arXiv:2101.05315v2 [math-ph] 17 Jan 2021

On stability of solid state in the Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton model

A. Komech
Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University
and Institute for Information Transmission Problems RAS
e-mail: alexander.komech@univie.ac.at

E. Kopylova
Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University
and Institute for Information Transmission Problems RAS
e-mail: elena.kopylova@univie.ac.at

Resume

We survey our recent results on stability of 3D crystals in the Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton model. We establish orbital stability for the ground state in the case of finite periodic crystal and linear stability in the case of infinite crystals under novel Jellium and Wiener conditions on the charge density of ions. The corresponding examples are given.

For the finite crystals, the electron field is described a) by one-particle Schrödinger equation, or b) by N-particle Schrödinger equation in the space of antisymmetric wave functions respecting the Pauli exclusion principle. The proofs rely on positivity of the Hessian of Hamiltonian functional in the directions orthogonal to the manifold of ground states, and the Pauli exclusion principle plays the key role in the proof of this positivity.

The problem of spatial periodicity of the ground states is reduced to a generalisation of Newton-Girard formulas, and examples of non-periodic ground states are constructed.

In the case of infinite crystals the proofs rely on our novel spectral theory of Hamiltonian operators, which is a special version of the Gohberg–Krein–Langer theory of selfadjoint operators in the Hilbert spaces with indefinite metric. We establish the existence of the ground states and the dispersive decay for the linearised dynamics.

Key words and phrases: crystal; ion; lattice; Schrödinger–Poisson equations; Newton equations; ground state; linearization; linear stability; orbital stability; dispersion relation; dispersive decay; analytic set; Hamiltonian equation; Hamiltonian structure; Hamiltonian operator; spectral resolution; selfadjoint operator; indefinite metric; spectral resolution; energy conservation; charge conservation; $U(1)$-invariance; Hessian; Fourier transform; Bloch transform; limiting absorption principle; discrete spectrum; singular spectrum.

AMS subject classification: 35L10, 34L25, 47A40, 81U05

Contents

0.1 Preface 5

I Orbital stability of finite crystals **7**

1 One-particle Schrödinger theory **11**

1.1 Introduction 11
1.2 Reduction to the integral equation 14
1.3 Global dynamics 16
1.4 Conservation laws 18
1.5 Jellium ground states 20
1.5.1 Description of all jellium ground states 20
1.5.2 Jellium and Wiener conditions. Examples 21
1.5.3 Non-periodic ground states 21
1.5.4 On the problem of periodicity 22
1.6 The orbital stability of the ground state 23
1.6.1 The Taylor expansion of the Hamiltonian functional 23
1.6.2 The null space of the energy Hessian 24
1.6.3 The positivity of the Hessian 25
1.6.4 The orbital stability 26

2 N-particle Schrödinger theory **29**

2.1 Introduction 29
2.2 Function spaces and integral equation 31
2.3 Main assumptions 32
2.4 Global dynamics 33
2.5 Conservation laws 36
2.6 Jellium fermionic ground states 38
2.6.1 Uniform electronic charge density 39
2.6.2 Description of all ground states 39
2.7 The orbital stability of the ground state 40
2.7.1 The Taylor expansion of energy functional 40
2.7.2 The null space of Hessian 41
2.7.3 The positivity of Hessian 42
2.7.4 The orbital stability 43

II Linear stability of infinite crystals **45**

3 On existence of ground states **49**
3.1 Introduction . . . 49
3.2 3D lattice . . . 52
3.2.1 Energy per cell . . . 52
3.2.2 Compactness of minimizing sequence . . . 53
3.2.3 Variation of the energy . . . 54
3.2.4 The variational identity . . . 55
3.2.5 The Schrödinger equation . . . 56
3.2.6 Smoothness of ground state . . . 56
3.3 2D lattice . . . 57
3.3.1 The energy per cell . . . 57
3.3.2 Compactness of minimizing sequence . . . 58
3.3.3 The Poisson equation . . . 60
3.3.4 Variation of the energy . . . 61
3.3.5 The variational identity . . . 62
3.3.6 The Schrödinger equation . . . 63
3.3.7 Smoothness of ground state . . . 64
3.4 1D lattice . . . 64
3.4.1 The existence of ground state . . . 64
3.4.2 The bound for the potential . . . 66

4 Linear Stability **67**
4.1 Introduction . . . 67
4.2 Linearized dynamics . . . 72
4.3 The Hamiltonian structure and the domain . . . 73
4.4 Generator in the Fourier–Bloch transform . . . 74
4.4.1 The discrete Fourier transform . . . 74
4.4.2 Generator in the discrete Fourier transform . . . 75
4.4.3 Generator in the Bloch transform . . . 75
4.5 The positivity of energy . . . 76
4.6 Weak solutions and linear stability . . . 79
4.6.1 Weak solutions . . . 79
4.6.2 Reduction to mild solution . . . 79
4.6.3 Reduction to selfadjoint generator . . . 80
4.6.4 Linear stability in the energy space . . . 81

5 Dispersive decay **83**
5.1 Introduction . . . 83
5.2 Dispersion relations . . . 86
5.3 Dispersion decay . . . 88
5.3.1 Discrete spectral component . . . 88
5.3.2 Continuous spectral component . . . 89
5.4 Spectral properties of the selfadjoint generator . . . 90

0.1 Preface

The first mathematical results on the stability of matter were obtained by Dyson and Lenard in [22, 23] where the energy bound from below was established. The thermodynamic limit for the Coulomb systems was studied first by Lebowitz and Lieb [48, 49], see the survey and further development in [58]. These results were extended by Catto, Le Bris, Lions and others to Thomas-Fermi and Hartree-Fock models [15, 16, 17]. Further results in this direction were established by Cancés, Lahbabi, Lewin, Sabin, Stoltz, and others [10, 13, 47, 51, 52]. All these results concern either the convergence of the ground state of finite particle systems in the thermodynamic limit or the existence of the ground state for infinite particle systems.

In the Hartree-Fock model, the crystal ground state was constructed for the first time by Catto, Le Bris, and Lions [16, 17]. For the Thomas-Fermi model, see [15].

In [13], Cancés and Stoltz have established the well-posedness for the dynamics of local perturbations of the crystal ground state in the *random phase approximation* for the reduced Hartree-Fock equations with the Coulomb pairwise interaction potential $w(x-y) = 1/|x-y|$. The space-periodic nuclear potential in the equation [13, (3)] does not depend on time that corresponds to the fixed nuclei positions. The nonlinear Hartree-Fock dynamics for crystals with the Coulomb potential and without the random phase approximation was not studied previously, see the discussion in [47] and in the introductions of [10, 13].

In [10], E. Cancès, S. Lahbabi, and M. Lewin have considered the random reduced HF model of crystal when the ions charge density and the electron density matrix are random processes, and the action of the lattice translations on the probability space is ergodic. The authors obtain suitable generalizations of the Hoffmann-Ostenhof and Lieb-Thirring inequalities for ergodic density matrices, and construct a random potential which is a solution to the Poisson equation with the corresponding stationary stochastic charge density. The main result is the coincidence of this model with the thermodynamic limit in the case of the short range Yukawa interaction.

In [51], Lewin and Sabin have established the well-posedness for the reduced von Neumann equation, describing the Fermi gas, with density matrices of infinite trace and pair-wise interaction potentials $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, the authors prove the asymptotic stability of translation-invariant stationary states for 2D Fermi gas [52].

Traditional *one-electron* Bethe–Bloch–Sommerfeld mathematical model of crystals reduces to the linear Schrödinger equation with a space-periodic static potential, which corresponds to the standing ions. The corresponding spectral theory is well developed, see [69] and the references therein. The scattering theory for short-range and long-range perturbations of such 'periodic operators' was constructed in [26, 27].

In [3], Anikin, Dobrokhotov and Katsnelson studied the semiclassical asymptotic approximation of the spectrum of the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with a potential periodic in x and increasing at infinity in y. The authors showed that the lower part of the spectrum has a band structure (where bands can overlap) and calculate their widths and dispersion relations between energy and quasimomenta. The key role in the obtained asymptotic approximation is played by librations, i.e., unstable periodic trajectories of the Hamiltonian system with an inverted potential. An effective numerical algorithm for computing the widths of bands was presented. An applications to quantum dimers was discussed.

However, the dynamical stability of crystals with *moving ions* was never considered previously. This stability is necessary for a rigorous analysis of fundamental quantum phenomena in the solid state physics: heat conductivity, electric conductivity, thermoelectronic emission, photoelectric effect, Compton effect, etc., see [8]. Here we survey our recent results on stability of 3D crystals in the Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton model.

In the present book, we establish orbital stability for the ground state in the case of finite periodic crystal and linear stability for infinite crystals under novel Jellium and Wiener conditions on the charge density of ions. The corresponding examples are given.

We establish orbital stability for the ground state in the case of finite periodic crystal and linear stability in the case of infinite crystals under novel Jellium and Wiener conditions on the charge density of ions. The corresponding examples are given.

For the finite crystals, the electron field is described a) by one-particle Schrödinger equation, or b) by N-particle Schrödinger equation in the space of antisymmetric wave functions respecting the Pauli exclusion principle. The proofs rely on positivity of the Hessian of Hamiltonian functional in the directions orthogonal to the manifold of ground states, and the Pauli exclusion principle plays the key role in the proof of this positivity (see Remark 2.7.2).

The problem of spatial periodicity of the ground states is reduced to a generalisation of Newton-Girard formulas, and examples of non-periodic ground states are constructed (see Sections 1.5.3 and 1.5.4).

In the case of infinite crystals the proofs rely on our novel spectral theory of Hamiltonian operators [36, 37], which is a special version of the Gohberg–Krein theory of selfadjoint operators in the Hilbert spaces with indefinite metric [30, 45, 46]. We establish the existence of the ground states and the well-posedness and dispersive decay for the linearised dynamics.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Herbert Spohn for helpful discussions and remarks.

The authors are indebted to the Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University, and the Institute for the Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences for providing congenial facilities for the work.

The work was supported in part by the Department of Mechanics and Mathematics of Moscow State University, and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (project nos. P28152 and P27492).

Alexander Komech and Elena Kopylova

Vienna, 15.01.2021

Part I

Orbital stability of finite crystals

In the first part of present book, we consider finite crystals under periodic boundary conditions with one ion per cell of a lattice.

The electron cloud is described by one-particle or N-particle Schrödinger equations while the ions are described as classical charged particles moving in an electrostatic potential created by the charge densities of electrons and ions.

We construct the global dynamics and prove the conservation of energy and charge. Our main result is the orbital stability of every ground state with periodic arrangement of ions under novel 'Jellium' and 'Wiener' conditions on the ion charge density.

The presentation mainly relies on our papers [41, 42] with suitable extensions.

Chapter 1

One-particle Schrödinger theory

Abstract

In this chapter we describe the electron cloud in the crystal by one-particle Schrödinger equation and moving ions. The ions are described as classical particles that corresponds to the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. The ions interact with the electron cloud via the scalar potential, which is a solution to the corresponding Poisson equation.

We construct global dynamics, prove the conservation of energy and charge, and give the description of all ground states. Our main result is the orbital stability of every ground state with periodic arrangement of ions under novel 'Jellium' and 'Wiener' conditions on the ion charge density (1.1.11) and (1.1.13).

Moreover, we construct examples of non-periodic ground states. In these examples the Wiener condition fails. This suggests that the periodicity should hold under the Wiener condition, but this is still an open problem.

The model with the one-particle Schrödinger equation does not respect the Pauli exclusion principle for electrons. In the next chapter we extend the orbital stability to the model with N-particle Schrödinger equation respecting the Pauli exclusion principle.

1.1 Introduction

We consider crystals which occupy the finite torus $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}^3/N\mathbb{Z}^3$ and have one ion per cell of the cubic lattice $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}^3/N\mathbb{Z}^3$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The cubic lattice is chosen for the simplicity of notations. We denote by $\sigma(x)$ the charge density of one ion,

$$\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{T}), \qquad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma(x)dx = eZ > 0, \tag{1.1.1}$$

where $e > 0$ is the *elementary charge*. Let $\psi(x,t)$ be the wave function of the electron field, $q(n,t)$ denotes the ion displacement from the reference position $n \in \Gamma$, and $\phi(x)$ be the electrostatic potential generated by the ions and electrons. We assume $\hbar = c = \mathrm{m} = 1$, where c is the speed of light and m is the electron mass. Then the considered coupled equations read

$$i\partial_t \psi(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi(x,t) - e\phi(x,t)\psi(x,t), \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{1.1.2}$$

$$-\Delta\phi(x,t) = \rho(x,t) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} \sigma(x-n-q(n,t)) - e|\psi(x,t)|^2, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{1.1.3}$$

$$M\ddot{q}(n,t) = -(\nabla\phi(x,t), \sigma(x-n-q(n,t))), \qquad n \in \Gamma. \tag{1.1.4}$$

Here the brackets $(\cdot,\cdot)$ stand for the scalar product on the real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and for its different extensions, and $M > 0$ is the mass of one ion. All derivatives here and below are understood in the sense of

distributions. Similar finite periodic approximations of crystals are treated in all textbooks on quantum theory of solid state [9, 34, 75]. However, the stability of ground states in this model was never discussed.

Obviously,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(x,t)dx = 0 \tag{1.1.5}$$

by the Poisson equation (1.1.3). Hence, the potential $\phi(x,t)$ can be eliminated from the system (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) using the operator $G := (-\Delta)^{-1}$, see (1.2.1) for a more precise definition. Substituting $\phi(\cdot,t) = G\rho(\cdot,t)$ into equations (1.1.2) and (1.1.4), we can write the system as

$$\dot{X}(t) = F(X(t)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1.6}$$

where $X(t) = (\psi(\cdot,t), q(\cdot,t), p(\cdot,t))$ with $p(\cdot,t) := \dot{q}(\cdot,t)$. The system (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) is equivalent, up to a gauge transform (see the next section), to equation (2.1.9) with the normalization

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = ZN^3, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1.7}$$

which follows from (1.1.5). If the integral (1.1.1) vanishes, we have $Z = 0$ and $\psi(x,t) \equiv 0$.

We will identify the complex functions $\psi(x)$ with two real functions $\psi_1(x) := \operatorname{Re}\psi(x)$ and $\psi_2(x) := \operatorname{Im}\psi(x)$. Now equation (1.1.6) is equivalent to the Hamiltonian system

$$\partial_t \psi_1(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\psi_2(x)}E, \;\; \partial_t \psi_2(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\psi_1(x)}E, \;\; \partial_t q(n,t) = \partial_{p(n)}E, \;\; \partial_t p(n,t) = -\partial_{q(n)}E \tag{1.1.8}$$

together with the normalisation condition (1.1.7). Here the Hamiltonian functional (energy) reads

$$E(\psi,q,p) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\nabla\psi(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}(\rho, G\rho) + \sum_{n\in\Gamma} \frac{p^2(n)}{2M}, \tag{1.1.9}$$

where $q := (q(n) : \;\; n \in \Gamma) \in [\mathbb{T}]^{\overline{N}}$, $p := (p(n) : \;\; n \in \Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ with $\overline{N} := N^3$, and

$$\rho(x) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} \sigma(x - n - q(n)) - e|\psi(x)|^2, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{1.1.10}$$

We prove the global well-posedness of the dynamics and the energy and charge conservations (1.2.13). Our main goal is the stability of ground states, i.e., solutions to (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) with minimal (zero) energy (1.1.9). We will consider only Γ-periodic ground states. Nonperiodic ground states exist for some degenerate densities σ, see Remark 1.1.3 ii) and Section 1.5.3.

We will see that Γ-periodic ground states can be stable depending on the choice of the ion density σ. We study special densities σ satisfying some conditions below. Namely, we will assume the following condition on the ion charge density,

$$\textbf{The Jellium Condition:} \quad \hat{\sigma}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i\xi x}\sigma(x)dx = 0, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_1^* \setminus 0, \tag{1.1.11}$$

where $\Gamma_1^* := 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$. This condition immediately implies that the periodized ion charge density is a positive constant everywhere on the torus:

$$\rho^i(x) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} \sigma(x-n) \equiv eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{1.1.12}$$

The simplest example of such a σ is a constant over the unit cell of a given lattice, which is what physicists usually call *Jellium* [29]. We give further examples in Section 1.5.2. Here we study this model in the rigorous context of the Schrödinger-Poisson equations.

Furthermore, we will assume a spectral property of the Wiener type

$$\textbf{The Wiener Condition:}\quad \Sigma(\theta) := \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \Big[\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}|\hat\sigma(\xi)|^2\Big]_{\xi=\theta+2\pi m} > 0, \qquad \theta\in\Pi_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*, \tag{1.1.13}$$

where the Brillouin zone Π_N^* is defined by

$$\Pi_N^* := \{\xi=(\xi^1,\xi^2,\xi^3)\in\Gamma_N^* : 0\le\xi^j\le 2\pi,\ \ j=1,2,3\},\quad \Gamma_N^* := \frac{2\pi}{N}\mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{1.1.14}$$

This condition is an analog of the Fermi Golden Rule for crystals. It is independent of (1.1.11). We have introduced conditions of type (1.1.11) and (1.1.13) for the first time in [40] in the framework of infinite crystals.

Remark 1.1.1. i) The series (1.1.13) converges for $\theta\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*$ by the Parseval identity since $\sigma\in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by (1.1.1).
ii) The matrix $\Sigma(\theta)$ is Γ_1^*-periodic outside Γ_1^*. Thus, (1.1.13) means that $\Sigma(\theta)$ is a positive matrix for $\theta\in\Pi_N^*\setminus 0$, where Π_N^* is the 'discrete Brillouin zone' Γ_N^*/Γ_1^*.

The series (1.1.13) is a nonnegative matrix. Hence, the Wiener condition holds 'generically'.

Example 1.1.2. (1.1.13) holds if

$$\hat\sigma(\xi)\ne 0, \qquad \xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*, \tag{1.1.15}$$

i.e., (1.1.11) are the only zeros of $\hat\sigma(\xi)$.

However, (1.1.13) does not hold for the simplest Jellium model, when σ is constant on the unit cell, see (1.5.5) and (1.5.6).

The energy (1.1.9) is nonnegative, and its minimum is zero. We show in Lemma 1.5.1 that under Jellium condition (1.1.11) all Γ-periodic ground states are zero energy stationary solutions of the form

$$S_{\alpha,r} = (\psi^\alpha,\overline{r},0), \qquad \alpha\in[0,2\pi],\quad r\in\mathbb{T}, \tag{1.1.16}$$

where $\psi^\alpha(x)\equiv e^{i\alpha}\sqrt{Z}$ and $\overline{r}\in[\mathbb{T}]^{\overline{N}}$ is defined by

$$\overline{r}(n) = r, \qquad n\in\Gamma. \tag{1.1.17}$$

The corresponding electronic charge density reads

$$\rho^e(x) := -e|\psi^\alpha(x)|^2\equiv -eZ, \qquad x\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{1.1.18}$$

Hence, the corresponding total charge density (1.1.10) identically vanishes by (1.1.12). Let us emphasize that both ionic and electronic charge densities are uniform for the ground state under the Jellium condition.

Our main result (Theorem 1.6.7) is the stability of the real 4-dimensional 'solitary manifold'

$$\mathscr{S} = \{S_{\alpha,r}:\ \alpha\in[0,2\pi],\ r\in\mathbb{T}\}. \tag{1.1.19}$$

The stability means that any solution $X(t)=(\psi(\cdot,t),q(\cdot,t),p(\cdot,t))$ to (1.1.6) with initial data, lying in the vicinity of the manifold $\mathscr{S}$, is close to it uniformly in time. This is the 'orbital stability' in the sense of [31], since the manifold $\mathscr{S}=S^1\times\mathbb{T}\times\{0\}$ is the orbit of the symmetry group $U(1)\times\mathbb{T}$. Obviously,

$$E(S) = 0, \qquad S\in\mathscr{S}. \tag{1.1.20}$$

Let us comment on our approach. We prove the local well-posedness for the system (1.1.8) by the contraction mapping principle. The global well-posedness for the equation (1.1.6) and the charge and energy

conservation follow by the Galerkin approximations and the uniqueness of solutions. We need the charge conservation to return back from (1.1.8) to the system (1.1.2)–(1.1.4).

The orbital stability of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$ is deduced from the lower energy estimate

$$E(X) \geq \nu\, d^2(X,\mathscr{S}) \qquad \text{if} \qquad d(X,\mathscr{S}) \leq \delta, \quad X \in \mathscr{M}, \tag{1.1.21}$$

where $\mathscr{M}$ is the manifold defined by the normalization (1.1.7) (see Definition 1.6.4); $\nu, \delta > 0$ and 'd' is the distance in the 'energy norm'. This estimate obviously implies the stability of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$. We deduce (1.1.21) from the positivity of the Hessian $E''(S)$ for $S \in \mathscr{S}$ in the orthogonal directions to $\mathscr{S}$ on the manifold $\mathscr{M}$. The Jellium and Wiener conditions are sufficient for this positivity. We expect that these conditions are also necessary; however, this is still an open problem. Anyway, the positivity can break down when these conditions fail. We have shown this in [40, Lemma 10.1] in the context of infinite crystals, however the proof extends directly to the finite crystals.

Remarks 1.1.3. i) In the case of infinite crystal, corresponding to $N = \infty$, the orbital stability seems impossible. Namely, for $N = \infty$ the estimates (1.3.6), (1.6.37) and (1.6.40) break down, as well as the estimate of type (1.1.21) which is due to the discrete spectrum of the energy Hessian $E''(S)$ on the compact torus.

ii) We show that the identity of type (1.1.12) holds for a wide set of arrangements of ions which are not Γ_1-periodic, if σ satisfy additional spectral conditions. The corresponding examples are given, but in all our examples the Wiener condition breaks down. We suppose that the Wiener condition provides the periodicity (1.1.17), however this is a challenging open problem, see Section 1.5.4. We prove the orbital stability only for Γ_1-periodic ground states.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we eliminate the potential and reduce the dynamics to the integral equation. In Sections 3 we prove the well-posedness. In Section 4 we prove the stability of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$ establishing the lower estimate for the energy. In Appendices we prove the conservation of the energy and charge, describe all ground states and give some examples.

1.2 Reduction to the integral equation

The operator $G := (-\Delta)^{-1}$ is well defined in the Fourier series:

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_N^*} \hat{\rho}(\xi) e^{i\xi x}, \qquad G\rho := \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_N^* \setminus 0} \frac{\hat{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi^2} e^{i\xi x}. \tag{1.2.1}$$

The Poisson equation (1.1.3) implies that $\hat{\rho}(0,t) = \int \rho(x,t)\,dx = 0$, which is equivalent to (1.1.7). Hence, $\phi(\cdot,t) = G\rho(\cdot,t)$ up to an additive constant $C(t)$ which can be compensated by a gauge transform

$$\psi(x,t) \mapsto \psi(x,t) \exp(-ie \int_0^t C(s)ds). \tag{1.2.2}$$

The system (1.1.8) can be written as

$$\dot{X}(t) = JE'(X(t)), \qquad X(t) := (\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t), q(t), p(t)), \tag{1.2.3}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2.4}$$

For $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ denote

$$(\psi, \varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi(\overline{x}) \cdot \varphi(\overline{x}) d\overline{x}, \tag{1.2.5}$$

where $\cdot$ is the inner product of the corresponding vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In particular,

$$(1, i) = 0. \tag{1.2.6}$$

Definition 1.2.1. *i) Denote the real Hilbert spaces*

$$\mathscr{X} := L^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}, \qquad \mathscr{W} := H^1(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}. \tag{1.2.7}$$

ii) $\mathscr{V} := H^1(\mathbb{T}) \times [\mathbb{T}]^{\overline{N}} \times \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ *is the Hilbert manifold endowed with the metric*

$$d_{\mathscr{V}}(X, X') := \|\psi - \psi'\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + |q - q'| + |p - p'|, \qquad X = (\psi, q, p), \quad X' = (\psi', q', p') \tag{1.2.8}$$

and with the 'quasinorm'

$$|X|_{\mathscr{V}} := \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + |p|, \qquad X = (\psi, q, p). \tag{1.2.9}$$

The linear space $\mathscr{W}$ is isomorphic to the tangent space to the Hilbert manifold $\mathscr{V}$ at each point $X \in \mathscr{V}$. Denote by the brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in $\mathscr{X}$:

$$\langle Y, Y' \rangle := (\varphi, \varphi') + \varkappa\varkappa' + \pi\pi', \qquad Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, \pi), \quad Y' = (\varphi', \varkappa', \pi'). \tag{1.2.10}$$

The total charge of electrons is defined (up to a factor) by

$$Q(X) := \int |\psi(x)|^2 dx, \qquad X = (\psi, q, p) \in \mathscr{X}. \tag{1.2.11}$$

Obviously,

$$|X|^2_{\mathscr{V}} \le C[E(X) + Q(X)], \qquad X \in \mathscr{V}, \tag{1.2.12}$$

The system (1.2.3) is a nonlinear finite-dimensional perturbation of the free Schrödinger equation. We will prove that a solution $X \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$ exists and is unique for any initial state $X(0) \in \mathscr{V}$, and the energy and the electronic charge are conserved,

$$E(X(t)) = E(X(0)), \quad Q(X(t)) = Q(X(0)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2.13}$$

The energy (1.1.9) and the charge are well defined and continuous on $\mathscr{V}$ in the metric $d_{\mathscr{V}}$ by the estimate (1.3.14) below. The charge conservation holds by the Noether theory [4, 31, 35] due to the $U(1)$-invariance of the Hamiltonian functional:

$$E(e^{i\alpha}\psi, q, p) = E(\psi, q, p), \qquad (\psi, q, p) \in \mathscr{V}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2.14}$$

We rewrite the system (1.2.3) in the integral form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \psi(t) & = & e^{-iH_0 t}\psi(0) + ie\int_0^t e^{-iH_0(t-s)}[\phi(s)\psi(s)]ds, \\ q(n,t) & = & q(n,0) + \frac{1}{M}\int_0^t p(n,s)ds \mod N\mathbb{Z}^3, \\ p(n,t) & = & p(n,0) - \int_0^t (\nabla\phi(s), \sigma(\cdot - n - q(n,s)))ds, \end{array} \right| \tag{1.2.15}$$

where $H_0 := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ and $\phi(s) := G\rho(s)$. In the vector form (1.2.15) reads

$$X(t) = e^{-At}X(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)}N(X(s))ds, \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} iH_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2.16}$$

where

$$N(X) = (ie\phi\psi\,, p,\ f), \qquad f(n) := -(\nabla\phi, \sigma(\cdot - n - q(n))), \qquad \phi := G\rho, \tag{1.2.17}$$

and ρ, $G\rho$ are defined by (1.1.10) and (1.2.1) respectively.

1.3 Global dynamics

In this section we prove the well-posedness of the dynamics.

Theorem 1.3.1. *(Global well-posedness). Let (1.1.1) hold and $X(0) \in \mathscr{V}$. Then*

i) Equation (1.2.3) admits a unique solution $X \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$, and the maps $U(t) : X(0) \mapsto X(t)$ are continuous in $\mathscr{V}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

ii) The conservation laws (1.2.13) hold.

iii) X is the solution to (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) if

$$Q(X(0)) = Z\overline{N}^3. \tag{1.3.1}$$

First, let us prove the local well-posedness.

Proposition 1.3.2. *(Local well-posedness). Let (1.1.1) hold and $|X(0)|_{\mathscr{V}} \le R$. Then there exists $\tau = \tau(R) > 0$ such that equation (1.2.3) has a unique solution $X \in C([-\tau, \tau], \mathscr{V})$, and the maps $U(t) : X(0) \mapsto X(t)$ are continuous in $\mathscr{V}$ for $t \in [-\tau, \tau]$.*

In the next two lemmas we prove the boundedness and the local Lipschitz continuity of the nonlinearity $N : \mathscr{V} \to \mathscr{W}$. With this proviso Proposition 1.3.2 follows from the integral form (1.2.16) of the equation (1.2.3) by the contraction mapping principle, since e^{-At} is an isometry of $\mathscr{W}$.

Lemma 1.3.3. *For any $R > 0$ and $|X|_{\mathscr{V}} \le R$*

$$\|N(X)\|_{\mathscr{W}} \le C(R) \tag{1.3.2}$$

Proof. First, we estimate the potential $\phi(\cdot, t) := G\rho(\cdot, t)$. Namely, we split $\rho(x,t)$ as

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho^i(x,t) + \rho^e(x,t), \tag{1.3.3}$$

where ρ^i and ρ^e are the ion and electron charge densities respectively,

$$\rho^i(x,t) = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} \sigma(x - n - q(n,t)), \qquad \rho^e(x,t) = -e|\psi(x,t)|^2.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the second formula (1.2.1), we obtain that

$$\|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \le C\|\hat{\rho}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_N^*)} = C\|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C(\|\rho^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + e\|\psi\|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{T})}) \le C_1(1 + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}) \tag{1.3.4}$$

since $H^1(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^6(\mathbb{T})$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. On the other hand, the Hölder inequality implies that

$$\|\nabla\rho^e\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \le e\|\nabla|\psi|^2\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \le C_1\|\psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T})}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C_2\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{1.3.5}$$

Therefore, we get by the Hausdorff-Young and the Hölder inequalities [32]

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\|\widehat{\nabla\phi}\|_{L^{3/2}(\Gamma_N^*)} \le C_1\|\xi\hat{\rho}\|_{L^3(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_N^* \setminus 0} |\xi|^{-6}\Big]^{1/3} \le C_2\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\le C_2(\|\nabla\rho^i\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla\rho^e\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})}) \le C_3(1 + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.6}$$

Now (1.3.4) and (1.3.6) imply by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\le \|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1 + \|\psi\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}) \\ \|\nabla\psi\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\le \|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1 + \|\psi\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}) \\ \|\psi\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\|\psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T})} \cdot \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} \le C_1(1 + \|\psi\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.7}$$

Hence,

$$\|\phi\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}). \tag{1.3.8}$$

Finally, (1.3.6) and (1.1.1) imply that

$$|f(n)| \le \|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}), \qquad n\in\Gamma. \tag{1.3.9}$$

At last, (1.3.2) holds by (1.3.8) and (1.3.9). □

It remains to prove that the nonlinearity is locally Lipschitz.

Lemma 1.3.4. *For any $R>0$ and $X_1, X_2 \in \mathscr{V}$*

$$\|N(X_1)-N(X_2)\|_{\mathscr{W}} \le C'(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2) \qquad \text{if} \quad |X_1|_{\mathscr{V}}, |X_2|_{\mathscr{V}} \le R. \tag{1.3.10}$$

Proof. Writing $X_k = (\psi_k, q_k, p_k)$ and $\phi_k = G\rho_k$, we obtain that

$$\|\phi_1\psi_1 - \phi_2\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le \|(\phi_1-\phi_2)\psi_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\phi_2(\psi_1-\psi_2)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{1.3.11}$$

Similarly to (1.3.4) - (1.3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_2(\psi_1-\psi_2)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} &\le \|\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla\phi_2\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\le C(1+R^2)\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.12}$$

Further, similarly to (1.3.5),

$$\|\nabla(\rho_1^e-\rho_2^e)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \le C\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}[\|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}]. \tag{1.3.13}$$

Moreover, $|\sigma(x)-\sigma(x-a)| \le C|a|$, where $|a| := \min_{r\in a}|r|$ for $a\in\mathbb{T}$. Hence, similarly to (1.3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi_1-\phi_2)\psi_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le \|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla(\phi_1-\phi_2)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi_1\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\le CR\Big[\|\rho_1^i-\rho_2^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\rho_1^e-\rho_2^e\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla(\rho_1^i-\rho_2^i)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla(\rho_1^e-\rho_2^e)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})}\Big] \\ &\le C_1R(|q_1-q_2| + R\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}) \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now (1.3.11) and (1.3.12) give

$$\|\phi_1\psi_1 - \phi_2\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2). \tag{1.3.14}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\nabla\phi_1, \sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n))) - (\nabla\phi_2, \sigma(\cdot-n-q_2(n)))| \\ &\le |(\nabla\phi_1-\nabla\phi_2, \sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n)))| + |(\nabla\phi_2, \sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n)) - \sigma(\cdot-n-q_2(n)))| \\ &\le C(\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} + \|\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}|q_1-q_2| \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.15}$$

This estimate and (1.3.14) imply (1.3.10). □

Now Proposition 1.3.2 follows from Lemmas 1.3.3 and 1.3.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.1. The local solution $X\in C([-\tau,\tau],\mathscr{V})$ of (1.2.3) exists and is unique by Proposition 1.3.2. On the other hand, the conservation laws (1.2.13) (proved in Proposition 1.4.2 iii)) together with (1.2.12) imply a priori bound

$$|X(t)|^2_{\mathscr{V}} \le C[E(X(0)) + Q(X(0))], \qquad t\in[-\tau,\tau]. \tag{1.3.16}$$

Hence, the local solution admits an extension to the global one $X\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$. Further, the normalisation (1.3.1) implies that $Q(X(t)) = Z\overline{N}^3$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ by the charge conservation (1.2.13). Hence, (1.2.3) implies (1.1.2)–(1.1.4). □

1.4 Conservation laws

We deduce the conservation laws (1.2.13) by the Galerkin approximations [60].

Definition 1.4.1. *i)$\mathscr{V}_m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes finite dimensional submanifold of $\mathscr{V}$ formed by*

$$(\sum_{k\in\Gamma_N^*(m)} C_k e^{ikx}, q, p), \qquad q \in \mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}. \tag{1.4.1}$$

where $\Gamma_N^*(m) := \{k \in \Gamma_N^* : k^2 \le m\}$.

ii) $\mathscr{W}_m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the finite dimensional linear subspace of $\mathscr{W}$ spanned by

$$(\sum_{k\in\Gamma_N^*(m)} C_k e^{ikx}, \varkappa, v), \qquad \varkappa \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}. \tag{1.4.2}$$

Obviously, $\mathscr{V}_1 \subset \mathscr{V}_2 \subset ...$, the union $\cup_m \mathscr{V}_m$ is dense in $\mathscr{V}$, and $\mathscr{W}_m$ are invariant with respect to A and J. Let us denote by P_m the orthogonal projector $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{W}_m$. This projector is also orthogonal in $\mathscr{W}$. Let us approximate the system (1.2.3) by the finite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems on the manifold $\mathscr{V}_m$,

$$\dot{X}_m(t) = JE_m'(X_m(t)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4.3}$$

where $E_m := E|_{\mathscr{V}_m}$ and $X_m(t) = (\psi_m(t), q_m(t), p_m(t)) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V}_m)$. The equation (1.4.3) can be also written as

$$\langle \dot{X}_m(t), Y\rangle = -\langle E'(X_m(t)), JY\rangle, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_m. \tag{1.4.4}$$

This form of the equation (1.4.3) holds since $E_m := E|_{\mathscr{V}_m}$ and $\mathscr{W}_m$ is invariant with respect to J. Equivalently,

$$\dot{X}_m(t) = -AX_m(t) + P_m N(X_m(t)). \tag{1.4.5}$$

The Hamiltonian form guarantees the energy and charge conservation (1.2.13):

$$E(X_m(t)) = E(X_m(0)), \quad Q(X_m(t)) = Q(X_m(0)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4.6}$$

Indeed, the energy conservation holds by the Hamiltonian form (1.4.3), while the charge conservation holds by the Noether theory [4, 31, 35] due to the $U(1)$-invariance of E_m, see (1.2.14).

The equation (1.4.5) admits a unique local solution for every initial state $X_m(0) \in \mathscr{V}_m$ since the right hand side is locally bounded and Lipschitz continuous. The global solutions exist by (1.2.12) and the energy and charge conservation (1.4.6).

Finally, we take any $X(0) \in \mathscr{V}$ and choose a sequence

$$X_m(0) \to X(0), \qquad m \to \infty, \tag{1.4.7}$$

where the convergence holds in the metric of $\mathscr{V}$. Therefore,

$$E(X_m(0)) \to E(X(0)), \qquad Q(X_m(0)) \to Q(X(0)). \tag{1.4.8}$$

Hence, (1.4.6) and (1.2.12) imply the basic uniform bound

$$R := \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |X_m(t)|_{\mathscr{V}} < \infty. \tag{1.4.9}$$

Therefore, (1.4.5) and Lemma 1.3.3 imply the second basic uniform bound

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|\dot{X}_m(t)\|_{\mathscr{W}^{-1}} < C(R), \tag{1.4.10}$$

since the operator $A : \mathscr{W} \to \mathscr{W}^{-1}$ is bounded, and the projector P_m is also a bounded operator in $\mathscr{W} \subset \mathscr{W}^{-1}$. Hence, the Galerkin approximations $X_m(t)$ are uniformly Lipschitz-continuous with values in $\mathscr{V}^{-1}$:

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} d_{\mathscr{V}^{-1}}(X_m(t),X_m(s)) \le C(R)|t-s|, \qquad s,t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4.11}$$

Let us show that the uniform estimates (1.4.9) and (1.4.11) provide a compactness of the Galerkin approximations and the conservation laws. Let us recall that $\mathscr{X} := \mathscr{V}^0$ and $\mathscr{V} := \mathscr{V}^1$.

Proposition 1.4.2. *Let (1.1.1) hold and $X(0) \in \mathscr{V}$. Then*

i) There exists a subsequence $m' \to \infty$ such that

$$X_{m'}(t) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{X}} X(t), \qquad m' \to \infty, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4.12}$$

where $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{X})$.

ii) Every limit function $X(\cdot)$ is a solution to (1.2.16), and $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$.

iii) The conservation laws (1.2.13) hold.

Proof. i) The convergence (1.4.12) follows from (1.4.9) and (1.4.10) by the Dubinsky 'theorem on three spaces' [20] (Theorem 5.1 of [60]). Namely, the embedding $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{X}$ is compact by the Sobolev theorem [1], and hence, (1.4.12) holds by (1.4.9) for $t \in D$, where D is a countable dense set. Finally, let us use the interpolation inequality and (1.4.9), (1.4.11): for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$d_{\mathscr{X}}(X_m(t),X_m(s)) \le \varepsilon d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_m(t),X_m(s)) + C(\varepsilon) d_{\mathscr{V}^{-1}}(X_m(t),X_m(s)) \le 2\varepsilon R + C(\varepsilon,R)|t-s|. \tag{1.4.13}$$

This inequality implies the equicontinuity of the Galerkin approximations with the values in $\mathscr{X}$. Hence, convergence (1.4.12) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ since it holds for the dense set of $t \in D$. The same equicontinuity also implies the continuity of the limit function $X \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{X})$.

ii) Integrating equation (1.4.5), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \langle \dot{X}_m(t), Y\rangle\, ds = -\int_0^t \langle X_m(s), AY\rangle\, ds + \int_0^t \langle N(X_m(s)), Y\rangle\, ds, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_m, \tag{1.4.14}$$

Below we will write m instead of m'. To prove (1.2.16) it suffices to check that in the limit $m \to \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^t \langle \dot{X}(t), Y\rangle\, ds = -\int_0^t \langle X(s), AY\rangle\, ds + \int_0^t \langle N(X(s)), Y\rangle\, ds, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.4.15}$$

The convergence of the left hand side and of the first term on the right hand side of (1.4.14) follow from (1.4.12) and (1.4.7) since $AY \in \mathscr{W}_m$.

It remains to consider the last integral of (1.4.14). The integrand is uniformly bounded by (1.4.9) and Lemma 1.3.3. Hence, it suffices to check the pointwise convergence

$$\langle N(X_m(t), Y\rangle \longrightarrow \langle N(X(t), Y\rangle, \quad m \to \infty, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_n \tag{1.4.16}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $N(X_m(t)) = (ie\phi_m(t)\psi_m(t), p_m(t), f_m(t))$ according to the notations (1.2.17), and $Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, v) \in \mathscr{W}_n$. Hence, (1.4.16) reads

$$ie(\phi_m(t)\psi_m(t),\varphi) + p_m(t)\varkappa + f_m(t)v \to ie(\phi(t)\psi(t),\varphi) + p(t)\varkappa + f(t)v, \quad m \to \infty. \tag{1.4.17}$$

The convergence of $p_m(s)\varkappa$ follows from (1.4.12) (with $m' = m$) . To prove the convergence of two remaining terms, we first show that

$$\phi_m(t) := G\rho_m \xrightarrow{C(\mathbb{T})} \phi(t) := G\rho, \quad m \to \infty. \tag{1.4.18}$$

Indeed, (1.4.12) implies that

$$\psi_m(t) \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \psi(t), \qquad q_m(t) \to q(t), \quad m \to \infty. \tag{1.4.19}$$

The sequence $\psi_m(t)$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ by (1.4.9). Hence, $\psi(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ and the sequence $\rho_m(t)$ is bounded in the Sobolev space $W^{1,3/2}(\mathbb{T})$ by (1.3.5). Therefore, the sequence $\rho_m(t)$ is precompact in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the Sobolev compactness theorem. Hence,

$$\rho_m \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \rho, \quad m \to \infty \tag{1.4.20}$$

by (1.4.19). Therefore, (1.4.18) holds since the operator $G : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to C(\mathbb{T})$ is continuous. From (1.4.18) and (1.4.19) it follows that

$$\phi_m(t)\psi_m(t) \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \phi(t)\psi(t), \quad f_m(t) \to f(t), \quad m \to \infty, \tag{1.4.21}$$

which proves (1.4.17). Now (1.4.15) is proved for $Y \in \mathscr{V}_n$ with any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $X(t)$ is a solution to (1.2.3). Finally, $\|N(X(\cdot))\|_{\mathscr{W}}$ is a bounded function by (1.4.9) and Lemma 1.3.3. Hence, (1.2.16) implies that $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$.

iii) The conservation laws (1.4.6) and the convergences (1.4.7), (1.4.12) imply that

$$E(X(t)) \le E(X(0)), \quad Q(X(t)) \le Q(X(0)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4.22}$$

The last inequality holds by the first convergence of (1.4.19). The first inequality follows from the representation

$$E(X_m(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\psi_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{G}\rho_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{n\in\Gamma_n} \frac{p_m^2(n,t)}{2M}. \tag{1.4.23}$$

Namely, the last two terms on the right hand side converge by (1.4.20) and (1.4.12). Moreover, the first term is bounded by (1.4.9). Hence, the first convergence of (1.4.19) implies the weak convergence

$$\nabla\psi_m(t) \xrightarrow{L^2_w(\mathbb{T})} \nabla\psi(t) \tag{1.4.24}$$

by the Banach theorem. Now the first inequality of (1.4.22) follows by the property of the weak convergence in the Hilbert space. Finally, the opposite inequalities to (1.4.22) are also true by the uniqueness of solutions $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$, which is proved in Proposition 1.3.2. □

1.5 Jellium ground states

We describe all solutions to (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) with minimal energy (1.1.9), give some examples of ion densities illustrating the Jellium and the Wiener conditions, and show the existence of non-periodic ground states.

1.5.1 Description of all jellium ground states

The following lemma gives the description of all ground states of the system (1.1.2)–(1.1.4).

Lemma 1.5.1. *Let the Jellium condition (1.1.11) hold. Then all solutions to (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) of minimal (zero) energy are* $(e^{i\alpha}\sqrt{Z}, q^*, 0)$ *with* $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ *and* $q^* \in \mathbb{T}^N$ *satisfying the identity*

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma} \sigma(x - q^*(n)) \equiv eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{1.5.1}$$

Proof. First, let us note that the Γ-periodic solutions (1.1.16) have the zero energy, and the identity (1.5.1) holds for $q^* = \overline{r}$ by (1.1.12).

Further, for any solution with zero energy (1.1.9) all summands on the right hand side of (1.1.9) vanish. The first integral vanishes only for constant functions. Hence, the normalization condition (1.1.7) gives

$$\psi(x,t) \equiv \psi_{\alpha(t)}(x) \equiv e^{i\alpha(t)}\sqrt{Z}, \qquad \alpha(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.5.2}$$

Then

$$\rho^e(x,t) := -e|\psi_{\alpha(t)}(x)|^2 \equiv -eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T},\ t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.5.3}$$

similarly to (1.1.18). Further, the second summand of (1.1.9) vanishes only for $\rho(x,t) \equiv 0$. Hence, $\rho^i(x,t) \equiv eZ$ that is equivalent to (1.5.1) with $q(n,t)$ instead of $q^*(n)$ by (1.5.3). However, $M\partial_t q(n,t) = p(n,t) \equiv 0$ for the zero energy (1.1.9). Hence,

$$q(n,t) \equiv q^*(n), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.5.4}$$

where q^* satisfies (1.5.1). Moreover, the Poisson equation (1.1.3) with $\rho(x,t) \equiv 0$ implies that $\phi(x,t) \equiv 0$ after a gauge transformation (1.2.2). Hence, finally, substituting (1.5.2) into (1.1.2) with $\phi(x,t) \equiv 0$, we obtain that $\alpha(t) \equiv \text{const}$. □

This lemma implies that all Γ-periodic ground states are given by (1.1.16).

1.5.2 Jellium and Wiener conditions. Examples

The Wiener condition (1.1.13) for the ground states (1.1.16) holds under the generic assumption (1.1.15). On the other hand, (1.1.13) does not hold for the simplest Jellium model, when $\sigma(x)$ is the characteristic function

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma_1(x) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} eZ, & x \in \Pi \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \Pi \end{array} \right|, \tag{1.5.5}$$

where $\Pi := [-1/2, 1/2]^3$. Indeed, in this case the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\sigma}_1(\xi) = eZ\hat{\chi}_1(\xi_1)\hat{\chi}_1(\xi_2)\hat{\chi}_1(\xi_3); \qquad \hat{\chi}_1(s) = \frac{2\sin s/2}{s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0, \tag{1.5.6}$$

where $\chi_1(s)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[-1/2, 1/2]$. In this case we have for $\theta = (0, \theta_2, \theta_3)$,

$$\Sigma(\theta) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : m_1 = 0} \left[\frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2} |\hat{\sigma}(\xi)|^2 \right]_{\xi = \theta + 2\pi m}, \tag{1.5.7}$$

which is a degenerate matrix since $\xi_1 = 0$ in each summand. Hence, (1.1.13) fails. Similarly, the Wiener condition fails for $\sigma_k(x) = eZ\chi_k(x_1)\chi_k(x_2)\chi_k(x_3)$ where $\chi_k = \chi_1 * ... * \chi_1$ (k times) with $k = 2, 3, ...$, since in this case

$$\hat{\sigma}_k(\xi) = eZ\hat{\chi}_k(\xi_1)\hat{\chi}_k(\xi_2)\hat{\chi}_k(\xi_3); \qquad \hat{\chi}_k(s) = \left[\frac{2\sin s/2}{s} \right]^k, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0. \tag{1.5.8}$$

1.5.3 Non-periodic ground states

It is easy to construct ground states which are not Γ_1-periodic in the case of characteristic function (1.5.5). Namely, the identity (1.5.1) obviously holds for periodic arrangement of ions (1.1.17). Now let us modify this periodic arrangement as follows:

$$q^*(n) = (r_1, r_2, r_3 + \tau(n_1, n_2)), \qquad n \in \Gamma, \tag{1.5.9}$$

where $\tau(n_1, n_2)$ is an arbitrary point of the circle $\mathbb{R}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Now (1.5.1) obviously holds for any arrangement of ions (1.5.9).

Next lemma gives a more general spectral assumptions on σ which provide ground states with non-periodic ion arrangements. For example, let us assume that

$$\sigma(\xi)=0, \quad \xi_3\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}\setminus 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma(\xi_1,\xi_2,0)=0, \quad (\xi_1,\xi_2)\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus 0. \tag{1.5.10}$$

In particular, this holds for the densities (1.5.8) with all $k=1,...$

Lemma 1.5.2. *Let σ satisfy the spectral condition (1.5.10). Then there exist ground states which are not Γ_1-periodic.*

Proof. In the Fourier transform (1.5.1) reads

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i\xi x}\sum_{n\in\Gamma}\sigma(x-n-q^*(n))dx=\hat{\sigma}(\xi)\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi(n+q^*(n))}=\begin{cases} eZ\overline{N}, & \xi=0\\ 0, & \xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0.\end{cases} \tag{1.5.11}$$

These identities hold for any density σ satisfying (1.1.11) if

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi(n+q^*(n))}=0, \qquad \xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*. \tag{1.5.12}$$

In particular, $q^*=\overline{r}$ satisfies the system (1.5.12) since then

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi(n+q^*(n))}=\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi(n+r)}=e^{i\xi r}\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi n}=0, \qquad \xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*. \tag{1.5.13}$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi n}=\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i(\xi_1n_1+\xi_2n_2+\xi_3n_3)}=\sum_{n_1=0}^{N-1}e^{i\xi_1n_1}\sum_{n_2=0}^{N-1}e^{i\xi_2n_2}\sum_{n_3=0}^{N-1}e^{i\xi_3n_3}=0 \tag{1.5.14}$$

since at least one $\xi_k\notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for $\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*$. Now we modify $\overline{r}$ as follows:

$$q^*(n):=(a_1(n_1,n_2)),a_2(n_1,n_2),r_3), \qquad n\in\Gamma, \tag{1.5.15}$$

where $a_1(n_1,n_2))$ and $a_2(n_1,n_2)$ are *arbitrary points* of the circle $\mathbb{R}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Obviously, $q^*(n)$ can be non-periodic in n_1 and n_2.

On the other hand, (1.5.11) holds. Indeed, for $\xi_3\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ this follows from (1.5.10), while for $\xi_3\notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma}e^{i\xi(n+q^*(n))}=\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{N-1}e^{i(\xi_1(n_1+a_1(n_1,n_2)+\xi_2(n_2+a_2(n_1,n_2)}\sum_{n_3=0}^{N-1}e^{i\xi_3n_3}=0. \tag{1.5.16}$$

□

1.5.4 On the problem of periodicity

In the non-periodic examples above the Wiener condition (1.1.13) breaks down for the case $\theta_3=0$ by (1.5.10). We suppose that the Wiener condition provides only periodic ground states, however this is an open problem. For densities σ satisfying a more strong condition (1.1.15), the identity (1.5.1) is equivalent to the system (1.5.12) by (1.5.11). The system (1.5.12) can be written as an 'algebraic system'

$$\sum_{n\in\Gamma}w_1^{m_1}(n)w_2^{m_2}(n)w_3^{m_3}(n)=0, \qquad m=(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{Z}^3\setminus N\mathbb{Z}^3 \tag{1.5.17}$$

for

$$w_j(n_1,n_2,n_3):=e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}[n_j+q_j^*(n)]}, \qquad n\in\Gamma, \quad j=1,2,3. \tag{1.5.18}$$

The Γ-periodicity of q^* is equivalent to the fact that only solutions are

$$w_j(n_1,n_2,n_3) = C_j\lambda^{n_j}, \qquad j=1,2,3, \tag{1.5.19}$$

where $\lambda := e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$.

Remark 1.5.3. For the corresponding 1D analog

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{N-1} w_1^{m_1}(n_1) = 0, \qquad m=1,...,N-1, \tag{1.5.20}$$

the only solutions are $w_1(n_1) := C_1\lambda^{n_1}$ that follows easily from the Newton-Girard formulas guessed by Girard in 1629 and rediscovered (without a proof) by Newton in 1666. The formulas were proved by Euler in 1747, see [24, 74].

1.6 The orbital stability of the ground state

In this section we expand the energy into the Taylor series and prove the orbital stability checking the positivity of the energy Hessian.

1.6.1 The Taylor expansion of the Hamiltonian functional

We will deduce the lower estimate (1.1.21) using the Taylor expansion of $E(S+Y)$ for $S = S_{\alpha,r} := (\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0) \in \mathscr{S}$ and $Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, \pi) \in \mathscr{W}$:

$$E(S+Y) = E(S) + \langle E'(S), Y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle + R(S,Y) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle + R(S,Y) \tag{1.6.1}$$

since $E(S)=0$ and $E'(S)=0$. First, we expand the charge density (1.1.10) corresponding to $S+Y = (\psi^\alpha + \varphi, \overline{r}+\varkappa, \pi)$:

$$\rho(x) = \rho^{(0)}(x) + \rho^{(1)}(x) + \rho^{(2)}(x), \qquad x\in\mathbb{T}, \tag{1.6.2}$$

where $\rho^{(0)}$ and $\rho^{(1)}$ are respectively the terms of zero and first order in Y, while $\rho^{(2)}$ is the remainder. However, $\rho^{(0)}(x)$ is the total charge density of the ground state which is identically zero by (1.1.12) and (1.1.18):

$$\rho^{(0)}(x) = \rho_0^i(x) - e|\psi^\alpha(x)|^2 \equiv 0, \qquad x\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{1.6.3}$$

Thus, $\rho = \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}$. Expanding (1.1.10), we obtain

$$\rho^{(1)}(x) = \sigma^{(1)}(x) - 2e\psi^\alpha(x)\cdot\varphi(x), \quad \sigma^{(1)}(x) = -\sum_{n\in\Gamma}\varkappa(n)\cdot\nabla\sigma(x-n-r), \tag{1.6.4}$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(x) = \sigma^{(2)}(x) - e|\varphi(x)|^2, \ \ \sigma^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\in\Gamma}\int_0^1 (1-s)[\varkappa(n)\cdot\nabla]^2\sigma(x-n-r-s\varkappa(n))ds, \tag{1.6.5}$$

where $\cdot$ means the inner product of real vectors, and in particular, $\psi^\alpha(x)\cdot\varphi(x)$ is the inner product of the corresponding vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Substituting $\psi = \psi^\alpha + \varphi$ and $\rho = \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}$ into (1.1.9), we obtain that the quadratic part of (1.6.1) reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla\varphi(x)|^2dx + \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{(1)}, G\rho^{(1)}) + K(\pi), \qquad K(\pi) := \sum_n \frac{\pi^2(n)}{2M} \tag{1.6.6}$$

and the remainder equals

$$R(S,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(2\rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}, G\rho^{(2)}). \tag{1.6.7}$$

1.6.2 The null space of the energy Hessian

In this section we calculate the null space

$$\mathscr{K}(S) := \operatorname{Ker} E''(S)\Big|_{\mathscr{W}}, \qquad S \in \mathscr{S} \tag{1.6.8}$$

under the Wiener condition.

Lemma 1.6.1. *Let the Jellium and the Wiener conditions (1.1.11), (1.1.13) hold and $S \in \mathscr{S}$. Then*

$$\mathscr{K}(S) = \{(C, \overline{s}, 0) : \ C \in \mathbb{C}, \ s \in \mathbb{R}^3\}, \tag{1.6.9}$$

where $\overline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ is defined similarly to (1.1.17): $\overline{s}(n) \equiv s$.

Proof. All summands of the energy (1.6.6) are nonnegative. Hence, this expression is zero if and only if all the summands vanish:

$$\varphi(x) \equiv C, \quad (\rho^{(1)}, G\rho^{(1)}) = \|\sqrt{G}[\sigma^{(1)} - 2e\psi^\alpha \cdot \varphi]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = 0, \quad \pi = 0. \tag{1.6.10}$$

Note that $\sqrt{G}\psi^\alpha \cdot \varphi = \sqrt{G}\psi^\alpha \cdot C = 0$ since the operator G annihilates the constant functions by (1.2.1). Hence, (1.6.10) implies that

$$\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)} = 0. \tag{1.6.11}$$

On the other hand, (1.6.4) gives in the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}(\xi) = \hat{\sigma}(\xi)\xi \cdot \sum_{n\in\Gamma} ie^{i\xi(n+r)}\varkappa(n) = i\hat{\sigma}(\xi)\xi \cdot e^{i\xi r}\hat{\varkappa}(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \Gamma_N^*, \tag{1.6.12}$$

where $\hat{\varkappa}(\xi) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} ie^{i\xi n}\varkappa(n)$ is a $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$-periodic function on Γ_N^*. Hence, definition (1.2.1) and the Jellium condition (1.1.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= N^{-3} \sum_{\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} |\hat{\sigma}(\xi)\frac{\xi\hat{\varkappa}(\xi)}{|\xi|}|^2 \\ &= N^{-3} \sum_{\theta\in\Pi_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} \langle \hat{\varkappa}(\theta), \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \Big[\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}|\hat{\sigma}(\xi)|^2\Big]_{\xi=\theta+2\pi m}\hat{\varkappa}(\theta)\rangle \\ &= N^{-3} \sum_{\theta\in\Pi_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} \langle \hat{\varkappa}(\theta), \Sigma(\theta)\hat{\varkappa}(\theta)\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6.13}$$

As a result,

$$\hat{\varkappa}(\theta) = 0, \qquad \theta \in \Pi_N^* \setminus \Gamma_1^* \tag{1.6.14}$$

by the Wiener condition (1.1.13). On the other hand, $\hat{\varkappa}(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ remains arbitrary. Respectively, $\varkappa = \overline{s}$ with an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{R}^3$. □

Remark 1.6.2. The key point of the proof is the explicit calculation (1.6.12) in the Fourier transform. This calculation relies on the invariance of the Hessian $E''(S)$ with respect to Γ-translations which is due to the periodicity of the ions arrangement of the ground state.

Remark 1.6.3. *(Beyond the Wiener condition.)* If the Wiener condition (1.1.13) fails, the dimension of the space

$$V := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} : \ v(n) = \sum_{\theta\in\Pi_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} e^{-i\theta n}\hat{v}(\theta), \qquad \hat{v}(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \ \Sigma(\theta)\hat{v}(\theta) \equiv 0\} \tag{1.6.15}$$

is positive. The above calculations show that in this case

$$\mathscr{K}(S) = \{(C, \overline{s}+v, 0) : \ C \in \mathbb{C}, \ s \in \mathbb{T}, \ v \in V\}. \tag{1.6.16}$$

The subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ is orthogonal to the $3D$ subspace $\{\overline{s} : s \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ by the Parseval theorem. Hence, $\dim\mathscr{K}(S) = 5 + d$, where $d := \dim V > 0$. Thus, $\dim\mathscr{K}(S) > 5$. Under the Wiener condition $V = 0$, and (1.6.16) coincides with (1.6.9).

1.6.3 The positivity of the Hessian

Denote by $N_S\mathscr{S}$ the normal subspace to $\mathscr{S}$ at a point S:

$$N_S\mathscr{S} := \{Y \in \mathscr{W} : \langle Y, \tau\rangle = 0, \ \ \tau \in T_S\mathscr{S}\}, \tag{1.6.17}$$

where $T_S\mathscr{S}$ is the tangent space to $\mathscr{S}$ at the point S and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the scalar product (1.2.10).

Definition 1.6.4. *Denote by $\mathscr{M}$ the Hilbert manifold*

$$\mathscr{M} := \{X \in \mathscr{V} : Q(X) = ZN^3\}. \tag{1.6.18}$$

Obviously, $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{M}$, and a tangent space to $\mathscr{M}$ at a point $S = (\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0)$ is given by

$$T_S\mathscr{M} = \{(\varphi, \varkappa, \pi) \in \mathscr{W} : \varphi \perp \psi^\alpha\}, \tag{1.6.19}$$

since $DQ(\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0) = (\psi^\alpha, 0, 0)$.

Lemma 1.6.5. *Let the Jellium condition (1.1.11) hold and $S = S_{\alpha,r} \in \mathscr{S}$. Then the Wiener condition (1.1.13) is necessary and sufficient for the positivity of the Hessian $E''(S)$ in the orthogonal directions to $\mathscr{S}$ on $\mathscr{M}$, i.e.,*

$$E''(S)\Big|_{N_S\mathscr{S}\cap T_S\mathscr{M}} > 0. \tag{1.6.20}$$

Proof. i) Sufficiency. Differentiating $S_{\alpha,r} = (e^{i\alpha}\psi_0, \overline{r}, 0) \in \mathscr{S}$ in the parameters $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $r \in \mathbb{T}$, we obtain

$$T_S\mathscr{S} = \{(iC\psi^\alpha, \overline{s}, 0) : \ \ C \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ s \in \mathbb{R}^3\}. \tag{1.6.21}$$

Hence, (1.6.9) implies that

$$K(S) := \mathscr{K}(S) \cap N_S\mathscr{S} = \{(C\psi^\alpha, 0, 0) : \ \ C \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{1.6.22}$$

by (1.2.6) and (1.2.10). Therefore,

$$\mathscr{K}(S) \cap N_S\mathscr{S} \cap T_S\mathscr{M} = K(S) \cap T_S\mathscr{M} = (0, 0, 0), \tag{1.6.23}$$

since the vector $(\psi^\alpha, 0, 0)$ is orthogonal to $T_S\mathscr{M}$ by (1.6.19). Now (1.6.20) follows since $E''(S) \geq 0$ by (1.6.6).

ii) Necessity. If the Wiener condition (1.1.13) fails, the null space $\mathscr{K}(S)$ is given by (1.6.16). Hence, (1.6.21) implies that now

$$K(S) = \{(C\psi^\alpha, v, 0) : \ \ C \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ v \in V\}. \tag{1.6.24}$$

However, $(\psi^\alpha, \psi^\alpha) > 0$. Hence, (1.6.19) implies that $(\psi^\alpha, v, 0) \notin T_S\mathscr{M}$ and the intersection

$$K(S) \cap T_S\mathscr{M} = \{0, v, 0) : \ \ v \in V\} \tag{1.6.25}$$

is the nontrivial subspace of the dimension $d > 0$. Thus, the Hessian $E''(S)$ vanishes on this nontrivial subspace of $N_S\mathscr{S} \cap T_S\mathscr{M}$. □

Remark 1.6.6. The positivity of type (1.6.20) breaks down for the submanifold $\mathscr{S}(r) := \{S_{\alpha,r} : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ with a fixed $r \in \mathbb{T}$ instead of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$. Indeed, in this case the corresponding tangent space is smaller,

$$T_S\mathscr{S}(r) = \{(iC\psi^\alpha, 0, 0) : \ \ C \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{1.6.26}$$

and hence, the normal subspace $N_S\mathscr{S}(r)$ is larger, containing all vectors $(0, \overline{s}, 0)$ generating the shifts of the torus. However, all these vectors also belong to the null space (1.6.9) and to $T_S\mathscr{M}$. Respectively, the null space of the Hessian $E''(S)$ in $T_S\mathscr{M} \cap N_S\mathscr{S}(r)$ is 3-dimensional.

1.6.4 The orbital stability

Here we prove our main result.

Theorem 1.6.7. *Let the conditions (1.1.11), (1.1.13) and (1.1.1) hold, and $\mathscr{S}$ is the solitary manifold (1.1.19). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $X(0) \in \mathscr{M}$ with $d_{\mathscr{V}}(X(0), \mathscr{S}) < \delta$ we have*

$$d_{\mathscr{V}}(X(t), \mathscr{S}) < \varepsilon, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.6.27}$$

for the corresponding solution $X(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$ to (1.1.2)–(1.1.4).

For the proof is suffices to check the lower energy estimate (1.1.21):

$$E(X) \geq \nu\, d^2_{\mathscr{V}}(X, \mathscr{S}) \quad \text{if} \quad d_{\mathscr{V}}(X, \mathscr{S}) \leq \delta, \quad X \in \mathscr{M} \tag{1.6.28}$$

with some $\nu, \delta > 0$. This estimate implies Theorem 1.6.7, since the energy is conserved along all trajectories. First, we prove similar lower bound for the energy Hessian.

Lemma 1.6.8. *Let all conditions of Theorem 1.6.7 hold. Then for each $S \in \mathscr{S}$*

$$\langle Y, E''(S)Y \rangle > \nu \|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{W}}, \qquad Y \in N_S\mathscr{S} \cap T_S\mathscr{M}, \tag{1.6.29}$$

where $\nu > 0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove (1.6.29) for $S = (\psi_0, 0, 0)$. Note that $E''(S)$ is not complex linear due to the integral in (1.1.9). Hence, we express the action of $E''(S)$ in $\varphi_1(x) := \operatorname{Re}\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi_1(x) := \operatorname{Im}\varphi(x)$: formulas (1.6.4) and (1.6.6) imply that

$$E''(S)Y = \begin{pmatrix} 2H_0 + 4e^2\psi_0 G\psi_0 & 0 & 2L & 0 \\ 0 & 2H_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2L^* & 0 & T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \end{pmatrix} Y \qquad \text{for} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varkappa \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.6.30}$$

where $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, the operator L corresponds to the matrix

$$L(x,n) := e\psi_0(x) G \nabla\sigma(x-n): \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ n \in \Gamma, \tag{1.6.31}$$

and T corresponds to the real matrix with the entries

$$T(n-n') := -\langle G\nabla \otimes \nabla\sigma(x-n'), \sigma(x-n)\rangle, \quad n, n' \in \Gamma. \tag{1.6.32}$$

Thus, $E''(S)$ is the selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{X}$ with the discrete spectrum, and (1.6.20) implies that the minimal eigenvalue of $E''(S)$ in the invariant space $N_S\mathscr{S} \cap T_S\mathscr{M}$ is positive. Therefore, (1.6.29) follows. □

The positivity (1.6.29) implies the lower energy estimate (1.6.28), since the higher-order terms in (1.6.1) are negligible by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6.9. *Let $\sigma(x)$ satisfy (1.1.1). Then the remainder (1.6.7) admits the estimate*

$$|R(S,Y)| \leq C\|Y\|^3_{\mathscr{W}} \quad \text{for} \quad \|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}} \leq 1. \tag{1.6.33}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the estimates

$$\|\sqrt{G}\rho^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C_1\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}, \quad \|\sqrt{G}\rho^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C_2\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{W}} \quad \text{for} \quad \|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}} \le 1. \tag{1.6.34}$$

Then (1.6.33) will follow from (1.6.7).

i) By (1.6.4) we have for $Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, \pi)$

$$\sqrt{G}\rho^{(1)} = \sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)} - 2e\sqrt{G}\psi^{\alpha}(x)\cdot\varphi(x). \tag{1.6.35}$$

The operator $\sqrt{G}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the definition (1.2.1). Hence,

$$\|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C|\varkappa| \tag{1.6.36}$$

by (1.6.4). Applying to the second term the Cauchy-Schwarz and Hausdorff-Young inequalities, we obtain

$$\|\sqrt{G}\psi^{\alpha}(x)\cdot\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*}\frac{|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^2}\Big]^{1/2} \le C\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L^4(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*}|\xi|^{-4}\Big]^{1/2} \le C\|\varphi\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{1.6.37}$$

Hence, the first inequality (1.6.34) is proved.

ii) Now we prove the second inequality (1.6.34). By (1.6.5) we have for $Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, \pi)$

$$\sqrt{G}\rho^{(2)}(x) = \sqrt{G}\sigma^{(2)}(x) - e\sqrt{G}|\varphi(x)|^2. \tag{1.6.38}$$

Similarly to (1.6.36)

$$\|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le C|\varkappa|^2. \tag{1.6.39}$$

Finally, denoting $\beta(x) := |\varphi(x)|^2$, we obtain similarly to (1.6.37)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{G}|\varphi(x)|^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*}\frac{|\hat{\beta}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^2}\Big]^{1/2} \le C\|\hat{\beta}\|_{L^4(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*}|\xi|^{-4}\Big]^{1/2}\\ &\le C_1\|\beta\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T})} = C_1\|\varphi\|^2_{L^{8/3}(\mathbb{T})} \le C_2\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}\end{aligned} \tag{1.6.40}$$

by the Sobolev embedding theorem [1]. Now the lemma is proved. □

Chapter 2

N-particle Schrödinger theory

Abstract

In this chapter, we consider the coupled Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton equations for finite crystals with moving ions and antisymmetric N-particle wave functions. This antisymmetry expresses the Pauli exclusion principle. The dynamics of electron field is described by the many-particle Schrödinger equation.

We construct global dynamics, prove the conservation of energy and charge, and give the description of all ground states. Our main result is the orbital stability of every ground state with periodic arrangement of ions under the same 'Jellium' and Wiener-type conditions on the ion charge density (1.1.11), (1.1.13). The Pauli exclusion principle plays the key role in the proof of stability, see Remark 2.7.2.

2.1 Introduction

As in Chapter I, we consider crystals which occupy the finite torus $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}^3/N\mathbb{Z}^3$ and have one ion per cell of the cubic lattice $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}^3/N\mathbb{Z}^3$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N > 1$. We also assume the conditions (1.1.1). Now we denote

$$\overline{\mathbb{T}} := \mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}} := \{\overline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{\overline{N}}) : x_j \in \mathbb{T}, \quad j = 1, ..., \overline{N}\}, \qquad \overline{N} := N^3. \tag{2.1.1}$$

Definition 2.1.1. *$\mathscr{F}$ is the 'fermionic' Hilbert space of complex antisymmetric functions $\psi(x_1, ..., x_{\overline{N}})$ on $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ with the norm*

$$\|\psi\|^2_{\mathscr{F}} := \|\nabla^{\otimes}\psi\|^2_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} + \|\psi\|^2_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})}, \tag{2.1.2}$$

where $\nabla^{\otimes}$ denotes the gradient with respect to $\overline{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}$.

Let $\psi(\cdot, t) \in \mathscr{F}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be the antisymmetric wave function of the fermionic electron field, $q(n,t)$ denotes the ion displacement from the reference position $n \in \Gamma$, and $\phi(x,t)$ be the electrostatic potential generated by the ions and electrons. We assume $\hbar = c = \mathrm{m} = 1$, where c is the speed of light and m is the electron mass. Let us denote the 'second quantized' operators on $\mathscr{F}$,

$$\Delta^{\otimes} := \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} \Delta_{x_j}; \qquad \phi^{\otimes}(\overline{x}, t) := \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} \phi(x_j, t). \tag{2.1.3}$$

The coupled Schrödinger-Poisson-Newton equations read as follows

$$i\dot{\psi}(\overline{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\otimes}\psi(\overline{x}, t) - e\phi^{\otimes}(\overline{x}, t)\psi(\overline{x}, t), \qquad \overline{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.1.4}$$

$$-\Delta\phi(x,t) = \rho(x,t) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} \sigma(x - n - q(n,t)) + \rho^e(x,t), \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{2.1.5}$$

$$M\ddot{q}(n,t) = -(\nabla\phi(x,t), \sigma(x - n - q(n,t))), \qquad n \in \Gamma. \tag{2.1.6}$$

Here the brackets $(\cdot,\cdot)$ stand for the scalar product on the real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and for its different extensions, $M>0$ is the mass of one ion, and the electronic charge density is defined by

$$\rho^e(x,t) := -e\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}}\delta(x-y_j)|\psi(\overline{y},t)|^2\,d\overline{y},\quad x\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{2.1.7}$$

Similar finite periodic approximations of crystals are treated in all textbooks on quantum theory of solid state [9, 34, 75]. However, the stability of ground states in this model was newer discussed.

The normalization (1.1.7) now reads,

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} = Z, \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1.8}$$

Hence, as in Chapter I, the potential $\phi(x,t)$ can be eliminated from the system (2.1.4)–(2.1.6) using the operator $G := (-\Delta)^{-1}$ defined in (1.2.1). Substituting $\phi(\cdot,t) = G\rho(\cdot,t)$ into the remaining equations (2.1.4) and (2.1.6) we can write these equations as

$$\dot X(t) = F(X(t)), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1.9}$$

where $X(t) = (\psi(\cdot,t), q(\cdot,t), p(\cdot,t))$ with $p(\cdot,t) := \dot q(\cdot,t)$. We will identify complex functions $\psi(\overline{x})$ with two real functions $\psi_1(\overline{x}) := \operatorname{Re}\psi(\overline{x})$ and $\psi_2(\overline{x}) := \operatorname{Im}\psi(\overline{x})$. With these notations, equation (2.1.9) is equivalent to the Hamiltonian system

$$\partial_t\psi_1(\overline{x},t) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\psi_2(\overline{x})}E,\ \ \partial_t\psi_2(\overline{x},t) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\psi_1(\overline{x})}E,\ \ \partial_t q(n,t) = \partial_{p(n)}E,\ \ \partial_t p(n,t) = -\partial_{q(n)}E \tag{2.1.10}$$

together with the normalisation condition (2.1.8). Now the Hamiltonian functional (energy) reads

$$E(\psi,q,p) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}|\nabla^{\otimes}\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,d\overline{x} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho, G\rho) + \sum_{n\in\Gamma}\frac{p^2(n)}{2M}. \tag{2.1.11}$$

As in (1.1.10), the total charge density $\rho(x)$ is the sum of the ion and electronic charge densities,

$$\rho(x) := \rho^i(x) + \rho^e(x), \quad \rho^i(x) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma}\sigma(x-n-q(n)), \qquad x\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{2.1.12}$$

Similarly to notations of Chapter I, we denote the Hilbert manifolds

$$\mathscr{V} := \mathscr{F}\otimes\overline{\mathbb{T}}\otimes\mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}, \qquad \mathscr{M} := \{X\in\mathscr{V} : Q(X) = Z\}. \tag{2.1.13}$$

We prove the global well-posedness of the dynamics: for any $X(0)\in\mathscr{M}$ there exists a unique solution $X(t)\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$ to (2.1.10), and the energy and charge conservations hold:

$$E(X(t)) = E(X(0)), \quad Q(X(t)) = Q(X(0)), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1.14}$$

Our main goal is the stability of ground states, i.e., solutions to (2.1.10) with minimal energy (2.1.11) and with Γ-periodic arrangement of ions under the same Jellium and the Wiener conditions (1.1.11) and (1.1.13) onto the ion densities $\sigma(x)$. Nonperiodic arrangements exist for some degenerate densities σ as in Section 1.5.3.

The energy (2.1.11) is nonnegative, and its minimum is zero. We show that under the Jellium condition all ground states with Γ-periodic arrangement of ions have the form

$$S(t) := (\psi_0 e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \overline{r}, 0), \quad r\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{2.1.15}$$

Here

$$\overline{r}\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}: \quad \overline{r}(n) = r,\ n\in\Gamma, \tag{2.1.16}$$

while $\psi_0 \in \mathscr{F}$ is a normalised eigenfunction

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\otimes}\,\psi_0(\overline{x}) = \omega_0\psi_0(\overline{x}), \qquad \overline{x}\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}; \qquad \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} = 1, \tag{2.1.17}$$

which corresponds to the minimal eigenvalue $\omega_0 := \min\ \mathrm{Spec}\,(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\otimes})$.

We establish the stability of the real 4-dimensional 'solitary manifold'

$$\mathscr{S} = \{S_{\alpha,r} = (\psi^{\alpha}, \overline{r}, 0):\ \psi^{\alpha}(\overline{x}) \equiv e^{i\alpha}\psi_0(\overline{x}),\ \ \alpha\in[0,2\pi];\ \ r\in\mathbb{T}\}, \tag{2.1.18}$$

where ψ_0 is a fixed eigenfunction, satisfying the additional restriction (2.3.3). The normalization (2.1.8) and the identity (2.1.17) imply that

$$E(S) = \omega_0 Z, \qquad S\in\mathscr{S}. \tag{2.1.19}$$

The main result of this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.2. *Let the Jellium and Wiener conditions (1.1.11) and (1.1.13) hold as well as (2.3.3). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $X(0)\in\mathscr{M}$ with $d_{\mathscr{V}}(X(0),\mathscr{S}) < \delta$ we have*

$$d_{\mathscr{V}}(X(t),\mathscr{S}) < \varepsilon, \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1.20}$$

where $X\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$ is the corresponding solution to (2.1.10).

In Chapter I, we have proved similar result for the system (1.1.2)–(1.1.4) with the one-particle Schrödinger equation instead of (2.1.4). The general plan of analysis in the present chapter is also similar. However, now all estimates and the uniformity (1.1.18) require completely new arguments.

Let us comment on our approach. We prove the local well-posedness for the system (2.1.10) by the contraction mapping principle. The global well-posedness we deduce from the energy conservation which follows by the Galerkin approximations.

The orbital stability of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$ is deduced from the lower energy estimate

$$E(X) - \omega_0 Z \geq \nu\, d^2(X,\mathscr{S}) \qquad \text{if} \qquad d(X,\mathscr{S}) \leq \delta, \qquad X\in\mathscr{M}, \tag{2.1.21}$$

where $\nu,\delta > 0$ and 'd' is the distance in the 'energy norm'. We deduce this estimate from the positivity of the Hessian $E''(S)$ for $S\in\mathscr{S}$ in the orthogonal directions to $\mathscr{S}$ on the manifold $\mathscr{M}$. We show that the Wiener condition (1.1.13) is necessary for this positivity under the Jellium condition (1.1.11). We expect that this condition is also necessary for the positivity of $E''(S)$; however, this is still an open challenging problem.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce function spaces. In Section 3 we collect all our assumptions. In Section 4 we describe all fermionic jellium ground states and give basic examples. In Section 5 we prove the stability of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$ establishing the positivity the energy Hessian. In Appendices we construct the global dynamics.

2.2 Function spaces and integral equation

Equation (2.1.9) with the normalization (2.1.8) is equivalent, up to a gauge transform, to the system (2.1.4)–(2.1.6). The system (2.1.10) can be written as

$$\dot{X}(t) = JE'(X(t)), \tag{2.2.1}$$

where J is the matrix (1.2.4). For $\psi,\varphi\in L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ denote

$$(\psi,\varphi) := \mathrm{Re}\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}\psi(\overline{x})\cdot\varphi(\overline{x})d\overline{x}, \tag{2.2.2}$$

where $\cdot$ is the inner product of the corresponding vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Definition 2.2.1. *i) Denote the real Hilbert spaces*

$$\mathscr{X} := L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}, \qquad \mathscr{W} := \mathscr{F} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}.$$

ii) $\mathscr{V} := \mathscr{F} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ *is the real Hilbert manifold endowed with the metric*

$$d_{\mathscr{V}}(X,X') := \|\psi - \psi'\|_{\mathscr{F}} + |q-q'| + |p-p'|, \qquad X = (\psi,q,p), \quad X' = (\psi',q',p') \tag{2.2.3}$$

and with the 'quasinorm'

$$|X|_{\mathscr{V}} := \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{F}} + |p|, \qquad X = (\psi,q,p). \tag{2.2.4}$$

The linear space $\mathscr{W}$ is isomorphic to the tangent space to the Hilbert manifold $\mathscr{V}$ at each point $X \in \mathscr{V}$. As in Chapter I, we will use notation (1.2.10) and the inequality (1.2.12). The system (2.2.1) in the integral form reads similarly to (1.2.15),

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \psi(t) & = & e^{-iH_0 t}\psi(0) + ie\int_0^t e^{-iH_0(t-s)}[\phi^{\otimes}(s)\psi(s)]ds, \\ q(n,t) & = & q(n,0) + \frac{1}{M}\int_0^t p(n,s)ds \mod N\mathbb{Z}^3, \\ p(n,t) & = & p(n,0) - \int_0^t (\nabla\phi(s), \sigma(\cdot - n - q(n,s)))ds, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.2.5}$$

where $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\otimes}$ and $\phi(s) := G\rho(s)$. In the vector form (2.2.5) reads

$$X(t) = e^{-tA}X(0) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}N(X(s))ds, \qquad A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} iH_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \tag{2.2.6}$$

where

$$N(X) = (ie\phi^{\otimes}\psi\,, p,\ f),\ f(n) := -(\nabla\phi, \sigma(\cdot - n - q(n))),\ \phi := G\rho, \tag{2.2.7}$$

and ρ is now defined by (2.1.12), (2.1.7).

2.3 Main assumptions

We assume the same Jellium and the Wiener conditions (1.1.11) and (1.1.13) onto the ion densities $\sigma(x)$. However, now we need an additional condition for the orbital stability of the ground state (2.1.15). Namely, recall that the exterior product of functions $f_j \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by

$$[\Lambda_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} f_j](\overline{x}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{N}!}} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\overline{N}}} (-1)^{|\pi|} \prod_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} f_j(x_{\pi(j)}), \qquad \overline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{\overline{N}}) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where $S_{\overline{N}}$ is the symmetric group and $|\pi|$ denotes the sign (or parity) of a transposition π. Every eigenfunction (2.1.17) admits an expansion in the exterior products

$$\psi_0(\overline{x}) = \sum_{\overline{k}} C(\overline{k}) \wedge_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} e^{ik_j x_j}. \qquad k_j \in \Gamma_N^* := \frac{2\pi}{N}\mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{2.3.2}$$

Here $\overline{k} := \{k_1, ..., k_{\overline{N}}\}$, where k_j are different for distinct j, and $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} k_j^2 = \omega_0$. We will consider the eigenfunctions (2.3.2) with the additional restriction

$$\#(\overline{k} \setminus \overline{k}') \geq 2 \quad \text{if} \quad \overline{k} \neq \overline{k}'. \tag{2.3.3}$$

This condition implies that the corresponding electronic charge density is uniform (see Lemma 1.6.9),

$$\rho^e(x) \equiv -eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.3.4}$$

This identity plays a crucial role in our approach. It implies that the corresponding total charge density (2.1.12) identically vanishes by (1.1.12). Let us emphasize that both ionic and electronic charge densities are uniform for the ground state under the Jellium condition together with (2.3.3).

2.4 Global dynamics

Here we prove the global well-posedness of the system (2.2.1).

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let (1.1.1) hold and $X(0) \in \mathscr{M}$. Then*

i) There exists a unique solution $X(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$ to (2.2.1).

ii) The energy and charge conservations (2.1.14) hold.

First we construct the local solutions by contraction arguments. To construct the global solutions we will prove energy conservation using the Galerkin approximations.

Theorem 2.4.2. *(Local well-posedness). Let (1.1.1) hold and $X(0) = (\psi_0, q_0, p_0) \in \mathscr{V}$ with $|X(0)|_{\mathscr{V}} \le R$. Then there exists $\tau = \tau(R) > 0$ such that equation (2.2.1) has a unique solution $X \in C([-\tau, \tau], \mathscr{V})$, and the maps $U(t) : X(0) \mapsto X(t)$ are continuous in $\mathscr{V}$ for $t \in [-\tau, \tau]$.*

In the next two propositions we prove the boundedness and the local Lipschitz continuity of the nonlinearity $N : \mathscr{V} \to \mathscr{W}$ defined in (2.2.7). With this proviso Theorem 1.3.2 follows from the integral form (2.2.6) of the equation (2.2.1) by the contraction mapping principle, since e^{-At} is an isometry of $\mathscr{W}$. First, we prove the boundedness of N.

Proposition 2.4.3. *For any $R > 0$ and $X = (\psi, q, p) \in \mathscr{V}$*

$$\|N(X)\|_{\mathscr{W}} \le C(R) \qquad \text{for} \quad |X|_{\mathscr{V}} \le R. \tag{2.4.1}$$

Proof. We need appropriate bounds for the charge density ρ and for the corresponding potential ϕ.

Lemma 2.4.4. *The charge density (2.1.12) admits the bounds*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1 + \|\psi\|^2_{\mathscr{F}}). \tag{2.4.2}$$

Proof. We have $\rho(x) = \rho^i(x) + \rho^e(x)$ by (2.1.12). The bound (2.4.2) for ρ^i holds by (1.1.1). It remains to prove the bound for ρ^e. Definition (2.1.7) implies that

$$\rho^e(x) = -e \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}} |\psi(\overline{y})|^2 \Big|_{y_j = x} dx_1 \ldots \widehat{dy_j} \ldots dy_{\overline{N}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{2.4.3}$$

where the hat means that this differential is omitted. Differentiating, we obtain that

$$\nabla\rho^e(x) = -e \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}} \nabla_{y_j} |\psi(\overline{y})|^2 \Big|_{y_j = x} dy_1 \ldots \widehat{dy_j} \ldots dy_{\overline{N}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.4.4}$$

Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to (2.4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho^e(x)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\psi(\overline{y})|^6 dy_j]^{1/3}\,dy_1\ldots\widehat{dy_j}\ldots dy_{\overline{N}}\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_{y_j}\psi(\overline{y})|^2 dy_j]\,dy_1\ldots\widehat{dy_j}\ldots dy_{\overline{N}} \le C\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}})}\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.5}$$

by the Sobolev embedding theorem [1, Theorem 5.4, Part I]. Similarly, (2.4.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\rho^e(x)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\psi(\overline{y})\nabla_{y_j}\overline{\psi}(\overline{y})|^{3/2}dy_j]^{2/3}\,dy_1\ldots\widehat{dy_j}\ldots dy_{\overline{N}}\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\psi(\overline{y})|^6 dy_j]^{1/6}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_{y_j}\psi(\overline{y})|^2 dy_j]^{1/2}\,dy_1\ldots\widehat{dy_j}\ldots dy_{\overline{N}}\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_{y_j}\psi(\overline{y})|^2 dy_j]\,dy_1\ldots\widehat{dy_j}\ldots dy_{\overline{N}} \le C\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}})}\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.6}$$

by the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem. □

Lemma 2.4.5. *The potential $\phi := G\rho$ admits the bound*

$$\|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} + \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^2_{\mathscr{F}}). \tag{2.4.7}$$

Proof. Applying the Hölder and Hausdorff–Young inequalities to (1.2.1), we obtain that

$$\|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \le C\|\frac{\tilde{\rho}(\xi)}{\xi^2}\|_{L^1(\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0)} \le C_1\|\xi\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^3(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0}|\xi|^{-9/2}\Big]^{2/3} \le C_2\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{2.4.8}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} \le C\|\frac{\tilde{\rho}(\xi)}{|\xi|}\|_{L^{3/2}(\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0)} \le C_1\|\xi\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^3(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0}|\xi|^{-6}\Big]^{1/3} \le C_2\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{2.4.9}$$

Now the bound (2.4.7) follows from (2.4.2). □

Now we can prove the estimate (1.3.2). First, we will prove

$$\|\phi^{\otimes}\psi\|_{\mathscr{F}} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^3_{\mathscr{F}}) \tag{2.4.10}$$

in the notation (2.1.3). According to definition (2.1.2) it suffices to check that

$$\|\phi^{\otimes}\psi\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} + \|\phi^{\otimes}\nabla^{\otimes}\psi\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} + \|\psi\nabla^{\otimes}\phi^{\otimes}\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^3_{\mathscr{F}}). \tag{2.4.11}$$

The first two summands admit the needed estimate by (2.4.7). The third summand requires some additional argument. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi\nabla^{\otimes}\phi^{\otimes}\|^2_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} &= \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}|\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}}\nabla\phi(x_j)\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,d\overline{x} \le C\sum_{1}^{\overline{N}}\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}|\nabla\phi(x_j)\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,d\overline{x}\\ &= C\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla\phi(x_j)\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,dx_j\Big]dx_1\ldots\widehat{dx_j}\ldots dx_{\overline{N}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.12}$$

The inner integral is estimated as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla\phi(x_j)\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,dx_j \le \|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\psi(\overline{x})|^6\,dx_j\Big]^{1/3} \le C\|\nabla\phi\|^2_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_{x_j}\psi(\overline{x})|^2\,dx_j \tag{2.4.13}$$

by the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem. Substituting this estimate into (2.4.12), we obtain

$$\|\psi\nabla^{\otimes}\phi^{\otimes}\|_{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} \le C\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{F}}. \tag{2.4.14}$$

This and (2.4.7) imply (2.4.11) for the third summand. Finally, using (2.2.7), (2.4.7) and (1.1.1),

$$|f(n)| \le \|\phi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(1+\|\psi\|^2_{\mathscr{F}}), \qquad n\in\Gamma. \tag{2.4.15}$$

Hence, (2.4.10) and (2.4.15) imply (2.4.1). Proposition 2.4.3 is proved. □

It remains to prove that the nonlinearity is locally Lipschitz.

Proposition 2.4.6. *For any $R>0$ and $X_1,X_2\in\mathscr{V}$ with $|X_1|_{\mathscr{V}},|X_2|_{\mathscr{V}}\le R$*

$$\|N(X_1)-N(X_2)\|_{\mathscr{W}} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2). \tag{2.4.16}$$

Proof. Writing $X_k=(\psi_k,q_k,p_k)$ and $\phi_k=G\rho_k$, we obtain that

$$\|\phi_1^{\otimes}\psi_1-\phi_2^{\otimes}\psi_2\|_{\mathscr{F}} \le \|(\phi_1^{\otimes}-\phi_2^{\otimes})\psi_1\|_{\mathscr{F}} + \|\phi_2^{\otimes}(\psi_1-\psi_2)\|_{\mathscr{F}}. \tag{2.4.17}$$

Using (2.4.14) and (2.4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_2^{\otimes}(\psi_1-\psi_2)\|_{\mathscr{F}} &\le (\|\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}+\|\nabla\phi_2\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})})\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{\mathscr{F}}\\ &\le C(1+R^2)\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{\mathscr{F}} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.18}$$

Further, (2.4.8) and (2.4.9) give that

$$\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}+\|\nabla\phi_1-\nabla\phi_2\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})} \le \|\nabla\rho_1-\nabla\rho_2\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \tag{2.4.19}$$

However, $|\sigma(x)-\sigma(x-a)|\le C|a|$, where $|a|:=\min_{r\in a}|r|$ for $a\in\mathbb{T}$ (by definition, $a\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ is a class of equivalence mod $N\mathbb{Z}^3$). Therefore, as in (2.4.2),

$$\|\nabla(\rho_1-\rho_2)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})} \le CR(|q_1-q_2|+\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_{\mathscr{F}}). \tag{2.4.20}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\phi_1^{\otimes}-\phi_2^{\otimes})\psi_1\|_{\mathscr{F}} &\le (\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}+\|\nabla(\phi_1-\phi_2)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T})})\|\psi_1\|_{\mathscr{F}}\\ &\le CR\|\nabla(\rho_1-\rho_2)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T})}\|\psi_1\|_{\mathscr{F}} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.21}$$

Now (2.4.17)–(2.4.21) give

$$\|\phi_1^{\otimes}\psi_1-\phi_2^{\otimes}\psi_2\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2). \tag{2.4.22}$$

Similarly, (2.4.19), (2.4.20) and (2.4.7) imply

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\langle\nabla\phi_1,\sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n))\rangle-\langle\nabla\phi_2,\sigma(\cdot-n-q_2(n))\rangle\|\\ &\le \|\langle\nabla(\phi_1-\phi_2),\sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n))\rangle\|+\|\langle\nabla\phi_2,\sigma(\cdot-n-q_1(n))-\sigma(\cdot-n-q_2(n))\rangle\|\\ &\le C(\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}+\|\phi_2\|_{C(\mathbb{T})})|q_1-q_2|) \le C(R)d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_1,X_2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.23}$$

This estimate together with (2.4.22) prove (2.4.16). □

Now Theorem 2.4.2 follows from Propositions 2.4.3 and 2.4.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.4.1. The local solution $X \in C([-\tau,\tau],\mathscr{V})$ to (2.2.1) exists and is unique by Theorem 2.4.2. On the other hand, the conservation laws (2.1.14) (proved in Proposition 2.5.2 iii) below) together with (1.2.12) imply a priori bound

$$|X(t)|_{\mathscr{V}}^2 \le C[E(X(0))+Q(X(0))], \qquad t\in[-\tau,\tau]. \tag{2.4.24}$$

Hence, the local solution admits an extension to the global solution $X \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$. □

Remark 2.4.7. The condition $X(0)\in\mathscr{M}$ implies that $X(t)\in\mathscr{M}$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ by the charge conservation (2.1.14). Hence, (2.2.1) implies (2.1.4)–(2.1.6) with the potential $\phi(\cdot,t)=G\rho(\cdot,t)$.

2.5 Conservation laws

We deduce the conservation laws (2.1.14) by the Galerkin approximations [60].

Definition 2.5.1. *i)$\mathscr{V}_m$ with $m\in\mathbb{N}$ denotes the finite-dimensional submanifold of the Hilbert manifold $\mathscr{V}$*

$$\mathscr{V}_m := \{(\sum_{\overline{k}} C(\overline{k})\Lambda_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} e^{ik_jx_j}, q, p) : k_j\in\Gamma_N^*,\ C(\overline{k})\in\mathbb{C},\ \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} k_j^2 \le m,\ q\in\overline{\mathbb{T}},\ p\in\mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}\}, \tag{2.5.1}$$

where $\overline{k} := (k_1,...,k_{\overline{N}})$.

ii) $\mathscr{W}_m$ with $m\in\mathbb{N}$ denotes the finite-dimensional linear subspace of the Hilbert space $\mathscr{W}$

$$\mathscr{W}_m := \{(\sum_{\overline{k}} C(\overline{k})\Lambda_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} e^{ik_jx_j}, \varkappa, \nu) : k_j\in\Gamma_N^*,\ C(\overline{k})\in\mathbb{C},\ \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} k_j^2 \le m,\ \varkappa\in\mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}},\ \nu\in\mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}\}. \tag{2.5.2}$$

Obviously, $\mathscr{V}_1\subset\mathscr{V}_2\subset...$, the union $\cup_m\mathscr{V}_m$ is dense in $\mathscr{V}$, and $\mathscr{W}_m$ are invariant with respect to H and J. Let us denote by P_m the orthogonal projector $\mathscr{X}\to\mathscr{W}_m$. This projector is also orthogonal in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{W}$. Let us approximate the system (2.2.1) by finite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems on the manifold $\mathscr{V}_m$,

$$\dot X_m(t) = JE_m'(X_m(t)), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.5.3}$$

where $E_m := E|_{\mathscr{V}_m}$ and $X_m(t)=(\psi_m(t),q_m(t),p_m(t))\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V}_m)$. The equation (2.5.3) can be also written as

$$\langle \dot X_m(t), Y\rangle = -\langle E'(X_m(t)), JY\rangle, \qquad Y\in\mathscr{W}_m. \tag{2.5.4}$$

This form of the equation (2.5.3) holds since $E_m := E|_{\mathscr{V}_m}$ and $\mathscr{W}_m$ is invariant with respect to J. Equivalently,

$$\dot X_m(t) = HX_m(t) + P_mN(X_m(t)). \tag{2.5.5}$$

The Hamiltonian form guarantees the energy and charge conservation (2.1.14):

$$E(X_m(t)) = E(X_m(0)), \quad Q(X_m(t)) = Q(X_m(0)), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5.6}$$

Indeed, the energy conservation holds by the Hamiltonian form (2.5.3), while the charge conservation holds by the Noether theory [4, 31, 35] due to the $U(1)$-invariance of E_m, see (1.2.14).

The equation (2.5.5) admits a unique local solution for every initial state $X_m(0)\in\mathscr{V}_m$ since the right hand side is locally bounded and Lipschitz continuous. The global solutions exist by (1.2.12) and the energy and charge conservation (2.5.6).

Finally, we take any $X(0)\in\mathscr{V}$ and choose a sequence

$$X_m(0)\to X(0), \qquad m\to\infty, \tag{2.5.7}$$

where the convergence holds in the metric of $\mathscr{V}$. Therefore,

$$E(X_m(0)) \to E(X(0)), \qquad Q(X_m(0)) \to Q(X(0)). \tag{2.5.8}$$

Hence, (2.5.6) and (1.2.12) imply the basic uniform bound

$$R := \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |X_m(t)|_{\mathscr{V}} < \infty. \tag{2.5.9}$$

Therefore, (2.5.5) and Proposition 2.4.3 imply the second basic uniform bound

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|\dot{X}_m(t)\|_{\mathscr{W}^{-1}} < C(R), \tag{2.5.10}$$

since the operator $H : \mathscr{W} \to \mathscr{W}^{-1}$ is bounded, and the projector P_m is also a bounded operator in $\mathscr{W} \subset \mathscr{W}^{-1}$. Hence, the Galerkin approximations $X_m(t)$ are uniformly Lipschitz-continuous with values in $\mathscr{V}^{-1}$:

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} d_{\mathscr{V}^{-1}}(X_m(t), X_m(s)) \le C(R)|t-s|, \qquad s,t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5.11}$$

Let us show that the uniform estimates (2.5.9) and (2.5.11) imply a compactness of the Galerkin approximations and the conservation laws.

Proposition 2.5.2. *Let (1.1.1) hold and $X(0) \in \mathscr{V}$. Then*

i) There exists a subsequence $m' \to \infty$ such that

$$X_{m'}(t) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{X}} X(t), \qquad m' \to \infty, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.5.12}$$

where $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{X})$.

ii) Every limit function $X(\cdot)$ is a solution to (2.2.1), and $X(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{V})$.

iii) The conservation laws (2.1.14) hold.

Proof. i) The convergence (2.5.12) follows from (2.5.9) and (2.5.10) by the Dubinsky 'theorem on three spaces' [20] (Theorem 5.1 of [60]). Namely, the embedding $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{X}$ is compact by the Sobolev theorem, and hence, (2.5.12) holds by (2.5.9) for $t \in D$, where D is a countable dense set. Finally, let us use the interpolation inequality and (2.5.9), (2.5.11): for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$d_{\mathscr{X}}(X_m(t), X_m(s)) \le \varepsilon d_{\mathscr{V}}(X_m(t), X_m(s)) + C(\varepsilon) d_{\mathscr{V}^{-1}}(X_m(t), X_m(s)) \le 2\varepsilon R + C(\varepsilon, R)|t-s|. \tag{2.5.13}$$

This inequality implies the equicontinuity of the Galerkin approximations with values in $\mathscr{X}$. Hence, convergence (2.5.12) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ since it holds for the dense set of $t \in D$. The same equicontinuity also implies the continuity of the limit function $X \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{X})$.

ii) Integrating equation (2.5.5), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \langle \dot{X}_m(t), Y\rangle \, ds = \int_0^t \langle X_m(s), HY\rangle \, ds + \int_0^t \langle N(X_m(s)), Y\rangle \, ds, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_m, \tag{2.5.14}$$

Below we will write m instead of m'. To prove (2.2.6) it suffices to check that in the limit $m \to \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^t \langle \dot{X}(t), Y\rangle \, ds = \int_0^t (X(s), HY) \, ds + \int_0^t \langle N(X(s)), Y\rangle \, ds, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{W}_n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5.15}$$

The convergence of the left hand side and of the first term on the right hand side of (2.5.14) follow from (2.5.12) and (2.5.7) since $HY \in \mathscr{W}_m$.

It remains to consider the last integral in (2.5.14). The integrand is uniformly bounded by (2.5.9) and Proposition 2.4.3. Hence, it suffices to check the pointwise convergence

$$\langle N(X_m(s),Y\rangle \longrightarrow \langle N(X(s),Y\rangle, \qquad Y\in\mathscr{W}_n \tag{2.5.16}$$

for any $s\in\mathbb{R}$. Here $N(X_m(s))=(ie\phi_m^{\otimes}(s)\psi_m(s),p_m(s),f_m(s))$ according to the notation (2.2.7), and $Y=(\varphi,\varkappa,v)\in\mathscr{W}_n$. Hence, (2.5.16) reads

$$ie[\phi_m^{\otimes}(s)\psi_m(s),\varphi]+p_m(s)\varkappa+f_m(s)v \to ie(\phi^{\otimes}(s)\,\psi(s),\varphi)+p(s)\varkappa+f(s)v, \tag{2.5.17}$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the scalar product in $L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$. The convergence of $p_m(s)\varkappa$ follows from (2.5.12) (with $m'=m$) . To prove the convergence of the two remaining terms we first show that

$$\phi_m(s):=G\rho_m \xrightarrow{C(\mathbb{T})} \phi(s):=G\rho. \tag{2.5.18}$$

Indeed, (2.5.12) implies that

$$\psi_m(s) \xrightarrow{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} \psi(s), \qquad q_m(s)\to q(s) \tag{2.5.19}$$

Further, the sequence $\psi_m(s)$ is bounded in $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ by (2.5.9). Hence, the sequence $\rho_m(s)$ is bounded in the Sobolev space $W^{1,3/2}(\mathbb{T})$ by (2.4.2). Therefore, the sequence $\rho_m(s)$ is precompact in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the Sobolev compactness theorem. Hence,

$$\rho_m \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \rho \tag{2.5.20}$$

by (2.5.19). Therefore, (2.5.18) holds since the operator $G:L^2(\mathbb{T})\to C(\mathbb{T})$ is continuous. Finally, (2.5.18) and (2.5.19) imply that

$$\phi_m^{\otimes}(s)\psi_m(s) \xrightarrow{L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})} \phi^{\otimes}(s)\psi(s), \qquad f_m(s)\to f(s), \tag{2.5.21}$$

which proves (2.5.17). Now (2.5.15) is proved for $Y\in\mathscr{V}_n$ with any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Hence, $X(t)$ is a solution to (2.2.1). Finally, $N(X(t))$ is bounded in $\mathscr{W}$ by (2.5.9) and Proposition 2.4.3. Hence, (2.2.6) implies that $X(\cdot)\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$.

iii) The conservation laws (2.5.6) and the convergences (2.5.7), (2.5.12) imply that

$$E(X(t))\le E(X(0)), \quad Q(X(t))\le Q(X(0)), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5.22}$$

The last inequality holds by the first convergence of (2.5.19). The first inequality follows from the representation

$$E(X_m(t))=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\psi_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}+\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{G}\rho_m(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}+\sum_{n\in\Gamma_n}\frac{p_m^2(n,t)}{2M}. \tag{2.5.23}$$

Namely, the last two terms on the right hand side converge by (2.5.20) and (2.5.12). Moreover, the first term is bounded by (2.5.9). Hence, the first convergence of (2.5.19) implies the weak convergence

$$\nabla\psi_m(t) \xrightarrow{L^2_w(\mathbb{T})} \nabla\psi(t) \tag{2.5.24}$$

by the Banach theorem. Now the first inequality of (2.5.22) follows by the property of the weak convergence in the Hilbert space. Finally, the opposite inequalities to (2.5.22) are also true by the uniqueness of solutions $X(\cdot)\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{V})$, which is proved in Proposition 2.4.2. □

2.6 Jellium fermionic ground states

In this section we check the key identity (2.3.4) and construct all solutions to (2.2.1) with minimal energy (2.1.11). Furthermore we give examples illustrating the Jellium and the Wiener conditions.

2.6.1 Uniform electronic charge density

Let us establish the identity (2.3.4).

Lemma 2.6.1. *Let the condition (2.3.3) hold for an eigenfunction (2.3.2), and*

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} |\psi_0(\overline{x})|^2 d\overline{x} = Z. \tag{2.6.1}$$

Then the identity (2.3.4) holds.

Proof. By the antisymmetry of $\psi_0(x_1,...,x_{\overline{N}})$ it remains to prove that

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-x_1)|\psi_0(\overline{x})|^2 d\overline{x} = Z/\overline{N}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.6.2}$$

Substitute here the expansion (2.3.2). The normalization condition (2.6.1) gives

$$\sum_{\overline{k}} |C(\overline{k})|^2 \overline{N}^{\overline{N}} = Z. \tag{2.6.3}$$

Further,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-x_1)|\psi_0(\overline{x})|^2 d\overline{x} = \frac{1}{\overline{N}!} \sum_{\overline{k}} \Big\{ |C(\overline{k})|^2 \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-x_1) \Big[\sum_{\pi,\pi' \in S_{\overline{N}}} (-1)^{|\pi|+|\pi'|} \prod_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} e^{i[k_{\pi(j)} - k_{\pi'(j)}]x_j} \Big] d\overline{x} \Big\}$$

$$+ \quad \frac{1}{\overline{N}!} \mathrm{Re} \sum_{\overline{k} \neq \overline{k}'} \Big\{ C(\overline{k})\overline{C}(\overline{k}') \Big[\sum_{\pi,\pi' \in S_{\overline{N}}} (-1)^{|\pi|+|\pi'|} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-x_1) \prod_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} e^{i[k_{\pi(j)} - k'_{\pi'(j)}]x_j} d\overline{x} \Big] \Big\}. \tag{2.6.4}$$

The integrals in the last line vanish since $k_{\pi(j)} - k'_{\pi'(j)} \neq 0$ at least for one $j \neq 1$ by (2.3.3). On the other hand, the integrals in the first line do not vanish only in the case when $k_{\pi(j)} \equiv k_{\pi'(j)}$ for $j \neq 1$, i.e., when $\pi = \pi'$. Hence,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-x_1)|\psi_0(\overline{x})|^2 d\overline{x} = \overline{N}^{\overline{N}-1} \sum_{\overline{k}} |C(\overline{k})|^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \delta(x-x_1) dx_1 = \overline{N}^{\overline{N}-1} \sum_{\overline{k}} |C(\overline{k})|^2 = Z/\overline{N} \tag{2.6.5}$$

by (2.6.3).

□

Remark 2.6.2. *Similar calculations show that the uniformity (2.6.2) can break down for the wave functions (2.3.2) if the condition (2.3.3) fails.*

2.6.2 Description of all ground states

The following lemma gives the description all ground states of the system (2.2.1).

Lemma 2.6.3. *Let the Jellium condition (1.1.11) hold. Then all solutions to (2.1.4)–(2.1.6) of minimal energy are* $(e^{i\alpha}\psi_0(\overline{x})e^{-i\omega_0 t}, q^*, 0)$ *with* $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ *and* $q^* \in \mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}}$ *satisfying the identity*

$$\sum_{n \in \Gamma} \sigma(x - q^*(n)) \equiv eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{2.6.6}$$

Proof. First, let us note that the Γ-periodic solutions (2.1.15) have the minimal energy, and the identity (2.6.6) holds for $q^* = \overline{r}$ by (1.1.12).

Further, a solution is of minimal energy (2.1.11) if the first summand on the right hand side of (2.1.11) takes the minimal values ω_0 while the second and the third summands should vanish. Hence, the normalization condition (2.1.8) gives

$$\psi(\overline{x},t) \equiv e^{i\alpha(t)}\psi_0(\overline{x}), \qquad \alpha(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6.7}$$

while

$$\rho(x) \equiv 0, \qquad M\partial_t q(n,t) = p(n,t) \equiv 0. \tag{2.6.8}$$

Therefore, (2.3.4) implies

$$\rho^i(x,t) \equiv eZ, \qquad q(n,t) \equiv q^*(n), \tag{2.6.9}$$

which is equivalent to (2.6.6).

Moreover, the Poisson equation (2.1.5) with $\rho(x,t) \equiv 0$ implies that $\phi(x,t) \equiv 0$ after a gauge transformation (1.2.2). Hence, finally, substituting (2.6.7) into (2.1.4) with $\phi(x,t) \equiv 0$, we obtain that $-\dot{\alpha}(t) \equiv \omega_0$. □

This lemma implies that all Γ-periodic ground states are given by (2.1.15). Recall that the examples of charge densities satisfying Jellium and the Wiener conditions are given in Section 1.5.2.

2.7 The orbital stability of the ground state

In this section we expand the energy into the Taylor series and prove the orbital stability checking the positivity of the energy Hessian.

2.7.1 The Taylor expansion of energy functional

We will deduce the lower estimate (2.1.21) using the Taylor expansion of $E(S+Y)$ at $S = S_{\alpha,r} = (\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0) \in \mathscr{S}$ and $Y = (\varphi, \varkappa, \pi) \in \mathscr{W}$. Further calculations are very close to the one of Section 1.6.1:

$$E(S+Y) = E(S) + \langle E'(S), Y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle + R(S,Y) = \omega_0 Z + \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle + R(S,Y) \tag{2.7.1}$$

since $E(S) = \omega_0 Z$ by (2.1.19), and $E'(S) = 0$. Here $E'(S)$ and $E''(S)$ stand for the Gâteaux differentials. Let us recall that $\psi^\alpha = e^{i\alpha}\psi_0(x)$ where $\psi_0(x)$ is given by (2.3.2), and the condition (2.3.3) holds.

First, we expand the charge density (2.1.12), (2.1.7) corresponding to $S+Y = (\psi^\alpha + \varphi, \overline{r} + \varkappa, \pi)$:

$$\rho(x) = \rho^{(0)}(x) + \rho^{(1)}(x) + \rho^{(2)}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{2.7.2}$$

where $\rho^{(0)}$ and $\rho^{(1)}$ are respectively the terms of zero and first order in Y, while $\rho^{(2)}$ is the remainder. However, $\rho^{(0)}(x)$ is the total charge density of the ground state which is identically zero by (1.1.12) and (2.3.4):

$$\rho^{(0)}(x) = \rho_0^i(x) - e|\psi^\alpha(x)|^2 \equiv 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.7.3}$$

Thus, $\rho = \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}$. Expanding (2.1.12) and (2.1.7), we obtain

$$\rho^{(1)}(x) = \sigma^{(1)}(x) - 2e\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi(x), \quad \sigma^{(1)}(x) = -\sum_{n\in\Gamma} \varkappa(n)\cdot\nabla\sigma(x-n-r), \tag{2.7.4}$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(x) = \sigma^{(2)}(x) - e\Sigma(\varphi)\varphi(x), \ \sigma^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\in\Gamma}\int_0^1 (1-s)[\varkappa(n)\cdot\nabla]^2\sigma(x-n-r-s\varkappa(n))ds, \tag{2.7.5}$$

where $\Sigma(\psi)$ denotes the real-linear operator defined by

$$\Sigma(\psi)\varphi(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \delta(x-y_j)\psi(\overline{y})\cdot\varphi(\overline{y})\,d\overline{y}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{2.7.6}$$

where $\cdot$ is the inner product of the corresponding vectors $\psi(\overline{y}) = (\psi_1(\overline{y}), \psi_2(\overline{y})) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\varphi(\overline{y}) = (\varphi_1(\overline{y}), \varphi_2(\overline{y})) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The operator $\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)$ is continuous $L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}}) \to L^2(\mathbb{T})$, which follows similarly to (2.4.5). Obviously,

$$\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi(x) = \Sigma(\psi_1^\alpha)\varphi_1(x) + \Sigma(\psi_2^\alpha)\varphi_2(x). \tag{2.7.7}$$

Note that $\Sigma(\varphi)\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ for $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}$ by estimate (2.4.2).

Substituting $\psi = \psi^\alpha + \varphi$ and $\rho = \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}$ into (2.1.11), we obtain that the quadratic part of (2.7.1) reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} |\nabla\varphi(\overline{x})|^2]d\overline{x} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{(1)}, G\rho^{(1)}) + K(\pi), \qquad K(\pi) := \sum_n \frac{\pi^2(n)}{2M} \tag{2.7.8}$$

and the remainder equals

$$R(S,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(2\rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}, G\rho^{(2)}). \tag{2.7.9}$$

2.7.2 The null space of Hessian

In this section we calculate the null space

$$\mathscr{K}(S) := \mathrm{Ker}\left[E''(S)\Big|_{\mathscr{W}}\right], \qquad S \in \mathscr{S}. \tag{2.7.10}$$

Lemma 2.7.1. *Let the Jellium condition (1.1.11) and the Wiener condition (1.1.13) hold, and $S \in \mathscr{S}$. Then*

$$\mathscr{K}(S) = \{(0, \overline{s}, 0) : \ s \in \mathbb{R}^3\}, \tag{2.7.11}$$

where $\overline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ is defined similarly to (1.1.17): $\overline{s}(n) \equiv s$.

Proof. All the summands of the energy (2.7.8) are nonnegative. Hence, this expression is zero if and only if all the summands vanish: in the notation (2.7.4)

$$\varphi(\overline{x}) \equiv C, \quad (\rho^{(1)}, G\rho^{(1)}) = \|\sqrt{G}[\sigma^{(1)} - 2e\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi]\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = 0, \quad \pi = 0. \tag{2.7.12}$$

Here $C = 0$ by the antisymmetry of φ since $N > 1$. Therefore, $\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi = 0$, and hence, (2.7.12) implies that

$$\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)} = 0. \tag{2.7.13}$$

On the other hand, in the Fourier transform (2.7.4) reads

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}(\xi) = \tilde{\sigma}(\xi)\xi \cdot \sum_{n\in\Gamma} ie^{i\xi[n+r]}\varkappa(n) = i\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)\xi \cdot e^{i\xi r}\hat{\varkappa}(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \Gamma_N^*, \tag{2.7.14}$$

where $\hat{\varkappa}(\xi) := \sum_{n\in\Gamma} e^{i\xi n}\varkappa(n)$ is a $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$-periodic function on Γ_N^*. Hence, Definition (1.2.1) and the Jellium condition (1.1.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= N^{-3}\sum_{\Gamma_N^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} |\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)\frac{\xi\hat{\varkappa}(\xi)}{|\xi|}|^2 \\ &= N^{-3}\sum_{\theta\in\Pi^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} \langle\hat{\varkappa}(\theta), \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\left[\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}|\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)|^2\right]_{\xi=\theta+2\pi m}\hat{\varkappa}(\theta)\rangle \\ &= N^{-3}\sum_{\theta\in\Pi^*\setminus\Gamma_1^*} \langle\hat{\varkappa}(\theta), \Sigma(\theta)\hat{\varkappa}(\theta)\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7.15}$$

As a result,

$$\hat{\varkappa}(\theta) = 0, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma_1^* \tag{2.7.16}$$

by the Wiener condition (1.1.13). On the other hand, $\hat{\varkappa}(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ remains arbitrary. Respectively, $\varkappa = \overline{s}$ with an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{R}^3$. □

Remarks 2.7.2. i) The annihilation of $\varphi(x) \equiv C$ in (2.7.12) is the main point where we use the antisymmetry of wave functions ('Pauli exclusion principle').

ii) The key point of the proof is the explicit calculation (2.7.14) in the Fourier transform. This calculation relies on the invariance of the Hessian $E''(S)$ with respect to Γ-translations which is due to the periodicity of the ions arrangement of the ground state.

Remark 2.7.3. *Beyond the Wiener condition* If the Wiener condition (1.1.13) fails, the dimension of the space

$$V := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}} : \ v(n) = \sum_{\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma_1^*} e^{-i\theta n} \hat{v}(\theta), \qquad \hat{v}(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \ \Sigma(\theta)\hat{v}(\theta) = 0\} \tag{2.7.17}$$

is positive. The above calculations show that in this case

$$\mathscr{K}(S) = \{(0, \overline{s} + v, 0) : \ s \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ v \in V\}. \tag{2.7.18}$$

The subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ is orthogonal to the $3D$ subspace $\{\overline{s} : s \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3\overline{N}}$ by the Parseval theorem. Hence, $\dim \mathscr{K}(S) = 3 + d$, where $d := \dim V > 0$. Thus, $\dim \mathscr{K}(S) > 3$. Under the Wiener condition $V = 0$, and (2.7.18) coincides with (2.7.11).

2.7.3 The positivity of Hessian

As in Section 1.6.3, denote by $N_S\mathscr{S}$ the normal subspace to $\mathscr{S}$ at a point S:

$$N_S\mathscr{S} := \{Y \in \mathscr{W} : \langle Y, \tau \rangle = 0, \ \ \tau \in T_S\mathscr{S}\}, \tag{2.7.19}$$

where $T_S\mathscr{S}$ is the tangent space to $\mathscr{S}$ at the point S and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the scalar product (1.2.10). Obviously, $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{M}$, and the tangent space to $\mathscr{M}$ at a point $S = (\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0)$ is given by

$$T_S\mathscr{M} = \{(\varphi, \varkappa, \pi) \in \mathscr{W} : \varphi \perp \psi^\alpha\}, \tag{2.7.20}$$

since $DQ(\psi^\alpha, \overline{r}, 0) = 2(\psi^\alpha, 0, 0)$.

Lemma 2.7.4. *Let the Jellium condition (1.1.11) hold, and $S = S_{\alpha,r} \in \mathscr{S}$. Then the Wiener condition (1.1.13) is necessary and sufficient for the positivity of the Hessian $E''(S)$ in the orthogonal directions to $\mathscr{S}$ on $\mathscr{M}$, i.e.,*

$$E''(S)\Big|_{N_S\mathscr{S} \cap T_S\mathscr{M}} > 0. \tag{2.7.21}$$

Proof. i) Sufficiency. Differentiating $S_{\alpha,r} = (e^{i\alpha}\psi_0, \overline{r}, 0) \in \mathscr{S}$ in the parameters $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $r \in \mathbb{T}$, we obtain

$$T_S\mathscr{S} = \{(iC\psi^\alpha, \overline{s}, 0) : \ C \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ s \in \mathbb{R}^3\}. \tag{2.7.22}$$

Hence, (2.7.11) implies that

$$\mathscr{K}(S) \cap N_S\mathscr{S} = (0, 0, 0). \tag{2.7.23}$$

Now (2.7.21) follows since $E''(S) \geq 0$ by (2.7.8).

ii) Necessity. If the Wiener condition (1.1.13) fails, the space $\mathscr{K}(SN)$ is given by (2.7.18), and hence, (2.7.22) implies that now

$$\mathscr{K}(S) \cap N_S\mathscr{S} = \{0, v, 0) : \ C \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ v \in V\} \subset T_S\mathscr{M}. \tag{2.7.24}$$

Therefore, the Hessian $E''(S)$ vanishes on the nontrivial space $\mathscr{K}(S) \cap N_S\mathscr{S} \subset T_S\mathscr{M}$ of the dimension $d > 0$. Respectively, the positivity (2.7.21) breaks down. □

Remark 2.7.5. The positivity of type (2.7.21) breaks down for the submanifold $\mathscr{S}(r) := \{S_{\alpha,r} : \ \alpha \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ with a fixed $r \in \mathbb{T}$ instead of the solitary manifold $\mathscr{S}$. Indeed, then the corresponding tangent space is smaller:

$$T_S\mathscr{S}(r) = \{(iC\psi^\alpha, 0, 0) : \ C \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{2.7.25}$$

Hence, the normal subspace $N_S\mathscr{S}(r)$ is larger, in particular containing all the vectors $(0, \overline{s}, 0)$ generating the shifts of the torus. However, all these vectors also belong to the null space (2.7.11) and to $T_S\mathscr{M}$. Respectively, the null space of the Hessian $E''(S)$ in $T_S\mathscr{M} \cap N_S\mathscr{S}(r)$ is at least 3-dimensional.

2.7.4 The orbital stability

Here we prove Theorem 2.1.2 which is the main result of this chapter. For the proof is suffices to check the lower energy estimate (2.1.21):

$$E(X)-\omega_0 Z \geq \nu\, d^2_{\mathscr{Y}}(X,\mathscr{S}) \quad \text{if} \quad d_{\mathscr{Y}}(X,\mathscr{S})\leq \delta, \quad X\in\mathscr{M} \tag{2.7.26}$$

with some $\nu,\delta>0$. This estimate implies Theorem 2.1.2 since the energy is conserved along all trajectories. First, we prove similar lower bound for the energy Hessian.

Lemma 2.7.6. *Let conditions of Theorem 2.1.2 hold. Then for each $S\in\mathscr{S}$*

$$\langle Y, E''(S)Y\rangle > \nu\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{W}}, \qquad Y\in N_S\mathscr{S}\cap T_S\mathscr{M}, \tag{2.7.27}$$

where $\nu>0$.

Proof. First, we note that $E''(S)$ is not complex linear due to the integral in (2.1.11). Hence, we should express the action of $E''(S)$ in $\varphi_1(x):=\operatorname{Re}\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi_1(x):=\operatorname{Im}\varphi(x)$: formulas (2.7.8) and (2.7.4), (2.7.7) imply that similarly to (1.6.30),

$$E''(S)Y=\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta^{\otimes}+4e^2\Sigma^*(\psi_1^\alpha)G\tilde\Sigma(\psi_1^\alpha) & 4e^2\Sigma^*(\psi_1^\alpha)G\tilde\Sigma(\psi_2^\alpha) & 2L & 0\\ 4e^2\tilde\Sigma^*(\psi_2^\alpha)G\Sigma(\psi_1^\alpha) & -\Delta^{\otimes}+4e^2\Sigma^*(\psi_2^\alpha)G\tilde\Sigma(\psi_2^\alpha) & 0 & 0\\ 2L^* & 0 & T & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1}\end{pmatrix} Y \tag{2.7.28}$$

for $Y=(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varkappa,\pi)$. Similarly to (1.6.31), the operator L corresponds to the matrix

$$L(\overline{x},n):=e\Sigma^*(\psi^\alpha)G\nabla\sigma(x-n): \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3,\ n\in\Gamma, \tag{2.7.29}$$

while T coincides with (1.6.32).

Thus, $E''(S)$ is the selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{X}$ with the discrete spectrum, and (2.7.21) implies that the minimal eigenvalue of $E''(S)$ in the invariant space $N_S\mathscr{S}\cap T_S\mathscr{M}$ is positive. Therefore, (2.7.27) follows. □

The positivity (2.7.27) implies the lower energy estimate (2.7.26) since the higher-order terms in (2.7.1) are negligible by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7.7. *Let $\sigma(x)$ satisfy (1.1.1). Then the remainder (1.6.7) admits the bound*

$$|R(S,Y)|\leq C\|Y\|^3_{\mathscr{W}} \quad \text{for} \quad \|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}\leq 1. \tag{2.7.30}$$

Proof. Due to (2.7.9) it suffices to prove the estimates

$$\|\sqrt{G}\rho^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\leq C_1\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}, \quad \|\sqrt{G}\rho^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\leq C_2\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{W}} \quad \text{for} \quad \|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}\leq 1. \tag{2.7.31}$$

i) By (2.7.4) we have for $Y=(\varphi,\varkappa,\pi)$

$$\sqrt{G}\rho^{(1)}=\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)}-2e\sqrt{G}\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi \tag{2.7.32}$$

in the notation (2.7.6). The operator $\sqrt{G}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (1.2.1). Hence, (2.7.4) and (1.1.1) imply by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\leq C|\varkappa|. \tag{2.7.33}$$

Finally, applying the Cauchy–Schwarz and Hausdorff–Young inequalities to the second term on the RHS of (2.7.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{G}\Sigma(\psi^\alpha)\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\le C\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0}\frac{|\tilde\varphi(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^2}\Big]^{1/2}\le C\|\tilde\varphi\|_{L^4(\Gamma_N^*)}\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0}|\xi|^{-4}\Big]^{1/2}\\ &\le C_1\|\varphi\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T})}\le C_2\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}\end{aligned}\tag{2.7.34}$$

by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Hence, the first inequality (2.7.31) is proved.

ii) Now we prove the second inequality (2.7.31). According to (2.7.5),

$$\sqrt{G}\rho^{(2)}(x)=\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(2)}(x)-e\sqrt{G}\Sigma(\varphi)\varphi\tag{2.7.35}$$

Now (1.1.1) and the triangle inequality give

$$\|\sqrt{G}\sigma^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\le C|\varkappa|^2.\tag{2.7.36}$$

At last, we obtain similarly to (2.7.34)

$$\|\sqrt{G}\Sigma(\varphi)\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}\le C\Big[\sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_N^*\setminus 0}\frac{|\tilde\beta(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^2}\Big]^{1/2}\le C_1\|\beta\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T})}.\tag{2.7.37}$$

Finally, applying the triangle inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\beta\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T})} &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\varphi(\overline{x})|^{8/3}dx_j\Big]^{3/4}dx_1\ldots\widehat{dx_j}\ldots dx_{\overline{N}}\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\overline{N}-1}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_{x_j}\varphi(\overline{x})|^2dx_j\Big]dx_1\ldots\widehat{dx_j}\ldots dx_{\overline{N}}\le C\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{T})}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7.38}$$

Now the lemma is proved. □

Part II

Linear stability of infinite crystals

In the second part of present book, we consider infinite crystals with many ions per cell of a lattice.

We construct the ground state for 1D, 2D and 3D lattices in 3D space. The main results are well-posedness of the linearised dynamics in the space of finite-energy states and its dispersive decay.

The presentation mainly relies on our papers [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43] with suitable extensions.

Chapter 3

On existence of ground states

Abstract

A space-periodic ground state is shown to exist for lattices of smeared ions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ coupled to the Schrödinger and scalar fields. The elementary cell is necessarily neutral.

The 1D, 2D and 3D lattices in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are considered, and a ground state is constructed by minimizing the energy per cell. The case of a 3D lattice is rather standard, because the elementary cell is compact, and the spectrum of the Laplacian is discrete.

In the cases of 1D and 2D lattices, the energy functional is differentiable only on a dense set of variations, due to the presence of the continuous spectrum of the Laplacian that causes the infrared divergence of the Coulomb bond. Respectively, the construction of electrostatic potential and the derivation of the Schrödinger equation for the minimizer in these cases require an extra argument.

The space-periodic ground states for 1D and 2D lattices give the model of the nanostructures similar to the carbon nanotubes and graphene respectively.

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter we prove the existence of ground states for infinite crystals in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with d-dimensional ion lattices

$$\Gamma_d := \{\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{n}^1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_d\mathbf{n}^d : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $d = 1,2,3$ and $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are linearly independent periods. A 2D (respectively, 1D lattice) is a mathematical model of a monomolecular film (a wire).

The ions are considered as classical nonrelativistic particles governed by the Lorentz equations neglecting the magnetic field, while the electrons are described by the Schrödinger equation neglecting the electron spin. The scalar potential is the solution to the corresponding Poisson equation.

We consider the crystal with N ions per cell. Let us denote by ρ_j the charge density of an ion and by $M_j > 0$ its mass, $j = 1,...,N$. Then the coupled equations read

$$i\hbar\dot{\psi}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi(\mathbf{x},t) - e\phi(\mathbf{x},t)\psi(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.1.2}$$

$$-\Delta\phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \rho(\mathbf{x},t) := \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\rho_j(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})-\mathbf{x}_j(\mathbf{n},t)) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x},t)|^2, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.1.3}$$

$$M_j\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_j(\mathbf{n},t) = -(\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x},t), \rho_j(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})-\mathbf{x}_j(\mathbf{n},t))), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad j = 1,\dots,N. \tag{3.1.4}$$

Here $e > 0$ is the elementary charge, m is the electron mass, $\psi(\mathbf{x},t)$ denotes the wave function of the electron field, and $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ is the electrostatic potential generated by the ions and the electrons. Further, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ stands for

the scalar product in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. All derivatives here and below are understood in the sense of distributions. The system is nonlinear and translation invariant, i.e., $\psi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a},t)$, $\phi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a},t)$, $\mathbf{x}_j(\mathbf{n},t)+\mathbf{a}$ is also a solution for any $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{R}^3$.

The ground state is a Γ_d-periodic stationary solution $\psi^0(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega^0 t}$, $\phi^0(\mathbf{x})$, $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=(\mathbf{x}_1^0,\ \ldots,\mathbf{x}_N^0)$ of the system (3.1.2)–(3.1.4):

$$\hbar\omega^0\psi^0(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi^0(\mathbf{x})-e\phi^0(\mathbf{x})\psi^0(\mathbf{x}),\quad \mathbf{x}\in T_d, \tag{3.1.5}$$

$$-\Delta\phi^0(\mathbf{x}) = \rho^0(\mathbf{x}):=\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})-e|\psi^0(\mathbf{x})|^2,\qquad \mathbf{x}\in T_d, \tag{3.1.6}$$

$$0 = -\langle\nabla\phi^0(\mathbf{x}),\rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j^0)\rangle,\qquad j=1,\ldots,N. \tag{3.1.7}$$

Here, $T_d:=\mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma_d$ denotes the 'elementary cell' of the crystal, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the scalar product in the Hilbert space $L^2(T_d)$ and its different extensions, and

$$\sigma^0(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{j=1}^N\rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j^0),\quad \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\rho_j(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})), \tag{3.1.8}$$

where we assume that the series converge in an appropriate sense. More precisely, we will construct a solution to the system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) with $\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})$ given by the first equation of (3.1.8) where ρ_j^{per} satisfy the following condition:

Condition I. $\qquad \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}\in L^1(T_d)\cap L^2(T_d),\qquad j=1,...,N.$ (3.1.9)

Obviously, $\rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}\in L^1(T_d)$ if $\rho_j\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So we consider the case of smeared ions. The case of point ions we have considered in [39]. In the cases $d=2$ and $d=1$ we will assume additional conditions (3.3.11) and (3.4.9) respectively.

The elementary cell T_d is isomorphic to the 3D torus for $d=3$, to the direct product of the 2D torus by $\mathbb{R}$ for $d=2$, and to the direct product of the 1D torus (circle) by $\mathbb{R}^2$ for $d=1$.

The system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) is translation invariant similarly to (3.1.2)–(3.1.4). Let us note that ω^0 should be real since $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega^0\neq 0$ means an instability of the ground state: the decay as $t\to\infty$ in the case $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega^0<0$ and the explosion if $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega^0>0$.

Let us denote $Z_j:=\int_{T_d}\rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}/e$. Then

$$\int_{T_d}\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}=eZ,\qquad Z:=\sum_j Z_j. \tag{3.1.10}$$

The total charge per cell vanishes (cf. [6]):

$$\int_{T_d}\rho^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}=\int_{T_d}[\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})-e|\psi^0(\mathbf{x})|^2]d\mathbf{x}=0. \tag{3.1.11}$$

For $d=3$ this neutrality condition follows directly from equation (3.1.6) by integration using Γ_3-periodicity of $\phi^0(\mathbf{x})$. For $d=1$ and $d=2$ we will deduce it from the finiteness of energy per cell (see (3.3.8) and (3.4.6)). Equivalently, the neutrality condition can be written as the normalization

$$\int_{T_d}|\psi^0(\mathbf{x})|^2d\mathbf{x}=Z. \tag{3.1.12}$$

We allow arbitrary $Z_j\in\mathbb{R}$, however we assume that $Z>0$: otherwise the theory is trivial.

Let us comment on our approach. The neutrality condition (3.1.12) defines the submanifold $\mathscr{M}$ in the space $H^1(T_d)\times T_d^N$ of space-periodic configurations $(\psi^0,\overline{\mathbf{x}}^0)$. We construct a ground state as a minimizer over $\mathscr{M}$ of the energy per cell (3.2.3), (3.3.1), (3.4.1).

Our techniques in the case of 3D lattice is rather standard, and we use it as an 'Ariadne's thread' to manage the more complicated cases of 2D and 1D lattices, because the corresponding elementary cells are unbounded.

Namely, the derivation of the equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) for the minimizer in the cases of 2D and 1D lattices is not straightforward. The difficulty is that the energy per cell is finite only on a dense subset of $\mathscr{M}$ due to the infrared divergence of the Coulomb bond. In these cases we restrict ourselves by one ion per cell, i.e., by $N=1$. Then $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^0=\mathbf{x}_1^0$ can be chosen arbitrary because of the translation invariance of the system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7). Respectively, now the energy per cell should be minimized over $\psi\in M$, where M is the submanifold of $H^1(T_d)$ defined by the neutrality condition (3.1.12).

The main novelties of our approach behind the technical proofs for 2D and 1D lattices are as follows:

I. The energy per cell consists of two contributions: the kinetic energy, and the Coulomb bond. Generally, the Coulomb bond for 2D and 1D lattices is infinite due to the infrared divergence which is caused by the continuous spectrum of the Laplace operator on the corresponding elementary cells. The spectrum is continuous since the elementary cells are unbounded in the case of 2D and 1D lattices in R^3. Let us note that the continuous spectrum and the infrared singularity also appear in the Schrödinger–Poisson molecular systems in $\mathbb{R}^3$ studied in [5, 33, 65] where the singularity is summable, contrary to the space-periodic case.

We indicate suitable conditions (3.3.11), (3.4.9) which provide the finiteness of the Coulomb bond for a dense set of the fields in the case of 2D and 1D lattice respectively.

Both contributions to the energy per cell (the kinetic energy and the Coulomb bond) are nonnegative. Hence, for any minimizing sequence, both contributions are bounded. The bound for the kinetic energy ensures the compactness in each finite region of a cell by the Sobolev embedding theorem. However, this bound cannot prevent the decay of the electron field, i.e., its escape to infinity. Nevertheless, the Coulomb interaction prevents even the partial escape to infinity, as we show in Lemma 3.3.4. Physically this means that the electrostatic potential of the remaining positive charge becomes confining.

II. We construct the solution to the Poisson equation (3.1.6) as the contour integral, providing the continuity and a bound for the electrostatic potential. The main difficulty is a verification of the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5) for the minimizer. Namely, the Lagrange method of multipliers is not applicable because the energy per cell is infinite outside the submanifold $M\subset H^1(T_d)$ due to the infrared divergence of the Coulomb bond. Moreover, the Coulomb bond is infinite for a dense set of $\psi\in M$. Hence, to differentiate the energy functional, we should construct the smooth paths in M lying outside this dense set.

III. Finally, the proof that ω^0 is real (which is the stability condition for the ground state) is not straightforward for 2D and 1D lattices, since the potential $\phi^0(x)$ a priori can grow at infinity. The correponding bounds for the potentials are given by (3.3.15) and (3.4.12).

The minimization strategy ensures the existence of a ground state for any lattice (3.1.1). One could expect that a stable lattice should provide a local minimum of the energy per cell for fixed d, N and functions ρ_j, but this is still an open problem.

Let us comment on related works. For atomic systems in $\mathbb{R}^3$, a ground state was constructed by Lieb, Simon and P. Lions in the case of the Hartree and Hartree–Fock models [57, 62, 63], and by Nier for the Schrödinger–Poisson model [65]. The Hartree–Fock dynamics for molecular systems in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has been constructed by Cancès and Le Bris [11].

A mathematical theory of the stability of matter started from the pioneering works of Dyson, Lebowitz, Lenard, Lieb and others for the Schrödinger many body model [22, 53, 54, 56]; see the survey in [50]. Recently, the theory was extended to the quantized Maxwell field [55].

These results and methods were developed last two decades by Blanc, Le Bris, Catto, P. Lions and others to justify the thermodynamic limit for the Thomas–Fermi and Hartree–Fock models with space-periodic ion

arrangement [7, 14, 15, 16] and to construct the corresponding space-periodic ground states [17], see the survey and further references in [47].

Recently, Giuliani, Lebowitz and Lieb have established the periodicity of the thermodynamic limit in 1D local mean field model without the assumption of periodicity of the ion arrangement [28].

Cancès and others studied short-range perturbations of the Hartree–Fock model and proved that the density matrices of the perturbed and unperturbed ground states differ by a compact operator, [12, 13].

Let us note that 2D and 1D crystals in $\mathbb{R}^3$ were not considered previously. The space-periodic ground states for 1D and 2D lattices give the model of the nanostructures similar to the carbon nanotubes and graphene respectively.

The plan of this chapter is as follows. In Section 2, we consider the 3-dimensional lattice. In Section 3, we construct a ground state, derive equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) and study smoothness properties of a ground state for 2-dimensional lattice. In Section 4, we consider the 1-dimensional lattice. Finally, in Appendix we construct and estimate the potential for 1D lattice.

3.2 3D lattice

We consider the system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) for the corresponding functions on the torus $T_3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma_3$ and with $\mathbf{x}_j^0 \bmod \Gamma_3 \in T_3$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by H^s the complex Sobolev space on the torus T_3, and for $1 \le p \le \infty$, we denote by L^p the complex Lebesgue space of functions on T_3.

3.2.1 Energy per cell

The ground state will be constructed by minimizing the energy in the cell T_3. To this aim, we will minimize the energy with respect to $\overline{\mathbf{x}} := (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \in (T_3)^N$ and $\psi \in H^1$ satisfying the neutrality condition (3.1.11):

$$\int_{T_3} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \qquad \rho(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2. \tag{3.2.1}$$

where we set

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_j \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j), \tag{3.2.2}$$

similarly to (3.1.8). Let us note that $\rho \in L^2$ for $\psi \in H^1$ by our condition (3.1.9) since $\psi \in L^6$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

We define the energy in the periodic cell for $\psi \in H^1$ by

$$E(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}) := \int_{T_3} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}} |\nabla \psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}) \Big] d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x}) := (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho, \tag{3.2.3}$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-1}\rho$ is well-defined due to (3.2.1). Namely, consider the dual lattice

$$\Gamma_3^* = \{\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{b}_1 n_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 n_2 + \mathbf{b}_3 n_3 : \mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}, \tag{3.2.4}$$

where $\mathbf{b}_k \mathbf{a}_{k'} = 2\pi \delta_{kk'}$. Every function $\rho \in L^2$ admits the Fourier representation

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|T_3|}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma_3^*} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}, \qquad \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|T_3|}} \int e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.2.5}$$

Respectively, we set

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|T_3|}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma_3^* \setminus 0} \frac{\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}^2} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}. \tag{3.2.6}$$

This function $\phi \in H^2$ and satisfies the Poisson equation $-\Delta\phi = \rho$, since $\hat{\rho}(0) = 0$ due to the neutrality condition (3.2.1). Finally,

$$\int_{T_3} \phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.2.7}$$

Now it is clear that the energy (3.2.3) is finite for $\psi \in H^1$. Let us rewrite the energy as

$$E(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}) = I_1 + I_2, \tag{3.2.8}$$

where

$$I_1(\psi) := \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}} \int_{T_3} |\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \geq 0, \tag{3.2.9}$$

$$I_2(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_3} (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_3} |\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \geq 0. \tag{3.2.10}$$

The functional (3.2.3) is chosen, because

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \mathbf{x}_j} = -\langle (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{x}), \nabla \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) \rangle = \langle \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}), \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) \rangle, \tag{3.2.11}$$

and the variational derivatives *formally* reads

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \Psi(\mathbf{x})} = -2\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi - 2e(-\Delta)^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}) = -2\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi - 2e\phi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.2.12}$$

The variation in (3.2.12) is taken over $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = (\psi_1(\mathbf{x}), \psi_2(\mathbf{x})) \in L^2(T_3, \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{Re}\,\psi(x)$ and $\psi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{Im}\,\psi(x)$. Respectively, all the terms in (3.2.12) are identified with the corresponding $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued distributions.

3.2.2 Compactness of minimizing sequence

Our purpose here is to minimize the energy with respect to

$$(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \in \mathscr{M} := M \times T_3^N,$$

where M denotes the manifold (cf. (3.1.12))

$$M = \{\psi \in H^1 : \int_{T_3} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = Z\}. \tag{3.2.13}$$

The energy is bounded from below since $E(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \geq 0$ by (3.2.8)-(3.2.10). We choose a minimizing sequence $(\psi^n, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^n) \in \mathscr{M}$ such that

$$E(\psi^n, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^n) \to E^0 := \inf_{\mathscr{M}} E(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}), \qquad n \to \infty. \tag{3.2.14}$$

Our main result for a 3D lattice is the following:

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let condition (3.1.9) hold. Then*

i) There exists $(\psi^0, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0) \in \mathscr{M}$ with

$$E(\psi^0, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0) = E^0. \tag{3.2.15}$$

ii) Moreover, $\psi^0 \in H^2$ and satisfies equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) with $d = 3$, where the potential $\phi^0 \in H^2$ is real, and $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

To prove item i), let us denote

$$\rho^n(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma^n(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi^n(\mathbf{x})|^2, \qquad \sigma^n(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_j \rho_j^{\rm per}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{jn}). \tag{3.2.16}$$

Now the sequence ψ^n and the corresponding sequence $\phi^n := (-\Delta)^{-1}\rho^n$ are bounded in H^1 by (3.2.8)-(3.2.10), (3.2.7) and (3.2.13)-(3.2.14). Hence, both sequences are precompact in L^p for any $p \in [1,6)$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem [1, 72]. Therefore, the sequence ρ^n is precompact in L^2 by our assumption (3.1.9), and respectively, the sequence ϕ^n is precompact in H^2. As the result, there exist a subsequence $n' \to \infty$ for which

$$\psi^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^p} \psi^0, \qquad \phi^{n'} \xrightarrow{H^2} \phi^0, \qquad \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{n'} \to \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0, \qquad n' \to \infty \tag{3.2.17}$$

with any $p \in [1,6)$. Respectively,

$$\sigma^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2} \sigma^0, \qquad \rho^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2} \rho^0, \qquad n' \to \infty, \tag{3.2.18}$$

where $\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\rho^0(\mathbf{x})$ are defined by (3.1.8) and (3.1.6). Hence, the neutrality condition (3.1.11) holds, $(\psi^0, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0) \in \mathscr{M}$, $\phi^0 \in H^2$, and for these limit functions we have

$$-\Delta\phi^0 = \rho^0, \qquad \int_{T_3} \phi^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.2.19}$$

To prove identity (3.2.15), we take into account that $I_1(\psi)$ is lower semicontinuous on L^2, while $I_2(\phi)$ is continuous on H^2; i.e.,

$$I_1(\psi^0) \le \liminf_{n'\to\infty} I_1(\psi^{n'}), \qquad I_2(\phi^0) = \lim_{n'\to\infty} I_2(\phi^{n'}). \tag{3.2.20}$$

These limits, together with (3.2.14), imply that

$$E(\psi^0, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0) = I_1(\psi^0) + I_2(\phi^0) \le E^0. \tag{3.2.21}$$

Now (3.2.15) follows from the definition of E^0, since $(\psi^0, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0) \in \mathscr{M}$. Thus Theorem 3.2.1 i) is proved.

We will prove the item ii) in next sections.

3.2.3 Variation of the energy

Theorem 3.2.1 ii) follows from next proposition.

Proposition 3.2.2. *The limit functions* (3.2.17) *satisfy equations* (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) *with* $d = 3$ *and* $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Equation (3.1.6) is proved in (3.2.19), and the equation (3.1.7) follows from (3.2.11) and (3.2.15). It remains to prove the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5). Let us denote $\mathscr{E}(\psi) := E(\psi, \overline{\mathbf{x}}^0)$. We derive (3.1.5) in next sections, equating the variation of $\mathscr{E}(\cdot)|_M$ to zero at $\psi = \psi^0$. In this section we calculate the corresponding Gâteaux variational derivative.

We should work directly on M introducing an atlas in a neighborhood of ψ^0 in M. We define the atlas as the stereographic projection from the tangent plane $TM(\psi^0) = (\psi^0)^\perp := \{\psi \in H^1 : \langle \psi, \psi^0 \rangle = 0\}$ to the sphere (3.2.13):

$$\psi_\tau = \frac{\psi^0 + \tau}{\|\psi^0 + \tau\|_{L^2}}\sqrt{Z}, \qquad \tau \in (\psi^0)^\perp. \tag{3.2.22}$$

Obviously,

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \psi_{\varepsilon\tau} = \tau, \qquad \tau \in (\psi^0)^\perp, \tag{3.2.23}$$

where the derivative exists in H^1. We define the 'Gâteaux derivative' of $\mathscr{E}(\cdot)|_M$ as

$$D_\tau \mathscr{E}(\psi^0) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\mathscr{E}(\psi_{\varepsilon\tau}) - \mathscr{E}(\psi^0)}{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.2.24}$$

if this limit exists. We should restrict the set of allowed tangent vectors τ.

Definition 3.2.3. *$\mathscr{T}^0$ is the space of test functions $\tau \in (\psi^0)^\perp \cap C^\infty(T_3)$.*

Obviously, $\mathscr{T}^0$ is dense in $(\psi^0)^\perp$ in the norm of H^1. Let us rewrite the energy (3.2.3) as

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi) := \int_{T_3} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda\rho(\mathbf{x})|^2\Big]d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \rho(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2, \tag{3.2.25}$$

where $\Lambda := (-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ is defined similarly to (3.2.6):

$$\Lambda\rho(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|T_3|}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_3^*\setminus 0} \frac{\hat\rho(\mathbf{k})}{|\mathbf{k}|} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \in L^2 \qquad \text{for} \qquad \rho \in L^2. \tag{3.2.26}$$

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let $\tau \in \mathscr{T}^0$. Then the derivative (3.2.24) exists, and (cf. (3.2.12)),*

$$D_\tau\mathscr{E}(\psi^0) = \int_{T_3} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla\tau\overline{\nabla\psi^0} + \nabla\psi^0\overline{\nabla\tau}) - e\Lambda\rho^0\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0} + \psi^0\overline{\tau})\Big]d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.2.27}$$

Proof. Let us denote $\rho_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2$.

Lemma 3.2.5. *For $\tau \in \mathscr{T}^0$ we have*

$$D_\tau\Lambda\rho := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau} - \Lambda\rho^0}{\varepsilon} = e\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0} + \psi^0\overline{\tau}), \tag{3.2.28}$$

where the limit converges in L^2.

Proof. In the polar coordinates

$$\psi_{\varepsilon\tau} = (\psi^0 + \varepsilon\tau)\cos\alpha, \qquad \alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon) = \arctan\frac{\varepsilon\|\tau\|_{L^2}}{\|\psi^0\|_{L^2}}. \tag{3.2.29}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau} &= \Lambda\sigma^0 - e\cos^2\alpha\Lambda|\psi^0 + \varepsilon\tau|^2 \\ &= \Lambda\rho^0 - e\varepsilon\cos^2\alpha\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0} + \psi^0\overline{\tau}) + e\Lambda[\varepsilon^2|\tau|^2\cos^2\alpha - |\psi^0|^2\sin^2\alpha].\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.30}$$

Here $\Lambda\rho^0 \in L^2$ since $\rho^0 \in L^2$, and similarly $\Lambda[\psi^0\overline{\tau}] \in L^2$ since $\psi^0\overline{\tau} \in L^2$. It remains to estimate the last term of (3.2.30),

$$R_\varepsilon := \Lambda[\varepsilon^2|\tau|^2\cos^2\alpha - |\psi^0|^2\sin^2\alpha]. \tag{3.2.31}$$

Here $|\psi^0|^2 \in L^2$ since $\psi^0 \in H^1 \subset L^6$. Finally, $|\tau|^2 \in L^2$ and $\sin^2\alpha \sim \varepsilon^2$. Hence, the convergence (3.2.28) holds in L^2. □

Now (3.2.27) follows by differentiation in ε of (3.2.25) with $\psi = \psi_{\varepsilon\tau}$ and $\rho = \rho_{\varepsilon\tau}$. □

3.2.4 The variational identity

Since ψ^0 is a minimal point, the Gâteaux derivative (3.2.27) vanishes:

$$\int_{T_2} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla\tau\overline{\nabla\psi^0} + \nabla\psi^0\overline{\nabla\tau}) - e\Lambda\rho^0\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0} + \psi^0\overline{\tau})\Big]d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.2.32}$$

Substituting $i\tau$ instead of τ in this identity and subtracting, we obtain

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\langle\Delta\psi^0, \tau\rangle - e\langle\Lambda\rho^0, \Lambda(\overline{\psi^0}\tau)\rangle = 0. \tag{3.2.33}$$

Next step we should evaluate the "nonlinear" term.

Lemma 3.2.6. *For the limit functions* (3.2.17)–(3.2.18) *we have*

$$\langle \Lambda\rho^0, \Lambda(\overline{\psi^0}\tau)\rangle = \langle \phi^0\psi^0, \tau\rangle, \qquad \tau \in \mathscr{T}^0. \tag{3.2.34}$$

Proof. Let us substitute $\rho^0 = -\Delta\phi^0$. Then, by the Parseval–Plancherel identity,

$$\langle \Lambda\rho^0, \Lambda(\overline{\psi^0}\tau)\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_3^*\setminus 0} \frac{\mathbf{k}^2\hat{\phi}^0(\mathbf{k})}{|\mathbf{k}|}\cdot\frac{\widehat{\overline{\psi^0}\tau}(\mathbf{k})}{|\mathbf{k}|} = \langle \hat{\phi}^0, \widehat{\overline{\psi^0}\tau}\rangle = \langle \phi^0, \overline{\psi^0}\tau\rangle = \langle \phi^0\psi^0, \tau\rangle. \tag{3.2.35}$$

which proves (3.2.34). □

Using (3.2.34), we can rewrite (3.2.33) as the variational identity (cf. (3.2.12))

$$\langle -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi^0 - e\phi^0\psi^0, \tau\rangle = 0, \qquad \tau \in \mathscr{T}^0. \tag{3.2.36}$$

3.2.5 The Schrödinger equation

Now we prove the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5) with $d = 3$.

Lemma 3.2.7. *ψ^0 is the eigenfunction of the Schrödinger operator $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta + e\phi^0$:*

$$H\psi^0 = \lambda\psi^0, \tag{3.2.37}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First, $H\psi^0$ is a well-defined distribution since $\phi^0 \in H^2 \subset C(T_3)$ by (3.2.17). Second, $\psi^0 \neq 0$ since $\psi^0 \in M$ and $Z > 0$. Hence, there exists a test function $\theta \in C^\infty(T_3)\setminus\mathscr{T}^0$, i.e.,

$$\langle \psi^0, \theta\rangle \neq 0. \tag{3.2.38}$$

Then

$$\langle (H-\lambda)\psi^0, \theta\rangle = 0. \tag{3.2.39}$$

for an appropriate $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. However, $(H-\lambda)\psi^0$ also annihilates $\mathscr{T}^0$ by (3.2.36), hence it annihilates the whole space $C^\infty(T_3)$. This implies (3.2.37) in the sense of distributions with a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, the potential is real, and $\phi^0 \in C(T_3)$. Hence, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. □

This lemma implies equation (3.1.5) with $\hbar\omega^0 = \lambda$. Hence, $\psi^0 \in H^2$ since $\phi^0 \in C(T_3)$. Now Theorem 3.2.1 ii) is proved.

3.2.6 Smoothness of ground state

We have proved that $\psi^0 \in H^2$ under condition (3.1.9). Using the Schrödinger equation (3.2.37) we can improve further the smoothness of ψ^0 strengthening the condition (3.1.9). Namely, let us assume that

$$\rho_j^{\mathrm{per}} \in C^\infty(T_3), \qquad j = 1,\dots,N. \tag{3.2.40}$$

Then also

$$\sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^N \rho_j^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j^0) \in C^\infty(T_3). \tag{3.2.41}$$

For example, (3.2.40) and (3.2.41) hold if $\rho_j \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the Schwartz space of test functions.

Lemma 3.2.8. *Let condition (3.2.40) hold, and $\psi^0 \in H^2$, $\phi^0 \in H^2$ be a solution to equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) with $d = 3$ and some $\mathbf{x} \in T_3^N$. Then the functions ψ^0 and ϕ^0 are smooth.*

Proof. First, $\phi^0\psi^0 \in H^2$ since H^s is the algebra for $s > 3/2$. Hence, equation (3.1.5) implies that

$$\psi^0 \in H^4 \subset C^2(T_3). \tag{3.2.42}$$

Now $\rho^0 := \sigma^0 + e|\psi^0|^2 \in H^4$ by (3.2.40). Then (3.1.6) implies that $\phi^0 \in H^6 \subset C^4(T_3)$. Hence, $\phi^0\psi^0 \in H^4$, $\psi^0 \in H^6$, $\rho^0 \in H^6$, etc. □

3.3 2D lattice

For simplicity of notation we will consider the 2D lattice $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and construct a solution to system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) for the corresponding functions on the 'cylindrical cell' $T_2 := \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with the coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now we denote by H^s the complex Sobolev space on T_2, and by L^p, the complex Lebesgue space of functions on T_2.

We will construct a ground state by minimizing the energy (3.2.3), where the integral is extended over T_2 instead of T_3. The neutrality condition of type (3.2.1) holds for Γ_2-periodic states with finite energy, as we show below.

3.3.1 The energy per cell

We restrict ourselves by $N = 1$, so $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{x}_1^0$ can be chosen arbitrary because of the translation invariance of the system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7). For example, we can set $\mathbf{x}_1^0 = 0$.

The energy in the cylindrical cell T_2 is defined similarly to (3.2.3), which we rewrite as (3.2.25):

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi) := \int_{T_2} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda\rho(\mathbf{x})|^2\Big]d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \rho(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2. \tag{3.3.1}$$

Here $\sigma^0(\mathbf{x})$ is defined by (3.2.2) with $N = 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_1^0 = 0$:

$$\sigma^0 = \rho_1^{\mathrm{per}} \in L^1 \cap L^2 \tag{3.3.2}$$

according to our condition (3.1.9). Hence, we have

$$\int_{T_2} \sigma^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = eZ_1, \quad Z_1 > 0. \tag{3.3.3}$$

Further, Λ is the operator $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ defined by the Fourier transform. Namely, we denote $\Gamma_2^* = 2\pi\Gamma_2$, and define the Fourier representation for the test functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(T_2)$ by

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_2^*} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 x_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x_3} \hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, \xi)d\xi, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in T_2, \tag{3.3.4}$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, \xi) = F\varphi(\mathbf{k}, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{T_2} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 x_2 + \xi x_3)} \varphi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (\mathbf{k}, \xi) \in \Sigma_2 := \Gamma_2^* \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.3.5}$$

The operator Λ is defined for $\varphi \in L^1 \cap L^2$ by

$$\Lambda\varphi = F^{-1} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, \xi)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + \xi^2}} \tag{3.3.6}$$

provided the quotient belongs to $L^2(\Sigma_2)$. In this case

$$\hat{\varphi}(0,0) = 0. \tag{3.3.7}$$

Let us note that $\rho \in L^1 \cap L^2$ for $\psi \in H^1$ by our condition (3.1.9) since $\psi \in L^p$ with $p \in [2,6]$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. For $\psi \in H^1$ with finite energy (3.3.1) we have $\Lambda\rho \in L^2(\Sigma_2)$. Therefore, (3.3.7) with $\varphi = \rho$ implies the neutrality condition (3.1.11) with $d = 2$:

$$\hat{\rho}(0,0) = \int_{T_2} \rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \int_{T_2} [\sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2]d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.3.8}$$

In other words, the finiteness of the Coulomb energy $\|\Lambda\rho\|^2$ prevents the electron charge from escaping to infinity, as mentioned in Introduction. Now (3.3.3) gives

$$\int_{T_2} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = Z_1. \tag{3.3.9}$$

Definition 3.3.1. *M_2 denotes the set of $\psi \in H^1$ satisfying the neutrality condition* (3.3.9).

It is important that the energy be finite for a nonempty set of $\psi \in H^1$. To find the corresponding condition, let us rewrite the energy (3.3.1) using the Parseval-Plancherel identity:

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_2^*} \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{k}^2 + \xi^2)|\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \xi^2} d\xi. \tag{3.3.10}$$

Here the first term on the right hand side is finite for all $\psi \in H^1$. The second term is finite up to the infrared divergence at the point $(\mathbf{k},\xi) = (0,0)$ since $\rho \in L^2(\Sigma_2)$ for $\psi \in H^1$.

We note that (3.3.3) can be written as $\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}(0) - eZ_1 = 0$. We will assume that moreover,

$$\textbf{Condition II.} \qquad \frac{\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}(0,\xi) - eZ_1}{|\xi|} \in L^2(-1,1). \tag{3.3.11}$$

For example, this condition holds, provided that

$$\int_{T_2} |x^3||\rho_1^{\mathrm{per}}(\mathbf{x})|d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{3.3.12}$$

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let conditions* (3.1.9) *and* (3.3.11) *hold, $N = 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_1^0 \in T_2$. Then the energy* (3.3.10) *is finite for a dense set of $\psi \in H^1$.*

Proof. By definition, $\hat{\rho}(0,\xi) = \hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}(0,\xi) - e\hat{P}(0,\xi)$, where $P(\mathbf{x}) := |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$. Hence, (3.3.11) implies that the energy (3.3.10) is finite for $\psi \in M_2$ with finite momenta $\int_{T_2} |x^3|\,|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} < \infty$. □

3.3.2 Compactness of minimizing sequence

Similarly to the 3D case, the energy is nonnegative, and we choose a minimizing sequence $\psi^n \in M_2$ such that

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^n) \to \mathscr{E}^0 := \inf_{M_2} \mathscr{E}(\psi), \qquad n \to \infty. \tag{3.3.13}$$

The second main result of this chapter is the following.

Theorem 3.3.3. *Let conditions* (3.1.9) *and* (3.3.11) *hold, and* $N = 1$. *Then*

i) There exists $\psi^0 \in M_2$ *with*

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^0) = \mathscr{E}^0. \tag{3.3.14}$$

ii) Moreover, $\psi^0 \in H^2_{\rm loc}(T_2)$ *and satisfies equations* (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) *with* $d = 2$, *where the potential* $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\rm loc}(T_2)$ *is real,* $\mathbf{x}^0_1 = 0$, *and* $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

iii) The following bound holds

$$|\phi^0(\mathbf{x})| \le C(1+|x_3|)^{1/2}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in T_2. \tag{3.3.15}$$

To prove item i), let us note that the sequence ψ^n is bounded in H^1 due to (3.3.1), (3.3.9) and (3.3.13). Hence, by the Sobolev embedding theorem [1, 72], the sequence ψ^n is bounded in L^p with each $p \in [2,6)$ and compact in $L^p_R := L^p(T_2(R))$ for any $R > 0$, where $T_2(R) = \{\mathbf{x} \in T_2 : \ |x_3| < R\}$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence

$$\psi^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^p_R} \psi^0, \qquad \rho^{n'} := \rho_1^{\rm per} - e|\psi^{n'}|^2 \xrightarrow{L^2_R} \rho^0, \qquad n' \to \infty, \quad \forall R > 0, \tag{3.3.16}$$

since $\rho_1^{\rm per} \in L^1 \cap L^2$ by (3.1.9). Hence, $\psi^0 \in H^1 \cap L^p$, and

$$\rho^0(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_1^{\rm per}(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi^0(\mathbf{x})|^2 \in L^1 \cap L^2. \tag{3.3.17}$$

Next problem is to check the neutrality condition (3.3.9) for the limit charge density ρ^0 since the convergence (3.3.16) itself is not sufficient.

Lemma 3.3.4. *The limit function* $\psi^0 \in M_2$, *and the energy* (3.3.1) *for* ψ^0 *is finite.*

Proof. Let us prove that

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^0) \le \mathscr{E}^0. \tag{3.3.18}$$

Indeed, (3.3.10) with $\psi = \psi^{n'}$ reads

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^{n'}) := \Big\langle \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}} |f^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|g^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 \Big\rangle_{\Sigma_2}, \tag{3.3.19}$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_{\Sigma_2}$ stands for $\displaystyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \, d\xi$ and

$$f^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi) := \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}\,\hat\psi^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi), \qquad g^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi) := \frac{\hat\rho^{n'}(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}}.$$

The functions $\hat\psi^{n'}$ and $\hat\rho^{n'}$ are bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_2)$, and are converging in the sense of distributions due to (3.3.16). Hence,

$$\hat\psi^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2_w} \hat\psi^0, \qquad \hat\rho^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2_w} \hat\rho^0, \qquad n' \to \infty. \tag{3.3.20}$$

Similarly, the functions $f^{n'}$ and $g^{n'}$ are bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_2)$ by (3.3.19), (3.3.13), and are converging in the sense of distributions due to (3.3.20). Therefore,

$$f^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2_w} f^0, \qquad g^{n'} \xrightarrow{L^2_w} g^0, \qquad n' \to \infty. \tag{3.3.21}$$

Hence, for the limit functions,

$$f^0(\mathbf{k},\xi) = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}\,\hat\psi^0(\mathbf{k},\xi), \qquad g^0(\mathbf{k},\xi) = \frac{\hat\rho^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}}, \qquad a.a.\ (\mathbf{k},\xi) \in \Sigma_2.$$

Therefore, (3.3.18) holds since

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^0) = \Big\langle \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}|f^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|g^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 \Big\rangle_{\Sigma_2} \le \mathscr{E}^0 \tag{3.3.22}$$

by the week convergence (3.3.21). In particular,

$$\Lambda\rho^0 \in L^2. \tag{3.3.23}$$

Therefore, $\hat\rho^0(0,0) = 0$ as in (3.3.8) since $\rho^0 \in L^1$ by (3.3.17). Hence, $\psi^0 \in M_2$. □

Now (3.3.18) implies (3.3.14). Thus Theorem 3.3.3 i) is proved.

3.3.3 The Poisson equation

Our aim here is to construct the potential which is the solution to the Poisson equation (3.1.6) with $d = 2$. It suffices to solve the equation

$$\nabla\phi^0(\mathbf{x}) = G^0(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in T_2, \tag{3.3.24}$$

where $G^0(\mathbf{x}) := -iF^{-1}\frac{(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}\hat\rho^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)$ is a real vector field, $G^0 \in L^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ by (3.3.23), and $\mathrm{rot}G^0(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$.

Lemma 3.3.5. *The equation* (3.3.24) *admits real solution* $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_2)$ *which is unique up to an additive constant, and satisfies the bound* (3.3.15).

Proof. The uniqueness up to constant is obvious. If the solution exists, then $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_2)$ by (3.3.17). Local solutions exist since $\mathrm{rot}\, G^0(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$. However, the existence of the global solution is not obvious since the cell T_2 is not 1-connected.

We will prove the existence using the following arguments. Formally $\phi^0(x) = F^{-1}\frac{\hat\rho^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}$. However, the last expression is not correctly defined distribution in the neighborhood of the point $(0,0)$. To avoid this infrared divergence, we split $\hat\rho^0 = \hat\rho_1 + \hat\rho_2$ where

$$\hat\rho_1(\mathbf{k},\xi) = \begin{cases} \hat\rho^0(0,\xi), & \mathbf{k} = 0,\ |\xi| < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3.25}$$

Respectively, $G^0 = G_1 + G_2$, and the solution $\phi^0 = \phi_1 + \phi_2$. Obviously,

$$G_1(\mathbf{x}) = -iF^{-1}\frac{(0,\xi)}{\xi^2}\hat\rho_1(0,\xi) = \mathbf{e}_3 g_1(x_3), \qquad \mathbf{e}_3 := (0,0,1), \tag{3.3.26}$$

and $g_1(x_3)$ is a smooth function. Moreover, (3.3.17) implies that $g_1(x_3)$ is the real function, and $g_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since $G^0 \in L^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence, the solution $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{x_3} g_1(s)ds$ is smooth and continuous, and depends on x_3 only. The bound (3.3.15) for ϕ_1 follows by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

The second solution is given by $\phi_2(\mathbf{x}) = F^{-1}\frac{\hat\rho_2(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}$, where $\hat\rho_2 \in L^2(\Sigma_2)$ by (3.3.17). Moreover, $\hat\rho_2(0,\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| < 1$, and hence $\phi_2 \in H^2$. □

Remarks 3.3.6. *i) The function* $\phi^0(\mathbf{x}) = (1+|x_3|)^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ *with* $\varepsilon > 0$ *shows that the bound* (3.3.15) *is exact under the condition* $\nabla\phi^0 \in L^2$. *Note that the potential of uniformly charged plane grows linearly with the distance.*

ii) In the Fourier transform, (3.3.24) *implies that*

$$(\mathbf{k},\xi)\hat\phi^0(\mathbf{k},\xi) \in L^2(\Sigma_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3. \tag{3.3.27}$$

3.3.4 Variation of the energy

Theorem 3.3.3 ii) follows from next proposition.

Proposition 3.3.7. *The functions ψ^0, ϕ^0 satisfy equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) with $d=2$ and $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}$.*

The equation (3.1.6) is proved above, and the equation (3.1.7) follows from (3.2.11) and (3.3.14) by the translation invariance of the energy. It remains to prove the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5). We are going to derive (3.1.5), equating the variation of $\mathscr{E}(\psi)|_{M_2}$ to zero at $\psi=\psi^0$. In this section we calculate the corresponding Gâteaux variational derivative.

Similarly to (3.2.22), we define the atlas in a neighborhood of ψ^0 in M_2 as the stereographic projection from the tangent plane $TM_2(\psi^0)=(\psi^0)^\perp := \{\psi \in H^1 : \langle \psi, \psi^0\rangle = 0\}$ to the sphere (3.3.9):

$$\psi_\tau = \frac{\psi^0+\tau}{\|\psi^0+\tau\|_{L^2}}\sqrt{Z_1}, \qquad \tau \in (\psi^0)^\perp. \tag{3.3.28}$$

Definition 3.3.8. *$\mathscr{T}^0$ is the space of test functions $\tau \in (\psi^0)^\perp \cap C_0^\infty(T_2)$.*

Obviously, $\mathscr{T}^0$ is dense in $(\psi^0)^\perp$ in the norm of H^1.

Lemma 3.3.9. *Let $\tau \in \mathscr{T}^0$. Then*

i) *The energy $\mathscr{E}(\psi_{\varepsilon\tau})$ is finite for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.*

ii) *The Gâteaux derivative (3.2.24) exists, and similarly to (3.2.27),*

$$D_\tau \mathscr{E}(\psi^0) = \int_{T_2}\Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}(\nabla\tau\overline{\nabla\psi^0}+\nabla\psi^0\overline{\nabla\tau}) - e\Lambda\rho^0\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0}+\psi^0\overline{\tau})\Big]d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.3.29}$$

Proof. i) We should prove the bound

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi_{\varepsilon\tau}) := \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\int_{T_2}|\nabla\psi_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{T_2}|\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} < \infty, \tag{3.3.30}$$

where $\rho_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi_{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{x})|^2$. The first integral in (3.3.30) is finite, since $\psi_{\varepsilon\tau} \in H^1$.

Lemma 3.3.10. *$\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau} \in L^2$ for $\tau \in \mathscr{T}^0$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and*

$$D_\tau\Lambda\rho := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau}-\Lambda\rho^0}{\varepsilon} = e\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0}+\psi^0\overline{\tau}), \tag{3.3.31}$$

where the limit converges in L^2.

Proof. We use the polar coordinates (3.2.29) and the corresponding representation (3.2.30):

$$\Lambda\rho_{\varepsilon\tau} = \Lambda\rho^0 + e\varepsilon\cos^2\alpha\,\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0}+\psi^0\overline{\tau}) - e\Lambda[\varepsilon^2|\tau|^2\cos^2\alpha - |\psi^0|^2\sin^2\alpha]. \tag{3.3.32}$$

Now $\Lambda\rho^0 \in L^2$ according to (3.3.23). Further, $\Lambda[\tau\overline{\psi^0}] \in L^2$ by the following arguments:

a) $\tau\overline{\psi^0} \in L^2$,

b) $\widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}$ is the smooth function on Σ_2, and

c) the orthogonality $\tau \perp \psi^0$ implies that

$$\widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}(0,0) = 0. \tag{3.3.33}$$

It remains to estimate the last term of (3.3.32), Let us denote $T(\mathbf{x}) := |\tau(\mathbf{x})|^2$ and $P(\mathbf{x}) := |\psi^0(\mathbf{x})|^2$. Then the last term (up to a constant factor) reads

$$R_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \Lambda[\varepsilon^2 T(\mathbf{x})\cos^2\alpha - P(\mathbf{x})\sin^2\alpha]. \tag{3.3.34}$$

Lemma 3.3.11. *$R_\varepsilon \in L^2$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and*

$$\|R_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = \mathscr{O}(\varepsilon^2), \qquad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.3.35}$$

Proof. i) It suffices to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\varepsilon^2 \hat{T}(0,\xi)\cos^2\alpha - \hat{P}(0,\xi)\sin^2\alpha}{|\xi|} \\ = \quad &\frac{(\varepsilon^2\hat{T}(0,\xi) - Z_1\tan^2\alpha)\cos^2\alpha}{|\xi|} - \frac{(\hat{P}(0,\xi)-Z_1)\sin^2\alpha}{|\xi|} \in L^2(-1,1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.36}$$

Let us consider each term of the last line of (3.3.36) separately.

1) The first quotient belongs to $L^2(-1,1)$, since

$$\varepsilon^2\hat{T}(0,0) - Z_1\tan^2\alpha = \int_{T_2} \varepsilon^2|\tau|^2 d\mathbf{x} - Z_1\tan^2\alpha = 0 \tag{3.3.37}$$

by the definition of α in (3.2.29) since $\|\psi^0\| = \sqrt{Z_1}$.

2) The second quotient belongs to $L^2(-1,1)$, since

$$\frac{\hat{\rho}^0}{|\xi|} = \frac{\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}}{|\xi|} - e\frac{\hat{P}}{|\xi|} = \frac{\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}} + eZ_1}{|\xi|} - e\frac{\hat{P}-Z_1}{|\xi|}, \tag{3.3.38}$$

where all the functions are taken at the point $(0,\xi)$. Here the left-hand side belongs to $L^2(-1,1)$, since $\Lambda\rho^0 \in L^2$, while the first term on the right belongs to $L^2(-1,1)$ by our assumption (3.3.11).

ii) The bound (3.3.35) holds for both terms of (3.3.36) by the arguments above since $\tan\alpha \sim \sin\alpha \sim \varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. □

Formula (3.3.32) implies (3.3.31), where the limit converges in L^2 by (3.3.35). □

ii) Lemma 3.3.10 implies the bound (3.3.30). Formula (3.3.29) follows by differentiation of (3.3.30) in ε. □

3.3.5 The variational identity

Since ψ^0 is a minimal point, the Gâteaux derivative (3.3.29) vanishes:

$$\int_{T_2}\Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}(\nabla\tau\overline{\nabla\psi^0} + \nabla\psi^0\overline{\nabla\tau}) - e\Lambda\rho^0\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0} + \psi^0\overline{\tau})\Big]d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.3.39}$$

Substituting $i\tau$ instead of τ in this identity and subtracting, we obtain

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\langle\Delta\psi^0,\tau\rangle - e\langle\Lambda\rho^0,\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0})\rangle = 0. \tag{3.3.40}$$

Next step we should evaluate the "nonlinear" term.

Lemma 3.3.12. *For the limit functions (3.3.16) we have*

$$\langle\Lambda\rho^0,\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0})\rangle = \langle\phi^0\psi^0,\tau\rangle, \qquad \tau \in \mathscr{T}^0, \tag{3.3.41}$$

where ϕ^0 is any potential satisfying (3.3.24).

Proof. First we note that $\Lambda\rho^0 \in L^2$ by 3.3.23), and $\Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0}) \in L^2$ as we have established in the proof of Lemma 3.3.10. Moreover, $\rho^0 = -\Delta\phi^0$. Then, by the Parseval–Plancherel identity,

$$\langle \Lambda\rho^0, \Lambda(\tau\overline{\psi^0})\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_2^*\setminus 0} \int \hat\phi^0(\mathbf{k},\xi)\overline{\widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}(\mathbf{k},\xi)}d\xi + \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+}\int_{|\xi|>\varepsilon} \hat\phi^0(0,\xi)\overline{\widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}(0,\xi)}d\xi = \langle \hat\phi^0, \widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}\rangle, \quad (3.3.42)$$

where $\hat\phi^0$ is the distribution on Σ_2. The last identity holds (and the right hand side is well defined) by (3.3.33) since $\xi\hat\phi^0(0,\xi) \in L^2(-1,1)$ due to (3.3.24) with $G^0 \in L^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$. Finally,

$$\langle \hat\phi^0, \widehat{\tau\overline{\psi^0}}\rangle = \langle \phi^0, \tau\overline{\psi^0}\rangle = \int \phi^0(\mathbf{x})\overline{\tau}(\mathbf{x})\psi^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \quad (3.3.43)$$

by an obvious extension of the Parseval–Plancherel identity. □

Using (3.3.41), we can rewrite (3.3.40) as the variational identity similar to (3.2.36):

$$\langle -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\Delta\psi^0 - e\phi^0\psi^0, \tau\rangle = 0, \qquad \tau \in \mathscr{T}^0. \quad (3.3.44)$$

3.3.6 The Schrödinger equation

Now we prove the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5) with $d = 2$.

Lemma 3.3.13. *ψ^0 is the eigenfunction of the Schrödinger operator:*

$$H\psi^0 = \lambda\psi^0, \quad (3.3.45)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. This equation with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ follows as in Lemma 3.2.7. It remains to verify that λ is real. Our plan is standard: to multiply (3.3.45) by ψ^0 and to integrate. *Formally*, we would obtain

$$\langle H\psi^0, \psi^0\rangle = \lambda\langle \psi^0, \psi^0\rangle. \quad (3.3.46)$$

However, it is not clear that the left-hand side is well defined and real since the potential $\phi^0(\mathbf{x})$ can grow by (3.3.15).

To avoid this problem, we multiply by a function $\psi_\varepsilon \in H^1$ with compact support, where $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\|\psi_\varepsilon - \psi^0\|_{H^1} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$\langle H\psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle = \lambda\langle \psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle, \quad (3.3.47)$$

and the right-hand side converges to the one of (3.3.46) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence, the left-hand sides also converge. In detail,

$$\langle H\psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}\langle \Delta\psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle + \langle \phi^0\psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle. \quad (3.3.48)$$

For the middle term, the limit exists and is real. Therefore, identity (3.3.47) implies that the last term is also converging, and hence it remains to make its limit real by a suitable choice of approximations ψ_ε. We note that

$$\langle \phi^0\psi^0, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\langle \phi^0\psi_\delta, \psi_\varepsilon\rangle = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\langle \phi^0, \overline{\psi}_\delta\psi_\varepsilon\rangle, \quad (3.3.49)$$

since $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_2) \subset C(T_2)$. Hence, we can set

$$\psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \chi(\varepsilon x_3)\psi^0(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.3.50)$$

where χ is a real function from $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\psi(0) = 1$. Now the functions $\overline{\psi}_\delta(\mathbf{x})\psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ are real for all $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. It remains to note that the potential $\phi^0(\mathbf{x})$ is also real by Lemma 3.3.5. □

This lemma implies equation (3.1.5). Therefore, $\psi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_2)$ since $\phi^0 \in C(T_2)$. Theorem 3.3.3 ii) is proved.

3.3.7 Smoothness of ground state

We have proved that $\psi^0 \in H^2_{\rm loc}(T_2)$ under conditions (3.1.9) and (3.3.11). Using the Schrödinger equation (3.1.5) we can improve the smoothness of ψ^0 strengthening the condition (3.1.9). Namely, let us assume that

$$\rho_1^{\rm per} \in C^\infty(T_2). \tag{3.3.51}$$

For example, (3.3.51) holds if $\rho_1 \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the Schwartz space of test functions.

Lemma 3.3.14. *Let condition* (3.3.51) *hold, and* $\psi^0 \in H^2_{\rm loc}(T_2)$, $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\rm loc}(T_2)$ *is a solution to equations* (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) *with* $d = 2$. *Then the functions* ψ^0, ϕ^0 *are smooth.*

The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 3.2.8.

3.4 1D lattice

The case of a one dimensional lattice Γ_1 is very similar to the 2D case, though some of our constructions and arguments require suitable modifications. For $d = 1$ we can assume $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ without loss of generality and construct a solution to system (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) for the corresponding functions on the 'slab' $T_1 := \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where $x_1 \in \mathbb{T}^1$, and $(x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Now we denote by H^s the complex Sobolev space on T_1, and by L^p, the complex Lebesgue space of functions on T_1.

3.4.1 The existence of ground state

The existence of the ground state follows by minimizing the energy (3.2.3), where the integral is extended over T_1 instead of T_3. The neutrality condition of type (3.2.1) holds for Γ_1-periodic states with finite energy, as for $d = 2$.

Again we restrict ourselves by $N = 1$, so $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{x}_1^0$ can be chosen arbitrary, and we set $\mathbf{x}_1^0 = 0$.

The energy in the slab T_1 is defined by expression similar to (3.3.1):

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi) := \int_{T_1} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda\rho(\mathbf{x})|^2\Big]d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \rho(\mathbf{x}) := \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2. \tag{3.4.1}$$

Here $\sigma^0 = \rho_i^{\rm per} \in L^1 \cap L^2$ as in (3.3.2). Hence,

$$\int_{T_1} \sigma^0(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = eZ_1, \quad Z_1 > 0. \tag{3.4.2}$$

Now the Fourier representation for the test functions $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(T_1)$ is defined by

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_1^*} e^{-i\mathbf{k}x_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(\xi_1x_2+\xi_2x_3)}\hat\varphi(\mathbf{k},\xi)d\xi, \tag{3.4.3}$$

where $\Gamma_1^* = 2\pi\Gamma_1$ and

$$\hat\varphi(\mathbf{k},\xi) = F\varphi(\mathbf{k},\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{T_1} e^{i(\mathbf{k}x_1+\xi_1x_2+\xi_2x_3)}\varphi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (\mathbf{k},\xi) \in \Sigma_1 := \Gamma_1^* \times \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{3.4.4}$$

The operator $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$ is defined for $\varphi \in L^1 \cap L^2$ by the same formula (3.3.6) provided the quotient belongs to $L^2(\Sigma_1)$. This implies

$$\hat\varphi(0,0) = 0. \tag{3.4.5}$$

For $\psi \in H^1$ with finite energy (3.4.1) we have $\Lambda\rho \in L^2(\Sigma_1)$, and hence, (3.4.5) with $\varphi = \rho$ implies the neutrality condition (3.1.11) with $d = 1$:

$$\hat{\rho}(0,0) = \int_{T_1} \rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \int_{T_1} [\sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) - e|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2]d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{3.4.6}$$

Now (3.4.2) gives

$$\int |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = Z_1. \tag{3.4.7}$$

Thus, the finiteness of the Coulomb energy $\|\Lambda\rho\|^2$ prevents the electron charge from escaping to infinity, as in 2D case.

Finally, the Fourier transform $F : \psi \mapsto \hat{\psi}$ is a unitary operator from $L^2(T_1)$ to $L^2(\Sigma_1)$. Hence, energy (3.3.1) reads

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Gamma_1^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}(\mathbf{k}^2 + \xi^2)|\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{|\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k},\xi)|^2}{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}\Big] d\xi. \tag{3.4.8}$$

Definition 3.4.1. *M_1 denotes the set of $\psi \in H^1$ satisfying the neutrality condition (3.4.7).*

We note that (3.4.2) can be written as $\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}(0) - eZ_1 = 0$. We assume moreover,

Condition III. $$\frac{\hat{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{per}}(0,\xi) - eZ_1}{|\xi|} \in L^2(D), \qquad D := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| \le 1\} \tag{3.4.9}$$

similarly to (3.3.11). For example, this condition holds, provided that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |x_2| + |x_3|)|\rho_1(\mathbf{x})|d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{3.4.10}$$

The third main result of this chapter is the following.

Theorem 3.4.2. *Let conditions (3.1.9) and (3.4.9) hold, and $N = 1$. Then*

i) There exists $\psi^0 \in M_1$ with

$$\mathscr{E}(\psi^0) = \inf_{\psi\in M_1} \mathscr{E}(\psi). \tag{3.4.11}$$

ii) Moreover, $\psi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_1)$ and satisfies equations (3.1.5)–(3.1.7) with $d = 1$, where the potential $\phi^0 \in H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(T_1)$ is real, $\mathbf{x}_1^0 = 0$, and $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

iii) The following bound holds

$$|\phi^0(\mathbf{x})| \le C(1 + |x_2| + |x_3|)^{1/2}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in T_2. \tag{3.4.12}$$

The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 3.3.3. As in 2D case, we obtain $\psi^0 \in M_1$ as a minimizer for the energy (3.4.1). The potential ϕ^0 can be constructed by a modification of Lemma 3.3.5, see Appendix below.

Finally, next lemma follows similarly to Lemma 3.2.8.

Lemma 3.4.3. *The functions ψ^0, ϕ^0 are smooth under condition*

$$\rho_1^{\mathrm{per}} \in C^\infty(T_1). \tag{3.4.13}$$

3.4.2 The bound for the potential

We start with obvious modifications of the proof of Lemma 3.3.5. Namely, the potential $\phi^0(\mathbf{x})$ for the 1D lattice satisfies the equation of type (3.3.24) with

$$G^0 := -iF^{-1}\frac{(\mathbf{k},\xi)}{\mathbf{k}^2+\xi^2}\hat{\rho}^0(\mathbf{k},\xi) \in L^2(T_1), \qquad \mathrm{rot}G^0(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0. \tag{3.4.14}$$

We use the splitting of type (3.3.25), and respectively, the solution splits as $\phi^0 = \phi_1 + \phi_2$. The second solution $\phi_2 \in H^2$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.5. Hence, ϕ_2 is bounded continuous function on T_1 by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

On the other hand, the analysis of the first solution needs some modifications. Now $G_1(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(x_2,x_3) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\otimes\mathbb{R}^2$ is the real vector field, and $\mathrm{supp}\,\hat{g}_1 \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| \le 1\}$. Therefore, g_1 is the smooth function, and

$$\Delta\phi_1 = \nabla\cdot g_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \qquad \mathrm{rot}g_1(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0. \tag{3.4.15}$$

Respectively, the solution to $\nabla\phi_1 = g_1$ is given by the contour integral

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{x}} g_1(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} + C, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{3.4.16}$$

which does not depend on the path in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This solution is real and smooth.

We still should prove the estimate (3.4.12). We will deduce it from the corresponding estimate 'in the mean'. Let us denote the circle $B := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\mathbf{x}| < 1\}$.

Lemma 3.4.4. *For any unit vector* $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\|\phi_1\|_{L^2(B+\mathbf{e}R)} \le C(1+R)^{1/2}, \qquad R > 0. \tag{3.4.17}$$

Proof. First, (3.4.16) implies that

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}R) - \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^R g_1(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{e})dt, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{3.4.18}$$

for any $R \in \mathbb{R}$. Now the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality implies that

$$|\phi_1(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}R)|^2 \le C_1 + 2R\int_0^R |g_1(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{e})|^2 dt, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in B \tag{3.4.19}$$

since the function ϕ_1 is bounded in B. Finally, averaging over $\mathbf{x} \in B$, we get

$$\int_B |\phi_1(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}R)|^2 d\mathbf{x} \le C_1|B| + 2R\int_0^R\int_B |g_1(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{e})|^2 d\mathbf{x}\,dt \le C_1 + C_2R\|g_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{3.4.20}$$

Hence, (3.4.17) is proved. □

Now (3.4.12) follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}\in B+\mathbf{e}R} |\phi_1(\mathbf{x})| \le C_3\|\phi_1\|_{H^2(B+\mathbf{e}R)} \le C_4[\|\Delta\phi_1\|_{L^2(B+\mathbf{e}R)} + \|\phi_1\|_{L^2(B+\mathbf{e}R)})] \le C(1+R)^{1/2} \tag{3.4.21}$$

since $\Delta\phi_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by (3.4.15).

Remark 3.4.5. *Our estimate (3.4.12) seems to be far from optimal since the potential of uniformly charged line grows logarithmically with the distance, One could expect an optimal estimate*

$$|\phi^0(\mathbf{x})| \le C[\log(2+|x_2|+|x_3|)]^{1/2}$$

in the case $\nabla\phi^0 \in L^2$ *due to the example* $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = [\log(2+|x_2|+|x_3|)]^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ *with* $\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2$ *for* $\varepsilon > 0$.

Chapter 4

Linear Stability

Abstract

In this chapter we consider the Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton equations for infinite crystals with one ion per cell. We linearize this dynamics at the periodic minimizers of energy per cell (such minimizers were constructed in previous chapter) and introduce he corresponding 'Jellium' and the 'Wiener' conditions on the ion charge densities that ensures the stability of the linearized dynamics. The conditions are suitable (but not identical) versions of the conditions (1.1.11) and (1.1.13) respectively, introduced in the context of finite crystals.

Our main result is the *energy positivity* for the Bloch generators of the linearized dynamics under these conditions.

The Bloch generators are nonselfadjoint (and even nonsymmetric) Hamiltonian operators. We diagonalize these generators using our theory of spectral resolution of the Hamiltonian operators *with positive definite energy* [36, 37]. The stability of the linearized crystal dynamics is established using this spectral resolution which is a special version of the Gohberg–Krein–Langer theory of selfadjoint operators in the Hilbert spaces with indefinite metric [30, 45, 46].

In the next chapter, we estabilsh the dispersive decay in suitable norms for the linearised dynamics.

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we analyse the dynamic stability of a crystal periodic minimizer of energy per cell in linear approximation for the simplest Schrödinger–Poisson model. The periodic minimizers for this model were constructed in the previous chapter.

We consider infinite crystals with one ion per cell. The electron cloud is described by the one-particle Schrödinger equation; the ions are looked upon as classical particles that corresponds to the Born–Oppenheimer approximation. The ions interact with the electron cloud via the scalar potential, which is a solution to the corresponding Poisson equation.

This model does not respect the Pauli exclusion principle for electrons. Nevertheless, it provides a convenient framework to introduce suitable functional tools that might be instrumental for physically more realistic models (the Thomas–Fermi, Hartree–Fock, and second quantized models). In particular, we find a novel stability criterion (4.1.25), (4.1.11).

We denote by $\sigma(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the charge density of one ion,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(x)dx = eZ > 0, \tag{4.1.1}$$

where $e > 0$ is the elementary charge. We assume througout this chapter that

$$\langle x\rangle^4 \sigma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \qquad (\Delta - 1)\sigma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{4.1.2}$$

We consider the cubic lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^3$ for the simplicity of notations. Let $\psi(x,t)$ be the wave function of the electron field, $q(n,t)$ denote the ions displacements, and $\phi(x)$ be the electrostatic potential generated by the ions and electrons. We assume that $\hbar = c = \mathrm{m} = 1$, where c is the speed of light and m is the electron mass. The coupled Schrödinger–Poisson–Newton equations read

$$i\dot{\psi}(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi(x,t) - e\phi(x,t)\psi(x,t), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.1.3}$$

$$-\Delta\phi(x,t) = \rho(x,t) := \sum_n \sigma(x-n-q(n,t)) - e|\psi(x,t)|^2, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.1.4}$$

$$M\ddot{q}(n,t) = -\langle \nabla\phi(x,t), \sigma(x-n-q(n,t))\rangle, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{4.1.5}$$

Here the brackets stand for the Hermitian scalar product in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and for its various extensions, the series (4.1.4) converges in a suitable sense, and $M > 0$. All the derivatives here and below are understood in the sense of distributions. These equations can be *formally* written as a Hamiltonian system

$$i\dot{\psi}(x,t) = \partial_{\overline{\psi}(x)}\mathscr{H}, \quad \dot{q}(n,t) = \partial_{p(n)}\mathscr{H}, \quad \dot{p}(n,t) = -\partial_{q(n)}\mathscr{H}, \tag{4.1.6}$$

where $\partial_{\overline{z}} := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{z_1} + i\partial_{z_2})$ with $z_1 = \mathrm{Re}\, z$ and $z_2 = \mathrm{Im}\, z$, and the *formal* Hamiltonian functional is

$$\mathscr{H}(\psi,q,p) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[|\nabla\psi(x)|^2 + \rho(x)G\rho(x)]dx + \sum_n \frac{p^2(n)}{2M}, \tag{4.1.7}$$

where $q := (q(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^3)$, $p := (p(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^3)$, $\rho(x)$ is defined similarly to (4.1.4), and $G := (-\Delta)^{-1}$.

The system (4.1.3)–(4.1.5) admits ground states that is Γ-periodic solutions of type

$$\psi^0(x)e^{-i\omega^0 t}, \quad \phi^0(x), \quad q^0(n) = q^0 \ \text{ and } \ p^0(n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \tag{4.1.8}$$

which are minimizers of energy per cell (3.2.3):

$$E(\psi) := \int_\Pi \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathrm{m}}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{x})\Big]d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x}) := (-\Delta)^{-1}\rho, \tag{4.1.9}$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-1}\rho$ is defined by (3.2.6), and $\Pi := [0,1]^3$.

Such periodic minimizers were constructed in previous chapter for general lattice with several ions per cell. In our case the ion position $q^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be chosen arbitrarily, and we set $q^0 = 0$ everywhere below. The corresponding ion charge density reads

$$\sigma^0(x) := \sum_n \sigma(x-n). \tag{4.1.10}$$

We will see that the periodic minimizers can be stable depending on the choice of the ion density σ. However, we only study very special densities σ satisfying some conditions discussed below. Our first basic assumption coincides with (1.1.11),

The Jellium Condition: $\qquad \tilde{\sigma}(2\pi m) = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus 0.$ (4.1.11)

This condition immediately implies that the periodized ions charge density (4.1.10) is a positive constant everywhere in space, and

$$\sigma^0(x) \equiv eZ, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{4.1.12}$$

by (4.1.1). This identity implies that under condition (4.1.11) there exist the ground states

$$\psi^0(x) \equiv \sqrt{Z}e^{i\theta}, \qquad \phi^0(x) \equiv 0, \qquad \omega_0 = 0 \tag{4.1.13}$$

with $\theta \in [0,2\pi]$, which are stationary solutions of (4.1.3)–(4.1.5) and correspond to the minimal zero energy per cell (4.1.9) since $\rho(x) \equiv 0$, so, the ion and electron densities cancel each other. The simplest example of such a σ is a constant over the unit cell of a given lattice, which is what physicists usually call Jellium [29]. Here we study this model in the rigorous context of the Schrödinger-Poisson equations.

In this chapter, we prove the stability of the *formal linearization* of the nonlinear system (4.1.3)–(4.1.5) at the periodic minimizer (4.1.13). The system is $U(1)$-invariant, that is for any solution (ψ,q,p), the function $(e^{i\theta}\psi,q,p)$ with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is also a solution. Hence, it suffices to consider only the case $\theta = 0$. Substituting

$$\psi(x,t) = \psi_0(x) + \Psi(x,t) \tag{4.1.14}$$

into the nonlinear equations (4.1.3), (4.1.5) with $\phi(x,t) = G\rho(x,t)$, we *formally* obtain the linearized equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} i\dot{\Psi}(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Psi(x,t)\Psi(x,t) - e\psi_0(x)G\rho_1(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \\ M\dot{q}(n,t) = p(n,t), \qquad \dot{p}(n,t) = -\langle \nabla G\rho_1(t), \sigma(x-n)\rangle, & n \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \end{array} \right| . \tag{4.1.15}$$

Here $\rho_1(x,t)$ is the linearized charge density

$$\rho_1(x,t) = -\sum_n q(n,t)\cdot\nabla\sigma(x-n) - 2e\psi_0(x)\,\mathrm{Re}\,\Psi(x,t). \tag{4.1.16}$$

The system (4.1.15) is linear over $\mathbb{R}$, but it is not complex linear. This is due to the last term in (4.1.16), which appears from the linearization of the term $|\psi|^2 = \psi\overline{\psi}$ in (4.1.4). However, we need the complex linearity for the application of the spectral theory. That is why we will consider below the complexification of system (4.1.15) by writing it in the variables $\Psi_1(x,t) := \mathrm{Re}\,\Psi(x,t), \Psi_2(x,t) := \mathrm{Im}\,\Psi(x,t)$. Then (4.1.15) can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = AY(t), \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^0 & 0 & 0 \\ -H^0 - 2e^2\psi_0 G\psi_0 & 0 & -S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \\ -2S^* & 0 & -T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1.17}$$

where we denote $Y(t) = (\Psi_1(\cdot,t), \Psi_2(\cdot,t), q(\cdot,t), p(\cdot,t))$, $H^0 := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, the operators S and T correspond to matrices (4.2.3) and (4.2.4), respectively. The Hamiltonian representation (4.1.6) implies that (cf. (1.6.30) and (2.7.28))

$$A = JB, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2H^0 + 4e^2\psi_0 G\psi_0 & 0 & 2S & 0 \\ 0 & 2H^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2S^* & 0 & T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1.18}$$

We show that the generator A is densely defined in the Hilbert space

$$\mathscr{X}^0 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.1.19}$$

The linear system (4.1.17) is Hamiltonian with the Hamiltonian functional which is the quadratic form

$$\mathscr{H}^0(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, BY\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\int [|\nabla\Psi(x)|^2 + \rho_1(x)G\rho_1(x)]dx + \sum_n \frac{p^2(n)}{2M}, \qquad Y = (\Psi_1,\Psi_2,q,p). \tag{4.1.20}$$

Our main result is the stability of the linearized system (4.1.17): for any initial state of finite energy there exists a unique global solution which is bounded in the energy norm.

Obviously, the generator A commutes with translations by vectors from Γ. Hence, the equation (4.1.17) can be reduced with the help of the Fourier–Bloch–Gelfand–Zak transform (4.4.18) to equations with the corresponding Bloch generators $\tilde{A}(\theta) = J\tilde{B}(\theta)$, given by (4.4.22), which depend on the parameter θ from the Brillouin zone $\Pi^* := [0, 2\pi]^3$, and

$$\tilde{B}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\tilde{H}^0(\theta) + 4e^2\psi_0\tilde{G}(\theta)\psi_0 & 0 & 2\tilde{S}(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\tilde{H}^0(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 2\tilde{S}^*(\theta) & 0 & \hat{T}(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.1.21}$$

where $\Gamma^* := 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$, and $\tilde{H}^0(\theta) := -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla - i\theta)^2$. Further, $\tilde{G}(\theta)$ is the inverse of the operator $(i\nabla + \theta)^2 : H^2(T^3) \to L^2(T^3)$. Finally, $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ and $\hat{T}(\theta)$ are defined, respectively, by (4.4.23) and (4.2.9).

The operator $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ is selfadjoint in the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ with the domain $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$, where we denote

$$\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^s(T^3) := H^s(T^3) \oplus H^s(T^3) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3, \qquad T^3 := \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma \tag{4.1.22}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$; its spectrum is discrete. However, the operator A is not selfadjoint and even not symmetric in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0$ – this a typical situation in the linearization of $U(1)$-invariant nonlinear equations [36, Appendix B]. Respectively, the Bloch generators $\tilde{A}(\theta)$ are not selfadjoint in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$

The main crux here is that we cannot apply the von Neumann spectral theorem to the nonselfadjoint generators A and $\tilde{A}(\theta)$. We solve this problem by applying our spectral theory of abstract Hamiltonian operators with positive energy [36, 37]. This is why we need the positivity of the energy operator $\tilde{B}(\theta)$: for $\tilde{Y} \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$

$$\mathscr{E}(\theta, \tilde{Y}) := \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{B}(\theta)\tilde{Y} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} \geq \varkappa(\theta) \|\tilde{Y}\|^2_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)}, \quad \text{where } \varkappa(\theta) > 0 \text{ for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^* \tag{4.1.23}$$

and the brackets denote the scalar product in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Equivalently,

$$\tilde{B}_0(\theta) := \inf \operatorname{Spec} \tilde{B}(\theta) > 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.1.24}$$

The main result of this chapter is the positivity (4.1.24) for the ions charge densities σ satisfying the Jellium condition (4.1.11) and also

The Wiener Condition: $$\Sigma(\theta) := \sum_m \left[\frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2} |\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)|^2 \right]_{\xi = 2\pi m + \theta} > 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.1.25}$$

where the series converges by (4.1.2). Equivalently,

$$\Sigma_0(\theta) > 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.1.26}$$

where $\Sigma_0(\theta)$ is the minimal eigenvalue of the matrix $\Sigma(\theta)$. This condition is an analog of the Fermi Golden Rule for crystals. It is easy to construct examples of densities $\sigma(x)$ satisfying conditions (4.1.25) and (4.1.11).

Example 4.1.1. (4.1.25) *holds for σ satisfying (4.1.2) if*

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\xi) \neq 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.1.27}$$

Example 4.1.2. *Let us define the function $s(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by its Fourier transform $\tilde{s}(\xi) := \dfrac{2\sin\frac{\xi}{2}}{\xi} e^{-\xi^2}$, and set*

$$\sigma(x) := eZs(x_1)s(x_2)s(x_3), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.1.28}$$

Then $\sigma(x)$ is a holomorphic function of $x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfying conditions (4.1.25), (4.1.11), *(4.1.1), (4.1.2), and besides,*

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)| \leq C(\alpha, a) e^{-a|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.1.29}$$

for any $a > 0$ and α by the Paley–Wiener theorem.

We prove the positivity (4.1.24) in Sections 4.2–4.5. In Section 4.6 we apply the positivity to give a meaning to the associated linearized dynamics.

We prove (4.1.24) with

$$\tilde{B}_0(\theta) \geq \varepsilon d^4(\theta)\Sigma_0(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.1.30}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small and $d(\theta) := \operatorname{dist}(\theta, \Gamma^*)$. This implies that $\operatorname{Spec} B \subset [0, \infty)$. Moreover, we show in Theorem 4.5.1 ii) that

$$\inf \operatorname{Spec} \tilde{B}_0(\theta) \leq \Sigma_0(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.1.31}$$

This inequality implies that $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} B$. Indeed, the conditions (4.1.25) and (4.1.11) imply that $\Sigma(\theta)$ is a continuous Γ^*-periodic function, which admits the asymptotics

$$\Sigma(\theta) \sim \frac{\theta \otimes \theta}{|\theta|^2} \tilde{\sigma}(0) + \mathcal{O}(|\theta|^2), \qquad \theta \to 0. \tag{4.1.32}$$

However, the matrix $\theta \otimes \theta$ is degenerate, and hence, $\Sigma_0(\theta) \to 0$ as $\theta \to 0$ by the asymptotics (4.1.32). Therefore, the positivity (4.1.24) breaks down at $\theta \in \Gamma^* \cap \Pi^*$ by (4.1.31). Examples 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 demonstrate that the positivity can also break down at some other points and submanifolds of Π^* that depend on the ion charge density σ.

Let us comment on our approach. The structure of the periodic minimizer (4.1.13) under condition (4.1.11) seems trivial. However, even in this case the proof of the positivity (4.1.24) is not straightforward, since the operators $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ and $\hat{T}(\theta)$ in $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ depend on the fuctional parameter σ. Our proof of (4.1.24) relies on i) a novel factorization (4.5.7) of the matrix elements of $\tilde{B}(\theta)$, and ii) Sylvester-type arguments for matrix operators (see Remark 4.5.4).

We show that the condition (4.1.25) is necessary for the positivity (4.1.24). We expect that the condition (4.1.11) is also necessary for the positivity (4.1.24), however, this is still an open challenging problem.

Finally, the positivity (4.1.24) allows us to construct the spectral resolution of $\tilde{A}(\theta)$, which results in the stability for the linearized dynamics (4.1.17). The spectral resolution is constructed with application of our spectral theory of abstract Hamiltonian operators [36, 37].

In concluzion, all our methods and results extend obviously to equations (4.1.3)–(4.1.5) in the case of general lattice

$$\Gamma = \{n_1 a_1 + n_2 a_2 + n_3 a_3 : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}, \tag{4.1.33}$$

where the generators $a_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are linearly independent. In this case the condition (4.1.11) becomes

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\gamma^*) = 0, \quad \gamma^* \in \Gamma^* \setminus 0, \tag{4.1.34}$$

where Γ^* denotes the dual lattice, i.e., $\Gamma^* = \{m_1 b_1 + m_2 b_2 + m_3 b_3 : (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$ with $\langle a_k, b_j \rangle = 2\pi \delta_{kj}$. The condition (4.1.34) claryfies the relation between the properties of the ions and the resulting crystal geometry.

Remark 4.1.3. Conditions (4.1.11), (4.1.34) seem to be rather restrictive. On the other hand, the distinction between the ions and electron field is not too sharp, since each ion contains in itself a number of bonding electrons. Physically, the ion charge density $\sigma(x)$ might vary during the process of the crystal formation due to interaction with the electron field. Respectively, one could expect that identities (4.1.11), (4.1.34) may result from this process.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall our result [38] on the existence of a periodic minimizer In Sections 3–5 we study the Hamiltonian structure of the linearized dynamics and find a bound of the energy from below. In Section 6 we calculate the generator of the linearized dynamics in the Fourier–Bloch representation. In Section 7 we prove the positivity of the energy. In Section 8 we apply this positivity to the stability of the linearized dynamics. Finally, in Sections 9 and 10 we establish small charge asymptotics of the periodic minimizer and construct examples of negative energy. Some technical calculations are carried out in Appendices.

4.2 Linearized dynamics

Let us calculate the entries of the matrix operator (4.1.17) under conditions (4.1.2). For $f(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the Fourier transform is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\xi x}\tilde f(\xi)d\xi, \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^3; \qquad \tilde f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\xi x} f(x)dx, \qquad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.2.1}$$

The conditions (4.1.2) imply that

$$(\Delta - 1)\tilde\sigma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \qquad \langle\xi\rangle^2\tilde\sigma(\xi) \le \text{const}. \tag{4.2.2}$$

The system (4.1.15) and (4.1.16) imply that the operator-matrix A is given by (4.1.17), where S denotes the operator with the 'matrix' similar to (1.6.31) and (2.7.29)

$$S(x,n) := e\psi_0 G\nabla\sigma(x-n): \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^3,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.2.3}$$

Finally, T is the real matrix similar to (1.6.32)

$$T(n,n') := -\langle G\nabla\otimes\nabla\sigma(x-n'), \sigma(x-n)\rangle. \tag{4.2.4}$$

The operators $G: L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $S: l^2 := l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)\otimes\mathbb{C}^3 \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are not bounded due to the 'infrared divergence', see Remark 4.3.5 i). In the next section, we will construct a dense domain for all these operators.

On the other hand, the operator T is bounded in view of the following lemma. Denote by Π the primitive cell

$$\Pi := \{(x_1,x_2,x_3): 0\le x_k\le 1,\ k=1,2,3\}. \tag{4.2.5}$$

Let us define the Fourier transform on l^2 as

$$\hat q(\theta) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} e^{in\theta}q(n) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta\in\Pi^*; \qquad q(n) = \frac{1}{|\Pi^*|}\int_{\Pi^*} e^{-in\theta}\hat q(\theta)d\theta, \ \ n\in\mathbb{Z}^3, \tag{4.2.6}$$

where $\Pi^* = 2\pi\Pi$ denotes the primitive cell of the lattice Γ^*, the series converging in $L^2(\Pi^*)$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let conditions (4.1.2) hold. Then the operator T is bounded in l^2.*

Proof. The operator T reads as the convolution $Tq(n) = \sum T(n-n')q(n')$, where

$$T(n) = -\langle G\nabla\otimes\nabla\sigma(x), \sigma(x-n)\rangle. \tag{4.2.7}$$

By the Fourier transform (4.2.6), the convolution operator T becomes the multiplication,

$$\widehat{Tq}(\theta) = \hat T(\theta)\hat q(\theta) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta\in\Pi^*\setminus\Gamma^*. \tag{4.2.8}$$

By the Bessel-Parseval identity it suffices to check that the 'symbol' $\hat T(\theta)$ is a bounded function. This follows from (4.2.4) by direct calculation. First, we apply the Parseval identity

$$\begin{aligned}\hat T(\theta) &= -\sum_n e^{in\theta}\langle G\nabla\otimes\nabla\sigma(x), \sigma(x-n)\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_n e^{in\theta}\langle\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}\tilde\sigma(\xi), \tilde\sigma(\xi)e^{in\xi}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\langle\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}\tilde\sigma(\xi), \tilde\sigma(\xi)\sum_n e^{in(\theta+\xi)}\rangle = \sum_m\Big[\frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}|\tilde\sigma(\xi)|^2\Big]_{\xi=2\pi m-\theta} = \Sigma(\theta)\ , \quad \theta\in\Pi^*\setminus\Gamma^*,\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.9}$$

since the last sum over n equals $|\Pi^*|\sum_m \delta(\theta+\xi-2\pi m)$ by the Poisson summation formula [32]. Finally, $|\tilde\sigma(\xi)|\le C\langle\xi\rangle^{-2}$ by (4.2.2). Hence,

$$\|\hat T_1(\theta)\| \le \sum_m |\tilde\sigma(2\pi m-\theta)|^2 \le C^2\sum_m\langle m\rangle^{-4} < \infty. \tag{4.2.10}$$

□

4.3 The Hamiltonian structure and the domain

In this section we study the domain of the generator A given by (4.1.17) and (4.1.18).

Definition 4.3.1. *i)* $\mathscr{S}_+ := \cup_{\varepsilon>0}\mathscr{S}_\varepsilon$, *where* $\mathscr{S}_\varepsilon$ *is the space of functions* $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *whose Fourier transforms* $\hat{\Psi}(\xi)$ *vanish in the* ε*-neighborhood of the lattice* Γ^*,

ii) l_c *is the space of sequences* $q(n) \in R^3$ *such that* $q(n) = 0$, $n > N$ *for some* N.

iii) $\mathscr{D} := \{Y = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, q, p) : \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathscr{S}_+, \quad q, p \in l_c\}$.

Obviously, $\mathscr{D}$ is dense in $\mathscr{X}^0$.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let conditions* (4.1.2) *hold. Then* $B\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{X}^0$ *and* B *is a symmetric operator on the domain* $\mathscr{D}$.

Proof. Formally the matrix (4.1.18) is symmetric. The following lemma implies that B is defined on $\mathscr{D}$.

Lemma 4.3.3. *i)* $H^0\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for* $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_+$.

ii) $G\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $S^*\Psi \in l^2$ *for* $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_+$.

iii) $Sq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for* $q \in l_c$.

Proof. i) $H^0\Psi(x) := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Psi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

ii) Given a fixed $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_+$, we have $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_\varepsilon$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$G\Psi = F^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\Psi}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

where F stands for the Fourier transform. Hence, $G\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

We now consider $S^*\Psi$. Applying (4.2.3), (4.1.13), and the Parseval identity, we get for $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}[S^*\Psi](n) &= e\psi_0 \int \Psi(x) G\nabla\sigma(x-n)dx = e\psi_0\langle\Psi(x), G\nabla\sigma(x-n)\rangle \\ &= \frac{ie\psi_0}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{|\xi|>\varepsilon}\tilde{\Psi}(\xi)\frac{\xi\overline{\tilde{\sigma}}(\xi)e^{-in\xi}}{|\xi|^2}d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.2}$$

Note that $\partial^\beta\tilde{\Psi} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all β. Moreover, $\partial^\beta\tilde{\sigma} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $|\beta| \le 2$ by (4.2.2). Hence, integrating by parts twice, we obtain

$$|[S^*\Psi](n)| \le C\langle n\rangle^{-2}, \tag{4.3.3}$$

which implies that $S^*\Psi \in l^2$.

iii) Let us check that $Sq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $q \in l_c$. Namely,

$$\widetilde{Sq}(\xi) = e\psi_0 F_{x\to\xi}\sum_n G\nabla\sigma(x-n)q(n) = e\psi_0\sum_n \frac{\xi\overline{\tilde{\sigma}}(\xi)e^{-in\xi}}{|\xi|^2}q(n). \tag{4.3.4}$$

Hence, $\widetilde{Sq} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (4.2.2).

□

This lemma implies that $BY \in \mathscr{X}^0$ for $Y \in \mathscr{D}$. The symmetry of B on $\mathscr{D}$ is evident from (4.1.18). Theorem 4.3.2 is proved. □

Corollary 4.3.4. *The operator* B *with the domain* $\mathscr{D}$ *is nonnegative by (4.1.20), and hence, it admits a canonical extension to a selfadjoint operator in* $\mathscr{X}^0$ *by the Friedrichs theorem [68].*

Remarks 4.3.5. i) The 'infrared singularity' at $\xi = 0$ of the integrands (4.3.1), (4.3.2) demonstrates that all operators $G : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $S^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to l^2$, and $S : l^2 \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are unbounded.
ii) The proof of Theorem 4.3.2 shows that $A\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{X}^0$, and also $A^*\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{X}^0$, where the 'formal adjoint' A^* is defined by the identity

$$\langle AY_1, Y_2\rangle = \langle Y_1, A^*Y_2\rangle, \qquad Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathscr{D}. \tag{4.3.5}$$

4.4 Generator in the Fourier–Bloch transform

We reduce the operators A and B with the help of the Fourier–Bloch–Gelfand–Zak transform [21, 66, 69].

4.4.1 The discrete Fourier transform

Let us consider a vector $Y = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, q, p) \in \mathscr{X}^0$ and denote

$$Y(n) = (\Psi_1(n,\cdot), \Psi_2(n,\cdot), q(n), p(n)) \ , \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \tag{4.4.1}$$

where

$$\Psi_j(n,y) = \Psi_j(n+y) \ \text{ for a.e. } \ y \in \Pi, \qquad j = 1,2. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Obviously, $Y(n)$ with different $n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ are orthogonal vectors in $\mathscr{X}^0$, and besides,

$$Y = \sum_n Y(n), \tag{4.4.3}$$

where the sum converges in $\mathscr{X}^0$. The norms in $\mathscr{Y}^0$ and $\mathscr{Y}^1$ can be represented as

$$\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \|Y(n)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)}, \qquad \|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \|Y(n)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1(\Pi)}, \tag{4.4.4}$$

where

$$\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi) := L^2(\Pi) \oplus L^2(\Pi) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3, \qquad \mathscr{X}^1(\Pi) := H^1(\Pi) \oplus H^1(\Pi) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3. \tag{4.4.5}$$

Further, the periodic minimizer (4.1.8) is invariant with respect to translations of the lattice Γ, and hence the operator A commutes with these translations. Namely, (1.6.31) implies that

$$S(x,n) = S(x-n,0), \tag{4.4.6}$$

Similarly, (1.6.32) implies that T commutes with translations of Γ. Hence, A can be reduced by the discrete Fourier transform

$$\hat{Y}(\theta) = F_{n\to\theta}Y(n) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} e^{in\theta}Y(n) = (\hat{\Psi}_1(\theta,\cdot), \hat{\Psi}_2(\theta,\cdot), \hat{q}(\theta), \hat{p}(\theta)) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.4.7}$$

where

$$\hat{\Psi}_j(\theta,y) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} e^{in\theta}\Psi_j(n+y) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad \text{a.e. } \ y \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.4.8}$$

The function $\hat{Y}(\theta)$ is Γ^*-periodic in θ. The series (4.4.7) converges in $L^2(\Pi^*, \mathscr{X}^0(\Pi))$, since the series (4.4.3) converges in $\mathscr{X}^0$. The inversion formula is given by

$$Y(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*} e^{-in\theta}\hat{Y}(\theta)d\theta \tag{4.4.9}$$

(cf. (4.2.6)). The Parseval–Plancherel identity gives

$$\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1} = |\Pi^*|^{-1}\|\hat{Y}\|^2_{L^2(\Pi^*,\mathscr{X}^1(\Pi))}, \qquad \|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0} = |\Pi^*|^{-1}\|\hat{Y}\|^2_{L^2(\Pi^*,\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi))}. \tag{4.4.10}$$

The functions $\hat{\Psi}_j(\theta,y)$ are Γ-quasiperiodic in y; i.e.,

$$\hat{\Psi}_j(\theta,y+m) = e^{-im\theta}\hat{\Psi}_j(\theta,y), \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{4.4.11}$$

4.4.2 Generator in the discrete Fourier transform

Let us consider $Y \in \mathscr{D}$ and calculate the Fourier transform (4.4.7) for AY given by (4.1.17). Using (4.2.4), (4.3.2), (4.4.6), we obtain

$$\widehat{AY}(\theta) = \hat{A}(\theta)\hat{Y}(\theta) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.4.12}$$

where $\hat{A}(\theta)$ is a Γ^*-periodic operator function,

$$\hat{A}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^0 & 0 & 0 \\ -H^0 - 2e^2\psi_0\hat{G}(\theta)\psi_0 & 0 & \hat{S}(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \\ -2\hat{S}^*(\theta) & 0 & -\hat{T}(\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.4.13}$$

by (4.1.17). Here

$$\hat{G}(\theta)\hat{\Psi}(\theta,y) = \sum_m \frac{\check{\Psi}(\theta,m)}{(2\pi m+\theta)^2} e^{-i2\pi my} \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.4.14}$$

where

$$\check{\Psi}(\theta,m) = \int_{T^3} e^{i2\pi mx}\hat{\Psi}(\theta,x)dx/ \tag{4.4.15}$$

This expression is well-defined for $\Psi \in \mathscr{S}_\varepsilon$, since

$$\check{\Psi}(\theta,m) = \tilde{\Psi}(2\pi m+\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |2\pi m+\theta| < \varepsilon. \tag{4.4.16}$$

Lemma 4.4.1. *Let (4.1.2) hold. Then the operator $\hat{S}(\theta)$ acts as follows:*

$$\widehat{Sq}(\theta) = \hat{S}(\theta)\hat{q}(\theta), \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{S}(\theta) = e\psi_0\hat{G}(\theta)\nabla\hat{\sigma}(\theta,y). \tag{4.4.17}$$

Proof. For $x = y+n$ equations (4.1.10) and (4.2.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} Sq(y+n) &= e\psi_0 \sum_m G\nabla\sigma^0(m,y+n)q(m) \\ &= e\psi_0 \sum_m G\nabla\sigma(y+n-m)q(m). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Fourier transform (4.4.7), we obtain (4.4.17). □

Furthermore, $\hat{S}^*(\theta)$ in (4.4.13) is the corresponding adjoint operator, and $\hat{T}(\theta)$ is the operator matrix expressed by (4.2.9). Note that $\hat{S}(\theta)$, $\hat{S}^*(\theta)$ and $\hat{T}(\theta)$ are finite-rank operators.

4.4.3 Generator in the Bloch transform

Definition 4.4.2. *The Bloch transform of $Y \in \mathscr{X}^0$ is defined as*

$$\tilde{Y}(\theta) = [\mathscr{F}Y](\theta) := \mathscr{M}(\theta)\hat{Y}(\theta) := (\tilde{\Psi}_1(\theta,\cdot),\tilde{\Psi}_2(\theta,\cdot),\hat{q}(\theta),\hat{p}(\theta)) \qquad \text{fora.e. } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.4.18}$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_j(\theta,y) = M(\theta)\hat{\Psi}_j := e^{i\theta y}\hat{\Psi}_j(\theta,y)$ are Γ-periodic functions in $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Now the Parseval-Plancherel identities (4.4.10) read

$$\|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1} = |\Pi^*|^{-1}\|\tilde{Y}\|^2_{L^2(\Pi^*,\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3))}, \qquad \|Y\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0} = |\Pi^*|^{-1}\|\tilde{Y}\|^2_{L^2(\Pi^*,\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3))}. \tag{4.4.19}$$

Hence, $\mathscr{F} : \mathscr{X}^0 \to L^2(\Pi^*,\mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$ is an isomorphism. The inversion is given by

$$Y(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1}\int_{\Pi^*} e^{-in\theta}\mathscr{M}(-\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta)d\theta, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{4.4.20}$$

Finally, the above calculations can be summarised as follows: (4.4.12) implies that, for $Y \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$\widetilde{AY}(\theta) = \tilde{A}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta) \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.4.21}$$

Here

$$\tilde{A}(\theta) = \mathscr{M}(\theta)\hat{A}(\theta)\mathscr{M}(-\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{H}^0(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{H}^0(\theta) - 2e^2\psi_0\tilde{G}(\theta)\psi_0 & 0 & \tilde{S}(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \\ -2\tilde{S}^*(\theta) & 0 & -\hat{T}(\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4.22}$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(\theta) := M(\theta)\hat{S}(\theta) = e\psi_0\tilde{G}(\theta)\nabla\tilde{\sigma}^0(\theta), \tag{4.4.23}$$

$$\tilde{H}^0(\theta) := M(\theta)H^0M(-\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla - i\theta)^2, \tag{4.4.24}$$

$$\tilde{G}(\theta) := M(\theta)\hat{G}(\theta)M(-\theta) = (i\nabla + \theta)^{-2}. \tag{4.4.25}$$

Formula (4.4.21) is obtained for $Y \in \mathscr{D}$. Respectively, the operator (4.4.22) is considered on the space $\mathscr{D}(T^3) := C^\infty(T^3) \oplus C^\infty(T^3) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ up to now. The operator (4.4.22) extends uniquely to the continuous operator $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3) \to \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ for $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$. We keep below the notation (4.4.22)–(4.4.25) for this extension.

Remark 4.4.3. The operators $\tilde{G}(\theta) : L^2(T^3) \to H^2(T^3)$ are bounded for $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$; however $\|\tilde{G}(\theta)\| \sim d^{-2}(\theta)$, where $d(\theta) := \operatorname{dist}(\theta, \Gamma^*)$.

Lemma 4.4.4. *Let conditions* (4.1.2) *hold. Then the operator* $\tilde{A}(\theta)$ *admits the representation*

$$\tilde{A}(\theta) = J\tilde{B}(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.4.26}$$

where $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ *is the selfadjoint operator (4.1.21) in* $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ *with the domain* $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$.

Proof. The representation (4.4.26) follows from (4.1.18). The operator $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ is symmetric on the domain $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$. Moreover, all operators in (4.1.21), except for $\tilde{H}^0(\theta)$, are bounded. Finally, $\tilde{H}^0(\theta)$ is selfadjoint in $L^2(T^3)$ with the domain $H^2(T^3)$. Hence, $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ is also selfadjoint on the domain $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$. □

4.5 The positivity of energy

Here we prove the positivity (4.1.24) under conditions (4.1.25) and (4.1.11). Recall that

$$\hat{T}(\theta) = \Sigma(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^* \tag{4.5.1}$$

by (4.2.9). This matrix is a continuous function of $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$. Let us denote the *open set*

$$\Pi^*_+ := \{\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^* : \Sigma(\theta) > 0\}. \tag{4.5.2}$$

Then the Wiener condition (4.1.25) means that $|\Pi^*_+| = |\Pi^*|$. The main result of this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5.1. *Let conditions* (4.1.11) *and* (4.1.2) *hold. Then*

i) The Wiener condition (4.1.25) *is necessary and sufficient for the positivity* (4.1.23).

ii) The bound (4.1.31) holds.

iii) Bound (4.1.30) holds with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ *under the Wiener condition* (4.1.25).

Proof. First, let us check that the Wiener condition (4.1.25) is necessary. Namely, for $\tilde{Y} = (0,0,\hat{q},0) \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)$ the inequality (4.1.23) implies that

$$\mathscr{E}(\theta,\tilde{Y}) = \hat{q}\hat{T}(\theta)\hat{q} \geq \varkappa(\theta)|\hat{q}|^2 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.5.3}$$

Now (4.5.1) gives

$$\mathscr{E}(\theta,\tilde{Y}) = \hat{q}\Sigma(\theta)\hat{q} \geq \varkappa(\theta)|\hat{q}|^2. \tag{4.5.4}$$

Hence, the condition (4.1.25) is necessary for the positivity (4.1.23). Moreover, (4.5.4) implies (4.1.31).

It remains to show that the Wiener condition (4.1.25) together with (4.1.11) is sufficient for the bound (4.1.30). Substituting (4.1.16) into (4.1.20), we obtain for $Y = (\Psi_1,\Psi_2,q,p) \in \mathscr{D}$

$$\langle Y, BY\rangle = 2\sum_{j=1}^{2}\langle \Psi_j, H^0\Psi_j\rangle + \langle 2ef\Psi_1 + gq, 2ef\Psi_1 + gq\rangle, \tag{4.5.5}$$

where

$$f\Psi_1(x) := e\psi_0\sqrt{G}\Psi_1, \qquad gq(x) = \sqrt{G}\sum_n \nabla\sigma(x-n)q(n). \tag{4.5.6}$$

The operators (4.5.6) commute with the Γ-translations, and therefore similarly to (4.4.21) and (4.4.23)–(4.4.25)

$$\widetilde{f\Psi_1}(\theta) := e\psi_0\sqrt{\tilde{G}(\theta)}\tilde{\Psi}_1(\theta), \qquad \widetilde{gq}(\theta) = \sqrt{\tilde{G}(\theta)}(\nabla - i\theta)\tilde{\sigma}(\cdot,\theta)\tilde{q}(\theta). \tag{4.5.7}$$

Hence, the (second) Parseval identity (4.4.19) gives

$$|\Pi^*|\langle Y, BY\rangle = 2\sum_{j=1}^{2}(\tilde{\Psi}_j, \tilde{H}^0\tilde{\Psi}_j) + (2e\tilde{f}\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}\tilde{q}, 2e\tilde{f}\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}\tilde{q}), \tag{4.5.8}$$

where the brackets $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denote the scalar product in $L^2(\Pi^*, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(\Pi))$. Hence,

$$\mathscr{E}(\theta,\tilde{Y}) := \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{B}(\theta)\tilde{Y}\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = E(\theta,\tilde{\Psi}_1,\hat{q}) + 2\langle \tilde{\Psi}_2, \tilde{H}^0(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_2\rangle_{L^2(T^3)} + \hat{p}M^{-1}\hat{p} \tag{4.5.9}$$

for $\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{\Psi}_1,\tilde{\Psi}_2,\hat{q},\hat{p}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$, where

$$E(\theta,\tilde{\Psi}_1,\hat{q}) := 2\langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{H}^0(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1\rangle_{L^2(T^3)} + \langle 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q},\ 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q}\rangle_{L^2(T^3)}. \tag{4.5.10}$$

Recall that $\tilde{H}^0(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla - i\theta)^2$ by (4.4.24), so the eigenvalues of $\tilde{H}^0(\theta)$ equal to $\frac{1}{2}|2\pi m - \theta|^2$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. Therefore, $\tilde{H}^0(\theta)$ is positive definite: for $j = 1,2$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_j, \tilde{H}^0(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_j\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}d^2(\theta)\|\tilde{\Psi}_j\|^2_{H^1(T^3)}, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.5.11}$$

It remains to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5.2. *Let conditions of Theorem 4.5.1 hold. Then for any $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$ there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that*

$$E(\theta,\tilde{\Psi}_1,\hat{q}) \geq \frac{1}{2}d^2(\theta)\|\tilde{\Psi}_1\|^2_{H^1(T^3)} + \varepsilon_1 d^4(\theta)\Sigma_0(\theta)|\hat{q}|^2. \tag{4.5.12}$$

Proof. Let us denote

$$\beta_{11} := \langle 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1, 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1\rangle_{L^2(T^3)}, \quad \beta_{12} := \langle 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q}\rangle_{L^2(T^3)}, \quad \beta_{22} := \langle \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q}, \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q}\rangle_{L^2(T^3)}. \tag{4.5.13}$$

Then we can write the quadratic form (4.5.10) as

$$E = 2\alpha + \beta, \tag{4.5.14}$$

where $\alpha := \langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{H}^0(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 \rangle_{L^2(T^3)} \geq 0$ and

$$\beta := \beta_{11} + 2\mathrm{Re}\,\beta_{12} + \beta_{22} = \langle 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q},\ 2\tilde{f}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 + \tilde{g}(\theta)\hat{q} \rangle_{L^2(T^3)} \geq 0. \tag{4.5.15}$$

By (4.5.11) it suffices to prove the estimate

$$E \geq \alpha + \varepsilon d^4(\theta)\beta_{22}, \tag{4.5.16}$$

since

$$\beta_{22} = \hat{q}\hat{T}_1(\theta)\hat{q} = \hat{q}\Sigma(\theta)\hat{q} \tag{4.5.17}$$

by (4.5.7) and (4.5.1). To prove (4.5.16), we first note that

$$\alpha \geq \varepsilon_2 d^4(\theta)\beta_{11}, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{4.5.18}$$

where $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. Indeed, using (4.4.25) and applying (4.5.11), we obtain that

$$\beta_{11} = 4\langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{G}(\theta)\tilde{\Psi}_1 \rangle \leq \frac{C}{d^2(\theta)} \|\tilde{\Psi}_1\|^2_{L^2(T^3)} \leq \frac{C_1}{d^4(\theta)}\alpha, \tag{4.5.19}$$

Now (4.5.14) gives that

$$E \geq \alpha + (1 + \varepsilon_2 d^4(\theta))\beta_{11} + 2\mathrm{Re}\,\beta_{12} + \beta_{22}, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.5.20}$$

On the other hand, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that

$$|\beta_{12}| \leq \beta_{11}^{1/2}\beta_{22}^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}[\gamma\beta_{11} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\beta_{22}] \tag{4.5.21}$$

for any $\gamma > 0$. Hence, (4.5.20) implies that

$$b \geq \alpha + (1 + \varepsilon_2 d^4(\theta) - \gamma)\beta_{11} + (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma})\beta_{22}, \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*. \tag{4.5.22}$$

Choosing $\gamma = 1 + \varepsilon_2 d^4(\theta)$, we obtain (4.5.16). □

At last, formula (4.5.9) and estimates (4.5.11), (4.5.12) imply (4.1.30) with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. □

Corollary 4.5.3. *Bound (4.1.30) implies that (4.1.23) holds with*

$$\inf_{\theta \in K} \varkappa(\theta) > 0 \tag{4.5.23}$$

for any compact subset $K \subset \Pi^*_+$.

Remark 4.5.4. Lemma 4.5.2 and its proof were inspired by the Sylvester criterion for the positivity of 2×2 matrices. Namely, in notation (4.5.13) for the matrix $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$ we have $\beta_{11} \geq 0$, $\beta_{22} > 0$. Furthermore, the matrix $\beta \geq 0$, since it corresponds to the perfect square, and hence $\det\beta \geq 0$. Therefore, the Sylvester criterion implies that

$$\beta_+ := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \tag{4.5.24}$$

since $\alpha + \beta_{11} > 0$, $\beta_{22} > 0$ and $\det\beta_+ = \alpha\beta_{22} + \det\beta > 0$. These arguments are behind our estimates (4.5.20)–(4.5.22), which give (4.5.16).

4.6 Weak solutions and linear stability

We introduce weak solutions and prove the linear stability of the dynamics (4.1.17) assuming (4.1.2), (4.1.25) and (4.1.11). Then the real periodic minimizer is given by (4.1.13) with $\alpha = 0$, and (4.1.23) and (4.1.30) hold by Theorem 4.5.1.

4.6.1 Weak solutions

Let us define solutions $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{X}^1)$ to (4.1.17) in the sense of vector-valued distributions of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us recall that $A^*V \in \mathscr{X}^0$ for $V \in \mathscr{D}$ by Corollary 4.3.5. We call $Y(t)$ a weak solution to (4.1.17) if, for every $V \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$\langle Y(t) - Y(0), V\rangle = \int_0^t \langle Y(s), A^*V\rangle ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.6.1}$$

Equivalently, by the Parseval–Plancherel identity,

$$\int_{\Pi^*} \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Y}(\theta,0), \tilde{V}(\theta)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} d\theta = \int_0^t \Big[\int_{\Pi^*} \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,s), \tilde{A}^*(\theta)\tilde{V}(\theta)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} d\theta\Big] ds \tag{4.6.2}$$

Fubini's theorem implies that

$$\tilde{Y}(\theta,\cdot) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)) \qquad \text{for a.e.} \quad \theta \in \Pi^*, \tag{4.6.3}$$

and (4.6.2) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Pi^*} \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Y}(\theta,0), \tilde{V}(\theta)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} d\theta = \int_{\Pi^*} \Big[\int_0^t \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,s), \tilde{A}^*(\theta)\tilde{V}(\theta)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} ds\Big] d\theta. \tag{4.6.4}$$

Equivalently,

$$\langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Y}(\theta,0), \tilde{V}\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = \int_0^t \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,s), \tilde{A}^*(\theta)\tilde{V}\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \tilde{V} \in \mathscr{D}(T^3) \tag{4.6.5}$$

for a.e. $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$. Formally,

$$\dot{\tilde{Y}}(\theta,t) = \tilde{A}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.6.6}$$

for a.e. $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$ in the sense of vector-valued distributions.

4.6.2 Reduction to mild solution

We reduce (4.6.6) to an equation with a selfadjoint generator by using (4.1.23) and our methods [36, 37]. By (4.1.23) and (4.1.30) the operator $\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta) := \tilde{B}^{1/2}(\theta) > 0$ is invertible in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ for $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$ and

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)Z\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa(\theta)}} \|Z\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)}, \quad Z \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^*_+. \tag{4.6.7}$$

Hence, $\tilde{A}(\theta) = J\tilde{B}(\theta)$ and $\tilde{A}^*(\theta) = -\tilde{B}(\theta)J$ are also invertible in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Therefore, (4.6.5) can be rewritten as

$$\langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Y}(\theta,0), (\tilde{A}^*(\theta))^{-1}\tilde{W}\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = \int_0^t \langle \tilde{Y}(\theta,s), \tilde{W}\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \tilde{W} \in \tilde{A}^*(\theta)\mathscr{D}(T^3) \tag{4.6.8}$$

for a.e. $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$.

Lemma 4.6.1. *The linear space $\tilde{A}^*(\theta)\mathscr{D}(T^3)$ is dense in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$.*

Proof. First, $\tilde{A}^*(\theta)\mathscr{D}(T^3) = \tilde{B}(\theta)\mathscr{D}(T^3)$, since $J\mathscr{D}(T^3) = \mathscr{D}(T^3)$. Second, $\tilde{B}(\theta)$, which is defined on $\mathscr{D}(T^3)$, extends to an invertible selfadjoint operator in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ with the domain $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^2(T^3)$ and $\mathrm{Ran}\tilde{B}(\theta) = \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. □

As a corollary, (4.6.8) is equivalent to the 'mild solution' identity

$$\tilde{A}^{-1}(\theta)[\tilde{Y}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Y}(\theta,0)] = \int_0^t \tilde{Y}(\theta,s) ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^*_+. \tag{4.6.9}$$

4.6.3 Reduction to selfadjoint generator

Now we can apply our approach [36] to reduce the Hamiltonian system (4.1.17) to the dynamics with a selfadjoint generator. Namely, (4.6.3) implies that

$$Z(\theta,\cdot) := \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,\cdot) \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)) \qquad \text{for a.e.} \quad \theta \in \Pi^*. \tag{4.6.10}$$

Hence, applying $\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)$ to the both sides of (4.6.9), we obtain the equivalent equation

$$\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)[\tilde{Z}(\theta,t) - \tilde{Z}(\theta,0)] = -i\int_0^t \tilde{Z}(\theta,s)ds, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{for a.e.} \quad \theta \in \Pi^*, \tag{4.6.11}$$

where $\tilde{K}(\theta) := i\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)J\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)$, since $\tilde{A}^{-1}(\theta) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-2}(\theta)J^{-1}$. Formally,

$$\dot{\tilde{Z}}(\theta,t) = -i\tilde{K}(\theta)\tilde{Z}(\theta,t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{for a.e.} \quad \theta \in \Pi^* \tag{4.6.12}$$

in the sense of vector-valued distributions. Now the problem is that the domain of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ is unknown if the ion density $\sigma(x)$ is not sufficiently smooth, so we cannot use the PDO techniques. The following lemma plays a key role in our approach (cf. Lemma 2.1 of [36]).

Lemma 4.6.2. *i) $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ is a selfadjoint operator in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ with a dense domain $D_\theta = D(\tilde{K}(\theta)) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)$ for every $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$.*

ii) The eigenvectors of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ form a complete set in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$.

Proof. i) The operator $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ is injective. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Ran}\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta) = \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$, and $J : \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3) \to \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ is a bounded invertible operator. Hence, $\operatorname{Ran}\tilde{K}(\theta) = \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Consider the inverse operator

$$\tilde{R}(\theta) := \tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta) = i\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)J^{-1}\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta). \tag{4.6.13}$$

This operator is selfadjoint, since it is bounded and symmetric. Hence, $\operatorname{Ran}\tilde{K}(\theta) = D(\tilde{R}(\theta)) = \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Therefore, $\tilde{K}(\theta) = \tilde{R}^{-1}(\theta)$ is a densely defined selfadjoint operator by Theorem 13.11, (b) of [70]:

$$\tilde{K}^*(\theta) = \tilde{K}(\theta)\,, \quad D(\tilde{K}(\theta)) = \operatorname{Ran}\tilde{R}(\theta) \subset \operatorname{Ran}\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)$$

where the last inclusion follows by (4.6.7).

ii) (4.6.7) implies that $\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)$ is a compact operator in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Hence, $\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)$ is also compact operator in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$ by (4.6.13). □

This lemma implies that the formula

$$\tilde{Z}(\theta,t) = e^{-i\tilde{K}(\theta)t}\tilde{Z}(\theta,0) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)) \tag{4.6.14}$$

gives a unique solution to (4.6.12) for each $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$ and every $\tilde{Z}(\theta,0) \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Indeed, it suffices to expand $Z(\theta,t)$ in the eigenvectors of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ and to note that (4.6.11) gives ordinary differential equations for each component. Now we can prove the well posedness of the Cauchy problem for equation (4.6.6) with any $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$.

Theorem 4.6.3. *Let conditions (4.1.25), (4.1.11) and (4.1.2) hold, and $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$. Then, for every initial state $\tilde{Y}(\theta,0) \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)$, there exists a unique solution $\tilde{Y}(\theta,\cdot) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3))$ to equation (4.6.6) in the sense of (4.6.5). Besides,*

$$\langle \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,t), \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,t)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = C(\theta), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.6.15}$$

Proof. First, we note that $\tilde{Z}(\theta,0) := \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,0) \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. Hence, (4.6.14) and (4.6.7) imply that

$$\tilde{Y}(\theta,t) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)e^{-iK(\theta)t}\tilde{Z}(\theta,0) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^1(T^3)) \tag{4.6.16}$$

is the unique solution to (4.6.6). Finally,

$$\langle \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,t), \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,t)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = \langle \tilde{Z}(\theta,t), \tilde{Z}(\theta,t)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)} = C(\theta), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

since $e^{-iK(\theta)t}$ is the unitary group in $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)$. □

4.6.4 Linear stability in the energy space

Thus, we have constructed $\tilde{Y}(\theta,t)$ uniquely for a.e. $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$. However, (4.6.15) does not imply that there exists the corresponding $Y(t) \in \mathscr{X}^1$, since $\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)$ can degenerate at some points $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Pi^*_+$. In particular, it degenerates at $\theta = 0$ due to (4.1.31) and (4.1.32). Thus, we need another phase space to construct solutions to (4.6.1). Let us denote

$$\mathscr{D}_0 := \{Y \in \mathscr{X}^1 : \tilde{Y}(\theta) = 0 \ \text{ in a neighborhood of } \ \Gamma^*\}.$$

Lemma 4.4.4 implies that $\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta) \in L^2(\Pi^*_+, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3))$ for $Y \in \mathscr{D}_0$. Moreover, Theorem 4.5.1 shows that

$$\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}} := \|\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta)\|_{L^2(\Pi^*_+, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3))} = \langle Y, BY\rangle > 0, \qquad Y \in \mathscr{D}_0 \setminus 0 \tag{4.6.17}$$

under conditions (4.1.25), (4.1.11) and (4.1.2). Hence, $\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}$ is a norm on $\mathscr{D}_0$.

Definition 4.6.4. *The Hilbert space $\mathscr{W}$ is the completion of $\mathscr{D}_0$ in the norm $\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}}$.*

Formally, we have $\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}} = \langle Y, BY\rangle^{1/2}$. By Corollary 4.5.3, the Fourier–Bloch transform (4.4.18) extends to the isomorphism

$$\mathscr{F} : \mathscr{W} \to \tilde{\mathscr{W}} := \{\tilde{Y}(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Pi^*_+, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3)) : \|\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta)\|_{L^2(\Pi^*_+, \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^0(T^3))} < \infty\}. \tag{4.6.18}$$

Hence, we can extend the definition of weak solutions (4.6.1) to $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{W})$ by identity (4.6.1) with $V \in \mathscr{D}$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} \tilde{V}(\theta) \subset \Pi^*_+. \tag{4.6.19}$$

Theorem 4.6.3 has the following corollary, which is one of main results of this chapter.

Corollary 4.6.5. *Let all conditions of Theorem 4.6.3 hold. Then, for every initial state $Y(0) \in \mathscr{W}$, there exists a unique weak solution $Y(\cdot) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{W})$ to equation (4.1.17), the energy norm being conserved*:

$$\|Y(t)\|_{\mathscr{W}} = \text{const}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.6.20}$$

The solution is given by formula (4.6.16):

$$Y(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)e^{-i\tilde{K}(\theta)t}\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,0) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{W}). \tag{4.6.21}$$

The energy conservation (4.6.20) follows from (4.6.15) by integration over $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$.

This means that the linearized dynamics (4.1.17) is stable in the 'energy space' $\mathscr{W}$: a global solution exists and is unique for each initial state of finite energy, and the 'energy norm' is constant in time.

Chapter 5

Dispersive decay

Abstract

We establish the dispersive decay for the linearised system (4.1.15) assuming that the ion charge density $\sigma(x)$ sasisfies i) the Wiener and Jellium conditions (4.1.11) and (4.1.25), and ii) it decays exponential at infinity. The corresponding examples are given.

The dispersion relations are introduced via spectral resolution for the non-selfadjoint Hamiltonian generator in the Bloch representation using the positivity of the energy (4.1.23).

The main result of this chapter is the dispersive decay in the weighted Sobolev norms for solutions with initial states from the space of continuous spectrum of the Hamiltonian generator. We also prove the absence of singular spectrum and limiting absorption principle. The multiplicity of every eigenvalue is shown to be infinite.

The proofs rely on novel exact bounds and compactness for the inversion of the Bloch generators and on uniform asymptotics for the dispersion relations using the energy positivity (4.1.23). We also use the theory of analytic sets.

5.1 Introduction

We establish the dispersive decay for the linearised system (4.1.17):

$$\dot{Y}(t) = AY(t), \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^0 & 0 & 0 \\ -H^0 - 2e^2\psi_0 G\psi_0 & 0 & -S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{-1} \\ -2S^* & 0 & -T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1.1}$$

where we denote $Y(t) = (\Psi_1(\cdot,t), \Psi_2(\cdot,t), q(\cdot,t), p(\cdot,t))$, $H^0 := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$, the operators S and T correspond to matrices (4.2.3) and (4.2.4), respectively. We keep all notations of the previous chapter and assume that the ion charge density $\sigma(x)$ satisfies the Wiener and Jellium conditions (4.1.11) and (4.1.25).

Our main result is the dispersive decay in the weighted Sobolev norms for solutions with initial states from the space of continuous spectrum of the Hamiltonian generator. We also prove the absence of singular spectrum and limiting absorption principle. The multiplicity of every eigenvalue is shown to be infinite.

For the proof we use the formula for solutions (4.6.21) and the spectral resolution of the selfadjoint operator $\tilde{K}(\theta)$. We establish that its spectrum is discrete by the energy positivity (4.1.23). The dispersion relations $\omega_n(\theta)$ are introduced as the eigenvalues of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$. The key role is played by their analyticity in a complex neighborhood of the torus T^3 which allows us to apply the theory of analytic sets [71].

As in previous chapter, we assume (1.1.1) and

$$(\Delta - 1)\sigma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \tag{5.1.2}$$

which provides a suitable decay for the Fourier transform of σ. In particular, the series (1.1.13) are converging. Moreover, we assume the exponential decay of the ion charge density

$$|\sigma(x)| \le Ce^{-\varepsilon|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{5.1.3}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. The cubic lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^3$ is chosen for the simplicity of notations. The results [40] imply that the energy operator B is densely defined and is selfadjoint on the Hilbert space

$$\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3) := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3). \tag{5.1.4}$$

Our main goal is to show the dispersive decay of solutions to (5.1.1) in the weighted norms

$$\|X\|_\alpha := \|\langle x\rangle^\alpha \Psi_1(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\langle x\rangle^\alpha \Psi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\langle n\rangle^\alpha q(n)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)} + \|\langle n\rangle^\alpha p(n)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)} \tag{5.1.5}$$

with $\alpha < 0$ for $X = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, q, p) \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Recall that $\mathscr{W}$ denotes the completion of the space of functions $Y \in \mathscr{X}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ with finite norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathscr{W}} := \|\Lambda Y\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \qquad \Lambda := B^{1/2} > 0. \tag{5.1.6}$$

By Coollary 4.6.5, for any $Y(0) \in \mathscr{W}$ there exists a unique *weak solution* $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{W})$ to (5.1.1). The main result of the this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let conditions* (5.1.2), (5.1.3), (1.1.13), *and* (1.1.11) *hold. Then every solution* $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{W})$ *to (5.1.1) splits as follows*

$$Y(t) = \sum_1^N Y_k e^{-i\omega_k^* t} + Y_c(t). \tag{5.1.7}$$

Here $Y_k \in \mathscr{W}$ *and* $N \le \infty$, *and the sum is defined by (5.3.5) in the case* $N = \infty$. *Moreover,*

$$|\omega_k^*| \to \infty, \qquad k \to \infty, \tag{5.1.8}$$

if $N = \infty$. *The remainder* $Y_c(t)$ *decays in the weighted norms (5.1.5): for any* $\alpha < -3/2$,

$$\|\Lambda Y_c(t)\|_\alpha \to 0, \qquad |t| \to \infty. \tag{5.1.9}$$

This theorem means the linear asymptotics stability of the ground state (1.5.2) when $N = 0$.

Let us recall basic constructions of previous chapter relying on the Bloch transform (4.4.18). Namely, the generator A commutes with translations by vectors from Γ. Hence, the equation (5.1.1) can be reduced using the Bloch transform $Y(t) \mapsto \tilde{Y}(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Pi^*, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$, where $T^3 := \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma$ is the periodic cell, and

$$\mathscr{X}^s(T^3) := H^s(T^3) \oplus H^s(T^3) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3, \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1.10}$$

In the Bloch transform equation (5.1.1) reads as (4.6.6),

$$\dot{\tilde{Y}}(\theta, t) = \tilde{A}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.1.11}$$

where $\tilde{Y}(\cdot, t) \in \mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$. The Hamiltonian representation (4.1.18) implies that

$$\tilde{A}(\theta) = J\tilde{B}(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*, \tag{5.1.12}$$

where the Bloch energy operators $\tilde{B}(\theta)$ are selfadjoint in $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$. The main crux here is that the generator $\tilde{A}(\theta)$ is not selfadjoint and even is not symmetric in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$. Hence we cannot diagonalize it

using the von Neumann spectral theorem. Thus, even an introduction of the 'dispersion relations' $\omega_k(\theta)$, which are the eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}(\theta)$, is a nontrivial problem in our situation. Let us recall the definition (5.1.13),

$$\Pi^*_+ := \{\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^* : \Sigma(\theta) > 0\}. \tag{5.1.13}$$

This is an open set of the complete Lebesgue measure, i.e., $\mathrm{mes}(\Pi^* \setminus \Pi^*_+) = 0$, by (1.1.13). The key role in our approach is played by the positivity (4.1.23) proved in the previous chapter under the Jellium and the Wiener conditions,

$$\langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{B}(\theta)\tilde{Y}\rangle \geq \varkappa(\theta)\|\tilde{Y}\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1(T^3)}, \quad \tilde{Y} \in \mathscr{X}^1(T^3), \quad \theta \in \Pi^*_+, \tag{5.1.14}$$

with $\varkappa(\theta) > 0$, the brackets denoting the scalar product in $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$. In this chapter, we use this positivity to show that the eigenvectors of $\tilde{A}(\theta)$ span the Hilbert space $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$ by our spectral theory of the Hamiltonian operators with positive energy [36, 37]. Namely, setting $\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta) := \tilde{B}^{1/2}(\theta)$, we obtain from (5.1.12) that

$$\tilde{A}(\theta) = -i\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)\tilde{K}(\theta)\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^*_+, \tag{5.1.15}$$

where $\tilde{K}(\theta) = \tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)iJ\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)$ is a selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$ by Lemma 4.6.2. Hence, all solutions to (5.1.11) admit the representation

$$\tilde{Y}(\theta,t) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)e^{-i\tilde{K}(\theta)t}\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta)\tilde{Y}(\theta,0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \in \Pi^*_+. \tag{5.1.16}$$

Moreover, (4.6.7) implies that

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)Z\|_{\mathscr{X}^1(T^3)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa(\theta)}}\|Z\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)}, \quad Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(T^3), \qquad \theta \in \Pi^*_+. \tag{5.1.17}$$

Hence, (4.6.13) implies that $\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta) = i\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)J^{-1}\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\theta)$ and

$$\|\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)\tilde{Z}\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^1(T^3)} \leq \frac{C}{\varkappa(\theta)}\|\tilde{Z}\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)}, \qquad \tilde{Z} \in \mathscr{X}^0(T^3). \tag{5.1.18}$$

We prove that the spectrum of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ is discrete and obtain the lower estimate for the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ which are also the eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}(\theta)$ and are called the dispersion relations (or the Floquet eigenvalues).

Further, we represent the solution $Y(t)$ as the inversion of the Bloch transform (5.1.16). This inversion is the series of oscillatory integrals with the phase functions $\omega_k(\theta)$. Using the decay (5.1.3) we show that

i) $\omega_k(\theta)$ are piecewise real-analytic in $\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*$ for every k;

ii) If $\omega_k(\theta) \not\equiv \mathrm{const}$, then the set

$$\{\theta \in \Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^* : \nabla\omega_k(\theta) = 0, \ \det \mathrm{Hess}\,\omega_k(\theta) = 0\} \tag{5.1.19}$$

has the Lebesgue measure zero;

iii) In the case $N = \infty$ the limit (5.1.8) holds for the constant dispersion relations $\omega_k(\theta) \equiv \omega^*_k$.

These properties of the phase functions provide the asymptotics (5.1.7) and (5.1.9). Finally, we establish the absence of singular spectrum and the limiting absorption principle for the selfadjoint operator $K := i\Lambda A\Lambda^{-1}$.

Note that all our methods and results extend obviously to the case of a general lattice (4.1.33)

Let us comment on previous results on the dispersive decay for space-periodic dynamical systems.

The first results on the dispersive decay $\sim t^{-1}$ were obtained by Firsova [25] for 1D Schrödinger equation with space-periodic potential for finite band case. The proofs rely on Korotyaev's results [44] on stationary points of the dispersion relations.

The decay $\sim t^{-\varepsilon}$ with a small $\varepsilon > 0$ for the 1D Schrödinger equation with an infinite band potential was established by Cuccagna [18]. This decay was applied to the asymptotic stability of standing waves in presence of small nonlinear perturbations [19].

The absense of constant dispersion relations for the periodic Schrödinger equations was established by Thomas [73], see also Lemma 2 (c) of [69], p. 308.

Recently Prill [67] proved the decay $\sim t^{-p}$ with $p = 3/2$ and $p = 1/2$ (under distinct assumptions) for the 1D Klein-Gordon equation with a periodic Lamé potential and its short range perturbations.

The dispersive decay for the periodic Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations in higher dimensions $n \geq 2$ was not obtained previously.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some formulas from [40] for the Bloch representation. In Section 3 we introduce the dispersion relations and prove their properties. In Section 4 we prove the asymptotics (5.1.7), (5.1.9), and in Section 5 we justify the limiting absorption principle. In Appendix A we collect some formulas from [40] which we need in our calculations.

5.2 Dispersion relations

Here we establich the properties of the eigenvalues of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ which play the key role in the proof of the dispersive decay. Lemma 4.6.2 implies the spectral resolution

$$\tilde{K}(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k(\theta) P_k(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi_+^*, \tag{5.2.1}$$

where $\omega_k(\theta)$ are the eigenvalues (dispersion relations) counted with their multiplicities,

$$|\omega_1(\theta)| \leq |\omega_2(\theta)| \leq \dots,$$

and $P_k(\theta)$ are the corresponding orthogonal projections.

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let conditions* (5.1.2) *and* (1.1.13), (1.1.11) *hold and Q be a compact subset of Π_+^*. Then*

$$|\omega_k(\theta)| \geq \varepsilon(Q) k^{2/3}, \qquad k \geq 1, \qquad \theta \in Q, \tag{5.2.2}$$

where $\varepsilon(Q) > 0$.

Proof. The key role in the proof of (5.2.2) is played by the estimate [40, (7.23)]:

$$b(Q) := \inf_{\theta \in Q} \varkappa(\theta) > 0 \tag{5.2.3}$$

for any compact subset $Q \subset \Pi_+^*$. The expansion (5.2.1) implies that

$$|\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\omega_k(\theta)|^{-1} P_k(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \Pi_+^*. \tag{5.2.4}$$

Moreover, by duality we have from estimate (5.1.18)

$$\|\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)\tilde{Z}\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)} \leq \frac{C}{b(Q)} \|\tilde{Z}\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^{-1}(T^3)}, \qquad \tilde{Z} \in \mathscr{X}^0(T^3), \quad \theta \in Q \tag{5.2.5}$$

due to (5.2.3), since the operator $\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)$ is selfadjoint. At last, the norm in the right-hand side of (5.2.5) can be written as $\|g\tilde{Z}\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)}$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} (-\Delta+1)^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-\Delta+1)^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2.6}$$

is the positive selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)$. Now (5.2.5) gives that

$$\||\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)|\tilde{Z}\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)} \le C(Q)\|g\tilde{Z}\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)}, \qquad \tilde{Z} \in \mathscr{X}^0(T^3), \quad \theta \in Q. \tag{5.2.7}$$

Hence, the Rayleigh-Courant-Fisher theorem ([4, Theorem 1, p.110] and [69, Theorem XIII.1, p.91]) implies that

$$|\omega_k(\theta)|^{-1} \le C(Q)g_k, \qquad k \ge 1, \quad \theta \in Q, \tag{5.2.8}$$

where $g_1 \ge g_2 \ge \dots$ are the eigenvalues of g counted with their multiplicities. Therefore, (5.2.2) holds, since $g_k \le Ck^{-2/3}$. The last inequality is obvious, in as much as $k \le \#(n \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : n^2+1 \le g_k^{-1}) \le C_1 g_k^{-3/2}$. □

Further we use the exponential decay of the ion charge density (5.1.3). Example 4.1.2 gives the densities σ satisfying all conditions of Theorem 5.1.1: (5.1.2), (5.1.3) and the Wiener and Jellium conditions (1.1.13), (1.1.11). The decay (5.1.3) implies that the function $\tilde{\sigma}(\theta, y)$ is analytic with respect to θ in the complex tube

$$\Pi^*_\varepsilon := \{\theta \in [\Pi^* \setminus \Gamma^*] \oplus i\mathbb{R}^3 : \ |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence, the finite rank operators $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ and $\tilde{T}(\theta)$ defined in (4.4.23) – (4.4.25) are also analytic in $\theta \in \Pi^*_\varepsilon$. Therefore, $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ is real-analytic on Π^*_+. Denote the set

$$\mathscr{R} := \{(\theta, \omega) : \ \theta \in \Pi^*_+, \ \omega \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\tilde{K}(\theta)\}. \tag{5.2.9}$$

The eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ and the projections $P_k(\theta)$ become single-valued functions on $\mathscr{R}$: for $R = (\theta, \omega_k(\theta))$

$$\theta(R) := \theta, \qquad \omega(R) := \omega_k(\theta), \qquad P(R) := P_k(\theta). \tag{5.2.10}$$

These functions are continuous on the manifold $\mathscr{R}$ endowed with natural topology by the incluzion $\mathscr{R} \subset \Pi^* \times \mathbb{R}$. They are piecewise analytic on $\mathscr{R}$ by the following lemma, which extends [71, Lemma 1.1] from the Schrödinger equation with periodic potential to the system (5.1.1).

Lemma 5.2.2. *Let all conditions of Theorem 5.1.1 hold. Then for every point $R^* = (\theta^*, \omega^*) \in \mathscr{R}$ there exists a neighborhood $U = U(R^*) \subset \mathscr{R}$ with its projection $V = V(R^*)$ onto Π^*_+ , and a critical subset $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{C}(R^*) \subset \Pi^*_\varepsilon$, which is a finite union of analytic submanifolds of positive complex codimension in Π^*_ε, with the following properties:*

i) For any point $R = (\theta, \omega) \in U$ we have $\omega(R) := \omega \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\tilde{K}(\theta)$.

ii) For any point $\theta' \in V \setminus \mathscr{C}$ there exists a neihborhood $W = W(\theta') \subset V \setminus \mathscr{C}$ such that $R = (\theta, \omega) \in U$ with $\theta \in W$ if and only if $\omega = \omega_l(\theta)$ with some $l = 1, \dots, L = L(R^*)$.

iii) The eigenvalues $\omega_l(\cdot)$ and the corresponding projections $P_l(\cdot)$ are real-analytic functions on W and admit an analytic continuation outside $\mathscr{C}$ in a complex neighborhood of θ^* in Π^*_ε.

iv) For each $l = 1, \dots, L(R^*)$, either

$$\nabla\omega_l(\theta) \ne 0, \quad \theta \in W, \tag{5.2.11}$$

or

$$\omega_l(\theta) \equiv \omega^*, \qquad \theta \in W. \tag{5.2.12}$$

v) If (5.2.12) holds with some l for a point $R^* = (\theta^*, \omega^*)$, then the constant eigenvalue also exists for (θ, ω^*) with any $\theta \in \Pi^*_+$.

Proof. Let us set $r := \mathrm{dist}\,(\omega^*, \mathrm{Spec}\,\tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\theta^*) \setminus \omega^*) > 0$. Then

$$P(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\omega-\omega^*|=r/2} [\tilde{K}(\theta) - \omega]^{-1} d\omega \tag{5.2.13}$$

is a finite-rank Riesz projection, which is analytic in a complex neighborhood of θ^*. Its range $\mathrm{Ran}P(\theta)$ is invariant under $\tilde{K}(\theta)$, and hence the bifurcated from ω^* eigenvalues of $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ coincide with the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det[m(\theta)-\omega]=0, \tag{5.2.14}$$

where $m(\theta):=\tilde{K}(\theta)|_{\mathrm{Ran}\,P(\theta)}$. The coefficients of this polynomial are analytic functions of θ in a complex neighborhood of θ^*, and hence i)–iv) follow by the arguments from the proof of Lemma 1.1 of [71].

Finally, v) follows from the fact that the set of the corresponding $\theta\in\Pi^*_+$ is closed and open at the same time by the analyticity of each $\omega_l(\theta)$ in a connected open region of $\Pi^*_\varepsilon\setminus\mathscr{C}$. □

Definition 5.2.3. *Ω^* is the set of all ω^* which are constant eigenvalues (5.2.12) at least for one point $R^*\in\mathscr{R}$.*

5.3 Dispersion decay

Here we prove our main Theorem 5.1.1. Recall that $\Lambda:\mathscr{W}\to\mathscr{X}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an isomorphism by the definition (5.1.6), and hence, it suffices to check the corresponding asymptotics for $Z(t):=\Lambda Y(t)\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3))$:

$$Z(t)=\sum_1^N Z_k e^{-i\omega_k^* t}+Z^c(t);\qquad \|Z^c(t)\|_\alpha\to 0\,,\quad |t|\to\infty, \tag{5.3.1}$$

where $Z_k\in\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\alpha<-3/2$. Using the inversion formula (4.4.20) and the representation (4.6.14) for $\tilde{Z}(\theta,t)$, we obtain the corresponding 'cell representation'

$$Z(n,t)=|\Pi^*|^{-1}\int_{\Pi^*}e^{-in\theta}\mathscr{M}(-\theta)e^{-i\tilde{K}(\theta)t}\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)d\theta,\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{5.3.2}$$

The spectral resolution (5.2.1) implies that

$$Z(n,t)=|\Pi^*|^{-1}\int_{\Pi^*}e^{-in\theta}\mathscr{M}(-\theta)[\sum_k e^{-i\omega_k(\theta)t}P_k(\theta)]\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)d\theta,\qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{5.3.3}$$

Equivalently,

$$Z(n,t)=|\Pi^*|^{-1}\int_{\mathscr{R}}e^{-in\theta}\mathscr{M}(-\theta)e^{-i\omega t}P(\theta,\omega)\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)d\theta,\qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}^3, \tag{5.3.4}$$

where θ, ω and the projection $P(\theta,\omega)$ are the single-valued continuous functions (5.2.10) on $\mathscr{R}$. We denote by $d\theta$ is the corresponding differential form on $\mathscr{R}$. The integral is well defined by Lemma 5.2.2.

5.3.1 Discrete spectral component

We define the series of oscillating terms of (5.3.1) by its cell representation

$$\sum_k Z_k(n)e^{-i\omega_k^* t}=|\Pi^*|^{-1}\int_{\{(\theta,\omega)\in\mathscr{R}:\omega\in\Omega^*\}}e^{-in\theta}\mathscr{M}(-\theta)e^{-i\omega t}P(\theta,\omega)\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)d\theta,\qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{5.3.5}$$

Obviously, (5.1.8) follows from (5.2.2).

5.3.2 Continuous spectral component

It remains to prove the decay (5.3.1) for the remainder corresponding to the cell representation

$$Z^c(n,t) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{V}} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega t} P(\theta,\omega) \tilde{Z}(\theta,0) d\theta, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \tag{5.3.6}$$

where the integration spreads over the set $\mathscr{V} := \{(\theta,\omega) \in \mathscr{R} : \omega \notin \Omega^*\}$. For every $\nu > 0$, we split $Z^c(t) = Z^\nu_-(t) + Z^\nu_+(t)$, where

$$Z^\nu_-(n,t) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{V}^\nu_-} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega t} P(\theta,\omega) \tilde{Z}(\theta,0) d\theta, \tag{5.3.7}$$

$$Z^\nu_+(n,t) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{V}^\nu_+} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega t} P(\theta,\omega) \tilde{Z}(\theta,0) d\theta. \tag{5.3.8}$$

Here $\mathscr{V}^\nu_- := \{(\theta,\omega) \in \mathscr{V} : |\omega| \le \nu\}$ and $\mathscr{V}^\nu_+ := \{(\theta,\omega) \in \mathscr{V} : |\omega| > \nu\}$.

High energy component. By (4.4.4) and the Parseval–Plancherel theorem

$$\|Z^\nu_+(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \|Z^\nu_+(n,t)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)} = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\mathscr{V}^\nu_+} \|P(\theta,\omega)\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)} d\theta. \tag{5.3.9}$$

According to definition (5.1.6) the condition $Y(0) \in \mathscr{W}$ means that $Z = \Lambda Y(0) \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, the Parseval–Plancherel identity gives

$$\|Z(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)} = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*} \|\tilde{Z}(\theta,0)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(T^3)} d\theta < \infty. \tag{5.3.10}$$

Therefore, (5.3.9) implies that

$$\|Z^\nu_+(t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0, \qquad \nu \to \infty \tag{5.3.11}$$

uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the σ-additivity since $\cap_{\nu>0} \mathscr{V}^\nu_+ = \emptyset$.

Low energy component. It remains to prove the decay (5.3.1) for $Z^\nu_-(t)$ corresponding to the cell representation $Z^\nu_-(n,t)$. The weighted norms (5.1.5) are equivalent to the modified norms

$$|||Z|||^2_\alpha := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} (1+|n|)^{2\alpha} \|Z(n)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)}, \qquad Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{5.3.12}$$

where $Z(n)$ are defined as in (4.4.1), (4.4.2). Hence, the decay (5.3.1) for $Z^c(t)$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} (1+|n|)^{2\alpha} \|Z^c(n,t)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)} \to 0, \quad t \to \infty. \tag{5.3.13}$$

It suffices to check that every norm $\|Z^\nu_-(n,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)}$ decays to zero as $t \to \infty$, since $\alpha < -3/2$ and

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \|Z^\nu_-(n,t)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)} = \|Z^\nu_-(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{X}^0} = \text{const}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.3.14}$$

by (4.4.4) and formula of type (4.6.14) for $\tilde{Z}^\nu_-(\theta,t)$.

Reduction to a compact set and partition of unity. Consider an open precompact subset $Q \subset \Pi^*_+$ such that the Lebesgue measure of $\Pi^*_+ \setminus Q$ is sufficiently small, and denote $\hat{Q}^\nu := \{R = (\theta,\omega) \in \mathscr{V}^\nu_- : \theta \in Q\}$. Then the $\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)$-norm of the integral of type (5.3.7) over $\mathscr{V}^\nu_- \setminus \hat{Q}^\nu$ is small uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by (5.3.10). Hence, it remains to prove the decay for

$$Z^\nu_Q(n,t) := |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\hat{Q}^\nu} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega t} P(\theta,\omega) \tilde{Z}(\theta,0) d\theta. \tag{5.3.15}$$

The asymptotics (5.2.2), which are uniform in $\theta \in Q$, imply that the set $\hat{Q}^\nu$ is open and precompact in $\mathscr{R}$. Neglecting an arbitrarily small term we can assume that Q does not intersect a small neighborhood of the critical submanifold $\mathscr{C}_j \subset V(\theta_j)$ for every j. Hence, we can cover $\hat{Q}^\nu$ by a finite number of neighborhoods $W(R_j)$ from Lemma 5.2.2 with $R_j = (\theta_j, \omega_j) \in \overline{\hat{Q}^\nu}$. Then there exists a partition of unity $\chi_j \in C(\mathscr{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}\chi_j \subset W(R_j)$:

$$\sum_j \chi_j(R) = 1, \quad R = (\theta, \omega) \in \hat{Q}^\nu. \tag{5.3.16}$$

Hence, (5.3.15) becomes the finite sum

$$Z^\nu_{jl}(n,t) = \sum_{j,l} |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{W(R_j)} e^{-in\theta} \chi_j(\theta, \omega_{jl}(\theta)) \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega_{jl}(\theta)t} P_{jl}(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta, 0) d\theta, \tag{5.3.17}$$

where the functions ω_{jl} and projections P_{jl} are constructed in Lemma 5.2.2. Note, that all constant dispersion relations (5.2.12) are excluded from the integration (5.3.17), and hence, the remaining nonconstant dispersion relations $\omega_{jl}(\theta)$ satisfy (5.2.11). Let us approximate

i) $\chi_j(\theta, \omega_{jl}(\theta))$ by $\chi_{jl}(\cdot) \in C_0^\infty(W(R_j))$ and

ii) $P_{jl}(\theta)\tilde{Z}(\theta, 0)$ by some functions $D_{jl}(\theta) \in C^\infty(W(R_j), \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$ in the norm of $L^2(Q, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$.

Then the corresponding error in (5.3.17) is small in the norm $\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, (5.2.11) implies by a partial integration the decay of the integrals (5.3.17) with $\mu_{jl}(\theta)D_{jl}(\theta)$ instead of $\chi_j(\theta, \omega_{jl}(\theta))P_{jl}(\theta)\tilde{Z}(\theta, 0)$. Theorem 5.1.1 is proved.

5.4 Spectral properties of the selfadjoint generator

Here we study spectral properties of the operator $K := \mathscr{F}^{-1}\tilde{K}\mathscr{F}$, where $\tilde{K}$ denotes the operator of multiplication by $\tilde{K}(\theta)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Pi^*, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$.

Lemma 5.4.1. *K is a selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with a dense domain $D(K)$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.6.2 ii) implies that the operator of multiplication by $\tilde{K}^{-1}(\theta)$ is selfadjoint and injective in $L^2(\Pi^*, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$. Hence, its inverse is densely defined selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\Pi^*, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3))$ by Theorem 13.11 (b) of [70]. □

Corollary 5.4.2. *The Hamiltonian generator A from (5.1.1) admits the representation*

$$AY = -i\Lambda^{-1}K\Lambda Y, \qquad Y \in \Lambda^{-1}D(K), \tag{5.4.1}$$

where $\Lambda := \mathscr{F}^{-1}\tilde{\Lambda}(\theta) : \mathscr{W} \to \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the isomorphism.

By (5.2.1),

$$KZ(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*_+} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) \sum_k \omega_k(\theta) P_k(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta) d\theta, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \tag{5.4.2}$$

for any $Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Similarly

$$Z(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*_+} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) \sum_k P_k(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta) d\theta, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \tag{5.4.3}$$

Therefore,

$$(K - \omega)Z(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*_+} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) \sum_k (\omega_k(\theta) - \omega) P_k(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta) d\theta. \tag{5.4.4}$$

Hence, the discrete spectrum $\sigma_p(K)$ consists of constant dispersion relations.

Lemma 5.4.3. *Let all conditions of Theorem 5.1.1 hold. Then*

i) $\sigma_p(K) = \Omega^*$.

ii) The multiplicity of every eigenvalue is infinite.

Proof. Let $\omega^* \in \Omega^*$ is a constant eigenvalue (5.2.12) corresponding to a point $R^* = (\theta^*, \omega^*) \in \mathscr{R}$. Let us take any $Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the Bloch transform $\tilde{Z}(\theta) \in \mathrm{Ran} P(\omega^*)$ for $\theta \in V(\theta^*)$ and $\tilde{Z}(\theta) \equiv 0$ for $\theta \notin V(\theta^*)$. Then (5.2.12) and (5.4.4) imply that $(K-\omega^*)Z = 0$. Obvioulsy, the space of such Z is infinite dimensional.

Conversely, let $(K-\omega^*)Z = 0$ for some $Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\tilde{Z}(\theta^*) \neq 0$. Then (5.4.4) implies (5.2.12) with some $l = 1, ..., L(\theta^*, \omega^*)$. □

Let us show that the continuous spectrum of K is absolutely continuous. First, (5.4.4) implies that the resolvent $R_K(\omega) := (K-\omega)^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Im}\,\omega \neq 0$ is given by

$$R_K(\omega)Z(n) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_{\Pi^*_+} e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) \sum_k (\omega_k(\theta) - \omega)^{-1} P_k(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta) d\theta, \qquad Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{5.4.5}$$

Denote by $\mathscr{X}_d$ the space of discrete spectrum of K.

Lemma 5.4.4. *Let all conditions of Theorem 5.1.1 hold. Then the singular spectrum of K is empty.*

Proof. This follows by Theorem XIII.20 of [69]. Namely, it suffices to check the corresponding criterion

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} \int_a^b |\mathrm{Im}\,\langle Z, R_K(\omega + i\varepsilon)Z\rangle|^p d\omega < \infty \tag{5.4.6}$$

with any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $p > 1$ for a dense set of $Z \in \mathscr{X}_d^{\perp}$. For example, for the linear span of vectors $Z \in \mathscr{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the Bloch transform

$$\tilde{Z}(\theta) = P_l(\theta) D(\theta), \qquad D \in C_0^{\infty}(W, \mathscr{X}^0(T^3)), \tag{5.4.7}$$

as constructed in Lemma 5.2.2 for each $R^* = (\theta^*, \omega^*) \in \mathscr{R}$, where $P_l(\theta)$ is the projection corresponding to an eigenvalue $\omega_l(\theta)$ satisfying (5.2.11). It suffices to check (5.4.6) only for the vectors of type (5.4.7). Applying Sokhotski-Plemelj's formula, we obtain for these vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}\,\langle Z, R_K(\omega + i\varepsilon)Z\rangle &= \int_W \mathrm{Im}\,\langle P_l(\theta)D(\theta), (\omega - \omega_l(\theta) - i\varepsilon)^{-1} P_l(\theta)D(\theta)\rangle_{\mathscr{X}(T^3)} d\theta \\ &\to -\pi \int_{\omega_l(\theta)=\omega} \frac{\langle P_l(\theta)D(\theta), P_l(\theta)D(\theta)\rangle_{\mathscr{X}(T^3)}}{|\nabla \omega_l(\theta)|} d\theta, \qquad \varepsilon \to 0+, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.8}$$

which implies (5.4.6) with any $p \geq 1$. □

In concluzion, let us prove the Limiting Absorption Principle. Let us denote by $\mathscr{X}_\alpha$ the Hilbert space of functions with the finite norm (5.3.12).

Lemma 5.4.5. *Let all conditions of Theorem 5.1.1 hold, and let $Z \in \mathscr{X}_d^{\perp}$ be a finite linear combination of the vectors with the Bloch transform of type (5.4.7). Then for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < -7/2$*

$$R_K(\omega \pm i\varepsilon)Z \xrightarrow{\mathscr{Y}^0_{-\alpha}} R_K(\omega \pm i0)Z, \qquad \varepsilon \to +0. \tag{5.4.9}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (5.4.9) for every vector of type (5.4.7). By (5.3.3) the corresponding solution $Z(t)$ with $Z(0) = Z$ reads

$$Z(n,t) = |\Pi^*|^{-1} \int_W e^{-in\theta} \mathscr{M}(-\theta) e^{-i\omega_l(\theta)t} P_l(\theta) \tilde{Z}(\theta) d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

The partial integration shows the time-decay

$$\|Z(n,t)\|_{\mathscr{X}^0(\Pi)} \leq C(1+|n|)^2 (1+|t|)^{-2}.$$

Hence,

$$\|Z(t)\|_{\mathscr{X}_\alpha} \leq C(1+|t|)^{-2}.$$

Now the convergence (5.4.9) follows from the integral representation

$$R_K(\omega \pm i\varepsilon) Z = \int_0^{\pm\infty} e^{(i\omega \mp \varepsilon)t} Z(t) dt.$$

□

Bibliography

[1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, NY, 1975.

[2] A. Ambrosetti, On Schrödinger–Poisson systems, *Milan J. Math.* **76** (2008), 257-274.

[3] A. Yu. Anikin, S. Yu. Dobrokhotov, M. I. Katsnel'son, Lower part of the spectrum for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator periodic in one variable and application to quantum dimers, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **188** (2016), no. 2, 1210–1235.

[4] V. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer, New York, 1978.

[5] K. Benmlih, Stationary solutions for a Schrödinger–Poisson system in $\mathbb{R}^3$, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **Conf. 09** (2002), 65–76.

http://ejde.math.swt.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu

[6] X. Blanc, C. Le Bris, P. L. Lions, A definition of the ground state energy for systems composed of infinitely many particles, *Comm. Partial Diff. Eq.* **28** (2003), no. 1/2, 439–475.

[7] X. Blanc, C. Le Bris, P. L. Lions, The energy of some microscopic stochastic lattices, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **184** (2007), no. 2, 303–339.

[8] F. Bonetto, J. L. Lebowitz, L. Rey-Bellet, Fourier's law: a challenge to theorists, p. 128-150 in: Fokas, A. (ed.) et al., Mathematical physics 2000. International congress, London, GB, 2000, Imperial College Press, London, 2000.

[9] M. Born, K. Huang, Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[10] E. Cancès, S. Lahbabi, M. Lewin, Mean-field models for disordered crystals, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **100** (2013), no. 2, 241-274.

[11] E. Cancès, C. Le Bris, On the time-dependent Hartree–Fock equations coupled with a classical nuclear dynamics, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **9** (1999), no.7, 963–990.

[12] E. Cancès, M. Lewin, The electric permittivity of crystals in the reduced Hartree–Fock approximation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010), 139–177.

[13] E. Cancès, G. Stoltz, A mathematical formulation of the random phase approximation for crystals, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN* **29** (2012), 887-925.

[14] L. Catto, C. Le Bris, P. L. Lions, Thermodynamic limit for Thomas-Fermi type models, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér.* I **322** (1996), no.4, 357–364.

[15] L. Catto, C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, The Mathematical Theory of Thermodynamic Limits: Thomas-Fermi Type Models, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.

[16] L. Catto, C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, On the thermodynamic limit for Hartree-Fock type models, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **18** (2001), no. 6, 687-760.

[17] L. Catto, C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, On some periodic Hartree-type models for crystals, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **19** (2002), no. 2, 143-190.

[18] S. Cuccagna, On dispersion for Schrödinger equation with periodic potential in 1D, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* **33** (2008), no. 11, 2064-2095.

[19] S. Cuccagna, Stability of standing waves for NLS with perturbed Lamé potential, *J. Differ. Equations* **223** (2006), no. 1, 112-160.

[20] Yu. A. Dubinsky, Weak convergence in non-linear elliptic and parabolic equations, *Mat. USSR Sb.* **67** (109) (1965), 609-642 (in Russian).

[21] T. Dudnikova, A. Komech, On the convergence to a statistical equilibrium in the crystal coupled to a scalar field, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005), no. 3, 301-325.

[22] F.J. Dyson, Ground-state energy of a finite system of charged particles, J. Math. Phys. 8, 1538-1545 (1967).

[23] F.J. Dyson, A. Lenard, Stability of matter I, *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (1967), 423-434; II, ibid. **9** (1968), 698-711.

[24] L. Euler, Demonstratio gemina theorematis Newtoniani, quo traditur relatio inter coefficientes cuiusvis aequiationis algebraicae et summas potestatum radicum eiusdem, *Opuscula varii argumenti* **2** (1750), 108-120. (English translation by J. Bell: A double demonstration of a theorem of Newton, which gives a relation between the coefficients of an algebnraic equation and the sums of the powers of its roots, arXiv:0707.0699 [math.HO])

[25] N. E. Firsova, On the time decay of a wave packet in a one-dimensional finite band periodic lattice, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), no.3, 1171-1181.

[26] C. Gérard, F. Nier, Scattering theory for the perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **38** (1998), no.4, 595-634.

[27] C. Gérard, F. Nier, The Mourre theory for analytically fibered operators *J. Funct. Anal.* **152** (1998), no.1, 202-219.

[28] A. Giuliani, J. L. Lebowitz, E. H. Lieb, Periodic minimizers in 1D local mean field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286** (2009), 163–177.

[29] G. Giuliani, G. Vignale, Quantum Theory of the Electron Liquid, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[30] I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, Theory and Applications of Volterra Operators in Hilbert Space, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1970.

[31] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, W. Strauss, Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry. I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **74** (1987), 160-197.

[32] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. I. Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[33] B. Kawohl, S. Krömer, Uniqueness and symmetry of minimizers of Hartree type equations with external Coulomb potential, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **5** (2012), no. 4, 427–432.

[34] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2005.

[35] A. I. Komech, Quantum Mechanics: Genesis and Achievements, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.

[36] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On eigenfunction expansion of solutions to the Hamilton equations, *J. Stat. Phys.* **154** (2014), no. 1-2, 503-521. arXiv:1308.0485

[37] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On the eigenfunction expansion for Hamilton operators, *J. Spectral Theory*, **5** (2015), no.2, 331-361.

[38] A. I. Komech, On crystal ground state in the Schrödinger-Poisson model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), no. 2, 1001–1021. arXiv:1310.3084

[39] A. I. Komech, On crystal ground state in the Schrödinger-Poisson model with point ions, *Math. Notes* **99** (2016), no. 6, 886–894. arXiv:1409.1847

[40] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On the linear stability of crystals for the Schrödinger-Poisson model, *J. Stat. Phys.* **165** (2016), no. 2, 246–273. Open access. arXiv:1505.07074

[41] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On stability of ground states for finite crystals in the Schrödinger–Poisson model, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), no. 3, 031902-1 – 031902-18. Open access.

[42] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On orbital stability of ground states for finite crystals in fermionic Schrödinger–Poisson model, *SIAM J. Math. Analysis* **50** (2018), no. 1, 64–85.

[43] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On the dispersive decay for crystals in the linearized Schrödinger–Poisson model, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **464** (2018), no. 1, 864–882.

[44] E. Korotyaev, Some properties of the quasimomentum of the one-dimensional Hill operator, *J. Soviet Math.* **6** (1992), 3081-3087.

[45] M.G. Krein, H.K. Langer, The spectral function of a selfadjoint operator in a space with indefinite metric, Sov. Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 1236-1239.

[46] H. Langer, Spectral functions of definitizable operators in Krein spaces, pp. 1-46 in: D. Butkovic, H. Kraljevic, S. Kurepa, Functional Analysis, LNM0948, Berlin, Springer, 1981.

[47] C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, From atoms to crystals: a mathematical journey, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.* **42** (2005), no. 3, 291-363.

[48] J.L. Lebowitz, E.H. Lieb, Existence of thermodynamics for real matter with Coulomb forces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22** (13) (1969), 631-634.

[49] J.L. Lebowitz, E.H. Lieb, Lectures on the thermodynamic limit for Coulomb systems, in: Springer Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 20, Springer, 1973, pp. 136-161.

[50] M.C. Lemm, Stability of Matter, Ph.D., LMU, 2010.

http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/∼lerdos/Stud/lemm.pdf

[51] M. Lewin, J. Sabin, The Hartree equation for infinitely many particles. I. Well-posedness theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **334** (2015), no. 1, 117-170. arXiv:1310.0603.

[52] M. Lewin, J. Sabin, The Hartree equation for infinitely many particles. II. Dispersion and scattering in 2D, *Anal. PDE* **7** (2014), no. 6, 1339-1363. arXiv:1310.0604.

[53] E.H. Lieb, The stability of matter: From atoms to stars. Selecta of Elliott H. Lieb. 4th ed., Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[54] E.H. Lieb, J.L. Lebowitz, The constitution of matter: existence of thermodynamics for systems composed of electrons and nuclei, Adv. Math. **9** (1972), no. 3, 316–398.

[55] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, The thermodynamic limit for matter interacting with Coulomb forces and with the quantized electromagnetic field: I. The lower bound, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **258** (2005), 675–695.

[56] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, The stability of matter in quantum mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[57] E.H. Lieb, B. Simon, The Hartree–Fock theory for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 185-194.

[58] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[59] I.M. Lifshits, M.Ya. Azbel, M.I. Kaganov, Electron Theory of Metals, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1973.

[60] J.-L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[61] J.L. Lions, E. Magenes, Problémes aux limites non homogènes et application, Vol. 1, Dunod, Paris, 1968.

[62] P.L. Lions, Some remarks on Hartree equation, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **5** (1981), 1245–1256.

[63] P.-L. Lions, Solutions of Hartree–Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987), 33–97.

[64] V.G. Maz'ya, Sobolev spaces, Springer, Berlin, 2011.

[65] F. Nier, Schrödinger–Poisson systems in dimension $d \leq 3$: The whole-space case, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A* **123** (1993), no. 6, 1179–1201.

[66] G. Panati, H. Spohn, S. Teufel, Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **242** (2003), 547-578.

[67] O. Prill, Dispersive estimates for solutions to the perturbed one-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation with and without a one-gap periodic potential, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **95** (2015), no. 8, 778-821.

[68] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[69] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[70] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.

[71] J. Sjöstrand, Microlocal analysis for the periodic magnetic Schrödinger equation and related questions, pp. 237-332 in: in Microlocal Analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1495, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[72] S.L. Sobolev, Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics, Nauka, Moscow, 1988; AMS, Providence, RI, 1991.

[73] L. E. Thomas, Time dependent approach to scattering from impurities in a crystal, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **33** (1973), 335-343.

[74] J.-P. Tignol, Galois theory of algebraic equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

[75] M. Ziman, The Calculation of Bloch Functions, Academic Press, NY, 1971.