

Gauge Symmetry Origin of Bäcklund Transformations for Painlevé Equations

V.C.C. Alves¹, H. Aratyn², J.F. Gomes¹, and A.H. Zimerman¹

¹ Instituto de Física Teórica-UNESP, Rua Dr Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, Bloco II, 01140-070 São Paulo, Brazil

² Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845 W. Taylor St. Chicago, Illinois 60607-7059

March 9, 2022

Abstract

We identify the self-similarity limit of the second flow of $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy with the periodic dressing chain thus establishing a connection to $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ invariant Painlevé equations. The $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Bäcklund symmetries of dressing equations and Painlevé equations are obtained in the self-similarity limit of gauge transformations of the mKdV hierarchy realized as zero-curvature equations on the loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(N)$ endowed with a principal gradation.

1 Introduction

We study the integrable mKdV hierarchy on the loop algebra of $sl(N) = A_{N-1}$ endowed with a principal gradation. We show that the second $t = t_2$ flow of this hierarchy realized as a zero-curvature equation becomes in the self-similarity limit the dressing chain invariant under the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ that gives rise to $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ invariant Painlevé equations for all N after imposing the periodicity condition. For $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ cases the corresponding Painlevé equations are well-known as Painlevé IV and V equations and their relations to the self-similarity limit of the $sl(N)$ mKdV equation was established in [17].

In this paper we present an explicit and straightforward construction of the dressing chain and Painlevé equations in terms of mKdV objects in the self-similarity limit. One advantage of the zero-curvature approach is that it naturally allows for formulation of the Bäcklund symmetries as gauge transformations that preserve the algebraic structure of the gauge potentials, see [9] and references therein. Here we utilize gauge symmetries of the zero-curvature equations defined on the loop algebra of $sl(N)$ to derive the Bäcklund symmetries of the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ in the context of Painlevé equations including higher-order Painlevé equations that follow from the associated integrable structure.

In order to obtain our results we connect several observations made by various researchers coincidentally around the same time. In 1993, the periodic dressing chain and its Hamilton formalism appeared as the main focus of study [21] in the context of the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operators. Its symmetry group was shown in [1] to be isomorphic to the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ thus clearing the way to establishing

equivalence of periodic dressing chains [19, 22, 20, 18] with the corresponding Painlevé systems invariant under the same $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ symmetry groups [16]. There were other contemporary observations that now can be understood as related to these breakthroughs and remarkably made in the same year. In [17] the first non-trivial equation of $sl(N)$ mKdV model was given and shown to give rise to Painlevé equations for $N = 3, 4$ in the self-similarity limit. In the very same year some of the authors of this paper pointed out the existence of discrete Bäcklund transformations leading to Hirota type equations for the Toda chain of tau-functions [8]. Generalization of this construction [7] to generalized Volterra lattice of symmetry transformation gave rise to an alternative construction of $A_N^{(1)}$ invariant Painlevé systems [6] defined for integrable systems with a different gradation than the principal gradation used throughout this paper.

Here we are able to explicitly tie several of these observations into an unified approach by realizing the self-similarity limit of the second flow of $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy as N -periodic dressing chain with its parameter $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$ taking values $N/2$ or zero, and derive the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ from gauge symmetry of the second flow in the self-similarity limit.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the zero curvature approach to the $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy and use it to explicitly derive its second flow that is identified in Section 3 with the dressing chain and $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ invariant Painlevé equations in the self-similarity limit. In Section 4 we formulate the Bäcklund symmetries of hierarchy equations as a class of gauge transformations that maintain the matrix form of the potentials $A_\mu, \mu = x, t$ that enter the zero-curvature equations of the integrable hierarchy. In Subsection 4.2 these gauge transformations are shown to give rise to the extended affine Weyl symmetry of Painlevé equations. The class of gauge symmetry generators we are working with is such that each such generator can be factorized into a product of maximum $N - 1$ matrices depending on only one single parameter. Such one-parameter gauge matrix can in turn be identified with a generator of the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$. As discussed in the next section 5 such construction further extends explicit invariance under $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ obtained from Bäcklund gauge symmetries to all higher-order Painlevé equations [10] that appear in the self-similarity limits of higher flows of the mKdV integrable hierarchy. These flows are conveniently labeled as t_{nN+k} with $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$ (see formula (A.9) for an underlying graded structure behind this labeling). We illustrate this invariance for the mKdV hierarchy with flows t_{2n+1} for $N = 2, k = 1$ and $n = 1, 2, 3$. Explicitly we will work with t_3, t_5 and t_7 flows of the mKdV hierarchy and the associated Painlevé equations of order 2, 4 and 6 that are all invariant under the same $A_1^{(1)}$ Weyl group symmetry as consequence of invariance of zero curvature representation under Bäcklund gauge transformation.

In Section 6, that provides an outlook, we discuss the modification of the dressing chain that maintains the Kovalevskaya-Painlevé property together with the part of its Bäcklund symmetry. This relates to the program that we have pursued in several papers [3, 4, 5] attempting to connect integrability to the remaining symmetry of Painlevé models that survives the partial breaking of the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ by deformation terms that preserve some notion of integrability.

Some background material on the $sl(N)$ algebra and technical details of deriving the Painlevé equations out of the dressing chain are given in the two appendices to ensure the paper being self-contained.

2 Zero-Curvature approach to mKdV equations

We will be working with a loop algebra of $sl(N)$ endowed with a principal gradation as defined in (A.7) of Appendix A, where we provide brief account of $sl(N)$ Lie algebra, its roots, fundamental weights, and its loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(N)$ generalization, that all constitute

algebraic foundation of zero-curvature formulation of the $sl(N)$ mKdV flows. The starting point of the zero-curvature construction is the semi-simple $\widehat{sl}(N)$ element of grade one

$$E^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} E_{\alpha_k} + \lambda E_{-\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{N-1}}. \quad (2.1)$$

We refer the reader to Appendix A for definitions of basic matrices $E_{\alpha_a}, \dots, E_{\alpha_a + \dots + \alpha_b}$, $a, b = 1, \dots, N-1$.

The t_m flow of $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy is given by solving the following zero-curvature equation:

$$[\partial_x + E^{(1)} + A_0, \partial_{t_m} + D^{(0)} + D^{(1)} + \dots + D^{(m)}] = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $D^{(i)} \in \mathcal{G}_i$ (see Appendix A for definition of \mathcal{G}_i) are to be solved for. Further

$$A_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} v_k h_{\alpha_k} = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & J_N \end{pmatrix},$$

is a diagonal element of grade zero that satisfies the trace zero condition $\sum_{k=1}^N J_k = 0$. The elements h_{α_k} of the Cartan subalgebra are defined in Appendix A. The following relations:

$$v_i - v_{i-1} = J_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad v_0 = 0, \quad v_N = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

hold between $v_k, k = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $J_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. The relation $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^i J_j$ follows from (2.3) and is highly reminiscent of relation (A.5) between fundamental weights Λ^i and e_j vectors.

With $\widehat{sl}(N)$ being endowed with the grading structure (A.8) the zero-curvature equation (2.2) can be decomposed into separate equations according to their grade. The highest grade component of equation (2.2), $[E^{(1)}, D^{(m)}] = 0$, is solved by the grade m element $D^{(m)}$ in the kernel of $E^{(1)}$. From now on we will focus on the case of $m = 2$ for which it follows that,

$$D^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} E_{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}} + \lambda E_{-(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{N-2})} + \lambda E_{-(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{N-1})}. \quad (2.4)$$

The lower grade matrices $D^{(i)}, i = 0, 1$ can be solved recursively from the appropriate grade projections of the zero-curvature equation (2.2). For example, the grade one element,

$$D^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} F_k E_{\alpha_k} + F_N \lambda E_{-(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{N-1})}, \quad (2.5)$$

has coefficients $F_N, F_i, i = 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$F_k = v_{k+1} - v_{k-1} = J_k + J_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad F_N = v_1 - v_{N-1} = J_N + J_1, \quad (2.6)$$

as determined by the grade 2 component of the zero-curvature equation (2.2):

$$[E^{(1)}, D^{(1)}] + [A_0, D^{(2)}] + \partial_x D^{(2)} = 0.$$

The grade 1 projection of the zero-curvature equation (2.2):

$$[E^{(1)}, D^{(0)}] + [A_0, D^{(1)}] + \partial_x D^{(1)} = 0,$$

with $D^{(0)} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} d_a h_{\alpha_a}$, can be cast as a vector equation

$$\partial_x \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{d}, \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ \vdots \\ F_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

representing key objects as $N - 1$ vectors. In addition \mathbf{V} denotes the diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{V}_{km} = (2v_k - v_{k-1} - v_{k+1})\delta_{km} = (J_k - J_{k+1})\delta_{km}, \quad (2.8)$$

and \mathbf{K} is the $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$ Cartan matrix given in (A.4).

Solving (2.7) and inserting it into the grade 0 projection of the zero-curvature equation (2.2) determines the flow of the model through equation $\partial_t A_0 = \partial_x D^{(0)}$, with $t \equiv t_2$, that reads in vector notation as :

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = \partial_x \mathbf{d}. \quad (2.9)$$

After multiplying both sides of relation (2.9) by the Cartan matrix \mathbf{K} and making use of relation (2.7) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\mathbf{K})_{km} v_m &= \partial_t (2v_k - v_{k+1} - v_{k-1}) = \partial_x (\partial_x \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{F})_k \\ &= \partial_x \left[\partial_x (v_{k+1} - v_{k-1}) + V_{kk}(v_{k+1} - v_{k-1}) \right], \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Recalling the identities (2.6) and (2.8) the above equation (2.10) can be rewritten as:

$$\partial_t (J_{k+1} - J_k) = -\partial_x \left[\partial_x (J_k + J_{k+1}) - (J_{k+1} - J_k)(J_k + J_{k+1}) \right], \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.11)$$

that defines t_2 flows of $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy in agreement with the result given earlier in [17]. From equation (2.11) one can derive a flow for an individual J_m as

$$\partial_t J_m = \partial_x \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{N-2k}{N} J'_{k+m} + J_m^2 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N J_k^2 \right], \quad m = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.12)$$

3 Self-similarity limit and $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Painlevé Equations

Introducing the self-similarity limit through relations

$$z = t^{-1/2}x, \quad J_i(x, t) = t^{-1/2}J_i(z), \quad (3.1)$$

one obtains in such limit from equations (2.11) after an integration :

$$(J_i + J_{i+1})_z = -\frac{z}{2} (J_i - J_{i+1}) - (J_i^2 - J_{i+1}^2) + \gamma_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.2)$$

with γ_i being integration constants that satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i = 0$ and where we introduced the periodicity condition $J_{N+i} = J_i$.

Define now:

$$j_i(z) = J_i(z) + \frac{1}{4}z, \quad (3.3)$$

with the zero trace condition $\sum_{k=1}^N J_k = 0$ replaced by

$$\sum_{i=1}^N j_i = \frac{N}{4}z \quad (3.4)$$

and impose periodicity condition:

$$j_i = j_{i+N}. \quad (3.5)$$

In this notation and with $\alpha_i = \gamma_i + \frac{1}{2}$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = N/2$, equations (3.2) simplify to:

$$(j_i + j_{i+1})_z = -(j_i - j_{i+1})(j_i + j_{i+1}) + \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.6)$$

in which we recognize the dressing chain equation [21].

There is also an alternative way of obtaining the dressing chain equation (3.6) from the t_2 -flow (2.11) of the $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy that employs the traveling wave reduction:

$$J_i(x, t) = j_i(z) - \frac{C}{2}, \quad z = x - Ct. \quad (3.7)$$

Here C is a constant representing speed of a wave. We find that such reduction yields the same differential system (3.6), but with the major difference being that the summation of j_i now yields a constant:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N j_i = \frac{NC}{2} \quad (3.8)$$

and therefore the sum of all N equations (3.6) is:

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^N j_i z = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

This is the case of $\alpha \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0$ for which the periodic dressing chain admits a parametric dependent Lax representation [21]. Equation (3.6) with condition (3.9) for $N = 3$ yields I_{30} (denoted as equation XXX in [15]) as shown in [3]. See reference [2] for relation between equation (3.6) with condition (3.9) for $N = 4$ and I_{38} (equation XXXVIII in [15]).

Define now

$$f_i = j_i + j_{i+1}, \quad (3.10)$$

then due to identities shown in Appendix B it follows that equation (3.6) becomes in this new notation

$$\frac{df_i}{dz} = f_i \sum_{r=1}^k (f_{i+2r-1} - f_{i+2r}) + \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.11)$$

for $N = 2k + 1$ odd and with conditions $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i = Nz/2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = N/2$. Equation (3.11) reproduces $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations for N odd. For even $N = 2n$ we obtain from (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} nz \frac{df_i}{dz} = f_i & \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r-1} f_{i+2s} - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r} f_{i+2s+1} + \sum_{r=1}^n (1 - 2\alpha_{i+2r}) \right) \\ & + nz\alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

reproducing $A_{2n-1}^{(1)} = A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations with conditions

$$\sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} = \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r+1} = \frac{n}{2} z. \quad (3.13)$$

We notice that equations (3.6) are manifestly invariant under order- N automorphisms:

$$\pi(j_i) = j_{i+1}, \quad \pi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\rho(j_i) = -j_{N+1-i}, \quad \rho(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{N+1-i}, \quad \rho(z) = -z. \quad (3.15)$$

In the next section we will obtain the remaining Bäcklund symmetries by employing the gauge transformations of the zero-curvature equations.

4 Gauge transformations of $sl(N)$ mKdV zero-curvature equations

4.1 Introducing the gauge transformation, its basic properties and parameters

Below we will discuss symmetries of equations (3.6) derived from gauge transformation of the $\widehat{sl}(N)$ zero-curvature equation (2.2) compactly rewritten as

$$[\partial_x + A_x, \partial_t + A_t] = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$A_x = E^{(1)} + A_0, \quad A_t = D^{(0)} + D^{(1)} + D^{(2)}.$$

The term $D^{(2)}$ is given in (2.4), $D^{(1)}$ in (2.5) and $D^{(0)} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} d_a h_{\alpha_a}$ is such that its matrix elements satisfy the second-flow equation $\partial_t v_i = \partial_x d_i$. We will now discuss the gauge symmetries of equation (4.1) that preserve the matrix form of potentials A_x, A_t and will be shown to reproduce the Bäcklund symmetries of Painlevé equations in the self-similarity limit. In the setting of (4.1) we will explore invariance of (2.11) under the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu(J_i) \rightarrow A_\mu(\tilde{J}_i) = U A_\mu(J_i) U^{-1} + U \partial_\mu U^{-1}, \quad \mu = x, t \quad (4.2)$$

or

$$U A_\mu(J_i) = A_\mu(\tilde{J}_i) U + \partial_\mu U \quad (4.3)$$

where $U = U(\tilde{J}, J)$ maps from J_i configuration to another one denoted by \tilde{J}_i , while preserving the zero-curvature equation.

We will work with the gauge transformations that has been previously constructed to produce Bäcklund transformations in the context of $sl(N)$ mKdV hierarchy [9] (see also [14] for the associated relativistic Toda model) to be of the form, $U = I + U_{-1}$, where I is the identity matrix and U_{-1} is a grade -1 element of $\widehat{sl}(N)$:

$$U(\tilde{J}, J) \equiv U(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \dots & \lambda^{-1} \beta_N(x, t) \\ \beta_1(x, t) & 1 & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \\ \vdots & & \beta_{N-2}(x, t) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & \beta_{N-1}(x, t) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\beta_i(x, t), i = 1, \dots, N$ are coefficients parameterizing grade -1 component of $\widehat{sl}(N)$ and will be determined by imposing (4.3) as a graded equation.

For convenience we employed above a notation that expresses explicitly the dependence of gauge transformation U on the parameters β_i which in turn, depend upon the original and the transformed configurations, $\{J\}$ and $\{\tilde{J}\}$ respectively.

We now consider matrix elements of both sides of relation (4.3) and derive differential equations for the gauge parameters β_i that follow from them. Plugging expression for the matrix U into equation (4.3) with $\mu = x$ and considering its diagonal (i, i) element we obtain an expression :

$$(i, i) : \quad \tilde{J}_i = J_i - \beta_i + \beta_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (4.5)$$

for the transformed configuration of \tilde{J}_i in terms of J_i and the β_i coefficients of $U(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$. The $(i+1, i)$ element of (4.3) with $\mu = x$ is given by

$$(i+1, i) : \quad \beta_{i,x} = J_i \beta_i - \tilde{J}_{i+1} \beta_i = \beta_i (-\beta_i + \beta_{i+1} + J_i - J_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (4.6)$$

Integrating (4.6) yields :

$$\beta_1 = B_1(t)e^{\int(J_1-\tilde{J}_2)dx}, \dots, \beta_i = B_i(t)e^{\int(J_i-\tilde{J}_{i+1})dx}, \dots, \beta_N = B_N(t)e^{\int J_N-\tilde{J}_1 dx} \quad (4.7)$$

where we indicated explicitly that B_i -coefficients do not depend on x but may depend on the t variable. However considering the $(i+1, i)$ element of (4.3) with $\mu = t$ we obtain :

$$(i+1, i) : \quad \partial_t \partial_x \ln \beta_i = \partial_t (J_i - \tilde{J}_{i+1}) \rightarrow \beta_i = B_i(x) \exp \int (J_i - \tilde{J}_{i+1}) dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (4.8)$$

We thus conclude that all coefficients B_i are constants as is consequently the product of all β_i :

$$\beta_1(x, t) \cdots \beta_N(x, t) = B_1 \cdots B_N = \text{constant} \quad (4.9)$$

which shows that there are in fact only $N-1$ independent β -parameters.

For diagonal elements of (4.3) for $\mu = t$ we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{i+1} - \tilde{d}_{i+1}) - (d_i - \tilde{d}_i) + \beta_i F_i - \beta_{i+1} \tilde{F}_{i+1} &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-2 \\ d_1 - \tilde{d}_1 + \beta_N F_N - \beta_1 \tilde{F}_1 &= 0 \\ d_{N-1} - \tilde{d}_{N-1} + \beta_N \tilde{F}_N - \beta_{N-1} F_{N-1} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Next we use that $\partial_x d_i = \partial_t v_i$ and $\tilde{v}_i = v_i + \beta_N - \beta_i$ with F_i, F_N defined in (2.6) and accordingly transforming as $\tilde{F}_i = F_i + \beta_{i-1} - \beta_{i+1}$, to obtain from (4.10) that the quantities

$$\Gamma_i \equiv \partial_t \beta_i - \partial_x (\beta_i F_i - \beta_i \beta_{i+1}), \quad (4.11)$$

are always equal to each other:

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (4.12)$$

A composition law may be derived by acting successively with $U(\tilde{J}, J)$ to transform from J to \tilde{J} , followed by $\tilde{J} \rightarrow \tilde{\tilde{J}}$ transformation, $U(\tilde{\tilde{J}}, \tilde{J})$. It therefore follows the composite gauge transformation

$$U(\tilde{\tilde{J}}, J) = U(\tilde{\tilde{J}}, \tilde{J}) U(\tilde{J}, J) \quad (4.13)$$

We start by making a remark concerning matrix

$$U(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i-1}, 0, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_{i+2}, \beta_{i+3}, \dots, \beta_N)$$

with one parameter $\beta_i = 0$ being zero. As a matrix it can be represented by an ordered product of matrices U_i with only one non-zero parameter β_i :

$$U_i \equiv U(0, \dots, \beta_i, \dots, 0). \quad (4.14)$$

In order to maintain the form of the gauge matrix as in equation (4.4) the product must be ordered starting from the right with U_{i+1} ending at U_{i-1} as can be explicitly verified to be,

$$U(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i-1}, 0, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_{i+2}, \beta_{i+3}, \dots, \beta_N) = U_{i+1} U_{i+2} \cdots U_{N-1} U_N U_1 U_2 \cdots U_{i-1}. \quad (4.15)$$

In the next subsection it will be explained that the gauge matrices considered in this section must, in the self-similarity limit, have at least one parameter equal to zero. They all can be represented by an ordered matrix composition in terms of a basis of single gauge matrices U_j since the right hand side of equation (4.15) only involves the matrix multiplication of single U_i matrices. However each gauge transformation not only acts as a matrix but also as a transformation that obeys the composition rule (4.13). To take into consideration those two actions we will introduce below a “star”-product of two gauge matrices (4.27).

A basis for the Bäcklund transformation can be proposed to consist of generators with only one non-zero coefficient, $\beta_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, it holds from the transformation rule (4.5) that U_i from (4.14) generates:

$$U_i : J_i \rightarrow J_i - \beta_i, \quad J_{i+1} \rightarrow J_{i+1} + \beta_i, \quad J_j \rightarrow J_j, \quad j \neq i, i+1 \quad (4.16)$$

with β_i that satisfies differential equations :

$$\beta_{i,x} = \beta_i (-\beta_i + J_i - J_{i+1}) \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\partial_t \beta_i = (F_i \beta_i)_x = ((J_i + J_{i+1}) \beta_i)_x \quad (4.18)$$

due to relations (4.6) and (4.12). Similar relations were derived in [17] by a different method.

4.2 Gauge transformations in the self-similarity limit as the extended affine Weyl group symmetries

Recall now the self-similarity limit (3.1) that in accordance with equations (4.5) and (4.6) needs to be augmented by

$$\beta_i(x, t) = t^{-1/2} b_i(z) . \quad (4.19)$$

The self-similarity limit can not be applied consistently to the constant in (4.9) as long it is different from zero since the self-similarity limits of the right and left hand side of equations

$$\partial_x (\beta_1(x, t) \cdots \beta_N(x, t)) = \partial_t (\beta_1(x, t) \cdots \beta_N(x, t)) = 0$$

will lead to inconsistent limits if all $\beta_i(x, t) \neq 0$. Thus at least one of the B_i constants will need to vanish for us to be able to take the self-similarity limit. Clearly in such case $\Gamma_i = 0$ in (4.12) and this equation yields in the scaling limit the following algebraic relations :

$$b_i b_{i+1} - b_i (j_i + j_{i+1}) + \kappa_i = b_i b_{i+1} - b_i f_i + \kappa_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (4.20)$$

where κ_i are integration constants. Furthermore equation (4.5) becomes in the self-similarity limit

$$\tilde{j}_i = j_i - b_i + b_{i-1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{f}_i = f_i - b_{i+1} + b_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (4.21)$$

and equation (4.7) becomes

$$b_1 = b_1^{(0)} e^{\int j_1 - \tilde{j}_2 dz}, \dots, b_i = b_i^{(0)} e^{\int j_i - \tilde{j}_{i+1} dz}, \dots, b_N = b_N^{(0)} e^{\int j_N - \tilde{j}_1 dz} \quad (4.22)$$

In the self-similarity limit the choice (4.14) results in constants $b_i^{(0)} \neq 0$ and $b_j^{(0)} = 0, j \neq i$, and we obtain from relations (4.20) that

$$\kappa_i = b_i f_i \quad \rightarrow \quad b_i = \frac{\kappa_i}{f_i} \quad (4.23)$$

Let us recall equation (4.18) describing the time flow of β_i parameter. According to the self-similarity limits (3.1) and (4.19) we obtain from (4.18) :

$$((J_i + J_{i+1} + z/2) b_i)_z = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad 1/b_i(z) = (J_i(z) + J_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2}z)/\kappa_i = (j_i + j_{i+1}(z))/\kappa_i, \quad (4.24)$$

where we have chosen the integration constant κ_i to be in agreement with (4.23). We now turn our attention to equation (4.17) that becomes in the self-similarity limit

$$b_{i,z} = b_i (-b_i + j_i - j_{i+1}), \quad \rightarrow \quad \partial_z \ln b_i + b_i = j_i - j_{i+1} \quad (4.25)$$

Plugging relation $b_i = \kappa_i/f_i$ from equation (4.24) into equation (4.25) one obtains

$$\partial_z \ln \left(\frac{\kappa_i}{f_i} \right) + \frac{\kappa_i}{f_i} = j_i - j_{i+1}$$

that agrees with the dressing equation (3.6) for $\kappa_i = \alpha_i$. Indeed it is easy to explicitly verify that the dressing chain equation is invariant under the symmetry operations

$$\begin{aligned} j_i &\xrightarrow{U_i} \tilde{j}_i = j_i - b_i = j_i - \frac{\kappa_i}{j_i + j_{i+1}}, & j_{i+1} &\xrightarrow{U_i} \tilde{j}_{i+1} = j_{i+1} + b_i = j_{i+1} + \frac{\kappa_i}{j_i + j_{i+1}}, \\ j_k &\xrightarrow{U_i} j_k, & k &\neq i, k \neq i+1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

generated according to transformation rule (4.16) by self-similarity limit counterpart of quantity defined in (4.14) for $\kappa_i = \alpha_i$, when it is accompanied by transformations of coefficients $\alpha_i \rightarrow -\alpha_i, \alpha_{i\pm 1} \rightarrow \alpha_{i\pm 1} + \alpha_i$. We will derive below these transformations directly from actions of U_i matrices (see equations (4.33) and (4.37)). Thus the above transformation induced by the gauge matrix U_i from relation (4.14) agrees with known Bäcklund transformations of $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations [1].

We will need to define the composition laws for the gauge transformations that will hold when acting with an additional gauge transformation $U(\tilde{J}, \tilde{J})$ following $U(\tilde{J}, J)$ as shown in (4.13). The ordering of equation (4.13) will be important when defining below products of U_i from equation (4.14). We now define a “star”-product of two single U_i and U_k matrices that follows the composition rule (4.13) :

$$U_i(b_i) \star U_k(b_k) = U_i(\tilde{b}_i) U_k(b_k). \quad (4.27)$$

Note that in addition to matrix multiplication between U_i and U_k (on the right hand side of the above equation), U_k simultaneously acts through transformation of all the quantities b_i, j_i to \tilde{b}_i, \tilde{j}_i according to

$$j_i \xrightarrow{U_k} \tilde{j}_i = U_k(j_i) = \begin{cases} j_i \rightarrow j_i & i \neq k, i \neq k+1 \\ j_i \rightarrow j_i - b_i & i = k \\ j_i \rightarrow j_i + b_{i-1} & i = k+1 \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

where we used transformation rule (4.21). The following commutation relation follows from (4.28) and from the corresponding rules of matrix multiplication for matrices U_i and U_k that do not have any neighboring matrix element b_i, b_k :

$$U_i(b_i) \star U_k(b_k) = U_k(b_k) \star U_i(b_i), \quad i \neq k, i \neq k \pm 1 \quad (4.29)$$

We now consider the “star”-product (4.27) with $k = i$ meaning we let $U_i(b_i)$ act twice with each U_i acting simultaneously through matrix multiplication and through transformation of all the quantities b_k, j_k to \tilde{b}_k, \tilde{j}_k . Thus the result of performing the gauge transformation twice is $U_i(b_i) \star U_i(b_i)$ or explicitly :

$$U_i(b_i) \star U_i(b_i) = U_i(\tilde{b}_i) U_i(b_i),$$

where $\tilde{b}_i = U_i(b_i)$ must satisfy equation

$$\tilde{b}_{iz} = \tilde{b}_i(-\tilde{b}_i + (j_i - b_i) - (j_{i+1} + b_i)) = \tilde{b}_i(-\tilde{b}_i + j_i - j_{i+1} - 2b_i) \quad (4.30)$$

obtained from equation (4.25) by acting with U_i . Plugging in the above expression equation (4.25) that amounts to setting $j_i - j_{i+1} = \partial_z \ln b_i + b_i$ we get:

$$\partial_z \ln \tilde{b}_i + \tilde{b}_i = \partial_z \ln b_i - b_i \rightarrow \partial_z \ln \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{b_i} = -\tilde{b}_i - b_i \quad (4.31)$$

Since $\frac{\tilde{b}_i}{b_i} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_i}{\kappa_i}$ because $\tilde{f}_i = f_i$, the left hand side of the last equation in (4.31) is zero and consequently

$$\tilde{b}_i = -b_i$$

and $\tilde{\kappa}_i = -\kappa_i$ or $\tilde{\alpha}_i = -\alpha_i$. It follows now by simple multiplication

$$U_i \star U_i = U(0, \dots, 0, -b_i, 0, \dots, 0) U(0, \dots, 0, b_i, 0, \dots, 0) = 1 \quad (4.32)$$

with the identity matrix on the right hand side of the above equation. We thus obtain that $U_i \star U_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. We also learn that

$$\alpha_i \xrightarrow{U_i} -\alpha_i \quad (4.33)$$

Next we will verify that

$$U_{i+1} \equiv U(0, \dots, 0, b_i, b_{i+1}, 0, \dots, 0) = U(b_i) \star U(b_{i+1}) \quad (4.34)$$

according to the definition given in relations (4.27) and (4.28). Therefore we now consider the U -matrix from (4.4) with two neighboring β_i, β_{i+1} that are different from zero and all the other $\beta_j = 0$. The following equations hold for β 's and their self-similarity limits :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i,x} &= \beta_i (-\beta_i + \beta_{i+1} + J_i - J_{i+1}) \rightarrow \partial_z \ln b_i + b_i = b_{i+1} + j_i - j_{i+1}, \\ \beta_{i+1,x} &= \beta_{i+1} (-\beta_{i+1} + J_{i+1} - J_{i+2}) \rightarrow \partial_z \ln b_{i+1} + b_{i+1} = j_{i+1} - j_{i+2}, \\ \partial_t \beta_i &= (\beta_i F_i - \beta_i \beta_{i+1})_x \rightarrow b_i = \frac{\kappa_i}{f_i - \kappa_{i+1}/f_{i+1}}, \\ \partial_t \beta_{i+1} &= (\beta_{i+1} F_{i+1})_x \rightarrow b_{i+1} = \frac{\kappa_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

which agree with the dressing equations (3.6) for f_i, f_{i+1} for $\kappa_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1}, \kappa_i = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}$. Comparing expression for b_i given in (4.35) with those in (4.28) that define the \star -product (4.27) we find that the relation (4.34) holds.

Further it follows that U_{i+1} , as defined in (4.34), with the non-zero neighboring U -matrix elements b_i, b_{i+1} will induce the following non-zero transformations

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_i = f_i + \cancel{b_{i-1}} - b_{i+1} = f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \\ f_{i+1} &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+1} = f_{i+1} + b_i - \cancel{b_{i+2}} = f_{i+1} + \frac{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}}{f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}}, \\ f_{i+2} &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+2} = f_{i+2} + b_{i+1} - \cancel{b_{i+3}} = f_{i+2} + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \\ f_{i-1} &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i-1} = f_{i-1} + \cancel{b_{i-2}} - b_i = f_{i-1} - \frac{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}}{f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where we crossed out those coefficients b_i 's that are absent in the U_{i+1} matrix.

If we now define the s_{i+1} transformation as :

$$s_{i+1} : f_i \rightarrow \tilde{f}_i = f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, f_{i+1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+1} = f_{i+1}, f_{i+2} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+2} = f_{i+2} + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \quad (4.37)$$

together with $s_{i+1} : \alpha_i \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}$, then we can represent the transformation (4.36) as a result of action of s_{i+1} followed by s_i transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i : \tilde{f}_i &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_i = f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \tilde{f}_{i+1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+1} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_i}{\tilde{f}_i} = f_{i+1} + \frac{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}}{f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}} \\ \tilde{f}_{i+2} &\rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i+2} = f_{i+2} + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}, \tilde{f}_{i-1} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_i}{\tilde{f}_i} = f_{i-1} - \frac{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}}{f_i - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{f_{i+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

Note also that as follows from relation (4.33) $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$. Thus the gauge transformation U_{i+1} agrees with the composite $s_i s_{i+1}$ Bäcklund transformation and it follows from this identification by repetitive action with $s_i s_{i+1}$ that

$$(U_i \star U_{i+1})^3 = 1. \quad (4.38)$$

More generally the gauge transformation $U(0, \dots, 0, b_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{i+k}, 0, \dots, 0)$ can be factorized into a star-product of one parameter gauge transformations U_i that corresponds to the composite $s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{i+k}$ Bäcklund transformation with relations between b_i 's being of the form (for $k = 3$) :

$$b_i = \frac{\kappa_i}{\frac{\kappa_{i+1}}{\frac{\kappa_{i+2}}{\frac{\kappa_{i+3}}{b_i - j_i - j_{i+3}} - j_{i+2} - j_{i+3}} - j_{i+1} - j_{i+2}} - j_i - j_{i+1}}}$$

and so on for higher k .

The gauge matrices $U(0, \dots, b_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{i+n}, \dots, 0)$ and $U(0, \dots, b_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{j+m}, \dots, 0)$ such that $j + m < i - 1$ or $i + n < j - 1$ will commute leading to commutation of the Bäcklund transformations $s_i \dots s_{i+n}$ with $s_j \dots s_{j+m}$ under the same conditions.

The key conclusion of this section is that the identities (4.29), (4.38) and (4.32) establish equivalence of the group of gauge transformation endowed with the \star -product to the extended affine Weyl group $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ with its fundamental relations

$$s_i^2 = 1, (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1, s_i s_k = s_k s_i, k \neq i \pm 1$$

that agree with (4.29), (4.38) and (4.32) .

For the remaining part of this subsection we will discuss how that gauge transformations will function in case of the traveling wave limit (3.7). In this limit we obtain

$$\partial_x(\beta_1 \dots \beta_N) \rightarrow \partial_z(b_1 \dots b_N) \quad (4.39)$$

$$\partial_t(\beta_1 \dots \beta_N) \rightarrow -C \partial_z(b_1 \dots b_N) \quad (4.40)$$

and we conclude that

$$\partial_z(b_1 \dots b_N) = 0 \quad (4.41)$$

Contrary to the case of the self-similarity limit, the condition $b_1 \dots b_N = 0$ is no longer the only remaining option. From equations (4.17) we find

$$b_{i,z} = b_i(-b_i + j_i - j_{i+1}) \quad (4.42)$$

and combined with relation (4.20) we find that the condition (4.41) has another valid solution apart from $b_1 \dots b_N = 0$, namely that $\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N = 0$ while $b_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, N$. Let us set $\kappa_{i-1} = 0$, then from relations (4.20) we find a solution:

$$b_{i-1} = f_{i-1}, b_{i+1} = f_i - \frac{\kappa_i}{f_{i-1}}, b_{i+2} = f_{i+1} - \frac{\kappa_{i+1}}{f_i - \frac{\kappa_i}{f_{i-1}}}$$

etc. Thus in this case we can obtain a solution that has all the coefficients $b_i \neq 0$. Will such transformation still be equivalent to a finite product of Bäcklund transformations s_i ? To answer this question let us consider for illustration the case of $N = 3$ and set $\kappa_1 = 0$. Then the relevant 3×3 matrix $U(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ has arguments:

$$b_2 = f_1, \quad b_3 = \frac{\kappa_2}{f_1} + f_2, \quad b_1 = \frac{\kappa_3}{\frac{\kappa_2}{f_1} + f_2} + f_3 \quad (4.43)$$

Recalling that the b_i transform the j_i according to relation (4.21) we find

$$\tilde{f}_1 = \pi(f_1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{f_3}), \tilde{f}_2 = \pi\left(f_2 - \frac{\alpha_3}{f_3} + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\frac{\alpha_3}{f_3} + f_1}\right), \tilde{f}_3 = \pi\left(f_3 - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\frac{\alpha_3}{f_3} + f_1}\right), \quad (4.44)$$

using that

$$\kappa_2 = \alpha_1, \quad \kappa_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \quad (4.45)$$

as obtained from plugging the above relations directly back into (3.6). We also get the transformation on the constants α_i of (3.6)

$$\alpha_1 \rightarrow -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_2 \rightarrow 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \quad (4.46)$$

We see that despite of having all the three b_i -coefficients different from zero that the transformation $U(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ obtained in the traveling wave reduction is equivalent to the composition of Bäcklund transformations $\pi s_3 s_1$ that this time involves the automorphism π in addition to transformations s_i . Thus this example confirms that the conclusions obtained in our study of gauge transformations in the self-similarity limit extend *mutatis mutandis* to the traveling wave reduction.

5 Bäcklund transformations for higher flows

In references [12, 13] it was observed that the Bäcklund symmetries obtained out of the gauge symmetries of the zero-curvature equations will hold for all flows of the integrable model. We can here extend this observation to all higher-order Painlevé equations that are derived from these flows. The point we are making here is that the affine extended $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Weyl group is the symmetry group for all Painlevé equations that are derived by appropriate similarity transformations from the higher flows of soliton equations. For simplicity we will illustrate this point for $N = 2$ mKdV hierarchy with the commuting flows $t_{2n+1}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Here we focus at the first three higher times t_3, t_5, t_7 . For all the flows the A_x matrix remains defined by:

$$A_x = \begin{pmatrix} v & \lambda \\ 1 & -v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

The gauge matrix U that interpolates between two solutions of the same mKdV model is given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\beta_2}{\lambda} \\ \beta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2)$$

In the self-similarity limit U generates Bäcklund transformations as described in the previous section.

Following the steps similar to those taken in Section 2 we obtain in the zero-curvature framework the corresponding mKdV equations for the flows t_3, t_5, t_7 :

$$4v_{t_3} = v_{3x} - 6v^2v_x, \quad (5.3)$$

$$16v_{t_5} = 30v^4v_x - 10v^2v_{3x} - 40vv_xv_{2x} - 10v_x^3 + v_{5x}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$64v_{t_7} = -140v^6v_x + 70v^4v_{3x} + 560v^3v_xv_{2x} + 420v^2v_x^3 - 14v^2v_{5x} \\ - 140vv_{2x}v_{3x} - 84vv_xv_{4x} - 182v_xv_{2x}^2 - 126v_x^2v_{3x} + v_{7x}. \quad (5.5)$$

The usual dimensional considerations [11] lead to the following self-similarity limits for $t_j = t_3, t_5, t_7$:

$$v(x, t) = \frac{v(z)}{t_j^{1/j}}, \quad \beta_i = \frac{b_i(z)}{t_j^{1/j}}, \quad z = \frac{x}{t_j^{1/j}}, \quad j = 3, 5, 7. \quad (5.6)$$

Taking such limit of equation (5.3) (and integrating once) we get the P_{II} equation:

$$v_{2z}(z) = \alpha + 2v^3(z) - \frac{4}{3}zv(z), \quad (5.7)$$

where v_{iz} denotes the i -th derivative with respect to the argument z . From the gauge transformation U we inherit two Bäcklund transformations. The first is given by

$$v \rightarrow v + b, \quad b = \frac{2 - 3\alpha}{-3v_z(z) - 3v^2(z) + 2z}, \quad \alpha \rightarrow \frac{4}{3} - \alpha, \quad (5.8)$$

and squares to identity as follows by inspection.

Since

$$v \rightarrow -v, \quad \alpha \rightarrow -\alpha, \quad (5.9)$$

is an obvious symmetry of P_{II} (and mKdV) one easily sees that $\bar{b}(v, \alpha) = b(-v, -\alpha)$ will be the second Bäcklund transformation of P_{II} as can also be derived directly from the gauge symmetry argument involving the matrix U (5.2). Such symmetry (5.9) is also present for all higher times t_n , therefore it will suffice for us to show from now on only one of the two Bäcklund transformations.

For the $t = t_5$ mKdV equation (5.4) after imposing the appropriate similarity limit from (5.6) and performing an integration, we obtain:

$$v_{4z} = 10v^2v_{2z} + 10vv_z^2 - 6v^5 - \frac{16}{5}zv + \sigma \quad (5.10)$$

This equation can be identified with the F-XVII equation of reference [10] for the parameters

$$\delta = 0, \quad \lambda = -\frac{16}{5}, \quad \mu = 0.$$

As before we are able to derive two Bäcklund transformations from the gauge symmetry argument that are related by the symmetry operation (5.9). We show one of them here:

$$v \rightarrow v + b, \quad b = \frac{8 - 5\alpha}{15v^4 - 5v_{3z} - 10vv_{2z} + 5v_z^2 + 30v^2v_z + 8z}, \quad \alpha \rightarrow \frac{16}{5} - \alpha. \quad (5.11)$$

Finally for the $t = t_7$ and the corresponding mKdV flow (5.5) the appropriate self-similarity limit from (5.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} v_{6z} = & \alpha + 20v^7 + 42vv_{2z}^2 - 70v^4v_{2z} + 14v_{4z}v^2 - 140v^3v_z^2 + 56v_{3z}v_zv \\ & + 70v_z^2v_{2z} - \frac{64zv}{7}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

after performing an integration. One of the two Bäcklund transformations we find in this case is :

$$v \rightarrow v + b, \quad b = \frac{32 - 7\alpha}{\text{denom}}, \quad \alpha \rightarrow \frac{64}{7} - \alpha, \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{denom} = & -70v^6 - 7v_{5z} - 7v_{2z}^2 + 70v_z^3 - 210v^4v_z + 140v^3v_{2z} + \\ & + 14v_{3z}v_z + 14v(20v_zv_{2z} - v_{4z}) + 70v^2(v_{3z} + v_z^2) + 32z. \end{aligned}$$

Common for all these higher-order Painlevé equations derived from higher mKdV equations is invariance under the extended affine Weyl group obtained from the Bäcklund gauge symmetries in the self-similarity limit.

6 Outlook

In the recent publications [3, 4, 5] we advanced a notion of symmetry being a relevant measure of integrability by proposing models that only kept a part of the affine extended Weyl group symmetry while maintaining (not violating) the Painlevé property. Along the

same line of the investigation as presented in [3] we can here propose a deformation of the dressing chain (3.6) given for $N = 3$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(j_1 + j_2)_z &= -(j_1 - j_2)(j_1 + j_2) + \alpha_1 + \eta(j_1 + j_3) \\ (j_2 + j_3)_z &= -(j_2 - j_3)(j_2 + j_3) + \alpha_2 - \eta(j_1 + j_3) \\ (j_1 + j_3)_z &= -(j_3 - j_1)(j_1 + j_3) + \alpha_3\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

This model is still manifestly invariant under the transformation generated by U_3 from equation (4.26) such that

$$j_3 \rightarrow j_3 + \frac{\kappa}{j_1 + j_3}, \quad j_1 \rightarrow j_1 - \frac{\kappa}{j_1 + j_3}, \quad j_2 \rightarrow j_2, \tag{6.2}$$

with $\kappa = -\alpha_3$ and $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_i + \alpha_3, i = 1, 2$ although no longer invariant under $U_i, i = 1, 2$ from (4.26). Also, the automorphism π does not keep the equations (6.1) invariant anymore. Instead equations (6.1) are invariant under a new π_2 automorphism [3]

$$\pi_2 : j_1 \rightarrow -j_3, \quad j_3 \rightarrow -j_1, \quad j_2 \rightarrow -j_2, \quad \alpha_1 \rightarrow -\alpha_3, \quad \alpha_3 \rightarrow -\alpha_1, \quad \alpha_2 \rightarrow -\alpha_2, \quad \eta \rightarrow -\eta,$$

with the transformed $j_i, \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ now satisfying the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^3 j_i = -3z/4$, $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = -3/2$ that differ from the condition (3.4) by the minus sign.

We can also run Kovalevskaya-Painlevé test as in references [21, 3] by first assuming that solutions of (6.1) equations have to be of the form

$$j_i = \frac{a_i}{z} + b_i + c_i z + d_i z^2 + e_i z^3 + \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{6.3}$$

with the pole chosen at 0. After substituting the above equation back into equations (6.1) we find that coefficients of the first term must satisfy relations $a_i + a_{i+1} - a_i^2 + a_{i+1}^2 = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$. For the solution $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 0, a_3 = -1$ and any value of η we find that b_2 and d_2 (or d_1) will remain undetermined while all the other coefficients will be fixed. This is a general feature which occurs independently of whether the η terms are present. Thus the pole solutions of the type shown in (6.3) will depend on three parameters (b_2, d_2 and position of the pole). This agrees with integrability property derived from the Kovalevskaya-Painlevé test of the $N = 3$ dressing chain and shows that the η -deformation preserving the symmetry (6.2) also results in passing the integrability test.

The issue of finding an underlying integrable model behind the deformed dressing chain (6.1) remains under investigation.

A Basic facts about $sl(N)$ algebra

Since $sl(N)$ Lie algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of trace-less $N \times N$ matrices, we find it convenient to work with a matrix representation of $sl(N)$ with basic matrices being unit upper diagonal matrices $E_{\alpha_a}, E_{\alpha_a + \alpha_{a+1}}, \dots, E_{\alpha_a + \dots + \alpha_b}, a, b = 1, \dots, N-1$ defined by their non-vanishing unit matrix elements :

$$(E_{\alpha_a})_{ij} = \delta_{i,a} \delta_{j,a+1}, \quad (E_{\alpha_a + \alpha_{a+1}})_{ij} = \delta_{i,a} \delta_{j,a+2}, \quad \dots, \quad (E_{\alpha_a + \dots + \alpha_b})_{ij} = \delta_{i,a} \delta_{j,b+1} \tag{A.1}$$

and their corresponding lower diagonal conjugated matrices $E_{-\alpha_a} = E_{\alpha_a}^\dagger$ etc, with $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. The so-called Cartan subalgebra of \mathcal{G} is generated by trace-less combinations of the $N \times N$ diagonal matrices $h_{\alpha_a} = h_{e_a} - h_{e_{a+1}}, a = 1, \dots, N-1$ with :

$$(h_{e_a})_{ij} = \delta_{i,a} \delta_{j,a}.$$

It is often convenient to relate the eigenvalues of Cartan subalgebra matrices h_{α_a} obtained when acting on E_{α_a} matrices to $N-1$ simple roots of $sl(N)$. This is facilitated

by introducing N vectors e_a obtained as follows. Let $\{\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_N\}$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^N . Define N vectors

$$e_i = \hat{e}_i - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{e}_j,$$

that by definition satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^N e_j = 0$$

and have inner product

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{N}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Define now $N - 1$ simple roots of $sl(N)$ as

$$\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N - 1 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Due to the inner product (A.2) we obtain the $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$ Cartan matrix K_{ij} :

$$K_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 2 & i = j \\ -1 & i = j \pm 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for products of simple roots defined in (A.3).

For fundamental weights defined as

$$\Lambda^i = \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, N - 1 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

it holds that

$$\langle \Lambda^i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

The Cartan matrix transforms the basis given in term of fundamental weights to the basis given in terms of roots according to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} K_{ij} \Lambda^j = e_i - e_{i+1} = \alpha_i$$

The Weyl group of $sl(N)$ is a permutation of N vectors e_i .

We are interested in generalizing the above structure to the loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(N)$ spanned by $\lambda^n E_{\pm(\alpha_a + \dots + \alpha_b)}$, $\lambda^n h_{\alpha_a}$ on which we define the principal gradation operator:

$$Q = N\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + H_\Lambda \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Lambda^i$$

and H_Λ acts by an adjoint action. One proves that:

$$\Lambda = (N - 1)e_1 + (N - 2)e_2 + \dots + e_{N-1}$$

This gives for $N = 3, 4, 5$

$$\begin{aligned} N = 3 : \quad & \Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2 = 2e_1 + e_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ N = 4 : \quad & \Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^3 = 3e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3 = 3\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 \\ N = 5 : \quad & \Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^3 + \Lambda^4 = 4e_1 + 3e_2 + 2e_3 + e_4 \\ & = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 \end{aligned}$$

The above expression allows an alternative simple expression for Q as:

$$Q = N\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + (N-1)h_{e_1} + (N-2)h_{e_2} + \dots + (N-i)h_{e_i} + \dots + h_{e_{N-1}}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with

$$Q_{N=3} = 3\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + h_{\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_2}, \quad Q_{N=4} = 4\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 3h_{\alpha_1} + 4h_{\alpha_2} + 3h_{\alpha_3},$$

etc. One fundamental feature that is of great relevance for the formalism we present is the fact that loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(N)$ decomposes into different grade sectors according to their eigenvalues under Q :

$$\widehat{sl}(N) = \oplus_i \mathcal{G}_i, \quad [Q, \mathcal{G}_i] = i \mathcal{G}_i. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This property provides foundation for the zero-curvature approach of section 2 used to determine flows of an integrable hierarchy.

The operator Q in (A.7) induces the following graded subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{nN} &= \{h_1^{(n)}, \dots, h_{N-1}^{(n)}\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{nN+1} &= \{E_{\alpha_1}^{(n)}, \dots, E_{\alpha_{N-1}}^{(n)}, E_{-\alpha_1 \dots - \alpha_{N-1}}^{(n+1)}\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{nN+2} &= \{E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}^{(n)}, E_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}^{(n)}, \dots, E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}^{(n)}, E_{-\alpha_1 \dots - \alpha_{N-2}}^{(n+1)}, E_{-\alpha_2 \dots - \alpha_{N-1}}^{(n+1)}\}, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{G}_{nN+N-1} &= \{E_{-\alpha_1}^{(n+1)}, \dots, E_{-\alpha_{N-1}}^{(n+1)}, E_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{N-1}}^{(n)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

with each subspace \mathcal{G}_m of grade $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ containing generators of grade m .

B From periodic dressing chain to Painlevé equations

For completeness and notational consistency we will provide here a number of useful identities to prove that the periodic dressing equation (3.6) can be rewritten as higher Painlevé equations (3.11) and (3.12) for N odd and even, respectively.

Under substitution (3.10) the dressing equation (3.6) can be rewritten as

$$f_{iz} = f_i [f_{i-1} + \dots - (-1)^k f_{i-k} + (-1)^k j_{i-k} - f_{i+1} + \dots + (-1)^k f_{i+k} + (-1)^{k+1} j_{i+k+1}] + \alpha_i, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

after replacing $j_{i-1} = f_{i-2} - j_{i-2}$ and $j_{i+2} = f_{i+2} - j_{i+3}$ etc.

For N odd using the periodicity condition (3.5) we conclude that

$$j_{i-k} - j_{i+k+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2k+1 = N, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and therefore equation (B.1) becomes for $2k+1 = N$:

$$f_{iz} = -f_i \left[\sum_{m=1}^k (-1)^m f_{i+m} - \sum_{m=1}^k (-1)^m f_{i-m} \right] + \alpha_i. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Due to periodicity, $f_{i-m} = f_{i-m+N}$ and we can rewrite (B.3) in a standard form:

$$f_{iz} = f_i \left[\sum_{r=1}^k f_{i+2r-1} - \sum_{r=1}^k f_{i+2r} \right] + \alpha_i. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

From condition (3.4) we derive

$$\sum_{i=1}^N f_i = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N j_i = \frac{N}{2} z. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Thus it follows that the dressing chain equation (3.6) gives $A_{2k}^{(1)} = A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations for N odd with $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i = Nz/2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = N/2$ for $\alpha_i = \beta_i + \frac{1}{2}$.

For N even the condition (3.4) can now be cast as

$$\sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} = \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r+1} = \frac{n}{2}z. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

We next rewrite the dressing equation (3.6) as:

$$f_i z = f_i (2j_{i+1} - f_i) + \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

To eliminate j_{i+1} from the above equation we sum the derivatives as follows:

$$\sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} z = \frac{n}{2} = \sum_{r=1}^n 2f_{i+2r} j_{i+2r+1} - \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_{i+2r}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The squared terms can be eliminated due to relation

$$f_i = \sum_{s=1}^n f_{i+2s+1} - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2s}$$

leaving :

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i+2r} \right) = 2 \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} j_{i+2r+1} - \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n f_{i+2r+2s+1} - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r+2s} \right). \quad (\text{B.9})$$

To rewrite terms explicitly depending on j_{i+2r+1} we use the formula :

$$j_{i+1+2r} - j_{i+1} = \sum_{s=r}^{n-1} f_{i+2s+1} - \sum_{s=r}^{n-1} f_{i+2s+2}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

that follows from expansion $j_{i+1+2r} = f_{i+2r+1} - f_{i+2r+2} \dots$ carried until we reach $+f_{i+2n-1} - f_{i+2n}$.

In this way we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} nz j_{i+1} = & \frac{f_i}{2}(nz) - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=r}^{n-1} f_{i+2s+1} \right) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=r}^{n-1} f_{i+2s+2} \right) \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n f_{i+2r+2s+1} \right) - \sum_{r=1}^n f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r+2s} \right) + \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i+2r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

One can show that the second and the fourth summation terms on the right hand side of (B.11) cancel each other while the difference of the first and the third summation terms on the right hand side of (B.11) combine to yield :

$$\left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^r f_{i+2s-1} f_{i+2r} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f_{i+2r} \left(\sum_{s=r}^{n-1} f_{i+2s+1} \right) \right) \quad (\text{B.12a})$$

$$= \left(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq r \leq n-1} f_{i+2s-1} f_{i+2r} - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r} f_{i+2s+1} \right). \quad (\text{B.12b})$$

To summarize we obtain an expression for $j_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$j_{i+1} = \frac{1}{nz} \left(\frac{f_i}{2}(nz) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r-1} f_{i+2s} - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r} f_{i+2s+1} \right) + \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i+2r} \right) \right). \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Plugging it back into (B.7) yields:

$$nzf_{iz} = f_i \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r-1} f_{i+2s} - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n-1} f_{i+2r} f_{i+2s+1} + \sum_{r=1}^n (1 - 2\alpha_{i+2r}) \right) + nz\alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (\text{B.14})$$

reproducing $A_{2n-1}^{(1)} = A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ invariant Painlevé equations for even $N = 2n$ with conditions (B.6).

Acknowledgments JFG and AHZ thank CNPq and FAPESP for financial support. VCCA thanks São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) for financial support by grants 2016/22122-9 and 2019/03092-0.

References

- [1] Adler V E 1993 *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, **27**:2, 141–143
- [2] Alves V C C 2021 *On Hybrid Painlevé Equations*, Doctoral dissertation (São Paulo, Brazil-UNESP)
- [3] Alves V C C, Aratyn H, Gomes J F and Zimerman A H 2020 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53** 445202
- [4] Alves V C C, Aratyn H, Gomes J F and Zimerman A H 2019 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52** 065203, and 2019 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1194** 012002
- [5] Aratyn H, Gomes J F, Ruy D V and Zimerman A H 2016 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** 045201
- [6] Aratyn H, Gomes J F and Zimerman A H 2011 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** 235202
- [7] Aratyn H, Nissimov E, Pacheva S and Zimerman A H 1995 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** 2537, arXiv:hep-th/9407112
- [8] Aratyn H, Ferreira L A, Gomes J F and Zimerman A H 1993 *Phys. Lett. B* **316** 85, arXiv:hep-th/9307147
- [9] Carvalho Ferreira J M, Gomes J F, Lobo G V and Zimerman A H 2021 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54**, doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abd8b2, arXiv:2010.03631 [nlin.SI]
- [10] Cosgrove C M 2006 *Studies in Applied Mathematics* **116** 321-413
- [11] Flaschka H and Newell A C 1980 *Comm. Math. Phys.* **76** 65
- [12] Gomes J F, Retore A L and Zimerman A H 2015 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** 405203, arXiv:1505.01024
- [13] Gomes J F, Retore A L and Zimerman A H 2016 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** 504003, arXiv:1610.02303
- [14] Fordy A and Gibbons J 1980 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **77** 21-30
- [15] Ince E L 1956 *Ordinary Differential Equations* (New York: Dover)
- [16] Noumi M and Yamada Y 1998 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **199** 281-195, *Funkcial.Ekvac.* **41** 483- 503 arXiv:math/9808003
- [17] Schiff J 1994 *Nonlinearity*, **7**, 305-312
- [18] Sen A, Hone A N W and Clarkson P A 2006 *Studies in Applied Mathematics* **117** 299-319
- [19] Takasaki K 2003 *Commun. in Mathematical Physics*, **241**, 111-142
- [20] Tsuda T 2005 *Advances in Mathematics* **197** 587- 606
- [21] Veselov A P and Shabat A B 1993 *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **27** 81–96
- [22] Willox R and Hietarinta J 2003 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 10615–10635