

The commutator subgroups of free groups and surface groups

Andrew Putman*

Abstract

A beautifully simple free generating set for the commutator subgroup of a free group was constructed by Tomaszewski. We give a new geometric proof of his theorem, and show how to give a similar free generating set for the commutator subgroup of a surface group. We also give a simple representation-theoretic description of the structure of the abelianizations of these commutator subgroups and calculate their homology.

1 Introduction

Let F_n be the free group on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. The commutator subgroup $[F_n, F_n]$ is an infinite-rank free group. The conjugation action of F_n on $[F_n, F_n]$ induces an action on its abelianization $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ that factors through $F_n/[F_n, F_n] = \mathbb{Z}^n$, making $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ into a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module. This paper addresses the following questions:

- What kind of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module is $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$? Can we describe it in terms of simpler representations and calculate its homology?
- It is easy to write down free generating sets for $[F_n, F_n]$; indeed, this is often given as an exercise when teaching covering spaces. However, doing this naively gives a very complicated free generating set. Can it be made simpler?

We also study the analogous questions for the fundamental groups of closed surfaces.

Rank 2. As motivation, we start with the case $n = 2$. Regarding F_2 as the fundamental group of a wedge X of two circles, the subgroup $[F_2, F_2]$ corresponds to the cover \tilde{X} shown in Figure 1.a. The abelianization $[F_2, F_2]^{\text{ab}}$ is $H_1(\tilde{X})$. This is

*Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1811210




Figure 1: (a) The cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ corresponding to $[F_2, F_2]$. (b) A maximal tree in \tilde{X} . (c) A generator $x_1 x_2^2 x_1 x_2^{-2} x_1^{-2} \in [F_2, F_2]$ coming from the maximal tree. (d) The element $[x_1, x_2]^{x_1 x_2} \in [F_2, F_2]$. (e) The element $[x_1^2, x_2^2] \in [F_2, F_2]$.

a free abelian group with basis the set of squares in Figure 1.a. The deck group \mathbb{Z}^2 permutes these squares simply transitively, so $[F_2, F_2]^{\text{ab}}$ is a rank-1 free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^2]$ -module:

$$[F_2, F_2]^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^2].$$

There are several natural choices of free generating sets for the free group $[F_2, F_2]$:

- (i) Using the maximal tree shown in Figure 1.b, we obtain the free generating set

$$\{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_1 x_2^{-k_2} x_1^{-k_1-1} \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k_2 \neq 0\}$$

illustrated in Figure 1.c.

- (ii) It is not hard¹ to convert the free generating set (i) into the free generating set

$$\left\{ [x_1, x_2]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

illustrated in Figure 1.d. Here our conventions are that $[y, z] = y^{-1} z^{-1} y z$ and that superscripts indicate conjugation: $y^z = z^{-1} y z$. Unlike in (i), this does *not* arise from a maximal tree.

- (iii) A classical exercise in combinatorial group theory (see [MagKSo, p. 196, exer. 24] or [Se2, Proposition I.1.4]) gives the free generating set

$$\{[x_1^{k_1}, x_2^{k_2}] \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k_1, k_2 \neq 0\}$$

illustrated in Figure 1.e. Again, this does not arise from a maximal tree.

Remark 1.1. It is hard to see that $[F_2, F_2]^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^2]$ from the generating sets (i) and (iii) above, but this isomorphism can be easily deduced from the generating set (ii). \square

¹We will prove a much more general result in Theorem A below.

Generating sets in higher rank. How about $[F_n, F_n]$ for $n \geq 3$? A maximal tree argument like (i) above leads to the very complicated free generating set

$$\left\{ (x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_m^{k_m}) x_\ell (x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_\ell^{k_\ell+1} \cdots x_m^{k_m})^{-1} \mid 1 \leq \ell < m \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}, k_m \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Tomaszewski generalized (ii) to give the following much simpler free generating set:

Theorem A (Tomaszewski [T]). *For $n \geq 1$, the group $[F_n, F_n]$ is freely generated by*

$$\left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{k_n}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Theorem A plays an important role in the author's work on the Torelli group [P1, P2]. Tomaszewski's proof of it was combinatorial and involved extensive calculations with commutator identities. We will give a proof that is geometric and calculation-free.

Remark 1.2. It is unclear how to generalize the generating set (iii) above to $n \geq 3$. \square

Generating sets for surface groups. Consider the fundamental group of a closed oriented genus- g surface Σ_g :

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2g} \mid [x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{2g-1}, x_{2g}] = 1 \rangle.$$

Just like $[F_n, F_n]$, the commutator subgroup $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$ is an infinite-rank free group². How can we find a free generating set for it?

One idea is to try to use Theorem A. The group $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$ can be obtained from $[F_{2g}, F_{2g}]$ by quotienting out by the F_{2g} -normal closure of the surface relation

$$[x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{2g-1}, x_{2g}].$$

When you try to express the F_{2g} -conjugates of this in terms of the free basis

$$\left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

for $[F_{2g}, F_{2g}]$ given by Theorem A, it is natural to assume that the relations you impose must each involve a generator of the form

$$[x_1, x_2]^{x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}}.$$

²Letting $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the cover of Σ_g corresponding to $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$, this means that $\pi_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is free. In fact, Johansson [J] proved that all non-compact connected surfaces have free fundamental groups. A conceptual way to see this uses a theorem of Whitehead ([W]; see [P3] for an expository account) that says that any smooth connected noncompact n -manifold M deformation retracts to an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subcomplex. Applied to $\tilde{\Sigma}$, this says that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ deformation retracts to a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, i.e. a graph. We conclude by observing that graphs have free fundamental groups.

After all, these are the only generators whose conjugating elements involve all of the generators of F_{2g} . This suggests that these $[F_{2g}, F_{2g}]$ -generators can be eliminated, and the remaining ones should freely generate $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$.

Unfortunately, it seems quite hard to make this idea rigorous. Even expressing surface relations of the form

$$([x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{2g-1}, x_{2g}])^{x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}}$$

in terms of our generators seems quite messy. Nonetheless, we will give a different geometric argument to show that the above idea does lead to a free generating set:

Theorem B. *For $g \geq 1$, the group $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$ is freely generated by*

$$\left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2g, (i, j) \neq (1, 2), \text{ and } k_i, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Remark 1.3. We do not know any other explicit free generating sets in the literature for $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]$. \square

Non-freeness and homology. As we discussed above, $[F_2, F_2]^{\text{ab}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^2]$ -module. It is natural to wonder whether this holds in higher rank. The structure of the free generating set for $[F_n, F_n]$ given in Theorem A suggests that it should not be free, and we prove that this indeed is the case.

In fact, we prove even more. For a group G , if M is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module then $H_k(G; M) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. To prove that M is not free, it is thus enough to find some nonvanishing homology group of degree at least 1. We prove the following:

Theorem C. *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$, we have $H_k(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \wedge^{k+2}\mathbb{Z}^n$. In particular, $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ is not a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module for $n \geq 3$.*

Remark 1.4. The case $k = 0$ says that $H_0(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \wedge^2\mathbb{Z}^n$. Recall that the 0th homology group is the coinvariants of the coefficient module, i.e. the largest quotient of the coefficient module on which the group acts trivially. Since the action of \mathbb{Z}^n on $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ is induced by the conjugation action of F_n on $[F_n, F_n]$, we have

$$H_0(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) = \frac{[F_n, F_n]}{[F_n, [F_n, F_n]]} \cong \wedge^2\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

This is a special case of a classical theorem of Magnus ([Mag2]; see [Se1] for an expository account) that says that the graded quotients of the lower central series of a free group are precisely the graded terms of the free Lie algebra. \square

For surface groups, the abelianization $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}$ is a module over

$$\frac{\pi_1(\Sigma_g)}{[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]} \cong H_1(\Sigma_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}.$$

The analogue of Theorem C for these groups is as follows:

Theorem D. For $g \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$H_k(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \begin{cases} (\wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g})/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \wedge^{k+2} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}$ is not a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -module for $g \geq 2$.

Module structure. If $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ is not a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module, what kind of module is it? We will prove that it has a filtration whose associated graded terms are not free, but are not too far from being free. In the following theorem, for $1 \leq m \leq n$ we regard \mathbb{Z}^m as a module over \mathbb{Z}^n via the projection $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ onto the final m coordinates.

Theorem E. For all $n \geq 1$, the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ has a sequence

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} = [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$$

of submodules such that

$$M_k/M_{k+1} \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{n-k+1}])^{\oplus(n-k)}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Question 1.5. What is the nature of the extensions in the filtration in Theorem E? Do any of them split?

For surface groups, we relate the structures of $[\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}$ and $[F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}}$ as follows:

Theorem F. For all $g \geq 1$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}] \longrightarrow [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -modules.

Remark 1.6. As one would expect, the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -submodule identified by Theorem F is generated by the image of the surface relation and its conjugates. \square

Question 1.7. Does the exact sequence in Theorem F split?

Outline. The rest of this paper is divided into two sections: §2 contains the proofs of Theorems A, C, and E, and §3 contains the proofs of Theorems B, D, and F.

Conventions. For a set S , we will let $F(S)$ denote the free group on S . For $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we thus have $F(S) = F_n$. For a group G and $x, y \in G$, we define $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $x^y = y^{-1}xy$. This latter convention ensures that for $x, y, z \in G$ we have $(x^y)^z = x^{yz}$.

2 Commutator subgroup of free group

In this section, we prove Theorems [A](#), [C](#), and [E](#).

2.1 Free generating set (Theorem [A](#))

We start with some preliminary lemmas. We emphasize in these lemmas that we allow free groups to have infinite rank. Our first lemma will only be used in an example in this section, but will be needed in a more serious way when we discuss the commutator subgroup of a surface group.

Lemma 2.1. *Let T be a set and let $S \subset T$. The normal closure of S in $F(T)$ is then freely generated by*

$$\{s^w \mid s \in S \text{ and } w \in F(T \setminus S)\}.$$

Proof. This is a standard consequence of covering space theory applied to a wedge of $|T|$ circles, whose fundamental group can be identified with $F(T)$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a free group and let $S \subset F$ be a generating set that projects to a basis for the free abelian group F^{ab} . Then F is freely generated by S .*

Proof. To show that no nontrivial reduced word in S is trivial in F , it suffices to prove that all finite subsets $S' \subset S$ freely generate the subgroup F' they generate. The composition

$$F' \longrightarrow (F')^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow F^{\text{ab}}$$

takes S' to a linearly independent subset of F^{ab} . Since the image of S' in $(F')^{\text{ab}}$ generates it, we deduce that $(F')^{\text{ab}}$ is a free abelian group of rank $|S'|$, so F' is a free group of rank $|S'|$. Thus the map $F(S') \rightarrow F'$ induced by the inclusion $S' \hookrightarrow F'$ is a surjective map between free groups of the same finite rank. Since finite-rank free groups are Hopfian³ the map $F(S') \rightarrow F'$ must be an isomorphism, so F' is freely generated by S' . \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be a free group, let $A < F$ be a subgroup, and let $B \triangleleft F$ be a normal subgroup such that $F = B \rtimes A$. Assume that B is freely generated by a set S on which A acts freely by conjugation, and let $S' < S$ contain a single element from each A -orbit. We then have $F = F(S') * A$.*

³A group G is Hopfian if all surjective homomorphisms $G \rightarrow G$ are isomorphisms. More generally, Malcev ([\[Malc\]](#); see [\[LSc, Theorem IV.4.10\]](#) for the short proof) proved that finitely generated residually finite groups are Hopfian. See [\[C\]](#) for a variety of proofs that free groups are residually finite. My favorite is in [\[MaleP\]](#), which gives a stronger result and builds on a proof of Hempel [\[H\]](#).

To help the reader understand this lemma, we give an example before the proof.

Example 2.4. Fix some $1 \leq k < n$, let $A < F_n$ be the subgroup generated by $\{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$, and let $B \triangleleft F_n$ be the normal closure of $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. The group B is the kernel of the evident retraction $F_n \rightarrow A$, so $F_n = B \rtimes A$. Lemma 2.1 says that B is freely generated by

$$S = \{x_i^w \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } w \in A\}.$$

The conjugation action of A on B restricts to a free action on S , and

$$S' = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset S$$

contains a single element from each A -orbit. As is asserted in the lemma, we have $F_n = F(S') * A$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $S'' \subset A$ be a free generating set for A . The set $S' \cup S''$ generates F , and we must prove that it freely generates it. Since $F = B \rtimes A$, we have

$$F^{\text{ab}} = (B^{\text{ab}})_A \oplus A^{\text{ab}},$$

where the subscript indicates that we are taking the A -coinvariants, i.e. the largest quotient on which A acts trivially. Since A acts freely on S and S' contains a single element from each A -orbit, it follows that $S' \subset B$ projects to a free basis for the free abelian group $(B^{\text{ab}})_A$. Since S'' projects to a free basis for the free abelian group A^{ab} , we conclude that $S' \cup S''$ projects to a free basis for the free abelian group F^{ab} . Lemma 2.2 then implies that $S' \cup S''$ is a free generating set for F . \square

Our final lemma contains the geometric heart of Theorem A.

Lemma 2.5. *Fix some $n \geq 2$. Let $K \triangleleft F_n$ be the normal closure of x_1 and let $B = K \cap [F_n, F_n]$. Set $T = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Then B is freely generated by*

$$\left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w} \mid 1 < j \leq n \text{ and } k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F(T) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let X be the wedge of n circles and let $p \in X$ be the wedge point. Identify $\pi_1(X, p)$ with F_n . Let (\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}) be the cover of (X, p) with $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}) = B$. The group B is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $f: F_n \rightarrow F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$ defined via the formula

$$f(x_i) = \begin{cases} (1, 1) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (x_i, 0) & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Here the 1 in the first coordinate of $(1, 1) \in F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$ means the identity element in $F(T)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\bar{x}_i = f(x_i)$. We can identify \tilde{X} with the Cayley graph of $F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to the generating set $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$. Using this identification,




Figure 2: (a) The “ladder” L_e corresponding to the edge e . (b) The space \widehat{L}_e with the edge e pointing towards the basepoint, along with the corresponding maximal tree. (c) The space \widehat{L}_e with the edge e pointing away from the basepoint, along with the corresponding maximal tree.

the set of vertices of \widetilde{X} is identified with $F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$ and the edges of \widetilde{X} are oriented and labeled with elements of $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$. The basepoint \tilde{p} of \widetilde{X} is $(1, 0) \in F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Let Y be the Cayley graph of $F(T)$ with respect to T . Identify Y with the full subgraph of \widetilde{X} whose vertices are $F(T) \times 0 \subset F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$. The projection $F(T) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F(T)$ induces a surjective map $\rho: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Y$. For each edge e of Y , let $L_e = \rho^{-1}(e)$. If e goes from $u \in F(T)$ to $v \in F(T)$, then L_e is the “ladder” depicted in Figure 2.a. The graph \widetilde{X} is the union of the L_e as e ranges over the edges of Y .

We want to apply the Seifert–van Kampen theorem to express $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{p}) = B$ in terms of the fundamental groups of the L_e . For this, we will have to enlarge the L_e so that they include the basepoint $\tilde{p} = (1, 0)$. For an edge e of Y , let \widehat{L}_e be the union of L_e and the shortest edge path in $Y \subset \widetilde{X}$ that starts at the basepoint $\tilde{p} = (1, 0)$ and ends with e . The graph \widehat{L}_e contains \tilde{p} and deformation retracts to L_e .

All finite intersections of more than one of the \widehat{L}_e are contractible, so Seifert–van Kampen applies to show that

$$B = \pi_1(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{p}) = \bigstar_e \pi_1(\widehat{L}_e, \tilde{p}).$$

Letting

$$S = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w} \mid 1 < j \leq n \text{ and } k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F(T) \right\}$$

be our purported free generating set for B , it is thus enough to find a subset $S_e \subset S$ for each edge e such that $\pi_1(\widehat{L}_e, \tilde{p})$ is freely generated by S_e and such that

$$S = \bigcup_e S_e.$$

Fix an edge e of Y . Let \bar{x}_j be the label on e and let $(w, 0) \in F(T) \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the endpoint of e . What we claim is that the subgroup $\pi_1(\widehat{L}_e, \tilde{p})$ of $\pi_1(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{p}) = B$ is freely generated by

$$S_e = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w^{-1}} \mid k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Here we emphasize that our conventions are that

$$[x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w^{-1}} = w x_1^{-k_1} x_1^{-1} x_j^{-1} x_1 x_j x_1^{k_1} w.$$

The proof of this claim divides into two cases:

- The first is that e points towards the basepoint as in Figure 2.b. The purported free generating set is then the one associated to the maximal tree depicted in Figure 2.b.
- The second is that e points away from the basepoint as in Figure 2.c. The purported free generating set is then the one associated to the maximal tree depicted in Figure 2.c.

It is clear that S is the union of the S_e , so the proof is complete. \square

We now prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Recall that we must prove that the group $[F_n, F_n]$ is freely generated by

$$\left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{k_n}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The proof will be by induction on n . The base case $n = 1$ is trivial since $[F_1, F_1] = 1$ and the purported free generating set is empty.

Assume now that $n > 1$ and that the result is true for $[F_{n-1}, F_{n-1}]$. Set

$$T = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset F_n$$

and let $K \triangleleft F_n$ be the normal closure of x_1 . The group K is the kernel of the evident retraction $F_n \rightarrow F(T)$, so $F_n = K \rtimes F(T)$. Define $A = [F(T), F(T)]$ and $B = K \cap [F_n, F_n]$. Our semidirect product decomposition restricts to

$$[F_n, F_n] = B \rtimes A.$$

By Lemma 2.5, the group B is freely generated by

$$S = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w} \mid 1 < j \leq n \text{ and } k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F(T) \right\}.$$

The conjugation action of $F(T)$ on B preserves S and acts freely on it. Restrict this action to $A = [F(T), F(T)]$. The group A still acts freely on S , and the set

$$S' = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n}} \mid 1 < j \leq n \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

contains exactly one representative from each orbit of the action of A on S . Lemma 2.3 then implies that

$$[F_n, F_n] = F(S') * A.$$

By induction, $A = [F(T), F(T)]$ is freely generated by

$$S'' = \left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{k_n}} \mid 2 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We conclude that $[F_n, F_n]$ is freely generated by $S' \cup S''$, as desired. \square

2.2 Module structure (Theorem E)

We start with the following observation.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a group and let $x, y, w, w' \in G$. Assume that w and w' map to the same element of G^{ab} . Then $[x, y]^w$ and $[x, y]^{w'}$ map to the same element of $[G, G]^{\text{ab}}$.*

Proof. For $z, z' \in [G, G]$, write $z \equiv z'$ if z and z' map to the same element of $[G, G]^{\text{ab}}$. We can write $w' = wc$ with $c \in [G, G]$, so

$$[x, y]^{w'} = c^{-1}[x, y]^w c \equiv c^{-1}c[x, y]^w = [x, y]^w. \quad \square$$

This allows us to make the following definition.

Definition 2.7. Let G be a group. For $x, y \in G$ and $h \in G^{\text{ab}}$, write $\{x, y\}^h$ for the image in $[G, G]^{\text{ab}}$ of $[x, y]^w$, where $w \in G$ is any element projecting to h . \square

We now launch into the proof of Theorem E.

Proof of Theorem E. Recall that the theorem in question asserts that for all $n \geq 1$, the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ has a sequence

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} = [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$$

of submodules such that

$$M_k/M_{k+1} \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{n-k+1}])^{\oplus(n-k)}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. The proof of this will be by induction on n . The base case $n=1$ is trivial since $[F_1, F_1] = 1$ and the theorem simply asserts that $[F_1, F_1]^{\text{ab}} = 0$.

Assume now that $n > 1$ and that the theorem is true for F_{n-1} . Let $T = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and let $r: F_n \rightarrow F(T)$ be the evident retract whose kernel is the normal closure of x_1 . The map r induces a surjective homomorphism $p: [F_n, F_n] \rightarrow [F(T), F(T)]$. Let $\bar{B} = \ker(p)$. Let $\bar{p}: [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow [F(T), F(T)]^{\text{ab}}$ be the map induced by p and let $\overline{\bar{B}} = \ker(\bar{p})$.

The map r descends to the projection $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ onto the last $(n-1)$ coordinates. Use this projection to make $[F(T), F(T)]^{\text{ab}}$ into a module over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\bar{B}} \longrightarrow [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} [F(T), F(T)]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -modules. Since $|T| = n-1$, our inductive hypothesis says that $[F(T), F(T)]^{\text{ab}}$ has a filtration of the appropriate form. Using (2.1), to prove the theorem for $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$, it is enough to prove that

$$\overline{\bar{B}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n])^{\oplus(n-1)}$$

as $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -modules. Indeed, we can then take $M_1 = \overline{\bar{B}}$ and M_k for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ to be the preimage in $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ of the filtration for $[F(T), F(T)]^{\text{ab}}$.

Lemma 2.5 says that the group B is freely generated by

$$S = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} w} \mid 1 < j \leq n \text{ and } k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F(T) \right\}.$$

This implies that $\overline{\bar{B}}$ is generated as an abelian group by

$$\overline{S} = \left\{ \{x_1, x_j\}^h \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } h \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}.$$

Here we are using the notation introduced before the proof. Theorem A says that the set

$$S' = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

forms part of a free basis for $[F_n, F_n]$. This implies that \overline{S} is a basis for the free abelian group $\overline{\bar{B}}$. Since the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -action on $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$ is induced by the conjugation action of F_n on $[F_n, F_n]$, this implies that $\overline{\bar{B}}$ is freely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ -module by the $(n-1)$ -element set

$$\{\{x_1, x_j\} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

We conclude that

$$\overline{\bar{B}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n])^{\oplus(n-1)},$$

as desired. □

2.3 Homology (Theorem C)

We now prove Theorem C, which calculates the homology of \mathbb{Z}^n with coefficients in $[F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}$. We could use Theorem E, but will instead give a shorter direct proof.

Proof of Theorem C. Fix some $n \geq 2$. Our goal is to prove that

$$H_k(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \wedge^{k+2}\mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

Consider the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow [F_n, F_n] \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow 1.$$

The associated Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence is of the form

$$E_{pq}^2 = H_p(\mathbb{Z}^n; H_q([F_n, F_n])) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(F_n).$$

Since $[F_n, F_n]$ is a free group, all of these entries vanish except

$$E_{p0}^2 = H_p(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \wedge^p\mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{and} \quad E_{p1}^2 = H_1(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}).$$

The E^2 -page of our spectral sequence is thus of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_0(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) & H_1(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) & H_2(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) & H_3(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}}) & \cdots & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^n & \wedge^2\mathbb{Z}^n & \wedge^3\mathbb{Z}^n & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

This has to converge to

$$H_k(F_n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^n & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We deduce that the differentials

$$\wedge^{k+2}\mathbb{Z}^n = E_{k+2,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{k,1}^2 = H_k(\mathbb{Z}^n; [F_n, F_n]^{\text{ab}})$$

must be isomorphisms for all $k \geq 0$. The theorem follows. \square

3 Commutator subgroup of surface group

In this section, we prove Theorems B, D, and F.

3.1 Free generating set (Theorem B)

We begin by introducing some notation that will be used throughout this section:

- Fix some $g \geq 2$, and let

$$\pi = \pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2g} \mid [x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{2g-1}, x_{2g}] = 1 \rangle.$$




Figure 3: The \mathbb{Z}^2 -regular cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ_g corresponding to the normal closure G of $T = \{x_3, \dots, x_{2g}\}$. Putting the basepoint in $S_{0,0}$, the subgroup $\pi_1(S_{0,0})$ of $G = \pi_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is the subgroup F generated by T . The flat region is $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and the orange lines are of the form $(n + 1/2) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times (n + 1/2)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- Let $F < \pi$ be the subgroup generated by $T = \{x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2g}\}$.
- Let $G \triangleleft \pi$ be the normal closure of F .

We now prove several preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *We have $[\pi, \pi] < G$.*

Proof. The group G is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$f: \pi \rightarrow \langle x_1, x_2 \mid [x_1, x_2] = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

defined via the formula

$$f(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } 3 \leq i \leq 2g. \end{cases}$$

Since the codomain of f is abelian, the kernel of f contains $[\pi, \pi]$. □

For the next lemma, for $w \in \pi$ the notation F^w means the w -conjugate of the subgroup F of G . Since G is a normal subgroup of G , we have $F^w < G$.

Lemma 3.2. *The subgroup $F < \pi$ is free on $T = \{x_3, \dots, x_{2g}\}$, and*

$$G = \bigast_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} F^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}}.$$

Proof. Let $*$ \in Σ be the basepoint. Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{*})$ be the based cover of $(\Sigma_g, *)$ with $G = \pi_1(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{*})$. We depict $\tilde{\Sigma}$ in Figure 3. As is shown there, it can be decomposed as

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = X \cup \left(\bigcup_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} S_{k_1, k_2} \right),$$

where X and S_{k_1, k_2} are as follows:

- $X = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \left(\bigcup_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} U_{k_1, k_2} \right)$, where U_{k_1, k_2} is a small open ball around $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.
- S_{k_1, k_2} is a genus $(g - 1)$ surface with 1 boundary component glued to X along $\partial U_{k_1, k_2}$.

The deck group \mathbb{Z}^2 acts in the evident way with $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ taking S_{k_1, k_2} to S_{k_1+n, k_2+m} .

Choosing our identifications and basepoint correctly, we can ensure that $\tilde{*} \in S_{0,0}$ and that $\pi_1(S_{0,0}, \tilde{*}) < G$ is F . It is then clear from our picture that F is free⁴ on $T = \{x_3, \dots, x_{2g}\}$ and that

$$G = \bigstar_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} F^{a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2}},$$

as desired. □

Our next lemma is a general one and does not make use of the notation we introduced at the beginning of this section.

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be the set of formal symbols $\{y_{n,m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then the free group $F(S)$ is freely generated by*

$$S' = \{y_{0,0}\} \cup \{y_{n+1,m}^{-1} y_{n,m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{y_{0,m+1}^{-1} y_{0,m} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. Consider the oriented tree \mathfrak{T} from Figure 4. This tree lies in \mathbb{R}^2 and its vertices are \mathbb{Z}^2 . Define

$$S'' = \{y_{0,0}\} \cup \{y_{n,m} y_{n',m'}^{-1} \mid \mathfrak{T} \text{ has an oriented edge from } (n', m') \text{ to } (n, m)\}.$$

The set S' can be obtained from S'' by inverting some elements of S'' , so it is enough to prove that $F(S)$ is freely generated by S'' .

Every $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ except for $(0, 0)$ is the endpoint of precisely one edge in \mathfrak{T} , so we can define a homomorphism $f: F(S) \rightarrow F(S)$ via the formula

$$f(y_{n,m}) = \begin{cases} y_{n,m} y_{n',m'}^{-1} & \text{if there is an edge of } \mathfrak{T} \text{ from } (n', m') \text{ to } (n, m), \\ y_{0,0} & \text{if } (n, m) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

⁴This could also be deduced from the Freiheitsatz ([Mag1]; see [P4] for an expository account).




Figure 4: The oriented tree $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

We have $f(S) = S''$, so to prove that S'' freely generates $F(S)$ it is enough to prove that f is an automorphism of $F(S)$.

We will do this by writing down an inverse $g: F(S) \rightarrow F(S)$ for f . For $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, there is a unique geodesic edge path in \mathfrak{T} from $(0, 0)$ to (n, m) . Let vertices traversed by this path be

$$(0, 0) = (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_k, b_k) = (n, m).$$

We then define

$$w_{n,m} = y_{a_k, b_k} y_{a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}} \cdots y_{a_1, b_1}.$$

Having done this, we define the homomorphism $g: F(S) \rightarrow F(S)$ via the formula $g(y_{n,m}) = w_{n,m}$. It is clear that $f \circ g = g \circ f = \text{id}$, so g is the desired inverse for f . \square

Our final lemma uses the decomposition from Lemma 3.2:

Lemma 3.4. *Let*

$$\phi: G = \bigast_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} F^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \rightarrow F$$

be the homomorphism that takes the factor $F^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}}$ to F via conjugation by $x_1^{-k_1} x_2^{-k_2}$. Then the kernel of ϕ is freely generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } w \in F \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } w \in F \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.2 implies that G has the free generating set

$$S = \left\{ x_j^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For each x_j with $3 \leq j \leq 2g$, we can apply Lemma 3.3 to the free group on the subset

$$\left\{ x_j^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subset S$$

by identifying $y_{n,m}$ with $x_j^{x_1^n x_2^m}$. From this, we see that G is freely generated by

$$S' = \{x_j \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g\} \cup \left\{ \left(x_j^{x_1^{k_1+1} x_2^{k_2}} \right)^{-1} x_j^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ \left(x_j^{x_2^{k_2+1}} \right)^{-1} x_j^{x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\left(x_j^{x_1^{k_1+1} x_2^{k_2}} \right)^{-1} x_j^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} = x_2^{-k_2} x_1^{-k_1-1} x_j^{-1} x_1 x_j x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} = [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}}, \\ \left(x_j^{x_2^{k_2+1}} \right)^{-1} x_j^{x_2^{k_2}} = x_2^{-k_2-1} x_j^{-1} x_2 x_j x_2^{k_2} = [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2}},$$

we can rewrite S' as

$$S' = \{x_j \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g\} \cup \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

With respect to this free generating set for G , the homomorphism ϕ is the evident retract onto the free group F on $\{x_3, \dots, x_{2g}\}$, so $\ker(\phi)$ is normally generated by the following subset of S' :

$$\left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 shows that the normal closure of the above set is freely generated by

$$\left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } w \in F \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } w \in F \right\},$$

as desired. □

We can now prove Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. Recall that we must prove that the group $[\pi, \pi]$ is freely generated by

$$\left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \dots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2g, (i, j) \neq (1, 2), \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 says that $[\pi, \pi] \triangleleft G$. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow F$ be the homomorphism from Lemma 3.4. By construction, $\ker(\phi) \triangleleft [\pi, \pi]$ and $\phi([\pi, \pi]) = [F, F]$. We thus have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \ker(\phi) \longrightarrow [\pi, \pi] \xrightarrow{\phi} [F, F] \longrightarrow 1.$$

This exact sequence splits in the obvious way, so

$$[\pi, \pi] = \ker(\phi) \rtimes [F, F].$$

Lemma 3.4 says that $\ker(\phi)$ is freely generated by

$$S = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2} w} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in F \right\}.$$

The group $[F, F]$ acts freely on S by conjugation, and the set

$$S' = \left\{ [x_1, x_j]^{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ [x_2, x_j]^{x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} \dots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 3 \leq j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_2, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

contains a single element from each $[F, F]$ -orbit. Lemma 2.3 thus implies that

$$[\pi, \pi] = F(S') * [F, F].$$

By Theorem A, the group $[F, F]$ is freely generated by

$$S'' = \left\{ [x_i, x_j]^{x_i^{k_i} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \dots x_{2g}^{k_{2g}}} \mid 3 \leq i < j \leq 2g \text{ and } k_i, \dots, k_{2g} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We conclude that $[\pi, \pi]$ is freely generated by $S' \cup S''$, as desired. \square

3.2 Module structure (Theorem F)

We now prove Theorem F.

Proof of Theorem F. Fix some $g \geq 1$. Recall that this theorem asserts that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}] \longrightarrow [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -modules.

Set

$$r = [x_1, x_2] \cdots [x_{2g-1}, x_{2g}] \in [F_{2g}, F_{2g}],$$

and let $\{r\} \in [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}}$ be the image of r . Let R be the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -span of $\{r\}$ in $[F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}}$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -modules, and to prove the theorem it is enough to prove that $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$.

Let Σ_g^1 be a compact oriented genus- g surface with 1 boundary component β . Fix a basepoint $* \in \beta$, and identify F_{2g} with $\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1, *)$ in such a way that r is the loop around β . Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{*})$ be the cover of $(\Sigma_g^1, *)$ with $\pi_1(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{*}) = [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]$. We then have $H_1(\tilde{\Sigma}) = [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}}$.

By construction, $\{r\} \in H_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is the homology class of the component of $\partial\tilde{\Sigma}$ containing $\tilde{*}$. The submodule R of $H_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is the image of $H_1(\partial\tilde{\Sigma})$ in $H_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$. The deck group \mathbb{Z}^{2g} acts simply transitively on the set of components of $\partial\tilde{\Sigma}$, so as a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -module we have

$$H_1(\partial\tilde{\Sigma}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}].$$

To prove the theorem, we must show that the map $H_1(\partial\tilde{\Sigma}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is injective.

This map fits into a long exact sequence of relative homology groups that contains the segment

$$H_2(\tilde{\Sigma}, \partial\tilde{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial\tilde{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow H_1(\tilde{\Sigma}).$$

We must therefore prove that $H_2(\tilde{\Sigma}, \partial\tilde{\Sigma}) = 0$. Collapse each component ∂ of $\partial\tilde{\Sigma}$ to a point P_∂ to form a surface $\tilde{\Sigma}'$. Let

$$P = \left\{ P_\partial \mid \partial \text{ a component of } \tilde{\Sigma} \right\} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}'.$$

We then have

$$H_2(\tilde{\Sigma}, \partial\tilde{\Sigma}) \cong H_2(\tilde{\Sigma}', P) \cong H_2(\tilde{\Sigma}') = 0,$$

where the final $=$ follows from the fact that $\tilde{\Sigma}'$ is a noncompact connected surface. The theorem follows. \square

3.3 Homology (Theorem D)

We close the paper by proving Theorem D.

Proof of Theorem D. Fix some $g \geq 1$. We must prove that

$$H_k(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \begin{cases} (\wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g})/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \wedge^{k+2} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem F, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}] \longrightarrow [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -modules. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]$ -module, we have

$$H_k(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^{2g}]) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Also, Theorem D says that

$$H_k(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; [F_{2g}, F_{2g}]^{\text{ab}}) \cong \begin{cases} \wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \wedge^{k+2} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The long exact sequence in \mathbb{Z}^{2g} -homology associated to (3.1) thus immediately implies the result we want for $k \geq 2$. For $k = 0, 1$, this long exact sequence contains the segment

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \wedge^3 \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}) \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}; [\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \pi_1(\Sigma_g)]^{\text{ab}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, we must therefore prove that the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ in this exact sequence is not the zero map. For $1 \leq i \leq 2g$, let $\bar{x}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ be the image of $x_i \in F_{2g}$ in $(F_{2g})^{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. Tracing through all the maps involved, we see that the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ takes the generator of \mathbb{Z} to

$$\bar{x}_1 \wedge \bar{x}_2 + \cdots + \bar{x}_{2g-1} \wedge \bar{x}_{2g} \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{2g}.$$

This is indeed nonzero, and the theorem follows. \square

References

- [C] Lucas Culler (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/5499/lucas-culler>), Self-homomorphisms of surface groups, URL (version: 2010-04-28): <https://mathoverflow.net/q/22801>
- [LSc] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, reprint of the 1977 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [H] J. Hempel, Residual finiteness of surface groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972), 323.
- [J] I. Johansson, Topologische Untersuchungen über unverzweigte Überlagerungsflächen, Skriften norske Vidensk.-Akad. Oslo Math.-natur. Kl. 1 (1931), 1–69.
- [Mag1] W. Magnus, Über diskontinuierliche Gruppen mit einer definierenden Relation. (Der Freiheitssatz), J. Reine Angew. Math. 163 (1930), 141–165.
- [Mag2] W. Magnus, Über Beziehungen zwischen höheren Kommutatoren, J. Reine Angew. Math. 177 (1937), 105–115.
- [MagKSo] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, second revised edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976.

- [Malc] A. Malcev, On isomorphic matrix representations of infinite groups, *Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S.* 8 (50) (1940), 405–422.
- [MaleP] J. Malestein and A. Putman, On the self-intersections of curves deep in the lower central series of a surface group, *Geom. Dedicata* 149 (2010), 73–84.
- [P1] A. Putman, An infinite presentation of the Torelli group, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 19 (2009), no. 2, 591–643.
- [P2] A. Putman, Small generating sets for the Torelli group, *Geom. Topol.* 16 (2012), no. 1, 111–125.
- [P3] A. Putman, Spines of manifolds and the freeness of fundamental groups of noncompact surfaces, informal note available at <http://www.nd.edu/~andyp/notes/>.
- [P4] A. Putman, One-relator groups, informal note available at <http://www.nd.edu/~andyp/notes/>.
- [Se1] J.-P. Serre, *Lie algebras and Lie groups*, Lectures given at Harvard University, 1964, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1965.
- [Se2] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, translated from the French by John Stillwell, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [T] W. Tomaszewski, A basis of Bachmuth type in the commutator subgroup of a free group, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 46 (2003), no. 2, 299–303.
- [W] J. H. C. Whitehead, The immersion of an open 3-manifold in euclidean 3-space, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 11 (1961), 81–90.

Andrew Putman
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Notre Dame
 255 Hurley Hall
 Notre Dame, IN 46556
andyp@nd.edu