

On strong forms of the Borel–Cantelli lemma and intermittent interval maps

Andrei N. Frolov *

Dept. of Mathematics and Mechanics

St. Petersburg State University

St. Petersburg, Russia

E-mail address: Andrei.Frolov@pobox.spbu.ru

December 30, 2021

Abstract

We derive new variants of the quantitative Borel–Cantelli lemma and apply them to analysis of statistical properties for some dynamical systems. We consider intermittent maps of $(0, 1]$ which have absolutely continuous invariant probability measures. In particular, we prove that every sequence of intervals with left endpoints uniformly separated from zero is the strong Borel–Cantelli sequence with respect to such map and invariant measure.

AMS 2010 subject classification: 60F15, 37D25, 37E05

Key words: Borel–Cantelli lemma, intermittent interval maps, non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems

1. Introduction and results

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of events. Put

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}(A_k), \quad E_n = \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ is the indicator of the event in brackets.

The Borel–Cantelli lemma deals with the probability $P_\infty = P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \infty)$. By its first part, $P_\infty = 0$ when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n < \infty$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \infty$, then the situation is complicated.

*This research is supported by RFBR, project 18-01-00393

The probability P_∞ can be any number in $[0, 1]$. It is known various conditions sufficient for $P_\infty = 1$. In statements of the second part of the Borel–Cantelli lemma, one can usually see the condition of a pair-wise independence for events under consideration. Unfortunately, this condition fails in many interesting cases. In further generalizations, one can find various conditions sufficient for $P_\infty \geq L$, where L is some numerical characteristic generated by the sequence of events. (Cf. [1–7], for example, and the references therein.) One then need some conditions on $\{A_n\}$ which yield $L = 1$.

In various applications, strong laws of large numbers for S_n are of essential interest. We consider S_n centered at mean E_n and normalized by $f(E_n)$. Such strong law of large numbers for S_n is called the quantitative Borel–Cantelli lemma. Note that S_n is the number of those events from A_1, \dots, A_n which occur. Hence, one deals with the maximal generalization of the Bernoulli trials when trials can be dependent and probability of head can change. Khintchine’s law of the iterated logarithm shows a kind of the function $f(x)$ for the Bernoulli case. Similar functions will be used below.

In this paper, we derive new variants of the quantitative Borel–Cantelli lemma and apply them to describe statistical properties of some non-uniformly hyperbolic (expanding) dynamical systems.

Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $\psi(x)$, $x \geq 0$, be a non-decreasing positive function with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\psi(n)) < \infty$ and $g(x)$, $x \geq 0$, be a positive function such that $g(x)/x$ and $x^{2-\delta}/g(x)$ are non-decreasing for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $E_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$\text{Var}(S_m - S_n) \leq g(E_m - E_n) \quad (2)$$

for all $m > n$ and all sufficiently large n .

Then

$$S_n = E_n + o\left(\sqrt{g(E_n)\psi(\log E_n)}(\log E_n)^{3/2}\right) \quad a.s. \quad (3)$$

Relation (3) with $g(x) = x$ and $\psi(x) = x^\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, has been obtained in Philipp [9] under

$$P(A_i A_j) \leq P(A_i)P(A_j) + b_{j-i}P(A_i) \quad (4)$$

for all $i > j$, where $\{b_n\}$ is a sequences of real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$. When condition (2) holds for $g(x) = Cx$, relation (3) has been derived in Petrov [8]. Note that inequalities (4) imply condition (2) with $g(x) = Cx$. Theorem 1 generalizes the mentioned results. To prove Theorem 1, we use a modification of the methods from [8–10].

Taking $\psi(x) = (\log x)^{1+\varepsilon}$ and $g(x) = Cx^{1+\gamma}$, we arrive at the next result.

Corollary 1. Assume that $E_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{Var}(S_m - S_n) \leq C (E_m - E_n)^{1+\gamma}$ for all $m > n$ and all sufficiently large n , where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $C > 0$.

Then

$$S_n = E_n + o\left(E_n^{(1+\gamma)/2}(\log E_n)^{3/2}(\log \log E_n)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}\right) \quad a.s. \quad (5)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

A verification of inequalities (2) is the main problem for applications of Theorem 1 and one needs simple conditions sufficient for (2). Moreover, examples are of interest for $g(x)$ increasing faster than x . The following two results yield such conditions and examples.

Theorem 2. Let $c(x)$, $x \geq 0$, be a positive non-increasing continuous function such that $c(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(x) = x/c(x)$ is increasing. Put $c_n = c(n)$ for all natural n . Let $\{b_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$. Assume that

$$P(A_i A_j) \leq (1 + c_{j-i})P(A_i)P(A_j) + b_{j-i}P(A_i) \quad (6)$$

for all $i > j$.

Then relation (3) holds with $g(x) = xf^{-1}(x)$, where $f^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse function to $f(x)$. If inequalities (6) hold for all $i > j$ with 0 instead of c_{i-j} , then relation (3) holds for $g(x) = x$.

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ in Theorem 2, then, replacing b_n by $b_n + c_n$, we arrive at inequalities (6) with $c_{i-j} = 0$ which coincide with inequalities (4).

The Borel–Cantelli lemma plays an important role in an analysis of statistical properties of dynamical systems. (Cf., for example, [11–15] and the references therein.) We consider some non-uniformly hyperbolic (expanding) systems.

Models of evolutions of dynamical systems are given by probability spaces and transformations of these spaces. Let T be an ergodic measure-preserving transformation of a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and $\{B_n\}$ be a sequence of set such that $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu B_n = \infty$. (If the last series converges, then the first part of the Borel–Cantelli lemma easily yields the solution of the below problem.) The second part of the Borel–Cantelli lemma can give answer on the question whether $T^n(x)$ belongs to B_n infinitely often for almost every $x \in X$. The quantitative Borel–Cantelli lemma can also yield bounds for numbers of visits of orbits of x in B_k up to time n .

The main problem is that events usually are not pair-wise independent for dynamical systems. Hence, variants of the Borel–Cantelli can be useful and our results can be applied as well.

Consider the following family of interval maps with neutral fixed points. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, define $T_\alpha : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ by

$$T_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^\alpha x^\alpha), & \text{if } x \in (0, 1/2], \\ 2x - 1, & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is known that T_α preserves a unique probability measure μ which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue's measure λ .

Take $\Omega = (0, 1]$, \mathcal{F} being σ -field of Lebesgue's subset of $(0, 1]$ and $P = \mu$. Applying of Theorem 2 yields the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let $\{B_n\}$ be a sequence of intervals such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu B_n = \infty$ and $B_n \subset (d, 1]$ for all n and some $d > 0$. Put $A_n = \{T_\alpha^n x \in B_n\}$ and define S_n and E_n by (1).*

Then

$$S_n = E_n + o(E_n^{(1+\alpha)/2} (\log E_n)^{3/2} (\log \log E_n)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}) \quad \mu - a.s. \quad (7)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 3 implies the next result.

Corollary 2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3, sequence of intervals $\{B_n\}$ is a strong Borel–Cantelli (SBC) sequence with respect to T_α and μ , i.e.*

$$\frac{S_n}{E_n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \mu - a.s.$$

Kim [11] proved that $\{B_n\}$ is a SBC sequence of intervals provided either $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$ for all n and $0 \notin \cup_n \bar{B}_n$, or $\alpha < (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$ and B_n lie in $(d, 1]$. Moreover, Kim [11] also showed that $\{B_n\}$, $B_n = [0, n^{1/(\alpha-1)})$, is not the SBC sequence despite $\sum_n \mu B_n$ diverges.

This implies that the result of Corollary 2 can fail for intervals with left endpoint at zero.

Gouëzel [12] has proved that for almost every x , $T_\alpha^n x$ belongs to B_n infinitely often provided the intervals B_n satisfy to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda B_n = \infty$.

For $\{B_n\}$ containing in $(d, 1]$, Theorem 3 improves the mentioned results from Kim [11] and Gouëzel [12]. Note that measures μ and λ are equivalent for $\{B_n\}$ separated from zero.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. For $m > n$, denote

$$S(n, m) = S_m - S_n, \quad E(n, m) = E_m - E_n, \quad \tilde{S}(n, m) = S(n, m) - E(n, m). \quad (8)$$

Put $N_u = \max\{n : E_n < u\}$ for every integer $u \geq 0$. Let r and s be integer numbers with $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r$. Then for every fixed s , we have $\bigcup_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} (t2^s, (t+1)2^s] = (0, 2^r]$ and

$$\sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}) \leq E_{N_{2^r}} < 2^r. \quad (9)$$

Put

$$T_r = \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} \left(\tilde{S}(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}) \right)^2.$$

Taking into account inequalities (2) and (9) and the monotonicity of $g(x)/x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ET_r &= \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} \text{Var} S(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}) \leq \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} g(E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s})) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} \frac{g(E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}))}{E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s})} E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{2^{r-s}-1} \frac{g(2^r)}{2^r} E(N_{t2^s}, N_{(t+1)2^s}) \leq (r+1)g(2^r). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\psi(cn)) < \infty$ for every fixed $c > 0$. Take $c = (\log 2)/4$.

Hence,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{ET_r}{r^2 g(2^r) \psi(cr)} \leq 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r \psi(cr)} < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{T_r}{r^2 g(2^r) \psi(cr)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{T_r}{r^2 g(2^r) \psi(cr)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Take integer k such that $2^{r-1} < k \leq 2^r$. By the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality, we get from the last relation that

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{N_k} - E_{N_k})^2 &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \tilde{S}(N_{2^{j-1}}, N_{2^j}) + \tilde{S}(N_{2^{r-1}}, N_k) \right)^2 \\ &\leq (r+1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left(\tilde{S}(N_{2^{j-1}}, N_{2^j}) \right)^2 + \left(\tilde{S}(N_{2^{r-1}}, N_k) \right)^2 \right) \\ &\leq (r+1)T_r = o(r^3 g(2^r) \psi(cr)) \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

We have $2^{r-1} \leq k-1 \leq E_{N_k+1} - 1 = E_{N_k} + P(A_{N_k+1}) - 1 \leq E_{N_k}$. Hence, $g(2^r) \leq g(2E_{N_k}) \leq 2^{2-\delta}g(E_{N_k})$ and $\psi(cr) \leq \psi(c(\log E_{N_k}/\log 2 + 1)) \leq \psi((\log E_{N_k})/2)$ for all sufficiently large r . This yields relation (3) for $n = N_k$.

For n with $N_k \leq n < N_{k+1}$, we have $S_{N_k} \leq S_n < S_{N_{k+1}}$, $E_{N_k} \leq E_n < E_{N_{k+1}}$ and $E_{N_{k+1}} < k+1 \leq E_{N_k} + 2$. It follows that $g(E_{N_{k+1}}) \leq g(E_n + 2) \leq g(E_n)((E_n + 2)/E_n)^{2-\delta}$ and $\psi((\log E_{N_{k+1}})/2) \leq \psi((\log(E_n + 2))/2) \leq \psi(\log E_n)$ for all sufficiently large k . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} S_n - E_n &\leq S_{N_{k+1}} - E_{N_k} \leq S_{N_{k+1}} - E_{N_{k+1}} + 2 \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{g(E_{N_{k+1}})\psi((\log E_{N_{k+1}})/2)(\log E_{N_{k+1}})^{3/2}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)\varepsilon \sqrt{g(E_n)\psi(\log E_n)(\log E_n)^{3/2}} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all sufficiently large n . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n - E_n &\geq S_{N_k} - E_{N_{k+1}} \geq S_{N_k} - E_{N_k} - 2 \geq -\varepsilon \sqrt{g(E_{N_k})\psi(\log E_{N_k})(\log E_{N_k})^{3/2}} \\ &\geq -\varepsilon \sqrt{g(E_n)\psi(\log E_n)(\log E_n)^{3/2}} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large n . Hence, relation (1) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Check that conditions (6) imply inequalities (2). We use notations (8).

Let $k_{n,m}$ be the solution of the equation $\frac{x}{c(x)} = E(n, m)$. By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(S_m - S_n) &= E(n, m) - \sum_{i=n+1}^m P^2(A_i) + 2 \sum_{n < i < j \leq m} (P(A_i A_j) - P(A_i)P(A_j)) \\ &\leq E(n, m) + 2 \sum_{n < i < j \leq m} c_{j-i} P(A_i)P(A_j) + 2 \sum_{n < i < j \leq m} b_{j-i} P(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

For the last term, we have the following bound

$$\sum_{n < i < j \leq m} b_{j-i} P(A_i) \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m P(A_i) \sum_{j=i+1}^m b_{j-i} \leq E(n, m) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j.$$

For the middle term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < i < j \leq m} c_{j-i} P(A_i)P(A_j) &\leq \left(\sum_{n < i < j \leq m, j-i \leq k_{n,m}} + \sum_{n < i < j \leq m, j-i \geq k_{n,m}} \right) c_{j-i} P(A_i)P(A_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m \sum_{j=i+1}^{[k_{n,m}]} c_{j-i} P(A_i)P(A_j) + c(k_{n,m}) \sum_{n < i < j \leq m, j-i \geq k_{n,m}} P(A_i)P(A_j) \\ &\leq k_{n,m} \sup_n \{c_n\} \sum_{i=n+1}^m P(A_i) + c(k_{n,m}) (E(n, m))^2 \\ &\leq C (E(n, m)k_{n,m} + c(k_{n,m}) (E(n, m))^2) = 2Ck_{n,m}E(n, m) = 2Cg(E(n, m)). \end{aligned}$$

The above bounds imply relation (2) and Theorem 2 follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Assume first that $B_n \subset (1/2, 1]$ for all n . From Gouëzel [12], we borrow bound (1.3) as follows

$$|\mu(T_\alpha^{-i}B_i \cap T_\alpha^{-j}B_j) - (1 + \mathbf{c}_{i-j})\mu(B_i)\mu(B_j)| \leq \frac{C\mu(B_j)}{(j-i)^\beta},$$

where $\beta = 1/\alpha$ and $\mathbf{c}_n = cn^{1-\beta}(1 + o(1))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some non-zero constant c . Then $C_1 = \sup_n |\mathbf{c}_n|n^{\beta-1} < \infty$. Take $c(x) = C_1x^{1-\beta}$ and $b_n = Cn^{-\beta}$. If $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then the conditions of Theorem 2 hold for $g(x) = C_2x^{1+\alpha}$. By Corollary 1, the result follows. For $\alpha < 1/2$, we get $\sum_n c_n < \infty$ and Theorem 2 with $g(x) = C_3x$ implies the result.

We further use the following agreements. We write that relation (7) holds for a sequence of measurable sets $\{B_n\}$ if it holds with S_n and E_n defined by (1) for $A_n = \{T_\alpha^n x \in B_n\}$. We define S_n^k and E_n^k replacing $\{B_n\}$ by $\{B_n^k\}$ for every fixed natural k .

Note that B_n can be arbitrary measurable sets in the next result.

Lemma 1. *If relation (7) holds for a sequence of sets $\{B_n\}$, then (7) holds for $\{T_\alpha^{-1}B_n\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Put $B_n^1 = T_\alpha^{-1}B_n$ and $A_n^1 = \{x : T_\alpha^n x \in B_n^1\}$ for all n . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^1 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}(A_k^1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\{x : x \in T_\alpha^{-k}(B_n^1)\}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\{x : x \in T_\alpha^{-(k+1)}(B_n)\}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{I}(\{x : x \in T_\alpha^{-k}(B_n)\}) - \mathbb{I}(\{x : x \in T_\alpha^{-1}(B_n)\}) = S_{n+1} - \mathbb{I}(\{x : x \in T_\alpha^{-1}(B_n)\}). \end{aligned}$$

This follows that $S_{n+1} - 1 \leq S_n^1 \leq S_{n+1}$ and $E_{n+1} - 1 \leq E_n^1 = ES_n^1 \leq E_{n+1}$. Hence,

$$S_n^1 = E_{n+1} + o(f(E_{n+1})) = E_n^1 + o(f(E_n^1)) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.},$$

where

$$f(x) = x^{(1+\alpha)/2}(\log x)^{3/2}(\log \log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

for $x > e^e$. The result follows. \square

Put $a_0 = 1/2$ and $a_k = T_\alpha^{-1}|_{(0,1/2]}(a_{k-1})$ for $k \geq 1$.

Assume now that $B_n \subset (a_1, a_0]$ for all n . Then the intervals $B_n^2 = T_\alpha(B_n)$ lie in $(1/2, 1]$ and we get

$$S_n^2 = E_n^2 + o(f(E_n^2)) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.}$$

We have $B_n = B_n^3 \setminus B_n^4$, where $B_n^3 = T_\alpha^{-1}(B_n^2)$ and $B_n^4 = (T_\alpha^{-1}(B_n^2) \cap (1/2, 1])$. By Lemma 1 for $B_n = B_n^2$, we have

$$S_n^3 = E_n^3 + o(f(E_n^3)) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.}$$

For the intervals B_n^4 , we get

$$S_n^4 = E_n^4 + o(f(E_n^4)) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.}$$

Taking into account that $S_n = S_n^3 - S_n^4$ and $E_n = E_n^3 - E_n^4$, we arrive at

$$S_n = E_n + o(f(E_n^3)) + o(f(E_n^4)) \quad \mu - \text{a.s.}$$

The two last terms are of the same order as $o(f(E_n))$ since $\mu B_n^4 \leq c\mu B_n^3$ for some $c \in (0, 1)$ which gives $E_n \geq (1 - c)E_n^3 \geq (1 - c)E_n^4$.

Suppose now that $B_n \subset (a_1, 1]$ for all n . Then $B_n = B_n^5 \cup B_6$, where $B_n^5 \subset (a_1, a_0]$ and $B_n \subset (a_0, 1]$. Applying of relation (7) to $\{B_n^5\}$ and $\{B_n^6\}$ yields the result in the case under consideration.

For $B_n \subset (a_k, 1]$ with $k \geq 2$, we obtain the result by induction. \square

References

- [1] Chung K.L., Erdős P., 1952. On the application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, 179–186.
- [2] Erdős P., Rényi A., 1959. On Cantor's series with convergent $\sum 1/q$, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math. 2, 93–109.
- [3] Spitzer F., 1964. Principles of random walk. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [4] Móri T.F., Székely G.J., 1983. On the Erdős-Rényi generalization of the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 18, 173–182.
- [5] Petrov V.V., 2002. A note on the Borel-Cantelli lemma, Statist. Probab. Lett. 58, 283–286.
- [6] Frolov A.N., 2012. Bounds for probabilities of unions of events and the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Statist. Probab. Lett. 82, 2189–2197.
- [7] Frolov A.N., 2015. On lower and upper bounds for probabilities of unions and the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica. 52 (1), 102–128.
- [8] Petrov, V.V. The Growth of Sums of Indicators of Events. J Math Sci 128, 2578–2580 (2005). Translated from Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI, Vol. 298, 2003, pp. 150–154.
- [9] Philipp, W. Some metrical theorems in number theory. Pacific J. Math. 20 (1967), 109–127.
- [10] Schmidt, W. Metrical theorems on fractional parts of sequences. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1964), 493–518.
- [11] Kim, D. The dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemma for interval maps. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 17(4) (2007), 891–900.
- [12] Gouëzel S. A Borel-Cantelli lemma for intermittent interval maps. Nonlinearity 20(6) (2007), 1491–1497.
- [13] Gupta C., Nicol M. and Ott W. A Borel-Cantelli lemma for non-uniformly expanding dynamical systems. Nonlinearity 23(8) (2010), 1991–2008.
- [14] Haydn N., Nicol M., Persson T., Vaienti S. A note on Borel-Cantelli lemmas for nonuniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 33 (2013), 2, 475–498.
- [15] Luzia N., Borel-Cantelli lemma and its applications. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), no. 1, 547–560.