BERNARDI'S INTEGRAL OPERATOR KANIKA SHARMA, RASOUL AGHALARY, AND V. RAVICHANDRAN

Dedicated to Prof. Dato' Indera Rosihan M. Ali

BRIOT-BOUQUET DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION AND

ABSTRACT. The conditions on A, B, β and γ are obtained for an analytic function p defined on the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and normalized by p(0) = 1 to be subordinate to (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz), $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ when $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ is subordinate to e^z . The conditions on these parameters are derived for the function p to be subordinate to $\sqrt{1+z}$ or e^z when $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ is subordinate to (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz). The conditions on β and γ are determined for the function p to be subordinate to e^z when $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ is subordinate to $\sqrt{1+z}$. Related result for the function $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ to be in the parabolic region bounded by the Re w = |w-1| is investigated. Sufficient conditions for the Bernardi's integral operator to belong to the various subclasses of starlike functions are obtained as applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . For a natural number n, let $\mathcal{H}[a,n]$ be the subset of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions p of the form $p(z) = a + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots$. Suppose that h is a univalent function defined on \mathbb{D} with h(0) = a and the function $p \in \mathcal{H}[a,n]$. The Briot-Bouquet differential subordination is the first order differential subordination of the form

(1.1)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z),$$

where $\beta \neq 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. This particular differential subordination has many interesting applications in the theory of univalent functions. Ruschewyh and Singh [24] proved that if the function $p \in \mathcal{H}[1,1]$, $\beta > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$ and h(z) = (1+z)/(1-z) in (1.1) and the function $q \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then $\min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} p(z) \ge \min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} q(z)$. More related results are proved in [7, 15, 17]. For c > -1 and $f \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$, the function $F \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$ given by Bernardi's integral operator is defined as

(1.2)
$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt.$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C80, 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Starlike functions, Briot–Bouquet differential subordination, Bernardi's integral operator, lemniscate of Bernoulli, parabolic starlike.

There is an important connection between Briot–Bouquet differential equations and the Bernardi's integral operator. If we set p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z), where F is given by (1.2), then the functions f and p are related through the following Briot–Bouquet differential equation

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + c}.$$

Several authors have investigated results on Briot-Bouquet differential subordination. For example, Ali *et al.* [3] determined the conditions on A, B, D and E for $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ when $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ is subordinate to (1 + Dz)/(1 + Ez), $(A, B, D, E \in [-1, 1])$. For related results, see [7, 15, 17, 24]. Recently, Kumar and Ravichandran [12] obtained the conditions on β so that p(z) is subordinate to e^z or (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) whenever $1 + \beta p(z)/p'(z)$ is subordinate to $\sqrt{1 + z}$ or (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz), $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$. We investigate generalised problems for regions that were considered recently by many authors. In Section 2, we find conditions on γ and β so that $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$ is subordinate to $\sqrt{1 + z}$ implies $p(z) \prec e^z$. Conditions on A, B, β and γ are also determined so that $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1 + z}$ or e^z . We determine conditions on A, B, β and γ so that $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz), (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ when $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec e^z$ or $\varphi_{PAR}(z)$. The function $\varphi_{PAR} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

(1.3)
$$\varphi_{PAR}(z) := 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right)^2, \quad \text{Im } \sqrt{z} \ge 0$$

and $\varphi_{PAR}(\mathbb{D}) = \{w = u + iv : v^2 < 2u - 1\} = \{w : \operatorname{Re} w > |w - 1|\} =: \Omega_P$. As an application of our results, we give sufficient conditions for the Bernardi's integral operator to belong to the various subclasses of starlike functions which we define below.

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ normalized by the conditions f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent (one-to-one) functions. For an analytic function φ with $\varphi(0) = 1$, let

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \right\}.$$

This class unifies various classes of starlike functions when $\operatorname{Re} \varphi > 0$. Shanmugam [26] studied the convolution properties of this class when φ is convex while Ma and Minda [13] investigated the growth, distortion and coefficient estimates under less restrictive assumption that φ is starlike and $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Notice that, for $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, the class $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B] := \mathcal{S}^*((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz))$ is the class of Janowski starlike functions [9, 19]. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, the class $\mathcal{S}^*[1 - 2\alpha, -1] =: \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ is the familiar class of starlike functions of order α , introduced by Robertson [22]. The class $\mathcal{S}^* := \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ is the class of starlike function. The class $\mathcal{S}_P := \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_{PAR})$ is the class of parabolic starlike functions, introduced by Rønning [25], consists of function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Sokól and Stankiewicz [34] have introduced and studied the class $\mathcal{S}_L^* := \mathcal{S}^*(\sqrt{1+z})$; the class \mathcal{S}_L^* consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that zf'(z)/f(z) lies in the region bounded

by the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\Omega_L := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w^2 - 1| < 1\}$. Another class $\mathcal{S}_e^* := \mathcal{S}^*(e^z)$, introduced recently by Mendiratta *et al.* [14], consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition $|\log(zf'(z)/f(z))| < 1$. There has been several works [1, 2, 8, 20, 21, 27-33, 35] related to these classes.

The following results are required in our investigation.

Lemma 1.1. [18, Theorem 2.1, p.2] Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the condition $\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) \notin \Omega$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $k \ge 1$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $p(z) \prec e^z$ in \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 1.2. [23, Lemma 1.3, p.28] Let w be a meromorphic function in \mathbb{D} , w(0) = 0. If for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, $\max_{|z| \le |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)|$, then it follows that $z_0 w'(z_0) / w(z_0) \ge 1$.

2. BRIOT-BOUQUET DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION

In the first result, we find conditions on the real numbers β and γ so that $p(z) \prec e^z$, whenever $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. This result gives the sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class \mathcal{S}_e^* by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z).

Theorem 2.1. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\max\{-\gamma/e, -\gamma e + e/(1 - \sqrt{2}e)\} \leq \beta \leq -e\gamma$. Let $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. If the function p satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \sqrt{1+z},$$

then $p(z) \prec e^z$.

Proof. Define the functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $q : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

(2.1)
$$\psi(r,s;z) = r + \frac{s}{\beta r + \gamma}$$
 and $q(z) = \sqrt{1+z}$

so that $\Omega := q(\mathbb{D}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w^2 - 1| < 1\}$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. To prove $p(z) \prec e^z$, we use Lemma 1.1 so we need to show that $\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) \notin \Omega$ which is equivalent to show that $|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))^2 - 1| \ge 1$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $k \ge 1$. A simple computation and (2.1) yield that

$$\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) = e^{e^{it}} + \frac{ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}}{\beta e^{e^{it}} + \gamma} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi)$$

and

(2.2)
$$|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))^2 - 1|^2 =: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \left(e^{2\cos t}\cos(2\sin t)((\gamma + k\cos t + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t))^2 - (k\sin t + \beta\sin(\sin t)e^{\cos t})^2) \\ &- 2\sin(2\sin t)e^{2\cos t}(k\sin t + \beta\sin(\sin t)e^{\cos t})(\gamma + k\cos t + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t)) \\ &+ \beta^2\sin^2(\sin t)e^{2\cos t} - (\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t))^2\right)^2 + \left(2e^{2\cos t}\cos(2\sin t)(k\sin t + \beta\sin(\sin t)e^{\cos t})(\gamma + k\cos t + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t)) + \sin(2\sin t)e^{2\cos t}((\gamma + k\cos t + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t)) + \sin(2\sin t)e^{2\cos t}((\gamma + k\cos t + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t))^2) \\ &+ \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t))^2 - (k\sin t + \beta\sin(\sin t)e^{\cos t})^2\right) \\ &- 2\beta\sin(\sin t)e^{\cos t}(\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t}\cos(\sin t))\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(t) = (\beta^2 \sin^2(\sin t)e^{2\cos t} + (\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t))^2)^2.$$

Define the function $h: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ by h(t) = f(t) - g(t). Since h(-t) = h(t), we restrict to $0 \le t \le \pi$. It can be easily verified that the function h attains its minimum value either at t = 0 or $t = \pi$. For $k \ge 1$, we have

(2.3)
$$h(0) = (e^2(e\beta + \gamma + k)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^2)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^4$$

and

(2.4)
$$h(\pi) = \left(\left(\frac{\beta/e + \gamma - k}{e}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\beta}{e} + \gamma\right)^2\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\beta}{e} + \gamma\right)^4.$$

The given relation $\beta \ge -\gamma/e$ gives $e\beta + \gamma \ge 0$ so that $e(k + e\beta + \gamma) > \sqrt{2}(e\beta + \gamma)$ which implies $e^2(k + e\beta + \gamma)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^2 > (e\beta + \gamma)^2$. Thus, the use of (2.3) yields h(0) > 0.

The given condition $1/(1-\sqrt{2}e) \leq \gamma + \beta/e \leq 0$ leads to $(\gamma + \beta/e)(1-\sqrt{2}e) \leq 1$ which gives that $-k+\gamma+\beta/e \leq -1+\gamma+\beta/e \leq \sqrt{2}e(\gamma+\beta/e)$ which implies $((-k+\gamma+\beta/e)/e)^2 \geq 2(\gamma+\beta/e)^2$ which further implies $((-k+\gamma+\beta/e)/e)^2 - (\gamma+\beta/e)^2 \geq (\gamma+\beta/e)^2$. Hence, by using (2.4), we get that $h(\pi) \geq 0$. So, $h(t) \geq 0, (0 \leq t \leq \pi)$ and thus, (2.2) implies $|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))^2 - 1| \geq 1$ and therefore $p(z) \prec e^z$.

We will illustrate Theorem 2.1 by the following example:

Example 2.2. By taking $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$ (c > -1) in Theorem 2.1, we get $-1/e + 1/(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq c \leq -1/e$. By taking $\beta = 1, -1/e + 1/(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq \gamma \leq -1/e$, $n = 1, h(z) = \sqrt{1+z}, a = 1$ in [16, Theorem 3.2d, p.86], we get $\operatorname{Re}(a\beta + \gamma) > 0$ and $\beta h(z) + \gamma \prec R_{a\beta+\gamma,n}(z)$, where $R_{d,f}(z)$ is the open door mapping given by $R_{d,f}(z) := d(1+z)/(1-z) + (2fz)/(1-z^2)$. Thus by the use of [16, Theorem 3.2d, p.86], we get

$$p(z) = -\gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\gamma} e^{2\sqrt{z+1} - 2\sqrt{tz+1}} \left(\sqrt{tz+1} + 1\right)^2}{\left(\sqrt{z+1} + 1\right)^2} dt$$

which satisf the equation $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) = h(z)$. Then $p(z) \prec e^z$.

Suppose that the function F be given by Bernardi's integral (1.2). Now we discuss the sufficient conditions for the function F to belong to various subclasses of starlike functions. We will illustrate the Theorem 2.1 by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. (i) If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.1 hold with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$, then $F \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$.

(ii) If the function $f'(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.1 hold with $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = c + 1$, then $F'(z) \prec e^z$.

Proof. (i) Let the function $p : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z). Then p is analytic in \mathbb{D} with p(0) = 1. Upon differentiating Bernardi's integral given by (1.2), we obtain

(2.5)
$$(c+1)f(z) = zF'(z) + cF(z).$$

A computation now yields

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + c}.$$

By taking $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$, the first part of the corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.

(*ii*) By defining a function p by p(z) = F'(z) and using (2.5), we get

$$f'(z) = \frac{zF''(z)}{c+1} + F'(z).$$

By taking $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = c + 1$, the result follows from Theorem 2.1.

In the following result, we derive conditions on the real numbers A, B, β and γ so that $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec e^z$ implies $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz), (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. This result gives the sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z).

Theorem 2.4. Let $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that

- $(i) (A B) / ((1 \mp B)((1 \mp A)\beta + (1 \mp B)\gamma)) \ge \pm (1 \mp A) / (1 \mp B) + e.$
- (*ii*) $\beta(1 \pm A) + \gamma(1 \pm B) > 0.$

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. If the function p satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec e^z,$$

then $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$.

Proof. Define the functions P and w as follows:

(2.6)
$$P(z) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \text{ and } w(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{A - Bp(z)}$$

so that p(z) = (1 + Aw(z))/(1 + Bw(z)). Clearly, w(z) is analytic in \mathbb{D} with w(0) = 0. In order to prove $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, we need to show that |w(z)| < 1 in \mathbb{D} . If possible, suppose that there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \le |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists $k \ge 1$ so that $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$. Let $w(z_0) = e^{it}$, $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$ and $G := A\beta + B\gamma$. A simple calculation and by using (2.6), we get

(2.7)
$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it}(A-B) + (1+Ae^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})}{(1+Be^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})} =: u + iv \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi).$$

We derive a contradiction by showing $|\log P(z_0)|^2 \ge 1$. This later inequality is equivalent to

(2.8)
$$f(t) := 4(\arg(u+iv))^2 + (\log(u^2+v^2))^2 - 4 \ge 0 \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi).$$

From (2.7), we get

$$u = \frac{1}{(B^2 + 2B\cos t + 1)\left((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma)\cos t\right)} (G(A + B)(\beta + \gamma)\cos 2t + \cos t (A(BG(2(\beta + \gamma) + k) + G^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma + k)) - B^2Gk + 2G(\beta + \gamma) + B (G^2 - (\beta + \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma + k))) + (\beta + \gamma) (AB(\beta + \gamma + k) + \beta - B^2k + \gamma) + G^2(AB + 1) + G(A(\beta + \gamma + k) + B(\beta + \gamma - k)))$$

and

$$v = \frac{(A-B)\sin t \left(-BGk + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma)\cos t + (\beta + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma + k)\right)}{(B^2 + 2B\cos t + 1)\left((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma)\cos t\right)}$$

Substituting these values of u and v in (2.8), we observe that f(t) is an even function of t and so, it is enough to show that $f(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in [0, \pi]$. It can be easily verified that the function f(t) attains its minimum value either at t = 0 or $t = \pi$. We show that both f(0) and $f(\pi)$ are non negative. Note that, for $k \ge 1$,

(2.9)
$$f(0) = -4 + 4(\arg\psi(k))^2 + (\log(\psi^2(k)))^2$$

and

(2.10)
$$f(\pi) = -4 + 4(\arg(-\phi(k)))^2 + (\log(\phi^2(k)))^2,$$

where $\psi(k) := (A^2\beta + A(2\beta + B\gamma + \gamma + k) + \beta + B(\gamma - k) + \gamma)/((1+B)(\beta(1+A) + \gamma(1+B)))$ and $\phi(k) := (A^2\beta - 2A\beta + (A-1)(B-1)\gamma - Ak + \beta + Bk)/((B-1)(-A\beta + \beta - B\gamma + \gamma)).$ The function ψ is increasing as $\psi'(k) = (A - B)/((1 + B)(\beta(1 + A) + \gamma(1 + B))) > 0$ using the given condition (*ii*) and therefore, the given hypothesis (*i*) yields that $\psi(k) \ge \psi(1) = (1 + A)/(1 + B) + (A - B)/((1 + B)(\beta(1 + A) + \gamma(1 + B))) \ge e$ which gives that $\arg \psi(k) = 0$ and $(\log(\psi^2(k)))^2 \ge (2\log e)^2 = 4$. Thus, the use of (2.9) yields $f(0) \ge 0$.

The function ϕ is increasing as $\phi'(k) = (A - B)/((1 - B)(\beta(1 - A) + \gamma(1 - B))) > 0$ using the given condition (*ii*) and therefore, the given hypothesis (*i*) yields that $\phi(k) \ge \phi(1) = -(1 - A)/(1 - B) + (A - B)/((1 - B)(\beta(1 - A) + \gamma(1 - B)))) \ge e$ which further implies $\arg(-\phi(k)) = \pi$ and $(\log(\phi^2(k)))^2 \ge (2\log e)^2 = 4$. Hence, by using (2.10), we get $f(\pi) \ge 4\pi^2 > 0$. This completes the proof.

We will illustrate Theorem 2.4 by the following example:

Example 2.5. By taking A = 1/2, B = -1/2, $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$ (c > -1) in Theorem 2.4, we get $-1/3 \leq c \leq (1 - e)/(1 + 3e)$. By taking $\beta = 1$, $-1/3 \leq \gamma \leq (1 - e)/(1 + 3e)$, n = 1, $h(z) = e^z$, a = 1 in [16, Theorem 3.2d, p.86], we get $\operatorname{Re}(a\beta + \gamma) > 0$ and $\beta h(z) + \gamma \prec R_{a\beta+\gamma,n}(z)$, where $R_{d,f}(z)$ is the open door mapping given by $R_{d,f}(z) := d(1 + z)/(1 - z) + (2fz)/(1 - z^2)$. Thus by the use of [16, Theorem 3.2d, p.86], we get

$$p(z) = \int_0^1 t^{1-\gamma} e^{-Chi(tz) + Chi(z) - Shi(tz) + Shi(z)} dt - \gamma$$

which satisfy the equation $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) = h(z)$. Then $p(z) \prec (2+z)/(2-z)$. Here, Chi(z) and Shi(z) are the hyperbolic cosine integral function and the hyperbolic sine integral function respectively defined as follows:

$$Chi(z) = \eta + \log(z) + \int_0^z \frac{\cosh(t) - 1}{t} dt \quad and \quad Shi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\sinh(t)}{t} dt,$$

where η is the Euler's constant.

The next corollary is obtained by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) with $\gamma = 0$, B = 0 and $A = 1 - \alpha$, $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ in Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. Let $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$ satisfy the conditions $\alpha + e + \beta^{-1} \le (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$ and $1 - \alpha \ge \beta(2 - \alpha)(e - 2 + \alpha)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec e^z,$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\alpha}$.

Our next corollary deals with the class $\mathcal{R}[A, B]$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}[A,B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right\}$$

The two parts of the following corollary are obtained by taking p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z) with $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = c$ and p(z) = F'(z) with $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = c + 1$ respectively in Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.7. (i) If the function $f \in S_e^*$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.4 hold with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$, then $F \in S^*[A, B]$.

(ii) The function $f'(z) \prec e^z$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.4 hold with $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = c + 1$, then $F \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$.

In the next result, we find the conditions on the real numbers A, B, β and γ so that $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$, whenever $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1+Az)/(1+Bz), -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class \mathcal{S}_L^* .

Theorem 2.8. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma \ge B(-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma))).$ (ii) $(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma)^2 \ge (-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))^2$

$$ii) (1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma)^2 \ge (-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))^2$$

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. If the function p satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof. Define the functions P and w as follows:

(2.11)
$$P(z) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \text{ and } w(z) = p^2(z) - 1$$

which implies $p(z) = \sqrt{1 + w(z)}$. Clearly, w(z) is analytic in \mathbb{D} with w(0) = 0. In order to complete our proof, we need to show that |w(z)| < 1 in \mathbb{D} . Assume that there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \le |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists $k \ge 1$ so that $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$. Let $w(z_0) = e^{it}$, $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$. By using (2.11), we get

$$P(z) = \sqrt{1 + w(z)} + \frac{zw'(z)}{2\sqrt{1 + w(z)}(\beta\sqrt{1 + w(z)} + \gamma)}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it} + 2(1 + e^{it})\left(\gamma + \beta\sqrt{1 + e^{it}}\right)}{2\sqrt{1 + e^{it}}\left(\gamma + \beta\sqrt{1 + e^{it}}\right)} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi)$$

and

(2.12)
$$\left|\frac{P(z_0) - 1}{A - BP(z_0)}\right|^2 =: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi),$$

where

$$f(t) = \left((2\beta \cos t + 2(\beta - \gamma)) \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2\cos(t/2)} + \sin t(k + 2(\gamma + \beta(-1 + \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}))))^2 + \left(-\cos t(k + 2(\gamma + \beta(-1 + \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}))) + 2\beta \sin t \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2\cos(t/2)} + 2(\beta - \gamma)(1 - \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}))^2 \right)$$

and

$$g(t) = \left(-2A(\beta \sin t + \gamma \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}) + 4B\beta \cos^2(t/2)\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}\right)$$
$$\sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) + B \sin t(k + 2\gamma + 2\beta \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)})\right)^2$$
$$+ \left(-4A\beta \cos^2(t/2) + B(k + 2\gamma)\cos t + 2\gamma - 2B\beta \sin t \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)}\right)$$
$$\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)} + 2(-A\gamma + B\beta \cos t + \beta)\cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2\cos(t/2)})^2.$$

Define h(t) = f(t) - g(t). Since h(t) is an even function of t, we restrict to $0 \le t \le \pi$. It can be easily verified that for both the cases (i) and (ii), the function h(t) attains its minimum value either at t = 0 or $t = \pi$. Note that for $k \ge 1$, $h(\pi) = (1 - B^2)k^2 > 0$ and (2.13)

$$h(0) = (4(\sqrt{2}-1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2}-2)\gamma + k)^2 - (B(4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma) + k) - 2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma))^2 =: S(k).$$

The function S' is increasing as $S''(k) = 2(1-B^2) > 0$ and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that $S'(k) \ge S'(1) = 2(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma) - 2B(-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma))) \ge 0$ which gives that $S(k) \ge S(1) = (1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma)^2 - (-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))^2$. Thus, the use of given condition (ii) and (2.13) yields $h(0) \ge 0$. So, $h(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \in [0, \pi]$ and therefore, (2.12) implies $|(P(z_0) - 1)/(A - BP(z_0))| \ge 1$. This contradicts the fact that $P(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ and completes the proof.

The next corollary is obtained by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) with $\gamma = 0$, $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ and B = -1 in Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 2.9. Let $f \in A$. If the function f satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad \left(\frac{1}{4(\alpha - \sqrt{2})} \le \beta < 0, 0 \le \alpha < 1 \right),$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$.

By taking p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z) with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$ in Theorem 2.8 gives the following corollary:

Corollary 2.10. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ satisfy the following conditions: (i) $1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1) - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c \geq B(-2A(2 + \sqrt{2}c) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} + c))).$ (ii) $(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1) - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c)^2 \geq (-2A(2 + \sqrt{2}c) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} + c)))^2.$ If $f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ then $F \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$.

By taking p(z) = F'(z) with $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = c + 1$ in Theorem 2.8 gives the following corollary:

Corollary 2.11. Suppose that $-1 \le B < A \le 1$ satisfy the following conditions: (i) $5 - 2\sqrt{2} - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c \ge B(-2\sqrt{2}(c+1)A + (5+4c)B)$. (ii) $(5 - 2\sqrt{2} - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c)^2 \ge (-2\sqrt{2}(c+1)A + (5+4c)B)^2$. If $f \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$ then $F'(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

In the next result, we compute the conditions on the real numbers A, B, β and γ so that $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz), (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ implies $p(z) \prec e^z$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class \mathcal{S}_e^* .

Theorem 2.12. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $e^2\beta(1-B^2) + e(-B(-A\beta + B\gamma + B) \beta + \gamma + 1) + \gamma(AB 1) \ge 0.$
- (*ii*) $(e((A+e-1)\beta (e\beta+1)B+1) + \gamma(A+e(1-B)-1))(e(-(A-e+1)\beta + B(e\beta+1)+1) + \gamma(-A+e(B+1)-1)) \ge 0.$
- $\begin{array}{l} (iii) \ e(\beta(1-AB)+B^2(\gamma-1)-\gamma+1)+e^2\gamma(1-AB)+\beta(B^2-1)\geq 0,\\ (iv) \ (e((A-1)\beta+(1-B)(\gamma-1))+e^2(A-1)\gamma+\beta(1-B))(-e((A+1)\beta+(B+1)(1-\gamma))-e^2(A+1)\gamma+\beta(B+1))\geq 0. \end{array}$

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. If the function p satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then $p(z) \prec e^z$.

Proof. Define the functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $q : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

(2.14)
$$\psi(r,s;z) = r + \frac{s}{\beta r + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

so that $\Omega := q(\mathbb{D}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |(w-1)/(A-Bw)| < 1\}$ and $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. To prove $p(z) \prec e^z$, we use Lemma 1.1 so we need to show that $\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) \notin \Omega$

which is equivalent to show that $|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1)/(A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))| \ge 1$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $k \ge 1$. A simple computation and (2.14) yield that

$$\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) = e^{e^{it}} + \frac{ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}}{\beta e^{e^{it}} + \gamma} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi)$$

and

(2.15)
$$\left| \frac{\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1}{A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z)} \right|^2 =: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi).$$

where

$$\begin{split} f(t) = &e^{3\cos t}(2\beta k\sin t\sin(\sin t) + 2\beta k\cos t\cos(\sin t) - 2\beta^2\cos(\sin t) + 2\beta\gamma\cos(\sin t)) \\ &+ e^{2\cos t}((\beta - \gamma)^2 + k^2 - 2\beta k\cos t + 2\gamma k\cos t + 2\beta\gamma\sin^2(\sin t) - 2\beta\gamma\cos^2(\sin t)) \\ &+ e^{\cos t}(2\gamma k\sin t\sin(\sin t) - 2\gamma k\cos t\cos(\sin t) + 2\beta\gamma\cos(\sin t) - 2\gamma^2\cos(\sin t)) \\ &+ \beta^2 e^{4\cos t} + \gamma^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} g(t) = & A^2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2 B^2 e^{4\cos t} + 2\beta B e^{3\cos t} ((B\gamma - A\beta)\cos(\sin t) + Bk\cos(t - \sin t)) \\ &+ e^{2\cos t} (B(B(\gamma^2 + k^2) - 2A\beta\gamma) + 2B(B\gamma - A\beta)k\cos t - 2AB\beta\gamma\cos(2\sin t)) \\ &+ A^2\beta^2) + 2A\gamma e^{\cos t} ((A\beta - B\gamma)\cos(\sin t) - Bk\cos(t + \sin t)). \end{split}$$

Define h(t) = f(t) - g(t). Since h(-t) = h(t), we restrict to $0 \le t \le \pi$. It can be easily verified that the function h(t) attains its minimum value either at t = 0 or $t = \pi$. For $k \ge 1$, we have

(2.16)

$$h(0) = e^{2}((1 - A^{2})\beta^{2} + 2k(\beta(AB - 1) + (1 - B^{2})\gamma) + 4\beta\gamma(AB - 1) + (1 - B^{2})(\gamma^{2} + k^{2})) + 2e\gamma(-A^{2}\beta + (AB - 1)(\gamma + k) + \beta) + 2e^{3}\beta(\beta(AB - 1) + (1 - B^{2})(\gamma + k)) + e^{4}\beta^{2}(1 - B^{2}) + (1 - A^{2})\gamma^{2} =: \phi(k)$$

and

(2.17)
$$h(\pi) = \frac{-1}{e^4} (e((A-1)\beta + (1-B)(\gamma-k)) + e^2(A-1)\gamma + \beta(1-B))) \\ (e((1+A)\beta + (B+1)(k-\gamma)) + e^2(A+1)\gamma - \beta(B+1)) =: \psi(k).$$

The function ϕ' is increasing as $\phi''(k) = 2(1-B^2)e^2 > 0$ and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that $\phi'(k) \ge \phi'(1) = 2e(e(-B(-A\beta + B\gamma + B) - \beta + \gamma + 1) + \gamma(AB - 1) + e^2\beta(1-B^2)) \ge 0$ which gives that $\phi(k) \ge \phi(1) = (e((A + e - 1)\beta - (e\beta + 1)B + 1) + \gamma(A + e(1-B) - 1))(e(-(A - e + 1)\beta + B(e\beta + 1) + 1) + \gamma(-A + e(B + 1) - 1))$. Thus, the use of given condition (ii) and (2.16) yields $h(0) \ge 0$.

In view of (*iii*), observe that $\psi''(k) = 2(1-B^2)/e^2 > 0$ and therefore, $\min \psi'(k) = \psi'(1) = 2(e(\beta(1-AB) + B^2(\gamma-1) - \gamma + 1) + e^2\gamma(1-AB) + \beta(B^2-1))/e^3 \ge 0$ which implies $\min \psi(k) = \psi(1) = ((e((A-1)\beta + (1-B)(\gamma-1)) + e^2(A-1)\gamma + \beta(1-B))(-e((A+1)\beta + (B+1)(1-\gamma)) - e^2(A+1)\gamma + \beta(B+1)))/e^4$. Hence, the use of given condition (*iv*) and (2.17) yields that $h(\pi) \ge 0$. So, $h(t) \ge 0$, $(0 \le t \le \pi)$ and thus, (2.15) implies $|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1)/(A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))| \ge 1$ and therefore, $p(z) \prec e^z$.

The next corollary is obtained by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) with $\gamma = 0$, B = 0 and $A = 1 - \alpha$, $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ in Theorem 2.12.

Corollary 2.13. Suppose $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \ge 1/(1-e)$ satisfy the conditions $(-\alpha\beta + \beta e + 1)(\beta(\alpha + e - 2) + 1) \ge 0$ and $(\beta - e((2 - \alpha)\beta + 1))(\beta + e(-\alpha\beta - 1)) \ge 0$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) - 1\right| < 1 - \alpha,$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$.

The two parts of the following corollary are obtained by taking p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z)with $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = c$ and p(z) = F'(z) with $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = c + 1$ respectively in Theorem 2.12.

- **Corollary 2.14.** (i) If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.12 hold with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = c$, then $F \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$.
 - (ii) The function $f \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$ and the conditions of the Theorem 2.12 hold with $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = c + 1$, then $F'(z) \prec e^z$.

In the next result, we find the conditions on the real numbers A, B, β and γ so that $p(z) \prec (1+Az)/(1+Bz), (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, whenever $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \in \Omega_P$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. For $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq 1$, assume that $G := A\beta + B\gamma, L := k + \beta + \gamma$. Further assume that

(i) $BG(\beta + \gamma) > 0.$ (ii)

$$\begin{split} & \left(G(A^2L + 4(\beta + \gamma)) - 2B(AGL + 2(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 2G^2) + B^2G(4(\beta + \gamma) + L) \right) \\ & \left(G\left(A^2L - 4(\beta + \gamma)\right) + B\left(-2AGL + 4(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 4G^2\right) + B^2G(L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) \right) \\ & \geq 2G(A - B)^2 \left(GL(A^2L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) - 2B(AGL^2 + 2G^2(L - 2(\beta + \gamma))) \\ & - 2L(\beta + \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma + 2L)) + B^2GL(L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) \right). \end{split}$$

(*iii*) $8G(A-B)^2(\beta+\gamma+k) \le 2(B-1)^2G(\beta+\gamma)+2B(\beta+\gamma-G)^2$. (*iv*) $1+\beta+\gamma \ge 0, G \ge 0$. (*v*) $4m^4(A-B)^2(\beta+\gamma+G+1)^2 > (B+1)^2(\beta+\gamma+G)^2$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with p(0) = 1. If the function p satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \varphi_{PAR}(z),$$

then $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$.

Proof. Define the functions P and w as given by the equation (2.6) which implies p(z) = (1 + Aw(z))/(1 + Bw(z)). Proceeding as in Theorem 2.4, we need to show that |w(z)| < 1 in \mathbb{D} . If possible suppose that there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \le |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists $k \ge 1$ so that $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$. Let $w(z_0) = e^{it}$, $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$. A simple calculation and by using (2.6), we get

(2.18)
$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it}(A-B) + (1+Ae^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})}{(1+Be^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi)$$

Define the function h by

(2.19)
$$h(z) = u + iv = \sqrt{(P(z) - 1)\pi^2/2}.$$

We show that $|(e^{h(z_0)} - 1)/(e^{h(z_0)} + 1)|^2 \ge 1$; this condition is same as the inequality Re $e^{h(z_0)} \le 0$. This last inequality is indeed equivalent to $\cos v \le 0$ or $1/2 \le |v/\pi| \le 1$. By using the definition of h given in (2.19) together with (2.18), we get

(2.20)
$$\frac{|v|}{\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{A-B}|m(t)||Ge^{it} + L|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}|1+Be^{it}|^{1/2}|Ge^{it} + \beta + \gamma|^{1/2}} \quad (-\pi \le t \le \pi),$$

where $m(t) = \sin\left(\arg\left((e^{it}(A-B)(Ge^{it}+L))/((1+Be^{it})(Ge^{it}+\beta+\gamma))\right)/2\right)$.

(a) We will first show that $|v/\pi| \le 1$ which by using the fact that $|m(t)| \le 1$ and (2.20) is same as to show that $f(t) \ge 0$ $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$, where

$$f(t) = 4(1+B^2+2B\cos t)((\beta+\gamma)^2+G^2+2(\beta+\gamma)G\cos t) - (A-B)^2(L^2+G^2+2LG\cos t).$$

After substituting $x = \cos t$ $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$, the above inequality reduces to $F(x) \ge 0$ for all x with $-1 \le x \le 1$, where

$$F(x) = 4(1+B^2+2Bx)((\beta+\gamma)^2+G^2+2(\beta+\gamma)Gx) - (A-B)^2(L^2+G^2+2LGx).$$

A simple computation shows that for

$$x_{0} = \frac{G\left(A^{2}L - 4(\beta + \gamma)\right) - 2B\left(AGL + 2(\beta + \gamma)^{2} + 2G^{2}\right) + B^{2}G(L - 4(\beta + \gamma))}{16BG(\beta + \gamma)},$$

 $F'(x_0) = 0$ and $F''(x_0) = 32BG(\beta + \gamma) > 0$ by the given condition (i). Therefore, $F(x) \ge F(x_0)$. Observe that

$$F(x_0) = \frac{1}{16BG(\beta + \gamma)} \left(\left(G \left(A^2 L + 4(\beta + \gamma) \right) - 2B \left(AGL + 2(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 2G^2 \right) \right. \\ \left. + B^2 G(4(\beta + \gamma) + L) \right) \left(G \left(A^2 L - 4(\beta + \gamma) \right) + B^2 G(L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) \right. \\ \left. + B \left(-2AGL + 4(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 4G^2 \right) \right) - 2G(A - B)^2 \left. \left(GL \left(A^2 L - 4(\beta + \gamma) \right) + B^2 GL(L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) \right. \\ \left. - 2B \left(AGL^2 + 2G^2(L - 2(\beta + \gamma)) - 2L(\beta + \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma + 2L) \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

and $F(x_0) \ge 0$ by the given condition (*ii*).

(b) We will next show that $|v/\pi| \ge 1/2$ which by using (2.20) is same as to show that $g(t) \ge 0$ $(-\pi \le t \le \pi)$, where

$$g(t) = 4(A-B)^2 m^4(t)(L^2+G^2+2LG\cos t) - (1+B^2+2B\cos t)((\beta+\gamma)^2+G^2+2(\beta+\gamma)G\cos t)$$

After substituting $x = \cos t \ (-\pi \le t \le \pi)$ and $m = m(t)$, the above inequality reduces to $H(x) \ge 0$ for all x with $-1 \le x \le 1$, where

$$H(x) = 4(A-B)^2 m^4 (L^2 + G^2 + 2LGx) - (1+B^2 + 2Bx)((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2(\beta + \gamma)Gx).$$

In view of (i), (iii), (iv) and the fact that $-1 \leq m \leq 1$, we see that $H''(x) = -8BG(\beta + \gamma) < 0$ and hence $H'(x) \leq H'(-1) = 8m^4G(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + k) - 2(B-1)^2G(\beta + \gamma) - 2B(-G+\beta+\gamma)^2 \leq 0$. Thus, $H(x) \geq H(1) = 4m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + k)^2 - (B+1)^2(\beta + \gamma + G)^2 =: \psi(k)$. Using (iv), we observe that $\psi''(k) = 8m^4(A-B)^2 \geq 0$ and hence for $k \geq 1$, we have $\psi'(k) \geq \psi'(1) = 8m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + 1) \geq 0$. Thus by using (v), we get $H(x) \geq \psi(k) \geq \psi(1) = 4m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + 1)^2 - (B+1)^2(\beta + \gamma + G)^2 \geq 0$. This completes the proof.

The next corollary is obtained by substituting p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) with $\gamma = 0$, B = -1 and $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ in Theorem 2.15.

Corollary 2.16. Let $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, $-1 \leq \beta < 0$ and $k \geq 1$ satisfy the conditions $(2\alpha^2 + \alpha - 3)^2\beta^2 + (4\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^3 + 13\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 3)k^2 + 2(4\alpha^4 - 20\alpha^3 + 17\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 3)\beta k \leq 0$ and $(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 1)\beta^2 \leq 4(\alpha - 1)^2(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta + k)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec \varphi_{PAR}(z),$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Starlikeness of analytic functions with subordinate ratios, preprint.
- [2] R. M. Ali, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, Radii of starlikeness associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli and the left-half plane, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), no. 11, 6557–6565.
- [3] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, On Bernardi's integral operator and the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324 (2006), 663–668.
- [4] N. E. Cho, A. Ebadian, S. Bulut and E. A. Adegani, Subordination implications and coefficient estimates for subclasses of starlike functions, Mathematics 8 (2020), no. 7, 1150.
- [5] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, Some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator, Czechoslovak Math. J. 60(135) (2010), no. 3, 699–713.
- [6] E. Deniz and R. Szász, The radius of uniform convexity of Bessel functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 453 (2017), no. 1, 572–588.
- [7] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, in *Seminar of geometric function theory*, 1–13, Preprint, 82-4, Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Cluj.
- [8] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. I, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 297–326.
- [9] W. Janowski, Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families, Ann. Polon. Math. 23 (1970/1971), 159–177.
- [10] A. Lecko and Y. J. Sim, Coefficient problems in the subclasses of close-to-star functions, Results Math. 74 (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 104, 14 pp.
- [11] A. Lecko and A. Wiśniowska, Geometric properties of subclasses of starlike functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 155 (2003), no. 2, 383–387.
- [12] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, Subordinations for functions with positive real part, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 12 (2018), no. 5, 1179–1191.
- [13] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [14] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 38 (2015), no. 1, 365–386.

- [15] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Briot-Bouquet differential equations and differential subordinations, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 33 (1997), no. 1-4, 217–237.
- [16] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [17] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential equations, J. Differential Equations 56 (1985), no. 3, 297–309.
- [18] A. Naz, S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Starlikeness associated with the exponential function, communicated
- [19] Y. Polatoğlu and M. Bolcal, Some radius problem for certain families of analytic functions, Turkish J. Math. 24 (2000), no. 4, 401–412.
- [20] V. Ravichandran, F. Rønning and T. N. Shanmugam, Radius of convexity and radius of starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 33 (1997), no. 1-4, 265–280.
- [21] V. Ravichandran and K. Sharma, Sufficient conditions for starlikeness, J. Korean Math. Soc. 52 (2015), no. 4, 727—749.
- [22] M. S. Robertson, Certain classes of starlike functions, Michigan Math. J. **32** (1985), no. 2, 135–140.
- [23] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montreal, PQ, 1982.
- [24] S. Ruscheweyh and V. Singh, On a Briot-Bouquet equation related to univalent functions, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 24 (1979), 285–290.
- [25] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), no. 1, 189–196.
- [26] T. N. Shanmugam, Convolution and differential subordination, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12 (1989), no. 2, 333–340.
- [27] T. N. Shanmugam and V. Ravichandran, Certain properties of uniformly convex functions, Computational Methods And Function Theory 1994 (Penang), 319–324, Ser. Approx. Decompos., 5 World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
- [28] K. Sharma, N. E. Cho and V. Ravichandran, Sufficient conditions for strong starlikeness, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (2020), DOI:10.1007/s41980-020-00452-z.
- [29] K. Sharma, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, Starlike functions associated with a cardioid, Afr. Mat. (Springer) 27 (2016), no. 5, 923–939.
- [30] K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Applications of subordination theory to starlike functions, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 42 (2016), no. 3, 761–777.
- [31] K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Sufficient conditions for Janowski starlike functions, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 61 (2016), no. 1, 63–76.
- [32] J. Sokół, Coefficient estimates in a class of strongly starlike functions, Kyungpook Math. J. 49 (2009), no. 2, 349–353.
- [33] J. Sokół, Radius problems in the class \mathcal{SL} , Appl. Math. Comput. **214** (2009), no. 2, 569–573.
- [34] J. Sokół and J. Stankiewicz, Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. No. 19 (1996), 101–105.
- [35] S. Yadav, K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Radius of starlikeness for some classes containing nonunivalent functions, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ATMA RAM SANATAN DHARMA COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI–110 021, INDIA

Email address: ksharma@arsd.du.ac.in; kanika.divika@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, URMIA UNIVERSITY, URMIA, IRAN *Email address*: raghalary@yahoo.com; r.aghalary@urmia.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI-620 015, INDIA

Email address: ravic@nitt.edu; vravi68@gmail.com