. It is noted that in this one-dimensional reduction the constraint (4.8) is absent.

Now, following [6] one can consider the system (7.5) in the formal limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and get the infinite Jordan chain which has been discussed in different contexts in [7, 14, 6. So the Jordan chain represent a particular reduction of the infinite-dimensional Homogeneous Euler equation.

In the paper [15] it was observed that the Jordan chain admits differential reductions to various integrable partial differential equations, for example, to the Burgers equation and Korteweg-de Vries equation. Indeed, if one imposes the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the first equation $(l=1)$ in (7.5) becomes the Burgers equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial u_{1}^{2}}=0 \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the other equations (7.5) with $l=2,3, \ldots$ represent themselves the recursive relations to define $u_{3}, u_{4}, \ldots$.

If one requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Jordan chain is reduced to the Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial u_{1}^{3}}=0 . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constraints (7.11) and (7.13) can be rewritten in terms of the elements $\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l k}$. Indeed, the differential consequence of (7.11), namely, $\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}\right)$ after the use of (2.4), assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial\left(A^{-1}\right)_{11}}{\partial u_{k}}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{k 1}=0 \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential consequence of (7.13) is equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{-1}\right)_{21}-\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{l}}\left(\frac{\partial\left(A^{-1}\right)_{k l}}{\partial u_{k}}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{k 1}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{l 1}=0 . \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though the constraint (7.15), (7.16) are rather cumbersome, one concludes that the solutions of the Burgers and Korteweg-de Vries equations represent particular subclasses of solutions of the infinite-dimensional homogeneous Euler equation.

## 8 Gradient catastrophe for the homogeneous Euler equations

All the results presented in the previous sections are valid under the assumption that $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0$. If instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}-t \frac{\partial \lambda_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}\right)=0, \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, according to (2.4), (2.5) solutions of the equation (2.7) and other equations exhibit the gradient catastrophe $\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}} \rightarrow \infty, \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us consider such a situation for the classical homogeneous Euler equation ( $\lambda_{k}=u_{k}, \alpha_{k}=$ $\beta_{k}=1$ ). In this case the equation (8.1) is simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}-t \delta_{l k}\right)=0 \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. to the characteristic polynomial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{k}(\underline{u}) t^{k}=0 \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the $n \times n$ matrix $\tilde{A}_{l k}=\frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial u_{k}}$. Due to (2.9) the functions $\underline{f}(\underline{u})$ are the local inverse of the initial values of $\underline{u}(t=0, \underline{x})$ and, consequently, coefficients $B_{k}$ depends on $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ only.

Thus, gradient catastrophe for the homogeneous Euler equation happens in general on the $n$-dimensional hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by the equation (8.3). If the polynomial (8.3) has no real roots then the gradient catastrophe does not happen for given initial data $u_{i}(t=0, x)$. Let us assume that equation (8.3) has at least one real root $t_{c}$. So the gradient catastrophe happens on the hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c}=\phi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(\underline{u})$ is a certain function constructed out from the (local) inverse of the $\underline{u}(t=0, \underline{x})$. Usually discussed first moment of appeareance of gradient catastrophe corresponds to the minimum value of $t_{c}$, i.e. to the situation when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial t_{c}}{\partial u_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} \phi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus a condition on the second derivatives (for generic catastrophes such condition is given by the classical condition on the Hessian of $\phi$ ).

For generic initial data the function $\phi$ is generic. Consequently $n$ equations (8.5) has generically a single solution $u_{1}^{c}, \ldots, u_{n}^{c}$.

Thus, generically, the gradient catastrophe for the homogeneous Euler equation first happens at the time

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c \min }=\phi\left(u_{1}^{c}, \ldots, u_{n}^{c}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the point $u_{1}^{c}, \ldots, u_{n}^{c}$ on the hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ (8.4). Then it expands on the whole hypersurface (8.4).

It is noted that for the first time such property of the gradient catastrophe for multi-dimensional equations has been observed in [11, 12].

In more detail the gradient catastrophes for the homogeneous Euler equation, related equations and its regularization will be considered in a separate paper.
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