

# Symmetry operators for the conformal wave equation

Finnian Gray,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Tsuyoshi Houri,<sup>3,†</sup> David Kubizňák,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> and Yukinori Yasui<sup>4,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Perimeter Institute, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5, Canada*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1*

<sup>3</sup>*National Institute of Technology, Maizuru College, Kyoto 625-8511, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Setsunan University, Osaka 572-8508, Japan*

(Dated: January 17, 2021)

We present covariant symmetry operators for the conformal wave equation in the (off-shell) Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes. These operators, that are constructed from the principal Killing–Yano tensor, its ‘symmetry descendants’, and the curvature tensor, guarantee separability of the conformal wave equation in these spacetimes. We next discuss how these operators give rise to a full set of mutually commuting operators for the conformally rescaled spacetimes and underlie the  $R$ -separability of the conformal wave equation therein. Then, by employing the WKB approximation to the ‘ $\alpha$ -modified conformal wave equation’ we derive an associated Hamilton–Jacobi equation with a scalar curvature potential term and show its separability in the Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetries, both explicit and hidden, play an important role in general relativity – in their presence one may be able to explicitly integrate the Einstein equations and/or significantly simplify the study of matter fields in a given curved spacetime. Perhaps one of the most remarkable symmetries is a hidden symmetry of the principal Killing–Yano tensor [1]. Such a symmetry appears for the Kerr family of spacetimes in all dimensions, or more precisely for all the so called (off-shell) Kerr–NUT–AdS metrics [2–4], and underlies many of their remarkable properties. In particular, it stands behind the separability of the massless and massive scalar, spinor, and vector field equations in the Kerr–NUT–AdS backgrounds [5–8] (see also [9] for a separability of  $p$ -form fields).

Most recently, it has been demonstrated [10] that also the conformally coupled scalar wave equation

$$(\square - \eta R)\Phi = 0, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{4} \frac{D-2}{D-1}, \quad (1)$$

separates in the general off-shell Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes. Here,  $D$  stands for the number of spacetime dimensions,  $R$  is the Ricci scalar of the background metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , and prefactor  $\eta$  is chosen so that the equation enjoys conformal symmetry, (see, e.g., appendix D of [11]). Namely, a solution to this equation remains a solution in a conformally scaled spacetime

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \Omega^2 \mathbf{g}, \quad (2)$$

provided it also scales as  $\tilde{\Phi} = \Omega^w \Phi$ , with the conformal weight  $w = 1 - D/2$ . This wave equation (1) has also

found use in a recent study of the asymptotic structure of Kerr spacetime via conformal compactification [12].

The purpose of the present paper is to elaborate on these results, filling some important gaps in the previous analysis. First, we ‘intrinsically characterize’ the separability of the conformal wave equation (1) in the Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetime by finding an explicit covariant form of the complete set of the corresponding symmetry operators – writing these symmetry operators in terms of the principal Killing–Yano tensor, its symmetry descendants, and the curvature tensor.<sup>1</sup> Second, we discuss how these operators “transform” under the conformal transformation (2), providing thus a complete set of commuting operators for the conformally rescaled spacetimes. Third, by applying the WKB approximation to the  $\alpha$ -modified wave equation (1) we derive an associated Hamilton–Jacobi equation with a scalar curvature potential,

$$g^{ab} \partial_a S \partial_b S + \eta R = 0, \quad (3)$$

and demonstrate its separability in the Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes.

The equation (3) has a long history, going back at least to a paper by DeWitt [14] which considers quantum Hamiltonians arising from classical systems. Therein, couplings to the geometrical objects can naturally arise. In a similar vein, the extra term we find in the Hamiltonian can arise due to ambiguities in operator ordering when quantizing non-linear systems [15]. It has also found use when considering the quantum mechanics of the motion of a free particle constrained to a Riemannian surface [16, 17]. Here we understand it as a purely classical equation that describes certain modification of the free particle motion in a curved space.

---

<sup>1</sup> This is for the first time, such operators are actually explicitly covariantly constructed. In the previous studies, such symmetry operators were constructed either in a coordinate basis, e.g. [10], or only implicitly – in terms of tensors that are required to obey certain differential equations, e.g. Theorem 6 in [13].

---

\* fgray@perimeterinstitute.ca

† t.houri@maizuru-ct.ac.jp

‡ dkubiznak@perimeterinstitute.ca

§ yukinori.yasui@mpg.setsunan.ac.jp

Our plan for the remainder of the paper is as follows. In the next section we review the Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes, their hidden symmetry of the principal Killing–Yano tensor, and its ‘symmetry descendants’. In Sec. III we construct the covariant form of the symmetry operators for the conformal wave equation in these spacetimes. The associated operators for the conformally rescaled metrics are studied in Sec. IV. In Sec. V we derive the Hamilton–Jacobi equation (3) and demonstrate its separability in Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes. Sec. VI is devoted to the final discussion. Technical results are summarized in Appendices A and B.

## II. PRINCIPAL KILLING–YANO TENSOR AND KERR–NUT–ADS SPACETIMES

The *principal Killing–Yano* tensor  $\mathbf{h}$  is a non-degenerate closed conformal Killing–Yano 2-form  $\mathbf{h}$  obeying the following equation:

$$\nabla_a h_{bc} = g_{ab} \xi_c - g_{ac} \xi_b, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\xi^a = \frac{1}{D-1} \nabla_b h^{ba} \quad (5)$$

is the associated primary Killing vector field [3].

Starting with a single principal Killing–Yano tensor  $\mathbf{h}$ , one can generate the whole towers of explicit and hidden symmetries – the ‘*symmetry descendants*’ of  $\mathbf{h}$ . In brief, we can construct the following tower of closed conformal Killing–Yano tensors:

$$\mathbf{h}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{j!} \underbrace{\mathbf{h} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{h}}_{j \text{ times}}. \quad (6)$$

Their Hodge duals  $\mathbf{f}^{(j)} = \star \mathbf{h}^{(j)}$  are Killing–Yano tensors, and their square gives rise to a tower of rank-2 Killing tensors:

$$k_{(j)}^{ab} = \frac{1}{(D-2j-1)!} f^{(j)a}_{c_1 \dots d_{d-2j-1}} f^{(j)bc_1 \dots c_{d-2j-1}} \quad (7)$$

for  $j \in (0, \dots, n-1)$ . In turn, these tensors give rise to the tower of Killing vectors:

$$\mathbf{l}_{(j)} = \mathbf{k}_{(j)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^b. \quad (8)$$

Note that the  $j=0$  Killing tensor is just the inverse metric and the zeroth Killing vector is the primary Killing vector,  $\mathbf{l}_{(0)} = \boldsymbol{\xi}$ . We also have in odd dimensions an extra redundant Killing tensor  $\mathbf{k}_{(n)} = \mathbf{l}_{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{(n)}$ .

All of the above constructed symmetries mutually Schouten–Nijenhuis commute

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{l}_{(i)}, \mathbf{k}_{(j)}]_{\text{SN}} &= 0, \quad [\mathbf{l}_{(i)}, \mathbf{l}_{(j)}]_{\text{SN}} = 0, \\ [\mathbf{k}^{(i)}, \mathbf{k}^{(j)}]_{\text{SN}} &= k_{e(a)}^{(i)} \nabla^e k_{bc}^{(j)} - k_{e(a)}^{(j)} \nabla^e k_{bc}^{(i)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In addition, the Killing tensors obey the following algebraic identity (i.e. they commute as matrices):

$$k_{(i)b}^a k_{(j)c}^b - k_{(j)b}^a k_{(i)c}^b = 0, \quad (10)$$

see [1] for all the details and proofs of the above statements.

The most general spacetime admitting the principal Killing–Yano tensor is the (*off-shell*) *Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetime* [2, 3] (see also [4]). Denoting by  $D = 2n + \varepsilon$  the total number of spacetime dimensions (with  $\varepsilon = 0$  in even and  $\varepsilon = 1$  in odd dimensions), the metric takes the following explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[ \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(j)} d\psi_j \right)^2 \right] \\ + \frac{\varepsilon c}{A^{(n)}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n A^{(k)} d\psi_k \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

while the principal Killing–Yano tensor reads

$$\mathbf{h} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n x_\mu dx^\mu \wedge \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k \right). \quad (12)$$

The employed coordinates  $\{x_\mu, \psi_k\}$  have a natural geometrical meaning associated with the principal Killing–Yano tensor. They split into (time and azimuthal angle) *Killing coordinates*  $\psi_k$  ( $k = 0, \dots, n-1+\varepsilon$ ) that correspond to the Killing vectors (8),

$$\mathbf{l}_{(k)} = \partial_{\psi_k}, \quad (13)$$

and the non-trivial (radial and longitudinal angle) coordinates  $x_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ ) that represent the ‘eigenvalues’ of  $\mathbf{h}$ , see [1].

In the above, the functions  $A^{(k)}$ ,  $A_\mu^{(j)}$ , and  $U_\mu$  are ‘symmetric polynomials’ of the coordinates  $x_\mu$ , and are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(k)} &= \sum_{\substack{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k=1 \\ \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k}}^n x_{\nu_1}^2 \dots x_{\nu_k}^2, \quad A_\mu^{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_j=1 \\ \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_j \\ \nu_i \neq \mu}}^n x_{\nu_1}^2 \dots x_{\nu_j}^2, \\ U_\mu &= \prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \mu}}^n (x_\nu^2 - x_\mu^2), \quad U = \prod_{\substack{\mu, \nu=1 \\ \mu < \nu}}^n (x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2) = \det(A_\mu^{(j)}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we have fixed  $A^{(0)} = 1 = A_\mu^{(0)}$ . Each metric function  $X_\mu$  is an unspecified function of a single coordinate  $x_\mu$ :

$$X_\mu = X_\mu(x_\mu). \quad (15)$$

Lastly, the constant  $c$  only appearing in odd dimensions is a free parameter.

Despite the fact that the metric is rather complex, its Ricci scalar takes a fairly simple form [18]

$$R = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{r_\mu}{U_\mu}, \quad (16)$$

where each function  $r_\mu$  depends only on a single variable  $x_\mu$ :

$$r_\mu = -X_\mu'' - \frac{2\varepsilon X_\mu'}{x_\mu} - \frac{2\varepsilon c}{x_\mu^4}. \quad (17)$$

The determinant of the metric reads

$$\sqrt{|g|} = (cA^{(n)})^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} U. \quad (18)$$

Importantly for our purposes the Killing tensors  $\mathbf{k}_{(j)}$  take the following coordinate form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{(j)} = & \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_\mu^{(j)} \left[ \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} \partial_{x_\mu}^2 + \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} \frac{(-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k}}{U_\mu} \partial_{\psi_k} \right)^2 \right] \\ & + \varepsilon \frac{A^{(j)}}{cA^{(n)}} \partial_{\psi_n}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $j = 0$  corresponds to the inverse metric,  $\mathbf{g}^{-1} = \mathbf{k}_{(0)}$ .

### III. SYMMETRY OPERATORS FOR THE CONFORMAL WAVE EQUATION

Recently it was shown [10], that a solution to the conformal wave equation (1) in the background (11) can be found in the multiplicative separated form,

$$\Phi = \prod_{\mu=1}^n Z_\mu(x_\mu) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} e^{i\Psi_k \psi_k}, \quad (20)$$

where  $\Psi_k$  are the Killing vector separation constants, and each of the  $Z_\mu$ , which is a function of the single corresponding variable  $x_\mu$ , obeys the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\mu'' + Z_\mu' \left( \frac{X_\mu'}{X_\mu} + \frac{\varepsilon}{x_\mu} \right) - \frac{Z_\mu}{X_\mu^2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k} \Psi_k \right)^2 \\ - \frac{Z_\mu}{X_\mu} \left( \eta r_\mu + \frac{\varepsilon}{c x_\mu^2} \Psi_n^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where  $C_k$  ( $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ) are the (non-trivial) separation constants and we have set  $C_0 = 0$ .

As also shown in [10], underlying this separability is a complete set of symmetry operators  $\{\mathcal{K}_{(j)}, \mathcal{L}_{(j)}\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_{(j)} = \nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b - \eta R_{(j)}, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(j)} = -i l_{(j)}^a \nabla_a, \quad (23)$$

that all mutually commute one of another,

$$[\mathcal{K}_{(k)}, \mathcal{L}_{(l)}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{L}_{(k)}, \mathcal{L}_{(l)}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{K}_{(k)}, \mathcal{K}_{(l)}] = 0, \quad (24)$$

and one of which is the wave conformal operator. Namely,  $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}\Psi = 0$  is the conformal wave equation (1). The fact that these commuting operators exist means that, there exists a common eigenfunction of these operators  $\Phi$  obeying

$$\mathcal{K}_{(j)}\Phi = C_j\Phi, \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(j)}\Phi = \Psi_j\Phi. \quad (26)$$

It is precisely this eigenfunction which is the separated solution (20). Note here, that, although  $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$  is conformally invariant the other operators are not, c.f. Eqs. (44) and (45) in Sec. IV. In particular, non-zero eigenvalues  $C_j$  and  $\Psi_j$  may be interpreted as setting a scale.

The operators  $\mathcal{L}_{(j)}$  are the standard scalar operators that are generated from Killing vectors  $\mathbf{l}_{(j)}$ . On the other hand, the Killing tensor operators  $\mathcal{K}_{(j)}$  pick up, in addition to the standard Killing tensor part  $\nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b$ , also an ‘anomalous conformal term’  $R_{(j)}$  which ensures the commutation with the conformal wave operator  $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ . In [10] an explicit coordinate expression for this term has been found, it reads

$$R_{(j)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{A_\mu^{(j)}}{U_\mu} r_\mu, \quad (27)$$

where  $r_\mu$  are the ‘Ricci scalar functions’ (17). However, no covariant expression for  $R_{(j)}$  has been given in [10].

Here we amend this situation. That is to say, we show in the appendix A that  $R_{(j)}$  are given in terms of the principal Killing–Yano tensor, its symmetry descendants, and the curvature tensor by the following covariant formula:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{(j)} = & k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} + \alpha_j k_{(j-1)}^{ac} h_c^b (d\xi)_{ab} - \beta_j l_{(j-1)}^a \xi_a \\ = & k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} - k_{(j-1)}^{ab} (\alpha_j h_a^c (d\xi)_{cb} + \beta_j \xi_a \xi_b), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where  $\xi = \mathbf{l}_{(0)}$  is the primary Killing vector (5), for  $j = 0$  we defined  $\mathbf{k}_{(-1)} \equiv 0 \equiv \mathbf{l}_{(-1)}$ , and the constants  $\alpha_j$  and  $\beta_j$  are given by

$$\alpha_j = n - j + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \beta_j = 2(n - j) \left( 1 - 2(n + \varepsilon - j) \right). \quad (29)$$

Interestingly these objects can be understood as follows. Let us define the following 1-forms  $\kappa^{(j)}$ :

$$\kappa_a^{(j)} = k_{(j)a}^b \nabla_b R. \quad (30)$$

Then, quantities  $R_{(j)}$  can be understood as ‘potentials’ for the above 1-forms:

$$\kappa_{(j)} = dR_{(j)}, \quad (31)$$

see appendix A for the proof. In fact, it is this property which underlies the commutation of the operators (22).

Given that  $[\nabla_a k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b, \nabla_c k_{(j)}^{cd} \nabla_d] f = 0$  [10, 19] for any scalar function  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{K}_{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{(j)}] f \\
&= -\eta \left( \left[ \nabla_a k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b, R_{(j)} \right] f + \left[ R_{(i)}, \nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b \right] f \right) \\
&= -\eta \left\{ \nabla_a (k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b (R_{(j)} f)) - R_{(j)} \nabla_a (k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b (f)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + R_{(i)} \nabla_a (k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b (f)) - \nabla_a (k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b (R_{(i)} f)) \right\} \\
&= -\eta \left\{ \nabla_a \left( f [k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b R_{(j)} - k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b R_{(i)}] \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\nabla_a f) \left( k_{(i)}^{ab} \nabla_b R_{(j)} - k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b R_{(i)} \right) \right\} \\
&= -\eta \left\{ \nabla_a \left( f [k_{(i)}^a{}_{(j)} b k_{(j)}^b{}_c - k_{(j)}^a{}_{(i)} b k_{(i)}^b{}_c] \nabla^c R \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\nabla_a f) (k_{(i)}^a{}_{(j)} b k_{(j)}^b{}_c - k_{(j)}^a{}_{(i)} b k_{(i)}^b{}_c) \nabla^c R \right\} \\
&= 0, \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the final step we have used the algebraic identity (10).

#### IV. CONFORMAL SYMMETRY OPERATORS

As mentioned in the introduction, the conformal wave equation (1) enjoys the conformal symmetry. That is, provided we have a solution  $\Phi$  in the spacetime  $\mathbf{g}$ , then

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Omega^w \Phi, \quad w = 1 - D/2 \tag{33}$$

is a solution of the same equation in the conformally rescaled spacetime

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \Omega^2 \mathbf{g}. \tag{34}$$

In particular, this means that (33) with  $\Phi$  given by (20) yields an *R-separated solution* of the conformal wave equation in any spacetime related to the off-shell Kerr–NUT–AdS metric by the conformal transformation (34).

It is interesting to ask if also such *R-separability* can be intrinsically characterized by some complete set of mutually commuting operators. In what follows we show that this is indeed the case – we explicitly construct such operators and show their mutual commutativity.

Namely, we will construct new operators  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)}\}$  which obey the following operator identities:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)} \Omega^w = \Omega^w \mathcal{K}_{(j)}, \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)} \Omega^w = \Omega^w \mathcal{L}_{(j)}. \tag{36}$$

By constructions such operators mutually commute, as we have

$$\left[ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(i)}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)} \right] \Omega^w = \Omega^w [\mathcal{K}_{(i)}, \mathcal{K}_{(j)}] = 0, \tag{37}$$

$$\left[ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(i)}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)} \right] \Omega^w = \Omega^w [\mathcal{K}_{(i)}, \mathcal{L}_{(j)}] = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\left[ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(i)}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)} \right] \Omega^w = \Omega^w [\mathcal{L}_{(i)}, \mathcal{L}_{(j)}] = 0. \tag{39}$$

Moreover, it follows that when  $\Phi$  satisfies the eigenvalue problem (25) in the spacetime  $\mathbf{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi} = \Omega^w \Phi$  given by (33) obeys the ‘associated’ eigenvalue problem:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)} \tilde{\Phi} = C_j \tilde{\Phi}, \tag{40}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)} \tilde{\Phi} = \Psi_j \tilde{\Phi} \tag{41}$$

in the conformal spacetime  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ .

To construct the operators  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)}\}$  obeying (35), we define the following tilded objects:<sup>2</sup>

$$\tilde{k}_{(j)}^{ab} = \Omega^{-2} k_{(j)}^{ab}, \quad \tilde{h}_{ab} = \Omega^2 h_{ab}, \quad \tilde{l}_{(j)}^a = \Omega^{-2} l_{(j)}^a, \tag{43}$$

and raise or lower their indices with the metric  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  and its inverse. We further denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}_a$  the covariant derivative in the spacetime  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  and by  $\tilde{R}_{ab}$  its Ricci tensor. With these at hand, the operators obeying (35) read

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(j)} := & \Omega^2 \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} + \eta \left[ \left( \tilde{\nabla}_a \tilde{\nabla}_b (\tilde{k}_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{k}_{(j)c}^c \tilde{g}^{ab}) \right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{D-4}{2} \left( \tilde{\nabla}_a \tilde{k}_{(j)c}^c \right) \tilde{g}^{ab} (\tilde{\nabla}_b \log \Omega) \right] \right\}, \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)} := \Omega^2 \left( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(j)} - w \tilde{l}_{(j)}^a (\tilde{\nabla}_a \log \Omega) \right), \tag{45}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(j)}$  are given by expressions (22), (23), and (28), with all the objects replaced by the tilded ones. Note that the quantity  $\tilde{\nabla}_b \left[ \tilde{k}_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{k}_{(j)c}^c \tilde{g}^{ab} \right]$  vanishes identically when  $\Omega \rightarrow 1$  due to the Killing tensor equation

$$\nabla_{(a} k_{bc)}^{(j)} = 0. \tag{46}$$

Moreover, note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(0)}$  is just a conformally rescaled  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{(0)}$ , highlighting the conformal invariance of this operator. However, this is no longer true for the other operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)}$  with  $j > 0$ , nor it is true for the operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{(j)}$ ; such operators are thence not conformally invariant. We refer to the appendix B for details on the construction of these operators.

We finally turn to study the natural extension of the Hamiltonian–Jacobi equation that arises from the the geometric optics (WKB) approximation of the conformal wave equation.

<sup>2</sup> We stress that these objects are not the conformal symmetries of the spacetime  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ , although it is possible to define such symmetries. Namely, the following objects:

$$k_{(j)}^{ab}, \quad \Omega^3 h_{ab}, \quad l_{(j)}^a, \tag{42}$$

are the conformal Killing tensors, conformal Killing–Yano 2-form, and conformal Killing vectors of the spacetime  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ . One could, of course, use these objects to define the new symmetry operators, however their complexity would greatly increase.

## V. ASSOCIATED HAMILTON–JACOBI EQUATION AND ITS SEPARABILITY

Consider the following ‘ $\alpha$ -modified conformal wave equation’:

$$(\alpha^2 \square - \eta R)\Phi = 0. \quad (47)$$

Then, upon employing the geometric optics ansatz

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 \exp\left(\frac{i}{\alpha} S\right), \quad (48)$$

while taking the WKB limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we arrive at the corresponding Hamilton–Jacobi equation:

$$g^{ab} \partial_a S \partial_b S + \eta R = 0. \quad (49)$$

This equation is obviously not conformally invariant, however, it is consistent with the particle Hamiltonian,

$$H = g^{ab} p_a p_b + \eta R. \quad (50)$$

See e.g. [14, 15] for how such a coupling to the Ricci scalar can arise from quantum corrections. The equations of motion for this Hamiltonian yield the following modified geodesic equation

$$\frac{Dp_a}{d\lambda} = -\eta \partial_a R. \quad (51)$$

Let us stress that the procedure of deriving (49) is similar to how one arrives at the massive Hamilton–Jacobi equation starting from the massive ( $\alpha$ -modified) Klein–Gordon one, e.g. [19]. There is, however, a fundamental difference. Namely, the  $\alpha$ -modified equation (47) is not conformally invariant, unless  $\alpha = 1$ . This is the reason why the WKB limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  does not produce a conformally invariant Hamilton–Jacobi equation. If instead, one started with the conformal wave equation, setting  $\alpha = 1$  in (47), the WKB approximation would then yield the massless Hamilton–Jacobi equation, which of course is conformally invariant.

In what follows we consider the Hamilton–Jacobi equation (49) of potential physical interest and show its separability in the off-shell Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes. Using the form of the inverse metric given by (19) for  $j = 0$ , the Hamilton–Jacobi equation (49) takes the following explicit form:

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[ \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} S_\mu'^2 + \frac{1}{U_\mu X_\mu} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k} \Psi_k \right)^2 \right] + \varepsilon \frac{1}{cA^{(n)}} \Psi_n^2 + \eta \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{r_\mu}{U_\mu} = 0, \quad (52)$$

where we have used the additive separation ansatz:

$$S = \sum_{\mu=1}^n S_\mu(x_\mu) + \sum_k \Psi_k \psi_k. \quad (53)$$

Using next the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{A^{(n)}} = \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{x_\mu^2 U_\mu}, \quad (54)$$

we can rewrite the previous equation as

$$\sum_{\mu} \frac{G_\mu}{U_\mu} = 0, \quad (55)$$

where

$$G_\mu = X_\mu S_\mu'^2 + \frac{1}{X_\mu} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k} \Psi_k \right)^2 + \varepsilon \frac{\Psi_n^2}{cx_\mu^2} + \eta r_\mu. \quad (56)$$

To proceed, we use the separation **Lemma**: *The most general solution of*

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{f_\mu(x_\mu)}{U_\mu} = 0, \quad (57)$$

where  $U_\mu$  is defined in (14), is given by

$$f_\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C_k (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k}, \quad (58)$$

where  $C_k$  are arbitrary (separation) constants. This yields the following ordinary differential equations for the separated solution:

$$\begin{aligned} X_\mu S_\mu'^2 + \frac{1}{X_\mu} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k} \Psi_k \right)^2 + \varepsilon \frac{\Psi_n^2}{cx_\mu^2} + \eta r_\mu \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C_k (-x_\mu^2)^{n-1-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Inverting this expression and identifying the canonical momenta  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{d}S$  the corresponding constants of motion of the modified geodesic equation (51) are given by

$$C_j = k_{ab}^{(j)} p^a p^b + \eta R_{(j)}, \quad (60)$$

where  $R_{(j)}$  are given by (28). It would be interesting to understand what these constants of motion represent physically, e.g. in a quantum system [14, 15], as this would give a natural interpretation for the functions  $R_{(j)}$ .

## VI. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have built on the previous work [10] to find covariant forms of the symmetry operators (22) and (23) of the conformal wave equation in the Kerr–NUT–AdS background (11). These operators are built out of the principal Killing–Yano tensor, its symmetry descendants, and the curvature tensor. Moreover their commutativity descends naturally from the commutation

properties of the Killing tensors and the special character of the Ricci scalar functions  $R_{(j)}$ , (28). We then showed how to construct a full set of mutually commuting symmetry operators (44) and (45) in the conformal spacetime. Although not conformal themselves, these operators guarantee the  $R$ -separability of the wave equation in any conformally related spacetime (2).

The conformal wave equation (1) is characterized by a specific value of  $\eta$ . In principle one can consider more general wave equations, where  $\eta$  takes any value. It is easy to see that all such equations still separate in the Kerr–NUT–AdS backgrounds; the operators (22) and (23) commute for any value of  $\eta$ . However, this is no longer true for the operators (44) and (45) – for general  $\eta$  the corresponding wave equations are not conformally invariant and we do not expect them to separate in an arbitrary conformally related spacetime. In this case, one could use the conformal properties outlined in appendix B to construct an equation which separates in the conformal spacetime, however there is no clear physical interpretation for such an equation.

We have also introduced a modified Hamilton–Jacobi equation for a single particle with a Ricci scalar potential term. This equation naturally arises from the WKB limit of the ‘ $\alpha$ -modified’ conformal wave equation. This limit breaks the conformal invariance and the resulting equation no longer enjoys conformal symmetry. We have shown that this equation also separates in the Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes – the corresponding non-trivial constants of motion are given by the Killing tensors and the scalar functions  $R_{(j)}$ , giving a natural setting for the interpretation of the latter.

In future, we would like to study the physical implications of the newly derived (non-minimal coupling) Hamilton–Jacobi equation. We also hope to extend the present results to understand separability of conformal fields with higher spin.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

F.G. acknowledges support from NSERC via a Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship. Y.Y. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan No.19K03877. This work was also supported by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic

Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. Perimeter Institute and the University of Waterloo are situated on the Haldimand Tract, land that was promised to the Haudenosaunee of the Six Nations of the Grand River, and is within the territory of the Neutral, Anishnawbe, and Haudenosaunee peoples.

## Appendix A: Covariant form of $R_{(j)}$

In this appendix we find the covariant form of  $R_{(j)}$  in Kerr–NUT–AdS spacetimes by starting from the explicit expressions in canonical coordinates (11). To start with we need an expression for the Ricci tensor. It is rather simple since it is diagonal in the orthonormal basis of the metric

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu &= \frac{dx_\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}, & \hat{e}^\mu &= \sqrt{Q_\mu} \sum_k A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k, \\ e^0 &= \sqrt{\frac{c}{A^{(n)}}} \sum_k A^{(k)} d\psi_k, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $Q_\mu = X_\mu/U_\mu$ . In fact it is given by [1, 18]

$$\mathbf{Ric} = - \sum_\mu \hat{r}_\mu (e^\mu e^\mu + \hat{e}^\mu \hat{e}^\mu) - \varepsilon \hat{r}_0 e^0 e^0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where we have introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_\mu &= \frac{\hat{X}_\mu'' + \frac{\varepsilon \hat{X}_\mu'}{x_\mu}}{2U_\mu} + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\nu \hat{X}_\nu' - x_\mu \hat{X}_\mu' - (1 - \varepsilon)(\hat{X}_\nu - \hat{X}_\mu)}{(x_\nu^2 - x_\mu^2) U_\nu}, \\ \hat{r}^0 &= \sum_\nu \frac{\hat{X}_\nu'}{x_\nu U_\nu}, & \hat{X}_\mu &= X_\mu + \varepsilon c/x_\mu^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Also in this basis the Killing tensors are diagonal too,

$$\mathbf{k}_{(j)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_\mu^{(j)} [e_\mu e_\mu + \hat{e}_\mu \hat{e}_\mu] + A^{(j)} e_0 e_0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Hence, using the identity

$$\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{A_\mu^{(j)} - A_\nu^{(j)}}{x_\nu^2 - x_\mu^2} = (n - j) A_\mu^{(j-1)}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{(j)} - k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} &= \sum_{\mu} \left[ \varepsilon \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j-1)} x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}} + 2 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j)}}{x_{\nu}^2 - x_{\mu}^2} \left( \frac{x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu} - (1 - \varepsilon) \hat{X}_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}} + \frac{x_{\nu} \hat{X}'_{\nu} - (1 - \varepsilon) \hat{X}_{\nu}}{U_{\nu}} \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{\mu} \left[ \varepsilon \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j-1)} x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}} + 2 \frac{x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu} - (1 - \varepsilon) \hat{X}_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j)} - A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{x_{\nu}^2 - x_{\mu}^2} \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{\mu} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j-1)}}{U_{\mu}} \left( [n - j + \varepsilon/2] x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu} - (n - j)(1 - \varepsilon) \hat{X}_{\mu} \right). \tag{A6}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since the Killing vectors satisfy  $\nabla_{(a} l_{b)}^{(j)} = 0$  the only information of their derivatives is contained in their exterior derivative. In particular, since in the orthonormal basis (A1)

$$l^{(j)} = \sum_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{(j)} \sqrt{Q_{\mu}} \hat{e}^{\mu} + \varepsilon A^{(j)} \sqrt{\frac{c}{A^{(n)}}} e^0, \tag{A7}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
dl^{(j)} &= \sum_{\mu} \left[ \left( A_{\mu}^{(j)} Q_{\mu} \frac{X'_{\mu}}{X_{\mu}} - \varepsilon \frac{2}{x_{\mu}} \frac{c A^{(j)}}{A^{(n)}} + 2 x_{\mu} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{Q_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{(j)} + Q_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{x_{\nu}^2 - x_{\mu}^2} \right) e^{\mu} \wedge \hat{e}^{\mu} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon 2 x_{\mu} \sqrt{Q_{\mu}} \sqrt{\frac{c}{A^{(n)}}} A_{\mu}^{(j-1)} e^{\mu} \wedge e^0 + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} 2 x_{\nu} \sqrt{Q_{\mu} Q_{\nu}} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j)} - A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{x_{\nu}^2 - x_{\mu}^2} e^{\nu} \wedge \hat{e}^{\mu} \right). \tag{A8}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover introducing the Killing co-potential

$$(D - 2j - 1) \omega_{ab}^{(j)} := k_{(j)a}^n h_{nb} = \sum_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{(j)} x_{\mu} e^{\mu} \wedge \hat{e}^{\mu}. \tag{A9}$$

which generates the Killing tensors [1]

$$l_{(j)}^a = \nabla_b \omega_{(j)}^{ba}, \tag{A10}$$

we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_j k_{(j-1)n}^a h^{nb} dl_{ab}^{(0)} - \beta_j l_{(j-1)}^a l_a^{(0)} &= 2 \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{U_{\mu}} \left( A_{\mu}^{(j-1)} (\alpha_j x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu} - \frac{\beta_j}{2} \hat{X}_{\mu}) - 2 \alpha_j \hat{X}_{\mu} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j)} - A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{x_{\nu}^2 - x_{\mu}^2} \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{\mu} \frac{A_{\mu}^{(j-1)}}{U_{\mu}} \left( (\alpha_j x_{\mu} \hat{X}'_{\mu} - \left( \frac{\beta_j}{2} + 2(n - j) \alpha_j \right) \hat{X}_{\mu}) \right). \tag{A11}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus choosing the coefficients to be

$$\alpha_j = n - j + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \beta_j = 2(n - j)(1 - 2(n + \varepsilon - j)), \tag{A12}$$

we obtain our covariant expression for  $R_{(j)}$

$$R_{(j)} = k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} + \alpha_j k_{(j-1)n}^a h^{nb} dl_{ab}^{(0)} - \beta_j l_{(j-1)}^a l_a^{(0)}, \tag{A13}$$

which matches the form in the text upon noting  $l_0 = \xi$ .

Moreover the derivative of  $R_{(j)}$  is particularly nice. We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_a R_{(j)} &\stackrel{a=\nu}{=} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial_{\nu} r_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{(j)}}{U_{\mu}} + 2 x_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{\frac{r_{\nu}}{U_{\nu}} + \frac{r_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} \\
&= \frac{r'_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{U_{\nu}} + 2 x_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{\frac{r_{\nu}}{U_{\nu}} + \frac{r_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2}. \tag{A14}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that one can also construct

$$\begin{aligned} k_{(j)a}{}^b \nabla_b R &\stackrel{a=\nu}{=} \sum_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{(j)} \partial_{\nu} \frac{r_{\nu}}{U_{\nu}} \\ &= \frac{r'_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)}}{U_{\nu}} + 2x_{\nu} A_{\nu}^{(j)} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{\frac{r_{\nu}}{U_{\nu}} + \frac{r_{\mu}}{U_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} \\ &= \nabla_a R_{(j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

Thus we have found a covariant expression for our symmetry operators' derivatives

$$\kappa_a^{(j)} := k_{(j)a}{}^b \nabla_b R = \nabla_a R_{(j)}. \quad (\text{A16})$$

Clearly  $\kappa$  is closed and also locally exact in all dimensions thus we can say that our  $R_{(j)}$  are the potentials for  $\kappa^{(j)}$  i.e.

$$\kappa^{(j)} = dR_{(j)}. \quad (\text{A17})$$

## Appendix B: Conformal Transformations

Given the spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$  we now consider a conformal transformation of the metric, Killing tensors, and scalar field  $(\mathbf{k}_{(j)} \rightarrow \Omega^{-2} \mathbf{k}_{(j)}, \Phi \rightarrow \Omega^w \Phi$  for  $w = 1 - D/2$ ) to the conformal spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}, \Omega)$ . The goal of this

section is to find a conformally covariant form of our wave operators

$$(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} - \eta R_{(j)}) \Phi, \quad \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} = \nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{4} \frac{D-2}{D-1}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Using the conformal properties of the Ricci tensor and covariant derivatives, we find the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi &\rightarrow \\ &\Omega^w \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} + w \nabla_a (k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b \log \Omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w(w-2+D) \nabla_a \log \Omega k_{(j)}^{ab} \nabla_b \log \Omega \right) \Phi \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2 k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} &\rightarrow \\ &k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} - \left[ (D-2) k_{(j)}^{ab} + k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right] \nabla_a \nabla_b \log \Omega \\ &\quad + (D-2) \left[ k_{(j)}^{ab} - k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right] \nabla_a \log \Omega \nabla_b \log \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Thence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2 \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi - \eta k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} \Phi \right) / \Phi &\rightarrow \\ \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi - \eta k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} \Phi \right) / \Phi &+ w (\nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab}) \nabla_b \log \Omega + ((w + \eta(D-2)) k_{(j)}^{ab} + \eta k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab}) [\nabla_a \nabla_b \log \Omega] \\ &+ (w(w-2+D) - (D-2)\eta) k_{(j)}^{ab} + (D-2) \eta k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} [\nabla_a \log \Omega \nabla_b \log \Omega] \\ &= \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi - \eta k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} \Phi \right) / \Phi + w (\nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab}) \nabla_b \log \Omega - \eta D \hat{k}_{(j)}^{ab} [(D-2) \nabla_a \log \Omega \nabla_b \log \Omega + \nabla_a \nabla_b \log \Omega]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

Here we have introduced the traceless Killing tensor  $\hat{k}_{(j)}^{ab} = k_{(j)}^{ab} - k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} / D$ . Clearly this vanishes when  $j = 0$  so the first operator is conformally invariant. Notice that the last term contains two derivatives of the conformal factor, so consider the term identically zero term (following from the Killing tensor equation)

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Under the transformation  $\mathbf{k}_{(j)} \rightarrow \Omega^2 \mathbf{k}_{(j)}$  this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2 \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) &\rightarrow \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) + (D+2) (\nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab}) \nabla_b \log \Omega \\ &\quad + D \hat{k}_{(j)}^{ab} [(D-2) \nabla_a \log \Omega \nabla_b \log \Omega + \nabla_a \nabla_b \log \Omega]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B6})$$

Thence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2 \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi - \eta \left[ k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} - \left\{ \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) \right\} \right] \Phi \right) / \Phi &\rightarrow \\ \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} \Phi - \eta \left[ k_{(j)}^{ab} R_{ab} - \left\{ \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) \right\} \right] \right. &+ (D-4) (\nabla_a k_{(j)}^{ab}) \nabla_b \log \Omega \left. \right) \Phi / \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

We now consider the conformal transformation of the final piece;

$$\mathcal{R}_{(j)} := \left( n - j + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) k_{(j-1)n}^a h^{nb} dl_{ab}^{(0)} + 2(n-j)(1-2(n+\varepsilon-j)) l_{(j-1)a}^a l_a^{(0)}. \quad (\text{B8})$$



If  $\mathbf{k}_{(j)} \rightarrow \Omega^{-2}\mathbf{k}_{(j)}$  consistency demands that  $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \Omega^2\mathbf{h}$  and that  $l_{(j)}^a \rightarrow \Omega^{-2}l_{(j)}^a$ . That is, one can show on a  $p$  form  $\star \rightarrow \Omega^{d-2p}\star$ . Assuming  $h \rightarrow \Omega^r h$ ;  $h^j \rightarrow \Omega^{jr} h^j$  then  $f^{(j)} = \star h^j \rightarrow \Omega^{d-4j+jr} f^{(j)}$ . So

$$k_{ab}^{(j)} \propto f_{ac_1 \dots c_{D-2j-1}} f_b^{c_1 \dots c_{D-2j-1}} \rightarrow \Omega^{2(d-4j+jr)+2(D-2j-1)} k_{ab}^{(j)} = \Omega^{2+2j(-2+r)} k_{ab}^{(j)}. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Hence demanding for all  $j$  that  $k_{ab}^{(j)} \rightarrow \Omega^2 k_{ab}^{(j)}$  fixes  $r = 2$ .

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{(j)} \rightarrow \Omega^{-2} \mathcal{R}_{(j)}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

Thus putting this all together

$$\Omega^2 \left[ \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} - \eta \left[ R_{(j)} - \left\{ \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) \right\} \right] \right) \Phi \right] / \Phi \rightarrow \left[ \left( \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{(j)} - \eta \left[ R_{(j)} - \left\{ \nabla_a \nabla_b \left( k_{(j)}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k_{(j)c}^c g^{ab} \right) \right\} - \frac{(D-4)}{2} (\nabla_a k_{(j)c}^c) g^{ab} \nabla_b \log \Omega \right] \right) \Phi \right] / \Phi, \quad (\text{B11})$$

which gives us the form we use in the main text.

- [1] V. Frolov, P. Krtous and D. Kubiznak, *Black holes, hidden symmetries, and complete integrability*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **20** (2017) 6 [1705.05482].
- [2] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Closed conformal Killing-Yano tensor and Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime uniqueness*, *Phys. Lett. B* **656** (2007) 214 [0708.1368].
- [3] P. Krtous, V. P. Frolov and D. Kubiznak, *Hidden Symmetries of Higher Dimensional Black Holes and Uniqueness of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 064022 [0804.4705].
- [4] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Generalized Kerr-NUT-de Sitter metrics in all dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **666** (2008) 391 [0805.0838].
- [5] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtouš and D. Kubizňák, *Separability of Hamilton-Jacobi and Klein-Gordon equations in general Kerr-NUT-AdS spacetimes*, *JHEP* **0702** (2007) 005 [hep-th/0611245].
- [6] T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Separability of Dirac equation in higher dimensional Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime*, *Phys. Lett.* **B659** (2008) 688 [0711.0078].
- [7] O. Lunin, *Maxwell's equations in the Myers-Perry geometry*, *JHEP* **12** (2017) 138 [1708.06766].
- [8] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtouš, D. Kubizňák and J. E. Santos, *Massive Vector Fields in Rotating Black-Hole Spacetimes: Separability and Quasinormal Modes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 231103 [1804.00030].
- [9] O. Lunin, *Excitations of the Myers-Perry Black Holes*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 030 [1907.03820].
- [10] F. Gray, I. Holst, D. Kubiznak, G. Odak, D. M. Pirvu and T. R. Perche, *Conformally Coupled Scalar in Rotating Black Hole Spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 084031 [2002.05221].
- [11] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*. Chicago Univ. Pr., Chicago, USA, 1984.
- [12] J. Hennig and R. Panosso Macedo, *Fully pseudospectral solution of the conformally invariant wave equation on a Kerr background*, 2012.02240.
- [13] N. Kamran and R. McLenaghan, *Separation of variables and symmetry operators for the conformally invariant klein-gordon equation on curved spacetime*, *letters in mathematical physics* **9** (1985) 65.
- [14] B. S. DeWitt, *Point transformations in quantum mechanics*, *Phys. Rev.* **85** (1952) 653.
- [15] M. Omote, *Point Canonical Transformations and the Path Integral*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **120** (1977) 325.
- [16] C. Destri, P. Maraner and E. Onofri, *On the definition of quantum free particle on curved manifolds*, *Nuovo Cim. A* **107** (1994) 237 [hep-th/9210027].
- [17] D. Lian, L. Hu and Q. Liu, *Identification of Geometric Potential from Quantum Conditions for a Particle on a Curved Surface*, 1701.08370.
- [18] N. Hamamoto, T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Kerr-NUT-de Sitter curvature in all dimensions*, *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007) F177 [hep-th/0611285].
- [19] A. Sergyeyev and P. Krtous, *Complete Set of Commuting Symmetry Operators for Klein-Gordon Equation in Generalized Higher-Dimensional Kerr-NUT-(A)dS Spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 044033 [0711.4623].