Reference-less complex wavefields characterization with a high-resolution wavefront sensor
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Wavefront sensing is a widely-used non-interferometric, single-shot, and quantitative technique providing the spatial-phase of a beam. The phase is obtained by integrating the measured wavefront gradient. Complex and random wavefields intrinsically contain a high density of singular phase structures (optical vortices) associated with non-conservative gradients making this integration step especially delicate. Here, using a high-resolution wavefront sensor, we demonstrate experimentally a systematic approach for achieving the complete and quantitative reconstruction of complex wavefronts. Based on the Stokes’ theorem, we propose an image segmentation algorithm to provide an accurate determination of the charge and location of optical vortices. This technique is expected to benefit to several fields requiring complex media characterization.

Quantitative phase measurements of complex wavefields is at the basis of many applications including bio-imaging [1, 2, 3], fibroscopy [4, 5], diffractive tomography [6], astronomy [7], and more generally for imaging through scattering media by phase conjugation [8–9]. When a coherent reference beam is available, complex wavefields may typically be measured using digital holography [10, 11]. Alternatively, several techniques have been developed for cases when no coherent reference beam is available, such as common-path interferometers [12–14], point diffraction interferometry [17], Shack-Hartman wavefront sensors (WFS) [18], curvature sensing [19], light-field imaging [20], phase diversity approaches [21], or phase retrieval algorithms [22–24]. All these techniques have their own advantages and try to optimize the compromise between ease of use and measurement reliability. Among the latter techniques, WFS generally consist in a so-called “Hartmann mask” simply placed before a camera and have the specific advantages to be a robust single-shot technique offering a great ease of use. They have thus been the most used systems to measure distorted wavefronts arising from random refractive index inhomogeneities due to the atmosphere in astronomy, or due to tissues in bio-imaging. The main limitation associated to Shack-Hartmann WFS has long been the limited resolution associated with the low maximum density of micro-lens arrays. The advent of high-resolution WFS, either based on a modified Hartmann mask [25] or on a thin diffuser [26–29], has represented an important step towards making WFS a promising alternative for measuring complex spatial phase profiles [30]. However, despite their promising potential to measure complex WFs, high-resolution WFS have mostly been used, so far, for measuring smooth WF distortions, such as optical aberrations [1, 2] (typically projected onto Zernike polynomials [31]) or optical-path-length profiles of thin and transparent biological samples [25, 27]. In contrast, complex and scattered wavefields comprise a high spatial density of phase singularities, namely optical vortices [32], whose separation distance close to nucleation/annihilation centers can be arbitrarily small [33–35]. These singular spiral phase structures are associated with non-conservative gradient fields that are especially challenging for WFS which, unlike interferometric methods, do not provide a direct measurement of the phase, but only measure phase gradients $g$ (i.e. the transverse component of the local wavevector). The problem of phase-spirals integration has appeared since the early ages of adaptive optics in astronomy [36]. In this context, it has been shown that neglecting “branch-cuts” significantly degrades adaptive-optics performances [7]. The identification of spiral phase structures in phase gradient maps $g$ relies on their Helmholtz decomposition (HD) [37–38]. According to Helmholtz’s theorem [39], the vector field $g$ can be split into an irrotational (curl-free) component and a solenoidal (divergence-free or rotational) component [40–37, 41]. Most of current integration techniques for WFS basically consist in computing $\nabla \cdot g$, so implicitly canceling the solenoidal component of the vector field [42]. The vector potential associated with the solenoidal component (so-called “branch-point” potential [40–37]) exhibits peaks at vortex locations, so allowing their localization and reconstruction [7]. However, although WFS have demonstrated their ability to detect and characterize optical vortices [36–43, 44], com-
complete wavefront reconstruction by vortex-derivatives integration has given rise to many works considering only simple experimental cases involving a single vortex [45] [46] [47], or more vortices but in numerical simulations [36] [40] [7] [48] [45]. We believe that the high density of singular phase structures in complex wavefields has probably been the main obstacle for measuring experimentally complex wavefronts with a WFS. In WF shaping experiments, neglecting a single optical vortex is equivalent to adding a complementary spiral phase mask, which has been described as yielding a gradient field (d). The HD can then be written as $\varphi = \varphi_r + \varphi_s$. Typical direct numerical integration [42] yields the regular WF shown in Fig. [1a], missing phase singularities because the non-conservative (solenoidal) contribution to the WF-gradient has been ignored. The singular phase contribution $\varphi_s$ (or “hidden phase” [40]), is defined over a multiply-connected domain, and satisfies $V\varphi_s = V \times A$. Solving this latter equation then allows proper reconstruction of the WF (Fig. [1b]).

We now detail the steps allowing us to achieve rigorous HD (See also Supp. Mat.). First, taking the curl of Eq. (1), it appears that the potential vector $A$ is a solution of the equation: $\nabla \times g = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \Delta g$, where $\Delta = \nabla^2$ denotes the Laplacian operator. Determining the potential vector $A$ thus requires fixing a gauge. The Coulomb gauge $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ is chosen here for obvious convenience [40] [53] [3]. Since $g$ is a two-dimensional vector field (in say $x, y$ plane), we may then write $A = A_x e_x$, without loss of generality. Second, introducing the circular vector $\sigma_s = \sigma_s^x e_x - i \sigma_s^y e_y$, simple manipulation of Eq. (1) yields: $g \cdot \sigma_s = g_s + ig_s = (\partial_x + i \partial_y) (\varphi_r - i A_x)$. The HD can then be efficiently achieved numerically thanks to a single computation step:

$$\varphi_r - i A_x = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}(g \cdot \sigma_s)}{k \cdot \sigma_s} \right\}$$

where $k$ stands for the two-dimensional coordinate vector in the reciprocal Fourier space. The regular phase component $\varphi_r$ is thus recovered the same way as previously proposed [42] [54] (Fig. [1]). Third, for complete HD over a bounded domain, the contribution of a so-called additional “harmonic” (or translation) term $h$ must be considered when the flow through the boundary is not zero [39]. This translation term, accounting for a global tip/tilt of the WF, is both curl-free and divergence-free. If computing derivation and integration through discrete Fourier transforms without care, implicit periodic boundary conditions cancels out this term. Here we thus retrieve the term $h$ by symmetrizing the gradient field $g$ prior to
The divergence and the curl of the phase gradient map $\mathbf{g}$ (a) are computed to extract the irrotational phase $\phi_r$ (b) and the solenoidal phase $\phi_s$ (c). Double space integration of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}$ yields the irrotational phase (a parabola in (b)). The curl of $\mathbf{g}$ yields $-\Delta \mathbf{A}$ where $\mathbf{A}$ is the potential vector of the Helmholtz decomposition. Image segmentation and weighted centroid computation of the peaks in $-\Delta \mathbf{A}$ then allows reconstructing a Dirac-like distribution whose convolution by a spiral phase profile yields $\phi_r$. The complete phase $\phi$ is finally rebuilt by summing the two components $\phi_r$ and $\phi_s$ (d).

The measurement of the vector potential $A_z$ is wrong in the vicinity of the vortex center and the obtained peak is not single-pixelated (Fig. 2).

In experiments, the precise identification of the location and the charge of optical vortices from the computed $\Delta A_z$-map thus demands an image processing step. Notably, the circulation of $\mathbf{g}$ in Eq. (3) can yield an accurate measure of the vortex charge provided that the contour $\mathcal{C}$ surrounds the vortex at a large enough distance, so that $\mathbf{g}$ is small enough to be accurately measured by the WFS. Consequently, although the estimate of $\Delta A_z$ is wrong in the vicinity of the vortex, the peak integral achieved over a large enough surface $\mathcal{S}$ (enclosed by $\mathcal{C}$) provides the proper charge, under the Stokes’ theorem (Eq. (3)). Vortices may be characterized by a simple peak detection algorithm, after regularization of the $\Delta A_z$-map with a low-pass filter [38]. However, this solution is not robust since it requires setting manually an integration radius. Such an adjustment of the integration radius is all the more impractical when considering two typical cases: either high densities of optical vortices potentially located at arbitrarily small distances (see Fig. 4a), or high-order vortices, associated with charge-dependent peak-sizes in the $\Delta A_z$-maps (see Fig. 3). In contrast, the solution we propose consists in performing an image segmentation that automatically optimizes the integration surface by adjusting it to the size and density of peaks. The main processing steps are summarized in Fig. 2c (See also Supp. Mat.). The segmentation of the $\Delta A_z$-map is achieved in two substeps. First, $|\Delta A_z|$ is filtered in order to avoid oversegmentation, with a Gaussian filter $G$ whose waist is chosen equal to the WFS resolution [27] ($\approx 8$ camera pixels). Second, a watershed operation (matlab™, Image Processing Toolbox) is applied to $-G \ast |\Delta A_z|$. Noteworthy, we observed that segmentation of $-G \ast |\Delta A_z|$ rather than $-G \ast \Delta A_z$ more efficiently cancels out pairs of vortices too close to be resolved by the WFS. The resulting segments are thus structured according to extremal $\Delta A_z$, the latter corresponding to positive and negative vortices locations. Integration and weighted-centroid computation of the unfiltered $\Delta A_z$ image over each seg-
To demonstrate the flexibility of this approach to characterize and rebuild optical vortices of any charge, we addressed phase spirals with charges ranging from $-10$ to $+10$ (Fig. 3). Because of the diverging phase gradient at the vortex center, the $\Delta A_z$ maps exhibit peaks whose widths increase with the charge $n$ of the vortex (Fig. 3b). Nevertheless, integration of $-\Delta A_z/(2\pi)$ yields $n$ within a 3% accuracy range (Fig. 3b). Except for specially designed optical vortex beams carrying fractional charges [55], for beams propagating in free space, the topological charge is typically an integer. Rounding the integral to the closest integer value then allows an accurate reconstruction of the optical vortices (Fig. 3c). Differences between the rebuilt phase profiles and the perfect ones addressed to the SLM are due to the contribution of $\phi_\tau$ arising from uniformity imperfections of the SLM.

Finally, we demonstrate the possibility to retrieve the phase of complex random wavefields with our algorithm. Random wavefields contain a high density of optical vortices of charge $+1$ and $-1$ [56, 32, 57]. These vortices exhibit elliptical phase and intensity profiles along the azimuthal coordinate. The non-uniform increase of the phase around the singular point may then alter the ability to detect them if the phase-gradient magnitude is locally too large. Furthermore, the separation distance between vortices may be much smaller than the speckle grain size, especially when close to creation or annihilation events of pairs of vortices [33, 58, 35]. Such a complex wavefield was numerically generated by taking the Fourier transform of a random phase map of finite aperture, and addressed to the SLM (Fig. 4a). Despite the aforementioned specific difficulties, the WF could be efficiently rebuilt (Fig. 4b). The accurate reconstruction can be visually appreciated by considering $0-2\pi$ equiphase lines, easy to identify with a gray-level colormap as abrupt white-to-black drops. The difference between the rebuilt and the input phase profiles is shown in Fig. 4c, demonstrating
ing the almost perfect reconstruction of the 133 optical vortices. The six lowest order Zernike aberration modes were removed (piston, tip/tilt, defocus, astigmatisms) for better visualization. Again, differences mostly appear on the $\phi_\tau$ contribution on the edges of the SLM, where the SLM reliability degrades and where aberrations introduced by the relay telescope are maximum. The dense experimental map of vortices distribution and charges is shown in Fig. 4d.

Relying on a high-resolution WFS, we could thus propose a systematic and robust approach to rebuild complex wavefields containing a high density of optical vortices. The proposed method first consists in performing a HD of the local wavevector field $\mathbf{g}$ measured by the WFS. Importantly, the circulation of $\mathbf{g}/(2\pi)$ over vortices, computed as the integral $\nabla \times \mathbf{g}$ over large enough surface areas (under the Stokes’ theorem), yields the topological charge of vortices. The systematic reconstruction of the optical vortex map relies on an image segmentation that optimizes surface integration and thus, vortex identification. The robustness of phase-spiral reconstructions further relies on the quantization prior about the detected topological charges. Our method is applicable to any high-resolution WFS or system requiring integrating the local wavevector map. Full reconstruction of WFs with a WFS represents an important step to make WFS efficient reference-less spatial-phase detectors and to allow random wavefields characterization, in particular with incoherent light sources. These developments are of interest for applications such as adaptive optics, diffractive tomography, as well as beam shaping behind scattering and complex media.
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