MOCK MODULAR FORMS WITH INTEGRAL FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

YINGKUN LI AND MARKUS SCHWAGENSCHEIDT

ABSTRACT. In this note, we explicitly construct mock modular forms with integral Fourier coefficients by evaluating regularized Petersson inner products involving their shadows, which are unary theta functions of weights $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$. In addition, we also improve the known bounds for the denominators of the coefficients of mock modular forms whose shadows are holomorphic weight one cusp forms constructed by Hecke.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his groundbreaking thesis [27], Zwegers discovered that Ramanujan's mock theta functions could be completed to real-analytic modular forms by adding suitable non-holomorphic functions, whose images under the lowering operator are essentially complex conjugates of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ unary theta functions. This observation clarified the precise modularity properties of the mock theta functions and opened up new ways to study these functions. In the sense of Bruinier and Funke [9], these real-analytic completions are harmonic Maass forms of weight $k = \frac{1}{2}$, whose images under the differential operator $\xi_k := 2iv^k \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}}$ are unary theta functions of weight $\frac{3}{2}$. The holomorphic part and ξ -image of a harmonic Maass form are called a mock modular form and its shadow (see [25]).

To establish the modular property of Ramanujan's classical mock theta functions, Zwegers gave three different constructions of these weight $\frac{1}{2}$ harmonic Maass forms using Appell-Lerch sums, indefinite theta functions, and Fourier coefficients of meromorphic Jacobi forms, respectively. Bringmann and Ono [5] proved that generating series of certain partition numbers yield mock modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ whose shadows are linear combinations of unary theta functions. In the case of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ mock modular forms with holomorphic theta functions as shadows, the prominent example is the generating series of Hurwitz class numbers that appeared in the seminal work of Hirzebruch and Zagier [21]. Building on the work of Zwegers, the paper [4] also constructed such mock modular forms and related them to q-series.

For an arbitrary unary theta function of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$, Bruinier and the second author [12] gave a different construction of its ξ -preimage using regularized theta lifts, and expressed the Fourier coefficients of the holomorphic part in terms of CM values of modular functions. From these constructions, one can apply the theory of complex multiplication to show that

Date: February 22, 2022.

these Fourier coefficients are rational. A drawback of the construction from [12] is the fact that it does not yield an explicit bound on the denominators of the coefficients.

In [15], Dabholkar, Murty and Zagier studied properties of mixed mock modular forms, which are products of mock modular forms and holomorphic modular forms. Using meromorphic Jacobi forms, they constructed mock modular forms whose shadows are unary theta functions and whose Fourier coefficients are rational numbers with explicitly bounded denominators (see equation (9.2) loc. cit.). However, the bound grows exponentially with respect to the index, even though it seems possible to find smaller bound when the index is small (see [15, section 9.5.3]).

In the present article, we give another construction of ξ -preimages of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ unary theta functions, and show that their Fourier coefficients are rational numbers with absolutely bounded denominators. Let us explain our results in more detail.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}]$ the group ring spanned by the formal basis symbols \mathfrak{e}_h for $h \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$. Then for $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ the vector-valued unary theta function

(1.1)
$$\theta_N(\tau;\nu) := \sum_{h \bmod 2N} \theta_{N,h}(\tau;\nu) \mathfrak{e}_h, \qquad \theta_{N,h}(\tau;\nu) := \sum_{n \in 2N\mathbb{Z}+h} n^{\nu} q^{\frac{n^2}{4N}},$$

with $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$, is a holomorphic modular form of weight $\nu + \frac{1}{2}$ for the Weil representation ρ_N of Mp₂(\mathbb{Z}) (see Section 2). Our first main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. For $N, d \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $N_d := \operatorname{gcd}(N, d)$. Let $\theta_N(\tau; \nu)$ be as in (1.1).

- (1) There exists a mock modular form $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 1)$ of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$ such that $24N_4\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 1)$ has integral Fourier coefficients.
- (2) There exists a mock modular form $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 0)$ of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi}\theta_N(\tau; 0)$ such that $144N_4\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 0)$ has integral Fourier coefficients.

Remark 1.2. As usual, $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau;\nu)$ is the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form $\tilde{\theta}_N(\tau;\nu)$ of weight $\frac{3}{2} - \nu$ for the complex conjugate $\overline{\rho}_N$ of the Weil representation ρ_N on Mp₂(\mathbb{Z}). In general, they will have exponential growth near the cusp. From our construction, the order of the principal part can be controlled by $q^{-1/6}$.

Remark 1.3. Here is a trick to reduce the denominator. Given two mock modular forms f_1, f_2 with the same shadow g such that $M_j f_j$ has integral Fourier coefficients for $M_j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_1M_1 + a_2M_2 = \gcd(M_1, M_2)$. Then $\frac{a_1M_1f_1 + a_2M_2f_2}{\gcd(M_1, M_2)}$ is mock modular with shadow g, and the denominators of its coefficients are bounded by $\gcd(M_1, M_2)$.

Remark 1.4. The bound in Theorem 1.1 could be reduced for certain N (see e.g. Remark 4.1). For small values of N, it certainly can be lowered by considering well-known examples. In general, perhaps a factor of 3 and small power of 2 can still be removed from case (2).

MOCK MODULAR FORMS

By adding up the components of the vector-valued mock modular form and scaling the variable τ , we have the following result for scalar-valued unary theta functions as a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.5. For $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any periodic function $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a mock modular form $\tilde{\theta}^+(\tau; \varphi, \kappa)$ of weight $3/2 - \kappa$ and level 4N such that $24 \cdot 6^{1-\kappa}N_4 \tilde{\theta}^+(\tau; \varphi, \kappa)$ has integral Fourier coefficients, and the shadow of $\tilde{\theta}^+(\tau; \varphi, \kappa)$ is the scalar-valued unary theta function

$$\theta(\tau;\varphi,\kappa) := (4\pi)^{\kappa-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(n) n^{\kappa} q^{n^2}$$

of weight $\kappa + 1/2$ and level 4N.

The denominator bounds we obtained above can be used to give a denominator bound of the Weyl vectors for Borcherds products in signature (1, 2) (see [12]), which is closely related to the orders of the multiplier systems of such Borcherds products.¹ Also, the rational Fourier coefficients in Theorem 1.1 appear in other formulas, such as the Fourier coefficients of mock modular forms with binary theta functions as shadows [16], and CM values of higher Green's functions [8,22]. A denominator bound as we have proved here would make precise the fields of definition of the algebraic values appearing in those formulas.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will compute, for any weakly holomorphic modular form g of weight $\nu + \frac{1}{2}$ for ρ_N , the regularized Petersson inner product

$$(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; \nu))^{\operatorname{reg}} := \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; \nu) \rangle v^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dudv}{v^2}, \qquad (\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}),$$

where \mathcal{F}_T is the usual fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ truncated at the height T, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural hermitian pairing on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}]$ (see Section 2). From this we will reconstruct $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau;\nu)$ using Serre duality (see Proposition 2.5). The basic idea to compute the regularized Petersson inner product is to use the identity

$$\langle g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; \nu) \rangle = \langle g(\tau)\eta(\tau)^{-4}, \theta_N(\tau; \nu)\overline{\eta(\tau)^4} \rangle$$

and realize $\theta_N(\tau;\nu)\overline{\eta(\tau)^4}$ as a special value of a signature (1, 4) theta function. Then the inner product $(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau;\nu))^{\text{reg}}$ can be viewed as a special value of a regularized theta lift of the weakly holomorphic modular form $g(\tau)\eta(\tau)^{-4}$, which can be evaluated using the methods developed by Borcherds [1] and Bruinier [7]. To make the argument rigorous, we will implement this idea for vector-valued forms. This technicality causes us to have the factors $24N_4$ and $144N_4$ in the theorem above, which could be reduced in certain cases (see Remark 4.1). We refer the reader to Section 4 for the details of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that this idea has been used when $\theta_N(\tau;\nu)$ is replaced by a holomorphic binary theta function to produce harmonic Maass forms of weight one [16, 23].

¹The order of the multiplier system of a Borcherds product is always finite (see [3]).

The mock modular form $\theta_N(\tau; \nu)$ from Theorem 1.1 will be constructed explicitly in the proof of the theorem, though it is not canonical and involves choosing a suitable lattice L, a primitive isotropic vector $\ell \in L$ and its dual $\ell' \in L'$ (see e.g. (4.4)). By slightly modifying the method above, we will also give simpler formulas for mock modular forms with shadow $\theta_N(\tau; \nu)$ in Section 6. To give an impression, we include a special case in the introduction.

Proposition 1.6. Let H(n) be the Hurwitz class numbers (with $H(0) := -\frac{1}{12}$). Then

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} H(n)q^n = \frac{1}{24\eta(4\tau)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{6}]} \phi_6(\mathfrak{a})q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})/6} = \frac{1}{24\eta(4\tau)^3} \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]} \phi_2(\mathfrak{a})q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})/2}$$

with the functions ϕ_6, ϕ_2 defined by

$$(1.3) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \phi_{6}(\mathfrak{a}) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{12}{\operatorname{Tr}(\lambda)/2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{2-\sqrt{6}}\right) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = (\lambda) \text{ satisfies } 49 - 20\sqrt{6} < \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \phi_{2}(\mathfrak{a}) := \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{-4}{\operatorname{Tr}(|\lambda|/2)}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}\sqrt{2}}{3-\sqrt{2}}\right) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = (\lambda) \text{ satisfies } 17 - 12\sqrt{2} < \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

The proposition follows from Propositions 6.7 and 6.8 below. There we construct for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ an explicit mock modular form with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau;0)$. Specializing to N = 1, and using that the generating series of Hurwitz class numbers is a mock modular form with shadow $-\frac{1}{8\pi}\theta_1(\tau;0)$ (see [24]), we easily obtain Proposition 1.6 by comparing the first few Fourier coefficients. The first identity can probably be derived from the classical results in §2.3 of [21], whereas the second seems new to us. Note that for N = 2, Proposition 6.1 also produces the following well-known mock modular form (see [15])

(1.4)
$$\widetilde{\theta}_{2}^{+}(\tau;1) := \frac{E_{2}(\tau)/24 - F_{2}^{(2)}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{3}} = -\frac{q^{-1/8}}{24}(-1 + 45q + 231q^{2} + 770q^{3} + O(q^{4})),$$
$$E_{2}(\tau) := 1 - 24\sum_{n\geq 1}\sigma_{1}(n)q^{n}, \qquad F_{2}^{(2)}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{b>a>0\\b-a \text{ odd}}} a(-1)^{b}q^{ab/2},$$

whose shadow is $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\eta(\tau)^3$.

Using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can also improve a denominator bound for certain weight one harmonic Maass forms. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be an integral ideal in a real quadratic field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , and φ an odd ray class group character of conductor $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \infty_1$ with $\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{m}) = M$, which we view as a function on integral ideals by extending with 0. Hecke associated the holomorphic weight one, level N = DMeigenform

$$f_{\varphi}(\tau) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F} \varphi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})}$$

to φ in [20]. In [14], Charollois and the first author showed that there is a mock modular form $f_{\varphi}^+(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_{\varphi}^+(n)q^n$ with shadow f_{φ} satisfying

(1.5)
$$c_{\varphi}^{+}(n) - \sum_{[\mathfrak{a}] \in \operatorname{Cl}_{F}} \varphi(\mathfrak{a}) \sum_{\substack{(\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{a} \\ \operatorname{Nm}((\lambda)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}) = n}} \overline{\varphi}(\lambda) \log \left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right| \in \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}[\varphi] \cdot \log \varepsilon_{F}$$

with $\kappa = 96DM^3 \prod_{p|2M \text{ prime}} (1+p^{-1})^2$ Here $\varepsilon_F \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ is the fundamental unit, $\mathbb{Z}[\varphi] \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the subring generated by the values of φ , and we have chosen a set of representatives of the class group Cl_F . The constant κ comes from bounding the denominator of a mixed mock modular form of weight 1 in Theorem 5.1 of [14]. We can now improve it as follows.

Theorem 1.7. In the notations above, we can take $\kappa = 96N_4 = 96(DM)_4 \mid 384$.

Example 1.8. The constant $96N_4$ above can sometimes be reduced. Let $D = 12, \mathfrak{m} = 2\sqrt{3}\mathcal{O}_F$ and define $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \cdot \varphi_0(\lambda)$ for an integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (\lambda)$ with $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{O}_F \to \{\pm 1\}$ given by

(1.6)
$$\varphi_0(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \equiv 1, 2 + \sqrt{3} \pmod{2\sqrt{3}}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda \equiv 5, 4 + \sqrt{3} \pmod{2\sqrt{3}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f_{\varphi}(\tau/12) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F} \varphi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})/12} = \eta(\tau)^2$. Furthermore, we define

(1.7)
$$\widetilde{\varphi}(\mathfrak{a}) := \varphi(\mathfrak{a}) \log \left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} \right|$$

if $\mathfrak{a} = (\lambda)$ with $2 - \sqrt{3} < \left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}\right| \le 2 + \sqrt{3}$. Then John Duncan asked if the function

(1.8)
$$\widetilde{\vartheta}^{+}(\tau) := 4\log(2+\sqrt{3})\eta(\tau)\widetilde{\theta}_{2}^{+}(\tau;1) + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathcal{O}_{F}}\widetilde{\varphi}(\mathfrak{a})q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})/12}$$

is a mock modular form with shadow $\eta(\tau)^2$. This is indeed the case and follows from the results in [14]. We will give the details in Section 5.

The paper is organized as follows. We start with a section on the necessary preliminaries about vector-valued harmonic Maass forms for the Weil representation, unary theta functions and their connection to the Dedekind eta function, and the relation between the evaluation of regularized inner products and mock modularity. In Section 3 we evaluate several regularized theta lifts in signature (1, n). Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.7. Finally, in Section 6 we give explicit constructions of mock modular forms whose shadows are unary theta functions.

²There is a mistake in (4.2.7) of [14], where A^3 should be replaced by A^2D . This affects Theorem 1.1, 5.1, 6.5, where $M^3\phi(2M)$ should be replaced by $DM^2\phi(2M)$.

Acknowledgement: We thank John Duncan for sharing the observation of Example 1.8. We also thank an anynomous referee for bringing our attention to section 9 of [15], which led to improvement of the bound in Theorem 1.1 from an earlier version.

The first author was supported by LOEWE research unit USAG, and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 326 "Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures", project number 444845124. The second author was supported by SNF project 200021_185014.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Modular forms for the Weil representation. We recall some facts about harmonic weak Maass forms for the Weil representation associated with an even lattice from [7,9]. Let $Mp_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the metaplectic two-fold cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of elements (A, ϕ) with $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $\phi(\tau)^2 = c\tau + d$. Let $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset$ $Mp_2(\mathbb{R})$ denote the inverse image of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ under the covering map $Mp_2(\mathbb{R}) \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is generated by $T := (\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1)$ and $S := (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{\tau})$. We let $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ be the subgroup generated by T.

Let L be an even lattice with quadratic form Q of signature (b^+, b^-) , the dual lattice L' and the associated finite quadratic module (or discriminant form)

$$(2.1) A_L := L'/L$$

on which Q becomes a quadratic form valued in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the associated bilinear form. Moreover, we let Γ_L be the discriminant kernel of L, which is the subgroup of the orthogonal group O(L) which acts trivially on A_L . Let $\mathbb{C}[A_L] := \bigoplus_{h \in A_L} \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{e}_h$ be the group ring generated by A_L . Given a finite index sublattice $M \subset L$, we have a natural map $\varpi: M'/L \to A_L$, which induces a map

(2.2)
$$\mathbb{C}[A_L] \to \mathbb{C}[A_M], \ \mathfrak{e}_h \mapsto \sum_{\delta \in A_M, \ \varpi(\delta) = h} \mathfrak{e}_{\delta}.$$

For convenience, we use L^- to denote the lattice L with the quadratic form -Q.

The group ring $\mathbb{C}[A_L]$ is naturally an $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -module via the Weil representation ρ_L defined by

(2.3)
$$\rho_L(T)(\mathbf{e}_h) := \mathbf{e}(Q(h))\mathbf{e}_h,$$
$$\rho_L(S)(\mathbf{e}_h) := \frac{\mathbf{e}((b^- - b^+)/8)}{\sqrt{|A_L|}} \sum_{\mu \in A_L} \mathbf{e}(-(h, \mu))\mathbf{e}_\mu,$$

where we put $\mathbf{e}(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Despite the notation, ρ_L only depends on the finite quadratic module A_L . There is a natural hermitian pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}[A_L]$ given by $\langle \mathbf{e}_{h_1}, \mathbf{e}_{h_2} \rangle := 1$ if $h_1 = h_2$ and zero otherwise. With respect to this pairing ρ_L is a unitary representation.

A real-analytic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}[A_L]$ is called modular of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to ρ_L if

(2.4)
$$(f|_{k,L}(A,\phi))(\tau) := \phi(\tau)^{-2k} \rho_L^{-1}((A,\phi)) f(Az) = f(\tau)$$

for all $(A, \phi) \in Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. We denote the spaces of harmonic Maass, weakly holomorphic, holomorphic, and cuspidal forms of weight k for ρ_L by

$$H_{k,L} \supset M_{k,L}^! \supset M_{k,L} \supset S_{k,L},$$

respectively. More generally, for any representation ρ of Mp₂(\mathbb{Z}), the subscript L in the notation above will be replaced by ρ .

Every $f \in H_{k,L}$ can be written uniquely as $f = f^+ + f^-$, where f^+ is holomorphic and has a Fourier expansion of the form

(2.5)
$$f^+(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{h \in A_L \ m \in \mathbb{Z} + Q(h) \\ m \gg -\infty}} a_f(h, m) q^m \mathfrak{e}_h$$

with coefficients $a_f(h,m) \in \mathbb{C}$. We may assume that $2k \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(L) \pmod{2}$ since otherwise the action $\rho_L(S^2)\mathfrak{e}_h = i^{-\operatorname{sgn}(L)}\mathfrak{e}_{-h}$ implies that $H_{k,L}$ is trivial. Moreover, under this assumption the coefficients above satisfy the symmetry

$$a_f(-h,m) = (-1)^{k - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(L)}{2}} a_f(h,m)$$

for every $h \in A_L$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} + Q(h)$.

The antilinear differential operator $\xi_k = 2iv^k \frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial \overline{\tau}}$ defines a surjective map $H_{k,L} \to M^!_{2-k,L^-}$ (see Theorem 3.7 in [9]). A holomorphic $\mathbb{C}[A_L]$ -valued q-series as in (2.5) is called a mock modular form of weight k with shadow g if it is the holomorphic part f^+ of a harmonic Maass form $f \in H_{k,L}$ which satisfies $g = \xi_k f$.

Examples of harmonic Maass forms can be constructed as special values of Maass Poincaré series. Let $k \leq 0$. Following Section 1.3 in [7], for $h \in A_L$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} + Q(h)$ with m < 0 we consider the Maass Poincaré series

$$F_{h,m}(\tau,s) := \frac{1}{2\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{(A,\phi)\in\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\infty}\backslash \operatorname{Mp}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{M}_{s}(4\pi|m|v)\mathbf{e}(mu)\mathfrak{e}_{h}|_{k,L}(A,\phi),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_s(v) := v^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{-\frac{k}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}}(v)$ with the usual *M*-Whittaker function. We put³

(2.6)
$$F_{h,m}(\tau) := F_{h,m}\left(\tau, 1 - \frac{k}{2}\right) = q^m(\mathfrak{e}_h + \mathfrak{e}_{-h}) + O(1).$$

which defines a harmonic Maass form in $H_{k,L}$ that maps to a cusp form under ξ_k .

³Note that for k = 0 the Maass Poincaré series $F_{h,m}(\tau, s)$ does not converge at s = 1, but it can be analytically continued to s = 1 via its Fourier expansion.

2.2. Unary theta series and the eta function. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the lattice

(2.7)
$$\mathbb{Z}[N] := (\mathbb{Z}, Nx^2).$$

We can identify its discriminant form with $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$, and by a slight abuse of notation, we put $A_N := \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}]$ and write ρ_N for the Weil representation associated with $\mathbb{Z}[N]$. The unary theta function $\theta_N(\tau; \nu)$ defined in (1.1) is a holomorphic modular form of weight $\nu + \frac{1}{2}$ for ρ_N . For $\nu = 1$ it is a cusp form.

Familiar modular forms can be expressed in terms of unary theta functions. For example,

(2.8)
$$\eta(\tau) = \theta_{6,1}(\tau; 0) - \theta_{6,5}(\tau; 0), \qquad \eta(\tau)^3 = \theta_{2,1}(\tau; 1).$$

To generalize this situation, it is convenient to phrase these identities in terms of eigenvectors of the Weil representation. Define

(2.9)
$$\mathfrak{v}_3 := \mathfrak{e}_{(0,1)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(1,0)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(0,-1)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(-1,0)} - (\mathfrak{e}_{(3,2)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(2,3)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(3,-2)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(-2,3)}) \in \mathbb{C}[A_3 \oplus A_3], \\ \mathfrak{v}_2 := \mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_3 \in \mathbb{C}[A_2], \quad \mathfrak{v}_6 := \mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_5 - \mathfrak{e}_7 + \mathfrak{e}_{11} \in \mathbb{C}[A_6], \quad \mathfrak{v}_4 := \mathfrak{v}_3 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3 \in \mathbb{C}[A_3^4].$$

Here we write $(\sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} \mathfrak{e}_{\mu}) \otimes (\sum_{\nu} b_{\nu} \mathfrak{e}_{\nu}) := \sum_{\mu,\nu} a_{\mu} b_{\nu} \mathfrak{e}_{(\mu,\nu)}.$

Lemma 2.1. In the notations above, the vector \mathbf{v}_2 (resp. $\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_6, \mathbf{v}_4$) generates a 1-dimensional subspace invariant under ρ_2 (resp. $\rho_3 \otimes \rho_3$, $\rho_6, \rho_3^{\otimes 4}$), which acts on the space via χ^3 (resp. χ^2, χ, χ^4). Here $\chi : \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is the character of $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

(2.10)
$$\chi(T) = e^{2\pi i/24}, \quad \chi(S) = 1.$$

Furthermore, we have

(2.11)
$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \theta_6(\tau;0), \mathfrak{v}_6 \rangle = 2\eta(\tau), \\ \langle \theta_2(\tau;1), \mathfrak{v}_2 \rangle = 2\eta(\tau)^3, \\ \langle \theta_3(\tau;0)^2, \mathfrak{v}_3 \rangle = 4\eta(\tau)^2, \\ \langle \theta_3(\tau;0)^4, \mathfrak{v}_4 \rangle = 16\eta(\tau)^4. \end{array}$$

Remark 2.2. Note that the first two equations in (2.11) are equivalent to (2.8), and the third equation has been known to Weber.

Remark 2.3. For all $0 \le r \le 23$, the space $M_{r/2,\chi^r} = S_{r/2,\chi^r}$ is 1-dimensional and spanned by $\eta(\tau)^r$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. The first claim can be checked locally at each prime p, as

$$\mathfrak{v}_6 = (\mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_{-1}) \otimes (\mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[A_6 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2] \otimes \mathbb{C}[A_6 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3], \mathfrak{v}_3 = (\mathfrak{e}_{(0,1)} - \mathfrak{e}_{(1,0)}) \otimes (\mathfrak{e}_{(0,1)} - \mathfrak{e}_{(1,0)} + \mathfrak{e}_{(0,-1)} - \mathfrak{e}_{(-1,0)}) \in \mathbb{C}[A_3^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2] \otimes \mathbb{C}[A_3^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3].$$

The second claim follows from Remark 2.3 and comparing Fourier coefficients.

2.3. Regularized inner product and pairing. Let L be an even lattice and $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2k \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(L) \pmod{2}$. Denote (2.12)

$$V_{k,L} := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{h \in A_L \ m \in \mathbb{Z} + Q(h) \\ m \gg -\infty}} a(h,m) q^m \mathfrak{e}_h : a(-h,m) = (-1)^{k - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(L)}{2}} a(h,m) \text{ for all } h,m \right\},$$

a vector space of formal Laurent series with values in $\mathbb{C}[A_L]$, which contains the space $M_{k,L}^!$ of weakly holomorphic modular forms. Furthermore, let $V_{k,L}^+$ denote the subspace consisting of formal power series (supported on indices $m \geq 0$), which contains the space $M_{k,L}$ of holomorphic modular forms. On the space $V_{k,L} \times V_{2-k,L^-}$ we define the bilinear pairing

(2.13)
$$\{g, f\} := \operatorname{CT}_{q=0} \sum_{h \in A_L} g_h f_h.$$

When restricted to $M_{k,L}^! \times M_{2-k,L^-}^!$, this pairing vanishes identically. Furthermore, if $g \in M_{k,L}^!$, and $f = \tilde{G}^+$ is the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form \tilde{G} of weight 2 - k for ρ_{L^-} such that $\xi_k \tilde{G} = G \in M_{k,L}$, an application of Stokes' theorem gives us (see e.g. Proposition 3.5 in [9])

(2.14)
$$\{g, \widetilde{G}^+\} = (g, G)^{\operatorname{reg}} := \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle g(\tau), G(\tau) \rangle v^k \frac{dudv}{v^2},$$

where \mathcal{F}_T is the usual fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ truncated at the height T. It is clear that the pairing vanishes on $V_{k,L}^+ \times S_{2-k,L^-}$. Denote $W_{k,L} \subset V_{k,L}$ the subspace spanned by $V_{k,L}^+$ and $M_{k,L}^!$. By Serre duality (compare Theorem 3.1 in [2]), we know that

(2.15)
$$S_{2-k,L^{-}} = W_{k,L}^{\perp} \subset V_{2-k,L^{-}}, \qquad W_{k,L} = S_{2-k,L^{-}}^{\perp} \subset V_{k,L},$$

where the orthogonal complement is taken with respect to the pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. From this, we can deduce the following result.

Lemma 2.4. The pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ induces a perfect pairing on $V_{k,L}/M_{k,L}^! \times M_{2-k,L^-}^!$.

Proof. Suppose $f \in (M_{2-k,L^-}^!)^{\perp} \subset V_{k,L}$. Then $f \in S_{2-k,L^-}^{\perp} = W_{k,L}$ by (2.15), and we can write $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 \in V_{k,L}^+$ and $f_2 \in M_{k,L}^! \subset (M_{2-k,L^-}^!)^{\perp}$. That means f_1 is in $(M_{2-k,L^-}^!)^{\perp} \cap (V_{2-k,L^-}^+)^{\perp}$, and hence in W_{2-k,L^-}^{\perp} . Again by (2.15), we have $f_1 \in S_{k,L}$, hence $f = f_1 + f_2 \in M_{k,L}^!$. □

Proposition 2.5. Let $G \in M_{k,L}$, and suppose that $\widetilde{G}^+ \in V_{2-k,L^-}$ satisfies

(2.16)
$$\{g, G^+\} = (g, G)^{\operatorname{reg}}$$

for all $g \in M_{k,L}^!$. Then \widetilde{G}^+ is a mock modular form with shadow G.

Proof. The case G = 0 follows directly from Lemma 2.4. More generally, we can subtract from \widetilde{G}^+ a known mock modular form with shadow G to reduce to the case G = 0.

2.4. Hecke-type Operators. We quickly recall certain Hecke-type operators on vectorvalued modular forms (see e.g. [6, section 2]). Given $k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, $N, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, there are operators $U_d : H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^{\epsilon}} \to H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[Nd^2]^{\epsilon}}$ and $V_d : H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^{\epsilon}} \to H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[Nd]^{\epsilon}}$ such that for $f(\tau) = f^+(\tau) + f^-(\tau) \in H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^{\epsilon}}$ with $f^+(\tau) = \sum_{m,h} c(h,m)q^m \mathbf{c}_h$, we have

(2.17)
$$(f \mid U_d)^+ = \sum_{h \in A_{Nd^2}} \mathfrak{e}_h \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Q}} c(m/d^2, h/d) q^{m/d^2},$$
$$\xi_k(f \mid U_d) = (\xi_k f) \mid U_d,$$

(2.18)
$$(f \mid V_d)^+ = \sum_{h \in A_{Nd}} \mathfrak{e}_h \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{a \mid \left((m - \epsilon \frac{h^2}{4N})/p, h, d \right)} a^{k-1/2} c(m/a^2, h/a) q^{m/d},$$
$$\xi_k(f \mid V_d) = d^{k-1}(\xi_k f) \mid V_d.$$

These two operators commute with each other for all d. When we identify holomorphic scalar-valued modular forms for ρ_N with holomorphic Jacobi forms of index N, the operators U_d, V_d are the usual index changing operators (see [17, section I.4]). For any $c \parallel N$, the automorphism $W_c \in \text{Aut}(A_N)$ defined by

(2.19)
$$W_c(h) \equiv -h \mod 2c, \ W_c(h) \equiv h \mod (N/2c),$$

acts on $H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^{\epsilon}}$ through its components, which commutes with ξ_k . Clearly, the three operators U_d, V_d, W_c all preserve integrality of the holomorphic part Fourier coefficients. As in [15, section 4.4], we also define $\mathcal{V}_d^{(N)} : H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^{\epsilon}} \to H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[Nd]^{\epsilon}}$ by

(2.20)
$$\mathcal{V}_d^{(m)} := \sum_{r^2 \mid d, \ (r,m)=1} \mu(r) r^{k-1/2} V_{d/r^2} U_r,$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ is the Möbius function. We then have the following result.

Lemma 2.6. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, let $\phi_{k,N}(\tau) \in H_{k,\mathbb{Z}[N]^-}$ be harmonic Maass forms such that $\xi_k \phi_{k,N}(\tau) = N^{k-1} \theta_N(\tau; 3/2 - k)$. For $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and prime $p \nmid N_0$, the modular form

$$\phi_{k,N_0p^e} - \left(\phi_{k,N_0} \mid \mathcal{V}_{p^e}^{(1)} + \phi_{N_0p} \mid (1 - W_p)\mathcal{V}_{p^{e-1}}^{(p)}\right)/2$$

is weakly holomorphic for any $e \ge 1$ and $k \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$.

Proof. This is simply equation (10.48) in [15] phrased in terms of vector-valued modular forms, and follows from the same calculations loc. cit.

MOCK MODULAR FORMS

3. Theta lifts

In this section, we compute some regularized theta lifts for lattices of signature (1, n) for $n \ge 1$. The formulas in this section are special cases of the general results of Borcherds [1] and Bruinier [7], but we write down the simplifications for the convenience of the reader.

Throughout this section, we let L be an even lattice of signature (1, n) with $n \ge 1$, and we fix an isotropic vector $\ell \in V_{\mathbb{R}} := L \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If L is isotropic, we will choose ℓ to be a primitive isotropic vector in L. We let $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$ denote the Grassmannian of positive lines in $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $z \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ we consider the polynomials

$$p_z(\lambda) := \left(\lambda, \frac{\ell_z}{|\ell_z|}\right), \qquad p_{z^{\perp}}(\lambda) := \left(\lambda, \frac{\ell_{z^{\perp}}}{|\ell_{z^{\perp}}|}\right),$$

on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, where we write λ_z for the projection of $\lambda \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the subspace z, and $|\lambda| := \sqrt{|(\lambda, \lambda)|}$. For $m^+, m^- \in \{0, 1\}$ we define the Siegel theta function

(3.1)
$$\Theta_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(\tau,z) := v^{\frac{n}{2}+m^-} \sum_{\lambda \in L'} p_z^{m^+}(\lambda) p_{z^{\perp}}^{m^-}(\lambda) \mathbf{e} \left(Q(\lambda_z)\tau + Q(\lambda_{z^{\perp}})\overline{\tau} \right) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda+L}$$

on $\mathbb{H} \times \operatorname{Gr}(L)$. Note that for $m^+, m^- \in \{0, 1\}$ and every fixed $z \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ the polynomial $p_z^{m^+}(\lambda)p_{z^{\perp}}^{m^-}(\lambda)$ is harmonic and homogeneous of degree (m^+, m^-) . Hence by Theorem 4.1 in [1] the theta function transforms like a modular form of weight $\frac{1-n}{2} + m^+ - m^-$ for ρ_L in τ . Moreover, it is Γ_L -invariant in z. The corresponding regularized theta lift of a weakly holomorphic modular form $f \in M_{\frac{1-n}{2}+m^+-m^-,L}^!$ is defined by

(3.2)
$$\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z) := \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \left\langle f(\tau), \Theta_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(\tau,z) \right\rangle v^{\frac{1-n}{2}+m^+-m^-} \frac{dudv}{v^2}.$$

Note that when $m^- = 0$ (resp. $m^- = 1 = n$), we will fix generators of z (resp. z and z^{\perp}) to remove the dependence on ℓ , and omit it from the subscripts.

By the general theory developed in [1,7], the theta lift converges for every $z \in Gr(L)$ and is real analytic up to singularities along the Heegner divisor

$$Z_f := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L' \\ Q(\lambda) < 0}} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \lambda^{\perp},$$

where λ^{\perp} denotes the hypersurface consisting of all $z \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ perpendicular to λ . These hypersurfaces partition $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$ into infinitely many connected components, the so-called Weyl chambers corresponding to f.

3.1. Theta lifts on isotropic lattices of signature (1, n). Let L be an isotropic even lattice of signature (1, n) with $n \ge 1$. Let $\ell \in L$ be primitive isotropic and let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(\ell, L) = M\mathbb{Z}$. Choose some $\ell' \in L'$ with $(\ell, \ell') = 1$, some $\zeta \in L$ with $(\ell, \zeta) = M$, and set

$$K := L \cap \ell^{\perp} \cap \ell'^{\perp}.$$

Then K has signature (0, n-1) and $L = K \oplus \mathbb{Z}\zeta \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell$ (see Proposition 2.2 in [7]). Let

$$L'_0 := \{ \lambda \in L' : (\lambda, \ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{M} \},\$$

and let $p: L'_0 \to K'$ be defined by

(3.3)
$$p(\lambda) := \lambda_K - \frac{(\lambda, \ell)}{M} \zeta_K, \qquad \lambda_K := \lambda + ((\lambda, \ell)(\ell', \ell') - (\lambda, \ell'))\ell - (\lambda, \ell)\ell' \in K \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

which induces a surjection $p: L'_0/L \to K'/K$. For $h \in L'_0/L$, routine calculations give us (3.4) $p(h) = h - (h, \ell')\ell \in V/L$.

We let $\mathbb{B}_k(x)$ denote the one-periodic function that agrees with the Bernoulli polynomial $B_k(x)$ for $0 \le x < 1$. Recall that the first few Bernoulli polynomials are given by

$$B_0(x) = 1,$$
 $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2},$ $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6},$ $B_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x.$

The theta lift has the following Fourier expansion.

Proposition 3.1. Let $m^+, m^- \in \{0, 1\}$ and let L be an even lattice of signature (1, n) with a primitive isotropic vector $\ell \in L$. For $f \in M^!_{\frac{1-n}{2}+m^+-m^-,L}$ and every $z \in Gr(L)$ we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z) &= \frac{|\ell_z|^{m^+-1}(-|\ell_{z^{\perp}}|)^{-m^-}}{\sqrt{2}(4\pi)^{m^+}} \Phi_K^{(m^+,m^-)}(f) - \frac{\sqrt{2}(-4\pi)^{1-m^+}}{2-m^++m^-} |\ell_z|^{1-m^++2m^-}(-|\ell_{z^{\perp}}|)^{-m^-}\\ &\times \sum_{\lambda \in K'} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in L'_0/L\\ p(\delta) = \lambda + K}} a_f(\delta,Q(\lambda)) \mathbb{B}_{2-m^++m^-} \left(\frac{p_z(\lambda)}{|\ell_z|} + (\delta,\ell')\right)\\ &+ \frac{(-4\pi)^{1-m^+}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L'\\ Q(\lambda) < 0\\ (\lambda,\ell) \neq 0}} a_f(\lambda,Q(\lambda)) p_z^{1-m^+}(\lambda) p_{z^{\perp}}^{m^-}(\lambda) \left(\operatorname{sgn}(p_z(\lambda)) - \operatorname{sgn}((\lambda,\ell))\right), \end{split}$$

where the constant $\Phi_K^{(m^+,m^-)}(f)$ is given by

$$\Phi_{K}^{(m^{+},m^{-})}(f) = \begin{cases} -8\pi \sum_{m\geq 0} \sum_{\lambda\in K'} \sum_{\substack{\delta\in L'_{0}/L\\p(\delta)=\lambda+K}} a_{f}(\delta,-m+Q(\lambda))\sigma_{1}(m) & \text{if } m^{+}=m^{-}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(m) := \sum_{m\geq 0} d_{f} \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sigma_{1}(0) := -\frac{1}{2}$$

,

with $\sigma_1(m) := \sum_{d|m} d$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_1(0) := -\frac{1}{24}$.

Remark 3.2. By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [13] one can show that the sum in the third line in Proposition 3.1 is finite for every fixed $z \in Gr(L)$, and vanishes for $|\ell_z|$ small enough. Moreover, the second line encompasses the singularities of the theta lift along those λ^{\perp} with $(\lambda, \ell) \neq 0$, whereas the first line gives those with $(\lambda, \ell) = 0$. Remark 3.3. For $m^+ = m^-$ and $n \ge 2$, the constant $\Phi_K^{(m^+,m^-)}(f)$ can also be computed using Theorem 2.14 in [7] by writing f as a linear combination of the Maass Poincaré series defined in (2.6). This yields the alternative representation

(3.5)
$$\Phi_{K}^{(m^{+},m^{-})}(f) = \frac{8\pi}{(n-1)} \sum_{\lambda \in K'} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in L'_{0}/L \\ p(\delta) = \lambda + K}} a_{f}(\delta, Q(\lambda)) |Q(\lambda)|.$$

Remark 3.4. For any generator $w \in z$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in L + \delta$ such that $p(\tilde{\lambda}) = \lambda$, we have

(3.6)
$$\frac{p_z(\lambda)}{|\ell_z|} + (\delta, \ell') = \frac{(\tilde{\lambda}, w)}{(\ell, w)} - \frac{(\tilde{\lambda}, \ell)}{M} \cdot \frac{(\zeta, w)}{(\ell, w)} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 3.5. There is $F_{\ell,z} \in V_{\frac{3+n}{2}-m^++m^-,L^-}$ that allows us to rewrite Proposition 3.1 as

$$\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)} = \{f, F_{\ell,z}\}$$

for all $f \in M^!_{\frac{1-n}{2}+m^+-m^-,L}$ (see e.g. (4.3) for $n = 4, m^+ = 1, m^- = 0$).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The formula follows from Theorem 10.2⁴ in [1] (or a generalization of Proposition 3.1 in [7]), where the Fourier expansion of $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z)$ was computed in a fixed Weyl chamber of $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$. In order to extend the expansion to all of $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$, one can use the shape of the singularities of the theta lift to determine its "wall crossing" behavior as a point $z \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ moves across a hypersurface in the Heegner divisor Z_f from one Weyl chamber to another (compare also Corollary 6.3 in [1]).

First, by Theorem 10.2 in [1], for $|\ell_z|$ small enough the Fourier expansion of the theta lift is given by the first three lines of the expression on the right-hand side in the proposition. The constant $\Phi_K^{(m^+,m^-)}(f)$ appearing in Theorem 10.2 in [1] vanishes if $m^+ \neq m^-$, and for $m^+ = m^-$ it is equal to the regularized integral

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi_K^{(m^+,m^-)}(f) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \left\langle f_K(\tau), \overline{\Theta_{K^-}(\tau)} \right\rangle \frac{dudv}{v^2}, \qquad f_K(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in K'/K}} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in L'_0/L \\ p(\delta) = \gamma}} f_\delta(\tau) \mathfrak{e}_{\gamma},$$

where $\Theta_{K^-}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in K'} \mathbf{e}(-Q(\lambda)\tau) \mathbf{e}_{\lambda+K}$ is the holomorphic theta function associated to the positive definite lattice K^- . In particular, the integral in (3.7) can be viewed as the regularized average value of a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 0 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence (3.7) can be evaluated as explained in Remark 4.9 in [10].

⁴Note that there is a sign $(-1)^{h^{-}}$ missing in the cited formula.

Moreover, by Theorem 6.2 in [1] (or a generalization of Theorem 2.12 in [7]), the theta lift has a singularity of type

$$\frac{(-4\pi)^{1-m^+}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L'\\Q(\lambda) < 0\\\lambda \perp z_0}} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) p_z^{1-m^+}(\lambda) p_{z^\perp}^{m^-}(\lambda) \operatorname{sgn}(p_z(\lambda))$$

at a point $z_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$. Here we say that a function f has a singularity of type g at a point z_0 if there exists a neighborhood U of z_0 such that f and g are defined on U and f - g is real analytic on U. This definition slightly differs from the one used in [1] and [7], but it allows us to extend the Fourier expansion to points $z \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ where the theta lift has singularities.

It is now easy to check that the expression on the right-hand side of the proposition has the same singularities as $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z)$. In particular, the difference of $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z)$ and the expression in the proposition defines a real-analytic function on all of $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$ and vanishes for $|\ell_z|$ small enough (see also Remark 3.2), and hence vanishes everywhere on $\operatorname{Gr}(L)$. This finishes the proof.

3.2. Theta lifts on anisotropic lattices of signature (1,1). We now compute the theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z)$ defined in (3.2) for anisotropic lattices L of signature (1,1). One can compute the theta lift for anisotropic lattices of signature (1,n) for any $n \ge 1$ in a similar way, but the resulting formulas do not look as pleasing. Hence we confine ourselves with signature (1,1), which suffices for our applications. Another advantage is that we can fix generators of z, z^{\perp} and remove the isotropic vector ℓ from the notations.

Proposition 3.6. Let $m^+, m^- \in \{0, 1\}$ but $(m^+, m^-) \neq (1, 0)$ and let L be an anisotropic lattice of signature (1, 1). For any $f \in M^!_{m^+-m^-,L}$ and $z \in Gr(L)$ we have

$$\Phi_L^{(m^+,m^-)}(f,z) = \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}-m^+-m^-}}{\pi^{m^+-1}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L'\\Q(\lambda)<0}} a_f(\lambda,Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}(p_z(\lambda))^{m^+} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{z^\perp}(\lambda))^{m^-}(|p_{z^\perp}(\lambda)| - |p_z(\lambda)|)^{1-m^++m^-}.$$

Remark 3.7. For $(m^+, m^-) = (1, 0)$ the proof below does not work since there might be non-trivial holomorphic modular forms of weight 1 for ρ_L , so we cannot write f as a linear combination of Maass Poincaré series (and, possibly, invariant vectors). Hence we exclude this case from the above proposition.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. First note that we cannot use Theorem 10.2 in [1] since it requires the existence of an isotropic vector in L. Instead, we will write

$$f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in A_L} \sum_{m < 0} a_f(h, m) F_{h, m}(\tau) + \mathfrak{c}$$

as a linear combination of the Maass Poincaré series $F_{h,m}$ defined in (2.6) and (if $m^+ = m^-$) a ρ_L -invariant vector $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}[A_L]$. Then we compute the lift of $F_{h,m}$ and \mathfrak{c} using the unfolding argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.14 in [7].

To simplify the notation, we only treat the case $m^+ = m^- = 1$ here. The other cases are analogous. We first show that the theta lift $\Phi_L^{(1,1)}(\mathfrak{c}, z)$ of an invariant vector $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}[A_L]$ vanishes identically. To this end, we use the simple fact that every invariant vector can be written as a linear combination of residues at s = 1 of Eisenstein series

$$E_h(\tau, s) := \sum_{(A,\phi) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\infty} \setminus \operatorname{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})} v^s \mathfrak{e}_h|_{0,L}(A,\phi)$$

corresponding to isotropic elements $h \in A_L$. By the usual unfolding argument one can show that $\Phi_L^{(1,1)}(E_h(\cdot, s), z)$ is a multiple of

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in (L+h) \setminus \{0\} \\ Q(\lambda) = 0}} \frac{p_z(\lambda) p_{z^{\perp}}(\lambda)}{(4\pi |Q(\lambda_{z^{\perp}})|)^{s + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

for $\Re(s)$ large enough. Since L is anisotropic, the sum over $\lambda \in (L+h) \setminus \{0\}$ with $Q(\lambda) = 0$ is empty, so the theta lift of $E_h(\tau, s)$ vanishes identically for $\Re(s)$ big enough. In particular, its residue at s = 1 vanishes, as well. This shows that the theta lift of an invariant vector vanishes.

Hence it suffices to compute the lifts of Poincaré series $F_{h,m}$. Using the unfolding argument again, we find for $\Re(s)$ big enough

$$\begin{split} \Phi_L^{(1,1)}(F_{h,m}(\cdot,s),z) \\ &= \frac{2}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L+h \\ Q(\lambda)=m}} p_z(\lambda) p_{z^{\perp}}(\lambda) \int_0^\infty v^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{0,s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |m|v) \exp\left(-2\pi v (Q(\lambda_z) - Q(\lambda_{z^{\perp}}))\right) dv. \end{split}$$

The integral is an inverse Laplace transform (see equation (11) on p. 215 of [18]) given by

$$\frac{(4\pi|m|)^{s}\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{(4\pi|Q(\lambda_{z^{\perp}})|)^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(s+\frac{1}{2},s,2s;\frac{|m|}{|Q(\lambda_{z^{\perp}})|}\right).$$

Plugging in s = 1 and using that $_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1, 2; x\right) = 2\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x}}{x\sqrt{1-x}}$ we find

$$\Phi_L^{(1,1)}(F_{h,m},z) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L+h \\ Q(\lambda) = m}} p_z(\lambda) p_{z^\perp}(\lambda) \frac{\sqrt{|Q(\lambda_{z^\perp})|} - \sqrt{Q(\lambda_z)}}{\sqrt{|Q(\lambda_{z^\perp})|} \sqrt{Q(\lambda_z)}}.$$

Using $Q(\lambda_z) = \frac{1}{2}p_z(\lambda)^2$ and $Q(\lambda_{z\perp}) = -\frac{1}{2}p_{z\perp}(\lambda)^2$ we obtain the stated formula.

Note that, in contrast to the isotropic case, the sum on the right-hand side of Proposition 3.6 is not finite since the discriminant kernel Γ_L is infinite (as it corresponds to a non-trivial subgroup of the units in a real quadratic field). However, one can obtain a finite evaluation of the theta lift by splitting the sum over $\lambda \in L'$ modulo Γ_L . To this end, it is convenient to view anisotropic lattices of signature (1, 1) as lattices in real quadratic fields, as we now explain.

Let D > 1 be a non-square discriminant (not necessarily fundamental), let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ be the corresponding real quadratic field, and let \mathcal{O}_F be its ring of integers. We consider the subring of \mathcal{O}_F given by

$$\mathcal{O}_D := \mathbb{Z} + \frac{D + \sqrt{D}}{2} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_F$ if D is a fundamental discriminant. More generally, if $D = f^2 D_F$ with a fundamental discriminant D_F and $f \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathcal{O}_D is the order of discriminant D and conductor f in F. For an integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D$ with $A := [\mathcal{O}_D : \mathfrak{a}]$ and a positive integer $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the lattice

(3.8)
$$(L_{\mathfrak{a},M}, Q_{\mathfrak{a},M}) := \left(M\mathfrak{a}, -\frac{\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}}{AM} \right),$$

where Nm denotes the norm. The associated bilinear form is $B_{\mathfrak{a},M}(\lambda,\mu) = -\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda\cdot\mu')}{AM}$, where μ' denotes the conjugate of μ . The lattice $L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$ is anisotropic of signature (1, 1), and contains the sublattice

(3.9)
$$\iota : \mathbb{Z}[AMD] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[AM]^{-} \hookrightarrow L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$$
$$[b,a] \mapsto AM(a+b\sqrt{D}).$$

The dual lattice is given by \mathfrak{ad}_D^{-1} , where $\mathfrak{d}_D := \sqrt{D}\mathcal{O}_D$, so the discriminant group of $L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_D/M\mathfrak{d}_D$. The discriminant kernel $\Gamma_{L_{\mathfrak{a},M}}$ is generated by -1 and a totally positive unit $\varepsilon_{L_{\mathfrak{a},M}} > 1$ in \mathcal{O}_D , which is an integral power of the smallest totally positive unit > 1 in \mathcal{O}_D .

For simplicity, in the following corollary we already evaluate the theta lift at a certain special point which is adjusted to our later applications. We view $(L_{\mathfrak{a},M}, Q_{\mathfrak{a},M})$ as a sublattice of (\mathbb{R}^2, xy) by sending $\lambda \in L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{AM}}[\lambda, -\lambda']$. We will choose the generators $(z_1, z_2), (z_1^{\perp}, z_2^{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of z and z^{\perp} with $z_1, z_2 > 0$ and $z_1^{\perp} > 0, z_2^{\perp} < 0$.

Corollary 3.8. Suppose that $(L,Q) = (L_{\mathfrak{a},M}, Q_{\mathfrak{a},M})$ is an anisotropic lattice of the form (3.8). Let $f \in M^!_{m^+-m^-,L}$ and let $w \in \operatorname{Gr}(L)$ be the positive line generated by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1,1]$. Then

we have

$$\Phi_L^{(1,1)}(f,w) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{AM}} \sum_{\lambda \in R_L} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \varepsilon_L^{-1}}\right),$$

$$\Phi_L^{(0,0)}(f,w) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{DAM}} \sum_{\lambda \in R_L^*} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}\lambda}{1 - \varepsilon_L^{-1}}\right),$$

$$\Phi_L^{(0,1)}(f,w) = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{D}AM} \sum_{\lambda \in R_L^*} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}\lambda^2}{1 - \varepsilon_L^{-2}}\right),$$

where $R_L := \{\lambda \in L' : \varepsilon_L^{-2} < \lambda/\lambda' < 1\}$ and $R_L^* := \{\lambda \in L' : \varepsilon_L^{-2} < \lambda/\lambda' \le 1\}$. The sums on the right-hand sides are finite.

Proof. Again, we only treat the case $(m^+, m^-) = (1, 1)$ for simplicity. First note that we have

$$p_w(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2AM}}(\lambda - \lambda'), \qquad p_{w^{\perp}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2AM}}(\lambda + \lambda').$$

Using Proposition 3.6 we find

$$\Phi_L^{(1,1)}(f,w) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{AM}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L' \\ Q(\lambda) < 0}} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}\left(|\lambda'| - |\lambda|\right) \left(|\lambda + \lambda'| - |\lambda - \lambda'|\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{AM}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L' \\ Q(\lambda) < 0}} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}\left(|\lambda'| - |\lambda|\right) \min(|\lambda|, |\lambda'|),$$

where we used that $|x + y| - |x - y| = -2\min(|x|, |y|)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with xy < 0, and $\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) = \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda')$ since $Q(\lambda) = -\frac{\lambda\lambda'}{AM} < 0$. Let Γ'_L be the subgroup of Γ_L consisting of totally positive units. Note that the terms with $\lambda = \lambda'$ contribute nothing. As a system of representatives for $\Gamma'_L \setminus \{\lambda \in L' : Q(\lambda) < 0, \lambda \neq \lambda'\}$ we choose the set R_L . Then the set of all $\lambda \in L'$ with $Q(\lambda) < 0$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ is given by $\{\lambda \varepsilon_L^n : \lambda \in R_L\}$. For $\lambda \in R_L$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\min(|\lambda \varepsilon_L^n|, |\lambda' \varepsilon_L^{-n}|) = \begin{cases} |\lambda| \varepsilon_L^n & \text{if } n \le 0, \\ |\lambda'| \varepsilon_L^{-n} & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{sgn}(|\lambda' \varepsilon_L^{-n}| - |\lambda \varepsilon_L^n|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \le 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L'\\Q(\lambda) < 0}} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \operatorname{sgn}\left(|\lambda'| - |\lambda|\right) \min(|\lambda|, |\lambda'|) = \sum_{\lambda \in R_L} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \left(|\lambda| \sum_{n \le 0} \varepsilon_L^n - |\lambda'| \sum_{n \ge 1} \varepsilon_L^{-n}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda \in R_L} a_f(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{1 - \varepsilon_L'} + \frac{|\lambda'|}{1 - \varepsilon_L}\right).$$

This yields the stated formula. The sums on the right-hand side of the corollary are finite since f has finite principal part and the intersection of R_L (resp. R_L^*) with the set of vectors of a fixed norm is finite.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will give the full proof for case of weight k = 1/2 harmonic Maass form. The weight 3/2 case follows from the same argument.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

(4.1)
$$S(N) := \{ \phi \in H_{1/2,\mathbb{Z}[N]^-} : \xi_{1/2}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \theta_N(\tau; 1) \},$$
$$db(N) := \min\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : m\phi^+ \text{ has integral Fourier coefficients for some } \phi \in S(N) \}.$$

Remark 1.3 implies that we can replace min in the definition of db(N) with gcd. From the construction in equation (9.2) of [15], we know that

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the even lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}[N] \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[3]^{-})^4$ of signature (1, 4) and level 12N, and let N_d be the same as in Theorem 1.1. By the four-square theorem, we can find a primitive isotropic vector $\ell = [3/N_3, *] \in L$. Then we have $(\ell, L) = M\mathbb{Z}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $M \mid 6N$. Let $\zeta \in L$ and $\ell' = [\ell'_1, *] \in L'$ such that $(\zeta, \ell) = M$ and $(\ell, \ell') = 1$. Denote $K = L \cap \ell^{\perp} \cap \ell'^{\perp}$.

Now we come to the construction of a harmonic Maass form $\phi \in S(N)$. To this end, we will first compute the regularized Petersson inner product $(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; 1))^{\text{reg}}$ for every $g \in M^!_{\frac{3}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]}$, using the theta lift studied in Section 3. Then we can express this inner product in terms of the pairing $\{g, \tilde{\theta}^+_N(\tau; 1)\}$ for some explicit Laurent series $\tilde{\theta}^+_N(\tau; 1) \in V_{\frac{1}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]^-}$, and finally apply Proposition 2.5 to obtain the desired mock modularity.

It is easy to check that the theta function $\Theta_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(\tau,z)$ defined in (3.1) splits at the line generated by

$$w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} [1, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

as a tensor product

$$\Theta_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(\tau,w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \left(\theta_N(\tau;1) \otimes v^2 \overline{\theta_3(\tau;0)}^4 \right)$$

Then by (2.11) for each $g \in M^!_{\frac{3}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]}$, we have

$$(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; 1))^{\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{16} \left(g(\tau) \otimes (\eta^{-4}(\tau) \mathfrak{v}_4), \theta_N(\tau; 1) \otimes v^2 \overline{\theta_3(\tau; 0)}^4 \right)^{\text{reg}} = \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{16} \Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f, w),$$

where $f := g \otimes (\eta^{-4} \mathfrak{v}_4) \in M_{-\frac{1}{2},L}$ with \mathfrak{v}_4 defined in (2.11). From Proposition 3.1, we see that

$$(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau, 1))^{\text{reg}} = \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{16} \Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f, w) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{16} \{f, F_{\ell,w}\}$$

with the power series $F_{\ell,w}(\tau) = \sum_{m,h} c(h,m) q^m \mathfrak{e}_h \in V_{\frac{5}{2},L^-}$ defined by

$$(4.3) \quad c(h,m) = -2\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K+p(h)\\ -Q(\lambda)=m \ge 0}} \mathbb{B}_1\left(\frac{p_w(\lambda)}{|\ell_w|} + (h,\ell')\right) + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L+h\\ -Q(\lambda)=m > 0\\ (\lambda,\ell) \ne 0}} (\operatorname{sgn}(p_w(\lambda)) - \operatorname{sgn}((\lambda,\ell))),$$

where we understand that the first sum vanishes if $h \notin L'_0/L$. By (3.6), we have $\frac{p_w(\lambda)}{|\ell_w|} + (h, \ell') \in \frac{N_3}{6N}$. This implies that $F_{\ell,w}$ has coefficients in $\frac{N_3}{3N}\mathbb{Z}$. If we write $F_{\ell,w} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_N, \ \mu \in A_3^4} F_{\ell,w,\alpha,\mu}$, then the Laurent series

(4.4)
$$\phi_N^+(\tau) := \frac{1}{16} \eta^{-4}(\tau) \sum_{\alpha \in A_N} \mathfrak{e}_\alpha \sum_{\mu \in A_3^4} \langle \mathfrak{e}_\mu, \mathfrak{v}_4 \rangle F_{\ell, w, \alpha, \mu}(\tau) \in V_{\frac{1}{2}, \mathbb{Z}[N]^-}$$

has coefficients in $\frac{N_3}{48N}\mathbb{Z}$ and satisfies

$$\left\{g(\tau),\phi_N^+(\tau)\right\} = \left(g(\tau),\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau;1)\right)^{\text{reg}}$$

for any $g \in M^!_{\frac{3}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]}$. Hence, Proposition 2.5 implies that $\phi^+_N(\tau)$ is the holomorphic part of $\phi \in S(N)$. Moreover, $48(N/N_3)\phi^+$ has integral coefficients, which means $db(N) \mid 48N/N_3$.

We can now repeat the argument using the lattices $L_j := \mathbb{Z}[N] \oplus P_j^-$ for j = 1, 2 with P_1, P_2 the unique two Niemeier lattices with 48 and 72 norm 2 vectors respectively. Since $\theta_{P_2}(\tau) - \theta_{P_1}(\tau) = 24\Delta(\tau)$, we can express

$$(g(\tau), \theta_N(\tau; 1))^{\text{reg}} = \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{24} \left(\Phi_{L_2,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f, w) - \Phi_{L_1,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f, w) \right)$$

with $f(\tau) = g(\tau)/\Delta(\tau)$. The same argument then shows that $db(N) \mid 24N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining together with the bound $db(N) \mid 48N/N_3$ gives us

(4.5)
$$db(N) \mid 24N/N_3$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

To obtain a bound without dependence on N, we apply the bound (4.2) from [15] and the Hecke operators in section 2.4. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $N = 2^a 3^b N'$ with $N'_6 = 1$. If $a, b \leq 1$, then (4.2) and (4.5) implies that $db(N) \mid 24N_2$. In general, Lemma 2.6 implies that (4.6) $db(Np^e) \mid 2lcm(db(N), db(Np))$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}, e \geq 1$ and prime $p \nmid N$. Therefore when $a \leq 1$ and $b \geq 2$, we have

 $db(N) \mid \gcd(24N_2 \cdot 3^b, 2lcm(db(N_2N'), db(3N_2N'))) \mid \gcd(24N_2 \cdot 3^b, 48N_2) = 24N_2.$

When $a \geq 2$, we have

$$db(N) \mid 2lcm(db(3^{b}N'), db(2 \cdot 3^{b}N')) \mid 48N_{2} = 24N_{4}$$

Remark 4.1. If 3N is the sum of two squares, then we can carry out the argument above with $L = \mathbb{Z}[N] \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[3]^{-})^2$ to obtain db(N) | 12N₄.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.7 and Example 1.8

For any integral \mathcal{O}_D -ideal \mathfrak{a} co-prime to DM, denote $R = L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$ an anisotropic lattice of signature (1, 1) as in defined in (3.8). Since the eigenform f_{φ} is a linear combination of components of the vector-valued cusp form

(5.1)
$$\vartheta_R(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Gamma_R \setminus R' \\ Q(\lambda) > 0}} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) q^{Q(\lambda)} \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda} \in S_{1,R},$$

it suffices to consider its ξ -preimage. This is constructed in (5.2.1) in [14] as

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}_R(\tau) := \log \varepsilon_R \cdot \widetilde{\Theta}_R(\tau) + 2I'(\tau, R^-),$$

where I' is a deformed theta integral and $\Theta_R(\tau)$ is a real-analytic modular form of weight one satisfying

(5.2)
$$\xi_1 \widetilde{\Theta}_R(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \Theta_R^{(1,0)}(\tau, z_0),$$

where $z_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1,1]$. Here $\Theta_R^{(1,0)}(\tau,z)$ denotes the theta function (3.1). Using the lattice embedding ι in (3.9) and the map in (2.2) induced by ι , which we also denote by ι , we can write

(5.3)
$$\sqrt{2}\Theta_R^{(1,0)}(\tau, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{AMD}} \left(v^{1/2} \theta_{AMD}(\tau; 1) \otimes \overline{\theta_{AM}(\tau; 0)} \right) \circ \iota.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.1 loc. cit., the number κ_R defined in (4.2.7) is a bound on the denominator of Fourier coefficients of the holomorphic part⁵ $\Theta_R^+(\tau)$ of $\widetilde{\Theta}_R(\tau)$. For this purpose, it is enough to produce a suitable $\Theta_R^+(\tau)$ having rational Fourier coefficients with a good denominator bound. To do this, we can simply take $\Theta_R^+(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^+(\tau) \otimes \theta_{AM}(\tau; 0)) \circ \iota$

⁵The holomorphic function $\Theta_R^+(\tau)$ is also called a mixed mock modular form in the sense of [15].

MOCK MODULAR FORMS

for any $\phi \in S(AMD)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we can choose A to be odd, this gives the bound $\kappa_R = 48(DM)_4$, which leads to the improvement of $\kappa = 96(DM)_4$ following the same proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 6.5 in [14]. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

For Example 1.8, we take $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{12})$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_F, M = 2$. Then $R' = (2\sqrt{3})^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}],$ $A_R = \{\frac{a+b\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}} : a \in \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}\} \cong A_6 \oplus A_2 \text{ and } \varepsilon_R = (2+\sqrt{3})^4$. Furthermore, we have

(5.4)
$$\langle \vartheta_R(\tau), \mathfrak{v} \rangle = \eta(\tau)^2, \qquad \langle \Theta_R^{(1,0)}(\tau, z_0), \mathfrak{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \eta(\tau)^3 \eta(-\overline{\tau}) \sqrt{v},$$
$$\mathfrak{v} := \frac{1}{8} \mathfrak{v}_6 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_4 \in \mathbb{Q}[A_6] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[A_2] \cong \mathbb{Q}[A_R].$$

Let $\widetilde{\Theta}_{R}^{+}$ be as above and $\widetilde{\Theta}_{R}$ its modular completion. Then $\langle \widetilde{\Theta}_{R}^{+}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle$ is in $q^{-1/12}\mathbb{Q}\llbracket q \rrbracket$ and the ξ_{1} -image of its modular completion $\langle \widetilde{\Theta}_{R}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle$ is $\eta(\tau)^{3}\eta(-\overline{\tau})\sqrt{v}/(2\sqrt{2})$. From (1.4) and Remark 2.3, we then know that $\eta(\tau)\widetilde{\theta}_{2}^{+} - \langle \widetilde{\Theta}_{R}^{+}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle$ is in the trivial space $M_{1,\overline{\chi}^{2}}$ with χ the character defined in (2.10). Finally, Proposition 5.5 in [14] shows that the holomorphic part of $\langle 2I'(\tau, R^{-}), \mathfrak{v} \rangle$ is given by $\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_{F}} \widetilde{\varphi}(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\operatorname{Nm}(\mathfrak{a})/12} \in q^{11/12} \mathbb{R}\llbracket q \rrbracket$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\vartheta}^{+}$ defined in (1.8) is the holomorphic part of the harmonic Maass form $\langle 2I'(\tau, R^{-}) + \log \varepsilon_{R} \widetilde{\Theta}_{R}(\tau), \mathfrak{v} \rangle \in H_{1,\overline{\chi}^{2}}$, whose ξ_{1} -image is $\langle \vartheta_{R}(\tau), \mathfrak{v} \rangle = \eta(\tau)^{2} \in S_{1,\chi^{2}}$.

6. Explicit constructions of mock modular forms

In this section we compute explicit mock modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ using the evaluations of theta lifts for lattices of signature (1,1) given in Section 3. The formulas involve the holomorphic (quasimodular) Eisenstein series $E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_1(n)q^n$, and the periodic Bernoulli polynomials $\mathbb{B}_k(x)$ defined in Section 3.1.

6.1. Mock modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$. We construct mock modular forms $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 1)$ of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 6.1. (1) Suppose that 2N is a square. Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;1) &= \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^{3}} \bigg(\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ y > \frac{\sqrt{2}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}}} \left(\frac{-4}{y} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(x) y q^{\frac{y^{2}}{8} - \frac{x^{2}}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_{x} \\ &+ \sum_{b(2\sqrt{2N})} \left(\frac{-4}{b} \right) \left(\frac{E_{2}(\tau)}{12\sqrt{2N}} - \sqrt{2N} \mathbb{B}_{2} \left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{2N}} \right) \right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}} \end{split}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$.

(2) Suppose that 2N is not a square. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2N})$ and let $\varepsilon_N = a + b\sqrt{2N}$ be the smallest totally positive unit > 1 of F such that b is even and lcm(2N, 4) | (a - 1). Then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;1) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^{3}} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}\\\varepsilon_{N}^{-2} < \frac{\sqrt{N}y + \sqrt{2}x}{\sqrt{N}y - \sqrt{2}x} < 1}} \left(\frac{-4}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(x) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\frac{y}{2} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2N}}}{1 - \varepsilon_{N}^{-1}}\right) q^{\frac{y^{2}}{8} - \frac{x^{2}}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_{x}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, but we will give some details for the convenience of the reader. Let us first assume that 2N is a square. Then the lattice

$$L = \sqrt{N}[1,1]\mathbb{Z} \oplus \sqrt{2}[1,-1]\mathbb{Z}$$

in (\mathbb{R}^2, xy) has signature (1, 1) and is isotropic. Its dual lattice is given by

$$L' = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} [1,1]\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [1,-1]\mathbb{Z}.$$

We choose the primitive isotropic vector $\ell = [2\sqrt{N}, 0] \in L$. Then we have $(\ell, L) = 2\sqrt{2N}$. The theta function $\Theta_{L,\ell}^{(1,1)}(\tau, z)$ considered in Section 3 splits at the special point $w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1, 1]$ as a tensor product

$$\Theta_{L,\ell}^{(1,1)}(\tau,w) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N}}\theta_N(\tau;1) \otimes v^{\frac{3}{2}}\overline{\theta_2(\tau;1)}.$$

Hence, using Lemma 2.1 we can write for any $g \in M^!_{\frac{3}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]}$

$$(g(\tau),\theta_N(\tau;1))^{\operatorname{reg}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g(\tau) \otimes \eta^{-3}(\tau) \mathfrak{v}_2, \theta_N(\tau;1) \otimes v^{\frac{3}{2}} \overline{\theta_2(\tau;1)} \right)^{\operatorname{reg}} = -\sqrt{2N} \Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,1)}(f,w),$$

where $f = g \otimes (\eta^{-3} \mathfrak{v}_2) \in M^!_{0,L}$. On the other hand, Proposition 3.1 implies that the theta lift can be expressed in terms of the pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ defined in (2.13) as

$$\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,1)}(f,w) = \{f, F_{\ell,w}\}$$

with the power series

$$\begin{split} F_{\ell,w}(\tau) &= -\sum_{b(2\sqrt{2N})} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}E_2(\tau)}{24|\ell_{w^{\perp}}|} - \frac{|\ell_w|^2}{\sqrt{2}|\ell_{w^{\perp}}|} \mathbb{B}_2\left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{2N}}\right) \right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\ell/2\sqrt{2N}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in L'\\Q(\lambda) < 0}} p_{w^{\perp}}(\lambda) \big(\operatorname{sgn}(p_w(\lambda)) - \operatorname{sgn}((\lambda,\ell)) \big) q^{-Q(\lambda)} \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda+L} \end{split}$$

Here we used that the lattice K is trivial, and that a system of representatives for $\delta \in L'_0/L$ is given by $b\ell/M$, where b runs modulo $M := (\ell, L)$. In particular, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that the Laurent series

$$\widetilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau;1) := -\sqrt{2}\eta^{-3}(\tau) \langle F_{\ell,w}(\tau), \mathfrak{v}_2 \rangle \in V_{\frac{1}{2},\mathbb{Z}[N]^-}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau;1)$. Using $|\ell_w| = |\ell_{w^{\perp}}| = \sqrt{2N}$, $p_w(\lambda) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2N}}$ and $p_{w^{\perp}}(\lambda) = -\frac{y}{2}$ for $\lambda = [\frac{x}{2\sqrt{N}} + \frac{y}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x}{2\sqrt{N}} - \frac{y}{2\sqrt{2}}] \in L'$ it is easy to obtain the representation of $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau;1)$ given in the proposition. We leave the details of the simplification to the reader.

The proof for 2N not being a square is similar, so we will only give a sketch. In this case, we use a certain lattice $L_{\mathfrak{a},M}$ of the shape (3.8). We let D = 2N if N is even and D = 8N if N is odd. We choose

$$\mathfrak{a} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_D & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{2N}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \qquad M := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that in the first case we have $A = [\mathcal{O}_D : \mathfrak{a}] = 1$ and in the second case we have A = 2. In any case, we have

$$(L_{\mathfrak{a},M}, Q_{\mathfrak{a},M}) = \left(2\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{2N}\mathbb{Z}, -\frac{\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}}{2}\right)$$

We can now proceed similarly as in the case of 2N being a square, now using the evaluation of the theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,1)}(f,w)$ at $w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1,1]$ given in Corollary 3.8. It is easy to see that the unit $\varepsilon_N := \varepsilon_{L_{\mathfrak{a},M}}$ is characterized by the conditions given in the proposition.

Remark 6.2. (1) For 2N being a square, in [14] the authors constructed the mock modular form

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^3} \left(\sum_{\substack{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\\y>\frac{\sqrt{2}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}}} \left(\frac{-4}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(x) \left(y - \frac{\sqrt{2}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}\right) q^{\frac{y^2}{8} - \frac{x^2}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_x + \frac{E_2(\tau)}{6\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{b(2\sqrt{2N})} \left(\frac{-4}{b}\right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}}\right)$$

of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$. The difference with the mock modular form constructed in Proposition 6.1 is given by η^{-3} times an Eisenstein series of weight 2 for $\overline{\rho}_N$, which can for example be constructed as an integral of a Kudla-Millson theta function as in Theorem 6.4 in [19].

(2) For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq Ny^2 - 2x^2 \leq m$ and

$$\varepsilon_N^{-2} < \frac{\sqrt{Ny} + \sqrt{2}x}{\sqrt{Ny} - \sqrt{2}x} < 1$$

is finite. Indeed, the above conditions imply that x, y satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{\sqrt{m}}{2\sqrt{N}}(1+\varepsilon_N^{-1}) < |y| < \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2\sqrt{N}}(1+\varepsilon_N), \qquad \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon_N^{-1}-\varepsilon_N) < \operatorname{sgn}(y)x < 0.$$

In particular, the coefficient at q^m in the inner sum in $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 1)$ in item (2) of Proposition 6.1 is given by a finite sum of rational numbers.

(3) If 2N is a square, then the denominators of the coefficients of $\tilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau; 1)$ are bounded by $6\sqrt{2N}$. If 2N is not a square, then we can write

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\varepsilon_N^{-1}}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda(1-\varepsilon_N))}{\operatorname{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\varepsilon_N^{-1})} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda(1-\varepsilon_N))}{\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\varepsilon_N)},$$

which implies that the denominators of the coefficients of $\tilde{\theta}_N(\tau; 1)$ are bounded by $\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\varepsilon_N)$. In the numerical examples that we looked at the denominators of the coefficients of $\tilde{\theta}_N(\tau; 1)$ were usually bigger than the bound from Theorem 1.1.

Example 6.3. Consider Ramanujan's classical mock theta functions of order 3,

$$f(q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2 \cdots (1+q^n)^2} = 1 + q - 2q^2 + 3q^3 - 3q^4 + 3q^5 + \dots$$
$$\omega(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n(n+1)}}{(1-q)^2(1-q^3)^2 \cdots (1-q^{2n+1})^2} = 1 + 2q + 3q^2 + 4q^3 + 6q^4 + 8q^5 + \dots$$

The fundamental work of Zwegers [26] (see also Section 8.2 in [11]) shows that the $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}]$ -valued function

$$F^{+}(\tau) = q^{-\frac{1}{24}} f(q)(\mathbf{e}_{1} - \mathbf{e}_{5} + \mathbf{e}_{7} - \mathbf{e}_{11}) + 2q^{\frac{1}{3}}(\omega(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \omega(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}))(-\mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{10}) + 2q^{\frac{1}{3}}(\omega(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \omega(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}))(-\mathbf{e}_{4} + \mathbf{e}_{8})$$

is the holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form $F(\tau)$ of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for the dual Weil representation associated with $\mathbb{Z}[6]$. By comparing principal parts, we obtain that

$$F^+(\tau) = 2\widetilde{\theta}_6(\tau; 1) + 2\widetilde{\theta}_6(\tau; 1)^{\sigma_3},$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_6(\tau; 1)$ is the mock modular form constructed in the second item of Proposition 6.1 above, and σ_3 is the Atkin-Lehner involution on $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ that interchanges 1 with 7, 3 with

9, 5 with 11, and fixes all other elements. For example, this implies the identity

$$q^{-\frac{1}{24}}f(q) = 2\tilde{\theta}_{6,1}(\tau;1) + 2\tilde{\theta}_{6,7}(\tau;1)$$

= $\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^3} \sum_{\substack{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\\x\equiv1(6)\\\varepsilon_6^{-2}<\frac{\sqrt{3}y+x}{\sqrt{3}y-x}<1}} \left(\frac{-4}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(x)\operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{y+\frac{x}{\sqrt{3}}}{1-\varepsilon_6^{-1}}\right) q^{\frac{y^2}{8}-\frac{x^2}{24}}$

where $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{12})$ and $\varepsilon_6 = 97 + 28\sqrt{12}$.

If 6N is a square then we can use the theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f,z)$ on the isotropic lattice $L = \sqrt{N}[1,1]\mathbb{Z} \oplus \sqrt{6}[1,-1]\mathbb{Z}$, and apply similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 to obtain the following formula.

Proposition 6.4. Suppose that 6N is a square. Then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;1) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \bigg(\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ y > \frac{\sqrt{6}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}}} \left(\frac{12}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(x) q^{\frac{y^{2}}{24} - \frac{x^{2}}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_{x} - \sum_{b(2\sqrt{6N})} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \mathbb{B}_{1}\left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{6N}}\right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\sqrt{\frac{N}{6}}} \bigg)$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$.

Example 6.5. Arguing as in Example 6.3, we obtain from Proposition 6.4 the identity

$$q^{-\frac{1}{24}}f(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \left(1 + 2\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x \equiv 1(6) \\ y > |x|}} \left(\frac{12}{y} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(x) q^{\frac{y^2}{24} - \frac{x^2}{24}} \right)$$

for Ramanujan's order 3 mock theta function f(q). This identity is very similar to the ones for Ramanujan's mock theta functions of order 5 and 7 given in Section 6 of [25].

Remark 6.6. Unfortunately, if 6N is not a square, our method does not work as before. In this case we would need to evaluate the theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f,z)$ on an anisotropic lattice Lof signature (1,1), where f is a weight 1 weakly holomorphic modular form for ρ_L . Since there might be holomorphic modular forms of weight 1 for ρ_L , we cannot write f as a linear combination of Maass Poincaré series to compute the theta lift of f by the unfolding argument as in Proposition 3.6. We wonder if our method can be adjusted to obtain a mock modular form with shadow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\theta_N(\tau; 1)$ using the theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(1,0)}(f,z)$ for anisotropic L.

6.2. Mock modular forms of weight $\frac{3}{2}$. We now give explicit mock modular forms of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau;0)$. The construction works analogously as in the proof of Proposition 6.1, so we omit the details for brevity.

Using the signature (1,1) theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(0,0)}(f,z)$ with a constant polynomial considered in Section 3 we obtain the following mock modular forms.

Proposition 6.7. (1) Suppose that 6N is a square. Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;0) &= \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \bigg(-2 \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ y > \frac{\sqrt{6}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}}} \left(\frac{12}{y} \right) |x| q^{\frac{y^2}{24} - \frac{x^2}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_x \\ &+ \sum_{b(2\sqrt{6N})} \left(\frac{12}{b} \right) \left(\frac{E_2(\tau)}{12} + 2N \mathbb{B}_2 \left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{6N}} \right) \right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\sqrt{\frac{N}{6}}} \end{split}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau; 0)$. (2) Suppose that 6N is not a square. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6N})$ and let $\varepsilon_N = a + b\sqrt{6N}$ be the smallest totally positive unit > 1 of F such that b is even and $lcm(2N, 12) \mid (a-1)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;0) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \varepsilon_{N}^{-2} < \frac{\sqrt{N}y + \sqrt{6}x}{\sqrt{N}y - \sqrt{6}x} \le 1}} \left(\frac{12}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(y) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{6}}y + x}{1 - \varepsilon_{N}^{-1}}\right) q^{\frac{y^{2}}{24} - \frac{x^{2}}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_{x}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau; 0)$.

Similarly, using the signature (1,1) theta lift $\Phi_{L,\ell}^{(0,1)}(f;z)$ with a degree (0,1) polynomial, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.8. (1) Suppose that 2N is a square. Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{+}(\tau;0) &= \frac{1}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \bigg(-2\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ y > \frac{\sqrt{2}|x|}{\sqrt{N}}}} \left(\frac{-4}{y} \right) |x| y q^{\frac{y^{2}}{8} - \frac{x^{2}}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{16N^{2}}{3\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{b(2\sqrt{2N})} \left(\frac{-4}{b} \right) \mathbb{B}_{3} \left(\frac{b}{2\sqrt{2N}} \right) \mathfrak{e}_{b\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}} \bigg) \end{split}$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau; 0)$.

(2) Suppose that 2N is not a square. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2N})$ and let $\varepsilon_N = a + b\sqrt{2N}$ be the smallest totally positive unit > 1 of F such that b is even and $lcm(2N, 4) \mid (a - 1)$.

Then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_N^+(\tau;0) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^3} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}\\\varepsilon_N^{-2} < \frac{\sqrt{N}y + \sqrt{2}x}{\sqrt{N}y - \sqrt{2}x} \le 1}} \left(\frac{-4}{y}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(y) \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2N}(\frac{y}{2} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2N}})^2}{1 - \varepsilon_N^{-2}}\right) q^{\frac{y^2}{8} - \frac{x^2}{4N}} \mathfrak{e}_x$$

is a mock modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ for $\overline{\rho}_N$ with shadow $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\theta_N(\tau; 0)$.

References

- R.E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians. Invent. Math., 132(3):491– 562, 1998. 3, 11, 13, 14
- [2] R.E. Borcherds. The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions. Duke Math. J., 97(2):219–233, 1999. 9
- [3] R.E. Borcherds. Correction to: "The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions" [Duke Math. J. 97 (1999), no. 2, 219–233]. Duke Math. J., 105(1):183–184, 2000. 3
- [4] K. Bringmann, A. Folsom, and K. Ono. q-series and weight 3/2 Maass forms. Compos. Math., 145(3):541–552, 2009. 1
- [5] K. Bringmann and K. Ono. Dyson's ranks and Maass forms. Ann. Math., 171(1):419–449, 2010. 1
- [6] Jan Hendrik Bruinier and Markus Schwagenscheidt. A converse theorem for Borcherds products on $X_0(N)$. Nagoya Math. J., 240:237–256, 2020. 10
- [7] J.H. Bruinier. Borcherds products on O(2, 1) and Chern classes of Heegner divisors. Number 1780 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. 3, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15
- [8] J.H. Bruinier, S. Ehlen, and T. Yang. CM values of higher automorphic Green functions for orthogonal groups. *Invent. Math.*, 225:693–785, 2021. 3
- [9] J.H. Bruinier and J. Funke. On two geometric theta lifts. Duke Math. J., 125(1):45–90, 2004. 1, 6, 7, 9
- [10] J.H. Bruinier and J. Funke. Traces of CM values of modular functions. J. Reine Angew. Math., 594:1–33, 2006. 13
- [11] J.H. Bruinier and K. Ono. Heegner divisors, L-functions and harmonic weak Maass forms. Ann. Math., 172:2135–2181, 2010. 24
- [12] J.H. Bruinier and M. Schwagenscheidt. Algebraic formulas for the coefficients of mock theta functions and Weyl vectors of Borcherds products. J. Algebra, 478:38–57, 2017. 1, 2, 3
- [13] J.H. Bruinier and M. Schwagenscheidt. Theta lifts for Lorentzian lattices and coefficients of mock theta functions. *Math. Zeit.*, 297:1633–1657, 2021. 12
- [14] P. Charollois and Y. Li. Harmonic Maass forms associated to real quadratic fields. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 22(4):1115–1148, 2020. 5, 20, 21, 23
- [15] A. Dabholkar, S. Murthy, and D. Zagier. Quantum black holes, wall crossing, and mock modular forms. Cambridge Monographs in Mathematical Physics, to appear:151 pages, 2019. 2, 4, 6, 10, 18, 20
- [16] S. Ehlen. CM values of regularized theta lifts and harmonic weak Maass forms of weight 1. Duke Math. J., 166(13):2447–2519, 2017. 3
- [17] Martin Eichler and Don Zagier. The theory of Jacobi forms, volume 55 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. 10
- [18] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. Tricomi. Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. 15

- [19] J. Funke and J. Millson. Spectacle cycles with coefficients and modular forms of half-integral weight. In Arithmetic Geometry and Automorphic Forms, in honor of Stephen S. Kudla. Higher Eduction Press and International Press, 2011. 23
- [20] E. Hecke. Zur Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunktionen. Math. Ann., 97(1):210–242, 1927. 5
- [21] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier. Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus. *Invent. Math.*, 36:57–113, 1976. 1, 4
- [22] Y. Li. Average CM-values of higher Green's function and factorization. to appear in American journal of math, arXiv:1812.08523, 2018. 3
- [23] M. Viazovska. Petersson inner products of weight-one modular forms. J. Reine Angew. Math., 749:133– 159, 2019. 3
- [24] D. Zagier. Nombres de classes et formes modulaires de poids 3/2. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (A), 281:883– 886, 1975. 4
- [25] D. Zagier. Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann). Number 326, pages Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010). 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008. 1, 25
- [26] S.P. Zwegers. Mock θ -functions and real analytic modular forms. Contemp. Math., 291:269–277, 2001. 24
- [27] S.P. Zwegers. Mock Theta Functions. Proefschrift Universiteit Utrecht, 2002. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Universiteit Utrecht. 1

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT, SCHLOSSGARTENSTRASSE 7, D–64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

Email address: li@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

ETH ZÜRICH MATHEMATICS DEPT., RÄMISTRASSE 101, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND *Email address:* mschwagen@ethz.ch