

EXTENSIONS OF DEFINABLE LOCAL HOMOMORPHISMS IN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURES AND SEMIALGEBRAIC GROUPS

ELIANA BARRIGA

ABSTRACT. We state conditions for which a definable local homomorphism between two locally definable groups \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}' can be uniquely extended when \mathcal{G} is simply connected (Theorem 2.1). As an application of this result we obtain an easy proof of [3, Thm. 9.1] (see Corollary 2.2). We also prove that Theorem 10.2 in [3] also holds for any definably connected definably compact semialgebraic group G not necessarily abelian over a sufficiently saturated real closed field R ; namely, that the o-minimal universal covering group \tilde{G} of G is an open locally definable subgroup of $\widetilde{H(R)^0}$ for some R -algebraic group H (Thm. 3.3). Finally, for an abelian definably connected semialgebraic group G over R , we describe \tilde{G} as a locally definable extension of subgroups of the o-minimal universal covering groups of commutative R -algebraic groups (Theorem 3.4).

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The study of definable and locally definable groups has been of importance in the research in model theory of o-minimal structures, and includes such classes as the semialgebraic and the subanalytic groups. The ordered real field $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot)$ as well as its expansion with the exponential function are examples of o-minimal structures [6].

Let \mathcal{M} be a sufficiently κ -saturated o-minimal structure. By definable we mean definable in \mathcal{M} .

A group is called *locally definable* if the domain of the group and the graph of the group operation are a countable unions of definable sets. Every n -dimensional locally definable group \mathcal{G} can be endowed with a unique *topology* τ making the group into a topological group such that any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ has a definable neighborhood definably isomorphic to M^n [16, Prop. 2.2]. From now on, any topological property on a locally definable group refers to this τ -topology, unless stated otherwise.

When a locally definable group is definable, its τ -topology agrees with the t -topology given by Pillay in [18, Prop. 2.5]. The t -topology exists for every group \mathcal{G} definable in an o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} (not necessarily saturated), and when \mathcal{N} o-minimally expands the reals, \mathcal{G} is a real Lie group [18].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03C64; 20G20; 22E15; 03C68; 22B99.

Key words and phrases. O-minimality, local homomorphisms, semialgebraic groups, real closed fields, algebraic groups, locally definable groups.

Supported by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) grants No. 181/16 and 1382/15.

A locally definable subset X of a locally definable group \mathcal{G} is τ -connected if X has no nonempty proper definable subset (τ -)clopen relative to X such that whose intersection with any definable subset of \mathcal{G} is definable. When \mathcal{G} is definable, by [18, Corollary 2.10], there is a unique maximal definably connected definable subset of \mathcal{G} containing the identity element of \mathcal{G} , which we call *the definable identity component of \mathcal{G}* , and we denoted it by \mathcal{G}^0 . Thus, \mathcal{G} is definably connected if and only if $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$, or, equivalently by [18], if \mathcal{G} has no proper definable subgroup of finite index. We say that a definable group \mathcal{G} is *definably compact* if every definable path $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ has limits points in \mathcal{G} (where the limits are taken with respect to the t -topology on \mathcal{G}).

The notions of *path connectedness*, *homotopy*, *o -minimal fundamental group*, and *simply connectedness* are defined as in algebraic topology using locally definable maps instead of general maps. For details on these definitions we refer the reader to [2].

As in the Lie setting [5], Edmundo and Eleftheriou defined and proved in [9] the existence of the *o -minimal universal covering group* $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of a connected locally definable group \mathcal{G} . As in Lie groups, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ covers any cover of \mathcal{G} in this category, is simply connected, and allows to study definable and locally definable groups through them.

In the theory of Lie groups, it is known that when two Lie groups are locally isomorphic, then their universal covers are (globally) isomorphic as Lie groups:

Fact 1.1. ([14, Corollary 4.20]) *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' be connected Lie groups, and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{Lie}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'^{Lie}$ their universal covering Lie groups respectively. Then \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are locally isomorphic if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{Lie}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'^{Lie}$ are isomorphic as Lie groups.*

We say that two topological groups \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are *locally homomorphic* if there are neighborhoods U and U' of the identities of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' respectively and a map $f : U \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow U' \subseteq \mathcal{H}'$ such that $f(hh') = f(h)f(h')$ whenever h, h' , and hh' belong to U [5, Definition 2, Chap. 2, Section 7]; such a map f is called a *local homomorphism* of \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H}' , and if in addition f is a homeomorphism, f is called a *local isomorphism*, and \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are *locally isomorphic*.

From a model-theoretical point of view, it is natural to ask whether Fact 1.1 holds or not in the category of locally definable groups.

For this, we will restrict the previous definitions to definable maps. However, if \mathcal{M} expands an ordered field $(R, <, +, 0, \cdot, 1)$, the additive group $(R, +)$ is definably locally isomorphic to the group $G = ([0, 1], +_{mod 1})$ with addition modulo 1 through the map $f : (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \subseteq (R, +) \rightarrow G$, $f(t) = t \bmod 1$, but $(R, +)$ and $\tilde{G} = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-n, n), +) \leq (R, +)$ are not isomorphic as locally definable groups ($(R, +)$ is definable, but \tilde{G} is not).

Fact 1.1 follows from a well-known result for topological groups that assures that a local homomorphism between topological groups with domain a connected neighborhood of the identity element of a simply connected group \mathcal{H} can be extended to a group homomorphism from the whole group \mathcal{H} :

Fact 1.2. ([5, Thm. 3, Chap. 2, Section 7]) *Let \mathcal{H} be a simply connected topological group. Let f be a local homomorphism of \mathcal{H} into a group \mathcal{H}' . If the set on which f is defined is connected, then it is possible to extend f to a homomorphism $\bar{f} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$.*

Again the above example of $(R, +)$ and $G = ([0, 1], +_{\text{mod } 1})$ with the definable local isomorphism $f : (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \subseteq (R, +) \rightarrow G$, $f(t) = t \text{ mod } 1$ shows that f cannot be extended to a locally definable homomorphism from $(R, +)$ into G (otherwise, the kernel, \mathbb{Z} , of such a (locally) definable homomorphism would be definable in \mathcal{M} , which is not possible). Therefore, Fact 1.2 does not hold in the category of locally definable groups.

Nevertheless, we were able to formulate a sufficient condition for a definable local homomorphism to extend to a locally definable homomorphism of the whole group. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be locally definable groups, U a definably connected definable neighborhood of the identity e of \mathcal{G} , and $f : U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ a definable local homomorphism. Assume that there is a definable neighborhood V of e generic in \mathcal{G} such that $V^{-1}V \subseteq U$. If \mathcal{G} is simply connected, then f is uniquely extendable to a locally definable group homomorphism $\bar{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$.*

Above a subset X of a group \mathcal{G} , locally definable in a κ -saturated o-minimal structure, is *left (right) generic* in \mathcal{G} if less than κ -many left (right) group translates of X cover \mathcal{G} ; i.e., $\mathcal{G} = AX$ ($\mathcal{G} = XA$) for some $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ with $|A| < \kappa$. X is *generic* if it is both left and right generic. A locally definable group may not have definable generic subsets; however, when it does, the group has interesting properties, see for example [10, Thm. 3.9].

Theorem 2.1 allows us to easily prove Corollary 2.2, a result on extension of a definable local homomorphism between abelian locally definable groups that we have previously proved in [3, Thm. 9.1] using different methods.

From now on until the end of this paper, let $R = (R, <, +, \cdot)$ be a sufficiently saturated real closed field, and denote by R_a its additive group $(R, +)$ and by R_m its multiplicative group of positive elements $(R^{>0}, \cdot)$.

Corollary 2.2 was applied in [3] to prove a characterization of the o-minimal universal covering group \tilde{G} of an abelian definably connected definably compact semialgebraic group G over R in terms of R -algebraic groups [3, Thm. 10.2] (see Fact 3.1).

In Section 3.1, we show that Theorem 10.2 in [3] also holds for any definably connected definably compact semialgebraic group over R not necessarily abelian (Thm. 3.3).

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a definably connected definably compact group definable in R . Then \tilde{G} is an open locally definable subgroup of $H(R)^0$ for some R -algebraic group H .*

By [17], every abelian torsion free semialgebraic group over R is definably isomorphic to $R_a^k \times R_m^n$ for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the results for torsion free and definably compact semialgebraic groups over R suggest asking ourselves the following.

Question 1.3. Let G be an abelian definably connected semialgebraic group over R . Is \tilde{G} an open locally definable subgroup of $H(R)^0$ for some R -algebraic group H ?

Although the above question remains open, we were able to prove:

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a definably connected semialgebraic group over R . Then there exist a locally definable group \mathcal{W} , commutative R -algebraic groups H_1, H_2 such that \tilde{G} is a*

locally definable extension of \mathcal{W} by $H_2(R)^0$ where \mathcal{W} is an open subgroup of $\widetilde{H_1(R)^0}$. In fact, $H_2(R)^0$ is isomorphic to $R_a^k \times R_m^n$ as definable groups for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Where we say that a locally definable group \mathcal{G} is a *locally definable extension* of \mathcal{G}' by \mathcal{G}'' if we have an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow 1$ in the category of locally definable groups with locally definable homomorphisms [8, Section 4].

2. AN EXTENSION OF A DEFINABLE LOCAL HOMOMORPHISM BETWEEN LOCALLY DEFINABLE GROUPS

Recall that we are working in a sufficiently κ -saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be locally definable groups, U a definably connected definable neighborhood of the identity e of \mathcal{G} , and $f : U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ a definable local homomorphism. Assume that there is a definable neighborhood V of e , generic in \mathcal{G} , such that $V^{-1}V \subseteq U$. If \mathcal{G} is simply connected, then f is uniquely extendable to a locally definable group homomorphism $\bar{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Since \mathcal{G} is path connected, there is a locally definable path $\omega : I = [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\omega(0) = e$, $\omega(1) = x$. Note that since V is generic in \mathcal{G} , then the topological interior of V is also generic in \mathcal{G} – this fact might be followed from Lemma 2.22 of [13], for example –. So replacing V with its topological interior, we now have that V is an open neighborhood of e and generic in \mathcal{G} . By the genericity of V in \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{G} = A \cdot V$ for some $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $|A| < \kappa$. As $\omega(I)$ is a definable subset of $A \cdot V$, saturation yields that there is a finite set $A_0 \subseteq A$ such that $\omega(I) \subseteq A_0 \cdot V$. Then, $I = \bigcup_{a \in A_0} \omega^{-1}(a \cdot V)$. As V is an open neighborhood, $\omega^{-1}(a \cdot V)$ is also an open neighborhood of some element of I .

Since \mathcal{M} is an o-minimal structure, $\omega^{-1}(a \cdot V)$ is a finite union of points and intervals. Then $\{\omega^{-1}(a \cdot V) : a \in A_0\}$ is a collection of open intervals in I . Thus we can choose a division of I into subintervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ and $(\omega[t_i, t_{i+1}]) \subseteq a_i V$ for some $a_i \in A_0$. So, for $t, t' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $\omega(t) = a_i v$, $\omega(t') = a_i v'$ for $v, v' \in V$, and $\omega(t)^{-1} \omega(t') = v^{-1} v' \in V^{-1}V \subseteq U$.

For such a locally definable path ω and division define

$$\bar{f}_\omega(x) := f\left(\omega(t_0)^{-1} \omega(t_1)\right) f\left(\omega(t_1)^{-1} \omega(t_2)\right) \cdots f\left(\omega(t_{n-1})^{-1} \omega(t_n)\right).$$

Now, we will show that \bar{f}_ω is invariant under refinements of the division of I .

Let $t' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ be a new subdivision point. Since $\omega(t_i)^{-1} \omega(t')$, $\omega(t')^{-1} \omega(t_{i+1}) \in U$ and f is a local homomorphism, $f\left(\omega(t_i)^{-1} \omega(t')\right) f\left(\omega(t')^{-1} \omega(t_{i+1})\right) = f\left(\omega(t_i)^{-1} \omega(t_{i+1})\right)$.

Hence, given two subdivisions of I , we can consider a refinement common to these, then \bar{f}_ω does not depend on the subdivisions of I .

Now, we will show that \bar{f}_ω is determined independently of the choice of a path ω . Let $\omega' : I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be another locally definable path connecting e and x . Since \mathcal{G} is simply connected, there is a locally definable homotopy $\Gamma : I \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ between ω and ω' with $\Gamma(t, 0) = \omega(t)$ and $\Gamma(t, 1) = \omega'(t)$. As $\Gamma(I \times I)$ is a definable subset of $A \cdot V$, again

saturation implies that there is a finite set $A_1 \subseteq A$ such that $\Gamma(I \times I) \subseteq A_1 \cdot V$. So, $I \times I \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A_1} \Gamma^{-1}(a \cdot V)$. By continuity of Γ , for every $(t, t') \in I \times I$, there is $I_i \times I_j \subseteq I \times I$ such that $(t, t') \in I_i \times I_j$, $\Gamma(I_i \times I_j) \subseteq a_{i,j}V$ for some $a_{i,j} \in A_1$. Therefore, we can partition I in a finite number of subintervals I_i such that $\Gamma(I_i \times I_j) \subseteq a_{i,j}V$ for some $a_{i,j} \in A_1$. As the subintervals I_i are finite and cover I , we may assume that $I_i = [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that if $(s, t), (s', t') \in I_i \times I_j$, then $\Gamma(s, t)^{-1} \Gamma(s', t') = (a_{i,j}v)^{-1} a_{i,j}v' = v^{-1}v' \in U$. Let $\omega_i(t) := \Gamma(t, \frac{i}{n})$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. So $\omega_0(t) = \omega(t)$, $\omega_n(t) = \omega'(t)$. Since f is a local homomorphism, then $\bar{f}_{\omega_i}(x) = \bar{f}_{\omega_{i+1}}(x)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Therefore, \bar{f}_ω is determined independently of the choice of a path ω , and denote it by \bar{f} .

Now, let $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\omega, \gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ locally definable paths connecting e and x , and e and y , respectively. Then the locally definable path $\sigma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $\sigma(t) := x\gamma(t)$ connects x with xy . Let $\omega * \sigma$ denote the concatenation of the paths ω and σ . Then, $\bar{f}_\omega(x) \bar{f}_\gamma(y) = \bar{f}_{\omega * \sigma}(xy)$, namely $\bar{f}(x) \bar{f}(y) = \bar{f}(xy)$, so \bar{f} is a group homomorphism.

Next, we will see that \bar{f} is an extension of f . As U is definably connected, so is path connected [2], then if $x \in U$, there is a locally definable path $\omega : I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\omega(0) = e$, $\omega(1) = x$, and $\omega(I) \subseteq U$. As \bar{f} does not depend on the subdivisions of I , let $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 1$, then it is clear that $\bar{f}(x) = f(\omega(t_0)^{-1} \omega(t_1)) = f(x)$.

Now, let h be another extension of f . Let $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G} : h(g) = \bar{f}(g)\}$. Then $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is a locally definable subgroup of \mathcal{G} , and $U \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{G}}$. As U is generic in \mathcal{G} , U generates \mathcal{G} [10, Fact 2.3(2)], then $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{G}}$, so $h = \bar{f}$. Therefore, f is uniquely extendable to a locally definable group homomorphism from \mathcal{G} into \mathcal{G}' .

Finally, observe that \bar{f} is a locally definable map on \mathcal{G} . For this note that since \bar{f} is a group homomorphism, $\bar{f}(x^{-1}y) = \bar{f}(x)^{-1} \bar{f}(y) = f(x)^{-1} f(y)$ for every $x, y \in U$, then \bar{f} restricted to $\prod_n U^{-1}U$ is a definable map. And as $\mathcal{G} = \langle U \rangle = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_n U^{-1}U$, then \bar{f} is a locally definable map on \mathcal{G} . \square

For a locally definable group \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{M} , we denote by \mathcal{G}^{00} the smallest, if such exists, type-definable subgroup of \mathcal{G} of index smaller than κ , where for a small set we mean a subset of M^n with cardinality smaller than κ ([11]). \mathcal{G}^{00} may not exist (see an example in [10, Subsection 2.2]). For definable groups such a type-definable group always exists [19].

With Theorem 2.1, it is easy to prove the following result, which was previously demonstrated in [3] using a different technique.

Corollary 2.2. ([3, Thm. 9.1]) *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be two abelian locally definable groups such that \mathcal{G} is connected, torsion free, and \mathcal{G}^{00} exists. Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be a definably connected definable set such that $\mathcal{G}^{00} \subseteq U$, and $f : U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ a definable local homomorphism. Then f is uniquely extendable to a locally definable group homomorphism $\bar{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$.*

Proof. We will just check that the assumptions are enough to apply Theorem 2.1. First, we will see that \mathcal{G} is simply connected. Since \mathcal{G}^{00} exists, then \mathcal{G} is definably generated, and by [10, Thm 3.9], \mathcal{G} covers an abelian definable group. Claim 6.4 in [3] yields that \mathcal{G} is simply connected since \mathcal{G} is also torsion free. Now, as $\mathcal{G}^{00} \subseteq U$, by saturation, there is a

definable set \overline{V} such that $\mathcal{G}^{00} \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq \overline{V}^{-1}\overline{V} \subseteq U$. Let V be the topological interior of \overline{V} in \mathcal{G} , which is definable, then $\mathcal{G}^{00} \subseteq V \subseteq V^{-1}V \subseteq U$ since \mathcal{G}^{00} is open in \mathcal{G} . Therefore, V is a definable neighborhood of the identity in \mathcal{G} open in \mathcal{G} , generic in \mathcal{G} , and $V^{-1}V \subseteq U$. Finally, Theorem 2.1 implies that there is a unique extension $\overline{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ f that is a locally definable group homomorphism. \square

3. UNIVERSAL COVERS OF DEFINABLY LOCAL HOMOMORPHIC LOCALLY DEFINABLE GROUPS

As in [3], Corollary 2.2, together with other results in [3], implies the following fact, and establishes a relation between the o-minimal universal covering groups of two definably locally homomorphic locally definable groups. This result could be interpreted as an analogue in the category of locally definable groups of the known fact that two connected locally homomorphic Lie groups have isomorphic universal covering Lie groups (Fact 1.1).

Fact 3.1. ([3, Thm. 10.1]) *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be two divisible abelian connected locally definable groups such that \mathcal{G}^{00} exists and \mathcal{G}^{00} is a decreasing intersection of ω -many simply connected definable subsets of \mathcal{G} .*

Let $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be a definable set with $\mathcal{G}^{00} \subseteq X$, and $f : X \subseteq \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ a definable homeomorphism and local homomorphism. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is an open locally definable subgroup of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}'}$.

An important corollary of the above result is the characterization of the o-minimal universal covers of the abelian definably connected definably compact semialgebraic groups over R in terms of algebraic groups.

Fact 3.2. ([3, Thm. 10.2]) *Let G be an abelian definably connected definably compact group definable in R . Then \widetilde{G} is an open locally definable subgroup of $H(R)^0$ for some Zariski-connected R -algebraic group H .*

In fact, the algebraic group H in Fact 3.2 is commutative since G is abelian, and by Theorem 4.1 in [3], G and $H(R)^0$ are definably locally homomorphic.

3.1. Universal cover of a definably compact semialgebraic group. Now, we will show that Fact 3.2 also holds for every definably connected definably compact R -definable group not necessarily abelian. For this, we will use several results of Hrushovski, Peterzil, and Pillay in [12] together with the abelian case Fact 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a definably connected definably compact group definable in R . Then \widetilde{G} is an open locally definable subgroup of $H(R)^0$ for some R -algebraic group H .*

Proof. By [12, Corollary 6.4], G is definably isomorphic to the almost direct product of S and G_0 where S is some definably connected semisimple definable group, and G_0 is some abelian definably connected definably compact group, so $G \simeq (G_0 \times S)/F$ for some finite central subgroup $F \subseteq G_0 \times S$. Therefore, $G_0 \times S$ is a finite cover of G , then $\widetilde{G} \simeq \widetilde{G_0 \times S}$. Since the o-minimal fundamental group for locally definable groups (see [2]) has the property that the group $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_1, g_1) \times \pi_1(\mathcal{G}_2, g_2)$ is isomorphic to the group $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2, (g_1, g_2))$

for $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ locally definable groups and g_1, g_2 elements in $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$, respectively, then if \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are simply connected, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}_1} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{G}_2}$ as locally definable groups. Hence, $\widetilde{G_0 \times S} \simeq \widetilde{G_0} \times \widetilde{S}$.

Now, by [12, Fact 1.2(3)], the center $Z(S)$ of S is finite and $S/Z(S)$ is definably isomorphic to a direct product $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ of finitely many definably simple groups S_i 's. By [12, Fact 1.2(1)], $S_i \simeq H_i(R_i)^0$ for some real closed field R_i definable in R and some R_i -algebraic group H_i . But, by [15, Thm. 1.1], $R_i \simeq R$. Let $H_\star := H_1 \times \dots \times H_n$, then $H_\star(R)^0 \simeq H_1(R)^0 \times \dots \times H_n(R)^0 \simeq S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$; namely, every semisimple semialgebraic group S over R is up to its center $H_\star(R)^0$ for some R -algebraic group H_\star . Thus, $\widetilde{S} \simeq H_\star(R)^0$.

Now, by Fact 3.2, $\widetilde{G_0} \leq \widetilde{H_{\star\star}(R)^0}$ for some R -algebraic group $H_{\star\star}$, then $\widetilde{G} \simeq \widetilde{G_0} \times \widetilde{S} \leq \widetilde{H_{\star\star}(R)^0} \times \widetilde{H_\star(R)^0} \simeq \widetilde{H(R)^0}$ for $H := H_{\star\star} \times H_\star$. Note that \widetilde{G} and $\widetilde{H(R)^0}$ have the same dimension, then \widetilde{G} is, up to isomorphism of locally definable groups, an open locally definable subgroup of $\widetilde{H(R)^0}$ for some R -algebraic group H . □

3.2. Universal cover of an abelian semialgebraic group. In this subsection, we will prove that the o-minimal universal covering group of an abelian definably connected semialgebraic group over R is a locally definable (group) extension, in the category of locally definable groups (see [8, Section 4] for basics on locally definable extensions), of an open locally definable subgroup of $H_1(R)^0$ by $H_2(R)^0$ for some R -algebraic groups H_1, H_2 . This will mainly follow by the characterization of abelian groups definable in o-minimal structures [7] and our previous results in this work.

Recall that for the sufficiently saturated real closed field $R = (R, <, +, \cdot)$, R_a denotes the additive group $(R, +)$, and R_m the multiplicative group of positive elements $(R^{>0}, \cdot)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a definably connected semialgebraic group over R . Then there exist a locally definable group \mathcal{W} , commutative R -algebraic groups H_1, H_2 such that \widetilde{G} is a locally definable extension of \mathcal{W} by $H_2(R)^0$ where \mathcal{W} is an open subgroup of $H_1(R)^0$. In fact, $H_2(R)^0$ is isomorphic to $R_a^k \times R_m^n$ as definable groups for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By [7], G is a definable extension of some abelian definably compact definably connected definable group K by the maximal torsion free normal definable subgroup T of G : $1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$. By [3, Thm. 10.2], $\widetilde{K} \leq \widetilde{H_1(R)^0}$ for some commutative R -algebraic group H_1 .

By [17], T is definably isomorphic to $R_a^k \times R_m^n$ for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. So in particular, $T \simeq H_2(R)^0$ for $H_2 = (R(\sqrt{-1}), +)^k \times (R(\sqrt{-1}), \cdot)^n$. Thus, so far we have that $1 \rightarrow H_2(R)^0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K \rightarrow 1$ with $\widetilde{K} \leq \widetilde{H_1(R)^0}$ for some commutative R -algebraic groups H_1, H_2 .

By [17, Thm. 5.1], there is a continuous definable section $s : K \rightarrow G$ (continuous with respect with their τ -topologies). Then the map $\varphi : H_1(R)^0 \times K \rightarrow G$, $\varphi(h, k) = hs(k)$ is a definable homeomorphism with inverse $\varphi^{-1}(g) = \left(g(s(\pi(g)))^{-1}, \pi(g)\right)$ for $g \in G$. Here the direct product $H_1(R)^0 \times K$ has the product topology, and the groups K , G , and the subgroup $H_1(R)^0 \leq G$ have the τ -topology ([16]) which coincides with the t -topology ([18]) for definable groups.

Let f be the definable two-cocycle associated with the section s , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f : K \times K &\rightarrow H_1(R)^0 \\ (k_1, k_2) &\mapsto s(k_1) s(k_2) (s(k_1 k_2))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then, G is definably isomorphic to the group $(H_1(R)^0 \times K, \cdot_f)$ with group operation given by

$$(h, k) \cdot_f (h', k') = (hh' f(k, k'), kk'),$$

through the definable group isomorphism φ .

Let $p_K : \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ be the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism of K , and $\text{id} : H_1(R)^0 \rightarrow H_1(R)^0$ the identity map on $H_1(R)^0$.

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K} &\rightarrow H_1(R)^0 \\ (\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2) &\mapsto f(p_K(\tilde{k}_1), p_K(\tilde{k}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The two-cocycle condition ([7, Eq. 3, Section 3]) of f implies the same condition for \tilde{f} , thus the group $(H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}})$ with group operation given by

$$(h, \tilde{k}_1) \cdot_{\tilde{f}} (h', \tilde{k}_2) = (hh' \tilde{f}(\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2), \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2)$$

induced by \tilde{f} is a locally definable group. Let $i : H_1(R)^0 \rightarrow H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}$ be the map $h \mapsto (h, 1)$, and $\pi_2 : H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ the projection map into the second coordinate. So far we have that

$$1 \rightarrow H_2(R)^0 \xrightarrow{i} (H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \tilde{K} \rightarrow 1$$

is a locally definable extension. Note that the locally definable group $(H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}})$ is connected because $H_1(R)^0$ and \tilde{K} are both connected [8, Corollary 4.8(ii)].

Now, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ (\text{id} \times p_K) : (H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}}) &\rightarrow G \\ (h, \tilde{k}) &\mapsto \varphi(h, p_K(\tilde{k})) = hs(p_K(\tilde{k})) \end{aligned}$$

is a locally definable covering map. The abelianity of G and the definition of \tilde{f} let easily to conclude that $\varphi \circ (\text{id} \times p_K)$ is also a group homomorphism. Hence, $\varphi \circ (\text{id} \times p_K) : (H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}}) \rightarrow G$ is a locally definable covering homomorphism.

Next, we will see that $(H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}})$ is simply connected. Note that, by [4, Proposition 5.14], \tilde{K} is torsion free. So $H_1(R)^0$ and \tilde{K} are both torsion free, and therefore $(H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}})$ is too. Finally, [3, Claim 6.4] yields the simply connectedness of the group $(H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}})$, then

$$\varphi \circ (\text{id} \times p_K) : (H_1(R)^0 \times \tilde{K}, \cdot_{\tilde{f}}) \rightarrow G$$

is the o-minimal universal covering homomorphism of G . We conclude the proof of the theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I warmly thank Assaf Hasson and Kobi Peterzil for their support, generous ideas, and kindness during this work. I also want to express my gratitude to the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel joint with its warm and helpful staff for supporting my research.

The main results of this paper have been presented at the Israel Mathematical Union (IMU) virtual meeting 2020 on September 6th, 2020 (Israel), the Logic virtual seminar of the Università degli Studi della Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli” on May 28th, 2020 (Campania, Italy), and the Logic seminar of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Jerusalem, Israel) on December 11th, 2019.

This research was funded by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) grants No. 181/16 and 1382/15.

REFERENCES

- [1] Elías Baro and Mário J. Edmundo. Corrigendum to: “Locally definable groups in o-minimal structures” [J. Algebra **301** (2006), no. 1, 194–223; mr2230327] by Edmundo. *J. Algebra*, 320(7):3079–3080, 2008.
- [2] Elías Baro and Margarita Otero. Locally definable homotopy. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 161(4):488–503, 2010.
- [3] Eliana Barriga. Definably compact groups definable in real closed fields. *Israel J. Math.*, 238:121–166, 2020.
- [4] Alessandro Berarducci, Mário Edmundo, and Marcello Mamino. Discrete subgroups of locally definable groups. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 19(3):719–736, 2013.
- [5] Claude Chevalley. *Theory of Lie Groups. I.*, volume 8 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.
- [6] Lou van den Dries. *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, volume 248 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [7] Mário J. Edmundo. Solvable groups definable in o-minimal structures. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 185(1-3):103–145, 2003.
- [8] Mário J. Edmundo. Locally definable groups in o-minimal structures. *J. Algebra*, 301(1):194–223, 2006.
- [9] Mário J. Edmundo and Pantelis E. Eleftheriou. The universal covering homomorphism in o-minimal expansions of groups. *MLQ Math. Log. Q.*, 53(6):571–582, 2007.

- [10] Pantelis E. Eleftheriou and Ya'acov Peterzil. Definable quotients of locally definable groups. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 18(4):885–903, 2012.
- [11] Ehud Hrushovski, Ya'acov Peterzil, and Anand Pillay. Groups, measures, and the NIP. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(2):563–596, 2008.
- [12] Ehud Hrushovski, Ya'acov Peterzil, and Anand Pillay. On central extensions and definably compact groups in o-minimal structures. *J. Algebra*, 327:71–106, 2011.
- [13] Jana Maříková. Type-definable and invariant groups in o-minimal structures. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 72(1):67–80, 03 2007.
- [14] Mamoru Mimura and Hiroshi Toda. *Topology of Lie groups I and II*, volume 91. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1991.
- [15] M. Otero, Y. Peterzil, and A. Pillay. On groups and rings definable in o-minimal expansions of real closed fields. *Bulletin of The London Mathematical Society*, 28:7–14, 1996.
- [16] Ya'acov Peterzil and Sergei Starchenko. Definable homomorphisms of abelian groups in o-minimal structures. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 101(1):1–27, 2000.
- [17] Ya'acov Peterzil and Sergei Starchenko. On torsion-free groups in o-minimal structures. *Illinois J. Math.*, 49(4):1299–1321 (electronic), 2005.
- [18] Anand Pillay. On groups and fields definable in o-minimal structures. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 53(3):239–255, 1988.
- [19] Saharon Shelah. Minimal bounded index subgroup for dependent theories. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(3):1087–1091, 2008.

ELIANA BARRIGA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BE'ER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

Email address: barrigat@post.bgu.ac.il