

# ON CHEVALLEY RESTRICTION THEOREM FOR SEMI-REDUCTIVE ALGEBRAIC GROUPS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

KE OU, BIN SHU AND YU-FENG YAO

**ABSTRACT.** An algebraic group is called semi-reductive if it is a semi-direct product of a reductive subgroup and the unipotent radical. Such a semi-reductive algebraic group naturally arises and also plays a key role in the study of modular representations of non-classical finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras in positive characteristic, and some other cases. Let  $G$  be a connected semi-reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . It turns out that  $G$  has many same properties as reductive groups, such as the Bruhat decomposition. In this note, we obtain an analogue of classical Chevalley restriction theorem for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which says that the  $G$ -invariant ring  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  is a polynomial ring if  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies a certain “positivity” condition suited for lots of cases we are interested in. As applications, we further investigate the nilpotent cones and resolutions of singularities for semi-reductive Lie algebras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field. An algebraic group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is called semi-reductive if  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  with  $G_0$  being a reductive subgroup, and  $U$  the unipotent radical. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{u} = \text{Lie}(U)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ , which is called a semi-reductive Lie algebra.

Preliminarily, the motivation of initiating the study of semi-reductive algebraic groups and Lie algebras comes from the study of irreducible representations of finite-dimensional non-classical simple Lie algebras in prime characteristic (see Example 2.1). In the direction of this new study, there have been some interesting mathematical phenomena revealing (to see [13], [14], [19], [22]).

In the present paper, we focus on establishing an analogue of the classical Chevalley restriction theorem in the case of semi-reductive algebraic groups.

Recall that for a connected reductive algebraic group  $L$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\mathfrak{l} = \text{Lie}(L)$ , and a maximal torus  $T$  of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(T)$ . We have the Weyl group  $\mathscr{W} = \text{Nor}_L(T)/\text{Cent}_L(T)$ . The classical Chevalley restriction theorem asserts that the restriction homomorphism  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{l}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]$  induces an isomorphism of algebras of invariants:  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{l}]^L \cong \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathscr{W}}$  where  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{l}]$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]$ ) denotes the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{l}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{h}$ ) (see [5, Proposition 7.12] if  $\text{ch}(\mathbb{F}) > 0$  and [4, §23.1 and Appendix 23] for semisimple  $\mathfrak{l}$  if  $\text{ch}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ ). The Chevalley

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05, 20G07, 20G15, 17B10, 17B45.

*Key words and phrases.* Semi-reductive algebraic groups, Semi-reductive Lie algebras, Chevalley restriction theorem, Nilpotent cone, Steinberg map, Springer resolution.

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12071136, 11671138 and 11771279), Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP (No. 13dz2260400), the Fundamental Research Funds of Yunnan Province (Grant No. 2020J0375) and the Fundamental Research Funds of YNUFE (Grant No. 80059900196).

restriction theorem plays a key role in the study of representations of reductive Lie algebras. It has been generalized in various ways and cases (see [8], [11] etc.). However, it may fail if  $\mathfrak{l}$  is replaced with an arbitrary algebraic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{q}$ . In particular, one has to distinguish the adjoint and coadjoint representations of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . See §4 for a concrete example.

In this note, we will generalize the Chevalley restriction theorem to the case of a semi-reductive algebraic group  $G$  if  $G$  satisfies a certain “positivity” condition (see Convention 2.9 for the precise definition).

The generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem seems to be quite useful in understanding the structure of semi-reductive Lie algebras. As applications, we investigate the nilpotent cones and resolutions of singularities for semi-reductive Lie algebras. In particular, Propositions 5.2, 5.3 and 5.9 provide the structure of nilpotent cone  $\mathcal{N}$ , Steinberg maps and resolution of singularities of  $\mathcal{N}$  respectively.

Our paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall some known results, and investigate the structures of semi-reductive algebraic groups as well as semi-reductive Lie algebras. In §3, the Chevalley restriction theorem for semi-reductive Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  are presented provided  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfied a certain “positivity” condition and some restrictions on the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ . As the first application, we illustrate the difference between the adjoint and coadjoint representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in §4. As the second application, we study the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its Springer resolution in the final section.

## 2. SEMI-REDUCTIVE GROUPS AND SEMI-REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRAS

**2.1. Notions and assumptions.** Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and varieties are defined over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$G = G_0 \ltimes U$  be a semi-reductive group with  $G_0$  being a reductive subgroup, and  $U$  the unipotent radical. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{u} = \text{Lie}(U)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ . Let  $\text{pr} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}$  be the projections. In the following, we give some examples of semi-reductive Lie algebras (resp. semi-reductive algebraic groups).

**Example 2.1.** (The non-negative graded part of a restricted Lie algebra of Cartan type over  $\mathbb{F}$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ ) Let  $\mathcal{A}(n) = \mathbb{F}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_1^p, \dots, T_n^p)$ , a quotient of the polynomial ring by the ideal generated by  $T_i^p$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Set  $\mathcal{L} = \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a simple Lie algebra unless  $n = 1$  and  $p = 2$  (this simple Lie algebra is usually called a generalized Witt algebra, denoted by  $W(n)$ ). Associated with the degrees of polynomial quotients,  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes a graded algebra  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{d \geq -1} \mathcal{L}_{[d]}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  has a filtration

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-1} \supset \mathcal{L}_0 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{L}_{n(p-1)-1} \supset 0.$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \mathcal{L}_{[j]}, \quad -1 \leq i \leq n(p-1)-1.$$

Let  $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  be the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . Then  $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \ltimes U$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{L}_0$  with  $\text{Lie}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})) = \mathcal{L}_{[0]} (\cong \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F}))$ ,  $\text{Lie}(U) = \mathcal{L}_1 = \sum_{d \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_{[d]}$  (see [9, 23]). So  $G$  is a semi-reductive group and  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is a semi-reductive Lie algebra. According to the known results (cf. [10], [16], [17], [20], [24] and [25], etc), the representation theory of  $W(n)$  along with other Cartan type Lie algebras are, to most extent, determined by that of its distinguished maximal filtered subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$ . So the study of semi-reductive Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}_0$  becomes a crucial important topic.

More generally, apart from  $W(n)$ , there are another three series of Cartan type restricted simple Lie algebras  $S(n)$ ,  $H(n)$ ,  $K(n)$  (see [15], [21], or the forthcoming Example 2.12). Each of them is endowed with the corresponding graded structure. Similarly, one can consider  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{d \geq 0} X(n)_{[d]}$  for  $X \in \{S, H, K\}$  and  $G = \text{Aut}(X(n))$ . The corresponding semi-reductive groups and Lie algebras also appear as above.

**Example 2.2.** (Parabolic subalgebras of reductive Lie algebras in any characteristic) Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $G$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ . Then  $G$  is an semi-reductive group.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfying that the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$  is good for  $G$ , in the sense of [4, §3.9]. For any given nilpotent  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $G_X$  be the centralizer of  $X$  in  $G$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_X = \text{Lie}(G_X)$ . By [5, §5.10-§5.11],  $G_X = C_X \ltimes R_X$  is semi-reductive.

**Example 2.4.** (Enhanced reductive algebraic groups) Let  $G_0$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $(M, \rho)$  a finite-dimensional representation of  $G_0$  with representation space  $M$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Here and further, representations of an algebraical group always mean its rational representations. Consider the product variety  $G_0 \times M$ . Regard  $M$  as an additive algebraic group. The variety  $G_0 \times M$  is endowed with a cross product structure denoted by  $G_0 \times_\rho M$ , by defining for any  $(g_1, v_1), (g_2, v_2) \in G_0 \times M$

$$(g_1, v_1) \cdot (g_2, v_2) := (g_1 g_2, \rho(g_1) v_2 + v_1). \quad (2.1)$$

Then by a straightforward computation it is easily known that  $\underline{G_0} := G_0 \times_\rho M$  becomes a group with unity  $(e, 0)$  for the unity  $e \in G_0$ , and  $(g, v)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, -\rho(g)^{-1} v)$ . Then  $G_0 \times_\rho M$  has a subgroup  $G_0$  identified with  $(G_0, 0)$  and a subgroup  $M$  identified with  $(e, M)$ . Furthermore,  $\underline{G_0}$  is connected since  $G_0$  and  $M$  are irreducible varieties. We call  $\underline{G_0}$  an *enhanced reductive algebraic group associated with the representation space  $M$* . What is more,  $G_0$  and  $M$  are closed subgroups of  $\underline{G_0}$ , and  $M$  is a normal closed subgroup. Actually, we have  $\underline{g} \underline{v} \underline{g}^{-1} = \rho(g) v$ . Here and further  $\underline{g}$  stands for  $(g, 0)$  and  $\underline{v}$  stands for  $(e, v)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{d}(\rho), M)$  becomes a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Naturally,  $\text{Lie}(\underline{G_0}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus M$ , with Lie bracket

$$[(X_1, v_1), (X_2, v_2)] := ([X_1, X_2], \mathfrak{d}(\rho)(X_1) v_2 - \mathfrak{d}(\rho)(X_2) v_1),$$

which is called an enhanced reductive Lie algebra.

Clearly,  $\underline{G_0}$  is a semi-reductive group with  $M$  being the unipotent radical.

2.2. In the sequel, we always assume that  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  is a connected semi-reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $G_0$  is a connected reductive subgroup and  $U$  the unipotent radical. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Recall that a Borel subgroup (resp. Borel subalgebra) of  $G$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) is a maximal solvable subgroup (resp. subalgebra) of  $G$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). In the following we will illustrate the structure of Borel subgroups of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *Suppose  $B$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $B \supset U$ . Furthermore,  $B_0 := B \cap G_0$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G_0$ , and  $B = B_0 \ltimes U$ .*
- (2) *Any maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  is conjugate to a maximal torus  $T_0$  of  $G_0$ .*

*Proof.* (1) As  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $G$ ,  $BU$  is still a closed subgroup containing  $B$ . We further assert that  $BU$  is solvable. Firstly, by a straightforward computation we have that the  $i$ th derived subgroup  $\mathcal{D}^i(BU)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}^i(B)U$ . By the solvableness of  $B$ , there exists some positive integer  $t$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^t(B)$  is the identity group  $\{e\}$ . So  $\mathcal{D}^t(BU) \subset U$ . Secondly, as  $U$  is unipotent, and then solvable. So there exists some positive integer  $r$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^r(U) = \{e\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}^{t+r}(BU) = \{e\}$ . The assertion is proved.

The maximality of the solvable closed subgroup  $B$  implies that  $BU = B$ . This is to say,  $U$  is contained in the unipotent radical  $B_u$  of  $B$ . Set  $B_0 = B \cap G_0$  which is clearly a closed solvable subgroup of  $G_0$ . By the same token as above,  $B_0U$  is a closed solvable subgroup of  $B$ . On the other hand, for any  $b \in B$ , by the definition of semi-reductive groups we have  $b = b_0u$  for some  $b_0 \in G_0$  and  $u \in U$ . As  $U \subset B$ , we have further that  $b_0 = bu^{-1} \in B_0$ . It follows that  $B$  is contained in  $B_0 \ltimes U$ . The remaining thing is to prove that  $B_0$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G_0$ . It is clear that  $B_0$  is a solvable closed subgroup of  $G_0$ . If  $B_0$  is not a maximal solvable closed subgroup of  $G_0$ . We may suppose  $B'_0$  is a larger one properly containing  $B_0$ . Then the solvable closed subgroup  $B'_0U$  of  $G$  contains  $B$  properly. It contradicts with the maximality of  $B$ . Hence  $B_0$  is really maximal. Summing up, the statement in (1) is proved.

(2) Note that the maximal tori in  $G$  are all conjugate (see [1, 11.3]). This statement follows from (1).  $\square$

As a corollary to Lemma 2.5, we have the following fact for semi-reductive Lie algebras.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For a semi-reductive group  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , all maximal tori of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are conjugate under adjoint action of  $G$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \bigcup_T \text{Lie}(T)$  where  $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$  is the set consisting of all semisimple elements and  $T$  runs over all maximal tori of  $G$ .*

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we can choose a maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$ , which lies in  $G_0$  without loss of generality. By [1, §8.17], we have the following decomposition of root spaces associated with  $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T)$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)} (\mathfrak{g}_0)_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(U, T)} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Phi(U, T)$  is the subset of  $X(G, T)$  satisfying that for  $\mathfrak{u} := \text{Lie}(U)$ ,

$$\mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(U, T)} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha.$$

In the consequent arguments, the root system  $\Phi(G_0, T)$  will be denoted by  $\Delta$  for simplicity, which is actually independent of the choice of  $T$ . We fix a positive root system  $\Delta^+ := \Phi(G_0, T)^+$ . The corresponding Borel subgroup will be denoted by  $B^+$  which contains  $T$ , and the corresponding simple system is denoted by  $\Pi$ .

2.3. The following facts are clear.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semi-reductive group, and  $T$  a maximal torus of  $G_0$ .*

- (1) *Set  $\mathcal{W}(G, T) := \text{Nor}_G(T)/\text{Cent}_G(T)$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}(G, T) \cong \mathcal{W}$ , where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the Weyl group of  $G_0$ . This is to say,  $G$  admits the Weyl group  $\mathcal{W}$  coinciding with the one of  $G_0$ .*

- (2) Set  $\{\dot{w} \mid w \in W\}$  be a set of representatives in  $N_G(T)$  of the elements of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Denote by  $C(w)$  the double coset  $B^+ \dot{w} B^+$ , and by  $C_0(w)$  the corresponding component  $B_0^+ \dot{w} B_0^+$  of the Bruhat decomposition of  $G_0$ . Then for any  $w \in \mathcal{W}$

$$C(w) = C_0(w) \ltimes U. \quad (2.3)$$

- (3) Let  $w = s_1 \cdots s_h$  be a reduced expression of  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , with  $s_i = s_{\gamma_i}$  for  $\gamma_i \in \Pi$ . Then  $C(w) \cong \mathbb{A}^h \times B^+$ .
- (4) For  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , set  $\Phi(w) := \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \mid w \cdot \alpha \in -\Delta^+\}$ , and  $U_w := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi(w)} U_\alpha$ , then  $U_{w^{-1}} \times B^+ \cong C(w)$  via sending  $(u, b)$  onto  $uwb$ .
- (5) (Bruhat Decomposition) We have  $G = \dot{\bigcup}_{w \in \mathcal{W}} B^+ \dot{w} B^+$ . Therefore, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g$  can be written uniquely in the form  $uwb$  with  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $u \in U_{w^{-1}}$  and  $b \in B^+$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $G$ , and  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$ . We have  $\text{Nor}_G(T) = \text{Nor}_{G_0}(T)$  and  $\text{Cent}_G(T) = \text{Cent}_{G_0}(T)$ . The statement is proved.

- (2) Note that  $U\dot{w} = \dot{w}U$  and  $B^+ = B_0^+U = UB_0^+$  for  $B_0^+ = B^+ \cap G_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} C(w) &= B_0^+ U \dot{w} B_0^+ U \\ &= B_0^+ \dot{w} U B_0^+ U \\ &= B_0^+ \dot{w} B_0^+ U \\ &= C_0(w) \ltimes U. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

(3) and (4) follow from (2) along with [18, Lemma 8.3.6].

(5) follows from (2) along with the Bruhat decomposition Theorem for  $G_0$  ([18, Theorem 8.3.8]).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semi-reductive group. Keep the notations as in Lemma 2.7. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *The group  $G$  admits a unique open double coset  $C(w_0)$ , where  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $\mathcal{W}$ .*
- (2) *For any given Borel subgroup  $B^+$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the homogeneous space  $G/B^+$ , and  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be the canonical morphism. Then  $\pi$  has local sections.*
- (3) *For a rational  $B^+$ -module  $M$ , there exists a fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{B}$  associated with  $M$ , denoted by  $G \times^{B^+} M$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $C(w_0)$  is a  $B^+ \times B^+$ -orbit in  $G$  under double action, and  $C(w_0)$  is open in its closure. Thanks to Lemma 2.7(4), we have

$$\dim C(w_0) = \#\{\Delta^+\} + \dim B^+ = \dim G.$$

On the hand,  $G$  is irreducible, so  $G = \overline{C(w_0)}$ . It follows that  $C(w_0)$  is an open subset of  $G$ . By the uniqueness of the longest element in  $\mathcal{W}$  and Lemma 2.3(3)(5), we have that  $C(w_0)$  is a unique open double coset.

(2) According to [18, §5.5.7], we only need to certify that (a)  $\mathcal{B}$  is covered by open sets  $\{U\}$ ; (b) each of such open sets has a section, i.e. a morphism  $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_U$ . Thanks to [18, Theorem 5.5.5],  $\pi$  is open and separable. Let  $X(w) = \pi(C(w))$ . By (1),  $X(w_0)$  is an open set of  $\mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 2.7(4),  $\pi$  has a section on  $X(w_0)$ . Note that  $\{gX(w_0) \mid g \in G\}$  constitute of open covering of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Using the translation, the statement follows from the argument on  $X(w_0)$ .

(3) follows from (2) and [18, Lemma 5.5.8].  $\square$

**2.4. Regular cocharacters and the positivity condition.** Keep the notations and assumptions as above subsections. Let  $T$  be a given maximal torus of  $G_0$ . By [1, §12.2], there is a regular semisimple element  $t \in T$  such that  $\alpha(t) \neq 1$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)$ . Furthermore, by [18, Lemma 3.2.11],  $X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{F}^\times \rightarrow T$  with  $\tau \otimes a \in X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{F}^\times$  mapping to  $\tau(a) \in T$  gives rise to an isomorphism of abelian groups. So there is a regular cocharacter  $\tau \in X_*(T)$  such that  $t = \tau(a)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ . Thus, we have

$$\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T). \quad (2.5)$$

By [18, §7.4.5-§7.4.6], we can further choose a regular cocharacter  $\tau \in X_*(T)$  for the reductive group  $G_0$  such that

$$\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)^+. \quad (2.6)$$

We turn to semi-reductive case. Let  $G$  be a semi-reductive algebraic group and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ .

**Convention 2.9.** The group  $G$  (or the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) is said to satisfy *the positivity condition* if there exists a cocharacter  $\chi \in X_*(T)$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \chi \rangle > 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)^+ \cup \Phi(U, T)$ .

The positivity condition can be seen to valid in the following examples we are interested in.

**Example 2.10.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of a semi-reductive algebraic group  $G = \text{GL}(V) \times_\nu V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $\nu$  is the natural representation of  $\text{GL}(V)$  (see Example 2.4). Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies the positivity condition.

Actually, suppose  $\dim V = n$ . Fix a basis  $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $V$  associated with the isomorphism  $\text{GL}(V) \cong \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ . We take the standard maximal torus  $T$  of  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$  consisting of diagonal invertible matrices. Set  $B^+$  the Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular invertible matrices, which corresponds to the positive root system. And take  $\varepsilon_i \in X^*(T)$  with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{Diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = t_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the character group  $X^*(T)$  is just the free abelian group generated by  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; and the positive root system  $\Phi(G_0, T)^+$  consists of all  $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Set  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$  becomes a fundamental root system of  $\text{GL}(V)$ , and any positive root  $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  is expressed as  $\sum_{s=i}^{j-1} \alpha_s$  for  $i < j$ . Then by (2.1), we have that  $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{t})v_i = t_i v_i = \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{t})v_i$  for  $\mathbf{t} = \text{Diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$ . It follows that  $\Phi(V, T) = \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$  is the set of weights of  $V$  with respect to  $T$ .

Set  $\varpi := \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)\varepsilon_i$ . The corresponding cocharacter is denoted by  $\chi$ , which is a regular cocharacter of  $\text{GL}(V)$ . Then  $\langle \varepsilon_i, \chi \rangle = (n-i+1)$  and  $\langle \alpha_j, \chi \rangle = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Hence  $\text{GL}(V) \times_\nu V$  satisfies the positivity condition 2.9.

**Example 2.11.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup of a reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  (see Example 2.2). Then the positivity condition is assured for  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We can show this below. Suppose  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of a connected reductive algebraic group  $G$ . In this case, we can take a regular co-character  $\chi$  of  $G$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \chi \rangle > 0$  for all positive root  $\alpha$  of  $G$ .

**Example 2.12.** (Continued to Example 2.1) In this example, we will further make some preliminary introduction to Cartan type Lie algebras in the classification of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic, which do not

arise from simple algebraic groups (see [7, 12, 21]). Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 2$  for the time being. There are four types of those Lie algebras, and each type of them contains infinite series of ones. We will introduce the first two types: Witt type  $W(n)$  ( $\geq 1$ ) and Special type  $S(n)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) (the remaining two types are Hamiltonian type  $H(n)$  for even  $n \geq 2$  and contact type  $K(n)$  for odd  $n \geq 3$ , see [15, 21] for details).

By definition, the restricted Lie algebras of Cartan type are  $p$ -subalgebras of the algebra of derivations of the truncated polynomial rings  $\mathcal{A}(n) = \mathbb{F}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_1^p, \dots, T_n^p)$ , whose canonical generators are denoted by  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Recall  $W(n) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}(n))$  (the  $n$ -th Jacobson-Witt algebra). Its restricted mapping is the standard  $p$ -th power of linear operators. Denote by  $\partial_i$  the partial derivative with respect to the variable  $x_i$ . Then  $\partial_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is a basis of the  $\mathcal{A}(n)$ -module  $W(n)$ . The Lie algebra  $W(n)$  has a restricted grading  $W(n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^q W(n)_{[i]}$  where  $W(n)_{[i]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \partial_i$  associated with the natural grading of  $\mathcal{A}$  arising from the degree of truncated polynomials, and  $q = n(p-1) - 1$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the exterior differential algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  in the usual sense. Then  $\Omega(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega(n)^i$  with  $\Omega(n)^i = \bigwedge^i \Omega(n)^1$  for  $\Omega(n)^1 := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(n)}(W(n), \mathcal{A}(n))$  which can be presented as  $\Omega(n)^1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}(n) dx_i$  where  $d$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map from  $\Omega^0(n) := \mathcal{A}(n)$  to  $\Omega^1(n)$  via  $df : E \mapsto E(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  and  $E \in W(n)$ . The exterior differential algebra  $\Omega(n)$  is canonically endowed with a  $W(n)$ -action (see [21, §4.2]).

Associated with the volume differential form  $\omega_S := dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ , there is a (Cartan-type) simple Lie algebra of Special type  $S(n) := S'(n)^{(1)}$  for  $S'(n) = \{E \in W(n) \mid E\omega_S = 0\}$ . Both  $W(n)$  and  $S(n)$  are simple Lie algebras (see [7, 12, 15, 21]), the latter of which is a graded Lie subalgebra of the former. For  $\mathfrak{g} = W(n)$  or  $S(n)$ , the graded structure  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  gives rise to the filtration  $\{\mathfrak{g}_i\}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \sum_{j \geq i} \mathfrak{g}_{[j]}$ . Denote by  $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $G$  is compatible with the restricted mapping, and  $G$  is connected provided that  $p \geq 5$  is additionally required for  $\mathfrak{g} = W(1)$ . Furthermore, the following properties are satisfied.

- (1)  $G = \text{GL}(n) \ltimes U$  where  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $G$  consisting of elements  $\sigma \in G$ :  $(\sigma - \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(\mathfrak{g}_i) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ . So  $G$  is semi-reductive.
- (2)  $\text{Lie}(G) = (C\mathfrak{g})_0$  where

$$C\mathfrak{g} := \begin{cases} W(n) & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = W(n); \\ \{E \in W(n) \mid E\omega_S \in \mathbb{F}\omega_S\}; & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = S(n) \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

which is a graded subalgebra of  $W(n)$  (see [9, Proposition 3.2]). Actually,  $CS(n) = S'(n) + \mathbb{F}x_1\partial_1$  (see [21, §4.2]). So  $C\mathfrak{g} = (C\mathfrak{g})_{[0]} \oplus (C\mathfrak{g})_1$  with  $(C\mathfrak{g})_{[0]} = W_{[0]} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n)$  and  $(C\mathfrak{g})_1 \subset W(n)_1$ .

In particular, for  $\mathfrak{g} = W(n)$  we have  $G = \text{GL}(n) \ltimes U$  with  $\text{Lie}(G) = W(n)_0$ , and  $\text{Lie}(U) = W(n)_1$ . Take a maximal torus  $T$  and a positive Borel subgroup  $B^+$  as Example 2.10. Then  $\Phi(G_0, T)^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ . For each  $\mathbf{t} = \text{Diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$ ,  $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{t})(x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_j) = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)(\mathbf{t}) x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_j$ . Therefore,

$$\Phi(U, T) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \geq 2 \right\}.$$

Set  $\varpi := \sum_{i=1}^n (2n-i)\varepsilon_i$ . The corresponding cocharacter is denoted by  $\chi$ , which is a regular cocharacter of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Then  $\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \chi \rangle = j - i$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \chi \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (2n-i) - (2n-j) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i n - (2n-1) \geq 2n - (2n-1) > 0$$

for all  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \in \Phi(U, T)$ . Hence associated with  $W(n)$ ,  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  satisfies the positivity condition 2.9.

Associated with  $S(n)$ ,  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  still contains the reductive Lie subalgebra part isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$  along with the unipotent part  $\mathrm{Lie}(U) \subset W(n)_1$ . So the same arguments yield the assertion for  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  associated with  $S(n)$ .

**Remark 2.13.** Associated with  $\mathfrak{g} = H(n)$  and  $K(n)$ ,  $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  still turn out to be a connected semi-reductive group. However, the above argument is not available to the Hamiltonian algebra  $H(n)$  and the contact algebra  $K(n)$ . Indeed, for  $H(n)$  with  $n = 2m$ , take a maximal torus  $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h_i := x_i \partial_i - x_{i+m} \partial_{i+m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle$ , and  $\alpha_i$  the fundamental weight with  $\alpha_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Note that both  $x_1^2 \partial_{m+1}$  and  $x_{m+1}^2 \partial_1$  lie in  $H(n)_{[1]}$ , so that  $\pm 3\alpha_1 \in \Phi(U, T)$ . Hence, the positivity condition fails for  $H(n)$ . While for  $K(n)$  with  $n = 2m + 1$ , take a maximal torus  $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h_i := x_i \partial_i - x_{i+m} \partial_{i+m}, h_{m+1} := \sum_{j=1}^{2m} x_j \partial_j + 2x_{2m+1} \partial_{2m+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle$ , and  $\alpha_i$  the fundamental weight with  $\alpha_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m + 1$ . Note that both  $3x_1^2 x_{2m+1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \partial_{m+1} + x_1^3 x_{2m+1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{2m} x_j \partial_j - x_{2m+1} \partial_{2m+1})$  and  $3x_{m+1}^2 x_{2m+1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \partial_1 + x_{m+1}^3 x_{2m+1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{2m} x_j \partial_j - x_{2m+1} \partial_{2m+1})$  lie in  $K(n)_{[p]}$ , so that  $\pm 3\alpha_1 \in \Phi(U, T)$ . Hence, the positivity condition fails for  $K(n)$ .

Analogue to [5, Proposition 2.11], we have the following paralleling result for a semi-reductive algebraic group.

**Proposition 2.14.** *Suppose that  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  is a semi-reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  with a maximal torus  $T$  and satisfies the positivity condition 2.9. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(G_0)$ . Then for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  with the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition  $X = X_s + X_n$ , we have  $X_s \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_X}$ . In particular, if  $X$  is nilpotent, then  $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_X}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7, any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  under  $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ -conjugation lies in a fixed Borel subalgebra. We first fix a Borel subgroup  $B = B_0 \ltimes U$  such that the maximal torus  $T$  is contained in  $B_0 \subset G_0$ , and  $\mathrm{Lie}(B) = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)^+} (\mathfrak{g}_0)_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(U, T)} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$  (keeping in mind (2.2)). Without loss of generality, we might as well suppose  $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(B)$ . Consider its Jordan-Chevalley decomposition  $X = X_s + X_n$ . Then  $X_s, X_n \in \mathrm{Lie}(B)$ . By assumption, we can write

$$X = X_s + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)^+} X_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Phi(U, T)} X_\beta \quad (2.8)$$

where  $X_s \in \mathfrak{t}$ ,  $X_\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}_0)_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi(G_0, T)^+$  and  $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{u}_\beta$  for  $\beta \in \Phi(U, T)$ .

Then  $\mathrm{Ad}(t)(X) = X_s + \sum_{\gamma \in R^+} \gamma(t) X_\gamma$  for all  $t \in T$ , with  $R^+ := \Phi(G_0, T)^+ \cup \Phi(U, T)$ . Suppose  $\chi$  is a cocharacter satisfies the positivity condition 2.9. Then for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ , up to conjugation under  $G$ -action

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\chi(a))(X) = X_s + \sum_{\gamma \in R^+} a^{\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle} X_\gamma$$



with  $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle > 0$  for all  $\gamma \in R^+$ , which shows that we can extend the map  $a \mapsto \text{Ad}(\chi(a))X$  to a morphism  $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by  $0 \mapsto X_s$ . It follows that  $X_s \in \overline{G \cdot X}$  as claimed.  $\square$

### 3. CHEVALLEY RESTRICTION THEOREM

From now on, we always assume that  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ ) is a semi-reductive algebraic group (resp. semi-reductive Lie algebra) over  $\mathbb{F}$  of rank  $n$ .

3.1. Let  $\mathscr{W}$  be the Weyl group of  $G$  relative to  $T$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{W} = N_G(T)/C_G(T)$ , which can be identified with  $N_{G_0}(T)/C_{G_0}(T)$ . For each  $w \in \mathscr{W}$ , denote by  $\dot{w}$  the presentation of  $w$  in  $N_G(T)$ . Thanks to [5, §7.12] and [1, §11.12], the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For a given maximal torus  $T$ , let  $\mathfrak{h} := \text{Lie}(T)$ . Take  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ad}(G)(h) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{\dot{w}(h) \mid w \in \mathscr{W}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** ([5, Proposition 7.12]) *The map  $f \mapsto f|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  induces an injective homomorphism  $\Phi_0 : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathscr{W}}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras. This homomorphism is an isomorphism if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  or if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  and  $\alpha \notin 2X(T)$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of  $G_0$  relative to  $T$ .*

Consider the canonical projection  $\text{pr} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  and the imbedding  $\text{i} : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  for a given semi-reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $\text{pr}$  and  $\text{i}$  are both morphisms of algebraic varieties.

**Lemma 3.3.** *The comorphism  $\text{pr}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]$  induces an injective homomorphism  $\text{pr}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ , while  $\text{i}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]$  induces a surjective homomorphism  $\text{i}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$ , denote  $F = \text{pr}^*(f) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]$ . Equivalently,  $F(D + u) = f(D)$ , where  $D \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{u}$ . For any  $\sigma \in G$ , we have  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$  where  $\sigma_1 \in G_0$  and  $\sigma_2 \in U$ . Then  $\sigma \cdot (D + u) = \sigma_1 \cdot D + u'$ , where  $u' \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Moreover,

$$(\sigma^{-1} \cdot F)(D + u) = F(\sigma(D + u)) = F(\sigma_1 D + u') = f(\sigma_1 D) = f(D) = F(D + u).$$

Namely,  $F$  is  $G$ -invariant and  $\text{pr}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  is well-defined.

Since  $\text{i}^*$  is the restriction map, it is easy to verify that  $\text{i}^* : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$  is well-defined.

Note that  $\text{pr} \circ \text{i}$  is the identity map on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Therefore,  $\text{i}^* \circ \text{pr}^*$  is the identity map on  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$ . As a corollary,  $\text{pr}^*$  is injective and  $\text{i}^*$  is surjective.  $\square$

It follows from [2] that  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathscr{W}}$  is generated by  $n$  algebraically independent homogeneous elements (and the unit). Then  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$  is a polynomial algebra with  $n$  algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials  $\{f_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]$ . Denote  $F_i := \text{pr}^*(f_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then all  $F_i$  are homogeneous and algebraically independent, and  $\text{pr}^*(\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}) = \mathbb{F}[F_1, \dots, F_n]$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-reductive algebraic group satisfying the positivity condition 2.9. Then the map  $f \mapsto f|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  induces an injective homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathscr{W}}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras. This homomorphism is an isomorphism if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  or if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  and  $\alpha \notin 2X(T)$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of  $G_0$  relative to  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Phi = \Phi_0 \circ i^*$ . For each  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $X = X_s + X_n$  be the Jordan decomposition. It follows from Proposition 2.14 that  $f(X) = f(X_s)$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ . By Lemma 2.6, there is  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $X_s \in G \cdot h$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $G \cdot h \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{\dot{w}(h) \mid w \in \mathcal{W}\}$  for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . It follows that each  $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  is determined by  $f|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , which is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{W}$ . This implies that  $\Phi$  is injective.

On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3,  $\Phi$  is surjective if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  or if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  and  $\alpha \notin 2X(T)$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of  $G_0$  relative to  $T$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Suppose  $G$  is a semi-reductive algebraic group of rank  $n$  satisfying the positivity condition 2.9, and  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  or if  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  and  $\alpha \notin 2X(T)$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of  $G_0$  relative to  $T$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  is a polynomial algebra generated by  $n$  algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials.*

**3.2. Examples.** Theorem 3.4 entails the following good properties for those important examples we are interested and presented before.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any one from the following list:*

- (1) *the Lie algebra of an semi-reductive algebraic group  $G = \text{GL}(V) \times_{\nu} V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $\nu$  is the natural representation of  $\text{GL}(V)$  (see Example 2.10).*
- (3) *the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup  $P$  of a reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  with decomposition  $P = L \ltimes U$  such that the unipotent radical  $U$  contains no trivial weight space as an  $L$  module (see Example 2.11).*
- (3)  *$\text{Lie}(G)$  associated with  $W(n)$  and  $S(n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  (see Example 2.12).*

*Then the invariants of  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]$  under  $G$ -action must be a polynomial ring. More precisely,  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \cong \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathcal{W}}$  as  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebras, where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{W}$  coincides with the  $n$ -th symmetry group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  in Case (1) and Case (3).*

#### 4. APPLICATION I: COMPARISON WITH THE CENTER OF ENVELOPING ALGEBRA OF THE ENHANCED REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRA $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}(V)$

Suppose  $\text{ch}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$  in this section. Let  $G = \text{GL}(V) \times_{\nu} V$  be the enhanced general linear group as in Corollary 3.6(1). Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n) \oplus V$ .

4.1. For each  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ , we can write  $\det(t+x) = t^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_i(x)t^i$ . Then by the classical Chevalley restriction theorem (see [5, Proposition 7.9])

$$\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{gl}(n)]^{\text{GL}(n)} = \mathbb{F}[\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}].$$

For each  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , let  $\varphi_i := \text{pr}^*(\psi_i)$ . Namely,  $\varphi_i(g) := \psi_i(x)$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $g = x + v$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ ,  $v \in V$ . By Theorem 3.4,

$$S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G = \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{F}[\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}].$$

4.2. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a parabolic subalgebra of maximal dimension in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$  consisting of  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  zero-traced matrices with  $(n+1, k)$ -entries equal to zero for any  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

Consider two elements  $I = (\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $H = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n (E_{ii} - E_{n+1, n+1}) \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{F}I \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{F}H \oplus \mathfrak{p}'$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{p}' \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(n) \oplus M$ . Moreover, one can check that there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras:  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{p}$ , sending  $I$  to  $H$ .

By [6, Section 7],  $S(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathfrak{p}'} = \mathbb{F}[z]$  is a polynomial ring with one indeterminate where  $z$  is a harmonic element in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ . As in the proof of [6, Lemma 7.4],  $[H, z] = nz$ . Therefore, we have

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq S(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}[z]^H = \mathbb{F}. \quad (4.1)$$

We finally obtain the structure of the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \ltimes V$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n) \oplus V$ . Then the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is one-dimensional.*

*Proof.* It follows from Duflo's theorem [3] and (4.1) that  $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathbb{F}$ .  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATION II: NILPOTENT CONE AND STEINBERG MAP FOR SEMI-REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRAS

Denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ) the variety of all nilpotent elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ). Let  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]_+^G$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]_+^{G_0}$ ) be the subalgebra in  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$ ) consisting of all polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]^{G_0}$ ) with zero constant term. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{N}_0$  coincides the common zeros of all polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}_0]_+^{G_0}$  (see [5, Lemma 6.1]).

5.1. Suppose  $G$  satisfies the positivity condition 2.9 and the assumption on  $\text{ch}\mathbb{F}$  in Corollary 3.5. By this corollary, there exist  $n$  algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials  $F_i \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{F}[F_1, \dots, F_n]$ , of which the precise meaning can be seen in Theorem 3.4. Furthermore, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a semi-reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfying the positivity condition 2.9 and the assumption on  $\text{ch}\mathbb{F}$  in Corollary 3.5. Then*

$$\mathcal{N} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid f(X) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]_+^G\} = V(F_1, \dots, F_n).$$

Here and further, the notation  $V(F_1, \dots, F_n)$  stands for the algebraic set of common zeros of  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ .

*Proof.* Similar to [5, Section 6.1],  $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid f(X) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]_+^G\}$ . Actually Jantzen only deal with the nilpotent cone for reductive Lie algebras in [5], but his arguments remain valid for a general algebraic Lie algebra.

If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent,  $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_X}$  by Proposition 2.14. For each  $F \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]_+^G$ , since  $F$  is continuous and constant in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $F$  is constant in  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X}$ . Since  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F(x) = 0$ .

Thanks to [5, Section 6.1] and the definition of  $F_i$ ,

$$\mathcal{N} = V(F_1, \dots, F_n) = V(f_1, \dots, f_n) \times \mathfrak{u} = \mathcal{N}_0 \times \mathfrak{u}. \quad \square$$

So we have

**Proposition 5.2.** *Keep the notations and assumption as in Lemma 5.1. In particular,  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  denotes a connected semi-reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfying the positivity condition 2.9, and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . The following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{N}_0 \times \mathfrak{u}$  as varieties.
- (2) For any  $D \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $D = x + y$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{u}$ , we have the following decomposition of tangent spaces

$$T_D(\mathcal{N}) = T_x(\mathcal{N}_0) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$$

where  $\mathfrak{u}$  is regarded as its tangent space.

- (3) Let  $\mathcal{N}_{sm}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{0,sm}$ ) be the smooth locus of  $\mathcal{N}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ). We have following isomorphism of varieties:

$$\mathcal{N}_{sm} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{0,sm} \times \mathfrak{u}. \quad (5.1)$$

- (4)  $\mathcal{N}$  is irreducible and normal.

*Proof.* (1) follows from the proof of Lemma 5.1.

- (2) It is a direct consequence of (1).

- (3) Thanks to (1) and (2),  $\dim(\mathcal{N}) = \dim(\mathcal{N}_0) + \dim \mathfrak{u}$  and

$$\dim T_X(\mathcal{N}) = \dim T_{\text{pr}(X)}(\mathcal{N}_0) + \dim \mathfrak{u}, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Hence,  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  is smooth if and only if  $\text{pr}_0(X) \in \mathcal{N}_0$  is smooth. As a result, the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{N}_{sm}$  gives rise to the isomorphism (5.1).

- (4) Since  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is irreducible and normal, so is  $\mathcal{N}$  by (1).  $\square$

**5.2. The Steinberg map.** Define canonically an adjoint quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n, \\ D &\mapsto (F_1(D), \dots, F_n(D)), \quad \forall D \in \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

which we call the Steinberg map for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \mathfrak{g}_0 &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n, \\ x &\mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \end{aligned}$$

be the Steinberg map for  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Since  $F_i = \text{pr}^*(f_i)$ , we have  $\chi = \eta \circ \text{pr}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Keeping the notations and assumptions as in Corollary 5.1. In particular,  $G = G_0 \ltimes U$  be a connected semi-reductive algebraic group with  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  satisfying the positivity condition.*

- (1)  $\chi^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{N}_0 + \mathfrak{u}$ . In particular,  $\chi^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{N}$ .
- (2)  $\chi^{-1}(a) = \eta^{-1}(a) \times \mathfrak{u}$  for all  $a \in \chi(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular,  $\chi^{-1}(a)$  is irreducible of dimension  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - n$ .
- (3) For every  $a \in \chi(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\chi^{-1}(a)$  contains exactly one orbit consisting of semisimple elements.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are obvious.

(3) Fix a maximal torus  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thanks to Lemma 2.5, for all semisimple elements  $x_1, x_2 \in \chi^{-1}(a)$ , there exist  $g_i \in G$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $y_i := g_i \cdot x_i \in \mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Note that  $\eta(y_i) = \chi(y_i) = a$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is reductive. It follows from [5, Proposition 7.13] that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  lie in the same  $G_0$ -orbit, namely, there is  $g_0 \in G_0$  such that  $g_0 \cdot y_1 = y_2$ . Moreover,  $x_2 = g_2^{-1} \cdot g_0 \cdot g_1 \cdot x_1$ . As a result,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in the same  $G$ -orbit. Hence (3) holds.  $\square$

**5.3. Springer resolution for the semi-reductive case.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ) be the set of all Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ). Lemma 2.5 implies that  $\mathfrak{b}_0 \mapsto \mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  defines an isomorphisms between  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Recall that for fixed positive root system  $\Delta^+$ , denote by  $B^+ = B_0^+ \ltimes U$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{b}_0^+ \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ ) the corresponding Borel subgroup of  $G$  (resp. Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) where  $B_0^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{b}_0^+$ ) is the corresponding Borel subgroup of  $G_0$  (resp. Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ) (see §2.2). Set

$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B} \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$ . Similar to the case of reductive Lie algebras, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Keep notations as above, we have*

- (1)  $N_G(\mathfrak{b}^+) = B^+$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{B} \simeq G/B^+$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a projective variety.
- (3)  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \simeq \{(x, gB^+) \in \mathcal{N} \times G/B^+ \mid g^{-1}x \in \mathfrak{b}^+\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) For each  $x \in \mathfrak{b}_0$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{u}$ , suppose  $g \in G_0, h \in U$  such that  $gh(x+y) \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Note that  $h(x) = x + x'$ , where  $x' \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Therefore,  $gh(x+y) = g(x) + g(x') + gh(y)$ . Hence,  $g(x) \in \mathfrak{b}_0$  and  $g(x') + gh(y) \in \mathfrak{u}$ . It follows that  $g \in N_{G_0}(\mathfrak{b}_0) = B_0^+$  and  $N_G(\mathfrak{b}^+) \leq B_0^+ \ltimes U = B^+$ . Since  $\text{Lie}(B^+) = \mathfrak{b}^+$ ,  $B^+ \leq N_G(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ . Consequently,  $N_G(\mathfrak{b}^+) = B^+$ .

(2) follows from (1) and Lemma 2.5.

(3) follows from (2). □

Denote by  $\mathfrak{n}$  the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{b}^+$ , then  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  where  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  is the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{b}_0^+$ . One can check that  $B^+$  can act on  $G \times \mathfrak{n}$ , with  $x \cdot (g, n) = (x \cdot g^{-1}, x \cdot n)$  for  $x \in B^+$  and  $g \in G, n \in \mathfrak{n}$ . The arguments of [5, §6.5] still work in our case. Therefore, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 5.5.** *The projection  $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  makes  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  a  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle over  $\mathcal{B}$  with fiber  $\mathfrak{n}$ . The assignment  $(g, n) \mapsto (g \cdot n, gB^+)$  gives a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $G \times^{B^+} \mathfrak{n} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is smooth.*

**Lemma 5.6.** *The projection  $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a proper map.*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mu = \beta \circ \alpha$ , where  $\alpha : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B}$  is a closed immersion and  $\beta : \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is projection. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a projective variety,  $\mu$  is a projective morphism. Therefore  $\mu$  is proper. □

Let  $\mathcal{B}_X := \{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B} \mid X \in \mathfrak{b}\}$  for  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{0,x} := \{\mathfrak{b}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_0 \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}_0\}$  for  $x \in \mathcal{N}_0$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Suppose  $X = X_0 + X_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0, X_1 \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $\psi : \mathfrak{b}_0 \mapsto \mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{B}_{0,X_0}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_X$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $X \in \mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  if and only if  $X_0 \in \mathfrak{b}_0$ . The assertion follows immediately. □

**Lemma 5.8.** *If  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{sm}$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(n)$  contains exactly one element. Namely, there is unique Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $n$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 5.2 that  $n = n_0 + n_1$  for  $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{sm}$  and  $n_1 \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a reductive Lie algebra, there is exactly one Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that  $n_0 \in \mathfrak{b}_0$ .

Now suppose  $n \in \mathfrak{b}$  for a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  where  $\mathfrak{b}'$  is a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Since  $n_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$ , then  $\mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{b}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ . □

Thanks to Proposition 5.5, Lemmas 5.6 and 5.8,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is smooth and  $\mu$  is a proper birational morphism. As a consequence, the following result holds.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Keep notations as above,  $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a resolution of singularities.*

**Remark 5.10.** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_0 = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \times \mathcal{B}_0 \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$  be the Springer resolution of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . Assume  $G$  satisfies the positivity condition 2.9 and the assumption of  $\text{ch}\mathbb{F}$  as in Corollary 5.1, then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_0 \times \mathfrak{u}.$$

Actually, by Proposition 5.2,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \times \mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $(x, \mathfrak{b}_0, y) \mapsto (x + y, \mathfrak{b}_0 + \mathfrak{u})$  defines an isomorphism between  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_0 \times \mathfrak{u}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second Enlarged Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 126, Springer Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [2] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 778-782.
- [3] M. Duflo, *Opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe*, de Lie. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), 265-288.
- [4] J. Humphreys, *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [5] J. C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, Lie theory, 1-211, Progr. Math., 228, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [6] A. Joseph, *Second commutant theorems in enveloping algebras*, American Journal of Mathematics, 99 (1977), No. 6, 1167-1192.
- [7] A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Shafarevic, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 33(1969), 251-322.
- [8] D. Luna and R. W. Richardson, *A generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem*, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), 487-496.
- [9] Z. Lin and D. Nakano, *Algebraic group actions in the cohomology theory of Lie algebras of Cartan type*, J. Algebra 179 (1996), 852-888.
- [10] D. K. Nakano, *Projective modules over Lie algebras of Cartan type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1992), no. 470.
- [11] A. Premet, *The theorem on restriction invariants, and nilpotent elements in  $W_n$* , Math. USSR Sbornik Vol. 73 (1992), N0.1, 135-159.
- [12] A. Premet and H. Strade, *Classification of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras in prime characteristics* Representations of algebraic groups, quantum groups, and Lie algebras, 185-214, Contemp. Math., 413, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [13] Y. Ren, *The BGG Category for generalized reductive Lie algebras*, arXiv:2010.11849[Math.RT].
- [14] Y. Ren and B. Shu, *The center of enveloping algebras of generalized reductive Lie algebras*, preprint 2021.
- [15] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie algebras and their representations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [16] G. Shen, *Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. III. Irreducible modules*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 9 (1988), no. 4, 404-417.
- [17] S. Skryabin, *Independent systems of derivations and Lie algebra representations*, in: Algebra and Analysis (Kazan, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 115-150.
- [18] T. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Birkhauser Boston, 2nd edition, Boston, 1998.
- [19] B. Shu, Y. Xue and Y. Yao, *On enhanced groups (I): Parabolic Schur algebra and the dualities related to degenerate double Hecke algebras*, arXiv:2005.13152[Math.RT].
- [20] B. Shu and Y. Yao, *Irreducible representations of the generalized Jacobson-Witt algebras*, Algebra Colloq. 19 (2012), no. 1, 53-72.
- [21] H. Strade, *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic I. Structure theory*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.
- [22] C. Xue, *Double Hecke algebras and the related quantum Schur-Weyl duality*, preprint 2020.

- [23] R. Wilson, *Automorphisms of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type*, Comm. Algebra 3(1975), no. 7, 591-613.
- [24] Y. Yao and B. Shu, *Irreducible representations of the special algebras in prime characteristic* Representation theory, 273-295, Contemp. Math., 478, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [25] C. Zhang, *Representations of the restricted Lie algebras of Cartan type*, J. Algebra 290 (2005), no. 2, 408-432.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, KUNMING, 650221, CHINA.

*Email address:* keou@ynufe.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200241, CHINA.

*Email address:* bshu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 201306, CHINA.

*Email address:* yfyao@shmtu.edu.cn