

of the relations. According to the philosophy of Turaev and Witten, quantizations of the character variety, such as the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$, should be of a 3-dimensional nature. Indeed, elements of $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ are represented by linear combinations of framed oriented links K in the 3-dimensional thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$. These elements, called skeins, are subject to certain skein relations, depending on the parameter q and the integer n , such as the HOMFLYPT relation from knot theory [FYH⁺85, PT87]. The skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ has the advantage of being natural, but is difficult to work with in practice.

The second quantization, due to Chekhov and Fock [Foc97, FC99] and Kashaev [Kas98] in the case of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and due to Fock and Goncharov [FG06a, FG06b, FG09] in the case of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, is the quantum $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$. At the classical level, Fock and Goncharov [FG06b] introduced a framed version $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{S})_{\mathrm{FG}}$ of the $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety, whose points can roughly be thought of as points of the character variety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{S})$ equipped with additional linear algebraic data attached to the punctures of \mathfrak{S} . Associated to each ideal triangulation λ of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} is a coordinate chart $U_\lambda \cong (\mathbb{C} - \{0\})^N$ for $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{S})_{\mathrm{FG}}$ parametrized by N non-zero complex coordinates X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N where the integer N depends only on the topology of the surface \mathfrak{S} and the rank of the Lie group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. By lifting from $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, a choice of coordinates X_i determines an equivalence class of a representation $\rho(X_i) : \pi_1(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ well-defined up to the action of the cohomology group $H^1(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, for each oriented closed curve $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathfrak{S})$, the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(X_i)(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined up to multiplication by a n -root of unity. They also constructed, for each immersed oriented closed curve γ' in \mathfrak{S} , a Laurent polynomial $\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}(\gamma')(X_i^{\pm 1/n}) \in \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$ in formal n -roots of the variables X_i , which we call the classical trace polynomial associated to the immersed curve γ' . This satisfies the property that if one chooses n -roots $X_i^{1/n} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ of given coordinates X_i , and if $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathfrak{S})$, then the above trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(X_i)(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is equal to the evaluated Laurent polynomial $\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}(\gamma')(X_i^{\pm 1/n})$ up to a n -root of unity, for any immersed representative γ' of γ . At the quantum level, Fock and Goncharov defined, for each ideal triangulation λ of \mathfrak{S} , a non-commutative algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\lambda)$ consisting of rational fractions in formal n -roots of q -deformed versions X_i^q of their coordinates X_i , which no longer commute but q -commute. The quantum character variety $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ associated to \mathfrak{S} is obtained by gluing together these algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\lambda)$ by rational transition maps. In practice, we work with the quantum torus sub-algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^q(\lambda) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\lambda)$ of Laurent polynomials in $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\lambda)$. The quantum character variety $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ has the advantage of being easier to work with than the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$, however it is less intrinsic.

It is natural to seek a q -deformed version Tr_γ^q of the trace functions, assigning to a closed curve γ a Laurent polynomial in the q -deformed Fock-Goncharov coordinates X_i^q . Indeed, the existence of quantum holonomies is implicit in [Wit89]. Following Turaev and Witten's philosophy, to construct a quantum trace one is led from the 2-dimensional setting of curves γ on the surface \mathfrak{S} to the 3-dimensional setting of knots K in the thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$.

Conjecture 1 (Quantum trace map). *Fix a n^2 -root $\omega = q^{1/n^2} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ of q . For each ideal triangulation λ of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} , there exists an injective algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega : \mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$$

from the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ of the surface \mathfrak{S} to the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$ associated to the ideal triangulation λ , satisfying the property that if $q = \omega = 1$ and if K is

a blackboard-framed oriented link with ℓ components in the thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^1([K]) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}(\gamma_j)(X_i^{\pm 1/n}) \in \mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$$

recovers from the skein $[K]$ the product of the classical trace polynomials $\widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}(\gamma_j)(X_i^{\pm 1/n})$ associated to the immersed oriented closed curves γ_j in \mathfrak{S} obtained by projecting to $\mathfrak{S} \cong \mathfrak{S} \times \{1/2\}$ the components K_j in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ of the blackboard-framed oriented link K .

Moreover, this assignment is natural with respect to the action of the mapping class group of the surface \mathfrak{S} . More precisely, if λ' is a different choice of ideal triangulation of \mathfrak{S} and if

$$\Theta_{\lambda\lambda'}^\omega : \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^\omega(\lambda') \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^\omega(\lambda)$$

is the corresponding rational transition map between the fraction algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^\omega(\lambda')$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_n^\omega(\lambda)$, then for each skein $[K] \in \mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ the rational map $\Theta_{\lambda\lambda'}^\omega$ sends the Laurent polynomial $\mathrm{Tr}_{\lambda'}^\omega([K])$ to the Laurent polynomial $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega([K])$.

Conjecture 1 is due to Chekhov and Fock [Foc97, CF00] in the case $n = 2$, and was proved by hand in that case by Bonahon and Wong [BW11b]. For other work constructing quantum traces, making connections to Gaiotto, Moore, and Neitzke's theory of spectral networks [GMN13], see [Gab17, KLS20, NY20].

In Theorem 20, we prove a slightly weaker version of Conjecture 1 in the case $n = 3$.

Theorem 2. *Fix a 3²-root $\omega = q^{1/3^2} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ of q . For each ideal triangulation λ of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} , there exists a mapping*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega : \{\text{isotopy classes of framed oriented links } K \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\lambda)$$

satisfying the property that if $q = \omega = 1$ and if K is a blackboard-framed oriented link, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^1(K) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{\mathrm{Tr}}(\gamma_j)(X_i^{\pm 1/3}) \in \mathcal{T}_3^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/3}, X_2^{\pm 1/3}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/3}].$$

In addition, this assignment satisfies the HOMFLYPT skein relation for $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$.

Our proof is also by hand, generalizing the strategy of Bonahon and Wong. In particular, we rely on computer assistance to do some of the calculations, whose additional complexity owes to our working in the higher rank setting; see Appendix A. Along the way, we also propose a definition for the mapping $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ in the more general setting of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. In that setting, the obvious generalization of Theorem 2, namely the statement obtained by replacing 3 with n everywhere in Theorem 2, should also hold. The difficulty in proving this more general version is more a matter of complexity than of definitions. Its proof depends on establishing a host of algebraic identities about $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in the non-commutative algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$. This is where a computer comes in handy in the case $n = 3$.

Bonahon and Wong's construction in the case $n = 2$ is implicitly related to the theory of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ or, more precisely, of its Hopf dual SL_n^q , which is also known as the quantized coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_n)$; see [Mon93, Kas95, Maj95, KS97, BG02]. However, they do not make this connection explicit. In some sense, this was possible due to the highly symmetric nature of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, in order to construct the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ one is compelled to more fully embrace the theoretical aspects of quantum groups. Moreover, it appears that there are deeper interactions at play between quantum

group theory and higher Teichmüller theory, which make the construction possible. In a companion paper [Dou] we explore one such interaction, by establishing a local building block result that explains conceptually, in terms of the dual quantum group SL_n^q , some of the aforementioned non-commutative identities in the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$; see Theorem 14. For another recent and independent appearance of these identities in the context of quantum integrable systems, see [CS20], motivated by [SS19, SS17]. In particular, their point of view should lead to a proof of the general $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -version of Theorem 2. For other related works in Poisson and quantum geometry, see [FG06a, FG09, GSV09, GS19].

It remains to upgrade Theorem 2, and its $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -generalization, to Conjecture 1. This poses a number of challenges, some of which we discuss now.

We recall that an element of the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ is represented by a formal linear combination of framed oriented links K in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$. It follows that the mapping $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ from Theorem 2 can be extended by linearity to define a mapping, also called $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$, from the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ to the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$. In order for this extended map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ to be well-defined, one has to check that all of the skein relations in $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ are satisfied. In Theorem 2, we check this only for the HOMFLYPT relation. It turns out that, for $n > 2$, the skein relations are most efficiently and conceptually expressed not in terms of links K , but webs W , which are certain n -valent graphs embedded in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$; see, in particular, [Sik01, Sik05]. As for links, a web W represents an element $[W]$ of the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$. Note that, in particular, the skein $[W]$ can be represented as a linear combination of links K . Thus, to check that the mapping $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ satisfies the skein relations, it is desirable to be able to compute $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega([W])$ directly from the web W without re-writing W in terms of links. In fact, this computation of the quantum trace $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega([W])$ for webs W comes automatically from the construction of the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant [RT90] for the dual quantum group SL_n^q .

Independently, Lê [Lê19, Lê18, CL19] also recently [Lê] constructed a $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega : \mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$. His approach applies the upcoming joint work [LS] with Sikora on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -stated skein algebras; see also [Hig20]. A slightly more general construction than ours, Lê defines his quantum trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega([W])$ for webs W in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$. However, when evaluated on links K , his map agrees (possibly up to choices of conventions) with the map for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ that we define in this article. Since, as discussed previously, webs can be written as a linear combination of links in the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$, it follows that our two maps $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ agree on the whole skein algebra. Also recently, in the case $n = 3$, Kim [Kim20] extended our map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ from links to webs; for another related upcoming work, see [IY].

Another challenge is to establish the injectivity of the mapping $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$ in Conjecture 1. In fact, this is essentially a problem about the classical geometry of the character variety. Indeed, this property is not even evident for $q = 1$, and in the case of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the proof for arbitrary q is more or less the same as the proof for $q = 1$; see [BW11b, §8]. In the general case of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, by employing the same strategy as for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ one quickly arrives at the well-known problem of explicitly constructing and coordinatizing a linear basis, indexed by planar web diagrams on \mathfrak{S} , for the algebra of functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{S})]$ on the character variety when $q = 1$, or essentially equivalently for the skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$ when q is arbitrary; see [Prz91, HP93, Thu14] for the $n = 2$ case, and [Kup96, SW07, Fon12, FKK13, CKM14] for the higher rank case. This problem is also intimately related to the so-called classical and quantum Fock-Goncharov Duality Conjecture [FG06b, FG09, GS15, GHKK18, GS18, GS19]. For recent progress on these closely related problems, see [FS20, Dou20, DS20a, Kim20, DS20b, Sun]. In particular, the work [Dou20, DS20a, DS20b, Sun], motivated by [Kup96, Xie13, GS15],

develops the key ingredient going into the proof of the injectivity of the mapping Tr_λ^ω , namely constructing coordinates for webs, and is also related to the naturality property appearing in Conjecture 1; as a future application, these works, together with the $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map Tr_λ^ω , should yield a construction of a linear basis for the $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_n^q(\mathfrak{S})$.

Bonahon and Wong [BW16, BW11a] applied the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map as a tool to explore the representation theory of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra $\mathcal{S}_2^q(\mathfrak{S})$, which is relevant to the study of quantum invariants of 3-dimensional manifolds [Wit89, RT91, Lic93, BHMV95]; more recently, see [FKBL19, GJS19a, GJS19b]. In addition, the quantum trace map can be used to give simple proofs of fundamental properties of skein algebras that are often difficult to prove otherwise; see [AF17, PS19]. It was also an essential ingredient in [AK17] which studied the quantum Fock-Goncharov Duality Conjecture in the case $n = 2$, along the way demonstrating impressive positivity properties; see also [All19, CKKO20]. We expect that $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum traces can be similarly applied in the higher rank setting.


ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the constant support of my Ph.D. advisor Francis Bonahon, to whom I am extremely grateful for the very many hours of his time that he most likely could have better spent on “applied differential geometry” (a.k.a. hiking) rather than putting up with me. Many thanks also go out to Sasha Shapiro and Thang Lê, for informing me about related research and for enjoyable conversations, as well as to Dylan Allegretti and Zhe Sun, who helped me fine-tune my ideas during a very pleasant visit to Tsinghua University in Beijing, supported by a GEAR graduate internship grant.


1. CLASSICAL TRACE POLYNOMIAL

We associate a Laurent polynomial $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/n})$ in commuting formal n -roots $X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}$ to each immersed oriented closed curve γ in the surface \mathfrak{S} , where N depends only on the topology of \mathfrak{S} and the rank of the Lie group $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

1.1. Topological setup. Let \mathfrak{S} be an oriented *punctured surface* of finite topological type, namely \mathfrak{S} is obtained by removing a finite subset P , called the set of *punctures*, from a compact oriented surface $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$. In particular, note that \mathfrak{S} may have non-empty boundary, $\partial\mathfrak{S} \neq \emptyset$. We require that there is at least one puncture, that each component of $\partial\mathfrak{S}$ is punctured, and that the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathfrak{S})$ of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} satisfies $\chi(\mathfrak{S}) < d/2$ where d is the number of components of $\partial\mathfrak{S}$. Note that each component of $\partial\mathfrak{S}$



(A) Four times punctured sphere



(B) Once punctured torus

FIGURE 1. Ideal triangulations ($\partial\mathfrak{S} = \emptyset$)

is an *ideal arc*. These topological conditions guarantee the existence of an *ideal triangulation* λ of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} , namely a triangulation $\bar{\lambda}$ of the surface $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}$ whose vertex set is equal to the set of punctures P . See Figure 1 for some examples of ideal triangulations. The ideal triangulation λ consists of $\epsilon = -3\chi(\mathfrak{S}) + 2d$ edges E and $\tau = -2\chi(\mathfrak{S}) + d$ triangles \mathfrak{T} .

To simplify the exposition, we always assume that λ does not contain any *self-folded triangles*. Consequently, each triangle \mathfrak{T} of λ has three distinct edges. Such an ideal triangulation λ always exists, except in a handful of small examples. Our results should generalize to allow for self-folded triangles, requiring only minor adjustments.

1.2. Discrete triangle. The *discrete n -triangle* Θ_n is

$$\Theta_n = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3; \quad a, b, c \geq 0, \quad a + b + c = n\}$$

as shown in Figure 2.

The *interior* of the n -discrete triangle is

$$\text{int}(\Theta_n) = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3; \quad a, b, c > 0, \quad a + b + c = n\}.$$





FIGURE 2. Discrete triangle ($n = 5$)

1.3. Dotted ideal triangulations. Let the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} be equipped with an ideal triangulation λ , and let $N = \epsilon(n-1) + \tau(n-1)(n-2)/2$; see §1.1.


The associated *dotted ideal triangulation* is the pair consisting of λ together with N distinct *dots* attached to the edges E and triangles \mathfrak{T} of λ , where there are $n-1$ *edge-dots* attached to each edge E and $(n-1)(n-2)/2$ *triangle-dots* attached to each triangle \mathfrak{T} . For each triangle \mathfrak{T} together with its three boundary edges E_1, E_2, E_3 , these dots are arranged as the vertices of the discrete n -triangle Θ_n (minus its three corner vertices) overlaid on top of the ideal triangle \mathfrak{T} ; see Figures 3 and 4. Note that we draw *boundary-dots* as small squares and *interior-dots* as small disks.

Given a triangle \mathfrak{T} of λ , which inherits an orientation from the orientation of \mathfrak{S} , and given an edge E of \mathfrak{T} , it makes sense to talk about whether one edge-dot on E is *to the left* or *to the right* of another edge-dot on E as viewed from the triangle \mathfrak{T} ; see Figure 3b.

We always assume that we have chosen an ordering for the N dots lying on the dotted ideal triangulation λ , so that it makes sense to talk about the i -th dot, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.



(A) Four times punctured sphere



(B) Ideal triangle

FIGURE 3. Dotted ideal triangulations ($n = 3$)




FIGURE 4. Dotted ideal triangulation ($n = 4$)

1.4. **Classical polynomial algebra.** Let the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} be equipped with a dotted ideal triangulation λ .

Definition 3. The *classical polynomial algebra* $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$ associated to the dotted ideal triangulation λ is the commutative algebra of Laurent polynomials generated by formal n -roots $X_i^{1/n}$ and their inverses. We think of the generator $X_i^{1/n}$ as associated to the i -th dot lying on λ . As for dots, see §1.3, we speak of *edge-* and *triangle-generators* as well as *boundary-* and *interior-generators*. Elements $X_i^{\pm 1} = (X_i^{\pm 1/n})^n$ of $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ are called *coordinates*. We often indicate edge-coordinates with the letter Z instead of X .

Remark 4. The coordinates X_i in the classical polynomial algebra are, intuitively speaking, algebraizations of Fock and Goncharov’s geometric coordinates for the framed $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{S})_{\mathrm{FG}}$; see the Introduction. There is a caveat, which is that in the classical geometric setting the Fock-Goncharov coordinates X_i are only associated to the interior-dots (in other words, not to the boundary-dots), whereas in the quantum algebraic setting there are coordinates X_i associated to the boundary-dots as well.

In the theory of cluster algebras [FZ02], these boundary-variables are often called *frozen variables* [FG06a, FG06b, FWZ16]. From the classical geometric point of view, one might think of the frozen boundary-coordinates as having the “potential” to become “actual” unfrozen interior-coordinates if the surface-with-boundary \mathfrak{S} were included inside the interior of a larger surface \mathfrak{S}' . It is perhaps surprising that, at the quantum algebraic level, the inclusion of boundary-coordinates is an essential conceptual step that needs to be taken in order to witness the emergence of local quantum properties, as we will see later on.

1.6. Local monodromy matrices. Consider a dotted ideal triangle \mathfrak{T} , which we think of as sitting inside a larger dotted ideal triangulation λ ; see Figure 3. We assign $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$ to various “short” oriented arcs lying on the surface \mathfrak{S} .

Recall that we think of the n -discrete triangle Θ_n (§1.2) as overlaid on top of the triangle \mathfrak{T} , so that there is a one-to-one correspondence between coordinates $X_i = X_{abc}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ and vertices (a, b, c) in $\Theta_n - \{(n, 0, 0), (0, n, 0), (0, 0, n)\}$ (in other words, in the n -discrete triangle Θ_n minus its three corner vertices). Note that X_{abc} is a triangle-coordinate if and only if (a, b, c) is an interior point $(a, b, c) \in \text{int}(\Theta_n)$, otherwise X_{abc} is an edge-coordinate.

We will use the following notation for writing products of matrices. Given a family \mathbf{M}_i of $n \times n$ matrices, put

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=M}^N \mathbf{M}_i &= \mathbf{M}_M \mathbf{M}_{M+1} \cdots \mathbf{M}_N, & \prod_{i=N+1}^M \mathbf{M}_i &= 1 & (M \leq N), \\ \prod_{i=N}^M \mathbf{M}_i &= \mathbf{M}_N \mathbf{M}_{N-1} \cdots \mathbf{M}_M, & \prod_{i=M-1}^N \mathbf{M}_i &= 1 & (M \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

First, consider a *left-moving arc* $\bar{\gamma}$, as shown in Figure 5. We assume $\bar{\gamma}$ has no kinks (Figures 18 and 19). Define the associated *left matrix* $\mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}(X_i\text{'s})$ in $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$ by

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}(X_i\text{'s}) = \prod_{i=n-1}^1 \left(\mathbf{S}_1^{\text{left}} \prod_{j=2}^i \mathbf{S}_j^{\text{left}}(X_{(j-1)(n-i)(i-j+1)}) \right) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{S}_j^{\text{left}}(X_{abc})$ is the j -th left triangle-shearing matrix; see §1.5.




FIGURE 5. Left matrix

Next, consider a *right-moving arc* $\bar{\gamma}$, as shown in Figure 6. We assume $\bar{\gamma}$ has no kinks. We define the associated *right matrix* $\mathbf{M}^{\text{right}}(X_i\text{'s})$ in $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$ by

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{right}}(X_i\text{'s}) = \prod_{i=n-1}^1 \left(\mathbf{S}_1^{\text{right}} \prod_{j=2}^i \mathbf{S}_j^{\text{right}}(X_{(i-j+1)(n-i)(j-1)}) \right) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{S}_j^{\text{right}}(X_{abc})$ is the j -th right triangle-shearing matrix; see §1.5.

Continuing, consider an *edge-crossing arc* $\bar{\gamma}$, as shown on the left hand or right hand side of Figure 7. Let Z_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, be the j -th edge-coordinate, measured from right to




FIGURE 6. Right matrix

left as seen by the triangle out of which the arc is moving. Define the associated *edge matrix* $\mathbf{E}(Z_j\text{'s})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$ by

$$\mathbf{E}(Z_j\text{'s}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{S}_j^{\mathrm{edge}}(Z_j) \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{S}_j^{\mathrm{edge}}(Z_j)$ is the j -th edge-shearing matrix; see §1.5. Notice that if the orientation of the edge-crossing arc is reversed, then the edge matrix changes by permuting the coordinates Z_j according to the rule $Z_j \leftrightarrow Z_{n-j}$; see Figure 7.

Observe that the order in which the shearing matrices \mathbf{S}_j are multiplied does not matter in the formula for the edge matrix $\mathbf{E}(Z_j\text{'s})$ but does matter in the formulas for the triangle matrices $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{left}}(X_i\text{'s})$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{right}}(X_i\text{'s})$.




FIGURE 7. Edge matrices

Lastly, define the *clockwise U-turn matrix* \mathbf{U} in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & (-1)^{n-1} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ +1 & -1 & +1 & \cdots & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then, we associate to a *clockwise U-turn arc* (resp. *counterclockwise U-turn arc*) $\bar{\gamma}$, as shown on the left hand (resp. right hand) side of Figure 8, the U-turn matrix \mathbf{U} (resp. transpose \mathbf{U}^T of the U-turn matrix). We assume that $\bar{\gamma}$ has no kinks. Notice that $\mathbf{U}^T = -\mathbf{U}$ (resp. $= \mathbf{U}$) when n is even (resp. odd).




FIGURE 8. U-turn matrices

1.7. Computing the classical trace polynomial. Let γ be an immersed oriented closed curve in the surface \mathfrak{S} such that γ is transverse to the ideal triangulation λ . We want to calculate the classical trace polynomial $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/n})$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$ associated to the immersed curve γ . The polynomial $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/n})$ can be described as being obtained from a “state-sum”, “local-to-global”, or “transfer matrices” construction.

More precisely, as we travel along the curve γ according to its orientation, assume γ crosses edges E_{j_k} for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ in that order, and assume γ crosses triangles \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} for $i_k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ in that order.

As the curve γ crosses the edge E_{j_k} , moving out of the triangle $\mathfrak{T}_{i_{k-1}}$ into the triangle \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} , this defines an edge-crossing arc $\bar{\gamma}_{j_k}$; see §1.6 and Figure 7. Put

$$\mathbf{E}_{j_k} = \mathbf{E}((Z_{j_k})_{j'}\text{'s}) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda))$$

to be the associated edge matrix, where the $(Z_{j_k})_{j'}$'s are the $j' = 1, \dots, n-1$ edge-coordinates attached to the edge E_{j_k} measured from right to left as seen from $\mathfrak{T}_{i_{k-1}}$ as usual.

As γ traverses the triangle \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} between two edges E_{j_k} and $E_{j_{k+1}}$, it does one of three things:

- the curve γ turns left, ending on $E_{j_{k+1}} \neq E_{j_k}$; see Figure 5;
- or γ turns right, ending on $E_{j_{k+1}} \neq E_{j_k}$; see Figure 6;
- or γ does a U-turn, thereby returning to the same edge $E_{j_{k+1}} = E_{j_k}$; see Figure 8.

We also keep track of the following winding information: for the first and second items above, the number of full turns t_k to the right that the curve γ makes while traversing the triangle \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} ; and for the third item above, the number of half turns $2t_k + 1$ to the right that the curve γ makes before coming back to the same edge E_{j_k} . Note $t_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will see that the turning integer t_k associated to the curve γ as it traverses the triangle \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} will only be relevant when the integer n is even. Now, let the $(X_{i_k})_{i'}$'s be the $i' = 1, \dots, (n-1)(n-2)/2$ triangle-coordinates attached to the triangle \mathfrak{T}_{i_k} ; see Figure 5. Let γ' be the curve obtained by “pulling tight” the kinks of γ . (In particular, γ and γ' are homotopic, but not, in general, regularly homotopic.) Corresponding to the three items above:

- the curve γ' turns left, defining a left-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}'$ and an associated left matrix $\mathbf{M}'_{i_k} = \mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}((X_{i_k})_{i'}\text{'s})$, see §1.6 and Figure 5;
- or the curve γ' turns right, defining a right-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}'$ and an associated right matrix $\mathbf{M}'_{i_k} = \mathbf{M}^{\text{right}}((X_{i_k})_{i'}\text{'s})$, see §1.6 and Figure 6;
- or the curve γ' does a clockwise or counterclockwise U-turn, thereby returning to the same edge $E_{j_{k+1}} = E_{j_k}$ and defining a U-turn arc $\bar{\gamma}'$, see §1.6 and Figure 8.

In the first two cases, where $\bar{\gamma}'$ is either left- or right-moving, put

$$\mathbf{M}_{i_k} = (-1)^{(n-1)t_k} \mathbf{M}'_{i_k} \in \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)),$$

and in the third case, where $\bar{\gamma}'$ is a U-turn, put

$$\mathbf{M}_{i_k} = (-1)^{(n-1)t_k} \mathbf{U} \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where \mathbf{U} is the U-turn matrix defined in §1.6. Note, in the third case, that this is consistent with what we said in §1.6, where $\bar{\gamma}'$ is associated to \mathbf{U} (resp. $\mathbf{U}^T = (-1)^{n-1}\mathbf{U}$) if $\bar{\gamma}'$ travels clockwise hence $t_k = 0$ (resp. counterclockwise hence $t_k = -1$).

Definition 6. The classical trace polynomial $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/n}) \in \mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ associated to the immersed oriented closed curve γ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/n}) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{E}_{j_1} \mathbf{M}_{i_1} \mathbf{E}_{j_2} \mathbf{M}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{j_K} \mathbf{M}_{i_K}) \in \mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$$

where on the right hand side we have taken the usual matrix trace. Note that this is independent of where we start along the curve γ , since the trace is invariant under conjugation.

2. FOCK-GONCHAROV QUANTUM TORI AND QUANTUM MATRICES

We define quantum versions of the classical polynomial algebra and the classical local monodromy matrices, and relate them to the dual quantum group SL_n^q . Throughout, we choose $q \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $\omega = q^{1/n^2}$ a n^2 -root of q . We begin with some algebraic preliminaries.

2.1. Quantum tori, matrix algebras, and the Weyl quantum ordering.

2.1.1. *Quantum tori.* Let \mathbf{P} be an integer $N' \times N'$ anti-symmetric ‘‘Poisson’’ matrix.

Definition 7. The *quantum torus (with n -roots)* $\mathcal{T}^\omega(\mathbf{P})$ associated to \mathbf{P} is the quotient of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\{X_1^{1/n}, X_1^{-1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{1/n}, X_{N'}^{-1/n}\}$ in the indeterminates $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^{m_i/n} X_j^{m_j/n} &= \omega^{\mathbf{P}_{ij} m_i m_j} X_j^{m_j/n} X_i^{m_i/n} & (m_i, m_j \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ X_i^{m/n} X_i^{-m/n} &= X_i^{-m/n} X_i^{m/n} = 1 & (m \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Put $X_i^{\pm 1} = (X_i^{\pm 1/n})^n$. We refer to the $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ as *generators*, and the X_i as *quantum coordinates*, or just *coordinates*. Define the subset of fractions

$$\mathbb{Z}/n = \{m/n; \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}.$$

Written in terms of the coordinates X_i and the fractions $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n$, the relations above become the more palatable

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^{r_i} X_j^{r_j} &= q^{\mathbf{P}_{ij} r_i r_j} X_j^{r_j} X_i^{r_i} & (r_i, r_j \in \mathbb{Z}/n), \\ X_i^r X_i^{-r} &= X_i^{-r} X_i^r = 1 & (r \in \mathbb{Z}/n). \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2. *Matrix algebras.*

Definition 8. Let \mathcal{A} be a, possibly non-commutative, algebra, and let n' be a positive integer. The *matrix algebra with coefficients in \mathcal{A}* , denoted $M_{n'}(\mathcal{A})$, is the complex vector space of $n' \times n'$ matrices, equipped with the usual “left-to-right” multiplicative structure. Namely, the product \mathbf{MN} of two matrices \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} is defined entry-wise by

$$(\mathbf{MN})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \mathbf{M}_{ik} \mathbf{N}_{kj} \in \mathcal{A} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n').$$

Here, we use the usual convention that the entry \mathbf{M}_{ij} of a matrix \mathbf{M} is the entry in the i -th row and j -th column. Note that, crucially, the order of \mathbf{M}_{ik} and \mathbf{N}_{kj} in the above equation matters since these elements might not commute.

2.1.3. *Weyl quantum ordering.* If \mathcal{T} is a quantum torus, then there is a set function

$$[-]: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

called the *Weyl quantum ordering*, defined on “un-ordered” monomials

$$m = \alpha X_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots X_{i_k}^{r_k}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, by the equation

$$[m] = \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq k} \mathbf{P}_{i_a i_b} r_a r_b} \right) m$$

and extended linearly to \mathcal{T} . So, on polynomials $p = \sum_i m_i$, we have $[p] = \sum_i [m_i]$. The Weyl ordering is specially designed so that it satisfies the symmetry

$$(*) \quad [X_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots X_{i_k}^{r_k}] = [X_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{r_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots X_{i_{\sigma(k)}}^{r_{\sigma(k)}}]$$

for every permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

The Weyl ordering extends to a set function of matrix algebras

$$[-]: M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathcal{T})$$

by putting

$$[\mathbf{M}]_{ij} = [\mathbf{M}_{ij}].$$

2.2. Fock-Goncharov quantum torus for a triangle. Let $\mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)$ denote the set of corner vertices $\mathcal{C}(\Theta_n) = \{(n, 0, 0), (0, n, 0), (0, 0, n)\}$ of the discrete triangle Θ_n ; see §1.2.

Define a set function

$$\mathbf{P} : (\Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)) \times (\Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)) \rightarrow \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$$

using the *quiver* with vertex set $\Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)$ illustrated in Figure 9. The function \mathbf{P} is defined by sending the ordered tuple (v_1, v_2) of vertices of $\Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)$ to 2 (resp. -2) if there is a solid arrow pointing from v_1 to v_2 (resp. v_2 to v_1), to 1 (resp. -1) if there is a dotted arrow pointing from v_1 to v_2 (resp. v_2 to v_1), and to 0 if there is no arrow connecting v_1 and v_2 . Note that all of the small downward-facing triangles are oriented clockwise, and all of the small upward-facing triangles are oriented counterclockwise. By labeling the vertices of $\Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)$ by their coordinates (a, b, c) we may think of the function \mathbf{P} as a $N \times N$ anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}_{abc, a'b'c'})$ called the *Poisson matrix* associated to the quiver. Here, $N = 3(n-1) + (n-1)(n-2)/2$; see §1.3.




FIGURE 9. Quiver defining the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus

Definition 9. Define the *Fock-Goncharov quantum torus*

$$\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]^\omega$$

associated to the triangle \mathfrak{T} to be the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}^\omega(\mathbf{P})$ defined by the $N \times N$ Poisson matrix \mathbf{P} , with generators $X_i^{\pm 1/n} = X_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ for all $(a, b, c) \in \Theta_n - \mathcal{C}(\Theta_n)$. Note that when $q = \omega = 1$ this indeed recovers the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T})$ for \mathfrak{T} ; see §1.4.

As a notational convention, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ we write $Z_j^{\pm 1/n}$ (resp. $Z_j'^{\pm 1/n}$ and $Z_j''^{\pm 1/n}$) in place of $X_{j0(n-j)}^{\pm 1/n}$ (resp. $X_{j(n-j)0}^{\pm 1/n}$ and $X_{0j(n-j)}^{\pm 1/n}$); see Figure 10. So, triangle-coordinates will be denoted $X_i = X_{abc}$ for $(a, b, c) \in \text{Int}(\Theta_n)$ while edge-coordinates will be denoted Z_j, Z_j', Z_j'' .

Remark 10. As we will see later on, we think of the Z -coordinates as quantizations of “half” of their corresponding classical edge-coordinates. Intuitively, this is because the “other half” of each coordinate “lives” in an adjacent triangle. When viewed inside an ideal triangulation λ , an edge E of λ “splits” this classical edge-coordinate into its two “quantum halves”.

2.3. Quantum left and right matrices.

2.3.1. *Weyl quantum ordering (continued).* Recall, given a quantum torus \mathcal{T} with Poisson matrix \mathbf{P} , the definition of the Weyl ordering $[-]$; see §2.1.3. In particular, we discussed how the Weyl ordering can be thought of as a set function of matrix algebras

$$[-] : M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}), \quad [\mathbf{M}]_{ij} = [\mathbf{M}_{ij}].$$

We want to consider a slightly different function of matrix algebras.

Observe that there is a multi-valued function

$$\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}] \xrightarrow{\text{m.v.}} \mathcal{T}$$

that sends a “commuting polynomial” $p \in \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}]$ to the set of all “non-commuting polynomials” $\{P_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ that can be formed from p by treating its variables as non-commuting. More precisely, this multi-valued function is the inverse of the projection of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\{X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}\}$ onto $\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}]$ followed by the projection of the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\{X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}\}$ onto the quantum torus \mathcal{T} . There is then induced a multi-valued function

$$M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{N'}^{\pm 1/n}]) \xrightarrow{\text{m.v.}} M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}).$$

By the symmetric property (*) of the Weyl ordering, this multi-valued function of matrix algebras induces a well-defined single-valued set function by the composition

$$[-] : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_{n'}^{\pm 1/n}]) \xrightarrow{\text{m.v.}} M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{[-]} M_{n'}(\mathcal{T}).$$

We wish to apply this function $[-]$ of matrix algebras to the classical matrices of §1 (so that $n' = n$), in the case where the quantum torus \mathcal{T} is taken to be the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ for a triangle \mathfrak{T} . In this setting, the above function $[-]$ becomes

$$[-] : M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T})) \longrightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})).$$

In practice, this is computed by the usual formula $[M]_{ij} = [M_{ij}]$, where on the right hand side the classical variables $X_i \in \mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T})$ appearing in the polynomial entry $M_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T})$ are treated as their quantum counterparts $X_i \in \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$.

2.3.2. Quantum left and right matrices. We begin with the classical situation for a triangle \mathfrak{T} . An *extended left-moving arc* $\bar{\gamma}$ is similar to a left-moving arc, from §1.6, except that it extends all the way to the two distinct edges of the triangle \mathfrak{T} ; see Figure 10. We think of an extended left-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}$ as the concatenation of “half” of an edge-crossing arc $\bar{\gamma}_1^{1/2}$ together with a left-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}_2$ together with another half of an edge-crossing arc $\bar{\gamma}_3^{1/2}$, as indicated in Figure 10; compare Remark 10. We refer to these halves of edge-crossing arcs as *half-edge-crossing arcs*. Similarly, we define *extended right-moving arcs* $\bar{\gamma}$.

Defined exactly as in §1.6 are *left matrices* $\mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}(X_i\text{'s})$, *right matrices* $\mathbf{M}^{\text{right}}(X_i\text{'s})$, and *edge matrices* $\mathbf{E}(Z_j\text{'s})$ in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T}))$ associated to *non-extended* left-moving arcs (Figure 5), *non-extended* right-moving arcs (Figure 6), and *half-edge-crossing arcs*, respectively.

Definition 11. To an extended left-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}$, as in Figure 10, we associate a *quantum left matrix* \mathbf{L}^ω in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{L}^\omega = \mathbf{L}^\omega(X_i, Z_j, Z_j'\text{'s}) = [\mathbf{E}(Z_j'\text{'s})\mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}(X_i\text{'s})\mathbf{E}(Z_j'\text{'s})] \in M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$$

where we have applied the Weyl quantum ordering $[-]$ discussed in §2.3.1 to the product $\mathbf{E}(Z_j'\text{'s})\mathbf{M}^{\text{left}}(X_i\text{'s})\mathbf{E}(Z_j'\text{'s})$ of classical matrices in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T}))$.

Similarly, to an extended right-moving arc $\bar{\gamma}$, as in Figure 10, we associate a *quantum right matrix* \mathbf{R}^ω in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{R}^\omega = \mathbf{R}^\omega(X_i, Z_j, Z_j''\text{'s}) = [\mathbf{E}(Z_j''\text{'s})\mathbf{M}^{\text{right}}(X_i\text{'s})\mathbf{E}(Z_j''\text{'s})] \in M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})).$$




FIGURE 10. Quantum left and right matrices

2.4. Quantum SL_n and its points: first theorem. Let \mathcal{A} be a complex, possibly non-commutative, unital algebra.

Definition 12. We say that a 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is an \mathcal{A} -point of the quantum matrix algebra M_2^q , denoted $\mathbf{M} \in M_2^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_2(\mathcal{A})$, if

$$(*) \quad ba = qab, \quad dc = qcd, \quad ca = qac, \quad db = qbd, \quad bc = cb, \quad da - ad = (q - q^{-1})bc \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We say that a matrix $\mathbf{M} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is an \mathcal{A} -point of the quantum special linear group SL_2^q , denoted $\mathbf{M} \in SL_2^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_2^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_2(\mathcal{A})$, if

$$\mathbf{M} \in M_2^q(\mathcal{A})$$

and in addition the quantum determinant

$$\text{Det}^q(\mathbf{M}) = da - qbc = ad - q^{-1}bc = 1 \in \mathcal{A}$$

is equal to 1.

These notions are also defined for $n \times n$ matrices, as follows.

Definition 13. We say that a $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{M} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is an \mathcal{A} -point of the quantum matrix algebra M_n^q , denoted $\mathbf{M} \in M_n^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{A})$, if every 2×2 sub-matrix of \mathbf{M} is an \mathcal{A} -point of M_2^q . Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{im}M_{ik} &= qM_{ik}M_{im}, & M_{jm}M_{im} &= qM_{im}M_{jm}, \\ M_{im}M_{jk} &= M_{jk}M_{im}, & M_{jm}M_{ik} - M_{ik}M_{jm} &= (q - q^{-1})M_{im}M_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $i < j$ and $k < m$, where $1 \leq i, j, k, m \leq n$.

There also exists a notion of the quantum determinant $\text{Det}^q(\mathbf{M}) \in \mathcal{A}$ of a quantum matrix $\mathbf{M} \in M_n^q(\mathcal{A})$. We say that a matrix $\mathbf{M} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is an \mathcal{A} -point of the quantum special linear group SL_n^q , denoted $\mathbf{M} \in SL_n^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_n^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{A})$, if both $\mathbf{M} \in M_n^q(\mathcal{A})$ and the quantum determinant $\text{Det}^q(\mathbf{M}) = 1$.

Observe that the subsets $M_n^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $SL_n^q(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{A})$ are not necessarily even linear subspaces (hence, nor subalgebras) of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Now, take $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ to be the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus for the triangle \mathfrak{T} , as defined in §2.2. Let \mathbf{L}^ω and \mathbf{R}^ω in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$ be the quantum left and right matrices, respectively, as defined in Definition 11. In a companion paper [Dou], we prove:

Theorem 14. *The quantum left and right matrices*


$$\mathbf{L}^\omega, \quad \mathbf{R}^\omega \in SL_n^q(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$$

are $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ -points of the quantum special linear group SL_n^q .

See the end of Remark 4. The proof uses a quantum version of the technology invented by Fock and Goncharov called snakes; see Remark 5.

2.5. Example. Consider the case $n = 4$; see Figure 11. On the right hand side of the figure we show the commutation relations in the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_4^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$, recalling Figure 9 and the definitions of §2.1.1 and 2.2. For instance, the following are some sample commutation relations:

$$X_3Z_2'' = q^2X_3Z_2'', \quad X_3X_1 = q^{-2}X_1X_3, \quad Z_3Z_2 = qZ_2Z_3, \quad Z_3Z_3' = q^2Z_3'Z_3.$$


 FIGURE 11. Example in the case $n = 4$

Then, the quantum left and right matrices are computed as

$$\mathbf{L}^\omega = \left[Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 & & \\ & Z_2 Z_3 & \\ & & Z_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} X_2 & & \\ & X_2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} X_3 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} Z'_1 Z'_2 Z'_3 & & \\ & Z'_2 Z'_3 & \\ & & Z'_3 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^\omega = \left[Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 & & \\ & Z_2 Z_3 & \\ & & Z_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_2^{+\frac{1}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & X_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} X_1^{+\frac{2}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & X_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_3^{+\frac{1}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & X_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} Z''_1 Z''_2 Z''_3 & & \\ & Z''_2 Z''_3 & \\ & & Z''_3 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

The theorem says that these two matrices are elements of $\mathrm{SL}_4^q(\mathcal{T}_4^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$. For instance, the entries a, b, c, d of the 2×2 sub-matrix (arranged as a 4×1 matrix) of \mathbf{L}^ω

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{13}^\omega \\ \mathbf{L}_{14}^\omega \\ \mathbf{L}_{23}^\omega \\ \mathbf{L}_{24}^\omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{\frac{3}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{\frac{3}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + \\ + [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{\frac{3}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{\frac{3}{4}} X_2^{\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{\frac{3}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{-\frac{2}{4}} X_3^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy Equation (*). For a computer verification of this, see Appendix A. We also demonstrate in the appendix that Equation (*) is satisfied by the entries a, b, c, d of the 2×2 sub-matrix (arranged as a 4×1 matrix) of \mathbf{R}^ω

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{31}^\omega \\ \mathbf{R}_{32}^\omega \\ \mathbf{R}_{41}^\omega \\ \mathbf{R}_{42}^\omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{3}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + [Z_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{3}{4}}] \\ [Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{-\frac{3}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + [Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}] + \\ + [Z_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} X_2^{\frac{1}{4}} X_1^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_3^{-\frac{1}{4}} Z_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}] \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.6. Quantum tori for surfaces. For a dotted ideal triangulation λ of \mathfrak{S} , in §1.4 we defined the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$ where there is one generator $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ associated to every dot on λ . In the case where $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{T}$ is an ideal triangle, in §2.2 we deformed the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\mathfrak{T})$ to a quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$. We now generalize the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ to a quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$ associated to the triangulated surface (\mathfrak{S}, λ) which deforms the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$.

For each dotted triangle \mathfrak{T} of λ , associate a copy $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$ of \mathfrak{T} , which is also a dotted triangle, such as that shown in Figure 3b. Notice that the boundary $\partial\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$ consists of three ideal edges. The dotted ideal triangulation λ can be reconstructed from the individual triangles $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$ by supplying additional gluing data. To each dotted triangle $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$ associate the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$ of the triangle $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$, whose coordinates we will denote by \widehat{X} .

Recall that a generator $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$ is either a triangle-generator or an edge-generator. If $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ is an edge-generator, then there are two cases:

- the corresponding generator $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ in the classical polynomial algebra $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ for the glued surface (\mathfrak{S}, λ) is a boundary-generator;
- the corresponding classical generator $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ is an interior-generator.

In the second case, the corresponding interior-generator $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ lies on an internal edge E of the ideal triangulation λ . So, there exists a triangle \mathfrak{T}' adjacent to \mathfrak{T} along the edge E . Moreover, there exists a unique edge-generator $\widehat{X}_{a'b'c'}^{\pm 1/n}$ in the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}'})$ for the triangle $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}'}$ that also corresponds to the classical interior-generator $X_i^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ lying on the internal edge E . Therefore, we may say that the two quantum generators $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$ and $\widehat{X}_{a'b'c'}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}'})$ *correspond to one another*; see Figure 12.

Definition 15. The *Fock-Goncharov quantum torus* $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$ associated to the surface \mathfrak{S} equipped with the dotted ideal triangulation λ is the sub-algebra

$$\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda) \subseteq \bigotimes_{\text{copies } \widehat{\mathfrak{T}} \text{ of triangles } \mathfrak{T} \text{ of } \lambda} \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$$

of the tensor product of the Fock-Goncharov quantum tori $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$ associated to the copies $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$ of the dotted triangles \mathfrak{T} of the ideal triangulation λ , generated:

- by triangle-generators $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$;
- by tensor products $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n} \otimes \widehat{X}_{a'b'c'}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}'})$ of corresponding edge-generators associated to a common internal edge E lying at the interface between two triangles \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{T}' in λ ;
- and by edge-generators $\widehat{X}_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$ associated to boundary edges $E \subseteq \partial\mathfrak{S}$ of the ideal triangulation λ .

In particular, when $q = \omega = 1$, the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^1(\lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to the classical polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/n}, X_2^{\pm 1/n}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/n}]$.

From now on, we will omit the “hat” symbol in the notation, identifying the triangles \mathfrak{T} with their copies $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$.

Remark 16. A crucial difference between the local quantum tori $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ for the triangles \mathfrak{T} and the global quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$ for the triangulated surface (\mathfrak{S}, λ) is that two edge-generators $X_{abc}^{\pm 1/n}$ and $X_{ABC}^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ lying on the same boundary edge of \mathfrak{T} may q -commute,

but the corresponding interior-generators $X_{abc}^{\pm 1/n} \otimes X_{a'b'c'}'^{\pm 1/n}$ and $X_{ABC}^{\pm 1/n} \otimes X_{A'B'C'}'^{\pm 1/n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$ always commute. This is because the two triangles' \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{T}' quivers' orientations “go against each other”; see Figures 9 and 12. Intuitively, the local q -commutation relations on the boundary are created by “splitting the edge-coordinates in half” at the quantum level; see Remarks 4 and 10. This phenomenon does not occur for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ because in that case each edge carries only one coordinate.




FIGURE 12. Interior-generators as tensor products of local edge-generators

3. MAIN THEOREM: QUANTUM TRACE POLYNOMIAL

3.1. Framed oriented links in thickened surfaces. So far, we have been working in the 2-dimensional setting of the punctured surface \mathfrak{S} . From now on, we work in the 3-dimensional setting of the thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$.

Here we follow [BW11b, §3.1], one major difference being that we consider oriented links.

Definition 17. A *framed oriented link* K in the thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ is a compact oriented one-dimensional manifold, possibly-with-boundary, $K \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ that is embedded in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ and is equipped with a *framing*, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) we have $\partial K = K \cap ((\partial \mathfrak{S}) \times (0, 1))$;
- (2) the framing at a boundary point of K is *vertical*, meaning parallel to the $(0, 1)$ axis, and points in the 1 direction;
- (3) for each boundary component k of \mathfrak{S} , the finitely many points $K \cap (k \times (0, 1))$ have distinct *heights*, meaning that the coordinates with respect to $(0, 1)$ are distinct.

Here, by a framing, we mean the choice of a continuous assignment along the link K of non-zero vectors in the tangent bundle of $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ such that this vector field on K is everywhere transverse (that is, non-parallel) to K .

A *framed oriented knot* K is a closed framed oriented link (namely, a framed oriented link with empty boundary $\partial K = \emptyset$) with one connected component.

Two framed oriented links K and K' are *isotopic* if K can be smoothly deformed to K' through the class of framed oriented links.

By possibly introducing kinks (Figures 18 and 19), one can always isotope a framed link so that it has *blackboard framing*, meaning constant vertical framing in the 1 direction.

It is common to think of a framed link K as a “ribbon”, namely a “thin” annulus embedded in $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ in which case we think of the framing as a continuous choice of vectors normal to the embedded annulus K .

Remark 18. Instead of using the picture conventions of [BW11b, §3.5], in our diagrams we will say explicitly which points lying on a single boundary component $k \times (0, 1)$ are higher and which are lower with respect to the $(0, 1)$ direction. Note that by convention all link diagrams represent blackboard-framed links.

3.2. Stated links. To deal algebraically with links-with-boundary, we need another concept.

Definition 19. A n -stated framed oriented link (K, s) is the data of a framed oriented link K equipped with a set function

$$s : \partial K \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

called the *state function*, assigning to each element of the boundary of the link a *state*, namely a number in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

As for links, there is the notion of *isotopy* of stated links.

Notice that a stated closed link is the same thing as a closed link.

3.3. Main theorem. We now restrict to the case $n = 3$. Let the surface \mathfrak{S} be equipped with a dotted ideal triangulation λ . Recall the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\lambda)$ associated to this data; see Definition 15.

Note that if $K \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ is a framed oriented knot (meaning, in particular, that it is closed), and if $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S} \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} \cong \mathfrak{S}$ is the natural projection, then, possibly after an arbitrarily small perturbation of the knot K , we have that $\gamma = \pi(K)$ is an immersed oriented closed curve in \mathfrak{S} , and so we may consider the classical trace polynomial $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma)(X_i^{\pm 1/3})$ in $\mathcal{T}_3^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/3}, X_2^{\pm 1/3}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/3}]$ associated to γ ; see Definition 6.

We now give a more detailed version of Theorem 2 from the Introduction.

Theorem 20. *Let $q \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ be a non-zero complex number, and let $\omega = q^{1/3^2}$ be a 3^2 -root of q . Then, there is a function of sets*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_\lambda^\omega : \{\text{isotopy classes of stated framed oriented links } (K, s) \text{ in } \mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)\} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\lambda) \\ &\subseteq \bigotimes_{\text{triangles } \mathfrak{T} \text{ of } \lambda} \mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\mathfrak{T}), \end{aligned}$$

sending a stated framed oriented link (K, s) in the thickened surface $\mathfrak{S} \times (0, 1)$ to a Laurent polynomial $\text{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K, s) = \text{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K, s)(X_i^{\pm 1/3}) \in \mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\lambda)$ in the q -deformed (formal 3-roots of the) $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -Fock-Goncharov coordinates X_i , satisfying the following property: when $q = \omega = 1$ and $K = K_1 \sqcup K_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_\ell$ is a closed blackboard-framed oriented link thought of as a disjoint union of knots K_j , then

$$\text{Tr}_\lambda^1(K)(X_i^{\pm 1/3}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{\text{Tr}}(\gamma_j)(X_i^{\pm 1/3}) \quad \in \mathcal{T}_3^1(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1/3}, X_2^{\pm 1/3}, \dots, X_N^{\pm 1/3}]$$

is the product of the corresponding classical trace polynomials, where γ_j is the immersed oriented closed curve obtained by projecting the component K_j of the closed link K to \mathfrak{S} .

This construction also satisfies the HOMFLYPT skein relation for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, as well as the State Sum Property, which is the obvious generalization to the case $n = 3$ of Property (1) of Theorem 11 in [BW11b, §3.4].

Remark 21. A generalized version of Theorem 20 should hold in the case of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, by replacing 3 with n everywhere in the statement. Below, we will in fact construct the quantum trace Tr_λ^ω for general n , however we will only give a proof that it is well-defined in the case $n = 3$. When $n = 2$, our construction agrees with that of Bonahon and Wong. In particular, it gives a way to think of their construction, which was originally defined for un-oriented links, in terms of oriented links.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -QUANTUM TRACES

As indicated in the previous remark, we will propose a definition for Tr_λ^ω for general n . Throughout, fix $q \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and a n^2 -root $\omega = q^{1/n^2} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ of q . For concreteness, along the way we will give explicit formulas for the case $n = 3$.

4.1. Matrix conventions. We will need to display 3×3 and $3^2 \times 3^2$ matrices. Lower indices will indicate rows and upper indices will indicate columns. A 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{M} = (M_i^j)$ will be displayed in the general form

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1^1 & M_1^2 & M_1^3 \\ M_2^1 & M_2^2 & M_2^3 \\ M_3^1 & M_3^2 & M_3^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A $3^2 \times 3^2$ matrix $\mathbf{M} = (M_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2})$ will be displayed in the general form

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{11} & M_{11}^{12} & M_{11}^{13} & M_{11}^{21} & M_{11}^{22} & M_{11}^{23} & M_{11}^{31} & M_{11}^{32} & M_{11}^{33} \\ M_{12}^{11} & M_{12}^{12} & M_{12}^{13} & M_{12}^{21} & M_{12}^{22} & M_{12}^{23} & M_{12}^{31} & M_{12}^{32} & M_{12}^{33} \\ M_{13}^{11} & M_{13}^{12} & M_{13}^{13} & M_{13}^{21} & M_{13}^{22} & M_{13}^{23} & M_{13}^{31} & M_{13}^{32} & M_{13}^{33} \\ M_{21}^{11} & M_{21}^{12} & M_{21}^{13} & M_{21}^{21} & M_{21}^{22} & M_{21}^{23} & M_{21}^{31} & M_{21}^{32} & M_{21}^{33} \\ M_{22}^{11} & M_{22}^{12} & M_{22}^{13} & M_{22}^{21} & M_{22}^{22} & M_{22}^{23} & M_{22}^{31} & M_{22}^{32} & M_{22}^{33} \\ M_{23}^{11} & M_{23}^{12} & M_{23}^{13} & M_{23}^{21} & M_{23}^{22} & M_{23}^{23} & M_{23}^{31} & M_{23}^{32} & M_{23}^{33} \\ M_{31}^{11} & M_{31}^{12} & M_{31}^{13} & M_{31}^{21} & M_{31}^{22} & M_{31}^{23} & M_{31}^{31} & M_{31}^{32} & M_{31}^{33} \\ M_{32}^{11} & M_{32}^{12} & M_{32}^{13} & M_{32}^{21} & M_{32}^{22} & M_{32}^{23} & M_{32}^{31} & M_{32}^{32} & M_{32}^{33} \\ M_{33}^{11} & M_{33}^{12} & M_{33}^{13} & M_{33}^{21} & M_{33}^{22} & M_{33}^{23} & M_{33}^{31} & M_{33}^{32} & M_{33}^{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If V and W are finite-dimensional complex vector spaces with bases $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ and if $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map, we define the $p \times m$ matrix $[T] \in M_{p,m}(\mathbb{C})$ associated to T and these bases of V and W by the property

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p [T]_i^j w_i \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

4.2. Biangles and the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant. A *biangle* \mathfrak{B} is a closed disk with two punctures on its boundary. Biangles do not admit ideal triangulations, and so cannot be chosen for our general surface \mathfrak{S} . Nevertheless, we may still consider stated framed oriented links (K, s) in the thickened biangle $\mathfrak{B} \times (0, 1)$, defined just as before, and it turns out that there is a famous construction of Reshetikhin and Turaev [RT90] that gives us an analogue of Theorem 20 for biangles that is valued in the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

In order to state the result, we need to define some elementary matrices associated to certain local link diagrams, namely various U-turns and crossings.

4.2.1. U-turns. We begin with the U-turns. In Figures 13 and 14, we show the four possible U-turns, which are in particular stated framed oriented links with the blackboard framing.

In accordance with our picture conventions (see Remark 18), the boundary point of the link that is labeled ‘‘Higher’’ or ‘‘H’’ is higher, namely has a greater coordinate with respect to the $(0, 1)$ direction, than the boundary point of the link that is labeled ‘‘Lower’’ or ‘‘L’’.



FIGURE 13. Decreasing U-turns



FIGURE 14. Increasing U-turns

Definition 22. For general n , the SL_n -coribbon element is

$$\zeta_n = (-1)^{n-1} q^{(1-n^2)/n} = (-1)^{n-1} \omega^{n(1-n^2)} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The square root of the coribbon element is

$$\left| \sqrt{\zeta_n} \right| = +q^{\frac{1-n^2}{2n}} = +\omega^{n(1-n^2)/2} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define a $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{U}^q by

$$\mathbf{U}^q = \left| \sqrt{\zeta_n} \right| \begin{pmatrix} & & & & (-1)^{n-1} q^{(1-n)/2} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ +q^{(n-1)/2} & -q^{(n-3)/2} & +q^{(n-5)/2} & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Observe that the common ratio between adjacent entries in the matrix is equal to $-q$. Notice also that when $q = \omega = 1$ this recovers the classical U-turn matrix \mathbf{U} from §1.6.

For example, in the case $n = 3$, the 3×3 matrix \mathbf{U}^q is

$$\mathbf{U}^q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & +q^{-7/3} \\ 0 & -q^{-4/3} & 0 \\ +q^{-1/3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

Now, for each pair of states $s_1, s_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, define four complex numbers

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(U_{\mathrm{dec}}^{\mathrm{cw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(U_{\mathrm{dec}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{cw}})_{s_1}^{s_2} \in \mathbb{C},$$

by the matrix equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{dec}}^{\mathrm{cw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) &= \mathbf{U}^q \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \\ (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) &= (\mathbf{U}^q)^{\mathrm{T}} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \\ (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{dec}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) &= \zeta_n^{-1} (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{dec}}^{\mathrm{cw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \\ (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{cw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) &= \zeta_n^{-1} (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}); \end{aligned}$$

see §4.1. Here, the superscript T indicates that we are taking the matrix transpose.

Note that, in the case $n = 3$, in the above formulas $\zeta_3^{-1} = +q^{+8/3}$.

Remark 23. In the case $n = 2$, these formulas agree with those in [BW11b, Proposition 13.2.b] for the underlying un-oriented link, taking $\alpha = -\omega^{-5}$ and $\beta = \omega^{-1}$; compare [BW11b, Proposition 26].

4.2.2. *Crossings.* Next, we address crossings. Shown in Figures 15 and 16 are the eight possible crossings, with blackboard framing and adhering to the usual picture conventions.




FIGURE 15. Same direction crossings




FIGURE 16. Opposite direction crossings

Let V be a n -dimensional complex vector space, and let V^* be the complex vector space dual to V . Choose a linear basis $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ for V , and let $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ be the corresponding dual basis for V^* . Define four linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} B_{V,V} : V \otimes V &\longrightarrow V \otimes V, & B_{V^*,V^*} : V^* \otimes V^* &\longrightarrow V^* \otimes V^*, \\ B_{V^*,V} : V^* \otimes V &\longrightarrow V \otimes V^*, & B_{V,V^*} : V \otimes V^* &\longrightarrow V^* \otimes V \end{aligned}$$

by extending linearly the following assignments for tensor product basis elements

$$B_{V,V}(e^i \otimes e^j) = q^{+1/n} \begin{cases} q^{-1}e^i \otimes e^i, & i = j, \\ (q^{-1} - q)e^i \otimes e^j + e^j \otimes e^i, & i < j, \\ e^j \otimes e^i, & i > j, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{V^*,V^*}(e_i^* \otimes e_j^*) = q^{+1/n} \begin{cases} q^{-1}e_i^* \otimes e_i^*, & i = j, \\ (q^{-1} - q)e_i^* \otimes e_j^* + e_j^* \otimes e_i^*, & i > j, \\ e_j^* \otimes e_i^*, & i < j, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{V^*,V}(e_i^* \otimes e^j) = q^{-1/n} \begin{cases} qe^i \otimes e_i^* + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i} e^k \otimes e_k^*, & i = j, \\ e^j \otimes e_i^*, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

$$B_{V,V^*}(e^i \otimes e_j^*) = q^{-1/n} \begin{cases} qe_i^* \otimes e^i + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < k \leq n} q^{2(k-i)} e_k^* \otimes e^k, & i = j, \\ e_j^* \otimes e^i, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Define bases $\beta_{V,V}$, β_{V^*,V^*} , $\beta_{V^*,V}$, and β_{V,V^*} of $V \otimes V$, $V^* \otimes V^*$, $V^* \otimes V$, and $V \otimes V^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{V,V})_{ij} &= e^{n-j+1} \otimes e^{n-i+1}, \\ (\beta_{V^*,V^*})_{ij} &= (-q)^{j-1} e_j^* \otimes (-q)^{i-1} e_i^*, \\ (\beta_{V^*,V})_{ij} &= (-q)^{j-1} e_j^* \otimes e^{n-i+1}, \\ (\beta_{V,V^*})_{ij} &= e^{n-j+1} \otimes (-q)^{i-1} e_i^* \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Fact 24. *We have the following equalities of matrices*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^q &= [B_{V,V}] = [B_{V^*,V^*}] \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ \mathbf{C}_{\text{opp}}^q &= [B_{V^*,V}] = [B_{V,V^*}] \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

representing the linear isomorphisms $B_{V,V}$, B_{V^*,V^*} , $B_{V^*,V}$ and B_{V,V^*} when expressed in terms of the bases $\beta_{V,V}$, β_{V^*,V^*} , $\beta_{V^*,V}$ and β_{V,V^*} . \square

An observation is that, for general n , when $q = \omega = 1$ then the two matrices $\mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^1$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{opp}}^1$ are identical. For another property of these so-called *R-matrices*, see §4.4.

For example, in the case $n = 3$, these two $3^2 \times 3^2$ matrices $\mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^q$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{opp}}^q$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^q &= q^{+1/3} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1}-q & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1}-q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1}-q & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ \mathbf{C}_{\text{opp}}^q &= q^{+2/3} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^2-1 & 0 & q^{-1}-q & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1}-q & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3^2}(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, define for each quadruple of states $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ eight complex numbers

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, \\ & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}, \end{aligned}$$

by the matrix equations

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q)^{-1} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q)^{-1} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{opp}}^q \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{opp}}^q)^{-1} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{neg-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{opp}}^q \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ & (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(C_{\mathrm{pos-opp}}^{\mathrm{over-to-lower}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{opp}}^q)^{-1} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 25. In the case $n = 2$, these formulas agree with those in [BW11b, Lemma 22], for the underlying un-oriented link, taking $A = \omega^{-2}$, $\alpha = -\omega^{-5}$ and $\beta = \omega^{-1}$ (see [BW11b, Proposition 26]). In particular, as another reflection of the un-oriented nature of SL_2 , when $n = 2$ the two matrices $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{opp}}^q$ are identical for all q and ω (we saw above that, for general n , this is only true for $q = \omega = 1$). This can be explained conceptually as follows. For any n , the vector spaces V and V^* can be given the structure of a *right* SL_n^q -comodule. When $n = 2$, the linear isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^*$, $x^1 \mapsto -qx_2^*$, $x^2 \mapsto x_1^*$ is an isomorphism of right SL_2^q -comodules, but this is not true for $n > 2$. Incidentally, this explains why, in a loose sense, the choices above for the bases $\beta_{V,V}$, β_{V^*,V^*} , $\beta_{V^*,V}$ and β_{V,V^*} are “natural”.

The linear isomorphisms $B_{V,V}$, B_{V^*,V^*} , $B_{V^*,V}$ and B_{V,V^*} arise naturally as braidings in the ribbon category of finite-dimensional right SL_n^q -comodules, where the coribbon element ζ_n is essentially given by Definition 22. Perhaps more interestingly, we have implicitly taken a “symmetrized” duality, as opposed to the “usual” duality, which is more fitting to the current 3-dimensional setting. (In the notation of [Kas95, Chapter XIV], our symmetrized dualities b_V and d_V are related to the usual ones by $b_V = \lambda b_V^{\mathrm{Kassel}}$ and $d_V = \lambda^{-1} d_V^{\mathrm{Kassel}}$, where $\lambda = q^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} = |\sqrt{\zeta_n}|q^{(n-1)/2}$; note that λ is the bottom left entry of \mathbf{U}^q , see §4.2.1.)

4.2.3. *Trivial strand.* Lastly, consider a single strand crossing from one boundary edge of the biangle to the other boundary edge, as shown in Figure 17. Notice that the height of the strand with respect to the $(0, 1)$ component does not play a role in this particular case.

Then, this corresponds to the $n^2 \times n^2$ identity matrix. Namely, define for each pair of states $s_1, s_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the complex number $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(I)_{s_1}^{s_2}$ by the matrix equation

$$(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(I)_{s_1}^{s_2}) = \mathbf{Id}_{n^2} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$




FIGURE 17. Single strand crossing the biangle

4.2.4. *Kinks and the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant for the biangle.* So far, we have shown how to assign complex numbers to a handful of stated blackboard-framed oriented links in the biangle \mathfrak{B} having one or two components.

Now, let (K, s) be any stated blackboard-framed oriented link in the biangle *having no kinks*. By “combing” the link K into a bridge position, as described in [BW11b, §4, proof of Lemma 15] but allowing for additional local pictures containing crossings, then we can define a complex number $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K, s) \in \mathbb{C}$ by a State Sum Formula, which is identical to the formula appearing in [BW11b, §4, p.1591].

To define the complex number $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K, s) \in \mathbb{C}$ for an arbitrary stated framed oriented link K in the thickened biangle $\mathfrak{B} \times (0, 1)$, first isotope the link, by possibly introducing kinks, so that it has the blackboard framing. Let K' be a new stated blackboard-framed oriented link *without kinks* obtained by pulling tight the kinks in the *un-framed* oriented link underlying K . Then by above we have defined a complex number $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K', s) \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, define $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K, s)$ by modifying $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K', s) \in \mathbb{C}$ according to the un-kinking “skein relations” shown in Figures 18 and 19. (For example, in the case $n = 3$, $\zeta_3 = +q^{-8/3}$.) Note that the two positive (resp. negative) kinks shown are, in fact, locally regularly isotopic.




FIGURE 18. Skein relation for positive kinks




FIGURE 19. Skein relation for negative kinks

Proposition 26 (Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant). *Let \mathfrak{B} be a biangle. The trace function*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega} : \left\{ \text{isotopy classes of stated framed oriented links } K \text{ in } \mathfrak{B} \times (0, 1) \right\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

constructed in this section, sending a stated framed oriented link (K, s) in the thickened biangle $\mathfrak{B} \times (0, 1)$ to the complex number $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\omega}(K, s) \in \mathbb{C}$, is well-defined.

This construction also satisfies the State Sum Property, which is the obvious generalization to the case of general n of Property (1) of Proposition 13 in [BW11b, §4]. \square

Remark 27. The Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant can be defined more generally for *ribbon graphs*, also called *webs*. Bonahon and Wong define the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace by splitting the edges of the ideal triangulation λ to form biangles and then “pushing all of the complexities of the link into the biangles,” [BW11b, p.1596] leaving only flat arcs lying over the triangles. In order to construct the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace for webs, one performs the same procedure, pushing all of the vertices of the web into the biangles. Then, the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant can be applied to the webs in the biangles and (as we will see in the next section) the Fock-Goncharov matrices can be associated to the arcs lying over the triangles.

4.3. Definition of the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace polynomial. Our construction of the quantum trace map in the case of general n will follow exactly the same procedure as explained in [BW11b, §§3.4-6] for the case $n = 2$, where our Proposition 26 plays the role of Proposition 13 in [BW11b, §4]. It remains to discuss how Property (2)(a) of Theorem 11 in [BW11b, §3.4] generalizes to our situation, which we have essentially already done. After this, the rest of the construction is identical; in particular, refer to [BW11b, §6, p. 1600].

Generalizing Property (2)(a) to the case of general n is accomplished by using the quantum left and right matrices \mathbf{L}^{ω} and \mathbf{R}^{ω} , with coefficients in the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^{\omega}(\mathfrak{T})$ for a triangle \mathfrak{T} in the ideal triangulation λ , appearing in our Theorem 14. Consider a single extended left-moving or right-moving arc crossing the triangle between two distinct boundary edges, such as that shown in Figure 10; see §2.3.2.

For example, in the case $n = 3$, these extended left-moving and right-moving arcs are shown in Figures 20a and 20b. Using the notation from the figure, the quantum left and right matrices \mathbf{L}^{ω} and \mathbf{R}^{ω} are given by

$$\mathbf{L}^{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} [D_L^{-1/3} W_1 Z_1 X Z_2 W_2] & [D_L^{-1/3} W_1 Z_1 X W_2] + [D_L^{-1/3} W_1 Z_1 W_2] & [D_L^{-1/3} W_1 Z_1] \\ 0 & [D_L^{-1/3} Z_1 W_2] & [D_L^{-1/3} Z_1] \\ 0 & 0 & [D_L^{-1/3}] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D_L^{-1/3}$ in $\mathcal{T}_3^{\omega}(\mathfrak{T})$ is defined by

$$D_L^{-1/3} = W_1^{-1/3} Z_1^{-2/3} X^{-1/3} Z_2^{-1/3} W_2^{-2/3},$$


and

$$\mathbf{R}^{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} [D_R^{-1/3} W_2 Z_2 Z_1 W_1] & 0 & 0 \\ [D_R^{-1/3} Z_2 Z_1 W_1] & [D_R^{-1/3} Z_2 W_1] & 0 \\ [D_R^{-1/3} Z_1 W_1] & [D_R^{-1/3} W_1] + [D_R^{-1/3} X^{-1} W_1] & [D_R^{-1/3} X^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D_R^{-1/3}$ in $\mathcal{T}_3^{\omega}(\mathfrak{T})$ is defined by

$$D_R^{-1/3} = W_2^{-1/3} Z_2^{-2/3} X^{1/3} Z_1^{-1/3} W_1^{-2/3}.$$

This is the result of multiplying out the snake matrices in the case $n = 3$, analogous to the $n = 4$ examples that we gave in §2.5.

FIGURE 20. Quantum left and right matrices for $n = 3$

Remark 28. In the above matrices, we recall that the square brackets surrounding each monomial indicate that we are taking the Weyl quantum ordering, which depends on the quiver defining the q -commutation relations in the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$; see §2.1.3 and Figure 9.

In Theorem 14, we saw that the quantum left and right matrices \mathbf{L}^ω and \mathbf{R}^ω are points of the quantum special linear group SL_n^q . One puzzling observation is that, in order for these matrices to satisfy even just the relations required to be in the quantum matrix algebra M_n^q , they have to be normalized by “dividing out” their determinants. For example, the above $n = 3$ version of the matrix \mathbf{L}^ω would not satisfy the q -commutation relations required to be a point of M_3^q if we had instead put $D_L = 1$.

Finally, generalizing Property (2)(a) of Theorem 11 in [BW11b, §3.4] as desired, define for each pair of states $s_1, s_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ two elements in the Fock-Goncharov quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})$ for the triangle

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(L)_{s_1}^{s_2}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(R)_{s_1}^{s_2} \in \mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}),$$

by the matrix equations

$$(\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(L)_{s_1}^{s_2}) = \mathbf{L}^\omega \in \mathrm{SL}_n^q(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})),$$

$$(\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(R)_{s_1}^{s_2}) = \mathbf{R}^\omega \in \mathrm{SL}_n^q(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T})) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\mathfrak{T}));$$

see §4.1. Note that Figure 20, displaying the case $n = 3$, also serves as a reference for the case of general n .

This completes the definition of the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega$. One still has to prove it is well-defined, which requires harder work.

Assuming well-definedness, note that the multiplicative property for the quantum trace map, appearing at the bottom of Theorem 20 (and its generalized $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -version), is proved exactly as in Lemma 19 and on p.1609 in [BW11b, §§4, 6].

Also, note that the quantum trace $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K, s)$ of a stated framed oriented link (K, s) can be thought of as a tensor having dimension equal to the number of boundary points $p_i \in \partial K$ of the link, each associated to a state s_i . If the states s_i are arbitrarily partitioned into two groups $s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_\ell}$ and s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m} , then the quantum trace of the link can be written as a matrix $(\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K, s)_{s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_\ell}}^{s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m}})$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{T}_n^\omega(\lambda)$.

4.4. HOMFLYPT skein relation. One important skein relation is the well-known HOMFLYPT relation from knot theory. It happens that the R -matrices for the SL_n -quantum group satisfy this skein relation. This explains why these R -matrices can be used to recover the HOMFLYPT polynomial (and the Jones polynomial in the case $n = 2$).

For us, this relation appears with the normalization displayed in Figure 21. One can check from, say, Figures 15a, 15b, 17 together with the definitions of §4.2.2 and 4.2.3 that

$$q^{-1/n} \mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^q - q^{+1/n} (\mathbf{C}_{\text{same}}^q)^{-1} = (q^{-1} - q) \mathbf{Id}_{n^2} \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$




FIGURE 21. HOMFLYPT skein relation

5. WELL-DEFINEDNESS: PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

To show the $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quantum trace map is well-defined, one has to check all of the *oriented versions* of the local moves depicted in Figures 15-19 in [BW11b, §5]. In the case $n = 3$, we did this by hand, using a computer. Assuming this, the proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.

Here, and in Appendix A, we will demonstrate the kinds of algebraic calculations that are needed in order to verify these local moves, thereby establishing that the quantum trace polynomial of Theorem 20 is independent of ambient isotopy of the links K .

The two most difficult moves are the (now oriented) moves of type (II) and (IV) appearing in [BW11b, §5] in their Figures 16 and 18. Indeed, move (I) can be computed directly from the definitions, move (III) is essentially equivalent to Theorem 14, and move (V) follows from the kink-removing skein relations appearing in our Figures 18 and 19.




FIGURE 22. One of the oriented versions of Move (II)

In Figure 22, we show a representative oriented example of Move (II) in the case $n = 3$. Let K be the link on the left, and K' the link on the right, as indicated. According to the definition of the quantum trace (§4.3) as a State Sum Formula, the equality expressing Move (II) can be interpreted as an equality of 3×3 matrices, which one can compute to be

$$(*) \quad (\text{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K)_{s_1}^{s_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ D_2 & E_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & H_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & +q^{-1/3} \\ 0 & -q^{-4/3} & 0 \\ +q^{-7/3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ D_1 & E_1 & 0 \\ G_1 & H_1 & I_1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1/3}A_2G_1 & q^{-1/3}A_2H_1 & q^{-1/3}A_2I_1 \\ -q^{-4/3}E_2D_1+q^{-1/3}D_2G_1 & -q^{-4/3}E_2E_1+q^{-1/3}D_2H_1 & q^{-1/3}D_2I_1 \\ q^{-7/3}I_2A_1-q^{-4/3}H_2D_1+q^{-1/3}G_2G_1 & -q^{-4/3}H_2E_1+q^{-1/3}G_2H_1 & q^{-1/3}G_2I_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\stackrel{?}{=} \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & e_3 & f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & i_3 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K')_{s_1}^{s_2}) \in M_3(\mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\mathfrak{T})),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Figure 14a and the matrix $(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(U_{\mathrm{inc}}^{\mathrm{ccw}})_{s_1}^{s_2}) = (\mathbf{U}^q)^\mathrm{T}$ from §4.2.1 for the middle matrix; and where, if we denote the left matrix by $\mathbf{L}^\omega = \mathbf{L}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X)$ and if we denote the right matrix by $\mathbf{R}^\omega = \mathbf{R}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X)$ (see §4.3), then we have put

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ D_2 & E_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & H_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}^\omega(W_3, Z_3, W_1, Z_1, X), \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ D_1 & E_1 & 0 \\ G_1 & H_1 & I_1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}^\omega(W_2, Z_2, W_3, Z_3, X),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & e_3 & f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & i_3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X) \in M_3(\mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\mathfrak{T})).$$

See Appendix A for a computer check of the above equality of 3×3 matrices in $M_3(\mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\mathfrak{T}))$ representing this oriented Move (II) example.




FIGURE 23. One of the oriented versions of Move (IV)

In Figure 23, we show a representative example of Move (IV) in the case $n = 3$. Let K be the link on the left, and K' the link on the right, as indicated. According to the definition of the quantum trace (§4.3) as a State Sum Formula, the equality expressing Move (IV) can be interpreted as an equality of $3^2 \times 3^2$ matrices (see §4.1)

$$(**) \quad (\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K)_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 & b_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 & c_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_3 D_2 & a_3 E_2 & 0 & b_3 D_2 & b_3 E_2 & 0 & c_3 D_2 & c_3 E_2 & 0 \\ a_3 G_2 & a_3 H_2 & a_3 I_2 & b_3 G_2 & b_3 H_2 & b_3 I_2 & c_3 G_2 & c_3 H_2 & c_3 I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 & f_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_3 D_2 & e_3 E_2 & 0 & f_3 D_2 & f_3 E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_3 G_2 & e_3 H_2 & e_3 I_2 & f_3 G_2 & f_3 H_2 & f_3 I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i_3 A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i_3 D_2 & i_3 E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i_3 G_2 & i_3 H_2 & i_3 I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} q^{+1/3} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1}A_2a_3 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1}A_2b_3 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1}A_2c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ D_2a_3 & E_2a_3 & 0 & D_2b_3+(q^{-1}-q)A_2e_3 & E_2b_3 & 0 & D_2c_3+(q^{-1}-q)A_2f_3 & E_2c_3 & 0 \\ G_2a_3 & H_2a_3 & I_2a_3 & G_2b_3 & H_2b_3 & I_2b_3 & G_2c_3+(q^{-1}-q)A_2i_3 & H_2c_3 & I_2c_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_2e_3 & 0 & 0 & A_2f_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1}D_2e_3 & q^{-1}E_2e_3 & 0 & q^{-1}D_2f_3 & q^{-1}E_2f_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G_2e_3 & H_2e_3 & I_2e_3 & G_2f_3+(q^{-1}-q)D_2i_3 & H_2f_3+(q^{-1}-q)E_2i_3 & I_2f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_2i_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_2i_3 & E_2i_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1}G_2i_3 & q^{-1}H_2i_3 & q^{-1}I_2i_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda^\omega(K')_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) \in M_{3^2}(\mathcal{T}_3^\omega(\mathfrak{T})),$$

where we have used Figure 15c and the matrix $(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{B}}^\omega(C_{\mathrm{pos-same}}^{\mathrm{over-to-higher}})_{s_1 s_2}^{s_3 s_4}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{same}}^q$ from §4.2.2 as part of the computation for the matrix on the right; and where, if we denote the

left matrix by $\mathbf{L}^\omega = \mathbf{L}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X)$ and if we denote the right matrix by $\mathbf{R}^\omega = \mathbf{R}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X)$ (see §4.3), then we define, similar to the Move (II) example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ D_2 & E_2 & 0 \\ G_2 & H_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}^\omega(W_3, Z_3, W_1, Z_1, X), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & e_3 & f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & i_3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L}^\omega(W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2, X).$$

See Appendix A for a computer check of the above equality of $3^2 \times 3^2$ matrices in $M_{3^2}(\mathcal{T}_3(\mathfrak{T}))$ representing this oriented Move (IV) example.

All of the oriented versions of all of the moves were similarly checked with the computer.

Remark 29. In the general case of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, a more conceptual proof of these same algebraic identities (including Theorem 14), which are equivalent to the local isotopy moves discussed in this section, is given in [CS20] (motivated by [SS19, SS17]) in the context of quantum integrable systems. Consequently, these works can be applied to finish the proof of the generalized version of Theorem 20 in the case of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, which is the main step toward proving Conjecture 1.

REFERENCES

- [AF17] N. Abdiel and C. Frohman. The localized skein algebra is Frobenius. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17:3341–3373, 2017.
- [AK17] D. G. L. Allegretti and H. K. Kim. A duality map for quantum cluster varieties from surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 306:1164–1208, 2017.
- [All19] D. G. L. Allegretti. Categorized canonical bases and framed BPS states. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25:69, 2019.
- [BFKB99] D. Bullock, C. Frohman, and J. Kania-Bartoszyńska. Understanding the Kauffman bracket skein module. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 8:265–277, 1999.
- [BG02] K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl. *Lectures on algebraic quantum groups*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- [BHMV95] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum, and P. Vogel. Topological quantum field theories derived from the Kauffman bracket. *Topology*, 34:883–927, 1995.
- [Bul97a] D. Bullock. Estimating a skein module with $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ characters. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125:1835–1839, 1997.
- [Bul97b] D. Bullock. Rings of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -characters and the Kauffman bracket skein module. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 72:521–542, 1997.
- [BW11a] F. Bonahon and H. Wong. Kauffman brackets, character varieties and triangulations of surfaces. *Contemp. Math.*, 560:179–194, 2011.
- [BW11b] F. Bonahon and H. Wong. Quantum traces for representations of surface groups in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Geom. Topol.*, 15:1569–1615, 2011.
- [BW16] F. Bonahon and H. Wong. Representations of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra I: invariants and miraculous cancellations. *Invent. Math.*, 204:195–243, 2016.
- [CF00] L. O. Chekhov and V. V. Fock. Observables in 3D gravity and geodesic algebras. *Czechoslovak J. Phys.*, 50:1201–1208, 2000.
- [CKKO20] S. Y. Cho, H. Kim, H. K. Kim, and D. Oh. Laurent positivity of quantized canonical bases for quantum cluster varieties from surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 373:655–705, 2020.
- [CKM14] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and S. Morrison. Webs and quantum skew Howe duality. *Math. Ann.*, 360:351–390, 2014.
- [CL19] F. Costantino and T. T. Q. Lê. Stated skein algebras of surfaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11400>, 2019.
- [CM12] L. Charles and J. Marché. Multicurves and regular functions on the representation variety of a surface in $SU(2)$. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 87:409–431, 2012.
- [Con70] J. H. Conway. An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties. In *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967)*, pages 329–358. Pergamon, Oxford, 1970.

- [CS20] L. O. Chekhov and M. Shapiro. Darboux coordinates for symplectic groupoid and cluster algebras. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.07499>, 2020.
- [CTT20] A. Casella, D. Tate, and S. Tillmann. Moduli spaces of real projective structures on surfaces. In *MSJ Memoirs*, volume 38. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2020.
- [Dou] D. C. Douglas. Points of quantum SL_n coming from quantum snakes. In preparation.
- [Dou20] D. C. Douglas. *Classical and quantum traces coming from $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$* . PhD thesis, University of Southern California, 2020.
- [DS20a] D. C. Douglas and Z. Sun. Tropical Fock-Goncharov coordinates for SL_3 -webs on surfaces I: construction. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.01768>, 2020.
- [DS20b] D. C. Douglas and Z. Sun. Tropical Fock-Goncharov coordinates for SL_3 -webs on surfaces II: naturality. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.14202>, 2020.
- [FC99] V. V. Fock and L. O. Chekhov. Quantum Teichmüller spaces. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 120:511–528, 1999.
- [FG06a] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Cluster \mathcal{X} -varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups. In *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, volume 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 27–68. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [FG06b] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 103:1–211, 2006.
- [FG07a] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Dual Teichmüller and lamination spaces. *Handbook of Teichmüller theory*, 1:647–684, 2007.
- [FG07b] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Moduli spaces of convex projective structures on surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 208:249–273, 2007.
- [FG09] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, 42:865–930, 2009.
- [FKBL19] C. Frohman, J. Kania-Bartoszyńska, and T. T. Q. Lê. Unicity for representations of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 215:609–650, 2019.
- [FKK13] B. Fontaine, J. Kamnitzer, and G. Kuperberg. Buildings, spiders, and geometric Satake. *Compos. Math.*, 149:1871–1912, 2013.
- [Foc97] V. V. Fock. Dual Teichmüller spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9702018>, 1997.
- [Fon12] B. Fontaine. Generating basis webs for SL_n . *Adv. Math.*, 229:2792–2817, 2012.
- [FS20] C. Frohman and A. S. Sikora. $SU(3)$ -skein algebras and webs on surfaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.08151>, 2020.
- [FWZ16] S. Fomin, L. Williams, and A. Zelevinsky. Introduction to Cluster Algebras. Chapters 1-3. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05735>, 2016.
- [FYH⁺85] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu. A new polynomial invariant of knots and links. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 12:239–246, 1985.
- [FZ02] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15:497–529, 2002.
- [Gab17] M. Gabella. Quantum holonomies from spectral networks and framed BPS states. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 351:563–598, 2017.
- [GHKK18] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31:497–608, 2018.
- [GJS19a] I. Ganev, D. Jordan, and P. Safronov. The quantum Frobenius for character varieties and multiplicative quiver varieties. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11450>, 2019.
- [GJS19b] S. Gunningham, D. Jordan, and P. Safronov. The finiteness conjecture for skein modules. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.05233>, 2019.
- [GMN13] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke. Spectral networks. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 14:1643–1731, 2013.
- [GMN14] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke. Spectral networks and snakes. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 15:61–141, 2014.
- [Gol84] W. M. Goldman. The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. *Adv. in Math.*, 54:200–225, 1984.
- [Gol86] W. M. Goldman. Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations. *Invent. Math.*, 85:263–302, 1986.

- [GS15] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen. Geometry of canonical bases and mirror symmetry. *Invent. Math.*, 202:487–633, 2015.
- [GS18] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen. Donaldson-Thomas transformations of moduli spaces of G -local systems. *Adv. Math.*, 327:225–348, 2018.
- [GS19] A. B. Goncharov and L. Shen. Quantum geometry of moduli spaces of local systems and representation theory. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10491>, 2019.
- [GSV09] M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein. Poisson geometry of directed networks in a disk. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 15:61–103, 2009.
- [Hig20] V. Higgins. Triangular decomposition of SL_3 skein algebras. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.09419>, 2020.
- [Hit92] N. J. Hitchin. Lie groups and Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 31:449–473, 1992.
- [HN16] L. Hollands and A. Neitzke. Spectral networks and Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 106:811–877, 2016.
- [HP93] J. Hoste and J. H. Przytycki. The $(2, \infty)$ -skein module of lens spaces; a generalization of the Jones polynomial. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2:321–333, 1993.
- [IY] T. Ishibashi and W. Yuasa. Skein and cluster algebras of marked surfaces without punctures for \mathfrak{sl}_3 . In preparation.
- [Jae92] F. Jaeger. A new invariant of plane bipartite cubic graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 101:149–164, 1992.
- [Kas95] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kas98] R. M. Kashaev. Quantization of Teichmüller spaces and the quantum dilogarithm. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 43:105–115, 1998.
- [Kau87] L. H. Kauffman. State models and the Jones polynomial. *Topology*, 26:395–407, 1987.
- [Kim20] H. K. Kim. A_2 -laminations as basis for PGL_3 cluster variety for surface. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.14765>, 2020.
- [KLS20] H. K. Kim, T. T. Q. Lê, and M. Son. SL_2 quantum trace in quantum Teichmüller theory via writhe. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11628>, 2020.
- [KS97] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen. *Quantum groups and their representations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [Kup96] G. Kuperberg. Spiders for rank 2 Lie algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 180:109–151, 1996.
- [Lab06] F. Labourie. Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space. *Invent. Math.*, 165:51–114, 2006.
- [Lê] T. T. Q. Lê. The quantum trace for SL_n skein algebra. In preparation.
- [Lê18] T. T. Q. Lê. Triangular decomposition of skein algebras. *Quantum Topol.*, 9:591–632, 2018.
- [Lê19] T. T. Q. Lê. Quantum Teichmüller spaces and quantum trace map. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 18:249–291, 2019.
- [Lic93] W. B. R. Lickorish. The skein method for three-manifold invariants. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2:171–194, 1993.
- [LS] T. T. Q. Lê and A. S. Sikora. Private communication.
- [Maj95] S. Majid. *Foundations of quantum group theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory. Third edition*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Mon93] S. Montgomery. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [MOY98] H. Murakami, T. Ohtsuki, and S. Yamada. Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs. *Enseign. Math.*, 44:325–360, 1998.
- [NY20] A. Neitzke and F. Yan. q -nonabelianization for line defects. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.08382>, 2020.
- [OY97] T. Ohtsuki and S. Yamada. Quantum $SU(3)$ invariant of 3-manifolds via linear skein theory. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 6:373–404, 1997.
- [Pro76] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices. *Adv. Math.*, 19:306–381, 1976.
- [Prz91] J. H. Przytycki. Skein modules of 3-manifolds. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, 39:91–100, 1991.
- [PS00] J. H. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora. On skein algebras and $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties. *Topology*, 39:115–148, 2000.

- [PS19] J. H. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora. Skein algebras of surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371:1309–1332, 2019.
- [PT87] J. H. Przytycki and P. Traczyk. Conway algebras and skein equivalence of links. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 100:744–748, 1987.
- [RT90] N. Y. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 127:1–26, 1990.
- [RT91] N. Y. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 103:547–597, 1991.
- [Sik01] A. S. Sikora. SL_n -character varieties as spaces of graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353:2773–2804, 2001.
- [Sik05] A. S. Sikora. Skein theory for $SU(n)$ -quantum invariants. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:865–897, 2005.
- [SS17] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro. Continuous tensor categories from quantum groups I: algebraic aspects. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08107>, 2017.
- [SS19] G. Schrader and A. Shapiro. A cluster realization of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ from quantum character varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 216:799–846, 2019.
- [Sun] Z. Sun. Tropical coordinates for SL_n -webs on surfaces. In preparation.
- [SW07] A. S. Sikora and B. W. Westbury. Confluence theory for graphs. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:439–478, 2007.
- [Thu97] W. P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.
- [Thu14] D. P. Thurston. Positive basis for surface skein algebras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111:9725–9732, 2014.
- [Tur88] V. G. Turaev. The Conway and Kauffman modules of a solid torus. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 167:79–89, 190, 1988.
- [Tur89] V. G. Turaev. Algebras of loops on surfaces, algebras of knots, and quantization. In *Braid group, knot theory and statistical mechanics*, pages 59–95. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [Tur91] V. G. Turaev. Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 24:635–704, 1991.
- [Wit89] E. Witten. Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 121:351–399, 1989.
- [Xie13] D. Xie. Higher laminations, webs and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ line operators. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.2390>, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN CT 06511, U.S.A.
Email address: `daniel.douglas@yale.edu`

APPENDIX A.

In the following Mathematica code, Section 2 verifies the claims of §2.5, and Section 3 verifies Equations (*) and (**) in §5.

```

In[1]:=      (* SECTION 1: DEFINITIONS NEEDED FOR QUANTUM CALCULATIONS *)
              (* number of coordinates *)
              (* the following definition of n is for Section 2;
a different definition is given in Section 3 *)
n = 12;
              (* Poisson structure matrix *)
              (* Z3=1,Z2=2,Z1=3,Z3'=4,Z2'=5,Z1'=6,X1=7,X2=8,X3=9,Z3''=10,Z2''=11,Z1''=12 *)
              (* Encodes, e.g., Z3*Z2=q^(1)Z2*Z3, Z3*Z3'=q^(2)Z3'*Z3, Z2*Z3=q^(-1)Z3*Z2 *)
              (* the following definition of P is for Section 2;
a different definition is given in Section 3 *)
P = {{0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0},
     {-1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0},
     {0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2},
     {-2, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0},
     {0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, -2, 2, 0, 0, 0},
     {0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0, 0},
     {0, 2, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0, -2, 2},
     {2, -2, 0, -2, 2, 0, 2, 0, -2, 0, 0, 0},
     {0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2, -2, 2, 0, -2, 2, 0},
     {0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, 0, 2, 0, -1, 0},
     {0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, -2, 1, 0, -1},
     {0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0}};
              (* i[]: For encoding a (monic) monomial in the n variables *)
              (* E.g., Z3^(1/2)*Z2^(-1/2)*Z1^(1/4)*Z3 is encoded by {i[1,1/2],i[2,-1/2],i[3,1/4],i[1,1]} *)
i[z_] := {z}[[1]] + i{z}[[2]];
              (* a[]: Weyl ordering [[.]] coefficient for a monomial *)
              (* E.g., since [[Z3*Z2]] = q^(-1/2)Z3*Z2, then a[{i[1,1],i[2,1]}]=q^(-1/2) *)
a[w_] := (tempw = Flatten[w];
temp = Sum[Sum[-(1/2)*Im[tempw[[i]]] Im[tempw[[j]]] P[[Re[tempw[[i]]], Re[tempw[[j]]]]],
{j, i+1, Length[tempw]}], {i, 1, Length[tempw]}]; q^temp);
(* Test: *)
(* a[{i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
              (* b[]: Coefficient resulting from re-ordering a monomial according to the order 1,2,...,n above *)
              (* E.g., since Z2*Z3=q^(-1)Z3*Z2, then b[{i[2,1],i[1,1]}]=q^(-1), but b[{i[1,1],i[2,1]}]=1 *)
b[w_] := (temp = 0;
tempw = Flatten[w];
Do[ Do[If[Re[tempw[[Length[tempw] - i1 + 1]]] == n - i3 + 1,
Do[If[Re[tempw[[i2]]] >= n - i3 + 1, , temp = temp + 1*Im[tempw[[Length[tempw] - i1 + 1]]
Im[tempw[[i2]]] P[[Re[tempw[[Length[tempw] - i1 + 1]]], Re[tempw[[i2]]]]],
{i2, Length[tempw] - i1 + 2, Length[tempw]}], {i1, Length[tempw]}, {i3, n}]; q^temp);
(* Test: *)
(* b[{i[2,1],i[1,1]}] *)
(* b[{i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
(* b[{i[2,1],i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
              (* c[]: Prints a possibly re-ordered monomial as if the variables commuted *)
              (* E.g., prints either Z3*Z2 or Z2*Z3 when Z2*Z3 is entered, based on Mathematica's whims *)
              (* the following definition of c[] is for Section 2;
a different definition is given in Section 3 *)
c[w_] := (temp = ConstantArray[0, n];
tempw = Flatten[w];
Do[ temp[[Re[tempw[[i]]]]] = temp[[Re[tempw[[i]]]]] + Im[tempw[[i]]], {i, Length[tempw]}];
              (* the following depends on the ordering of the n variables *)
              (* Z3=1,Z2=2,Z1=3,Z3'=4,Z2'=5,Z1'=6,X1=7,X2=8,X3=9,Z3''=10,Z2''=11,Z1''=12 *)
Z3^temp[[1]]*Z2^temp[[2]]*Z1^temp[[3]]*Z3'^temp[[4]]*Z2''^temp[[5]]*Z1''^temp[[6]]*
X1^temp[[7]]*X2^temp[[8]]*X3^temp[[9]]*Z3''^temp[[10]]*Z2''^temp[[11]]*Z1''^temp[[12]]];
(* Test: *)

```

```

(* c[{i[2,1],i[1,1]}] *)
(* c[{i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
(* c[{i[2,1],i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
  (* f[m1,m2]: Computes [[m_1]]*[[m_2]] = q^r m,
  where m should be viewed as if in the order 1,2,...,n,
  but, as for c[], Mathematica may not present the monomial in the proper order,
  so, for instance, if the output is q^(-1) Z_2*Z_3,
  the correct output is actually q^(-1) Z_3*Z_2 *)
f[x_, y_] := a[x]*a[y]*b[{x, y}]*c[{x, y}];
(* Test: *)
(* f[{i[2,1]},{i[1,1]}] *)
(* f[{i[1,1]},{i[2,1]}] *)
(* f[{i[2,1]},{i[1,1],i[2,1]}] *)
  (* g[m], like f[m1,m2] but for only one monomial m *)
  (* only used in Section 3 *)
g[x_] := a[x]*b[x]*c[x];

(* SECTION 2: QUANTUM LEFT AND RIGHT MATRICES *)
(* SECTION 2.1:
CHECKING COMMUTATION RELATIONS FOR QUANTIZED 2x2 SUB-MATRIX OF LEFT MATRIX *)
(* Encoding 2x2 sub-matrix, e.g. a := [[a_1]]+[[a_2]]+[[a_3]] *)
(* Recall *)
(* Z3=1,Z2=2,Z1=3,Z3'=4,Z2'=5,Z1'=6,X1=7,X2=8,X3=9,Z3''=10,Z2''=11,Z1''=12 *)
a1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, 3/4], i[4, 1/4], i[5, -2/4],
i[6, -1/4], i[7, -1/4], i[8, -2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
a2 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, 3/4], i[4, 1/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, -1/4], i[8, 2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
a3 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, 3/4], i[4, 1/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, 3/4], i[8, 2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
b1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, 3/4], i[4, -3/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, -1/4], i[8, -2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
c1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 1/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, -1/4], i[8, -2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
c2 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 1/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, -1/4], i[8, 2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};
d1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, 2/4], i[3, -1/4], i[4, -3/4], i[5, -2/4], i[6, -1/4],
i[7, -1/4], i[8, -2/4], i[9, -1/4], i[10, 0], i[11, 0], i[12, 0]};

In[16]:= (* Checking relations *)
(* da-ad = (q-q^(-1))bc *)
Expand[(f[d1, a1] + f[d1, a2] + f[d1, a3]) - (f[a1, d1] + f[a2, d1] + f[a3, d1])]
Expand[(q - q^(-1)) * (f[b1, c1] + f[b1, c2])]

```

$$\text{Out[16]= } \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} + \frac{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} - \frac{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} + \frac{q^{5/2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}}$$

$$\text{Out[17]= } \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} + \frac{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} - \frac{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}} + \frac{q^{5/2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1'} Z2' \sqrt{Z3'}}$$

In[18]:= **(* bc = cb *)**
Expand[(f[b1, c1] + f[b1, c2])]
Expand[(f[c1, b1] + f[c2, b1])]

$$\text{Out[18]} = \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

$$\text{Out[19]} = \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

In[20]:= **(* ca = qac *)**
Expand[(f[c1, a1] + f[c1, a2] + f[c1, a3] + f[c2, a1] + f[c2, a2] + f[c2, a3])]
Expand[q*(f[a1, c1] + f[a2, c1] + f[a3, c1] + f[a1, c2] + f[a2, c2] + f[a3, c2])]

$$\text{Out[20]} = \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^2 \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} +$$

$$\frac{q^2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{X2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^4 \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2}$$

$$\text{Out[21]} = \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^2 \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} +$$

$$\frac{q^2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{X2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^4 \sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3}}{q^2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2}$$

In[22]:= **(* dc = acd *)**
Expand[(f[d1, c1] + f[d1, c2])]
Expand[q*(f[c1, d1] + f[c2, d1])]

$$\text{Out[22]} = \frac{Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^2 Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

$$\text{Out[23]} = \frac{Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^2 Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

In[24]:= **(* ba = qab *)**
Expand[(f[b1, a1] + f[b1, a2] + f[b1, a3])]
Expand[q*(f[a1, b1] + f[a2, b1] + f[a3, b1])]

$$\text{Out[24]} = \frac{Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^2 Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

$$\text{Out[25]} = \frac{Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X1} Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^2 Z1^{3/2} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

In[26]:= **(* db = qdb *)**
Expand[(f[d1, b1])]
Expand[q*(f[b1, d1])]

$$\text{Out[26]} = \frac{q^2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}}$$

$$\text{Out[27]} = \frac{q^2 \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}{\sqrt{X1} X2 \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}}$$

```

In[28]:=      (* SECTION 2.2:
CHECKING COMMUTATION RELATIONS FOR QUANTIZED 2x2 SUB-MATRIX OF RIGHT MATRIX *)
      (* Encoding 2x2 sub-matrix, e.g. d := [[d_1]]+[[d_2]]+[[d_3]] *)
      (* Recall *)
      (* Z3=1,Z2=2,Z1=3,Z3'=4,Z2'=5,Z1'=6,X1=7,X2=8,X3=9,Z3''=10,Z2''=11,Z1''=12 *)
a1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0],
      i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4], i[7, 1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, 3/4]};
b1 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4],
      i[7, -1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, -1/4]};
b2 = {i[1, 1/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4],
      i[7, 1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, -1/4]};
c1 = {i[1, -3/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4],
      i[7, 1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, 3/4]};
d1 = {i[1, -3/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, -3/4],
      i[7, -1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, -1/4]};
d2 = {i[1, -3/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4],
      i[7, -1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, -1/4]};
d3 = {i[1, -3/4], i[2, -1/2], i[3, -1/4], i[4, 0], i[5, 0], i[6, 0], i[8, 1/4],
      i[7, 1/2], i[9, 1/4], i[10, 1/4], i[11, 1/2], i[12, -1/4]};

In[35]:=      (* da-ad = (q-q^(-1))bc *)
Expand[(f[d1, a1] + f[d2, a1] + f[d3, a1]) - (f[a1, d1] + f[a1, d2] + f[a1, d3])]
Expand[(q - q^(-1)) * (f[b1, c1] + f[b2, c1])]

Out[35]= -  $\frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{q \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} -$ 
 $\frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{q \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

Out[36]= -  $\frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{q \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} -$ 
 $\frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{q \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

In[37]:=      (* bc = cb *)
Expand[(f[b1, c1] + f[b2, c1])]
Expand[(f[c1, b1] + f[c1, b2])]

Out[37]=  $\frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

Out[38]=  $\frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

In[39]:=      (* ca = qac *)
Expand[(f[c1, a1])]
Expand[q * (f[a1, c1])]

Out[39]=  $\frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z1''^{3/2} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

Out[40]=  $\frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z1''^{3/2} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$ 

```

```
In[41]:=      (* dc = acd *)
Expand[(f[d1, c1] + f[d2, c1] + f[d3, c1])]
Expand[q*(f[c1, d1] + f[c1, d2] + f[c1, d3])]
```

$$\text{Out[41]} = \frac{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}} + \frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}}$$

$$\text{Out[42]} = \frac{\sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}} + \frac{X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} Z2 Z3^{3/2}}$$

```
In[43]:=      (* ba = qab *)
Expand[(f[b1, a1] + f[b2, a1])]
Expand[q*(f[a1, b1] + f[a1, b2])]
```

$$\text{Out[43]} = \frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{q^{3/2} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2}$$

$$\text{Out[44]} = \frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2} + \frac{q^{3/2} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3} \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} Z2}$$

```
In[45]:=      (* db = qdb *)
Expand[(f[d1, b1] + f[d2, b1] + f[d3, b1] + f[d1, b2] + f[d2, b2] + f[d3, b2])]
Expand[q*(f[b1, d1] + f[b1, d2] + f[b1, d3] + f[b2, d1] + f[b2, d2] + f[b2, d3])]
```

$$\text{Out[45]} = \frac{\sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} +$$

$$\frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} X1 \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^{3/2} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

$$\text{Out[46]} = \frac{\sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} +$$

$$\frac{q^{3/2} \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{\sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{q} X1 \sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}} + \frac{q^{3/2} X1 \sqrt{X2} \sqrt{X3} Z2'' \sqrt{Z3''}}{\sqrt{Z1} \sqrt{Z1''} Z2 \sqrt{Z3}}$$

(* SECTION 3: MOVES II AND IV EXAMPLES *)

(* see Section 1 *)

n = 7;

(* W1=1,Z1=2,W2=3,Z2=4,W3=5,Z3=6,X=7, going around in order clockwise *)

```
P = {{0, -1, 0, 0, 0, -2, 2},
      {1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2},
      {0, -2, 0, -1, 0, 0, 2},
      {0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, -2},
      {0, 0, 0, -2, 0, -1, 2},
      {2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -2},
      {-2, 2, -2, 2, -2, 2, 0}};
```

```
c[w_] := (temp = ConstantArray[0, n];
```

```
tempw = Flatten[temp];
```

```
Do[ temp[[Re[tempw[[i]]]]] = temp[[Re[tempw[[i]]]]] + Im[tempw[[i]]], {i, Length[tempw]}];
```

(* W1=1,Z1=2,W2=3,Z2=4,W3=5,Z3=6,X=7 *)

```
W1 ^ temp[[1]] * Z1 ^ temp[[2]] * W2 ^ temp[[3]] * Z2 ^ temp[[4]] * W3 ^ temp[[5]] * Z3 ^ temp[[6]] * X ^ temp[[7]]);
```

```

In[50]:=      (* SECTION 3.1: ENCODING THE THREE LEFT MATRICES
(LOWER CASE LETTERS) AND THREE RIGHT MATRICES (CAPITAL LETTERS) *)
      (* W1=1,Z1=2,W2=3,Z2=4,W3=5,Z3=6,X=7 *)
      (* going from 1-edge to 2-edge *)
      a3 = {i[1, 2/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, 1/3], i[4, 2/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      b31 = {i[1, 2/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      b32 = {i[1, 2/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      c3 = {i[1, 2/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, -2/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      e3 = {i[1, -1/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      f3 = {i[1, -1/3], i[2, 1/3], i[3, -2/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      i3 = {i[1, -1/3], i[2, -2/3], i[3, -2/3], i[4, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      A3 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, 2/3], i[3, 2/3], i[4, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      D3 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, 2/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      E3 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      G3 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, 2/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      H31 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};
      H32 = {i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      I3 = {i[1, -2/3], i[2, -1/3], i[3, -1/3], i[4, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};
      (* going from 2-edge to 3-edge *)
      a1 = {i[3, 2/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, 1/3], i[6, 2/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      b11 = {i[3, 2/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      b12 = {i[3, 2/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      c1 = {i[3, 2/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, -2/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      e1 = {i[3, -1/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      f1 = {i[3, -1/3], i[4, 1/3], i[5, -2/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      i1 = {i[3, -1/3], i[4, -2/3], i[5, -2/3], i[6, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      A1 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, 2/3], i[5, 2/3], i[6, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      D1 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, 2/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      E1 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      G1 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, 2/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      H11 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};
      H12 = {i[3, 1/3], i[4, -1/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      I1 = {i[3, -2/3], i[4, -1/3], i[5, -1/3], i[6, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};
      (* going from 3-edge to 1-edge *)
      a2 = {i[5, 2/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, 1/3], i[2, 2/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      b21 = {i[5, 2/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      b22 = {i[5, 2/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, 2/3]};
      c2 = {i[5, 2/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, -2/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      e2 = {i[5, -1/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, 1/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      f2 = {i[5, -1/3], i[6, 1/3], i[1, -2/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      i2 = {i[5, -1/3], i[6, -2/3], i[1, -2/3], i[2, -1/3], i[7, -1/3]};
      A2 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, 2/3], i[1, 2/3], i[2, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      D2 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, 2/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      E2 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, 1/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      G2 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, 2/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      H21 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};
      H22 = {i[5, 1/3], i[6, -1/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, -2/3], i[7, 1/3]};
      I2 = {i[5, -2/3], i[6, -1/3], i[1, -1/3], i[2, -2/3], i[7, -2/3]};

In[92]:=      (* SECTION 3.2: CHECK OF MOVE (II) EXAMPLE *)
      (* 11 *)
      Expand[g[a3]]
      Expand[q^(-1/3) * f[A2, G1]]

Out[92]= q1/9 W12/3 W21/3 X2/3 Z11/3 Z22/3

Out[93]= q1/9 W12/3 W21/3 X2/3 Z11/3 Z22/3

```



```
In[94]:=      (* 12 *)
Expand[(g[b31] + g[b32])]
Expand[(q^(-1/3) * (f[A2, H11] + f[A2, H12]))]
Out[94]=      
$$\frac{q^{5/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{1/3} Z1^{1/3}}{X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{2/3} W2^{1/3} X^{2/3} Z1^{1/3}}{q^{13/18} Z2^{1/3}}$$

Out[95]=      
$$\frac{q^{5/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{1/3} Z1^{1/3}}{X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{2/3} W2^{1/3} X^{2/3} Z1^{1/3}}{q^{13/18} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```

```
In[96]:=      (* 13 *)
Expand[(g[c3])]
Expand[(q^(-1/3) * f[A2, I1])]
Out[96]=      
$$\frac{q^{4/9} W1^{2/3} Z1^{1/3}}{W2^{2/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

Out[97]=      
$$\frac{q^{4/9} W1^{2/3} Z1^{1/3}}{W2^{2/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```

```
In[98]:=      (* 21 *)
Expand[(0)]
Expand[(-q^(-4/3) * f[E2, D1]
+ q^(-1/3) * f[D2, G1])]
Out[98]=      0
Out[99]=      0
```

```
In[100]:=     (* 22 *)
Expand[(g[e3])]
Expand[(-q^(-4/3) * f[E2, E1]
+ q^(-1/3) * (f[D2, H11] + f[D2, H12]))]
Out[100]=     
$$\frac{W2^{1/3} Z1^{1/3}}{q^{2/9} W1^{1/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

Out[101]=     
$$\frac{W2^{1/3} Z1^{1/3}}{q^{2/9} W1^{1/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```

```
In[102]:=     (* 23 *)
Expand[(g[f3])]
Expand[(q^(-1/3) * f[D2, I1])]
Out[102]=     
$$\frac{Z1^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{2/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

Out[103]=     
$$\frac{Z1^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{2/3} X^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```

```
In[104]:=     (* 31 *)
Expand[(0)]
Expand[(q^(-7/3) * f[I2, A1]
- q^(-4/3) * (f[H21, D1] + f[H22, D1])
+ q^(-1/3) * f[G2, G1])]
Out[104]=     0
Out[105]=     0
```

```
In[106]:=      (* 32 *)
Expand[(0)]
Expand[(-q^(-4/3) * (f[H21, E1] + f[H22, E1])
+ q^(-1/3) * (f[G2, H11] + f[G2, H12]))]
```

Out[106]= 0

Out[107]= 0

```
In[108]:=      (* 33 *)
Expand[(g[i3])]
Expand[(q^(-1/3) * f[G2, I1])]
```

Out[108]= $\frac{1}{q^{2/9} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{2/3} x^{1/3} z_1^{2/3} z_2^{1/3}}$

Out[109]= $\frac{1}{q^{2/9} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{2/3} x^{1/3} z_1^{2/3} z_2^{1/3}}$

(* SECTION 3.3: CHECK OF MOVE (IV) EXAMPLE *)

(* 11/11 *)

```
Expand[(f[a3, A2])]
Expand[(q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[A2, a3]))]
```

Out[110]= $q^{7/9} w_1^{4/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}$

Out[111]= $q^{7/9} w_1^{4/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}$

```
In[112]:=      (* 12/11 *)
Expand[(f[a3, D2])]
Expand[(q^(1/3) * (f[D2, a3]))]
```

Out[112]= $q^{23/18} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}$

Out[113]= $q^{23/18} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}$

```
In[114]:=      (* 13/11 *)
Expand[(f[a3, G2])]
Expand[(q^(1/3) * (f[G2, a3]))]
```

Out[114]= $\frac{q^{4/9} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}}{z_1^{1/3}}$

Out[115]= $\frac{q^{4/9} w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_2^{2/3} z_3^{2/3}}{z_1^{1/3}}$

```
In[116]:=      (* 12/12 *)
Expand[(f[a3, E2])]
Expand[(q^(1/3) * (f[E2, a3]))]
```

Out[116]= $\frac{w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3}}{q^{2/9} z_3^{1/3}}$

Out[117]= $\frac{w_1^{1/3} w_2^{1/3} w_3^{1/3} x z_1^{2/3} z_2^{2/3}}{q^{2/9} z_3^{1/3}}$

In[118]:= (* 13/12 *)
 Expand[(f[a3, H21] + f[a3, H22])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[H21, a3] + f[H22, a3])]

$$\text{Out[118]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z2^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z2^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[119]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z2^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z2^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[120]:= (* 13/13 *)
 Expand[(f[a3, I2])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[I2, a3])]

$$\text{Out[120]} = \frac{q^{7/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} Z2^{2/3}}{W3^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[121]} = \frac{q^{7/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} Z2^{2/3}}{W3^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[122]:= (* 11/21 *)
 Expand[(f[b31, A2] + f[b32, A2])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * (f[A2, b31] + f[A2, b32]))]

$$\text{Out[122]} = \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{4/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{4/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[123]} = \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{4/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{4/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[124]:= (* 12/21 *)
 Expand[(f[b31, D2] + f[b32, D2])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[D2, b31] + f[D2, b32] + (q^(-1) - q) * f[A2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[124]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[125]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[126]:= (* 13/21 *)
 Expand[(f[b31, G2] + f[b32, G2])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[G2, b31] + f[G2, b32])]

$$\text{Out[126]} = \frac{q^{11/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z3^{2/3}}{q^{7/18} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[127]} = \frac{q^{11/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}} + \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} X Z3^{2/3}}{q^{7/18} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[128]:= (* 21/21 *)
 Expand[(f[e3, A2])]
 Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[A2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[128]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[129]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[130]:= **(* 22/21 *)**
Expand[(f[e3, D2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[D2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[130]} = \frac{W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3} Z_3^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} W_1^{2/3} Z_2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[131]} = \frac{W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3} Z_3^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} W_1^{2/3} Z_2^{1/3}}$$

In[132]:= **(* 23/21 *)**
Expand[(f[e3, G2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[G2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[132]} = \frac{q^{1/9} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_3^{2/3}}{W_1^{2/3} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[133]} = \frac{q^{1/9} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_3^{2/3}}{W_1^{2/3} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3}}$$

In[134]:= **(* 12/22 *)**
Expand[(f[b31, E2] + f[b32, E2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[E2, b31] + f[E2, b32])]

$$\text{Out[134]} = \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} X Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[135]} = \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} X Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

In[136]:= **(* 13/22 *)**
Expand[(f[b31, H21] + f[b32, H21] + f[b31, H22] + f[b32, H22])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[H21, b31] + f[H22, b31] + f[H21, b32] + f[H22, b32])]

$$\text{Out[136]} = \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{q^{8/9} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{10/9} W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{19/9} W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{X Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} X}{q^{17/9} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[137]} = \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{q^{8/9} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{10/9} W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{19/9} W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{X Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{W_1^{1/3} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} X}{q^{17/9} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

In[138]:= **(* 22/22 *)**
Expand[(f[e3, E2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[E2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[138]} = \frac{W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} W_1^{2/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[139]} = \frac{W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3} Z_1^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} W_1^{2/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

In[140]:= **(* 23/22 *)**
Expand[(f[e3, H21] + f[e3, H22])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[H21, e3] + f[H22, e3])]

$$\text{Out[140]} = \frac{q^{11/18} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{W_1^{2/3} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{29/18} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{W_1^{2/3} X Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[141]} = \frac{q^{11/18} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{W_1^{2/3} Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{29/18} W_2^{1/3} W_3^{1/3}}{W_1^{2/3} X Z_1^{1/3} Z_2^{1/3} Z_3^{1/3}}$$

In[142]:= **(* 13/23 *)**
Expand[(f[b31, I2] + f[b32, I2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[I2, b31] + f[I2, b32])]

$$\text{Out[142]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W3^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3}}{W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[143]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W3^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{1/3} W2^{1/3}}{W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[144]:= **(* 23/23 *)**
Expand[(f[e3, I2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[I2, e3])]

$$\text{Out[144]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W2^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[145]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W2^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[146]:= **(* 11/31 *)**
Expand[(f[c3, A2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[A2, c3])]

$$\text{Out[146]} = \frac{q^{16/9} W1^{4/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[147]} = \frac{q^{16/9} W1^{4/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[148]:= **(* 12/31 *)**
Expand[(f[c3, D2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[D2, c3] + (q^(-1) - q) * f[A2, f3])]

$$\text{Out[148]} = \frac{q^{5/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[149]} = \frac{q^{5/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[150]:= **(* 13/31 *)**
Expand[(f[c3, G2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[G2, c3] + (q^(-1) - q) * f[A2, i3])]

$$\text{Out[150]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[151]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{5/9} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

In[152]:= **(* 21/31 *)**
Expand[(f[f3, A2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[A2, f3])]

$$\text{Out[152]} = \frac{q^{23/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[153]} = \frac{q^{23/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[154]:=      (* 22/31 *)
Expand[(f[f3, D2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[D2, f3])]
```

$$\text{Out[154]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{2/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[155]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{2/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[156]:=      (* 23/31 *)
Expand[(f[f3, G2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[G2, f3] + (q^(-1) - q) * f[D2, i3])]
```

$$\text{Out[156]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[157]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{19/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[158]:=      (* 31/31 *)
Expand[(f[i3, A2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[A2, i3])]
```

$$\text{Out[158]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[159]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[160]:=      (* 32/31 *)
Expand[(f[i3, D2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[D2, i3])]
```

$$\text{Out[160]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[161]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{1/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[162]:=      (* 33/31 *)
Expand[(f[i3, G2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[G2, i3])]
```

$$\text{Out[162]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{8/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[163]} = \frac{W3^{1/3} Z3^{2/3}}{q^{8/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3}}$$

```
In[164]:=      (* 12/32 *)
Expand[(f[c3, E2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[E2, c3])]
```

$$\text{Out[164]} = \frac{q^{7/9} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[165]} = \frac{q^{7/9} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3}}{W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[166]:= (* 13/32 *)

Expand[(f[c3, H21] + f[c3, H22])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[H21, c3] + f[H22, c3])]

$$\text{Out[166]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3}}{W2^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[167]} = \frac{W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3}}{q^{1/18} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{17/18} W1^{1/3} W3^{1/3}}{W2^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[168]:= (* 22/32 *)

Expand[(f[f3, E2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[E2, f3])]

$$\text{Out[168]} = \frac{q^{5/18} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[169]} = \frac{q^{5/18} W3^{1/3} Z1^{2/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[170]:= (* 23/32 *)

Expand[(f[f3, H21] + f[f3, H22])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[H21, f3] + f[H22, f3] + (q^(-1) - q) * f[E2, i3])]

$$\text{Out[170]} = \frac{W3^{1/3}}{q^{5/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{4/9} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[171]} = \frac{W3^{1/3}}{q^{5/9} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{4/9} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[172]:= (* 32/32 *)

Expand[(f[i3, E2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[E2, i3])]

$$\text{Out[172]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[173]} = \frac{q^{4/9} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[174]:= (* 33/32 *)

Expand[(f[i3, H21] + f[i3, H22])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * (f[H21, i3] + f[H22, i3]))]

$$\text{Out[174]} = \frac{W3^{1/3}}{q^{7/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{11/18} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} X Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[175]} = \frac{W3^{1/3}}{q^{7/18} W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}} + \frac{q^{11/18} W3^{1/3}}{W1^{2/3} W2^{2/3} X Z1^{4/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

In[176]:= (* 13/33 *)

Expand[(f[c3, I2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[I2, c3])]

$$\text{Out[176]} = \frac{W1^{1/3}}{q^{2/9} W2^{2/3} W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

$$\text{Out[177]} = \frac{W1^{1/3}}{q^{2/9} W2^{2/3} W3^{2/3} X Z1^{1/3} Z2^{1/3} Z3^{1/3}}$$

```
In[178]:=      (* 23/33 *)
Expand[(f[f3, I2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (f[I2, f3])]
```

```
Out[178]=      1
               -----
q13/18 W12/3 W22/3 W32/3 X Z11/3 Z21/3 Z31/3
```

```
Out[179]=      1
               -----
q13/18 W12/3 W22/3 W32/3 X Z11/3 Z21/3 Z31/3
```

```
In[180]:=      (* 33/33 *)
Expand[(f[i3, I2])]
Expand[q^(1/3) * (q^(-1) * f[I2, i3])]
```

```
Out[180]=      1
               -----
q5/9 W12/3 W22/3 W32/3 X Z14/3 Z21/3 Z31/3
```

```
Out[181]=      1
               -----
q5/9 W12/3 W22/3 W32/3 X Z14/3 Z21/3 Z31/3
```