

HIGHER YONEDA PRODUCT STRUCTURES AND IWASAWA ALGEBRAS MODULO p

CARL WANG-ERICKSON

ABSTRACT. We give answers to three questions posed by Sorensen. These concern the relationship between the modulo p Iwasawa algebra of a torsionfree pro- p group and A_∞ -algebra structures on its Yoneda algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Sorensen's results	5
3. The reconstruction theorem from [WE20]	6
4. Answers to Sorensen's questions	10
Acknowledgements	15
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and let k be a finite field of characteristic p . The subject of this paper is the k -linear representation theory of p -adic Lie groups G . This is of natural interest relative to the proposed p -adic local Langlands correspondence. For an introduction to this connection, see [Har16].

1.1. Derived equivalences of Schneider and of Sorensen. As Schneider pointed out [Sch15], the passage from k -linear smooth representations of G over k to modules for various Hecke algebras is not exact, losing some information. More specifically, we let $I \subset G$ denote a compact open subgroup that is torsionfree and pro- p and define the Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_I := \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)}(\text{ind}_I^G(k))^{\text{op}},$$

so that the usual passage is

$$H^0 : \text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_I), \quad V \mapsto V^I.$$

Schneider proposed a derived framework that will not lose information. It is based on the (differential graded) dg-Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_I^\bullet = \text{End}_{\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)}(J_I^\bullet)^{\text{op}},$$

where J_I^\bullet is an injective resolution of $\text{ind}_I^G(k)$. Let $D(-)$ denote the formation of a derived category. Schneider showed that the passage

$$H^\bullet : D(\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)) \rightarrow D(\text{Mod}_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{H}_I^\bullet))$$

is an equivalence of triangulated categories [Sch15, Thm. 9]. This H^\bullet is a natural derived enrichment of H^0 , sending complexes V^\bullet of injective objects of $\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)$ to $\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)}(J_I^\bullet, V^\bullet)$.

Applying results of Kadeishvili and Lefèvre-Hasegawa, Sorensen [Sor20] exhibited a further equivalence from $D(\text{Mod}_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{H}_I^\bullet))$ to a category $D_\infty((\Omega^!, m))$ of A_∞ -modules for an A_∞ -algebra structure m on the Yoneda algebra

$$\Omega^! := \text{Ext}_{\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)}^*(\text{ind}_I^G(k), \text{ind}_I^G(k))$$

that could be called the “derived Hecke algebra,” although the exposition of [Sor20] emphasizes the case $G = I$. This equivalence is the result of passing from cochains and dg-algebras to the graded algebra of cohomology; for example, there is an isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{H}_I^\bullet) = \Omega^!$, but the canonical graded algebra structure on $\Omega^!$, known as the Yoneda algebra, loses information from \mathcal{H}_I^\bullet in general. Kadeishvili produced an A_∞ -algebra structure m on $\Omega^!$ along with a quasi-isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras between \mathcal{H}_I^\bullet and $(\Omega^!, m)$ [Kad82], and Lefèvre-Hasegawa produced an accompanying equivalence of derived categories of modules [LH03].

1.2. Main result. In this paper, we contribute to the equivalences Sorensen studied, “bringing them full circle” in a certain sense that we will now explain. Like Sorensen, we will emphasize the case that $G = I$, due the difficulty in computing $\Omega^!$ (merely as a graded k -vector space) in all but a handful of cases. We will let $\Omega := k[[G]]$ denote the Iwasawa algebra; then we may apply the equivalence produced by Pontryagin duality,

$$\text{Mod}(\Omega)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G),$$

where $\text{Mod}(\Omega)$ denotes the category of pseudocompact left Ω -modules. The series of equivalences of derived categories that we want to “bring full circle” is

$$(1.2.1) \quad D(\text{Mod}(\Omega)^{\text{op}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\text{Mod}_k^{\text{sm}}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\text{Mod}_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{H}_G^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_\infty((\Omega^!, m)),$$

as seen in [Sor20, pg. 153].

Our main result is

Theorem 1.2.2 (Corollary 4.1.6). *Assume that the p -adic Lie group G is pro- p . The Iwasawa algebra $\Omega = k[[G]]$ can be reconstructed, up to isomorphism, from $(\Omega^!, m)$ as the classical hull of the dual bar construction of its opposite A_∞ -algebra. Moreover, a choice of homotopy retract between \mathcal{H}_G^\bullet and $\Omega^!$ naturally pins down an isomorphism from Ω to this hull.*

The notions of *dual bar construction* and *classical hull* are explained in §3.3 and §3.4, respectively. In brief, the dual bar construction translates the information of $(\Omega^!, m)$ into a complete dg-algebra augmented over k , and its classical is the universal (classical) augmented k -algebra receiving a map of k -augmented dg-algebras from the dual bar construction.

We remark that the assumption of the theorem is looser than that of [Sor20], which also assumes that G is torsionfree. The torsionfree assumption is needed to make the derived categories manageable, but it is not needed for this reconstruction of Ω .

When we restore the torsionfree assumption so that the whole chain of equivalences (1.2.1) is valid, Theorem 1.2.2 allows us to pass from the target of (1.2.1) back to its source in a particularly strong sense, reconstructing Ω , and hence the abelian category $\text{Mod}(\Omega)$, from $D_\infty((\Omega^!, m))$. Indeed, it is possible to reconstruct

the A_∞ -algebra $(\Omega^!, m)$, up to isomorphism, from its module category $D_\infty((\Omega^!, m))$, according to [LH03, Thm. 7.6.0.6] (cf. [Kel06, Thm. 3.1]).

1.3. Positive answers to Sorensen’s questions. This paper emphasizes the application of the main theorem to answer questions of Sorensen. Here are Sorensen’s questions, reproduced verbatim modulo the following changes.

- $K \subset G$ denotes a subgroup, instead of $H \subset G$
- Following this paper’s convention of writing $(\Omega^!, m)$ for an A_∞ -algebra structure on $\Omega^!$ that extends its inherent graded algebra structure, the notation $\Omega^!$ is left to denote the underlying dg-algebra (with trivial differential).

Sorensen uses an explicit expression for the Yoneda graded algebra $\Omega^!$ as an exterior algebra on a vector space \mathfrak{g}^* , upon an additional assumption on G that we explain in §2.1, in order to express questions (b) and (c).

Question 1.3.1 (Sorensen [Sor20, §12]). *Here are the questions.*

- By [Sor20, Thm. 1.1], one can recover $\Omega = k[[G]]$ up to derived equivalence from the A_∞ -algebra $(\Omega^!, m) = (\text{Ext}_\Omega^\bullet(k, k)^{\text{op}}, m)$. Does $(\Omega^!, m)$ determine Ω up to isomorphism?*
- Is there a converse to [Sor20, Thm. 1.2] in the sense that G must be abelian if the A_∞ -structure on $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ is trivial?*
- Suppose $K \subset G$ is an open subgroup. Then $\Omega(G)$ is finite free over the subalgebra $\Omega(K)$ and we have the restriction map $\text{Mod}(\Omega(G)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Omega(K))$ which induces a map $D(\Omega(G)) \rightarrow D(\Omega(K))$. Is there a morphism of A_∞ -algebras $(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*, m_G) \rightarrow (\bigwedge \mathfrak{k}^*, m_K)$ inducing the corresponding map on D_∞ via “extension of scalars” along this map?*

Theorem 1.2.2 addresses question (a) directly and positively. It can also be used to address the remaining questions.

Corollary 1.3.2. *Questions (a)-(c) of 1.3.1 all have positive answers.*

Proof. Theorem 4.2.1 provides a positive answer to question (a). Theorem 4.3.1 provides a positive answer to question (b). Theorem 4.4.1 provides a positive answer to question (c). \square

1.4. Summary of methods. Context for Sorensen’s work appears in §2. Next, background from [WE20] is reproduced in §3, which culminates in a variation of Theorem 1.2.2 that presents Ω in terms of an A_∞ -algebra structure m' enriching the graded algebra structure on the opposite algebra $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ (Theorem 3.5.1). This m' is different than the A_∞ -algebra structure m on $\Omega^!$ that has been discussed up until this point; reconciling this difference is the main technical issue dealt with in this paper, which occupies §4. In order to apply the presentation of Ω in terms of the A_∞ -structure m' , the key technical ingredient, furnished by Corollary 4.1.6, is an *explicit isomorphism* of A_∞ -algebras between $((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})$ and $((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m')$. The key idea is that while both m^{op} and m' arise quite naturally from a single set of choices, they are far from identical; nonetheless, a result of Segal [Seg08], recorded as Proposition 4.1.5, reconciles them. Once this is done, it is relatively straightforward to deduce positive answers to Question 1.3.1.

1.5. Related works. As discussed in [WE20, §4.5], the fact that a choice of A_∞ -algebra structure m^{op} on $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ determines a presentation for Ω was proved by Segal [Seg08, Thm. 2.14] in an analogous situation when k has characteristic zero. (This was also proved in the case of a graded algebra in place of Ω in [LPWZ09].) The extension to general characteristic is given in [WE20, Part 2]. In addition, the amplification of [Seg08] given in [WE20, Cor. 6.2.6] especially clarifies the given answer to question (c).

L. Positselski has previously answered these questions positively, in the sense that positive answers follow from the isomorphism (2.1.2) and rather immediate consequences of his work. Namely, Positselski has studied equivalences of module categories that accompany bar-cobar equivalences of categories of dg-algebras and A_∞ -algebras, from which he claims that positive answers can be derived.

- A positive answer to question (a) follows from [Pos11, §6.10, part (b) of Theorem, pg. 76]. It is also recorded as [Pos17, Thm. 3.3].
- A positive answer to question (b) may be found in [Pos17, end of Ex. 6.3, pg. 225].
- A positive answer to question (c) follows from [Pos11, §6.9, part (a) of Proposition, pg. 74].

1.6. Conventions and definitions. We work with complexes, graded algebras, dg-algebras, and A_∞ -algebras over a finite field k of positive characteristic p . All gradings in the remainder of this paper are indexed by \mathbb{Z} , and the differentials have graded degree $+1$.

Remark 1.6.1. The assumption that p is odd is used in [Sor20, §2] in order to relate the Yoneda algebra $\Omega^!$ to the k -Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} via the isomorphism (2.1.2). This is required only in order to make sense of questions (b) and (c), so we do not make this assumption everywhere.

We let $\hat{T}_k V$ denote the free completed tensor algebra on a graded k -vector space V . We let V^* denote the graded degree-wise k -linear dual of V , that is, $(V^*)^n = (V^{-n})^*$. This dual operation extends to complexes.

We use Σ to denote suspension of a (differential) graded k -vector space. This is mainly used to move elements of graded vector spaces from degree 1 to degree 0, so that we can consider algebras involving them as (classical) k -algebras (as opposed to graded k -algebras).

Remark 1.6.2. The symbol ΣV^* should be read as $(\Sigma V)^*$, first suspending and then applying the graded dual. Very concretely, $(\Sigma V^*)^n = (V^{1-n})^*$.

An A_∞ -algebra over k is an algebra in graded k -vector spaces over the A_∞ -operad. In this article, we call these “ A_∞ -algebras,” not mentioning k . See the article of Keller [Kel01] for the full definition of the category of A_∞ -algebras, matching the convention we use here. Here, we give summary definitions. In particular, when B, B' are graded k -vector spaces, we use (B, m) , where $m = (m_n)_{n \geq 1}$, to denote an A_∞ -algebra structure on B , i.e.

$$m_n : B^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow B, \text{ for } n \geq 1, \text{ of graded degree } 2 - n$$

satisfying certain compatibility conditions. Likewise, $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 1} : (B, m) \rightarrow (B', m')$ denotes a morphism of A_∞ -algebras, where

$$f_n : B^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow B', \text{ for } n \geq 1, \text{ of graded degree } 1 - n.$$

We also refer to terms describing A_∞ -algebras (minimal, formal) and A_∞ -morphisms (quasi-isomorphism, etc.) that can be found in [Kel01]. We emphasize that an A_∞ -algebra (B, m) is called *minimal* when $m_1 = 0$.

We will treat dg-algebras $(C, d_C, m_{2,C})$, where d_C is the differential and $m_{2,C}$ is the multiplication, as A_∞ -algebras. The A_∞ -structure is $m = (m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $m_1 = d_C$, $m_2 = m_{2,C}$, and $m_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. In contrast, we say that an A_∞ -algebra structure m *enriches* a dg-algebra $(C, d_C, m_{2,C})$ when $m_1 = d_C$ and $m_2 = m_{2,C}$; for enrichments m , there is no restriction on m_n for $n \geq 3$. We remark that enrichments of graded algebras, considered to be a dg-algebra with a trivial differential, are minimal by definition. For example, the A_∞ -algebra enrichments of the Yoneda algebra $\Omega^!$ discussed earlier in this introduction are minimal.

The works of Keller [Kel01, Kel02, Kel06] are useful introductions to A_∞ -algebras in relation to representations of algebras, with respect to the perspective and conventions of this paper.

2. SORENSEN'S RESULTS

2.1. The setting of [Sor20]. We will use some common terminology about p -adic Lie groups without giving definitions here, referring the reader to [Sor20], where they are clearly explained. Schneider's book [Sch11] is a thorough exposition of this background material.

Let G be a p -adic Lie group that is torsionfree and pro- p . Let k be a finite field of characteristic p . Let $\Omega = k[[G]]$ be the completed group algebra, the *Iwasawa algebra* of G , which is a local associative k -algebra equipped with its standard profinite topology. Let $D(\Omega)$ denote the derived category of the category $\text{Mod}(\Omega)$ of pseudocompact left Ω -modules, which, as Sorensen explains [Sor20, §3], is anti-equivalent to the category of smooth k -linear representations of G .

Definition 2.1.1. Let $\Omega^!$ denote the opposite algebra of the Yoneda algebra of Ω , recalling that the Yoneda algebra in the category $\text{Mod}(\Omega)$,

$$(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}} = \text{Ext}_\Omega^\bullet(k, k) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{Ext}_\Omega^i(k, k),$$

is a canonical \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra under the cup product. We call $\Omega^!$ the *Koszul dual* k -algebra of Ω ; it plays the role of the derived Hecke algebra, for reasons explained in [Sor20, §1].

For concreteness, and in order to recall the full scope of Sorensen's results, we set up a narrower class of groups G where the structure of $\Omega^!$ is well-understood (see especially [Sor20, §§7-8] and [Sch11] for reference). When G is equipped with a *valuation*, there arises a graded k -Lie algebra of G that we denote by \mathfrak{g} (see e.g. [Sch11, §§23-25]). When there is a *basis* for G whose elements have the same valuation $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>1/(p-1)}$, G is called *equi- p -valued* and \mathfrak{g} is concentrated in degree t ; in particular, \mathfrak{g} is abelian. Sorensen especially focuses on the case where G is a *uniform* pro- p group, which implies that p is odd, that G is equi- p -valued, and that the valuation can be chosen so that \mathfrak{g} is concentrated in degree 1.

Combining a theorem of Lazard [Laz65] in the equi- p -valued case with consequences of the straightforward nature of \mathfrak{g} in the uniform case, one has a canonical \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra isomorphism of [Sor20, Cor. 8.3],

$$(2.1.2) \quad \Omega^! \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge_k \mathfrak{g}^* := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \wedge_k^i(\mathfrak{g}^*),$$

where $(-)^*$ denotes k -linear duality. A particular consequence of (2.1.2) is that $\dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_\Omega^i(k, k) = \binom{\dim_k \mathfrak{g}}{i}$ for integers $i, 0 \leq i \leq \dim_k \mathfrak{g}$.

This discussion makes it clear that the passage $\Omega \mapsto \Omega^!$ loses information: there are equal-dimensional uniform pro- p groups that are not isomorphic, and thus their Iwasawa algebras are not isomorphic. Yet the k -Lie algebras of uniform pro- p groups are determined up to isomorphism by their dimension alone [Sor20, §7.1].

2.2. The results of [Sor20]. Sorensen proves that there exists an A_∞ -algebra structure enriching the graded algebra $\Omega^!$ that recovers the lost information, in the following sense. We denote such a structure by m , and write $(\Omega^!, m)$ for the resulting A_∞ -algebra. For an introduction to A_∞ -algebras, see the references given in §1.6, where the notion of “enrichment” is also discussed.

We emphasize that m is unique up to non-unique isomorphism, which is typical for A_∞ -algebra structures. That is, whilst m is not canonical, the isomorphism class of $(\Omega^!, m)$ is canonical. In particular, m is called *trivial* when it carries no more information than $\Omega^!$; triviality of $(\Omega^!, m)$ is well-defined up to isomorphism.

There is a derived category of strictly unital left A_∞ -modules of $(\Omega^!, m)$, denoted $D_\infty(\Omega^!, m)$. The main result of [Sor20] is that there are the following equivalences of triangulated categories via the composition (1.2.1),

$$([\text{Sor20, Thm. 1.1}]) \quad D(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_\infty(\Omega^!, m).$$

And when G is a uniform pro- p group, this can be rephrased as

$$([\text{Sor20, Thm. 1.2}]) \quad D(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_\infty(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*, m).$$

3. THE RECONSTRUCTION THEOREM FROM [WE20]

In this section, our goal is to state an application of [WE20, Cor. 6.2.6] to the Iwasawa algebra Ω , which is recorded here as Theorem 3.5.1. We first recall background that is presented at greater length in [WE20, §5].

3.1. Hochschild cohomology. Firstly we recall a continuous version of the standard *Hochschild cochain complex*

$$C^\bullet(\Omega, k) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C^i(\Omega, k) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Omega^{\otimes i}, k)$$

of Ω , where the (Ω, Ω) -bimodule structure on k is trivial and where $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Omega^{\otimes i}, k)$ consists of those k -linear maps that are continuous according to the natural profinite topologies. This is naturally a dg-algebra, where the multiplication comes from the multiplication operation on k and the standard cup product of Hochschild cochains. In what follows, we presume continuity of all Hochschild cochains and pass over topological conditions in silence.

Likewise, denote the graded k -algebra of cohomology of the Hochschild cochain complex, which we will call *Hochschild cohomology*, by $H^\bullet(\Omega, k)$. The Hochschild cohomology $H^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ is canonically isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_\Omega^\bullet(k, k)$, as a particular case of the standard result that, for left Ω -modules that are finite-dimensional over k , there is a canonical isomorphism $HH^\bullet(A, \operatorname{Hom}_k(M, N)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^\bullet(M, N)$ [Wit19, Lem. 8.4.2]. In the sequel we will freely use all of the canonical isomorphisms

$$(3.1.1) \quad (\Omega^!)^{\operatorname{op}} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_\Omega^\bullet(k, k) \cong H^\bullet(\Omega, k)$$

3.2. Minimal models for dg-algebras. Let $(C, d_C, m_{2,C})$ be a dg- k -algebra with graded cohomology algebra $H = (H^\bullet(C), 0, m_2)$. It is a result of Kadeishvili [Kad82], which may also be found recorded [WE20, §2.2], that there exists an A_∞ -algebra structure $m = (m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ on the cohomology of a dg-algebra such that

- it enriches the graded algebra structure on H , in the sense that

$$m_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 \equiv m_{2,C} \pmod{B^\bullet(C)}$$

where $B^\bullet(C)$ represents the graded vector space of coboundaries in C .

- there exists a quasi-isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras

$$f = (f_n)_{n \geq 1} : H \rightarrow C$$

where f_1 sends each cohomology class to a choice of representative cocycle. In order to interpret this map in the A_∞ category, recall that dg-algebras may be taken to be A_∞ -algebras with trivial higher multiplications, as discussed in §1.6.

This data (m, f) is unique up to non-unique isomorphism.

Because an A_∞ -algebra (A, m) is called minimal when $m_1 = 0$, we call a (H, m) produced by Kadeishvili a *minimal model* of $(C, d_C, m_{2,C})$, as $f : (H, m) \rightarrow (C, d_C, m_{2,C})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We call such (m, f) a *minimal model structure* of H relative to $(C, d_C, m_{2,C})$.

Subsequent work of Kontsevich–Soibelman [KS00] established the existence of minimal models for A_∞ -algebras and clarified that a homotopy retract structure on (C, d_C) relative to $(H, 0)$ gives rise to a choice of (m, f) producing the minimal model.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $(A, d_A), (C, d_C)$ be complexes. We call (A, d_A) a *homotopy retract* of (C, d_C) when they are equipped with maps

$$h \circlearrowleft C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} A$$

such that p and i are morphisms of complexes, $h : C \rightarrow C[1]$ is a morphism of graded vector spaces, $\text{id}_C - ip = d_C h + h d_C$, and i is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proposition 3.2.2 (Kontsevich–Soibelman [KS00]). *Let (C, m') be an A_∞ -algebra. A homotopy retract (i, p, h) between $(H^\bullet(C), 0)$ and (C, m'_1) induces, via explicit formulas, a minimal model structure (f, m) . That is, there are formulas in (i, p, h) and m' that produce the minimal A_∞ -algebra structure m on $H^\bullet(C)$ and the quasi-isomorphism $f : (H^\bullet(C), m) \rightarrow (C, m')$.*

Proof. See [LV12, Thm. 9.4.14] or [WE20, Thm. 5.2.5]; both of these references record the formulas. \square

Applying this to the case where (C, m') is a dg-algebra (i.e. $m'_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$) implies Kadeishvili's result on A_∞ -algebra minimal models for dg-algebras.

Remark 3.2.3. Merkulov set up the same formulas in a more concrete way [Mer99], which the author learned from work of Lu–Palmieri–Wu–Zhang [LPWZ09]. These formulas may be found in [WE20, Ex. 5.2.8], and we give some information here for the reader's convenience.

Following Merkulov, we note that a homotopy retract between the cohomology $(H, 0)$ and the complex it arose from, (C, d_C) , amounts to a direct sum decomposition

$$(3.2.4) \quad C^n = B^n \oplus \tilde{H}^n \oplus L^n \text{ for all } n \geq 0,$$

where B^n denotes the subspace of C^n consisting of n -coboundaries, \tilde{H}^n is a complement to B^n in the subspace Z^n of C^n consisting of n -cocycles, and L^n is a complement to Z^n in C^n . Then f_1 in degree n is a map $H^n \rightarrow C^n$ lifting each cohomology class to a choice of representing cocycle. This is specified by the decomposition above as follows: f_1 is the inverse of the natural isomorphism $\tilde{H}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n$. Similarly, $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $m = (m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are given inductively by formulas in C^n using the decomposition above and the isomorphism $f_1 : H^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{H}^n$.

It will also be useful to have an inverse quasi-isomorphism to the f of the minimal model structure.

Proposition 3.2.5. *Let (C, m') and (i, p, h) as in Proposition 3.2.2, so that we have the minimal model structure (f, m) described there. Then p extends to a quasi-isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras, in the following sense: there exists a quasi-isomorphism $g = (g_n)_{n \geq 1} : (C, m') \rightarrow (H^\bullet(C), m)$ such that $g_1 = p$. Moreover, g is a left inverse to f , in that $g \circ f : (H^\bullet(C), m) \rightarrow (H^\bullet(C), m)$ is the identity map. That is, $g \circ f$ is an A_∞ -isomorphism, where $(g \circ f)_1$ is the identity map $\text{id}_{H^\bullet(C)}$ and $(g \circ f)_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. This follows from [CL19, Thm. 3.9(2)]. \square

3.3. The bar equivalence. We recall a dualized version of the bar equivalence, which is described at more length in [WE20, §2.1].

Let (A, m) be an A_∞ -algebra. Taking the suspension of the graded dual of $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ as described in §1.6, we get

$$m_n^* : \Sigma A^* \longrightarrow (\Sigma A^*)^{\otimes n}, \text{ of graded degree } 1.$$

Taking the product over the codomain, we produce

$$(3.3.1) \quad m^* = \prod_{n \geq 1} m_n^* : \Sigma A^* \longrightarrow \hat{T}_k \Sigma A^*.$$

By applying the Leibniz rule, we uniquely extend this map to a derivation

$$m^* : \hat{T}_k \Sigma A^* \longrightarrow \hat{T}_k \Sigma A^*.$$

Note that nothing in the construction of m^* depends on m satisfying the compatibility conditions demanded of an A_∞ -algebra structure on A . In fact, m gives an A_∞ -algebra structure if and only if the derivation m^* is a differential, i.e. $(m^*)^2 = 0$. This is a consequence of the *bar equivalence*, which is an isomorphism (not merely an equivalence) of categories between A_∞ -algebras and co-free co-complete co-dg-algebras [Pro11, pg. 7] (see also [LV12, §9.2.1]). The above “dualized version” of the bar equivalence restricts to an equivalence on those A_∞ -algebras A such that A^n is finite-dimensional for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, when (A, m) is an A_∞ -algebra, we write

$$\text{Bar}^*(A, m) := (\hat{T}_k \Sigma A^*, m^*, s)$$

for the complete dg-algebra given by the differential m^* and the standard multiplication s of $\hat{T}_k \Sigma A^*$. In words, we call this the *dual bar construction* of (A, m) .

3.4. The classical hull. There is a natural inclusion functor from k -algebras to dg- k -algebras, sending a k -algebra D to a dg- k -algebra $D[0]$ concentrated in degree zero and with a trivial differential. This functor has a left adjoint on dg- k -algebras. This functor sends a dg- k -algebra $(B, d_B, m_{2,B})$ to its quotient $\mathcal{A}(B) = \mathcal{A}(B, d_B, m_{2,B})$ by the ideal generated by

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} B^n \text{ and } d_B(B^{-1}),$$

which we call the *classical hull* of B , following [WE20, §2.3]. These generators reflect that $D[0]$ is concentrated in degree 0 and that $D[0]$ has a trivial differential, respectively. Note that $d_B(B^{-1}) \subset B^0$, because d_B has degree 1.

We are especially interested in the case of the dg-algebra $B = \text{Bar}^*(A, m)$. Because its underlying complete graded algebra is freely generated by ΣA^* , one may readily compute as in [WE20, Ex. 2.3.2] that the classical hull is presented as

$$\mathcal{A}(B) = \frac{\hat{T}_k(\Sigma(A^1))^*}{(m^*((\Sigma(A^2))^*))}.$$

Indeed, the degree -1 part of the dual bar construction is $\text{Bar}^*(A, m)^{-1} = (\Sigma(H^2))^*$, while its degree 0 part is $(\Sigma(H^1))^*$. The projection from $\hat{T}_k \Sigma H^*$ to $\hat{T}^k(\Sigma(H^1))^*$ of the image of $m^*(\Sigma(H^2))^*$ can be constructed as in (3.3.1) from the suspended linear duals of the A_∞ -product maps restricted to tensor powers of H^1 , $m_n : (H^1)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^2$.

3.5. A result from [WE20]. Recall from the introduction that Ω is the Iwasawa algebra of G over k and $\Omega^!$ is the opposite algebra of the Yoneda algebra $\text{Ext}_\Omega^\bullet(k, k)$. The main result that we wish to recall from [WE20, §6] gives a presentation of Ω in terms of a choice of decomposition of $C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ as in (3.2.4). We state it in terms of its application to Ω .

Theorem 3.5.1. *Choose a homotopy retract structure on $(H^\bullet(\Omega, k), 0)$ relative to $(C^\bullet(\Omega, k), d_C)$, or, equivalently, a decomposition of $C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ as in (3.2.4). This determines the additional data (f, m) as explained in §3.2. These data determine an isomorphism*

$$\rho^u : \Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(\text{Bar}^*(H^\bullet(\Omega, k))) \cong \frac{\hat{T}_k \Sigma H^1(\Omega, k)^*}{(m^*(\Sigma H^2(\Omega, k)^*))}$$

given by, for $x \in \Omega$,

$$\rho^u : x \mapsto \bar{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\underline{e} \mapsto (f_i(\underline{e}))(x)),$$

where \underline{e} is a generic element of $(\Sigma H^1(\Omega, k))^{\otimes i}$ and $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ denotes reduction modulo the unique maximal ideal of Ω .

Let us explain in what sense the map $\underline{e} \mapsto (f_i(\underline{e}))(x)$ denotes an element of $(\Sigma H^1(\Omega, k)^*)^{\otimes i}$, where $i \geq 1$. Notice first that the fixed f_i , having graded degree $1-i$, maps $(H^1(\Omega, k))^{\otimes i}$ to $C^1(\Omega, k)$. As $C^1(\Omega, k)$ consists of functions from Ω to k , evaluating $f_i(\underline{e})$ at a fixed choice of $x \in \Omega$ results in the desired map $H^1(\Omega, k)^*)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow k$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5.1. The statement of Theorem 3.5.1 is an application of [WE20, Cor. 6.2.6(1)], where

- ρ is the trivial representation $k[G] \rightarrow k$,
- Ω replaces the completion $k[G]_{\ker \rho}^\wedge$,
- an assumption that $H^n(\Omega, k)$ is finite-dimensional for all n is dropped, since this follows from G being finite-dimensional as a p -adic Lie group, and
- there are some other simplifications because $\rho : k[G] \rightarrow k$ is the trivial representation in the present case.

Indeed, because G is pro- p , the completed group algebra Ω of G over k is canonically isomorphic to the completion of $k[G]$ at the kernel of the trivial representation $\rho : k[G] \rightarrow k$. \square

Remark 3.5.2. We see in the presentation of Theorem 3.5.1 what data in the A_∞ -algebra $(H^\bullet(\Omega, k), m)$ does not obviously influence the presentation of Ω . Namely, we see that the groups $H^1(\Omega, k)$ and $H^2(\Omega, k)$ along with the A_∞ -products $m_n : H^1(\Omega, k)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, k)$ determine the isomorphism class of the k -algebra Ω . For example, the groups $H^i(\Omega, k)$ for $i \geq 3$ are not obviously involved. Since, conversely, the isomorphism class of $(H^\bullet(\Omega, k), m)$ is determined by Ω , it would be interesting to determine whether and how the entire A_∞ -algebra structure m is determined by its restrictions to tensor powers of $H^1(\Omega, k)$ – namely, $m_n : H^1(\Omega, k)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, k)$ – in the case of a uniform pro- p group G , where we completely understand m_2 according to (2.1.2).

4. ANSWERS TO SORENSSEN'S QUESTIONS

In this section, we answer the three sub-questions of Question 1.3.1. These answers are, ultimately, applications of Theorem 3.5.1, which gives a presentation of Ω in terms of a choice of a homotopy retract between the Hochschild cochain complex $H^\bullet(G, k)$ and the Yoneda algebra $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ (which is Hochschild cohomology of the trivial Ω -bimodule k).

The main obstacle in the way of directly addressing Question 1.3.1 using Theorem 3.5.1 is that Question 1.3.1 and Theorem 3.5.1 address Ω in terms of two *isomorphic yet different* A_∞ -algebra structures that enrich the Yoneda graded algebra $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$. This obstacle is overcome by applying a result of Segal, recorded here as Proposition 4.1.5. The main result we prove is Corollary 4.1.7.

For clarity, we highlight the notation that we will use in this section for these two isomorphic but different choices m and m' of A_∞ -algebra structure enriching $\Omega^!$. Importantly, we will go on to explain in this section that a single choice induces both of m and m' as well as the structure that reconciles them.

Notation 4.0.1.

- We let m denote an A_∞ -algebra structure enriching $\Omega^!$ that arises from transfer of structure from \mathcal{H}_G^\bullet , as appeared in Sorensen's work [Sor20] as overviewed in §1.1 and §2.
- We let m' denote an A_∞ -algebra structure enriching $H^\bullet(G, k) \cong (\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ that arises from transfer of structure from $C^\bullet(G, k)$, as appeared in the author's work [WE20] as overviewed in §3.

4.1. Compatibility of the two endomorphism dg-algebras. We begin with a definition of compatibility of a homotopy retract between complexes.

Definition 4.1.1. Choose a homotopy retract (i', p', h') (resp. (i, p, h)) between a cochain complex C' (resp. C) and its cohomology $H' = H^\bullet(C')$ (resp. $H = H^\bullet(C)$).

Let $\Psi : C' \rightarrow C$ be a quasi-isomorphism, which therefore induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet(\Psi) : H' \xrightarrow{\sim} H$. We say that Ψ is *compatible* with these two homotopy retracts when we have commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H' & \xrightarrow{i'} & C' \\ \downarrow H^\bullet(\Psi) & & \downarrow \Psi \\ H & \xrightarrow{i} & C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C' & \xrightarrow{p'} & H' \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow H^\bullet(\Psi) \\ C & \xrightarrow{p} & H \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & C' \\ \downarrow \Sigma \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \Sigma C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \end{array}$$

Remark 4.1.2. This may be too narrow of a definition of a compatible homotopy retract for a general theory, but it suffices for the situation at hand.

We will use the following pair of compatible homotopy retracts, given a quasi-isomorphism and extra maps.

Lemma 4.1.3. *Let Ψ be a quasi-isomorphism of complexes $\Psi : C' \rightarrow C$ that admits a left inverse quasi-isomorphism $\Phi : C \rightarrow C'$, i.e. $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}_{C'}$. Let (i, p, h) be a homotopy retract between C and H . Then the following natural formulas produce a compatible homotopy retract (i', p', h') between C' and H' .*

$$i' = \Phi \circ i \circ H^\bullet(\Psi), \quad p' = H^\bullet(\Phi) \circ p \circ \Psi, \quad h' = \Phi \circ h \circ \Sigma \Psi.$$

Proof. The homotopy retract property of (i', p', h') follows by direct computation. The compatibility follows directly from the formulas. \square

Definition 4.1.4. Let $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ denote the $(k\text{-linear})$ endomorphism dg-algebra of the projective bar resolution of k , whose terms consist of left Ω -modules

$$E^{-i}(\Omega, k) = \begin{cases} \Omega^{\hat{\otimes}(i+1)} & i \geq 0 \\ 0 & i < 0, \end{cases}$$

with differentials described in [Sor20, bottom of pg. 162].

Observe that $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ is canonically isomorphic to the opposite algebra of the dg-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_G^\bullet , and therefore there is a designated identification $H^\bullet(E^\bullet(\Omega, k)) \cong (\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$. As usual, $C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ denotes the dg-algebra of Hochschild cochains of the Ω -bimodule k .

Proposition 4.1.5. *There exists an explicit quasi-isomorphism of dg-algebras $\Psi : C^\bullet(\Omega, k) \rightarrow E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ that admits a (non-multiplicative) left inverse of cochain complexes $\Phi : E^\bullet(\Omega, k) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$. Moreover, the isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$H^\bullet(\Psi) : H^\bullet(\Omega, k) := H^\bullet(C^\bullet(\Omega, k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(E^\bullet(\Omega, k)) \cong (\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$$

equals the canonical isomorphism recorded in (3.1.1).

Proof. This is the content of [Seg08, Lem. 2.6]. \square

The following corollary sums up the relationship between the two A_∞ -algebra structures on the Yoneda algebra $(\Omega^!)$ that we have seen: m^{op} arises from transfer of structure from $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$, while m' arises from $C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$.

Corollary 4.1.6. *Let $\Psi, \Phi, C^\bullet(\Omega, k), E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ be as in Proposition 4.1.5. Choose a homotopy retract (i, p, h) between $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ and $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$. These choices produce*

- *a compatible homotopy retract (i', p', h') of $C^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ determined in Lemma 4.1.3.*

- (f', m') (resp. $(f^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})$), which is the minimal model structure on $H^\bullet(G, k)$ (resp. $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$) induced by (i', p', h') (resp. (i, p, h)) according to the formulas of Proposition 3.2.2.
- $g^{\text{op}} : E^\bullet(\Omega, k) \rightarrow ((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})$, which is the left inverse to f^{op} determined in Proposition 3.2.5.

In addition, the isomorphism of graded algebras $H^\bullet(\Psi) : H^\bullet(\Omega, k) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ extends to an isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras determined by

$$\Upsilon : (H^\bullet(\Omega, k), m') \xrightarrow{\sim} ((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}}),$$

given by

$$\Upsilon = g^{\text{op}} \circ \Psi \circ f'$$

Proof. All that we need to check is that the given A_∞ -isomorphism Υ extends $H^\bullet(\Psi)$; that is, $\Upsilon_1 = H^\bullet(\Psi)$. This follows from the last sentence of Proposition 3.2.5. \square

Now we combine the foregoing corollary with the presentation of Ω in terms of cohomological data given in Theorem 3.5.1, yielding the main result.

Corollary 4.1.7. *Choose a homotopy retract (i', p', h') between $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ and $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$. This choice induces a presentation of Ω in terms of $(\Omega^!, m)$ and other data induced by (i', p', h') enumerated in Corollary 4.1.6. The presentation is given by*

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(\text{Bar}^*((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})) = \frac{\hat{T}_k \Sigma((\Omega^!)^1)^*}{(m^{\text{op}*}(\Sigma((\Omega^!)^2)^*))}$$

$$\rho^u : x \mapsto \bar{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\underline{e} \mapsto ((f \circ \Upsilon^{-1})_i(\underline{e}))(x)),$$

where \underline{e} is a generic element of $(\Sigma(\Omega^!)^1)^{\otimes i}$ and $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ denotes reduction modulo the unique maximal ideal of Ω .

The meaning of $(\underline{e} \mapsto ((f \circ \Upsilon^{-1})_i(\underline{e}))(x))$ is just as explained after Theorem 3.5.1, keeping in mind that Υ^{-1} is an A_∞ -isomorphism $((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^\bullet(\Omega, k), m')$.

Proof. This is a combination of Corollary 4.1.6 and Theorem 3.5.1. \square

4.2. Question (a): Characterizing the Iwasawa algebra with A_∞ -products.

We prove that the A_∞ -enrichment of the Yoneda algebra of Ω characterizes Ω up to isomorphism.

Theorem 4.2.1. *The isomorphism class of the A_∞ -algebra $(\Omega^!, m)$ determines Ω up to isomorphism.*

Proof. A homotopy retract between $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$ and $E^\bullet(\Omega, k)$ induces an A_∞ -structure m^{op} enriching $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$, and the isomorphism class of $((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})$ is unique up to isomorphism – this is simply the result of Kadeishvili (§3.2). By Corollary 4.1.6, $((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}})$ and $(H^\bullet(\Omega, k), m')$ are in the same isomorphism class. Since the passage from an A_∞ -algebra to the classical hull of its dual bar construction sends isomorphisms to isomorphisms, the theorem follows. \square

4.3. Question (b): Trivial A_∞ -structures and abelianness. A minimal A_∞ -structure m is called *trivial* when $m_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 4.3.1. *The A_∞ -algebra structure m enriching $\Omega^!$ is trivial if and only if G is abelian. In that case, $\Omega \simeq k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$, where d is the k -dimension of $\text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)$.*

Proof. Sorensen proved the “only if” implication in [Sor20, Thm. 1.2] and asked about the converse. Because G injects into the units of the completed group algebra Ω , it suffices to prove that Ω is commutative.

By [Sor20, Thm. 1.2], the A_∞ -algebra structure m' enriching the Yoneda algebra $(\Omega')^!$ of $\Omega' := \Omega(\mathbb{Z}_p^d)$ is trivial. Therefore, when $(\Omega^!, m)$ is trivial, it is A_∞ -isomorphic to $((\Omega')^!, m')$. Then, by Theorem 4.2.1, there exists an isomorphism $\Omega \simeq \Omega(\mathbb{Z}_p^d)$, so Ω is commutative. \square

Remark 4.3.2. The author thanks Claus Sorensen for suggesting the efficient proof above upon seeing an earlier version of this paper. For the purpose of illustrating what calculations lie below the result, the following more explicit argument still may be instructive as to the role of the A_∞ -structure and its triviality.

Alternate proof of Theorem 4.3.1. By Corollary 4.1.7, we have a presentation for Ω in terms of $\mathcal{A}(\text{Bar}^*((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}}))$, where $m_n^{\text{op}} = 0$ for $n = 1$ or $n \geq 3$, and m_2^{op} is given by the isomorphism $\Omega^! \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ of (2.1.2). Recall from §3.3 that the expression $m^{\text{op}*}$ determining $\mathcal{A}(\text{Bar}^*((\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}, m^{\text{op}}))$ in Corollary 4.1.7 is the product over n of the suspended linear duals $m_n^{\text{op}*} : \Sigma \text{Ext}_\Omega^2(k, k)^* \rightarrow (\Sigma \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)^*)^{\otimes n}$ of the A_∞ -structure maps $m_n^{\text{op}} : \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Omega^2(k, k)$. Thus we are only concerned with the degree 2 contribution

$$m_2^{\text{op}*} : \Sigma \text{Ext}_\Omega^2(k, k)^* \rightarrow (\Sigma \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)^*)^{\otimes 2}.$$

To calculate $m_2^{\text{op}*}$, we note that the isomorphism $\Omega^! \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ supplies a canonical isomorphism

$$\wedge^2 \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_\Omega^2(k, k)$$

such that the multiplication m_2^{op} in the graded algebra $(\Omega^!)^{\text{op}}$, restricted to $\text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)$, is the composition of this map with the standard projection

$$\text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)^{\otimes 2} \twoheadrightarrow \wedge^2 \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k).$$

Therefore, the image of $m_2^{\text{op}*}$ in $(\Sigma \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(k, k)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ is precisely the alternating tensor subspace. This completes this alternate proof of Theorem 4.3.1. \square

4.4. Question (c): Change of group. In this section, we work with an open subgroup $K \subset G$. Correspondingly, we write $\Omega(G), \Omega(K)$ for their Iwasawa algebras. And for all objects discussed in previous sections with respect to G , we label them with a subscript G or K to indicate which group they are associated with, e.g. f_G and f_K .

Theorem 4.4.1. *Let $K \subset G$ be an open subgroup. Then there is a morphism of A_∞ -algebras $(\Omega(G)^!, m_G) \rightarrow (\Omega(K)^!, m_K)$ compatible with the restriction map $\text{Mod}(\Omega(G)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Omega(K))$, where “compatible” means that we have associated this*

map of A_∞ -algebras to the right-hand vertical arrow in this diagram

$$(4.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega(K) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \frac{\hat{T}_k \Sigma \text{Ext}_{\Omega(K)}^1(k, k)^*}{(m_K^{\text{op}*}((\Sigma \text{Ext}_{\Omega(K)}^2(k, k)^*)))} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega(G) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \frac{\hat{T}_k \Sigma \text{Ext}_{\Omega(G)}^1(k, k)^*}{(m_G^{\text{op}*}((\Sigma \text{Ext}_{\Omega(G)}^2(k, k)^*)))} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal presentation maps arise from Corollary 4.1.7 and the diagram commutes up to inner automorphism in $\Omega(K)$.

Because the diagram commutes up to inner automorphism, it induces the map of module categories required by sub-question (c) of Question 1.3.1.

The proof relies upon using Hochschild cohomology to produce the diagram above, and then applying the isomorphism Υ of Corollary 4.1.6 at the end.

Proof. Choose two (independent) homotopy retracts as in Corollary 4.1.6, one for objects associated to G , and one for objects associated to K . This results in the objects enumerated there (f, Υ , etc.), which we will now use with a subscript to indicate whether they are associated with G or K (f_K, Υ_K , etc.). In addition, we require a left inverse g_K of f_K , as in Proposition 3.2.5.

We link the objects associated to G to those associated to K by via the natural map of Hochschild cochains $C^\bullet(G, k) \rightarrow C^\bullet(K, k)$ induced by restricting functions of $G^{\times i}$ to its subgroup $K^{\times i}$. There is a morphism of A_∞ -algebras $(H^\bullet(G, k), m'_G) \rightarrow (H^\bullet(K, k), m'_K)$ resulting from the composite

$$(4.4.3) \quad \eta_H : H^\bullet(G, k) \xrightarrow{f_G} C^\bullet(G, k) \xrightarrow{\text{restr.}} C^\bullet(K, k) \xrightarrow{g_K} H^\bullet(K, k).$$

Next, define $\eta : ((\Omega(G)!)^{\text{op}}, m_G^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow ((\Omega(K)!)^{\text{op}}, m_K^{\text{op}})$ by $\eta := \Upsilon_K \circ \eta_H \circ \Upsilon_G^{-1}$. This η is the opposite A_∞ -morphism to the desired morphism in the statement of the theorem.

This morphism η is compatible with the natural restriction map of quasi-compact module categories $\text{Mod}(\Omega(G)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Omega(K))$ because – we claim – (4.4.2) commutes up to inner automorphism by the domain $\Omega(K)$. This claim of commutativity follows from the following facts, where we use the word “corresponds” to indicate an contravariant matching of maps between

- on one hand, A_∞ -algebras (which include dg-algebras), and
- other the other hand, maps among the Iwasawa algebras (which play the role of a dual to $C^\bullet(G, k)$) and classical hulls of the dual-bar constructions (which play the role of a dual to minimal A_∞ -algebras).

The right-hand downward map in (4.4.2) corresponds to η , while the A_∞ -quasi-isomorphisms

$$f_G \circ \Upsilon_G^{-1} : (\Omega(G)!)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C^\bullet(G, k), \quad f_K \circ \Upsilon_K^{-1} : (\Omega(K)!)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C^\bullet(K, k)$$

correspond to the presentations appearing as the horizontal pair of arrows in the theorem statement, via the formula of Theorem 3.5.1.

Thus the clockwise map in (4.4.2) corresponds to

$$f_K \circ \Upsilon_K^{-1} \circ \eta : (\Omega(G)!)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C^\bullet(K, k),$$

while the counter-clockwise map in (4.4.2) corresponds to the composition of the leftmost two maps of (4.4.3), which we now denote by $t := (\text{restr.}) \circ f_G \circ \Upsilon_G^{-1}$. Re-expressing the A_∞ -morphisms corresponding to the clockwise and counter-clockwise maps in terms of t , one observes that they correspond to $f_K \circ g_K \circ t$ and t , respectively.

From [WE20, Thm. 6.2.3] and the discussion following it, we know that $f_K \circ g_K$ corresponds to an inner automorphism of $\Omega(K)$, from which the theorem follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Claus Sorensen for his interest in [WE20] and for stimulating correspondence. The author also would like to thank Karol Koziol, Leonid Positselski, and Peter Schneider for helpful and clarifying correspondence about previous versions of this paper. The author was partially supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant EP/L025485/1 and partially supported by Simons Foundation award 846912.

REFERENCES

- [CL19] J. Chuang and A. Lazarev. On the perturbation algebra. *J. Algebra*, 519:130–148, 2019.
- [Har16] Michael Harris. Speculations on the mod p representation theory of p -adic groups. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 25(2-3):403–418, 2016.
- [Kad82] T. V. Kadeishvili. The algebraic structure in the homology of an $A(\infty)$ -algebra. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR*, 108(2):249–252 (1983), 1982.
- [Kel01] Bernhard Keller. Introduction to A -infinity algebras and modules. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 3(1):1–35, 2001.
- [Kel02] Bernhard Keller. A -infinity algebras in representation theory. In *Representations of algebra. Vol. I, II*, pages 74–86. Beijing Norm. Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [Kel06] Bernhard Keller. A -infinity algebras, modules and functor categories. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, volume 406 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 67–93. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [KS00] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Deformations of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture. In *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. I (Dijon)*, volume 21 of *Math. Phys. Stud.*, pages 255–307. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [Laz65] Michel Lazard. Groupes analytiques p -adiques. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (26):389–603, 1965.
- [LH03] Kenji Lefèvre-Hasegawa. Sur les A_∞ -catégories. PhD thesis, Université Denis Diderot - Paris 7. arXiv:math/0310337 [math.CT], 2003.
- [LPWZ09] D.-M. Lu, J. H. Palmieri, Q.-S. Wu, and J. J. Zhang. A -infinity structure on Ext-algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(11):2017–2037, 2009.
- [LV12] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [Mer99] S. A. Merkulov. Strong homotopy algebras of a Kähler manifold. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (3):153–164, 1999.
- [Pos11] Leonid Positselski. Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 212(996):vi+133, 2011.
- [Pos17] Leonid Positselski. Koszulity of cohomology = $K(\pi, 1)$ -ness + quasi-formality. *J. Algebra*, 483:188–229, 2017.
- [Pro11] Alain Prouté. A_∞ -structures. Modèles minimaux de Baues-Lemaire et Kadeishvili et homologie des fibrations. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, (21):1–99, 2011. Reprint of the 1986 original, With a preface to the reprint by Jean-Louis Loday.

- [Sch11] Peter Schneider. *p-adic Lie groups*, volume 344 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Sch15] Peter Schneider. Smooth representations and Hecke modules in characteristic p . *Pacific J. Math.*, 279(1-2):447–464, 2015.
- [Seg08] Ed Segal. The A_∞ deformation theory of a point and the derived categories of local Calabi-Yaus. *J. Algebra*, 320(8):3232–3268, 2008.
- [Sor20] Claus Sorensen. Koszul duality for Iwasawa algebras modulo p . *Represent. Theory*, 24:151–177, 2020.
- [WE20] Carl Wang-Erickson. Deformations of residually reducible Galois representations via A_∞ -algebra structure on Galois cohomology. arXiv:1809:02484v2 [math.NT], 2020.
- [Wit19] Sarah J. Witherspoon. *Hochschild cohomology for algebras*, volume 204 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA
Email address: `carl.wang-erickson@pitt.edu`
URL: `https://sites.pitt.edu/~caw203/`