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#### Abstract

In this article, the generalized gravity theory with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity was studied. For the FRW spacetime case, in particular, the Lagrangian, Hamilatonian and gravitational equations are obtained. The particular case $F(R, T)=\alpha R+\beta T+\mu Q+\nu \mathcal{T}$ is investigated in detail. In quantum case, the corresponding Wheeler-DeWitt equation is obtained. Finally, some gravity theories with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity are presented.


## 1 Introduction

At present, General Relativity (GR) is considered the best accepted fundamental theory describing gravity. GR is described in terms of the Levi-Civita connection, which is the basis of Riemannian geometry with the Ricci curvature scalar $R$. But GR can be described in terms of different geometries from the Riemannian one, for example, $F(R)$ gravity. There are several other alternative gravity theories. For example, one of the alternative gravity theory is the so-called teleparallel gravity with the torsion scalar $T$ or its generalization $F(T)$ gravity. Another possible alternative gravity theory is the symmetric teleparallel gravity with the nonmetricity scalar $Q$ or its generalization $F(Q)$ gravity. In this paper, we will consider the more general gravity theory, the so-called MG-VIII with the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly review the geometry of the underlying spacetime. In Sec. 3, we present a main information on the MG-VIII gravity. FRW cosmology of the MG-VIII is studied in Sec. 4. The specific model $F(R, T)=\alpha R+\beta T+\mu Q+\nu \mathcal{T}$ is analyzed in Sec. 5. The cosmological power-law solution is obtained in Sec. 6. In Sec. 7, the Wheeler DeWitt equation is derived. The relation with the soliton theory is considered in Sec. 8. Some other known gravity theories related with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity are presented in Sec. 9. Final conclusions and remarks are provided in Sec. 10.

## 2 Geometric setup

Consider a general spacetime with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity. The corresponding connection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\rho}=\breve{\Gamma}_{\mu \nu}^{\rho}+K_{\mu \nu}^{\rho}+L^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\breve{\Gamma}^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is the Levi-Civita connection, $K^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is the contorsion tensor and $L^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is the disformation tensor. These three tensors have the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\breve{\Gamma}_{j k}^{l} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{l r}\left(\partial_{k} g_{r j}+\partial_{j} g_{r k}-\partial_{r} g_{j k}\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
K^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{\rho \lambda}\left(T_{\mu \lambda \nu}+T_{\nu \lambda \mu}+T_{\lambda \mu \nu}\right)=-K^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \mu},  \tag{2.3}\\
L^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{\rho \lambda}\left(-Q_{\mu \nu \lambda}-Q_{\nu \mu \lambda}+Q_{\lambda \mu \nu}\right)=L^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \mu} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha}=2 \Gamma_{[\mu \nu]}^{\alpha}, \quad Q_{\rho \mu \nu}=\nabla_{\rho} g_{\mu \nu} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the torsion tensor and the nonmetricity tensor, respectively. In this generalized spacetime with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity, let us introduce three scalars as

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu},  \tag{2.6}\\
T & =S_{\rho}{ }^{\mu \nu} T^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu},  \tag{2.7}\\
Q & =-g^{\mu \nu}\left(L_{\beta \mu}^{\alpha} L_{\nu \alpha}^{\beta}-L_{\beta \alpha}^{\alpha} L_{\mu \nu}^{\beta}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $T$ is the torsion scalar and $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar. Here

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{j k} & =\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}^{i}+\Gamma_{i p}^{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{p}-\Gamma_{j p}^{i} \Gamma_{i k}^{p},  \tag{2.9}\\
S^{p \mu \nu} & =K^{\mu \nu p}-g^{p \nu} T_{\sigma}^{\sigma \mu}+g^{p \mu} T_{\sigma}^{\sigma \nu},  \tag{2.10}\\
K_{p \mu}^{\nu} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{p}{ }^{\nu}{ }_{\mu}+T_{\mu}^{\nu}{ }_{p}-T_{p \mu}^{\nu}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

are the Ricci tensor, the potential and the contorsion tensor, respectively. The key moment of our construction is following: as in our previous paper [12], here we assume that these three scalars have the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=u+R_{s}  \tag{2.12}\\
& T=v+T_{s}  \tag{2.13}\\
& Q=w+Q_{s}, \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=u\left(\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} ; x_{i} ; g_{i j}, \dot{g_{i j}}, \ddot{g_{i j}}, \ldots ; f_{j}\right), \quad v=v\left(\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} ; x_{i} ; g_{i j}, \dot{g_{i j}}, \ddot{g_{i j}}, \ldots ; g_{j}\right)$ and $w=w\left(\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} ; x_{i} ;\right.$ $\left.g_{i j}, \dot{g_{i j}}, \ddot{g_{i j}}, \ldots ; h_{j}\right)$ are some real functions. Here: i) $R_{s}=R^{(L C)}$ is the curvature scalar corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection with the vanishing torsion and nonmetricity ( $T=Q=0$ ); ii) $T_{s}=T^{(W C)}$ is the torsion scalar for the purely Weitzenböck connection with the vanishing curvature and nonmetricity $(R=Q=0)$; iii) $Q_{s}=Q^{(N M)}$ is the nonmetricity scalar with the vanishing torsion and curvature ( $R=T=0$ ).

Consider the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetime. The flat FRW spacetime is described by the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-N^{2}(t) d t^{2}+a^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=a(t)$ is the scale factor, $N(t)$ is the lapse function. The orthonormal tetrad components $e_{i}\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ are related to the metric through

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{i j} e_{\mu}^{i} e_{\nu}^{j} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Latin indices $i, j$ run over $0, \ldots, 3$ for the tangent space of the manifold, while the Greek letters $\mu, \nu$ are the coordinate indices on the manifold, also running over $0, \ldots, 3$. With the FRW metric ansatz the three variables $R_{s}, T_{s}, Q_{s}$ look like (we assume that $N=1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{s} & =R^{L C}=6\left(\dot{H}+2 H^{2}\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
T_{s} & =T^{W C}=-6 H^{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
Q_{s} & =Q^{N M}=6 H^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H=(\ln a)_{t}$ is the Hubble parameter. Therefore, these three scalars $(R, T, Q)$ of the metric - affine spacetime (in the FRW case) take the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =u+6\left(\dot{H}+2 H^{2}\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
T & =v-6 H^{2}  \tag{2.21}\\
Q & =w+6 H^{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, v, w$ are some real functions of $\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} ; t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, R_{s}, T_{s}, Q_{s}, \ldots$ and so on.

## 3 Myrzakulov $F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

Let us consider the general spacetime with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity. In this spacetime, the action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (or shortly the MG-VIII gravity) is given by 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{g}+S_{m}=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{\mathrm{m}}\right]=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})+S_{m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ stands for the Ricci scalar (curvature scalar), $T$ is the torsion scalar, $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is trace of the energy-momentum tensor of matter Lagrangian $L_{m}$. These fourth scalars are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu}  \tag{3.2}\\
T & =S_{\rho}{ }^{\mu \nu} T^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu},  \tag{3.3}\\
Q & =-g^{\mu \nu}\left(L_{\beta \mu}^{\alpha} L_{\nu \alpha}^{\beta}-L_{\beta \alpha}^{\alpha} L_{\mu \nu}^{\beta}\right),  \tag{3.4}\\
\mathcal{T} & =g^{\mu \nu} T_{\mu \nu} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mu \nu} & =R_{\mu \nu \alpha}^{\alpha},  \tag{3.6}\\
\mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu} & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\left(\sqrt{-g} L_{m}\right)}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}=L_{m} g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{\delta L_{m}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

are $R_{\mu \nu \alpha}^{\beta}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor and $\mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor, respectively. Note that in the action (3.1), we have three independent variables: the metric, the affine connection and the matter fields contained in $S_{m}$. In this case, the energy-momentum tensor and the hypermomentum tensor are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{m}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}, \quad{H_{\lambda}}^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta S_{m}}{\delta \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of the action gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S=\int\left[F_{R} \delta R+F_{T} \delta T+F_{Q} \delta Q+F_{\mathcal{T}} \delta \mathcal{T}-0.5 F g_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{2 k}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\left(\sqrt{-g} L_{m}\right)}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}} \delta g^{\mu \nu}\right] \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find $\delta \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu} & =L_{m} \delta g_{\mu \nu}+g_{\mu \nu} \frac{\partial L_{m}}{g^{\alpha \beta}} \delta g^{\alpha \beta}-2 \frac{\delta^{2} L_{m}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu} \partial g^{\alpha \beta}} \delta g^{\alpha \beta}=  \tag{3.10}\\
& =-L_{m} g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta} \delta g^{\alpha \beta}+0.5 g_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta} L_{m}-T_{\alpha \beta}\right) \delta g^{\alpha \beta}-2 \frac{\delta^{2} L_{m}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu} \partial g^{\alpha \beta}} \delta g^{\alpha \beta} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}}{\delta g^{\alpha \beta}}=-L_{m} g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta}+0.5 g_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta} L_{m}-T_{\alpha \beta}\right)-2 \frac{\delta^{2} L_{m}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu} \partial g^{\alpha \beta}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the variation of $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \mathcal{T}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}=\frac{\delta\left(g^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha \beta}\right)}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}=\mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}+\Theta_{\mu \nu} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mu \nu}=g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\delta \mathcal{T}_{\alpha \beta}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}=-L_{m} g_{\mu \nu}+2 g_{\mu \nu} L_{m}-2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}-2 g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\delta^{2} L_{m}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu} \partial g^{\alpha \beta}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now ready to write the gravitational field equation. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{R} R_{\mu \nu}+\nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}\left(F_{R} g_{\mu \nu}\right)-\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} F_{R}-0.5 F g_{\mu \nu}+\ldots=\left(\kappa-F_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}-F_{\mathcal{T}} \Theta_{\mu \nu} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=0.5$. Let us consider the perfect fluid with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}=(\rho+p) u_{\mu} u_{\nu}+p g_{\mu \nu} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $p$ are the energy density and matter pressure of the fluid, respectively. The $u=$ $(0,0,0,1)$ is the components of the four velocity vector $\left(u_{\mu}\right)$ in the co-moving coordinate system which satisfies the conditions $u^{\mu} u_{\mu}=1$ and $u^{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} u_{\mu}=0$. We choose the perfect fluid matter as $L_{m}=p$ in the action (3.1). Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mu \nu}=-2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}+p g_{\mu \nu} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the obtained expressions into the field equations we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{R} R_{\mu \nu}+g_{\mu \nu} \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} F_{R}-\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} F_{R}-0.5 F g_{\mu \nu}+\ldots=\left(\kappa-F_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}-F_{\mathcal{T}} p g_{\mu \nu} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we want rewrite the action of the MG-VIII with the lagrangian multipliers as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F-\lambda_{1}\left(R-R_{s}-u\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(T-T_{s}-v\right)-\lambda_{3}\left(Q-Q_{s}-w\right)-\right. \\
\left.\lambda_{4}\left(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_{s}-y\right)+L_{m}\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{array}
$$

The variations with respect to $R, T, Q, \mathcal{T}$ of the action give $\lambda_{1}=F_{R}, \lambda_{2}=F_{T}, \lambda_{3}=F_{Q}, \lambda_{4}=F_{\mathcal{T}}$ respectively. Thus the action of the MG-VIII takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F-F_{R}\left(R-R_{s}-u\right)-F_{T}\left(T-T_{s}-v\right)-\right. \\
\left.F_{Q}\left(Q-Q_{s}-w\right)-F_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}_{s}-y\right)+L_{m}\right] \tag{3.20}
\end{array}
$$

Let us find the variation of the curvature scalar $R$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta R=\delta\left(g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu}\right)=R_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}+g^{\mu \nu}\left(\nabla_{\lambda} \delta \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}-\nabla_{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\lambda}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the variation of the affine connection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=0.5 g^{\lambda \alpha}\left(\nabla_{\mu} \delta g_{\nu \alpha}+\nabla_{\nu} \delta g_{\alpha \mu}-\nabla_{\alpha} \delta g_{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for the variation of the curvature scalar $R$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta R=R_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}+g_{\mu \nu} \square \delta g^{\mu \nu}-\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Palatini formalism (see, for example, Refs [22], [23]) and varying the action with respect to the metric and the affine connection, we obtain the following system of the two field equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F_{R} R_{\mu \nu}+g_{\mu \nu} \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} F_{R}-\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} F_{R}-0.5 F g_{\mu \nu}+\ldots=\left(k-F_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\mu \nu}-F_{\mathcal{T}} p g_{\mu \nu}, \\
\nabla_{\rho}\left[\sqrt{-g}\left(\delta_{\lambda}^{\rho} F_{R} g^{\mu \nu}-0.5 \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} F_{R} g^{\rho \nu}-0.5 \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} F_{R} g^{\mu \rho}\right)\right]+\ldots=H_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu \nu} . \tag{3.25}
\end{array}
$$

Let us also here present one important equation. The trace of the field equation (3.15) of the MG-VIII becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{R} R-2 F+3 \square F_{R}+\ldots=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}+F_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}-4 p F_{\mathcal{T}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 FRW cosmological equations

For a simplicity, we consider the flat FLRW metric in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+a^{2}(t) \delta_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(t)$ stands for the scale factor. If we write down Lagrangian of $F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ for this metric and if we assumed that the Universe is filled with matter fields with effective pressure $p$ and energy density $\rho$, we obtain $\mathcal{T}_{s}=3 p-\rho$. Therefore the trace of the field equation (3.24)-(3.25) of the MG-VIII becomes as in (3.26). In the FRW spacetime, the action of the MG-VIII reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \mathcal{L} d t \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the point like Lagrangian of the MG-VIII after an integration by part takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}=L+\bar{L}_{m}=a^{3}\left(F-R F_{R}-T F_{T}-Q F_{Q}-\mathcal{T} F_{\mathcal{T}}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& -6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left(F_{R}+F_{T}-F_{Q}\right)-6 F_{R t} a^{2} \dot{a}+a^{3}\left[u F_{R}+v F_{T}+w F_{Q}+\left(\mathcal{T}_{s}+y\right) F_{\mathcal{T}}+L_{m}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here we suppose that $L_{m}=-\epsilon p(a), \quad(\epsilon= \pm 1)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=a^{3}\left(F-R F_{R}-T F_{T}-Q F_{Q}-\mathcal{T} F_{\mathcal{T}}\right)-6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left(F_{R}+F_{T}-F_{Q}\right)-6 F_{R t} a^{2} \dot{a}=  \tag{4.4}\\
a^{3}(1)-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)-6 F_{R t} a^{2} \dot{a} \\
\bar{L}_{m}=a^{3}\left[u F_{R}+v F_{T}+w F_{Q}+\left(\mathcal{T}_{s}+y\right) F_{\mathcal{T}}+L_{m}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1)=F-R F_{R}--T F_{T}-Q F_{Q}-\mathcal{T} F_{\mathcal{T}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& (2)=F_{R}+F_{T}-F_{Q} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =6\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}+\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)+u  \tag{4.8}\\
T & =-6 \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}+v  \tag{4.9}\\
Q & =6 \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}}+w  \tag{4.10}\\
\mathcal{T} & =3 p-\rho+y \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, v, w, y$ are some real functions of $a, \dot{a}, \ldots$. The associated Euler-Lagrange equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q}=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \equiv\{a, R, T, Q, \mathcal{T}\}$. Let find the following derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a} & =3 a^{2}(1)-6 \dot{a}^{2}(2)-12 F_{R t} a \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial a}  \tag{4.13}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}} & =-12 a \dot{a}(2)-6 F_{R t} a^{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{a}},  \tag{4.14}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R} & =a^{3}(1)_{R}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{R}-6 F_{R R t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial R}  \tag{4.15}\\
\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \dot{R}} & =-6 F_{R R} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{R}}  \tag{4.16}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial T} & =a^{3}(1)_{T}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{T}-6 F_{R T t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial T}  \tag{4.17}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{T}} & =-6 F_{R T} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{T}}  \tag{4.18}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Q} & =a^{3}(1)_{Q}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{Q}-6 F_{R Q t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial Q}  \tag{4.19}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}} & =-6 F_{R Q} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{Q}}  \tag{4.20}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{T}} & =a^{3}(1)_{\mathcal{T}}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{\mathcal{T}}-6 F_{R \mathcal{T} t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \mathcal{T}}  \tag{4.21}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathcal{T}}} & =-6 F_{R \mathcal{T}} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{\mathcal{T}}} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{R}}=\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{T}}=\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{Q}}=\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{\mathcal{T}}}=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus finally we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a} & =3 a^{2}(1)-6 \dot{a}^{2}(2)-12 F_{R t} a \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial a}  \tag{4.24}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}} & =-12 a \dot{a}(2)-6 F_{R t} a^{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{a}},  \tag{4.25}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R} & =a^{3}(1)_{R}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{R}-6 F_{R R t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial R}  \tag{4.26}\\
\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial \dot{R}} & =-6 F_{R R} a^{2} \dot{a}  \tag{4.27}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial T} & =a^{3}(1)_{T}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{T}-6 F_{R T t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial T}  \tag{4.28}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{T}} & =-6 F_{R T} a^{2} \dot{a}  \tag{4.29}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Q} & =a^{3}(1)_{Q}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{Q}-6 F_{R Q t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial Q}  \tag{4.30}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}} & =-6 F_{R Q} a^{2} \dot{a}  \tag{4.31}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{T}} & =a^{3}(1)_{\mathcal{T}}-6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)_{\mathcal{T}}-6 F_{R \mathcal{T} t} a^{2} \dot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \mathcal{T}},  \tag{4.32}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathcal{T}}} & =-6 F_{R \mathcal{T}} a^{2} \dot{a} . \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

As result, we obtain the following five equations

$$
\begin{align*}
6\left(\dot{a}^{2}+2 a \ddot{a}\right)(2)+3 a^{2}(1)+12 a \dot{a}(2)_{t}+6 F_{R t t} a^{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial a}-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial t \partial \dot{a}} & =0  \tag{4.34}\\
a^{3}(1)_{R}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R R}-(2)_{R}\right]+6 F_{R R} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial R} & =0  \tag{4.35}\\
a^{3}(1)_{T}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R T}-(2)_{T}\right]+6 F_{R T} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial T} & =0  \tag{4.36}\\
a^{3}(1)_{Q}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R Q}-(2)_{Q}\right]+6 F_{R Q} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial Q} & =0  \tag{4.37}\\
a^{3}(1)_{\mathcal{T}}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R \mathcal{T}}-(2)_{\mathcal{T}}\right]+6 F_{R \mathcal{T}} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \mathcal{T}} & =0 \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

One more equation we get from the following Hamiltonian constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\dot{a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}}+\dot{R} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{R}}+\dot{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{T}}+\dot{Q} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}}+\dot{\mathcal{T}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathcal{T}}}-\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This constraint gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)+6 a^{2} \dot{a}\left[F_{R R} \dot{R}+F_{R T} \dot{T}+F_{R Q} \dot{Q}+F_{R \mathcal{T}} \dot{\mathcal{T}}\right]-\dot{a} \frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{a}}+\bar{L}_{m}=0 \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we have the following system of the 6 gravitational equations

$$
\begin{align*}
6\left(\dot{a}^{2}+2 a \ddot{a}\right)(2)+3 a^{2}(1)+12 a \dot{a}(2)_{t}+6 F_{R t t} a^{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial a}-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial t \partial \dot{a}} & =0  \tag{4.41}\\
a^{3}(1)_{R}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R R}-(2)_{R}\right]+6 F_{R R} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial R} & =0,  \tag{4.42}\\
a^{3}(1)_{T}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R T}-(2)_{T}\right]+6 F_{R T} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial T} & =0,  \tag{4.43}\\
a^{3}(1)_{Q}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R Q}-(2)_{Q}\right]+6 F_{R Q} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial Q} & =0,  \tag{4.44}\\
a^{3}(1)_{\mathcal{T}}+6 a \dot{a}^{2}\left[2 F_{R \mathcal{T}}-(2)_{\mathcal{T}}\right]+6 F_{R \mathcal{T}} a^{2} \ddot{a}+\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \mathcal{T}} & =0  \tag{4.45}\\
6 a \dot{a}^{2}(2)+6 a^{2} \dot{a}\left[F_{R R} \dot{R}+F_{R T} \dot{T}+F_{R Q} \dot{Q}+F_{R \mathcal{T}} \dot{\mathcal{T}}\right]-\dot{a} \frac{\partial \bar{L}_{m}}{\partial \dot{a}}+\bar{L}_{m} & =0 \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 FRW cosmology of $F=\alpha R+\beta T+\mu Q+\nu \mathcal{T}$

To understand the physical and mathematical nature of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (that is the MG-VIII), in this section, we consider the following particular model

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})=\alpha R+\beta T+\mu Q+\nu \mathcal{T} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu$ are some real constants. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)=0, \quad(2)=\alpha+\beta-\mu=\sigma \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this particular case, the Lagrangian (4.3) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}+a^{3}\left[\alpha u+\beta v+\mu w+\nu\left(y+\mathcal{T}_{s}\right)+L_{m}\right]=-6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}+a^{3} B \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\alpha u+\beta v+\mu w+\nu\left(y+\mathcal{T}_{s}\right)+L_{m} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find the following derivatives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a} & =-6 \sigma \dot{a}^{2}+\left\{a^{3}\left[\alpha u+\beta v+\lambda w+\gamma\left(y+\mathcal{T}_{s}\right)+L_{m}\right]\right\}_{a}=-6 \sigma \dot{a}^{2}+\left[a^{3} B\right]_{a}  \tag{5.5}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}} & =-12 \sigma a \dot{a}+\left\{a^{3}\left[\alpha u_{\dot{a}}+\beta v_{\dot{a}}+\lambda w_{\dot{a}}+\gamma\left(y_{\dot{a}}+\mathcal{T}_{s \dot{a}}\right)+L_{m \dot{a}}\right]\right\}=-12 \sigma a \dot{a}+a^{3} B_{\dot{a}},  \tag{5.6}\\
\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}}\right)_{t} & =-12 \sigma\left(\dot{a}^{2}+a \ddot{a}\right)+\left[a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right]_{t} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence from the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a}-\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}}\right)_{t}=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the following first field equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \sigma\left(\dot{a}^{2}+2 a \ddot{a}\right)+\left[a^{3} B\right]_{a}-\left[a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right]_{t}=0 . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Hamiltonian constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\dot{a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a}-\mathcal{L}=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the second field equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-12 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}+a^{3} \dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}+6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}-a^{3} B=0 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}-a^{3} \dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}+a^{3} B=0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we get the following system of the two field equations

$$
\begin{align*}
6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}-a^{3} \dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}+a^{3} B & =0  \tag{5.13}\\
6 \sigma\left(\dot{a}^{2}+2 a \ddot{a}\right)+\left[a^{3} B\right]_{a}-\left[a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right]_{t} & =0 \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We can rewrite these two equations in the following standard forms

$$
\begin{align*}
3 H^{2} & =\rho  \tag{5.15}\\
2 \dot{H} & =-(\rho+p) \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the matter density $\rho$ and the pressure $p$ have the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho & =\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\left[\dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}-B\right],  \tag{5.17}\\
p & =\frac{1}{6 \sigma a^{2}}\left[\left(a^{3} B\right)_{a}-\left(a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right)_{t}\right] . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The EoS have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{p}{\rho}=\frac{1}{3 a^{2}} \frac{\left(a^{3} B\right)_{a}-\left(a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right)_{t}}{\dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}-B} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Cosmological solutions

As example of the cosmological solutions, let us consider the power-law solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} t^{n} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, n$ are some constants. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{3 n^{2}}{t^{2}}, \quad p=\frac{n(2-3 n)}{t^{2}} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (5.17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\left[\frac{t}{n-1} B_{t}-B\right] \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the following formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\dot{a}}=\frac{t^{2-n}}{n a_{0}(n-1)} B_{t}, \quad B_{a}=\frac{t^{1-n}}{a_{0} n} B_{t} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.18) we get the following expression for the pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{6 \sigma}\left[3 B-\frac{(3+n) t}{n(n-1)} B_{t}-\frac{t^{2}}{n(n-1)} B_{t t}\right] . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we assume that $B$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{\delta}{t^{2}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=$ const. Eqs. (6.2) and (6.3) for the density of energy give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{6 \sigma n^{2}(1-n)}{1+n} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, the expressions of the pressure (6.2) and (6.5) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{6 \sigma(2-3 n) n(n-1)}{3 n-1} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last two equations give that $n=0$ that is $a=a_{0}=$ const. Thus the power-law solution is the trivial at least for our assumptions.

## 7 Wheeler-DeWitt equation

In the Hamiltonian formulation of ordinary classical mechanics the key concept is the Poisson bracket (PB). In this formalism, the canonical coordinate system consists of canonical position $q_{i}$ and momentum $p_{i}$ variables which satisfy the following fundamental canonical PB relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{q_{i}, p_{j}\right\}=\delta_{i j} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the PB reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, g\}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_{i}}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g$ are the phase space functions. Correspondingly, the Hamilton equations have the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{q}_{i} & =\left\{q_{i}, H\right\}  \tag{7.3}\\
\dot{p}_{i} & =\left\{p_{i}, H\right\} \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be interpreted as the flow or orbit in phase space generated by $H$. In quantum case the $q, p$ are promoted to quantum operators $\hat{q}, \hat{p}$ on a Hilbert space with the following canonical commutation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{q}, \hat{p}]=i \hbar . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{q} \psi(q) & =q \psi(q)  \tag{7.6}\\
\hat{p} \psi(q) & =-i \hbar \frac{d}{d q} \psi(q) \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we get the following Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi=\hat{H} \psi \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the operator form of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ with the usual replacements

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \mapsto q, \quad p \mapsto-i \hbar \frac{d}{d q} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momenta conjugate to variable $a$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}}=-12 \sigma a \dot{a}+a^{3} B_{\dot{a}} . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=-\frac{\pi_{1}-a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}}{12 \sigma a} . \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\dot{a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}}-\mathcal{L}=6 \sigma a \dot{a}^{2}-a^{3} \dot{a} B_{\dot{a}}+a^{3} B \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{24 \sigma a}\left[\pi_{1}-a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right]^{2}+\frac{a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\left(\pi_{1}-a^{3} B_{\dot{a}}\right)}{12 \sigma a}+a^{3} B=\frac{1}{24 \sigma a}\left(\pi_{1}^{2}-a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}+24 \sigma a^{4} B\right) . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical dynamics is governed by the following Hamiltonian equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =\{a, \hat{H}\}=\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \pi_{1}}  \tag{7.14}\\
\dot{\pi}_{1} & =\left\{\pi_{1}, \hat{H}\right\}=-\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial a} . \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =\frac{\pi_{1}}{12 \sigma a},  \tag{7.16}\\
\dot{\pi}_{1} & =\frac{\left(\pi_{1}^{2}-a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}+24 \sigma a^{4} B\right)}{24 \sigma a^{2}}+\frac{\left(a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}-24 \sigma a^{4} B\right)_{a}}{24 \sigma a} . \tag{7.17}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Dirac quantization approach, the quantum states of the universe should be annihilated by the operator version of the Hamiltonian, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\hat{H}} \Psi=\left[\frac{1}{24 \sigma a}\left(\pi_{1}^{2}-a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}+24 \sigma a^{4} B\right)\right] \Psi=0 \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi=\Psi(a)$ is the wave function of the universe. We now use the standard representation $\pi_{1} \rightarrow-i \partial_{a}$. Then we obtain the Wheeler - DeWitt equation ((WDWE) [18]-19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\hat{H}} \Psi=\left[\frac{1}{24 \sigma a}\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2} a}-a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}+24 \sigma a^{4} B\right)\right] \Psi=0 \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{24 \sigma a}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2} a}+a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}-24 \sigma a^{4} B\right)\right] \Psi=0 \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 8 Relation with the soliton theory

Let us rewrite the WDWE as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \Psi=-\left[\partial_{a}^{2}-U\right] \Psi=\lambda \Psi \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=-a^{6} B_{\dot{a}}^{2}+24 \sigma a^{4} B \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the operator $A$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=4 \partial_{a}^{3}-3\left[U \partial_{a}+\partial_{a} U\right] . \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Lax equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\Lambda}=[L, A] \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the famous Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\Lambda}+6 U U_{a}+U_{a a a}=0 \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9 Some other generalized and/or modified gravity theories

We consider some generalized metric - affine spacetime with the curvature, torsion and nonmetricity. In the previous sections, we have considered the MG-VIII theory. In this section, we want to collect some other generalized and/or modified gravity theories.

## 9.1 $F(R)$ gravity

The action of the $F(R)$ gravity is given by [26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar and $L_{m}$ is the matter Lagrangian. Note that there are some other gravity theories of this kind. Let us we present some of them.

## 9.2 $F(T)$ gravity

The action of the $F(T)$ gravity is given by ([7, [27])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(T)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the torsion scalar and $L_{m}$ is the matter Lagrangian.

## 9.3 $F(Q)$ gravity

The action of the $F(Q)$ gravity is given by [20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(Q)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $L_{m}$ is the matter Lagrangian.

### 9.4 MG-I

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T)$ gravity (or shortly the MG-I) has the following form [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $T$ is the torsion scalar and $L_{m}$ is the matter Lagrangian. The MG-I is some kind generalizations of the well-known $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity theories. If exactly, the MG-I is the unification of the $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ theories.

### 9.5 MG-II

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, Q)$ gravity (or shortly the MG-II) reads as 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, Q)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar and $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar.

## 9.6 $F(R, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

The action of the $F(R, \mathcal{T})$ gravity reads as 9

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

## 9.7 $F(G, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

The action of the $F(G, \mathcal{T})$ gravity reads as 17

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(G, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the Gauss-Bonnet term and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

## 9.8 $F(Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

The action of the $F(Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity reads as [5], 28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

## 9.9 $F(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity

The action of the $F(T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity reads as [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(T, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the torsion scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

### 9.10 MG-III

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(T, Q)$ gravity (or shortly the MG-III) reads as 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(T, Q)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the torsion scalar and $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar.

### 9.11 MG-IV

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (or shortly the MG-IV) has the following form [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $T$ is the torsion scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

### 9.12 MG-V

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T, Q)$ gravity (or shortly the MG-V) is given by [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T, Q)+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $T$ is the torsion scalar and $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar.

### 9.13 MG-VI

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (or shortly the MG-VII gravity) reads as 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energymomentum tensor.

### 9.14 MG-VII

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (or shortly the MG-VII) reads as [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(T, Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the torsion scalar, $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energymomentum tensor.

### 9.15 MG-VIII

The action of the Myrzakulov $F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})$ gravity (or the MG-VIII) reads as 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x\left[F(R, T, Q, \mathcal{T})+L_{m}\right] \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature scalar, $T$ is the torsion scalar, $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar and $\mathcal{T}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor (the trace of the stress-energy tensor).

### 9.16 Einstein-Ricci gravity

The equations of motion of the Einstein-Ricci gravity are given by [24]-25]

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j}-0.5 R g_{i j}-\kappa T_{i j}+\phi_{i j} & =0  \tag{9.16}\\
g_{i j \tau}+2 n R_{i j}+f_{i j} & =0 \tag{9.17}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.17 Einstein-Calabi gravity

The equations of motion of the Einstein-Calabi gravity (ECG) read as [24]- [25]

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j}-0.5 R g_{i j}-k T_{i j}+\phi_{i j} & =0  \tag{9.18}\\
g_{i j \tau}-n \frac{\partial^{2} R}{\partial z^{i} \partial z^{j}}+f_{i j} & =0 \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.18 Einstein-Cartan gravity

The action of the Einstein-Cartan gravity (ECG) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int L \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int R(\Gamma, g) \sqrt{-g} d^{4} x+S_{m} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of motion of the ECG are given by [24]-25]

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{i j}+4 B_{\beta \mu}^{[\alpha} B_{\alpha \nu}^{\beta]}+2 B_{\beta \alpha \mu} B_{\nu}^{\beta \alpha}-B_{\mu \beta \alpha} B_{\nu}^{\beta \alpha}-0.5 g_{i j}\left(4 B_{\alpha[\lambda}^{\beta} B_{\beta]}^{\alpha \lambda}+B_{\alpha \beta \gamma} B^{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right) & =\kappa T_{i j}(9.21) \\
-T_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}+\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} T_{\nu}-\delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} T_{\mu} & =\kappa S_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}(9.22) \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi}+\left(\nabla_{\lambda}-2 T_{\lambda}\right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_{\lambda} \phi} & =0 \tag{9.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{\mu}=T_{\mu \lambda}^{\lambda}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j}=R_{i j}-0.5 R g_{i j}, \quad T_{i j}=\frac{\delta \sqrt{-g} L_{m}}{\delta g^{i j}}, \quad S_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=\frac{\delta L_{m}}{\delta T_{\lambda}^{\mu \nu}}, \quad B_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=T_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}+\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} T_{\nu}-\delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} T_{\mu} \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.19 Einstein-Yamabe gravity

The equations of motion of the Einstein-Yamabe gravity are given by [24]-[25]

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j}-0.5 R g_{i j}-\kappa T_{i j}+\phi_{i j} & =0  \tag{9.25}\\
g_{i j \tau}+2 n R g_{i j}+f_{i j} & =0 \tag{9.26}
\end{align*}
$$

## 10 Conclusion

As we mentioned in the introduction, GR has several generalizations like $F(R), F(T)$ and so on. Among these generalizations of GR, the metric-affine gravity theories have a nice feature by extending to admit not only curvature but both torsion and nonmetricity. This means the MAG is described by a pseudo - Riemannian geometry. The geometrical structure of the MAG can be studied once a metric tensor and a connection are given. In this way, we can calculate the affine connection for the underlying theory. In this paper, we have considered the so-called generalized Myrzakulov gravity or MG-VIII which can be considered as the particular case of the MAG. To simplify the problem, we consider the FRW spacetime case in detail. For this case the point-like Lagrangian and Hamiltonian of the theory is derived. Using this Lagrangian and the Euler-Lagrangian equation, the gravitational equations of the MG-VIII is presented. For simplicity, the particular case of the MG-VIII when $F=\alpha R+\beta T+\mu Q+\nu \mathcal{T}$ is investigated. For this particular case, the gravitational equations is considered in detail. For the quantum case, the corresponding Wheeler - DeWitt equation is presented. The relation with the soliton theory is shortly discussed. These results show that altogether one can say that some ingredients of the MG-VIII are present and work as expected, but some other aspects remain to be properly understood. These aspects of the MG-VIII certainly worth further investigation (see e.i. refs. [4]-8]).
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