

Russian Troll Account Classification with Twitter and Facebook Data

Dominic Lewinski

Md Rashidul Hasan

University of New Mexico,

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Abstract

In this analysis, we work with the data set that was compiled by Darren Linvill and Patrick Warren, along with a representative sample of Facebook ads that were released by the House Intelligence Committee Minority. The goal of this analysis is to use the categories defined by Linvill and Warren in the Twitter data and investigate if these categories exist in Facebook ads. This begins to give us insights to the tactics used between the two social media services. Further, we try to replicate Linvill and Warren's original categorization of the Twitter data. Lastly, we investigate what categories may exist in the Facebook data.

Introduction

In the years leading up to and following the 2016 presidential election, Russia and the Internet Research Agency (IRA) attempted to influence the election's outcome by creating chaos and spreading disinformation. The IRA took to social media services such as Twitter and Facebook to sow discord online. These social media services allowed the IRA to create, publish and ultimately expose American citizens to propaganda. In a joint statement by the Department of Homeland Security and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the departments determined that senior Russian government officials had directed an e-mail hacking-and-dumping campaign to interfere with the election. Further documentation of Russia's involvement comes from the Intelligence Community Assessment (ICA). According to the ICA, "Russia's goals were to undermine public faith in the US democratic process, denigrate Secretary Clinton, and harm her electability and potential presidency". Further investigation into Russia's interference during the election led to Special Counsel Robert Mueller indicting 13 Russian individuals and three Russian organizations. The House Intelligence Committee Minority has held open hearing with social

media companies and released Twitter and Facebook content for researchers to analyze. The extent of their influence on the election may never be known.

Data Sets

The Twitter data set was compiled by Darren Linvill and Patrick Warren, both professors at Clemson University, using Clemson's Social Media Listening Center. The data set contains approximately three million tweets from over 2,800 IRA affiliated Twitter handles. Dates range from 2012 up to 2018. There is also additional information about each tweet, such as, number of followers, if the tweet was a retweet, publish date and time of tweet and account category. The account category consists of eight categories: Commercial, Fearmonger, Hashtag Gamer, Left Troll, News Feed, Non-English, Right Troll and Unknown. Where Left and Right Troll are tweeting about left and right winged politics. News Feed is imitating local news. The previous three make up the bulk of the set. Hashtag Gamer is used for distracting users by having users participate in "hashtag games". Fearmonger is used to spread news about fake crisis. Fearmonger appears the least in the data set.

The Facebook data set is a small representative sample of Facebook advertisements released by the House Intelligence Committee Minority. The full data set consists of approximately 80,000 pieces of organic content from 470 IRA-created accounts. It is estimated that nearly 126 million Americans were exposed to the 80,000 pieces of organic content. The Committee Minority plans to make the full data set public in the future as it is not available currently. The publicly available Facebook ads consist of approximately 3,500 ads. Each ad was published in a separate pdf file which contains the ads metrics, content and image of the ad. An example of an ad can be found in the appendix under Image A. To protect individual's identity, several ads have redacted information or images.

To better understand the content in the Facebook ads, word cloud generated the most frequent words for all ads. The word cloud looks similar to Left Troll word cloud found in Image 1. Further exploration of the data seemed to indicate that ads were generated based on current news topics. During the time Facebook time frame, there were on going issues with police brutality and black equality. Therefore it is hypothesized that there should be accounts falling into News Feed and Left Troll categories. Figure 1 gives the weekly ads generated for Facebook. The dates of the Facebook ads range from March 2015 to November 2017. It should be noted that the full time line of all ads is unknown. It is observed that there are gaps in 2016 and 2017. It is not believed that there were no ads generated in these periods. Rather, it is assumed that the ads were censored by the committee.

Method

In this section, we will discuss several methods that we used for our project.

LDA:

Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) is a generative probabilistic model for collections of discrete data such as text corpora. LDA is a three-level hierarchical Bayesian model, in which each item of a collection is modeled as a finite mixture over an underlying set of topics. Each topic is, in turn, modeled as an infinite mixture over an underlying set of topic probabilities. In the context of text modeling, the topic probabilities provide an explicit representation of a document. LDA does not care the order of the words in the document. Usually, LDA use the bag-of-words feature representation to represent a document. To understand LDA, we need to discuss some definitions and notations. A word is the basic unit of discrete data, defined to be an item from a vocabulary indexed by $\{1, \dots, V\}$. We represent words using unit-basis vectors that have a single component equal to one and all other components equal to zero. A document is a sequence of N words denoted

by $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N)$, where w_n is the n th word in the sequence. A corpus is a collection of M documents denoted by $D = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_M\}$.

Then to find a probabilistic model of a corpus that not only assigns high probability to members of the corpus, but also assigns high probability to other “similar” documents.

LDA assumes the following generative process for each document w in a corpus D :

1. Choose $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\xi)$.

2. Choose $\theta \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$.

. For each of the N words w_n :

(a) Choose a topic $z_n \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta)$.

(b) Choose a word w_n from $P(w_n | z_n, \beta)$, a multinomial probability conditioned on the topic z_n .

Random forest

Random forest is a tree-based algorithm which involves building several trees (decision trees), then combining their output to improve generalization of the model. Tree actually stratifies the data into distinct non-overlapping regions based on rules that determine a variables contribution to the homogeneity of the child nodes. It determines the highest homogeneity in child nodes to become root node. A split will occur if the Gini index for a child node is less than the parent node.


A Gini index defined by

$$G = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{mk} (1 - \hat{p}_{mk})$$

Here \hat{p}_{mk} represents the proportion of training observations in the m th region that are from the k th class. Variables are also split on Entropy which measures node impurity. Entropy is a measure of node impurity. For a binary class (a,b),

$$\text{Entropy} = -p(a) * \log(p(a)) - p(b) * \log(p(b))$$


Entropy is maximized at $p = 0.5$ and minimized at $p = 0$ or 1 .



In the image above, the variable X1 resulted in highest homogeneity in child nodes, hence it became the root node. A variable at root node is also seen as the most important variable in the data set. X2 and X3 are the child nodes and rest of them are leaf nodes.

SVM

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a supervised machine learning algorithm for classification and regression. It implements a technique called the kernel trick to transform the data. Kernel could be linear, radial, or polynomial. Using the kernel SVM identify the optimal separating hyperplane which maximizes the margin of the training data. In two dimensions a hyperplane is flat one-dimensional subspace-in other words, a line. In three dimensions, a hyperplane is a flat two-dimensional subspace-that is, a plane. There may exist multiple separating hyperplanes, SVM will choose the hyperplane that is as far from the data of each category.



There are two classes of observations in the above figure, shown in green and in red. The maximal margin hyperplane is shown as a solid line. The margin is the distance from the solid line to either of the dashed lines. The two green points and the red point that lie on the dashed lines are the support vectors, and the distance from those points to the hyperplane is indicated by arrows. The red and green grid indicates the decision rule made by SVM based on this separating hyperplane.

Results

In order to classify the data, a Support Vector Machine classifier algorithm was used. A stratified sample of 250,000 tweets were generated from the Twitter data set to be used to train the model. A test set of 53,000 tweets was also generated to judge the performance of the model. Table 1 gives the truth table for the classification of the test data.

SVM Truth Table					
Predict/Truth	Fearmonger	Gamer	Left Troll	News Feed	Right Troll
Fearmonger	72	6	7	0	17
Gamer	2	742	85	39	95
Left Troll	36	1250	4562	523	1641
News Feed	12	505	1497	13092	2243
Right Troll	79	2681	5609	2881	15532

Table 1

The table is read from left to right where each column gives the count of classifications in the category. The diagonal of the table gives the number of correctly classified observations in the test set. Table 2 gives the percent of correctly classified observations for each category.

SVM Prediction Accuracy				
Fearmonger	Gamer	Left Troll	News Feed	Right Troll
70.6%	77.1%	56.9%	75.5%	58%

Table 2

From examining both tables, it is obvious to see that Fearmonger, Hashtag Gamer and News Feed are easily separated by the algorithm. However, Left Troll and Right Troll have issues being separated. To better separate them, other kernels were looked at, such as radial and polynomial. These kernels did poorly with radial classifying nearly 100% of accounts as Right troll and polynomial classifying 90% of accounts as Fearmonger. It is theorized that Left and Right troll have correlated words between them since both are talking heavily about political issues that pertain to both sides. Therefore the correlation creates issues for SVM to separate the two categories.




Figure 2: Counts of accounts classified

From the above model, the data on the 95 identified Facebook accounts were fed in. Figure 2 gives the counts for the number of accounts in each category. There is an extra category, 'Tie', for accounts who had a tie between more than one categories. The figure shows a contradiction to the hypothesis that News Feed and Left Troll would have the most classified into them. Left troll does have the highest count, however, Hashtag Gamer was close to it. There were 14 ties and most of these are associated

with accounts that were classified as both Left Troll and Right Troll. This is likely due to the correlation between the two categories mentioned in the text.

with accounts that have few ads, usually less than five total. These may need to be classified by a human.

Since there were issues of separating Left Troll and Right Troll, topic models were generated from the data set. Topic models are topics that are generated from a set of documents and the topics are

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
photo	music	star	blacklivesmatt	fuck	topvideo
happi	beat	honor	target	lost	futur
midnight	check	season	fall	shit	rise
dog	listen	red	bank	human	interest
babi	book	movi	kansa	TRUE	rate
celebr	2017	entertain	battl	what	travel
littl	morn	jame	road	yes	launch
buy	readi	brown	drive	hillaryclinton	grow
mom	retweet	footbal	hurt	hell	strong
heart	youtub	film	burn	wonder	land
christma	nowplay	paul	storm	mind	reach
food	art	coach	shut	shes	challeng
beauti	tonight	antitrump	store	phone	educ
walk	weekend	michael	updat	perfect	propos
eat	host	hire	arizona	idea	posit
parent	king	mark	pull	trust	trade
wear	artist	award	church	mad	israel
wow	latest	resign	respond	import	market
eye	song	loss	seattl	respect	plane
brother	rock	bush	hill	ass	prepar
amaz	chanc	mike	danger	dream	massiv
daughter	sell	2015	thousand	moment	emerg
light	facebook	hollywood	wisconsin	damn	result
bless	sale	detroit	flood	forget	huge
surpris	super	hero	rob	stupid	camp
wish	friday	ryan	file	explain	price
vega	track	michigan	steal	sound	carri
pictur	app	memori	beach	worst	doubl
drink	interview	scandal	due	wakeupamerica	fed
cat	messag	director	rescu	hey	key

naturally occurring across documents. The natural occurring topics can be compared to the categories generated by Linvill and Warren. Table 3 shows the result of 6 topics that were generated by LDA.

Table 3: LDA Topics in Twitter Data

From Table 3, one can

observe the first 30 words of each topic. The first word has the highest probability of being in that topic. After examining the topics and the words in them, the following topics were assigned formal labels. Table 4 gives the labels that were thought to best describe the category.

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
Family	Trending	Pop Culture	Negative News	Anti-Hillary	News

Table 4

LDA was specifically set to generate six topics in order to match the six categories in the Twitter set. The optimal k topics for the set was computed to be 8. After examining the 8 topics, most topics could not be properly labeled due to no overall theme. Therefore it was concluded that the optimal k topics was not useful. From Table 4, the labels that best summarize the topics are not exactly representative of the categories that were generated by Linvill and Warren. It could be

argued that Negative News and News could be representative of the News Feed category. However, LDA has determined that these are two separate topics not one topic.

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
join	blackmattersus	communiti	right	like	free
matter	love	support	unit	know	need
life	beauti	gun	nation	veteran	music
news	kid	racism	stand	say	trump
togeth	african	student	illeg	good	world
bring	children	2nd	never	care	facemus
event	woman	patriot	citi	follow	home
selfdefens	women	great	immigr	clinton	believ
class	proud	defend	protect	hillari	share
safe	girl	amend	hate	texa	click
free	look	social	brutal	issu	muslim
brutal	usa	tell	group	real	onlin
donat	teen	busi	flag	problem	musicfbinfo
king	histori	univers	member	truth	peac
stay	power	lover	equal	wont	refuge
parti	melanin	offici	south	rememb	job
freedom	child	rais	cant	money	player
prison	goal	thank	better	noth	repost
basi	word	injustic	border	heart	keep
break	cultur	teacher	part	thing	browser
ralli	parent	chicago	discrimin	without	brother
sure	unite4justic	studi	understand	lie	legal
train	best	voic	civil	old	listen
racial	woke	move	god	subscrib	much
miss	got	develop	transgend	media	find
latest	middl	institut	everyon	talk	jump
anniversari	viral	hes	church	tamir	learn
jerom	know	inspir	movement	guy	download
privileg	queen	marin	speak	turn	secur
african	forget	team	lgbt	bad	absolut

Table 5

The same procedure was done with the Facebook data. It is believed that the Twitter categories will not align with the topics generated by LDA with the Facebook data. Again, LDA is set to produce six topics to match the six account categories. Table 5 gives the results for the Facebook data. Table 6 gives the Labels that were best representative of the topics generated. From Table 6, Topic 1 and Topic 2 are closely related to each other and similar to what one might find in the Left Troll category. Also, Topic 3 and Topic 4 are similar to what one might find in the Right Troll category. There is also a topic that had no overall pattern of within it. This was classified as ‘Other’. The last topic was labeled as social media due to the advertisement like words one would find on social media. This topic was similar to the ‘Trending’ topic in the Twitter data. The presence of these two topics is not surprising due to the fact the content is from social media platforms.

The optimal k topics was also generated and found to be 10 topics. This was necessary to run due to the fact that the Facebook data may contain more or less topics than the Twitter data. However,

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
Black Matters	Black Empowerment	Anti-2 nd Amendment	Anti-immigration	Other	Social Media

Table 6
after examining the ten topics, no appropriate labels could be determined for the topics. The ten topics can be found in the Appendix under Table A.

Discussion

The initial goal of this analysis was to examine if the Twitter categories existed in the Facebook data. To answer this question, two algorithms were to be used to examine and evaluate this question. Random Forest and Support Vector Machines were selected due to their ability to classify multiple categories simultaneously. However, due to the nature and size of the data, personal computers could not accommodate both the memory and the computational requirements needed to run these algorithms. To combat this this issue, the data and code were imported on to a computing cluster. The cluster was able to run all the code efficiently. However, in order to speed up the Random Forest algorithm, it needed to be run in parallel. This could not be achieved due to an issue with R calling `fork()` with `openMPI`. A parent process will call `fork` to create a copy of itself to spawn a child process. In the newest version of `openMPI`, the program throws a fatal error and kills the program. This is not an issue that can be fixed and caused the Random Forest to be excluded from the results.

Although there was issues with Random Forest, SVM produced satisfactory results. One way to improve the results is using the one-verses-all method. This would group all categories together while leaving one out. This can produce a stronger fit, however this proved to be too involved with the given time constraint. This should be investigated later.

Conclusion

Given only a representative sample of the Facebook ads created by the IRA, it is unknown if the Twitter categories exist in the entire Facebook set. For the sample, it is not believed that the categories exist, at least not all of them. Further analysis and better techniques should be used to further investigate this question. It is believed that neural networks could give better classifications. The analysis has shed light into how the IRA used both platforms. The IRA used news events to create advertisements to capture enraged citizens and spread their propaganda and disinformation. Twitter was used to distract and divide the country in an attempt to undermine democracy.

Bibliography

- Breiman, Leo. "Random Forests." *University of California - Berkeley*, www.stat.berkeley.edu/~breiman/randomforest2001.pdf.
- "Exposing Russia's Effort to Sow Discord Online: The Internet Research Agency and Advertisements." *U.S. House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence*, intelligence.house.gov/social-media-content/.
- Ganegedara, Thushan. "Intuitive Guide to Latent Dirichlet Allocation." *Towards Data Science*, Towards Data Science, 23 Aug. 2018, towardsdatascience.com/light-on-math-machine-learning-intuitive-guide-to-latent-dirichlet-allocation-437c81220158.
- "How LDA Works." *Amazon*, Amazon, docs.aws.amazon.com/sagemaker/latest/dg/lda-how-it-works.html.
- Linville, Darren, and Patrick Warren. *Troll Factories: The Internet Research Agency and State-Sponsored Agenda Building*. 2018, pwarren.people.clemson.edu/Linville_Warren_TrollFactory.pdf.
- Roeder, Oliver. "Why We're Sharing 3 Million Russian Troll Tweets." *FiveThirtyEight*, FiveThirtyEight, 31 July 2018, fivethirtyeight.com/features/why-were-sharing-3-million-russian-troll-tweets/.
- Saraswat, Manish. "Practical Tutorial on Random Forest and Parameter Tuning in R Tutorials & Notes | Machine Learning." *HackerEarth*, www.hackerearth.com/practice/machine-learning/machine-learning-algorithms/tutorial-random-forest-parameter-tuning-r/tutorial/.
- "Simple Tutorial on SVM and Parameter Tuning in Python and R." *HackerEarth Blog*, 1 May 2017, www.hackerearth.com/blog/machine-learning/simple-tutorial-svm-parameter-tuning-python-r/.

Table A

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6	Topic 7	Topic 8	Topic 9	Topic 10
join	need	brutal	matter	communiti	unit	like	love	free	right
free	trump	racism	african	support	veteran	illeg	beauti	music	life
bring	peac	ackmattersusco	histori	gun	muslim	say	know	world	togeth
event	believ	student	teen	news	hate	good	woman	facemus	home
selfdefens	refuge	stand	flag	2nd	clinton	immigr	women	onlin	share
class	care	citi	girl	patriot	hillari	texa	power	musicfbinfo	children
safe	thing	kid	south	defend	learn	parti	usa	click	keep
proud	that	nation	ever	amend	social	border	melanin	player	equal
donat	job	never	ralli	follow	issu	part	goal	browser	king
stay	problem	cant	break	busi	group	old	transgend	repost	child
basi	wont	univers	thank	lover	nation	without	unite4justic	legal	like
sure	real	discrimin	tell	heart	member	talk	got	listen	freedom
train	money	offici	confeder	rais	understand	tamir	woke	brother	prison
miss	protect	everyon	racial	photo	church	look	nativ	jump	great
april	media	movement	chicago	latest	god	protect	queen	everyth	find
enjoy	rememb	word	race	loui	speak	feder	cultur	download	voic
feb	secur	meet	great	develop	truth	full	look	welcom	civil
buy	syria	teacher	studi	tea	lgbt	rice	weapon	absolut	parent
coach	end	middl	noth	key	christian	lie	land	never	better
meetup	hero	viral	rape	best	terror	anniversari	much	keep	case
save	leav	garner	subscrib	brave	polit	beat	divers	mexican	turn
supremacist	march	eric	inspir	camera	play	hell	femal	add	watch
brown	forget	facebook	jone	liberti	across	move	mental	eye	boy
orlando	futur	realiz	heritag	opinion	armi	three	dope	sister	privileg
resist	bomb	institut	guy	skin	islam	bad	lesbian	gray	jerom
tampa	came	know	misti	everyday	jesus	unit	davi	hair	mom
care	charlott	racial	aiyana	instagram	east	hall	pass	via	dream
mission	subscrib	injustic	water	jason	hes	respect	natur	freddi	count
betti	what	marin	william	account	deserv	page	girl	extens	drive
prioriti	becam	abus	symbol	meme	father	star	perform	blind	percent