

# LOG-SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE ON THE MODULI OF GIESEKER-HIGGS BUNDLES

SOURAV DAS

Abstract. Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a flat family of projective curves over a complete discrete valuation ring  $S$  such that the generic fibre is a smooth curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ , the special fibre is an irreducible nodal curve with a single node and the total space  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular. Let  $n$  and  $d$  be integers such that  $n \geq 2$  and  $(n, d) = 1$ . There exists a flat family of varieties  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S} \rightarrow S$  whose generic fibre is the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles of rank  $n$  and degree  $d$  on the generic curve and the special fibre is the moduli space of stable Gieseker-Higgs bundles of rank  $n$  and degree  $d$  ([BBN]). From [H] and [HII], we know that there exists a canonical symplectic structure on the generic fiber. Here we prove that there exists a relative logarithmic-symplectic form on the variety  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S} \rightarrow S$  which restricts to the known symplectic structure on the generic fibre and on the special fibre it induces a logarithmic-symplectic form (in a general sense). We also show that the special fiber is an example of a singular algebraically complete integrable system with respect to the log-symplectic structure.

## Contents

	1
1. Introduction	2
1.3. Notation	3
1.4. Acknowledgement	3
2. Basic definitions and results	4
3. Functorial log structures on the moduli spaces	7
4. Relative Log-tangent space	11
5. On Log-symplectic structure	19
6. Log symplectic structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$	21
7. Algebraic complete integrability	23
References	27

## 1. Introduction

The moduli space of stable Higgs bundles over a smooth projective curve has a natural symplectic structure. Moreover, it is an example of an algebraically complete integrable system with respect to the symplectic form. It was discovered in [H] and [HII]. Later in [BR], it was shown that the moduli of stable principal G-Higgs bundles is an algebraically complete integrable system with respect to its natural symplectic structure.

The method of degeneration has been proved to be a very useful technique in various computations (especially, in Hodge theory, Chern class computations and Factorisation theorem etc.) because it provides a framework to apply the method of induction. It is, therefore, a natural question to ask whether there is a "Good Degeneration" of the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles. Degeneration has been recently constructed in [BBN], extending the techniques of [G] and [NS II]. More precisely, they start with a family of curves  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  over a complete discrete valuation ring, whose generic fiber is a smooth projective curve of genus  $\geq 2$  and the special fiber is an irreducible nodal curve  $X_0$  with a single node and their objects are certain Higgs bundles over certain semistable models of the nodal curve. Then there exists a flat family of varieties (we denote it by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$ ) over the discrete valuation ring such that

- (1) the generic fiber is the moduli of stable Higgs bundles on the generic curve,
- (2) the special fiber is the moduli of stable Gieseker-Higgs bundles,
- (3) the total space of the family is regular and the special fiber is a normal crossing divisor.

In this article, one of the main theorems is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is a relative logarithmic-symplectic form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$ , which is the well-known symplectic form on the general fiber and a log-symplectic form on the special fiber.*

The key idea of the proof is the following: There is a family of varieties  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow S$  such that the generic fiber is the moduli of stable vector bundles over the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{X}/S$  and the special fiber is the moduli of stable Gieseker vector bundles over the special fiber ([NS II] and [G]). Moreover, the total space of this family is regular and the special fiber a normal crossing divisor. We show that the relative moduli of Gieseker-Higgs bundles has an open dense subset, which is the relative logarithmic cotangent bundle of the relative moduli of Gieseker vector bundles with respect to the logarithmic structure induced by the special fiber (which is a normal crossing divisor). Therefore the open dense set acquires a logarithmic Liouville form and the exterior derivative of this form is a logarithmic symplectic form. To show that the 2-form extends everywhere on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$  we require to compute the relative log-tangent and cotangent space of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$  at a given point. There is a universal prestable curve over the moduli of Gieseker vector bundles and using the results from [F I], we show that the prestable curve induces a functorial logarithmic structure and it coincides with the logarithmic structure induced by the normal crossing divisor. This allows us to describe the logarithmic structure functorially. Then using a result from [O], we compute the space of

first-order infinitesimal logarithmic deformations of a Gieseker-Higgs bundle and hence the relative log-tangent/cotangent space at a given point. Using this description we show that there is a skew-symmetric, non-degenerate bilinear form on the relative logarithmic tangent space at any given point and that this form also extends the log-symplectic form on the open dense subset.

Let us denote the dualizing sheaf of the nodal curve  $X_0$  by  $\omega_{X_0}$ . In [BBN], it is shown that there exists a proper Hitchin map  $h: \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow B := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i})$  on the moduli of stable Gieseker-Higgs bundles, whose generic fiber is a compactification of the Picard of a suitable spectral cover of the nodal curve. In the final section of this article, we define an algebraically complete integrable system structure (ACIS) on a variety with normal-crossing singularities and following the strategy of [E] and [ML] we prove the following

**Theorem 1.2.** *The generic fiber  $h^{-1}(\xi)$  is Lagrangian in a symplectic leaf for the log-symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$ . Therefore the Hitchin map  $h: \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow B := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i})$  is an algebraically complete integrable system (7.2).*

### 1.3. Notation.

- $k := \mathbb{C}$  = the field of complex numbers.
- $k[\epsilon]$  := the ring of dual numbers over  $k$ .
- $\mathbb{N}$  := monoid of all positive integers with respect to "+",
- $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , monoid with respect to "+"
- Standard Log Point: A monoid structure on  $\text{Spec } k$  given by a morphism of monoids  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$  which maps  $0 \mapsto 1$  and  $n \mapsto 0$  for all  $n \neq 0$ .
- $S := \{\eta, \eta_0\}$  denotes a complete discrete valuation ring, where  $\eta$  denotes the generic point and  $\eta_0$  denotes the closed point.
- $r :=$ rank of the vector bundles,  $d :=$  the "degree" of the vector bundles. We will assume throughout that  $(r, d) = 1$ .
- for a category  $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sch}}$  fibered in groupoids over the category of schemes  $\underline{\text{Sch}}$  and for any scheme  $U$  the notation  $\mathbf{F}_U$  denotes the category whose objects are  $U$ -spaces and the morphisms are  $U$ -morphisms [LM, Definition 2.1]
- for an algebraic stack  $\mathcal{L}$  we denote by  $T\mathcal{L}$  the tangent stack of  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- for any scheme/algebraic stack  $\mathcal{L}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  the set of all  $\text{Spec } k$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{L}$  and by  $\mathcal{L}(k[\epsilon])$  the vector space of all  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- for any local ring  $\mathcal{O}$  is a local ring we will denote by  $\mathcal{O}^{\text{h}}$  the Henselization at the maximal ideal.


**1.4. Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to Vikraman Balaji for explaining the paper [BBN] and for asking this question. I thank Najmuddin Fakhruddin and Rohith Varma for reading the earlier versions and for several discussions which helped me to write this article.

## 2. Basic definitions and results

Let  $X_0$  be a projective irreducible nodal curve of genus  $g$  with a single node  $x$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$  be the normalisation and  $\pi^{-1}(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Gieseker Curves:

- (1) We call a scheme  $R$ , a chain of projective lines if  $R = \cup_{i=1}^r R_i$ ,  $R_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $R_i \cap R_j$  (for distinct  $i, j$ ) is a single point if  $|i - j| = 1$  and otherwise empty. We call  $r$  the length of  $R$ .



- (2) A Gieseker curve  $X_r$  is a union of  $\tilde{X}_0$  with the chain  $R$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's of length  $r$  such that  $\tilde{X}_0 \cap R = \{p_1, p_{r+1}\}$ , where  $p_1, p_{r+1}$  are two smooth points in the extremal irreducible components of  $R$  and  $p_1 = x_1$  and  $p_{r+1} = x_2$  on  $\tilde{X}_0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let us denote the dualizing sheaf of the nodal curve  $X_0$  by  $\omega_{X_0}$ . Note that the pull-back of the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{X_0}$  to a Gieseker curve  $X_r$  is the dualizing sheaf of  $X_r$ .

Let us fix  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ , a flat family of projective curves such that the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g$ , the special fiber is the nodal curve  $X_0$  and the total space  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular. The existence of such a family is guaranteed by [M, Theorem B.2 and Corollary B.3, Appendix B]. Let us denote by  $\omega_S$  the relative dualizing sheaf.

**Definition 2.3.** For every  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , a modification is a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X}_T \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & T & 
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

- (1)  $p_T : \mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}} \rightarrow T$  is flat,  
(2) the horizontal morphism is finitely presented which is an isomorphism when  $(\mathcal{X}_T)_t$  is smooth,  
(3) over each closed point  $t \in T$  over  $s \in S$ , we have  $(\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}})_t = X_r$  for some  $r$  and the horizontal morphism restricts to the morphism which contracts the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's on  $X_r$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Notice that from remark 2.2, it follows that the pullback of the relative dualizing sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}_T/T$  is isomorphic to the relative dualizing sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}/T$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A vector bundle  $E$  on  $X_r$  of rank  $n$  is called a Gieseker vector bundle,

- (1) If  $r = 0$ , it is a vector bundle, else  
(2) if,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $E|_R$  is strictly standard ([NS II]) and the direct image  $\pi_*(E)$  is a torsion-free on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ -module.

A Gieseker vector bundle on a modification  $\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}$  is a vector bundle such that its restriction to each  $X_t$  is a Gieseker vector bundle.

**Definition 2.6.** A Gieseker–Higgs bundle on  $\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}$  is a Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}_T, \phi_T)$ , with an element  $\phi_T \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_T, (p_T)_*(\omega_{\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}/T} \otimes \text{End } \mathcal{E}_T))$ , that is, a  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_T}$ -morphism  $\phi_T : \mathcal{E}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_T \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}/T}$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}_T$  is a Gieseker vector bundle on  $\mathcal{X}_T^{\text{mod}}$ ,
- (2) for each closed point  $t \in T$  over  $s \in S$ , the direct image  $\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_t, \phi_t)$  is a torsionfree Higgs pair on  $X_0$ .

A Gieseker–Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}_T, \phi_T)$  is called stable if the direct image  $\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_T, \phi_T)$  is a family of stable torsion-free Higgs pairs on  $\mathcal{X}_T$  over  $T$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [BBN, Definition 3.4, 3.6, 3.8]

- (1) Functor of Gieseker curves  $F_{\text{GC},S}$ : We define the functor of Gieseker curves  $F_{\text{GC},S} : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  by  $T \mapsto \{ \text{Isomorphism classes of families } \mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S T \rightarrow T \text{ of modifications/Gieseker curves ([, Definition 3.8])} \}$
- (2) Functor of Gieseker vector bundles  $F_{\text{GVB},S}$ : We define the functor of Gieseker vector bundles  $F_{\text{GVB},S} : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  by  $T \mapsto \{ \text{Gieseker-equivalent classes of families of Gieseker vector bundles i.e., pairs } (\mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}}, \mathcal{E}), \text{ where } \mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \text{ is a family of Gieseker curves and } \mathcal{E} \text{ a family of Gieseker vector bundles on } \mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \}$ .
- (3) Functor of Gieseker-Higgs bundles  $F_{\text{GHB},S}$ : We define the functor of Gieseker-Higgs bundles  $F_{\text{GHB},S} : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  by  $T \mapsto \{ \text{Gieseker-equivalent classes of families of Gieseker-Higgs bundles i.e., triples } (\mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}}, \mathcal{E}, \phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \omega_T), \text{ where } \mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \text{ is a family of Gieseker curves, } \mathcal{E} \text{ a family of Gieseker vector bundles on } \mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \text{ and } \phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \omega_T \text{ any homomorphism, } \omega_T \text{ is the relative dualizing sheaf of } \mathcal{X} \times_S T \rightarrow T \}$ .

Let us denote by  $F_{\text{GVB},S}^{\text{st}}$  and  $F_{\text{GHB},S}^{\text{st}}$  the open subfunctors. From [BBN, NS II] it follows that there exists a family of varieties over  $S$  which represents these functors. These are smooth varieties with normal crossing divisors given by the special fibers  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$ . Let us denote these by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$  respectively.

Let us recall a few constructions from [BBN, Section 5.3].

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_s : \text{Sch} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  be the functor defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_s(T) = \{(\Delta_T, V_T)\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Delta_T \subset \mathcal{X} \times_S T \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{n}) \quad (2.3)$$

is a closed subscheme and  $V_T$  is a vector bundle on  $\Delta_T$  such that

- (1) the projection  $j : \Delta_T \rightarrow T \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{n})$  is a closed immersion,

- (2) the projection  $\Delta_T \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S T$  is a modification,  
(3) the projection  $q_T: \Delta_T \rightarrow T$  is a flat family of Gieseker curves,  
(4) Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the tautological quotient bundle of rank  $n$  on  $\text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_T$  its pullback to  $T \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n)$ . Then

$$V_T := j^*(\mathcal{V}_T) \quad (2.4)$$

be such that  $V_T$  is a Gieseker vector bundle on the modification  $\Delta_T$  of rank  $n$  and degree  $d := N + n(g-1)$ .

- (5) for each  $t \in T$ , the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_t}^N \rightarrow V_t$  induces an isomorphism

$$H^0(\Delta_t, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_t}^N) \cong H^0(\Delta_t, V_t) \quad (2.5)$$

and  $H^1(\Delta_t, V_t) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.9.** It is shown in [NS II, Proposition 8] that the functor  $\mathcal{G}_S$  is represented by a  $PGL(N)$ -invariant open subscheme  $\mathcal{Y}_S$  of the Hilbert scheme  $\mathcal{H}_S := \text{Hilb}^P(\mathcal{X} \times_S \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n))$ . Moreover, the subfunctor  $\mathcal{G}_S^{\text{st}}$  of stable Gieseker vector bundles is represented by an open subscheme  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_S$  and the moduli of Gieseker vector bundles  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S}$  is the GIT quotient  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} // PGL(N)$ . It can be easily seen that the action of  $PGL(N)$  is free and therefore  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S}$  is a principal  $PGL(N)$ -bundle.

**Remark 2.10.** Let  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}} \subset \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n)$  be the universal object defining the functor  $\mathcal{G}_S$ . In fact, by definition, we have the following closed immersion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

More precisely,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}} = \{(h, x) \in \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n) \mid h \in \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \text{ \& } x \in \Delta_h\}$ . Here  $\Delta_h$  denotes the fiber of the morphism  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$  over the point  $h \in \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$ . From this description it is clear that the action of  $PGL(N)$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \times \text{Grass}(\mathbb{N}, n)$  restricts to an action on the subscheme  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}}$  such that the morphism is equivariant under the action of  $PGL(N)$ . Since the action of  $PGL(N)$  is free on  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$  the action is also free on  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** We define a functor

$$\mathcal{G}_S^{\text{H}}: \text{Sch}/\mathcal{Y}_S \rightarrow \text{Groups} \quad (2.7)$$

which maps

$$T \rightarrow H^0(T, (q_T)_*(\mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V}_T \otimes \omega_T)),$$

where  $q_T: \Delta_T := \Delta_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T \rightarrow T$  is the projection and  $\omega_T$  denotes the relative dualizing sheaf of the family of curves  $q_T$ .

**Remark 2.12.** Since  $\mathcal{Y}_S$  is a reduced scheme the functor  $\mathcal{G}_S^{\text{H}}$  is representable; moreover, there exists a linear  $\mathcal{Y}_S$ - scheme  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{H}}$  which represents it. For a  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , a point in  $\mathcal{G}^{\text{H}}(T)$  is given by  $(V_T, \phi_T)$ , where

- (1)  $V_T \in \mathcal{G}_S(T)$ , and
- (2)  $(V_T, \phi_T)$  is a Gieseker–Higgs bundle.

The subfunctor  $\mathcal{G}_S^{\text{H, st}}$  of stable Gieseker-Higgs bundles is represented by an open subscheme  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{H, st}}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{H}}$  and the moduli of Gieseker-Higgs bundles  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$  is the GIT quotient  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{H, st}} // PGL(N)$ . Again the action of  $PGL(N)$  is free and therefore  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{H, st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$  is a principal  $PGL(N)$ -bundle. Let us denote the pullback of the universal curve  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}}$  via the morphism  $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H, st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}$  by  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H}}}$ . The action of  $PGL(N)$  lifts to an action on  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H}}}$  such that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\text{H, st}}$  is equivariant under the action of  $PGL(N)$ .

### 3. Functorial log structures on the moduli spaces

The goal of this section is to define two natural logarithmic structures on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$  and to show that they are isomorphic. To begin with, we show that there is a universal family over  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$ . The proof is an adaptation of [S, proof of Theorem 3.2.1].

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists a universal family of Gieseker vector bundles (Higgs bundles) on the moduli  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S}$  ( $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$ ). The varieties  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S}$  are fine moduli spaces.*

*Proof.* Consider the universal curve  $\Delta_S \subset \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \times \text{Grass}(N, n)$ . More precisely,  $\Delta_S = \{(h, x) \mid h \in \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}, x \in \Delta_h\}$ . For an element  $h \in \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$ ,  $\Delta_h$  is the image of the morphism  $X_r \hookrightarrow \text{Grass}(N, n)$  corresponding to the element  $h$ . From this description of the universal curve  $\Delta_S$  it follows that it is stable under the action of  $PGL(N)$ . Consider the  $PGL(N)$ -equivariant polarization  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(s) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}}(t)$  over the later, where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Grass}}(1)$  are the natural polarizations on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\text{Grass}(N, n)$ , respectively and  $s/t$  is sufficiently large. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_S}(s/t)$  the pull-back of this polarization. Using this polarization we construct the GIT quotient  $\Delta_S^{\text{ss}} // PGL(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} // PGL(N)$ . Since we have considered only the case when  $(\text{rank}, \text{deg}) = 1$ , therefore on  $\mathcal{Y}$  we have stable=semistable. Since  $s/t$  is sufficientlt large, therefore on  $\Delta_S$  we have stable = semistable. In fact, the preimage of  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$  under the morphism  $\Delta_S \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_S$  is precisely the set of semistable points in  $\Delta_S$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}}$  the GIT quotient  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}} // PGL(N)$  and refer to as the universal curve over  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}$ . Since the action of  $PGL(N)$  is free on  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$ , from the description of the universal curve  $\Delta_S$  it follows that the action of  $PGL(N)$  is also free on  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}}$ . Therefore we have the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta_S^{\text{st}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S}
 \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, the vertical morphisms are  $PGL(N)$ - principal bundles. Now let us discuss the descent of the universal vector bundle. Notice that there exists a universal bundle  $U$  over  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}}$  which is the pullback of the universal bundle over  $\text{Grass}(N, n)$ . Let us choose a line bundle over  $\mathcal{X}$  such that the restriction of the

line bundle on each fiber of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  is of degree one. To see that such a line bundle exists let us fix a point on the generic fiber and denote the corresponding line bundle by  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module such that  $\mathcal{L}'|_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} \cong \mathcal{L}_\eta$ . Then the double dual  $\mathcal{L}'^{\vee\vee}$  is locally free because any reflexive sheaf over a regular surface is locally free. Also notice that  $\mathcal{L}'^{\vee\vee}|_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} \cong \mathcal{L}_\eta$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{L}'^{\vee\vee}$  is a line bundle over  $\mathcal{X}$  which has degree one on each fiber. Let us denote this line bundle by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(1)$ .

For an integer  $m$  such that  $nm + d > n(2g - 1)$ , we define a line bundle  $F_m := \det p_{\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}}^* (U \otimes p_{\mathcal{X}}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(m))$ , where  $p_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $p_{\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}}$  are the projection maps  $\Delta_S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}$ . Now consider the line bundle  $M := (\det F_m)^a \otimes (\det F_{m+1})^b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are two integers such that  $a(d + n(m - g + 1)) + b(d + n(m + 1 - g + 1)) = 1$ . Then we see that

$$U'' := U \otimes p_{\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}}}^* M^{-1}$$

is a  $PGL(N)$ -equivariant ample line bundle over  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}}$ . Since the morphism  $\mathcal{Y}_S^{\text{st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  is flat, therefore  $U''$  descends to  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  as a vector bundle. Now the proposition follows from [P, Lemma 5.10 and Corollary 5.10.1].

Over  $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H,st}}$ , we can pullback the family of curves  $\Delta_S^{\text{st}}$  and the universal vector bundle  $U$  by the morphism  $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{H,st}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\text{st}}$ . Let us denote the curve by  $\Delta_S^{\text{H,st}}$  and the vector bundle by  $U'$ . By similar arguments, we can show that the curve and the vector bundle descends to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ . Notice that we have a tautological section  $\phi$  of the vector bundle  $\Gamma(\Delta_S^{\text{H,st}}, \text{End } U' \otimes p_{\mathcal{X}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ . Also it is evident that  $\phi$  is  $PGL(N)$ -equivariant. Therefore it descends to the GIT quotient.  $\square$

The functors  $F_{\text{GVB},S}^{\text{st}}$  and  $F_{\text{GHB},S}^{\text{st}}$  are represented by the varieties  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ . Since there exist universal families over these moduli spaces therefore we have forgetful morphisms

$$F_{\text{GHB},S}^{\text{st}} \rightarrow F_{\text{GVB},S}^{\text{st}} \rightarrow F_{\text{GC},S}. \quad (3.2)$$

**Remark 3.2.** The natural transformations  $p_{\text{GHB},S} : F_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow F_{\text{GC},S}$  and  $p_{\text{GVB},S} : F_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}} \rightarrow F_{\text{GC},S}$  are formally smooth. The outline of the proof is the following. Let

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow 0$$

be a thickening of the Artin local  $k$ -algebra  $A_0$  such that dimension of  $I$  is one and  $\mathcal{X}_A$  be a family of Gieseker curves over  $A$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  be a family of Gieseker vector bundle over the restriction of the curve  $\mathcal{X}_A$  over  $A_0$ . Then the obstruction to extending the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}_0$  over  $A$  lies in  $H^2(X_r, E)$ , where  $X_r$  is the fiber of  $\mathcal{X}_A$  over the closed point and  $E$  is the restriction of the bundle to this fiber. But this is trivial because the dimension of  $X_r$  is one. Therefore the morphism  $F_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow F_{\text{GC},S}$  is formally smooth. Similarly, the formal smoothness of the morphism  $F_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow F_{\text{GC},S}$  follows from [BBN, Proposition 5.12].

Now we have the following two natural log structures on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$ :

- (1)  $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}, \mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}})$ : the curve  $\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  is a prestable curve. So it induces a log-structure on  $\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  such that the projection morphism is a morphism of logarithmic schemes [F I,



Global construction]. From [O, section 4], it follows that this log structure induces a morphism

$$f_{\text{mod}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_S.$$

- (2)  $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$ : the normal crossing divisor  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  induces a log structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$ . Similarly, this also induces a morphism  $f_{\text{div}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_S$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The two log-structures on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X_r, E_r)$  be any Spec  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$ , where  $X_r$  is a Gieseker curve with a chain of rational curves of length  $r$  and  $E_r$  is a Gieseker vector bundle on  $X_r$ . Let us denote by  $A$  the Henselian local ring of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  at the point  $(X_r, E_r)$ . Denote the maximal ideal by  $m_A$ . We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_r & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_A^{\text{mod}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \frac{A}{m_A} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

Both the squares are cartesian squares. Let  $D$  be the closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}}$  defined by the first Fitting ideal  $\text{Fitt}^1(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}}/\text{Spec } S})$ , and  $D = \coprod_{i=1}^{r+1} D_i$  the decomposition into connected components.

We claim the following:

- (1)  $V(\text{Fitt}^1 \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_A^{\text{mod}}/\text{Spec } A}) = D_A = \coprod_{i=1}^{r+1} D_{i,A}$ , where  $D_A := D \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}} \text{Spec } A = \coprod_{i=1}^{r+1} D_{i,A}$  and  $D_{i,A} := D_i \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}} \text{Spec } A$ .

- (2) around  $D_i$ ,

$$R_i := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_A^{\text{mod}}, D_i}^{\text{h}} \cong \frac{A[x, y]}{xy - t_i}, \text{ for some } t_i \in m_A, \quad (3.4)$$

- (3) let  $A_0 := A \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_S}{m_S}$ . Then  $A_0 = A/(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})$ , i.e., in the Henselian local ring the normal crossing divisor is the vanishing locus of  $(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})$ .

The first claim follows from the functoriality of the construction of the Fitting ideals. Second claim follows from the definition of a family of pre-stable curves. To prove the third claim note that the map

$$A \rightarrow \frac{A[x, y]}{xy - t_i} \quad (3.5)$$

is not smooth only over  $V(t_i)$ . Therefore the map  $\mathcal{X}_A^{\text{mod}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is not smooth exactly over  $V(t_1 \cdots t_{r+1})$ .

Let us denote the point by  $p$  and for simplicity let us denote  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_S$ . So  $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_S, p}^{\text{h}}$ . We have the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{O}_{S, s} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_S, p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_S, p}^{\text{h}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_S, p} \quad (3.6)$$

For our purpose, we can assume that  $S = \text{Spec } k[[t]]$ .

The functor of Artin rings  $F_{\text{GC},S}$  has a versal deformation space given by  $W := \text{Spec } k[[z_1, \dots, z_{r+1}]]$  and a versal family of Gieseker curves/modifications  $B$  over  $W$  ([G, Lemma 4.2] and [NS II, "Appendix: Local Theory", II. (a),(b), (c),(d)]). There is a morphism  $W \rightarrow S$  given by  $t \mapsto z_1 \cdots z_{r+1}$  and the fiber over  $t = 0$  is the

versal space for the absolute functor  $F_{\text{GC}}$ . From the construction of  $B$  and  $W$  it follows that the fiber over  $t = 0$  is exactly the locus in  $W$  over which the morphism  $B \rightarrow W$  is not smooth.

Now the restriction of the universal modification  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}}$  gives a modification on  $\text{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}$ . By the versality property, there exists a formally smooth morphism  $v : \text{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}} \rightarrow W$  such that  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{mod}} \cong v^* B$ . It follows from [G, Proposition 4.5] that the  $t \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}} = (t_1 \cdots t_{r+1}) \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}^{\text{h}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}$  is faithfully flat, therefore  $t \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}^{\text{h}} = (t_1 \cdots t_{r+1}) \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}^{\text{h}}$ . This proves the claim (3).

Now let us compare the log-structure on the Henselian local ring  $A$ . The prelog structure on  $A$  induced by the normal crossing divisor given by  $A_0$  is

$$\overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \quad (3.7)$$

given by  $(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}) \mapsto t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}}$  and the log structure is

$$\overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \otimes_{\alpha^{-1}(A^*)} A^* \quad (3.8)$$

The log structure on  $A$  induced by the prestable curve  $\mathcal{X}_A^{\text{mod}}$  is

$$(\overline{\mathbb{N}} \otimes_{\alpha_1^{-1}(A^*)} A^*) \otimes_{A^*} \cdots \otimes_{A^*} (\overline{\mathbb{N}} \otimes_{\alpha_{r+1}^{-1}(A^*)} A^*), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is the prelog structure  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} A$  given by  $a \mapsto t_i^a$ . Now the proposition follows from the following isomorphism of monoids:

$$\overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \otimes_{\alpha^{-1}(A^*)} A^* \xrightarrow{\cong} (\overline{\mathbb{N}} \otimes_{\alpha_1^{-1}(A^*)} A^*) \otimes_{A^*} \cdots \otimes_{A^*} (\overline{\mathbb{N}} \otimes_{\alpha_{r+1}^{-1}(A^*)} A^*) \quad (3.10)$$

where the morphism is given by  $(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1}) \otimes (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r+1}) \mapsto (n_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (n_{r+1} \otimes a_{r+1})$ . It is straightforward to check that the morphism is well-defined and bijective noticing the fact that  $\alpha^{-1}(A^*) = \alpha_1^{-1}(A^*) \times \cdots \times \alpha_{r+1}^{-1}(A^*)$ . Therefore the two log structures are the same.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let us consider the logarithmic structure on the discrete valuation ring  $S$  induced by the closed point  $\eta_0$ . Then the morphisms  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$  are log-smooth.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  denote a stable Gieseker vector bundle  $(X_r, E)$ . Then the log structure at  $p$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & t_i & \longleftarrow & e_i \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ t_1 \cdots t_{r+1} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{S,p}}^{\text{h}} & \longleftarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} \overline{\mathbb{N}} & e_1 + \cdots + e_{r+1} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ t & \mathcal{O}_{S,\eta_0}^{\text{h}} & \longleftarrow & \overline{\mathbb{N}} & 1 \\ & & t & \longleftarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad (3.11)$$

Since the kernel and the torsion part of the cokernel of the vertical morphism on the right are trivial therefore the morphism is log-smooth.  $\square$

#### 4. Relative Log-tangent space

4.0.1. *Relative Log-tangent space.* In this subsection we want to compute the relative tangent space and relative log-tangent space of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$  using the log-deformation theory [F II],[O]. For this purpose it is enough to concentrate on the special fibers  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  instead of the relative case over  $S$ .

Let  $\pi_r : X_r \rightarrow X_0$  be a  $\text{Spec } k$ -valued point of  $F_{\text{GC}}$ . Then from [F I, "Global Construction", Proposition 2.1], it follows that there are canonical induced log-structures on  $X_r$  and  $X_0$  and as well as on  $\text{Spec } k$  such that the arrows  $X_r \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  and  $X_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  are log-smooth. It is straightforward to check that the log structure on  $\text{Spec } k$  induced by the curve  $X_r \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  is the following:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{i=r+1} \overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k \quad (4.1)$$

given by

$$e_i \mapsto 0,$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th basis element of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{i=r+1} \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a family of Gieseker curve over  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  such that the fiber over the closed subscheme  $\text{Spec } k$  is the Gieseker curve  $\pi_r : X_r \rightarrow X_0$ . If the induced log structure ([F I, Proposition 2.1]) on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  is isomorphic to the pull back of the log structure of on  $\text{Spec } k$ , defined above in eq.4.1 under the natural projection map  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ , then the deformation is trivial i.e.,  $\mathcal{X} \cong X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  $\mathcal{X}$  is a prestable curve over  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ . The vanishing locus of the first fitting ideal of the relative cotangent sheaf of the morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  has  $r + 1$  number of components. Let us denote them by  $D_1, \dots, D_{r+1}$ . Let us denote by  $U_i^-$  the complement of the node  $D_i$  and by  $U_i^+$  the complement of the closed subset  $\coprod_{j \neq i} D_j$ . Then  $\{U_i^+, U_i^-\}$  is a Zariski-open covering of  $\mathcal{X}$ . By the definition of a prestable curve, it follows that the etale local expression of  $U_i^+$  around  $D_i$  is isomorphic to  $\frac{k[x_i, y_i, \epsilon]}{x_i y_i - \lambda_i \epsilon}$ . We attach a log structure by a pre-log structure  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^2 \rightarrow \frac{k[x_i, y_i, \epsilon]}{x_i y_i - \lambda_i \epsilon}$  which sends  $(1, 0)$  to  $x_i$  and  $(0, 1)$  to  $y_i$ . On  $U_i^-$  we consider the log structure by  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i^-}$  sending  $1 \rightarrow \lambda_i \epsilon$ ; these log structures may be glued along the intersection by using the diagonal homomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}^2$ . We denote the resulting log structure by  $\mathcal{M}_i$ . The induced log structure on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  is the log structure defined by the pre-log structure  $\alpha_i : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k[\epsilon]$  given by  $1 \rightarrow \lambda_i \epsilon$ . The log structure  $\mathcal{L}_i \cong \overline{\mathbb{N}} \otimes_{\alpha_i^{-1}(k[\epsilon]^*)} k[\epsilon]^* \cong \overline{\mathbb{N}} \oplus k[\epsilon]^*$ .

Finally the induced log structure on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  is the amalgum sum

$$\mathcal{L}_{k[\epsilon]} := \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{k[\epsilon]^*} \cdots \otimes_{k[\epsilon]^*} \mathcal{L}_{r+1} \quad (4.2)$$

It is isomorphic to the log structure associated with the prelog structure

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} \overline{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k[\epsilon] \quad (4.3)$$

given by

$$(0, \dots, i, \dots, 0) \mapsto \lambda_i \epsilon$$

Therefore it is isomorphic to the pull back of the log structure on  $\text{Spec } k$ , defined above in eq.4.1 under the natural projection map  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  if and only if  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ .

The space of infinitesimal deformation of the nodal curve  $X_r$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r})$ . Using Local-to-global spectral sequence [ACGH, eq. 1.2, page 169], we get

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X_r, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r})) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}) \rightarrow H^0(X_r, \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r})) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{i=r+1} \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_{X_r, p_i}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r, p_i}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ , therefore the infinitesimal deformation  $\mathcal{X}$  is an element of  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}))$ . Now from [G, Corollary 4.4] we have the following inclusion

$$H^1(X_r, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{X_r}, \mathcal{O}_{X_r})) \hookrightarrow H^1(X_0, \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{X_0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})) \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, the image of any 1-cocycle/infinitesimal first order deformation  $\mathcal{X}'$  of  $X_r$  under this inclusion is the obstruction to extending the map  $X_r \rightarrow X_0$  to a map  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow X_0 \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ . But since the deformation  $\mathcal{X}$ , by definition comes with a morphism to  $X_0 \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ , therefore the image under the inclusion is 0. Therefore  $\mathcal{X} \cong X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** [K, Example 2.5,(2)] Since our base field  $k$  is algebraically closed, there is a bijection between the following two sets:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of integral} \\ \text{log-structures on } \text{Spec } k \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of integral monoids} \\ \text{having no invertible elements other than } 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

given by the following:

- (1) Given an integral monoid  $P$  such that  $P^* = \{0\}$ , the corresponding log structure is  $k^* \oplus P$  with

$$k^* \oplus P \rightarrow k \quad (4.7)$$

$$(\lambda, p) \mapsto \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (2) Given an integral log-structure  $\alpha : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow k$ , the corresponding integral monoid is  $P := \mathcal{P}/\alpha^{-1}(k^*)$ .

Consider the zero map

$$P \rightarrow k \quad (4.8)$$

Then the associated log structure  $P \oplus k^* \cong \mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $Q_0$  be an integral monoid with 0 as its only unit. Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  be a family such that the fiber over  $\text{Spec } k$  is isomorphic to  $X_r$ . The log structure on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  induced by the family  $\mathcal{X}$  is isomorphic to the log-structure associated to the prelog structure*

$$\beta: Q_0 \rightarrow k[\epsilon] \quad (4.9)$$

$$q \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $Q_0 \cong \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1}$  and the pre-log structure

$$\beta: \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \rightarrow k[\epsilon] \quad (4.10)$$

is given by

$$e_i \mapsto 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r+1$$

*Proof.* From the proof of lemma 4.1 it follows that the log structure on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  induced by  $\mathcal{X}$  is associated to the prelog structure given by

$$\alpha': \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \rightarrow k[\epsilon] \quad (4.11)$$

which maps

$$e_i \mapsto \lambda_i \epsilon$$

Therefore it is isomorphic to the log structure given by

$$\alpha: \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \oplus k[\epsilon]^* \rightarrow k[\epsilon] \quad (4.12)$$

given by

$$(\lambda, p) \mapsto \alpha'(\lambda) \cdot p$$

The log-scheme  $(\text{Spec } k[\epsilon], Q_0 \oplus k[\epsilon]^*)$  is isomorphic to the log scheme  $(\text{Spec } k[\epsilon], \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \oplus k[\epsilon]^*)$  if and only if  $Q_0 \cong \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1}$  and the pre-log structure  $\alpha': \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r+1} \rightarrow k[\epsilon]$  is given by  $e_i \mapsto 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ .  $\square$

Consider the following log morphism

$$e_i \longrightarrow 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r+1$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & k[\epsilon] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ e & \xrightarrow{\quad} & k \end{array} \quad (4.13)$$

$$e \longrightarrow 0$$

Let us denote the log structure induced on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  by  $M$ . We denote the log scheme  $(\text{Spec } k[\epsilon], M)$  by  $X$ , the log scheme  $(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{N})$  by  $Y$  and the log morphism above by  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *The group of the automorphisms  $\phi$  of the log structure  $M$  on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  such that*

- (1) *the automorphisms of the underlying scheme  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  is identity,*
- (2) *the restriction  $\phi_0$  of  $\phi$  on the closed log-subscheme  $(\text{Spec } k, j^* M)$  is the identity morphism, where  $j$  is the closed immersion  $\text{Spec } k \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ ,*
- (3) *the automorphism  $\phi$  commutes with the log morphism  $f$*

*has the structure of a  $k$ -vector space and is isomorphic to the vector space  $k^r$ . We denote this group by  $\text{Aut}_Y^{\text{Inf}}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that the log structure  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}^{r+1} \oplus k[\epsilon]^*$ , where the monoid product is given by addition in the first component and multiplication in the second component. The monoid morphism  $M \rightarrow k[\epsilon]$  is given by  $(0, a\epsilon + b) \mapsto (0, a\epsilon + b)$  and  $(e_i, 1) \mapsto 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Similarly, the log structure on  $\text{Spec } k$  associated to the prelog structure  $\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{0} k$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N} \oplus k^*$  and the monoid morphism is the morphism  $\mathbb{N} \oplus k^* \rightarrow k$  which sends  $(0, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda$  and  $(e, 1) \mapsto 0$ .

Since the restriction  $\phi_0$  of the automorphism

$$\mathbb{N}^{r+1} \oplus k[\epsilon]^* \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{N}^{r+1} \oplus k[\epsilon]^*$$

to the reduced log subscheme  $(\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{N}^{r+1} \oplus k^*)$  is the identity morphism. Therefore  $\phi_0(e_i, 1) = (e_i, 1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Therefore the first factor of  $\phi(e_i, a + b\epsilon)$  also must be  $e_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ .

Since  $\phi$  is a monoid isomorphism therefore  $\phi((0, 1)) = (0, 1)$ , because  $(0, 1)$  is the identity element in this monoid. Therefore  $\phi(0, a + b\epsilon) = a + b\epsilon$ .

Now notice that the monoid  $\mathbb{N}^{r+1} \oplus (k[\epsilon])^*$  is generated by the elements of the following forms  $\{(e_i, 1) \mid i = 1, \dots, r+1\}$  and  $\{(0, a + b\epsilon) \mid a + b\epsilon \in k[\epsilon]^*\}$ . Therefore the images of these generators under  $\phi$  determine the automorphism  $\phi$ . Since the first factor of  $\phi(e_i, 1)$  must be  $e_i$ , therefore  $\phi(e_i, 1) = (e_i, c_i \cdot (1 + \lambda_i \epsilon))$  for some  $c_i \in k^*$  and  $\lambda_i \in k$ . But since  $\phi_0$  is the identity morphism therefore we see that  $c_i = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Therefore  $\phi(e_i, 1) = (e_i, 1 + \lambda_i \epsilon)$ , for some  $\lambda_i \in k$ .

Since the isomorphism  $\phi$  commutes with the log morphism  $f$ , we must have  $\phi(e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1}, 1) = (e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1}, 1)$ . Since  $\phi$  is automorphism of a monoid we have  $\phi(e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1}, 1) = (e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1}, (1 + \lambda_1 \epsilon) \cdots (1 + \lambda_{r+1} \epsilon)) = (e_1 + \dots + e_{r+1}, 1 + (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{r+1}) \epsilon)$ . Therefore the sum  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{r+1} = 0$ .

Therefore the group of such automorphism is isomorphic to the underlying additive group of the vector space  $k^r$ . If  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_Y^{\text{Inf}}(M)$  such that  $\phi(e_i, 1) = (e_i, 1 + \lambda_i \epsilon)$  and  $\lambda \in k$  any scalar then we define  $(\lambda \star \phi)(e_i, 1) := (e_i, 1 + \lambda \cdot \lambda_i \cdot \epsilon)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Therefore the group has the structure of a vector space and is isomorphic to  $k^r$ . □

The next two lemmas follow from [G, Lemma 4.6, 4.8]. Nevertheless, we include slightly different proofs, which are more suitable for our purpose.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $E$  be a stable Gieseker vector bundle on the curve  $X_r$  and  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{X_0}(X_r)$ . Then  $E \not\cong \phi^*E$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a Gieseker vector bundle  $E$  on  $X_r$  and  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_{X_0}(X_r)$  such that  $\phi^*E \cong E$ . Let  $\psi: \phi^*E \xrightarrow{\cong} E$  is an isomorphism. Then there exists an automorphism  $\tilde{\phi}: E \rightarrow E$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_r & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_r \end{array} \quad (4.14)$$

Notice that  $X_r = \tilde{X}_0 \cup R$ , where  $\tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$  is the normalization,  $R$  is the rational chain of length  $r$  and  $\tilde{X}_0 \cap R = \{p_1, p_{r+1}\}$ . Consider the push-forward  $\pi_*E \xrightarrow{\pi_*\tilde{\phi}} \pi_*E$ . Since  $\pi_*E$  is a stable torsion-free sheaf the morphism  $\pi_*\tilde{\phi} = \lambda \cdot \text{Identity}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a non-zero scalar.

Restricting the above diagram on  $R$  we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_R & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & E|_R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & R \end{array} \quad (4.15)$$

The restriction of  $\tilde{\phi}$  at the two points are  $\lambda \cdot \text{Identity}$ . Now notice that the vector bundle  $E|_R$  is globally generated. Given a global section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(R, E|_R)$ , we get a new section  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \phi$ . Notice that  $(\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \phi - \lambda \cdot \sigma)(p_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1$  and  $i = r + 1$ . Since  $E|_R$  is strictly standard we conclude that  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \phi = \lambda \cdot \sigma$ . Therefore the induced morphism  $H^0(R, E|_R) \rightarrow H^0(R, E|_R)$  is multiplication by  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Since  $E|_R$  is globally generated therefore the morphism  $\tilde{\phi} = \lambda^{-1} \cdot \text{Identity}$ .

This is not possible because  $E|_R$  is strictly standard. To see this first notice that we can decompose  $E|_R \cong L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ , such that  $L_i|_{R_i} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $L_i|_{R_j} \cong \mathcal{O}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . For  $i \neq j$ , the induced morphism  $L_i \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} L_j$  cannot be multiplication by a scalar because there is no  $\phi$ -equivariant homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ . Therefore the induced morphism  $L_i \rightarrow L_j$  is 0 for any  $i \neq j$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\phi}(L_i) \cong L_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Since  $\phi$  is a nontrivial automorphism of the curve  $X_r$ , it is nontrivial on at least one rational curve in the chain  $R$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $\phi|_{R_i} \neq 1$ . Moreover, let  $\phi|_{R_i}$  is given by the multiplication by a scalar  $\mu \notin \{1, -1\}$  i.e.,  $\phi([x : y]) = [\mu \cdot x : \frac{1}{\mu} y]$ . Notice that the morphism  $L_i \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} L_i$  given by the multiplication by a scalar  $\lambda^{-1}$  cannot be  $\phi$ -equivariant for any scalar  $\lambda^{-1}$ . To see this let us focus on the rational curve  $R_i$ . We have  $L_i|_{R_i} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$  and therefore  $L_i^*|_{R_i} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$ . We have the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^* & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}^*} & L^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_i & \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} & R_i \end{array} \quad (4.16)$$

Notice that the map  $\tilde{\phi}^*$  is multiplication by the scalar  $\lambda$ .

The total space of  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  is the following subvariety of  $R_i \times \mathbb{C}^2$

$$\{([x:y], (\gamma \cdot x, \gamma \cdot y)) \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\} \quad (4.17)$$

Consider the diagram

$$([x:y], (\gamma \cdot x, \gamma \cdot y)) \longrightarrow ([\frac{1}{\mu} \cdot x : \mu \cdot y], (\lambda \cdot \gamma \cdot x, \lambda \cdot \gamma \cdot y)), \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_i & \longrightarrow & R_i \end{array} \quad (4.18)$$

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [\frac{1}{\mu} \cdot x : \mu \cdot y]$$

But if  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  has to be equivariant then  $\lambda \cdot \gamma = \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot v$  and  $\lambda \cdot \gamma = \mu \cdot v$  for some  $v \in \mathbb{C}$ . But this implies that  $\mu^2 \cdot v = v$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 0$  the scalar  $v \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\mu^2 = 1$  i.e.  $\mu \in \{1, -1\}$ . But the multiplications by  $\pm 1$  induce the identity morphism on  $R_i$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The vector space  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  parametrizes the automorphisms of the variety  $X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ , which commute with the projection to  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  and such that the induced isomorphism on the closed fiber is the identity morphism. Now given such an infinitesimal automorphism  $X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{\phi} X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  and a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  such that the restriction to the closed fiber is the vector bundle  $E$ , we can pullback the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  by the morphism  $\phi$ . We define the following action

$$H^0(X_r, T_{X_r}) \times H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}ndE) \rightarrow H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}ndE) \quad (4.19)$$

given by  $(\phi, \mathcal{E}) \mapsto \phi^* \mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $E$  be a stable Gieseker vector bundle on  $X_r$ . The action of the group  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  of infinitesimal automorphisms of  $X_r$  on the space  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}ndE)$  of all infinitesimal first order deformations of the vector bundle  $E$  is free.*

*Proof.* Notice that if there exists  $\phi \in H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  and  $\mathcal{E} \in H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}ndE)$  such that there exists an isomorphism  $\tilde{\phi}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \phi^* \mathcal{E}$ , then we have a following cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \end{array} \quad (4.20)$$



In other words,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\phi$ -equivariant bundle on  $X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ . Let us denote by  $\pi$  the morphism  $X_r \rightarrow X_0$ . Then we have an induced automorphism  $X_0 \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \phi} X_0 \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  such that it commutes with the projection to  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  and the induced automorphism on the special fiber is the identity. But since  $X_0$  is a stable curve therefore  $(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \phi = \text{Identity}$ . We also have an induced automorphism of the torsion-free sheaf  $(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \tilde{\phi} : (\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \mathcal{E}$  such that induced morphism on the closed fiber  $\pi_* E \rightarrow \pi_* E$  is the identity. Since the morphism  $(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \tilde{\phi}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}[\epsilon]$  module homomorphism and it is the Identity morphism modulo  $\epsilon$ , therefore the morphism is multiplication (on the left) by  $I + \epsilon \Psi_0$ , where  $\Psi_0 : \pi_* E \rightarrow \pi_* E$  an  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$  module homomorphism. Therefore if  $\sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2$  is a local section of  $(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \mathcal{E}$  then  $(\pi \times \mathbb{1})_* \tilde{\phi}(\sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2 + \epsilon \Psi_0(\sigma_1)$ . Since the torsion free sheaf  $\pi_* E$  is stable therefore the morphism  $\Psi_0$  must be multiplication by some scalar  $\lambda$ . Therefore on  $\tilde{X}_0 \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  also the restriction of  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(\sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \epsilon \cdot \sigma_2 + \epsilon \lambda \sigma_1 \quad (4.21)$$

By restricting the morphism  $\tilde{\phi}$  over  $R[\epsilon] := R \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  we get

$$\mathcal{E}|_{R[\epsilon]} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \mathcal{E}|_{R[\epsilon]} \quad (4.22)$$

But  $\tilde{\phi}|_{p_i \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]}(\sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2 + \epsilon \lambda \sigma_1$  for  $i = 1, r+1$ . Since the morphism  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\phi$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}_R[\epsilon]$ -module homomorphism and is the Identity morphism modulo  $\epsilon$ , therefore  $\tilde{\phi}$  is multiplication (on the left) by  $I + \epsilon \Psi$ , where  $\Psi : E|_R \rightarrow E|_R$  is  $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module. Therefore  $\tilde{\phi}(\sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \epsilon \sigma_2 + \epsilon \Psi(\sigma_1)$ . Since, at the two extremal points  $p_1$  and  $p_{r+1}$ , the morphism  $\Psi$  is multiplication by the scalar  $\lambda$  and the vector bundle  $E|_R$  is a strictly standard vector bundle therefore  $\Psi = \lambda \cdot I$ .

But this is not possible unless the infinitesimal automorphism  $\phi$  is trivial. To see this notice that  $\phi$  is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_R[\epsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_R[\epsilon] \quad (4.23)$$

which maps  $f + \epsilon \cdot g \mapsto f + \epsilon(g + X_\phi(df))$ , where  $X_\phi$  is the vector field on  $R$  corresponding to the infinitesimal automorphism  $\phi$ . Over a  $\phi$ -equivariant trivialization  $U[\epsilon]$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , where  $U$  is an open subset of  $R$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus 2}[\epsilon] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} (\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus 2}[\epsilon] \quad (4.24)$$

such that  $\tilde{\phi}(f_1 + \epsilon g_1, f_2 + \epsilon g_2) = \tilde{\phi}((f_1, f_2) + \epsilon(g_1, g_2)) = \tilde{\phi}(f_1 \cdot (1, 0)) + \tilde{\phi}(f_2 \cdot (1, 0)) + \tilde{\phi}(\epsilon g_1 \cdot (0, 1)) + \tilde{\phi}(\epsilon g_2 \cdot (0, 1)) = \phi(f_1) \cdot (1, 0) + \phi(f_2) \cdot (1, 0) + \phi(\epsilon g_1)(0, 1) + \phi(\epsilon g_2)(0, 1) = (f_1 + \epsilon X_\phi(f_1))(1, 0) + (f_2 + \epsilon X_\phi(f_2))(1, 0) + (\epsilon g_1)(0, 1) + (\epsilon g_2)(0, 1) = (f_1 + \epsilon(g_1 + X_\phi(df_1)), f_2 + \epsilon(g_2 + X_\phi(df_2)))$ . But then we must have  $X_\phi(df_1) = \lambda$  and  $X_\phi(df_2) = \lambda$  for all local functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , which is only possible when  $\lambda = 0$  and the vector field  $X_\phi$  is trivial, i.e., the infinitesimal automorphism  $\phi$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** From the above lemma it follows that if  $0 \neq \phi \in H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is the trivial infinitesimal deformation of  $E$  over  $X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$ , then  $\phi^* \mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore we conclude that  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  is a subspace of  $H^1(X_r, \text{End } E)$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** (1) The relative tangent space of  $f_{\text{mod}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}$  at a point  $(\pi_r : X_r \rightarrow X_0, E)$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)$ .

(2) The relative tangent space of  $f_{\text{mod}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}$  at a point  $(\pi_r : X_r \rightarrow X_0, E, \phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$  is the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0 := \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{-e(\phi)} \mathcal{C}^1 := \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.25)$$

and the map  $e(\phi)(s) = \phi \circ s - (\mathbb{1} \otimes s) \circ \phi$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \rightarrow (\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})$  is the restriction of the log smooth morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S} \rightarrow S$  over the closed point of  $S$ , it is also a log-smooth morphism. Therefore the morphism  $f_{\text{mod}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}$  is smooth. By definition [LM, Definition 17.14.2], the relative tangent space is the fiber product (for the notations see 1.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) \times_{T\mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k)} \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) \end{array} \quad (4.26)$$

where the vertical morphism on the left is the natural projection and the horizontal morphism below is the null-section [LM, 17.11.4]. The fiber product in the diagram is a vector space.

It is well-known that the isomorphism classes of first order infinitesimal deformations of a vector bundle  $E$  over a projective curve  $C$  is parametrized by the vector space  $H^1(C, \mathcal{E}nd E)$ . Therefore we have the following

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of stable Gieseker vector bundles} \\ \mathcal{E} \text{ over } X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \text{ such that the} \\ \text{restriction over } X_r \text{ is } E \end{array} \right\} \cong H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E) \quad (4.27)$$

and from lemma 4.1 it follows that we have a surjective morphism of vector spaces

$$H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) \times_{T\mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k)} \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k). \quad (4.28)$$

From remark 4.2 and lemma 4.3, it follows that the elements which lies in the image of the morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) \times_{T\mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k)} \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon])$  are the Gieseker-equivalent classes of families of stable Gieseker vector bundles  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  such that the induced logarithmic structure is on  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]$  is isomorphic to the pull back of the log structure of on  $\text{Spec } k$ , defined above in eq.4.1, under the natural projection map  $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ . Therefore from lemma 4.1, it follows that the image of the morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) \times_{T\mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k)} \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec} k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon])$  is isomorphic to the quotient vector space

$$\frac{H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)}{H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})}. \quad (4.29)$$

In lemma 4.6, we have shown that the action of  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  on  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)$  is free and in 4.7, we have remarked that  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  is a vector subspace of  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)$ . Also notice that the Gieseker equivalence on the infinitesimal families of Gieseker vector bundles is precisely the equivalence induced by the action of  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})$  on  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)$ .

It follows from the definition of the fiber product of algebraic stacks that the fiber of the surjective morphism of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}(k[\epsilon]) \times_{T_{\mathcal{L}og}_{(\text{Spec } k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k)}} \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec } k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}(k) \rightarrow \frac{H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)}{H^0(X_r, T_{X_r})}$$

is isomorphic to the vector space  $Aut_Y^{\text{Inf}}(M)$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^r$  (by lemma 4.4). Also notice that  $H^0(X_r, T_{X_r}) \cong \mathbb{C}^r$ . Since the morphism 4.28 is a surjective morphism between two vector spaces of the same dimension it has to be an isomorphism. Therefore the relative log tangent space is isomorphic to  $H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)$ .

Similarly, if  $(X_r, E, \phi: E \rightarrow E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0})$  is a Gieseker-Higgs bundle then the relative tangent space of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_{(\text{Spec } k, \bar{\mathbb{N}})}$  at the point  $(X_r, E, \phi: E \rightarrow E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0})$  is isomorphic to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of Higgs bundles} \\ (\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\phi}) \text{ over } X_r \times \text{Spec } k[\epsilon] \text{ such that the} \\ \text{restriction over } X_r \text{ is } (E, \phi) \end{array} \right\} \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet), \quad (4.30)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$  is the complex 4.25. The isomorphism in the above equation follows from [BR, Theorem 2.3].  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $(X_r, E, \phi)$  be any Gieseker-Higgs bundle. Then*

$$T_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S/S}}(-\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})|_{(X_r, E)} \cong \mathbb{H}^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E), T_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S/S}}(-\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}})|_{(X_r, E, \phi)} \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet). \quad (4.31)$$

*Proof.* Since the two log structures  $f_{\text{Div}}: \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_S$  and  $f_{\text{mod}}: \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_S$  are the same therefore the relative tangent bundles are also isomorphic. Therefore the theorem follows from [O II, 3.8], proposition 4.8 and proposition 3.3.  $\square$

## 5. On Log-symplectic structure

The results in this section should be well-known and are discussed here mainly to use them in the next section.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a flat family of varieties over a complete discrete valuation ring  $S$  such that*

- (1) *the generic fiber is smooth,*
- (2) *the spacial fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a variety with normal crossing singularity along a divisor  $\mathcal{X}_1 := \text{singular loci of } \mathcal{X}_0$*
- (3) *the total space  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular*

*Let  $n: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$  be the normalisation and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1$  be the inverse image of  $\mathcal{X}_1$ . Then*

- (1)  $n^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \cong \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1)$

(2) there is a logarithmic de-Rham complex on  $\mathcal{X}_0$  whose pullback is the logarithmic de-Rham complex on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ .

*Proof.* proof of (1): From [RF, Theorem 3.2], it follows that we have a natural morphism

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \hookrightarrow n_* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1) \quad (5.1)$$

Therefore we have a morphism

$$n^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1) \quad (5.2)$$

It is obvious that the cokernel is supported on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_2 :=$  singular locus of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1$ . We claim that over  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_2$  the morphism is an isomorphism.

Assuming the claim, we see that the morphism of two vector bundles is isomorphic outside codimension 2. Therefore the map must be an isomorphism.

The proof of the claim follows from the description [RF, Equation 3.1.1, (3.1.2)].

proof of (2): We have the following de-Rham complex:

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p+1}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (5.3)$$

For every  $p$  we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_S^1(\log s) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p-1}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.4)$$

From this exact sequence we have the following induced de-Rham complex:

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}}^1(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}}^{p+1}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (5.5)$$

From [RF, Theorem 3.2], it follows that  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{1S}}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_0)|_X \cong \Omega_X^p(\log X_{[1]})$ . Therefore by restricting the logarithmic de-Rham complex (equation 5.5) we get the following logarithmic de-Rham complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}^1(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}^p(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}^{p+1}(\log \mathcal{X}_1) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (5.6)$$

We also have the following de-Rham complex on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}^1(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}^p(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0}^{p+1}(\log \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (5.7)$$

To see that the pull-back of the de-Rham complex 5.6 is the same as 5.7, it is enough to concentrate around a neighbourhood of the singular loci  $\mathcal{X}_1$ . We can choose the neighbourhood to be small enough so that every irreducible component of  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \cup \mathcal{Z}_i$  is smooth. Then the restrictions of the two logarithmic exterior derivatives (namely the restrictions of the derivative on  $\mathcal{X}_0$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ ) on  $\mathcal{X}_1$  must be same because they satisfy the Leibnitz rule of exterior derivative.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a flat family of varieties over a complete discrete valuation ring  $S$  such that

- (1) the generic fiber is smooth,
- (2) the spacial fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a variety with normal crossing singularity along a divisor  $\mathcal{X}_1 := \text{singular loci of } \mathcal{X}_0$
- (3) the total space  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular

Then there is a canonical relative log-symplectic structure on the relative log-cotangent bundle  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  denote the projection map. The vector bundle  $\pi^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \times_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$  has a canonical diagonal section  $\lambda : \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0) \times_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$ . But  $\pi^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$  is a subbundle of the log cotangent bundle of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$ , where the logarithmic divisor on  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$  is the inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_0)$  ([F II, Proposition 5.4, 2.]). Therefore we have a logarithmic 1-form  $\lambda$  over  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{X}_0)$ . We now define a two form  $\omega := -d\lambda$  by taking the logarithmic exterior derivative (5.1). It is clearly a closed two form.

We will now analyze this in local coordinates to show that the form  $\omega$  is non-degenerate. Since at the points in the generic fiber the form is clearly symplectic it is enough to concentrate on the special fiber. Let  $x$  be a point in  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . The analytic neighbourhood  $N$  of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is the vanishing locus of  $x_1 \cdots x_r$  for some  $r \leq n$  in an open ball of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{analytic}}^n := \text{Spec}_{\text{analytic}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . It is enough to describe the 2-form on the irreducible components. Without loss of generality let us concentrate on the component  $\mathcal{Z}_1 := N \cap \text{Spec}_{\text{analytic}} \mathbb{C}[x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . The divisor  $\mathcal{X}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_1$  in the component  $\mathcal{Z}_1$  is given by the vanishing locus of  $x_2 \cdots x_r$ . Let us denote it by  $\mathcal{X}_1$  by abuse of notation. Let  $y$  be a point on the logarithmic cotangent bundle  $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(\log \mathcal{X}_1)$  over  $x$ . Let  $\{x_2, \dots, x_n, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be a set of local coordinates of the log cotangent bundle  $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(\log \mathcal{X}_1)$  at the point  $y$ . Then the Liouville form  $\lambda$ , defined above has the form:

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=2}^r p_i \cdot \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n p_j \cdot dx_j \quad (5.8)$$

and the 2-form  $\omega$  has the form:

$$\omega = \sum_{i=2}^r \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \wedge dp_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^n dx_j \wedge dp_j \quad (5.9)$$

For the sake of simplicity let us denote the logarithmic tangent and cotangent bundles by  $T_{\log}$  and  $\Omega_{\log}$ . The logarithmic two form  $\omega$  on  $\Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1$  is a bilinear form  $T_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1 \otimes T_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1}$ . The basis of the log-tangent space at the point  $y$  is  $\{x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}\}$ . Now from the expression 5.9 it follows that the value of the form  $\omega$  is non-zero on  $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ . Therefore the bilinear form  $\omega : T_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1 \otimes T_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1}$  is non-degenerate i.e., the induced map  $\omega^\# : T_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\log} \Omega_{\log} \mathcal{Z}_1$  is an isomorphism. Similarly checking it on the other irreducible components, we conclude that the two form  $\omega$  is a log symplectic form on  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\log \mathcal{X}_1)$ .  $\square$

## 6. Log symplectic structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$

In this section, we will show that there is a relative log symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}, S} \rightarrow S$  and also describe it functorially.

Consider the following

$$\wedge^2 \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \longrightarrow S \quad (6.1)$$

Now over the generic point  $\eta$  of  $S$ , the first projection map has a natural section which corresponds to the symplectic form on moduli of Higgs bundles over the generic curves [BR, Section 4]. Let us denote by the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$  by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}}$ . It is an open subset of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ .

Now consider the relative logarithmic cotangent bundle

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S} \longrightarrow S \quad (6.2)$$

Using equation 4.31 for any Gieseker vector bundle  $(X_r, E)$  we have

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})|_{(X_r, E)} \cong H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)^* \quad (6.3)$$

By Serre duality it follows that

$$H^1(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E)^* \cong H^0(X_r, \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0}) \cong \text{Hom}(E, E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0}) \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore we have a morphism  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ , which is clearly injective. The objects of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$  are precisely those Gieseker-Higgs bundles whose underlying Gieseker vector bundle is stable. From the openness of stability of Gieseker vector bundles it follows that  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$  is an open immersion.

There is a natural log-symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$  (theorem 5.2). Now consider the union of the two open subvarieties  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}} \cup \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$ . The symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}}$  and the log-symplectic structure on  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$  agree on the intersection  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}} \cap \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{VB}}}$ . Therefore there is a log-symplectic structure on the union of the two open subsets  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}} \cup \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})$ . The following lemma shows that  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}}$  is a dense and open subset of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $(X_r, \mathcal{E}_0, \phi_0 : \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0})$  be any stable Gieseker-Higgs bundle. There exists a family of stable Gieseker-Higgs bundles  $(\mathcal{X}_S^{\text{mod}}, \mathcal{E}_S, \phi_S : \mathcal{E}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_S \otimes \omega_S)$  over a complete discrete valuation ring  $S$ , whose generic fiber is a stable Higgs bundle over the smooth curve  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  and the special fiber is  $(X_r, \mathcal{E}_0, \phi_0)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the openness of a flat morphism and the fact that the relative moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$  is flat over  $S$  [BBN, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** Let us denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},1}$  the singular locus of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}$ . The complement  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \setminus (\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}} \cup \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}})) = \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \setminus \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB}}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GVB},1})$  may not be of codimension 2 in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ . Therefore we cannot directly conclude that the relative logarithmic two form extends over  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ . But nevertheless we will show now that there is a natural skew-symmetric 2-form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$  which extends the 2-form, discussed

above. From lemma 6.1, it follows that this extended 2-form is closed, because it is closed on the dense open subset  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}}$  and therefore it is a relative logarithmic symplectic form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}$ .

Given a Gieseker-Higgs bundle  $(X_r, E, \phi)$  consider the following complex  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0 := \mathcal{E}nd E \xrightarrow{e(\phi)} \mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.5)$$

where  $e(\phi)(s) = \phi \circ s - (\mathbb{1} \otimes s) \circ \phi$ . The log-cotangent space of the moduli  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$  at  $(X_r, E, \phi)$  is  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet)$ . The dual of this complex is  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet^*$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0 := \mathcal{E}nd E \xrightarrow{-e(\phi)} \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.6)$$

We have the following isomorphism of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}nd E & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{E}nd E \\ \downarrow e(\phi) & & \downarrow -e(\phi) \\ \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0} & \xrightarrow{-\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0} \end{array} \quad (6.7)$$

By Serre duality for hypercohomology, we get an isomorphism

$$(\omega')^\# : \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}})|_{(X_r, E, \phi)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S}}(-\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}})|_{(X_r, E, \phi)} \quad (6.8)$$

In others words this gives a non-degenerate logarithmic two-form  $\omega'$ . From the choice of the sign in the diagram 6.7 it follows that  $\omega'$  is skew symmetric. Therefore this gives a section  $\omega' : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S/S}}(\log \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}})$ . Following theorem 5.2, we have another section  $\omega$ , and from [BR, Theorem 4.6], it follows that these two sections are the same on the open subset  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HB}}$ . Therefore these two 2-forms are the same and this pairing is the log-symplectic form that we have discussed earlier. So we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *There is a relative logarithmic-symplectic form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB},S} \rightarrow S$ , which is the well-known symplectic form on the general fiber and a log-symplectic form on the special fiber.*

*Proof.* Follows from the above discussion. □

## 7. Algebraic complete integrability

7.0.1. *Hitchin map.* There is a Hitchin map on the moduli of Gieseker-Higgs bundles which is defined as follows:

$$h : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow B := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i}) \quad (7.1)$$

given by

$$(X_r, E, \phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes \pi_r^* \omega_{X_0}) \mapsto (\text{Trace } \phi, \dots, (-1)^{i-1} \text{Trace } (\wedge^i \phi), \dots, (-1)^{n-1} \text{Trace } (\wedge^n \phi))$$

Notice that under the map  $\pi : X_r \rightarrow X_0$  the pull-back  $\pi^* \omega_{X_0} \cong \omega_{X_r}$ . Also notice that  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_r} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$  and  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_r} = 0 \forall i > 0$ . Therefore  $\oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_r, \omega_{X_r}^{\otimes i}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i})$ .

The dimension  $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} = 2(n^2(g-1) + 1)$  and  $\dim (\oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i})) = n^2(g-1) + 1$  (by Riemann-Roch formula), which is half of the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$ . It is shown in [BBN], that the Hitchin map  $h$  is proper.

**7.0.2. Fibers of the Hitchin map.** For a generic element  $\xi \in B$ , [BBN, Section 7, Remark 7.6] constructs a spectral curve  $X_\xi$ , which is an irreducible vine curve, ramified outside the nodes, such that there is the following correspondence:

$$\left\{ \text{line bundles on the curve } X_\xi \text{ of degree } \delta \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Gieseker-Higgs bundles on } X_0 \\ \text{of rank } r \text{ and degree } \delta - r(r-1)(g-1) \\ \text{with characteristic polynomial } \xi \end{array} \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

Therefore the subvariety consisting of the objects on the right is isomorphic to the Picard of the vine curve  $X_\xi$  and the full Hitchin-fiber  $h^{-1}(\xi)$  is a compactification of this semi-abelian variety with only normal crossing singularity. Moreover, the smooth locus of  $h^{-1}(\xi)$  is the Picard of the vine curve  $X_\xi$ . For the precise statements we refer to [BBN, Theorem 8.16((Quasi-abelianization))].

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $X$  be a variety with normal crossing singularity with a log-symplectic form i.e., a non-degenerate closed section of  $\wedge^2 \Omega_{\log} X$ . An irreducible subvariety  $Y \subset X$  is co-isotropic (resp. Lagrangian) if it is generically a co-isotropic (resp. lagrangian) subvariety of a symplectic leaf; i.e.,  $Y$  is contained in the closure  $\overline{S}$  of a symplectic leaf  $S \subset X$  and the intersection  $Y \cap S$  is a co-isotropic (resp. lagrangian) subvariety of  $S$ .

**Definition 7.2.** A Poisson structure (may not be of uniform rank) on a variety  $X$  (possibly singular) is an algebraically complete Integrable system structure on  $h : X \rightarrow B$  if  $h$  is a Lagrangian fibration over the complement of some proper closed subvariety of  $B$ .

We will now show that the Picard  $J_\xi$  of the vine curve  $X_\xi$  is a Lagrangian in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$  following the strategy of [E] and [ML].

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism between two projective nodal curves of degree  $d$  and unramified along the nodes. Then*

(1) *we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_{X|Y} \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.3)$$

*where  $\omega_X$  and  $\omega_Y$  are the sheaf of differentials of the nodal curves with logarithmic poles along the nodes and  $\omega_{X|Y}$  denote the sheaf of relative logarithmic differentials.*

(2)  $\omega_{X|Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{-R}$ , where  $R$  is the divisor  $R := \sum_{p \in X} \text{length}(\omega_{X|Y})_p \cdot p$ .



(3)  $2g_X - 2 = d(2g_Y - 2) + \deg R$ , where  $g_X$  and  $g_Y$  are the arithmetic genus of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By functoriality of sheaf of logarithmic differentials, we have the following inclusion of rank 1-locally free sheaves  $f^* \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_X$ . The locus, where the inclusion is not an isomorphism is, by definition, the ramification divisor  $R$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_R \cong \omega_{X|Y}$ . The statement (3) follows from 7.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on the vine curve  $X_\xi$  such the push-forward of  $L \rightarrow L \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}$  is a Gieseker-Higgs bundle  $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes \omega_{X_0}$ , where the map  $L \rightarrow L \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}$  is given by the multiplication by the canonical section of  $\pi^* \omega_{X_0}$  on  $X_\xi$ . Suppose also that the spectral curve  $\pi_\xi : X_\xi \rightarrow X_0$  is ramified along a divisor  $R \subset X_\xi$ , which does not map to any of the nodes. Then we have the following exact sequence over  $X_\xi$ :*

$$0 \rightarrow L(-R) \rightarrow \pi_\xi^* E \rightarrow \pi_\xi^* E \otimes \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow L \otimes \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.4)$$

*Proof.* Consider the canonical map  $\Phi := \pi^* \phi \otimes y - \text{Id} \otimes x : \pi^* E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}^{-1} \rightarrow \pi^* E$  over  $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{X_0}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ , where  $y$  is the section of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  corresponding to the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}(1)) \cong \omega_{X_0}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ , and  $x$  is the canonical section of  $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}^{-1}$  corresponding to the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}^{-1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \oplus \omega_{X_0}$ . So we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0}^{-1} \rightarrow \pi^* E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.5)$$

Applying  $\pi_*$ , we get  $E \cong \pi_* L$ . The vanishing locus of the map  $\Phi$  is precisely the spectral curve  $X_\xi$ . Let us denote the restriction of  $\Phi$  by  $\Phi_\xi$ . Therefore we have a exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \pi_\xi^* E \xrightarrow{\Phi_\xi} \pi_\xi^* E \otimes \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow L \otimes \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.6)$$

Notice that  $L$  is a torsion sheaf supported on and in fact is a line bundle on  $X_\xi$ . Taking determinants, we get

$$K \cong L \otimes (\pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0})^{\otimes -(r-1)}. \quad (7.7)$$

Now the spectral curve  $X_\xi$  is vanishing locus of the section  $\det \Phi$  of  $\pi^* \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$  and the ramification divisor  $R$  is the vanishing locus of the section  $\det \Phi_\xi$ , which is a section of  $\pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)|_{X_\xi} \cong \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0}^{-1} \cong \pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes -(r-1)}$ . Therefore

$$(\pi_\xi^* \omega_{X_0})^{\otimes -(r-1)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}(-R). \quad (7.8)$$

Combining equations 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8, we get the exact sequence 7.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.5.** *Let  $p := (X_0, E, \phi)$  be a Gieseker-Higgs bundle in  $h^{-1}(\xi)$ . Then we have the following short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet) \rightarrow H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.9)$$

Moreover,  $T_p J_\xi \cong H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi})$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{J_{X_\xi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}} \cong H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})$ .

*Proof.* Tensoring the sequence with  $L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$  we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} \rightarrow \pi^* E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi^* E \otimes \pi^* \omega_{X_0} \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi^* \omega_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.10)$$

Notice that the morphism  $\pi : X_\xi \rightarrow X_0$  is a finite cover. Using Riemann-Hurwitz formula the push forward of the above exact sequence becomes:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd E \xrightarrow{-e(\phi)} \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} \rightarrow \pi_* \omega_{X_\xi} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.11)$$

Using this exact sequence we can form the following short exact sequence of chains:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E & \xrightarrow{-e(\phi)} & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow -e(\phi) & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array} \quad (7.12)$$

The last chain is quasi-isomorphic to the chain  $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \pi_* \omega_{X_\xi} \rightarrow 0$ . This follows from the following short exact sequence of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{=} & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \omega_{X_\xi} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (7.13)$$

Since the first vertical complex from the left is quasi-isomorphic to 0, the vertical complex in the middle and the first vertical complex from the right are quasi-isomorphic.

Now from 7.12 and using the long exact sequence of hypercohomology we get equation 7.9. The tangent space  $T_p J_\xi \cong H^1(X_\xi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}) \cong H^1(X_0, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_{J_{X_\xi} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}} \cong H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.6.** *The generic fiber  $h^{-1}(\xi)$  corresponding to a spectral vine curve ramified outside the nodes, is Lagrangian in a symplectic leaf for the log-symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}$ . Therefore the Hitchin map  $h : \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}} \rightarrow B := \oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(X_0, \omega_{X_0}^{\otimes i})$  is an algebraically complete integrable system (7.2).*

*Proof.* By definition 7.1, we see that it is enough to show that the isomorphism  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet^\vee)$  maps  $\mathcal{N}_{J_{X_\xi}^\vee, \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}}$  to  $T_p J_\xi$ . To see this consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \uparrow \omega^\# & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_\bullet^\vee) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi})^* \longrightarrow 0
\end{array} \tag{7.14}$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}_{J_{X_\xi}^\vee, \mathcal{M}_{\text{GHB}}} \cong H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})$  and  $T_p J_\xi \cong H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi})$  it is enough to show that  $\omega^\#(H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})^*) \subseteq H^1(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi})$ . Since the horizontal short exact sequences are exact, it is enough to show that the composite map  $H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})^* \rightarrow H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})$  is 0. This morphism is induced by the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0}
\end{array} \tag{7.15}$$

which is given by the composition of morphism of complexes in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{E}nd E & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(-e(\phi)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow e(\phi) & & \downarrow -e(\phi) & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} & \xrightarrow{-\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}nd E \otimes \omega_{X_0}
\end{array} \tag{7.16}$$

Since the composition is 0, therefore the morphism  $H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})^* \rightarrow H^0(\pi_* \omega_{X_\xi})$  is 0.  $\square$

## References

- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba and P. A. Griffiths, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves Volume II*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften book series (GL, volume 268)
- [BBN] V. Balaji, P. Barik, D.S. Nagaraj, *A degeneration of moduli of Hitchin pairs*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2016, Issue 21, 1 November 2016, Pages 6581-6625
- [BR] I. Biswas, S. Ramanan, *An Infinitesimal Study of the Moduli of Hitchin Pairs*, London Math. Soc. (2) 49 (1994), no. 2, 219-231.
- [E] E. Markman, *Spectral curves and integrable systems*, Compositio Math. 93 (1994), no. 3, 255-290.
- [F I] F. Kato *Log Smooth Deformation and Moduli of Log smooth curves*, International Journal of Mathematics Vol. 11, No. 02, pp. 215-232 (2000)
- [F II] F. Kato, *Log smooth deformation theory*, Tohoku Math. J. 48 (1996), 317-354
- [G] D. Gieseker, *A Degeneration of the Moduli Space of Stable Bundles*, J. Differential Geometry, 19 (1984), 173-206
- [H] N. J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1987), no. 1, 59-126.
- [HII] N. J. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and Integrable systems*, Duke Math. J. Volume 54, Number 1 (1987), 91-114.
- [I] I. Kausz, *A Gieseker type degeneration of moduli stacks of vector bundles on curves*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 357, Number 12 (2004), 4897-4955.

- [K] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 191–224, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [LM] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics
- [M] M. Baker, *Specialization of linear systems from curves to graphs*, Algebra & Number theory, Volume 2, No. 6 (2008), 613–653
- [ML] J. Martens, M. Logares, *Moduli of Parabolic Higgs Bundles an Atiyah Algebroids*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 2010(649) · November 2008
- [NS II] D.S. Nagaraj and C.S. Seshadri, *Degenerations of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves II*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) (1997) 107: 101.
- [O] M. Olsson, *Logarithmic geometry and algebraic stacks*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Volume 36, Issue 5, September–October 2003, Pages 747–791
- [O II] M. Olsson, *The logarithmic cotangent complex*, Mathematische Annalen volume 333, pages859–931(2005)
- [P] P. Newstead, *Introduction to Moduli Problems and Orbit Spaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Publications Volume: 17; 2011; 152 pp;
- [RF] R. Friedman, *Global smoothings of varieties with normal crossings*, Annals of Mathematics, Jul. 1983, Vol.118, No.1, pp.75-114
- [S] A. Schmitt, *The Hilbert compactification of the universal moduli space of semistable vector bundles over smooth curves*, J. Differential Geom. Volume 66, Number 2 (2004), 169–209.

Department of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai

Email address: [sdas@math.tifr.res.in](mailto:sdas@math.tifr.res.in)