

BIG MAPPING CLASS GROUPS AND THE CO-HOPFIAN PROPERTY

JAVIER ARAMAYONA, CHRISTOPHER J. LEININGER, AND ALAN MCLEAY

ABSTRACT. We study injective homomorphisms between big mapping class groups of infinite-type surfaces. First, we construct (uncountably many) examples of surfaces without boundary whose (pure) mapping class groups are not co-Hopfian; these are the first examples of injective endomorphisms of mapping class groups (of surfaces with empty boundary) that fail to be surjective.

We then prove that, subject to some topological conditions on the domain surface, any continuous injective homomorphism between (arbitrary) big mapping class groups that sends Dehn twists to Dehn twists is induced by a subsurface embedding.

Finally, we explore the extent to which, in stark contrast to the finite-type case, superinjective maps between curve graphs impose no topological restrictions on the underlying surfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this article, all surfaces will be assumed to be connected, orientable and second-countable, unless otherwise specified. A surface S has *finite type* if its fundamental group is finitely generated, and has *infinite type* otherwise.

The mapping class group $\text{Map}(S)$ is the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S , up to homotopy; if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, then we require that all homeomorphisms and homotopies fix ∂S pointwise. In the case when S has finite type, $\text{Map}(S)$ is well-known to be finitely presented. If, on the contrary, S has infinite type, then $\text{Map}(S)$ becomes an uncountable, totally disconnected, non-locally compact topological group with respect to the quotient topology stemming from the compact-open topology on the homeomorphism group of S . We refer the reader to Section 2 for expanded definitions, and to the recent survey [5] for a detailed treatment of mapping class groups of infinite-type surfaces, now commonly known as *big mapping class groups*.

1.1. Algebraic rigidity for mapping class groups. A seminal result of Ivanov [22] states that if S is a (sufficiently complicated) finite-type surface S , then every automorphism of $\text{Map}(S)$ is a conjugation by an element of the *extended mapping class group* $\text{Map}^\pm(S)$, namely the group of all homeomorphisms of S up to isotopy. In other words, every isomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ is induced by a homeomorphism $S \rightarrow S$. The analog for infinite-type surfaces was recently obtained by Bavard-Dowdall-Rafi [7]; see Theorem 2.3 below.

The proofs in the finite- and infinite-type settings proceed along similar lines, based on the work of Ivanov [22]. First, one proves an algebraic characterization of Dehn twists and uses it to deduce that any isomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ must send (powers of) Dehn twists to (powers of) Dehn twists. In particular, ϕ induces a simplicial automorphism $\phi_* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ of the *curve complex*. At this point, the argument

J.A. was supported by grant PGC2018-101179-B-I00. He acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, through the “Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D” (CEX2019-000904-S). C.L. was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1811518 and DMS-2106419. A.M was supported by the Luxembourg National Research Fund OPEN grant O19/13865598.

boils down to showing that every simplicial automorphism of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is induced by a homeomorphism of S [22]. In fact, this approach applies, with a finite-list of exceptional surfaces, to any isomorphism between finite-index subgroups of $\text{Map}(S)$ or the *pure mapping class group* $\text{PMap}(S)$, the subgroup of $\text{Map}(S)$ whose elements fix every end of S [22, 8, 9, 20, 21, 19, 30]

1.2. The co-Hopfian property. The combination of results of Ivanov-McCarthy [23] and Bell-Margalit [9] imply that, with the possible exception of the twice-punctured torus, if S has finite type then every injective homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ is induced by a homeomorphism of S . In particular, the mapping class group of a (sufficiently complicated) finite-type surface is co-Hopfian: every injective endomorphism is surjective.

For infinite-type surfaces, Question 4.5 of the AIM Problem List on Surfaces of Infinite Type [1] (see also [5, Question 5.2]) asks:

Question 1.1. *Are big mapping class groups co-Hopfian?*

Remark. The answer to the above question is immediately “no” for surfaces with non-empty boundary. For instance, if a surface S has non-empty boundary and its space of ends is homeomorphic to a Cantor set, then there exists a proper π_1 -injective continuous map $S \rightarrow S$ that is not surjective. In turn, this map induces an injective homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ that is not surjective; compare with Theorem 2 below.

Our first result states that the answer to Question 1.1 is also negative for surfaces without boundary. Recall that the *Loch Ness Monster* is the unique (up to homeomorphism) connected orientable surface of infinite genus and exactly one end. We will prove:

Theorem 1. *Let S be either the once-punctured Loch Ness Monster or a torus minus the union of a Cantor set and an isolated point. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ such that:*

- (1) ϕ is continuous and injective, but not surjective,
- (2) There is a Dehn twist t such that $\phi(t)$ is not supported on any finite-type subsurface of S . In the particular case when S is the Loch Ness Monster, no power of $\phi(t)$ is supported on a finite-type subsurface of S .
- (3) There exists a partial pseudo-Anosov $f \in \text{Map}(S)$ such that $\phi(f)$ is a multitwist.

Remark. Part (2) of the above theorem yields a negative answer to Problem 4.75 of the AIM Problem List on Surfaces of Infinite Type [1].

The construction behind Theorem 1 is inspired by the construction of the *non-geometric* injective homomorphism of the first two authors with Souto [2, Theorem 2], building on a well-known construction of homomorphisms from covers (see e.g. [11, 23, 33]). Namely, we construct a covering map $S' \rightarrow S$ which induces an injective homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S')$, in such a way that the surface S'' obtained by filling in all but one of the punctures of S' is homeomorphic to S , and yet the homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S'')$ remains injective. Once this has been done, the resulting homomorphism is easily seen to not be surjective in light of Bavard-Dowdall-Rafi’s result [7] mentioned above, since ϕ sends some finitely supported elements to elements which are not finitely supported.

Motivated by this construction, we also observe the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let S denote the closed surface of genus $g \geq 1$ minus the union of a Cantor set and an isolated point. Then there exists a continuous injective homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C)$, where C denotes a Cantor set.*

As far as we know, these are the first examples of injective homomorphisms between mapping class groups where the genus decreases from domain to codomain.

1.3. Not co-Hopfian pure mapping class groups. If we restrict Question 1.1 to pure mapping class groups, we will see that there is a much richer palette of examples of injective, but not surjective, homomorphisms. Before stating our result, we need some definitions. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Ends}(S)$ be the subset of ends with a finite $\text{Map}(S)$ -orbit. Following [14] we say that a surface is *stable* if for any end $e \in \text{Ends}(S)$ there exists a sequence of nested subsurfaces $U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$ defining e such that $U_i \cong U_{i+1}$. Finally, say that an end is *truly non-planar* if it has a neighborhood which does not contain any punctures. After these definitions, we will prove:

Theorem 2. *Let S be a stable surface with infinitely many punctures such that \mathcal{F} contains no planar nor truly non-planar ends. If either*

- (1) S is planar and \mathcal{F} is empty, or
- (2) S has infinite genus,

then there exists a continuous injective homomorphism $\text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S)$ that is not surjective.

Example. For concrete examples of surfaces that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2, one may consider a surface S of infinite genus such that:

- (1) Every planar end is isolated.
- (2) For every non-planar end there is a sequence of (isolated) planar ends converging to it.

We remark that the set of surfaces with these properties is uncountable.

1.4. Twist-preserving homomorphisms. In light of the discussion above, we now focus on homomorphisms that send Dehn twists to Dehn twists; we call these *twist-preserving homomorphisms*. In this section we will allow surfaces to have a non-empty boundary, though we assume it is compact (and is thus a finite union of circles). We will prove the following result; recall that a map between topological spaces is *proper* if the preimage of every compact set is compact:

Theorem 3. *Let S and S' be surfaces of infinite type, where S has positive genus. Assume further that either the boundary of S is empty, or else S has at most one end accumulated by genus. If $\phi : \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ is a continuous injective twist-preserving homomorphism, then there is a proper π_1 -injective embedding $S \rightarrow S'$ that induces ϕ .*

Remark. Theorem 3 no longer holds if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$ and S has more than one end accumulated by genus; see the final remark of Section 5. Also, in the same remark we will see that the result we will prove in fact applies to a larger class of homomorphisms than injective ones.

Continuing with our discussion, observe that if $\partial S = \emptyset$, any proper π_1 -injective embedding $S \rightarrow S'$ is necessarily a homeomorphism. Hence we obtain:

Corollary 1.3. *Let S and S' be surfaces of infinite type, where S has positive genus and no boundary. If $\phi : \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ is a continuous injective twist-preserving homomorphism, then it is induced by a homeomorphism $S \rightarrow S'$; in particular, it is surjective.*

To prove Theorem 3, one first observes that ϕ induces a simplicial map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S')$ that preserves intersection number one. Once this has been shown, the result follows considering exhaustions and a result of Souto and the first named author [4], plus a continuity argument. We conjecture that, although continuity is needed in our proof, it is in fact not necessary for Theorem 3 to hold. In this direction, a result of Mann [25] states that the mapping class group of certain surfaces have the *automatic continuity* property. Specifically, this automatic continuity holds when the surface is a closed surface punctured along the union of a Cantor set and a finite set; for these surfaces we obtain:

Corollary 1.4. *Let S and S' be surfaces of infinite type, where S is obtained from a closed surface of positive genus by removing the union of a Cantor set and a finite set. If $\phi : \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ is an injective twist-preserving homomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism $S \rightarrow S'$ that induces ϕ .*

1.5. Injections between curve graphs. In the finite-type setting, an important step for establishing the co-Hopfian property for finite-index subgroups of mapping class groups is that *superinjective* self-maps of the curve graph are induced by homeomorphisms; see [20], for instance. We recall that a simplicial map between curve graphs is *superinjective* if it maps pairs of curves with non-zero intersection number to pairs of curves with the same property.

While it is known that every automorphism of curve graph of an infinite-type surface is induced by a homeomorphism, [16, 7], it is easy to see that this is no longer true if one drops the requirement that the map be surjective; see [18, 5] for concrete examples. Our final result highlights the extent of this failure:

Theorem 4. *Let S and S' be infinite-type surfaces, where S' has infinite genus. Then:*

- (1) *There exists a superinjective simplicial map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ that is not surjective.*
- (2) *There exists a superinjective simplicial map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S')$.*

In the case of infinite-genus surfaces, a proof of part (1) Theorem 4 was previously obtained by Hernández-Valdez [18] using different methods.

Remark. If S and S' are arbitrary infinite-type surfaces, then there is always an *injective* simplicial map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S')$. To see this, simply observe that the curve graph of any infinite-type surface contains the complete graph on countably many vertices, and that the curve graph of any surface has a countable set of vertices.

Theorem 4 should be compared with Theorem 3, which implies that every superinjective map between curve graphs *that comes from an injective homomorphism between the corresponding mapping class groups* is induced by an embedding of the underlying surfaces.

Plan of the paper. In Section 2 we will give all the necessary background needed in the article. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 in the cases, respectively, of the one-holed torus minus a Cantor set and the once-punctured Loch Ness Monster. In Section 4 we deal with Theorem 2. Section 5 is devoted to the proof Theorem 3. Finally, in Section 6 we establish Theorem 4.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the organizers of the AIM workshop “Surfaces of Infinite Type” for the discussions that are the origins of this paper. Thanks also to AIM for its hospitality and financial support. We would like to thank Nick Vlamis and Henry Wilton for enlightening conversations about Lemma 6.2, and Vlamis for the reference [13].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will briefly introduce all the background material needed for our results. We refer the reader to the survey paper [5] for a detailed account on these topics.

2.1. Surfaces. In what follows, by a *surface* we will mean a orientable second-countable topological surface, with a finite number (possibly zero) of boundary components, all of them assumed to be compact. If the fundamental group of a surface S is finitely generated, we will say that S has *finite type*; otherwise it has *infinite type*. The *space of ends* of S is

$$\text{Ends}(S) := \varprojlim \pi_0(S \setminus K),$$

where K denotes a compact subset of S . The space of ends becomes a topological space with respect to the final topology obtained from endowing each set defining the inverse

system with the discrete topology. It is well-known that $\text{Ends}(S)$ is a closed subset of a Cantor set. We say that an end is *planar* if it has a planar neighborhood; otherwise we will say that the end is *non-planar* or that it is *accumulated by genus*. An isolated planar end is usually called a *puncture*; as is customary, we will treat punctures both as ends and as marked points on the surface, switching between the two viewpoints without further mention. Finally, we will denote by $\text{Ends}_\infty(S)$ the subspace of non-planar ends, which is closed in $\text{Ends}(S)$.

A classical result [28] asserts that any surface S is uniquely determined by the quadruple $(g, b, \text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_\infty(S))$, where $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the genus, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of boundary components. More concretely, two surfaces are homeomorphic if and only if their genera and number of boundary components are equal, and there is a homeomorphism between the spaces of ends that restricts to a homeomorphism between the spaces of non-planar ends.

2.2. Curves and multicurves. A simple closed curve on S is said to be *inessential* if it bounds a disk, a once-punctured disk, or an annulus whose other boundary is a boundary component of S ; otherwise we say the curve is *essential*. By a *curve* we mean the isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve on S . A *multicurve* is a set of curves on the surface that have pairwise disjoint representatives. Given a multicurve Q , we will write $S \setminus Q$ to mean the (possibly disconnected) surface obtained from S by cutting along (pairwise disjoint representatives of) each element of Q .

2.3. Mapping class group. Let $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$ be the group of all orientation-preserving self-homeomorphisms of S , equipped with the compact-open topology. We will denote by $\text{Homeo}_0(S)$ the connected component of the identity in $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$, noting it is a normal subgroup. The *mapping class group* of S is

$$\text{Map}(S) := \text{Homeo}^+(S) / \text{Homeo}_0(S).$$

As is customary, if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, in this definition we implicitly require that all homeomorphisms fix ∂S pointwise.

The mapping class group is naturally a topological group with respect to the quotient topology coming from the compact-open topology on $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$. It is a classical fact that $\text{Map}(S)$ is a finitely presented group if S has finite type, while it is easy to see that it is uncountable whenever S has infinite type. Moreover, in this latter case, $\text{Map}(S)$ is totally disconnected and not locally compact; see [5] for more details.

One of the motivating results for us is the following theorem of Bavard-Dowdall-Rafi [7] mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem ([7]). For any infinite-type surface S , any isomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S)$ is induced by a homeomorphism $S \rightarrow S$. In particular, it is continuous.

The *pure mapping class group* $\text{PMap}(S)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Map}(S)$ whose elements fix every end of S . If S has finite type, it is well-known that $\text{PMap}(S)$ is generated by Dehn twists along a finite set of curves (see [15, Section 4.4]). When S has infinite type, this is no longer true in general; instead, we have the following result; see [27] for the definition of a *handle shift*.

Theorem 2.1 ([27]). $\text{PMap}(S)$ is topologically generated by Dehn twists if and only if S has at most one end accumulated by genus. Otherwise, it is topologically generated by Dehn twists and handle shifts.

Here, being *topologically generated* by Dehn twists means that the subgroup generated by Dehn twists (i.e. the *compactly-supported* mapping class group, see below) is dense in $\text{PMap}(S)$.

2.3.1. Some important subgroups. Following [7], we will say that an element of $\text{Map}(S)$ has *finite support* if it is represented by a homeomorphism which is the identity outside some finite-type subsurface of S . We will write $\text{Map}_f(S)$ for the subgroup consisting of elements with finite support. Although not directly relevant to our arguments, we record the following beautiful result of Bavard-Dowdall-Rafi [7], which gives an algebraic characterization of elements with finite support. In particular, it serves to shed light on the potential differences between isomorphisms and injective homomorphisms between big mapping class groups.

Proposition 2.2 ([7]). *An element of $\text{Map}(S)$ has finite support if and only if its conjugacy class in $\text{Map}(S)$ is countable.*

The *compactly-supported* mapping class group $\text{Map}_c(S)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Map}(S)$ whose elements are represented by homeomorphisms which are the identity outside some compact subsurface of S . Observe that $\text{Map}_c(S)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Map}_f(S)$, proper when S has more than one puncture, and of $\text{PMap}(S)$. On the other hand, $\text{Map}_f(S)$ is a subgroup of $\text{PMap}(S)$ if and only if S has at most one puncture. Before ending this section, we record the following immediate observation for future use:

Lemma 2.3. *For every infinite-type surface S , we have*

$$\text{Map}_c(S) = \varinjlim \text{Map}(X),$$

where the direct limit is taken over all compact subsurfaces $X \subset S$, directed with respect to inclusion.

2.4. Curve graph. The *curve graph* $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is the simplicial graph whose vertex set is the set of curves on S , and where two vertices are deemed to be adjacent if the corresponding curves may be realized disjointly on S . In what follows we will not distinguish between vertices of the curve graph and the curves representing them.

Observe that $\text{Map}(S)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}(S)$ by simplicial automorphisms. A classical fact, discovered initially by Ivanov [22] is that every simplicial automorphism of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is induced by a homeomorphism of S , provided S is not the twice-punctured torus. The analog for infinite-type surfaces has been obtained independently by Hernández-Morales-Valdez [17] and Bavard-Dowdall-Rafi [7]. A crucial step in their proofs is the following so-called *Alexander method* for infinite-type surfaces [17], which we record for future use:

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be a connected orientable infinite-type surface with empty boundary. The natural action of $\text{Map}(S)$ on $\mathcal{C}(S)$ has trivial kernel; in other words, if $f \in \text{Map}(S)$ induces the identity transformation on $\mathcal{C}(S)$, then it is the identity in $\text{Map}(S)$.*

3. COVERS AND FORGETTING

In this section we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 1.2. These theorems are about homomorphisms between mapping class groups of surfaces with a single puncture, and the proofs exploit their relationship with automorphism groups and the Birman exact sequence. We start with some generalities, then focus on the specific cases of the theorems.

3.1. Covers and automorphism groups. In what follows, suppose S is an orientable, connected surface without punctures such that $\pi_1(S)$ is non-abelian. Given $z \in S$ any point, let $S^z = S \setminus \{z\}$ denote the surface obtained from S by removing z (thus producing a puncture we call the z -puncture). Any homeomorphism f of S^z induces a homeomorphism of S that fixes the point z , which by an abuse of notation we also denote by f . This defines a homomorphism

$$\text{Homeo}^+(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}^+(S).$$

This homomorphism sends $\text{Homeo}_0(S^z)$ into $\text{Homeo}_0(S)$ and so induces a homomorphism

$$\text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S).$$

Given a loop γ representing an element of $\pi_1(S, z)$, one associates a homeomorphism $f_\gamma: S^z \rightarrow S^z$ by “point pushing along γ ”. More precisely, $f_\gamma: S \rightarrow S$ is a homeomorphism isotopic to the identity by an isotopy f_t with $f_0 = f_\gamma$, $f_1 = \text{id}$, and $f_t(z) = \gamma(t)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. When S has finite type, Birman proved that $[\gamma] \mapsto [f_\gamma]$ defines an injective homomorphism $\pi_1(S, z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^z)$ in such a way that the sequence

$$(1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(S, z) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(S^z) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(S) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact; see [10]. The infinite type case is proved by Dickmann-Domat in the appendix to Domat’s paper [13].

Proposition 3.1. *For any connected, orientable surface S without punctures and non-abelian fundamental group, there is an injection of $\pi_1(S, z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^z)$ given by $[\gamma] \mapsto [f_\gamma]$ making (1) exact. \blacksquare*

For the remainder of this section, we use this theorem to identify $\pi_1(S, z)$ with its image in $\text{Map}(S^z)$.

Remark. The theorem also holds when S has punctures, replacing $\text{Map}(S^z)$ with the subgroup preserving the z -puncture.

Given $f \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^z)$, after extending over z we have an induced automorphism $f_* \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(S, z))$, which descends to a homomorphism $\iota: \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(S, z))$ given by $\iota([f]) = f_*$. This further descends to a homomorphism $\text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(S))$ which is injective by Theorem 2.4. The inclusion of $\pi_1(S, z)$ into $\text{Map}(S^z)$, composed with ι is precisely the isomorphism onto the group of inner automorphisms, and thus we get a homomorphism of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S, z) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S^z) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S, z) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(\pi_1(S, z)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(\pi_1(S, z)) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

The first vertical map is the identity and the last is injective, from which it follows that the middle is also injective.

A regular covering space $p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ with the property that every homeomorphism of S lifts to a homeomorphism of \tilde{S} will be called a *geometrically characteristic cover*. If $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z}))$ is characteristic, general map lifting implies that the cover is geometrically characteristic, but this is not a necessary condition in general; see the examples below.

Now suppose $p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is geometrically characteristic, let $z \in S$ be a point, and fix any $\tilde{z} \in p^{-1}(z)$. Being regular and geometrically characteristic implies that for any $f \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^z)$, after extending over z , there is a unique lift $\tilde{f}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ that fixes \tilde{z} , and thus determines a homeomorphism of the same name $\tilde{f} \in \text{Homeo}^+(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$. The general fact we need is the following (compare with [2, Theorem 2]).

Proposition 3.2. *If $p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is a geometrically characteristic covering space, $\pi_1(S)$ is non-abelian, and $\pi_1(\tilde{S})$ is non-trivial, then the assignment $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ described above descends to a continuous, injective homomorphism*

$$\phi: \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}}).$$

Moreover, via the inclusions from the Birman exact sequence we have $\phi \circ p_* = \text{id}|_{\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})}$.

Proof. Continuity of $\text{Homeo}^+(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}^+(\tilde{S}^z)$ is clear. Since $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ maps $\text{Homeo}_0(S^z)$ to $\text{Homeo}_0(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})$ (by lifting isotopies), we get a well-defined, continuous homomorphism $\phi: \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^z)$. Since the homomorphisms $\iota: \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(S, z))$ and $\tilde{\iota}: \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^z) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z}))$ are injective, to prove the first part of the proposition it suffices to prove injectivity of the homomorphism $\phi_*: \iota(\text{Map}(S^z)) \rightarrow \tilde{\iota}(\text{Map}(\tilde{S}^z))$ defined by $\phi_* \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota} \circ \phi$, or more explicitly

$$\iota([f]) = f_* \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \tilde{f}_* = \tilde{\iota}([\tilde{f}]) = \tilde{\iota}(\phi([f])).$$

Consequently, we need only show that the kernel of this homomorphism is trivial.

To this end, suppose that $f \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^z)$ is any element such that f_* is the identity. Let

$$G = p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})) \triangleleft \pi_1(S, z),$$

so that p_* is an isomorphism from $\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})$ onto the normal subgroup G (normality follows from regularity of p). Since \tilde{f} is a lift of f that preserves \tilde{z} , we have that

$$p_* \circ \tilde{f}_* = f_* \circ p_*.$$

In particular, this means that f_* preserves G and p_* conjugates \tilde{f}_* to $f_*|_G$. Since \tilde{f}_* is the identity, this implies that $f_*|_G$ is the identity. We claim that f_* is the identity. To prove this, first observe that for all $x \in G$ and $a \in \pi_1(S, z)$, we have $axa^{-1} \in G$, and thus

$$axa^{-1} = f_*(axa^{-1}) = f_*(a)f_*(x)f_*(a)^{-1} = f_*(a)xf_*(a)^{-1}.$$

This implies that for all $a \in \pi_1(S, z)$ and all $x \in G$, $a^{-1}f_*(a)$ commutes with x .

Since $\pi_1(S, z)$ is a non-abelian surface group (either free or a closed surface group), we know that centralizers of elements are cyclic, and since G is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\pi_1(S, z)$, we can find two elements $x, y \in G$ whose centralizers intersect trivially. Now for any $a \in \pi_1(S, z)$, $a^{-1}f_*(a)$ is in the centralizer of x and y , and thus $a^{-1}f_*(a) = 1$. Therefore, $f_*(a) = a$, and hence f_* is the identity proving that ϕ_* , and hence ϕ , is injective.

To prove the last statement, given any element g of a group, let c_g denote the inner automorphism determined by conjugating by g . From the inclusions in the Birman exact sequence as noted above, given any $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})$ we have

$$\tilde{\iota}([\gamma]) = c_{[\gamma]} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(p_*([\gamma])) = c_{p_*([\gamma])}.$$

Since $\phi_* \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota} \circ \phi$, we have

$$\tilde{\iota}(\phi(p_*([\gamma]))) = \phi_*(\iota(p_*([\gamma]))) = \phi_*(c_{p_*([\gamma])}) = c_{[\gamma]} = \tilde{\iota}([\gamma]),$$

where the second-to-last equality comes from the fact that the isomorphism described above, $p_*: \pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z}) \rightarrow G < \pi_1(S, z)$, conjugates $c_{[\gamma]}$ to $c_{p_*([\gamma])}$, while the argument above shows that it conjugates $\phi_*(c_{p_*([\gamma])})$ to this as well, hence $c_{[\gamma]} = \phi_*(c_{p_*([\gamma])})$. Therefore, $\tilde{\iota} \circ \phi \circ p_* = \tilde{\iota}$ and since $\tilde{\iota}$ is injective, it follows that $\phi \circ p_*$ is the identity on $\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})$, as required. \blacksquare

3.2. The once-punctured torus minus a Cantor set. Here we prove Theorem 1 in one of the two cases.

Proof of Theorem 1 for the once-punctured torus minus a Cantor set. Let T be a torus and choose, once and for all, a Cantor set $C \subset T$, set $S = T \setminus C$, fix $z \in S$, and write $S^z = S \setminus z$ as above. Then $C \cup \{z\}$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\text{Ends}(S^z)$ and T is the end-compactification of S^z . Consider the surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_1(S, z) \rightarrow \pi_1(T, z) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

given by “filling in” every element of C . Fixing any integer $m \geq 2$ and reducing modulo m , we get a surjective homomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_1(S, z) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Let $p : \tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ be the regular cover corresponding to $\ker(\rho)$, and fix some $\tilde{z} \in p^{-1}(z)$. Write $\tilde{C} = p^{-1}(C)$, and observe that the surface $\tilde{S} = \tilde{T} \setminus \tilde{C}$ is homeomorphic to S , and $\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}} = \tilde{S} \setminus \{\tilde{z}\}$ is homeomorphic to S^z .

Every homeomorphism of T lifts to \tilde{T} since the kernel of

$$\pi_1(T, z) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$$

is characteristic. Moreover, every homeomorphism of S extends uniquely to a homeomorphism of T and hence the restricted covering (with the same name) $p : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is geometrically characteristic. Proposition 3.2 therefore provides an injective, continuous homomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$. Since $\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}}$ and S^z are homeomorphic surfaces, by choosing a homeomorphism between them we can view ϕ as a continuous homomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^z)$. We have already shown that ϕ is injective. We now verify the remaining properties claimed in the statement:

Compact to non-finite support. To prove part (2) of Theorem 1 we will show that the ϕ -image of the Dehn twist $t_a \in \text{Map}_c(S^z)$ about a non-separating curve a is not supported on any finite-type subsurface of S^z .

In order to achieve this it suffices to find a point of \tilde{C} that is not fixed by our chosen lift $\tilde{t}_a = \phi(t_a)$. Let $\beta \subset S^z$ be an arc which begins at z and ends at a point $e \in C$ so that β essentially intersects a exactly once. If $\tilde{\beta}$ is the lift of β starting at \tilde{z} then $\tilde{t}_a(\tilde{\beta})$ also starts at \tilde{z} , but these two arcs terminate at distinct points in the preimage of e . Therefore $\phi(t_a)$ does not have finite support.

Not co-Hopfian. Suppose ϕ were surjective, and thus an automorphism. Theorem 2.3 implies that ϕ is induced by a homeomorphism of S^z , and in particular preserves the property of having finite support, which is a contradiction to the previous paragraph. This proves part (1)

Non-geometric. To prove part (3), and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1, we must show that there are partial pseudo-Anosovs in $\text{Map}(S^z)$ that map to multitwists in $\text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$. The proof is essentially the same as that of [2, Theorem 2(1)]; we sketch it for completeness.

As in [2], one can find a loop γ representing an element in $\pi_1(\tilde{S}, \tilde{z})$ which is simple, but for which $p_*([\gamma])$ is not represented by any simple closed curve. The mapping class associated to $[\gamma]$ via the Birman exact sequence is a multi-twist about the boundary of a regular neighborhood of γ , while by a result of Kra [24], $p_*([\gamma])$ is a pseudo-Anosov on $X \setminus \{z\}$, where X is the subsurface filled by a loop representing $p_*([\gamma])$ with minimal self-intersection. Since $\phi \circ p_*([\gamma]) = [\gamma]$ by Proposition 3.2, it follows that $p_*([\gamma])$ is pseudo-Anosov on a proper subsurface while $\phi(p_*([\gamma]))$ is a multi-twist. This completes the proof of the theorem in this case. \blacksquare

3.3. The Loch Ness Monster. In this section we prove Theorem 1 for the once-punctured Loch Ness Monster. In what follows, S will denote the *Loch Ness Monster*, that is, the connected orientable surface of infinite genus and exactly one end. As in the case of a torus minus a Cantor set, we fix once and for all, a point $z \in S$. We will again apply Proposition 3.2 for an appropriate cover $p : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ to induce an injective homomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$. This time the cover will be of infinite degree

which, in turn, will be the key to the existence of compactly-supported elements with image for which no non-trivial power has compact support. Consider the homomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_1(S, z) \rightarrow H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

obtained by first abelianizing and then reducing modulo 2. Observe that $H := \ker(m)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(S, z)$. Let $p : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the cover associated to H , which is usually called the *mod-2 homology cover* of S . We claim:

Proposition 3.3. *\tilde{S} is homeomorphic to S .*

Proof. First, observe that the Loch Ness Monster S is a characteristic cover of the closed surface Σ of genus 2; more precisely, it is the covering surface associated to the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Since \tilde{S} is a characteristic cover of S , it follows that \tilde{S} is also a characteristic cover of Σ .

Let H be the characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ corresponding to $\pi_1(\tilde{S})$ and $D = \pi_1(\Sigma)/H$ the group of deck transformations of $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \Sigma$. Since this action is properly discontinuous and cocompact, the Švarc-Milnor Lemma (see e.g. [12]) implies that D is quasi-isometric to \tilde{S} . By Stallings' Theorem [32] D , or equivalently \tilde{S} , has one end, two ends, or infinitely many ends. By the classification of infinite-type surfaces [28], it follows that \tilde{S} is homeomorphic to either the Loch-Ness Monster S ; Jacob's Ladder L ; the Cantor tree T ; or the Blooming Cantor Tree B ; see [6] for details. Since S is the only one of these that has one end, we suppose \tilde{S} has more than one end and derive a contradiction.

To this end, we appeal to Stallings Theorem again and note that D admits a non-trivial action on a tree A with finite edge stabilizers and without edge inversions. From this action construct an equivariant map $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow A$, which we can assume is transverse to the union of midpoints $X \subset T$ of every edge, so that $f^{-1}(X)$ is a properly embedded 1-submanifold which is D -invariant (c.f. [31]). This descends to a closed 1-submanifold in Σ , and via the action of $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow D$ on A , any loop in the complement of the 1-submanifold fixes a vertex. Observe that there is a non-separating simple closed curve in a component of this complement (this is true for any 1-submanifold in the genus 2 surface Σ) which, when viewed as an element of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, thus fixes a vertex. Since the cover is characteristic, every non-separating simple closed curve fixes a vertex of A .

Now choose a generating set a_1, \dots, a_4 so that all a_i are simple as are $a_i a_j$, for all $i \neq j$. Then all a_i and $a_i a_j$ act elliptically, in which case Serre's criterion [29] implies $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ fixes a point of A , which is a contradiction. ■

Proof of Theorem 1 for the once-punctured Loch Ness Monster. Fixing $\tilde{z} \in p^{-1}(z)$, Proposition 3.2 implies that p induces an injective homomorphism $\phi : \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$.

Since p has infinite degree, there are Dehn twists whose image does not have compact support, even up to taking powers. For the same reason, ϕ is not surjective. The fact that there exist partial pseudo-Anosovs whose image is a Dehn twist follows along the exact same lines as in the torus case. Finally, since \tilde{S} and S are homeomorphic, so are $\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}}$ and S^z , and thus we may view the homomorphism ϕ as an injective, but not surjective, endomorphism of $\text{Map}(S^z)$. This finishes the proof of the Theorem in the case of the Loch Ness Monster. ■

3.4. Decreasing genus. Our final application of Proposition 3.2 is the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $g \geq 1$, let Σ_g denote the surface of genus $g \geq 1$, let $C_g \subset \Sigma_g$ be a Cantor set, and $S = \Sigma_g \setminus C_g$. Let $p : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ be the universal cover. Choosing a disk $D \subset \Sigma$ that contains C_g , we note that $p^{-1}(C_g)$ is a disjoint union of Cantor sets that

only accumulate at infinity of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Consequently, since the one-point compactification of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is the sphere, it follows that

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{\Sigma} \setminus p^{-1}(C_g)$$

is homeomorphic to the 2–sphere minus a Cantor set.

Now observe that $p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is a geometrically characteristic cover, and so for any basepoint $z \in S$ and choice of $\tilde{z} \in p^{-1}(z)$, Proposition 3.2 implies that p induces an injective homomorphism $\phi: \text{Map}(S^z) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}})$. Observe that S^z is a surface of genus g minus a Cantor set and an isolated point, while $\tilde{S}^{\tilde{z}}$ is a 2–sphere minus a Cantor set and an isolated point, which is also homeomorphic to the plane \mathbb{R}^2 minus a Cantor set. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

4. DOUBLING

In this section we will prove Theorem 2. As explained in the introduction, we will use a *doubling* argument for surfaces without boundary, similar to the example in [23, Section 2]. We now explain the doubling operation.

4.1. The construction. Let \bar{S} be an orientable connected surface with non-empty boundary. In this section, we still require each boundary component of \bar{S} be compact, although this time we allow the set of boundary components to be countable. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly finite) subset of the set of boundary components, and S be the surface that results from \bar{S} by gluing disks with marked points z_i onto b_i . This operation gives rise to a *boundary deleting* homomorphism $\text{PMap}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S)$, which fits in a short exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \Pi_i \langle T_{b_i} \rangle \rightarrow \text{PMap}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow 1,$$

see [15] for details. Now suppose $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ is the surface obtained by gluing two disjoint copies of \bar{S} along the boundary components in \mathcal{B} . This operation induces two natural inclusion maps

$$\psi_1 : \bar{S} \hookrightarrow d_{\mathcal{B}}S \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 : \bar{S} \hookrightarrow d_{\mathcal{B}}S$$

such that $\text{int}(\psi_1(\bar{S})) \cap \text{int}(\psi_2(\bar{S})) = \emptyset$ and $\psi_1(b_i) = \psi_2(b_i)$ is an essential curve. We abuse notation by writing b_i and \mathcal{B} for the images of b_i and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Furthermore, there is an induced orientation-reversing homeomorphism

$$\iota : d_{\mathcal{B}}S \rightarrow d_{\mathcal{B}}S$$

that swaps the images of ψ_1 and ψ_2 and, in particular, fixes the set $\mathcal{B} \subset d_{\mathcal{B}}S$. On the level of mapping class groups, we have two injective homomorphisms

$$\Psi_1 : \text{PMap}(\bar{S}) \hookrightarrow \text{PMap}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_2 : \text{PMap}(\bar{S}) \hookrightarrow \text{PMap}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S),$$

such that for all $f \in \text{PMap}(\bar{S})$, we have $\Psi_1(f) = f_1$, $\Psi_2(f) = f_2$, where $f_1 = \iota f_2 \iota^{-1}$ (here we consider f_1 , f_2 , and ι as elements of $\text{Map}^{\pm}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S)$). In particular, a left twist about b_i is sent to a left twist by Ψ_1 , and to a right twist by Ψ_2 . Consider now the map

$$d : \text{PMap}(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S)$$

such that $d(f) = f_1 f_2$. Note that d is indeed a homomorphism as the images of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 commute. Furthermore, the kernel of d is equal to $\Pi_i \langle T_{b_i} \rangle$, so from the short exact sequence (2) we have an induced injective homomorphism

$$\text{PMap}(S) \hookrightarrow \text{PMap}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S).$$

4.2. Self-doubling surfaces. With the doubling construction to hand, we now prove Theorem 2. To this end, we are tasked with finding a surface \bar{S} with boundary, and a subset \mathcal{B} of boundary components, such that the surfaces S and $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ constructed above are homeomorphic. Equivalently, we need to find a surface S such that we can remove open disks about a subset of punctures, then double along the boundary, and arrive at a surface homeomorphic to it.

Ends and orbits. As mentioned in the introduction, a surface S is *stable* if for any end $e \in \text{Ends}(S)$ there exists a sequence of nested subsurfaces $U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$ defining e such that $U_i \cong U_{i+1}$ [14]. Here, we call each U_i a *stable neighborhood* of e .

We say that an end $e \in \text{Ends}(S)$ is of *higher rank* than $e' \in \text{Ends}(S)$ if each stable neighborhood of e contains an element of the $\text{Map}(S)$ -orbit of e' . Finally, we define $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Ends}(S)$ to be the set of ends whose $\text{Map}(S)$ -orbit is finite [14].

We can now prove the first case of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a stable surface with infinitely many punctures, and suppose the genus of S is either zero or infinite. If $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ then there exists a continuous injective, but not surjective, homomorphism $\text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S)$.*

Proof. Let \bar{S} be the surface obtained by removing an open disk surrounding one puncture z . Let $d_b S$ be the doubled surface of \bar{S} along the sole boundary component b . The induced map on the space of ends gives us the homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ends}(d_b S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(d_b S)) &= (\text{Ends}(\bar{S}), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(\bar{S})) \cup (\text{Ends}(\bar{S}), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(\bar{S})) \\ &\cong (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)) \cup (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $e \in \text{Ends}(S)$ has highest rank and denote the $\text{Map}(S)$ -orbit of e by $O(e)$. Since $O(e)$ is infinite, there must be an accumulation point of its elements in $\text{Ends}(S)$. However, as each element of $O(e)$ is of highest rank this accumulation point must also belong to $O(e)$; it follows that $O(e)$ is a Cantor set, see [26, Proposition 4.7] for more details.

Since S is stable, there exists a maximal finite set of highest rank ends e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n such that $O(e_i) \neq O(e_j)$. Indeed, if there exist infinitely many highest rank ends with different orbits then any accumulation point of such ends would not have a stable neighborhood. Write $E(e_i)$ for the end space of a stable neighborhood of e_i and $E_{\infty}(e_i) = E(e_i) \cap \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)$. Since each $E(e_i)$ is a Cantor set we have that

$$(\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)) \cong \bigcup_i (E(e_i), E_{\infty}(e_i)) \cong \bigcup_i (E(e_i), E_{\infty}(e_i)) \cup (E(e_i), E_{\infty}(e_i)).$$

We can now conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ends}(d_b S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(d_b S)) &\cong (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)) \cup (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)) \\ &\cong \bigcup_i (E(e_i), E_{\infty}(e_i)) \cup (E(e_i), E_{\infty}(e_i)) \\ &\cong (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S)), \end{aligned}$$

so S and $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ are homeomorphic. ■

Doubling along infinitely many punctures. We will now prove the second part of Theorem 2. Recall that an end is *truly non-planar* if it is non-planar and has a neighborhood that does not contain a puncture.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose S is a stable surface of infinite genus with infinitely many punctures. If \mathcal{F} contains no planar nor truly non-planar ends then there exists a non-surjective, injective map $\text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S)$.*




FIGURE 1. The figure shows two copies of the surface S . The double obtained using half the punctures is also homeomorphic to S . There is a natural orientation reversing homeomorphism ι which fixes the boundary components of \bar{S} .

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is empty then the result follows from Theorem 4.1. As in the previous subsection, since S is stable we have that $|\mathcal{F}| = n$ is finite as otherwise there would be an accumulation point without a stable neighborhood [14]. Therefore we have a partition of $\text{Ends}(S)$

$$\text{Ends}(S) = P_0 \cup P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$$

such that P_0 contains no elements of \mathcal{F} , and all other P_k contain a single element of \mathcal{F} . Moreover, we may choose each P_k to be the end space of a stable neighborhood of the corresponding element of \mathcal{F} .

Our strategy is to choose an infinite collection of punctures in each set P_k , where $k \geq 1$, remove disk neighborhoods of these punctures producing a surface \bar{S} with boundary \mathcal{B} , then prove that the resulting surface $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ is homeomorphic to S . Note that since each element of \mathcal{F} is truly non-planar, each P_k does indeed contain infinitely many punctures, when $k \geq 1$.


Suppose $k \geq 1$ and denote by e the unique end in $P_k \cap \mathcal{F}$. Let $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset \cdots$ be a nested sequence of homeomorphic subsurfaces with connected boundary such that $\text{Ends}(U_0) = P_k$. Define $X_i = U_i \setminus U_{i+1}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $X_i \cong X_{i+1}$ and that X_i contains at least two punctures (it may contain infinitely many punctures). Now, remove an open neighborhood of one puncture in each X_i . Repeating this process for each P_k (where $k \geq 1$) results in a surface \bar{S} and a set of boundary components \mathcal{B} . We define $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ as usual, and write $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_i) \subset d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ for the subsurface obtained by doubling U_i .

Note that $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0) \supset d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_1) \supset \cdots$ is a nested sequence of homeomorphic subsurfaces, in particular, it defines a unique end of $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$. We will now show that the end spaces of $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0)$ and U_0 are homeomorphic. Indeed, if each X_i has infinitely many punctures, then by construction we have that

$$(\text{Ends}(d_{\mathcal{B}}(X_i)), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(d_{\mathcal{B}}(X_i))) \cong (\text{Ends}(X_i \cup X_{i+1}), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(X_i \cup X_{i+1})).$$

However, since $U_0 \supset U_2 \supset U_4 \supset \cdots$ also defines the end e , it follows that the end spaces of $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0)$ and U_0 are homeomorphic. This shows that $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0)$ is homeomorphic to U_0 with an open disk removed, that is, $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0)$ has two boundary components.

If each X_i contains finitely many punctures then the argument above follows similarly as both $d_{\mathcal{B}}(U_0)$ and U_0 contain infinitely many punctures with a single accumulation point. Finally, since P_0 contains no elements of \mathcal{F} , the argument from Theorem 4.1 implies that $P_0 \cong P_0 \cup P_0$. It follows that

FIGURE 2. A spanning chain for the surface $S_{3,5}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Ends}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(d_{\mathcal{B}}S)) &\cong (P_0 \cup P_0) \cup P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n \\
 &\cong P_0 \cup P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n \\
 &\cong (\text{Ends}(S), \text{Ends}_{\infty}(S))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{\mathcal{B}}S$ has infinite genus and no boundary components, it is homeomorphic to S . ■

5. TWIST-PAIR PRESERVING HOMOMORPHISMS

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 3. The key ingredient of our arguments is that a twist-pair preserving homomorphism preserves certain combinatorial configurations of curves that we term *spanning chains*, and which we now define; the reader should keep Figure 2 in mind:

Definition. Let $S_{g,p}$ be the connected orientable surface of genus g and for which the number of punctures plus boundary components is p . A *spanning chain* is a set

$$\{\beta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2g-1}, \alpha_{2g}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p+1}\}$$

of non-separating curves on $S_{g,p}$ such that:

- $i(\beta, \alpha_4) = 1$ and $i(\beta, \alpha_j) = i(\beta, \gamma_i) = 0$ for all i and all $j \neq 3$.
- $i(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) = 1$, and $i(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$ otherwise.
- $i(\gamma_i, \alpha_{2g}) = 1$, for all i , and $i(\gamma_i, \alpha_j) = 0$ otherwise.
- $i(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = 0$ for all i, j .

Observe that any surface filled by a collection of distinct curves satisfying the conditions in the definition of a spanning chain above must have genus g since a regular neighborhood of the union of the curves can be reconstructed from the data, up to homeomorphism (not necessarily preserving the names of the curves); see again Figure 2. We will need the following well-known fact; see [15, Section 4.4.4.] for instance:

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $g \geq 1$. If C is a spanning chain on $S_{g,p}$, then the Dehn twists along the elements of C generate $\text{PMap}(S_{g,p})$.*

We will also make use of the *braid relation* between Dehn twists; see e. g. [15, Section 3.5.1]:

Lemma 5.2. *Let α, β be curves on a surface. Then*

$$t_{\alpha}t_{\beta}t_{\alpha} = t_{\beta}t_{\alpha}t_{\beta}$$

if and only if $i(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3:

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\phi : \text{Map}(S) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S')$ be a continuous injective twist-preserving homomorphism. In particular, ϕ induces a simplicial map

$$\phi_* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S')$$

by the rule

$$\phi_*(\alpha) = \beta \iff \phi(t_\alpha) = t_\beta.$$

Moreover, ϕ_* is *superinjective*, meaning that $i(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $i(\phi_*(\alpha), \phi_*(\beta)) \neq 0$. Finally, Lemma 5.2 implies that $i(\phi_*(\alpha), \phi_*(\beta)) = 1$ if and only if $i(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.

Now, let $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots$ be an exhaustion of S by connected, properly embedded, π_1 -injective, finite type subsurfaces for which the inclusion of Z_i into S induces an injection $\text{PMap}(Z_i) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume each component of ∂Z_i is contained in the interior of Z_{i+1} or is a component of ∂S . Note that since each Z_i is properly embedded and $\text{PMap}(Z_i)$ injects into $\text{PMap}(S)$, any puncture of S is a puncture of Z_i for some i . Since the genus of S is positive and S has infinite type, without loss of generality we may assume that the same is true for the genus of Z_i for all i , and that Z_i is not a torus with one puncture/boundary component for any i .

For each i , choose a spanning chain C_i of Z_i . By the discussion above, $\phi_*(C_i)$ is a set of curves on S' of the same cardinality as C_i , and whose elements have the same intersection pattern as the curves in C_i . Let Z'_i be the surface obtained from the regular neighborhood of $\phi_*(C_i)$ by adding any complementary once-punctured disks or peripheral annuli which a boundary component of the neighborhood may bound. By construction Z'_i is filled by $\phi_*(C_i)$, and thus Z_i and Z'_i have the same genus. Moreover, $\text{PMap}(Z'_i) < \text{PMap}(S')$, and Proposition 5.1 implies that the homomorphism ϕ restricts to an injective homomorphism

$$\phi_i : \text{PMap}(Z_i) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(Z'_i).$$

Since the genera of Z_i and Z'_i are equal and the homomorphism ϕ_i is injective, [4, Theorem 1.1] implies that ϕ_i is induced by a (unique) proper π_1 -injective embedding

$$h_i : Z_i \rightarrow Z'_i,$$

which is in fact a homeomorphism since Z_i and Z'_i are each filled by a spanning chain of the same cardinality and combinatorial type.

Remark. Although the main result of [4] is stated for surfaces of genus at least four (see the remark below the statement of Theorem 1.1 in [4]), it is also true for twist-preserving injective homomorphisms between pure mapping class groups of surfaces of the same positive genus. The reader can check that (after a suitable reduction of the target surface), the *standing assumption* of [4, Section 10] holds, and that every argument goes through without modification from then on.

We also note that the main result of [4] deals with arbitrary non-trivial homomorphisms between pure mapping class groups, and under suitable genus bounds, any such homomorphism is induced by an *embedding* between the underlying surfaces. In the case of an injective homomorphism, the reader will quickly verify that the definition of *embedding* of [4] simply means “proper topological embedding”.

Fix a complete hyperbolic metric with geodesic boundary on S' . We view h_i as a proper embedding from Z_i to S' , and note that h_i and ϕ_* agree on every curve in Z_i . Since Z_i is more complicated than a torus with one boundary/puncture, h_i is uniquely determined, up to isotopy, by this agreement with ϕ_* on curves. As the punctures of Z'_i were punctures of S' (by construction), it follows that h_i maps punctures of Z_i to punctures of S' . Now $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$, and it follows from the uniqueness statement that h_i and h_{i+1} agree on Z_i , up to isotopy. Starting with h_1 , and adjusting h_2 by isotopy if necessary, we may assume

that h_1 and h_2 agree on Z_1 . Continuing inductively and adjusting h_{i+1} to agree with h_i on Z_i , we get a well-defined injective continuous function

$$h: S \rightarrow S',$$

that agrees with h_i on Z_i for all i . Without loss of generality, by arranging it to be the case at every step, we may assume that $h(\partial Z_i) = h_i(\partial Z_i)$ is a union of closed geodesics in the fixed hyperbolic metric. Since any curve in S is contained in Z_i for some i , it follows that $h(\delta) = \phi_*(\delta)$ for every curve δ on S .

We now claim that h is proper. To see this, suppose for contradiction that this were not the case. Then there exists a compact set K of S' such that $h^{-1}(K)$ is not compact. We may enlarge K if necessary to a finite type, connected, properly embedded subsurface of $W \subset S'$ with geodesic boundary (in our fixed hyperbolic metric). Then $h^{-1}(W)$ is non-empty, non-compact, closed subset of S . Now we observe that $h^{-1}(W) \cap \partial Z_i \neq \emptyset$ for all i sufficiently large: otherwise for some i , W would be entirely contained in $h(Z_i) = h_i(Z_i)$, and hence so would K , implying that $h^{-1}(K) = h_i^{-1}(K)$ is compact (by properness of h_i), a contradiction. Therefore, we can find components $\alpha_i \subset \partial Z_i$ so that for all i sufficiently large, $h(\alpha_i)$ transversely and essentially intersects W . In particular, the sequence $\{t_{\alpha_i}\}$ of mapping classes converges to the identity in $\text{Map}(S)$. In contrast, the image sequence $h(\alpha_i)$ is a sequence of pairwise distinct curves, all of which intersect W . As a consequence, the sequence $\{t_{h(\alpha_i)}\}$ cannot converge to the identity in $\text{Map}(S')$. This contradicts the fact that ϕ is continuous, as

$$\phi(t_{\alpha_i}) = t_{\phi_*(\alpha_i)} = t_{h(\alpha_i)}.$$

Since h is a proper embedding it induces $h_{\#}: \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$, an injective homomorphism.

By hypothesis, S either has empty boundary or else has at most one end accumulated by genus. In the former case, it follows that h is in fact a homeomorphism, and thus $h_{\#}$ and ϕ are equal by Theorem 2.4. In the latter case, we know that h and ϕ_* agree on every isotopy class of simple closed curve, and hence $h_{\#}$ and ϕ agree on every Dehn twist. Since Dehn twists topologically generate $\text{PMap}(S)$ [27] and ϕ is continuous, it follows that ϕ and $h_{\#}$ are equal, as required. \blacksquare

Remark. (1) As mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 3 no longer holds if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$ and S has more than one end accumulated by genus. Indeed, suppose that S has at least two ends accumulated by genus, in which case there exists a surjective homomorphism $\rho: \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by [3]. Since $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, we may find a surface S' for which there exists a π_1 -injective embedding $\iota: S \rightarrow S'$ and such that the mapping class group of $S' \setminus S$ is infinite.

Consider the injective homomorphism $\phi: \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ induced by this embedding, noting that its image is supported on $\text{PMap}(\iota(S))$. Now choose an infinite-order element $g \in \text{PMap}(S')$ supported on $S' \setminus \iota(S)$. Using the homomorphism ρ we construct a homomorphism $\rho_g: \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ whose image is the cyclic group generated by g ; in particular, the image of ρ_g is supported on $\text{PMap}(S' \setminus \iota(S))$.

As the images of ϕ and ρ_g commute, we may consider the homomorphism $(\phi, \rho_g): \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$, whose image is contained in

$$\text{PMap}(\iota(S)) \times \text{PMap}(S' \setminus \iota(S)) < \text{PMap}(S').$$

Observe that this homomorphism is continuous, injective (since ϕ is) and twist-preserving (since the ρ -image of every Dehn twist is trivial, by [3]). However, it is not induced by any subsurface embedding $S \rightarrow S'$, as required.

(2) As may have become apparent in the proof of Theorem 3, the requirement that the homomorphism ϕ be injective may be relaxed in various ways. For instance, say that a

twist-preserving homomorphism *preserves twist pairs* if two Dehn twists commute if and only if their images commute. With this definition, a minor modification of the proof above yields:

Let S and S' be surfaces of infinite type, and assume S has positive genus. Assume further that either the boundary of S is empty, or else S has at most one end accumulated by genus. If $\phi : \text{PMap}(S) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(S')$ a continuous twist-pair preserving homomorphism, then there is a proper π_1 -injective embedding $h : S \rightarrow S'$ that induces ϕ .

Indeed, the only subtlety when mimicking the proof above occurs in justifying why the restriction homomorphism $\phi_i : \text{PMap}(Z_i) \rightarrow \text{PMap}(Z'_i)$ is injective. To this end, if a non-central element $f \in \text{PMap}(Z_i)$ is in the kernel of ϕ_i , we may use its Nielsen-Thurston normal form to find a curve $\alpha \subset Z_i$ such that $i(f(\alpha), \alpha) > 0$. However, since $f \in \ker(\phi_i)$ we have that $i(\phi_*(f(\alpha)), \phi_*(\alpha)) = 0$, which contradicts that ϕ_* is superinjective.

If on the other hand, there is $f \in \ker(\phi_i)$ which is central, then we have an induced injective homomorphism between the pure mapping class groups modulo (the relevant part of) their centers, at which point the main result of [4] applies.

6. SUPERINJECTIVE MAPS OF CURVE GRAPHS

Finally, in this section we give a proof of Theorem 4. We separate the proof into two parts.

Proof of part (1) of Theorem 4. Equip S with a fixed hyperbolic metric, and realize every vertex of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ by its unique geodesic representative in its homotopy class.

Suppose first that S has infinite genus. By choosing two points p, q in the complement of the union of all simple closed geodesics on S , we obtain a (non-surjective) superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S \setminus \{p, q\})$. Now, observe that $\mathcal{C}(S \setminus \{p, q\}) \cong \mathcal{C}(S')$, where S' is obtained by removing two open discs (with disjoint closures) from S . Finally, we may glue a cylinder to S' along its boundary components, obtaining a surface $S'' \cong S$ and the inclusion $S' \rightarrow S''$ induces a superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(S') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S'') \cong \mathcal{C}(S)$. The composition of these superinjective maps/isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S \setminus \{p, q\}) \cong \mathcal{C}(S') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S'') \cong \mathcal{C}(S)$$

is a superinjective map which is not surjective (since the first map is non-surjective).


Suppose now that S has finite genus. Then either S has infinitely many punctures or its space of ends is a Cantor set union a finite set. In the former case we may puncture S at a point p in the complement of the union of simple closed geodesics, producing a non-surjective, superinjective map

$$\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S \setminus \{p\}) \cong \mathcal{C}(S),$$

where the isomorphism comes from fact that S and $S \setminus \{p\}$ are homeomorphic. In the latter case, we may again remove a point p missing all simple closed geodesics to get a non-surjective, superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S \setminus \{p\}) \cong \mathcal{C}(S')$, where S' is obtained from S by removing an open disk, then glue in a disk minus a Cantor set to S' produce a surface $S'' \cong S$. This gives a superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(S') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S'') \cong \mathcal{C}(S)$, and composing with the maps above gives the required non-surjective, superinjective map in this case. \blacksquare

We are now going to prove part (2) of Theorem 4. The proof is divided into two lemmas. In what follows, we denote by M the Loch Ness Monster surface, which we again recall is the unique, up to homeomorphism, infinite-genus surface with exactly one end.

Lemma 6.1. *Let S be an arbitrary infinite-type surface. Then there exists a superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(M)$.*

FIGURE 3. One of the steps towards obtaining the surface S_3

Proof. We construct the desired map via a series of intermediate steps. First, let S_1 be the surface obtained from S by first replacing each puncture by a boundary component, and then gluing, to each of these new boundary components, a torus with one boundary component. Observe that if S_1 has any planar ends, then they must belong to a Cantor set. We have a superinjective map

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S_1).$$

Fix a principal exhaustion $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \dots$ of S_1 , namely an exhaustion of S_1 by compact subsurfaces such that every component of ∂P_i is separating in S_1 . Let $X_1^n, X_2^n, \dots, X_{k_n}^n$ be the connected components of $P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$. We puncture S_1 along $y_i^n \in X_i^n$, and then replace each of these punctures by a boundary component b_i^n , obtaining a new surface S_2 and a superinjective map

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{C}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S_2)$$

The surface S_2 is naturally equipped with a principal exhaustion $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \dots$ coming from the obvious subsurface embedding $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$; abusing notation, we denote the connected components of $P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$ in S_2 by $Z_1^n, Z_2^n, \dots, Z_{k_n}^n$.

Now, we glue a sphere with k_n boundary components to the union of the Z_i^n , thus obtaining a connected surface Y_n which contains Q_n , see Figure 3. Moreover, $Y_n \subset Y_{n+1}$, so we may consider $S_3 = \bigcup Y_n$. Since $S_2 = \bigcup Q_n$, we have that S_3 contains a subsurface homeomorphic to S_2 , and in particular there is a superinjective map

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{C}(S_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S_3)$$

It remains to show that S_3 is homeomorphic to M . By construction, S_3 has infinite genus and no planar ends. Since the complement of any finite-type subsurface of S_3 contains only one component of infinite-type, we have that S has a single end which is not planar. Therefore, S_3 is homeomorphic to M , as desired. At this point, the composition of the maps in (3),(4) and (5) gives the superinjective map

$$\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(M).$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. ■

Next, we prove that every surface S' of infinite genus contains a subsurface homeomorphic to M .

Lemma 6.2. *If S is a surface with infinite genus then it contains a subsurface $S \cong M$. In particular, there is a superinjective map $\mathcal{C}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$.*

Proof. Let N be a neighborhood of a non-planar end e . Let $c_1, c_2, \dots \subset N$ be a set of pairwise disjoint separating curves, each of which bound bounding a one-holed torus. Let a_i be an arc connecting c_{i-1} and c_i such that $a_i \cap a_j = \emptyset$.

Now, the boundary of a neighborhood of $\bigcup a_i \cup c_i$ contains a separating arc α . We define Σ to be the component of $S \setminus \alpha$ containing every c_i (here, we take the complement $S \setminus \alpha$ to be without boundary). It follows that Σ has infinite genus. Moreover, the complement of any compact subsurface contains a single non-compact component, so Σ has a single end and is therefore homeomorphic to M . ■

Finally, we put together the above pieces in order to prove Theorem 4:

Proof of Theorem 4. Let S and S' be surfaces of infinite type, and assume S' has infinite genus. By Lemma 6.1, there is a superinjective map

$$\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(M).$$

In turn, Lemma 6.2 tells us there exists a superinjective map

$$\mathcal{C}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S'),$$

from which the result follows. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] AimPL: Surfaces of infinite type. Available at <http://aimpl.org/genusinfinity>.
- [2] J. Aramayona, C. J. Leininger, J. Souto, Injections of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.* 13 (2009), no. 5, 2523–2541.
- [3] J. Aramayona, P. Patel, N. G. Vlamis, The first integral cohomology of big mapping class groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* Volume 2020, Issue 22, November 2020, Pages 8973–8996.
- [4] J. Aramayona, J. Souto, Homomorphisms between mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.* 16 (2012), no. 4, 2285–2341.
- [5] J. Aramayona, N. G. Vlamis. Big mapping class groups: an overview. Preprint available at [arXiv:2003.07950](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.07950). To appear in *In the tradition of Thurston* (ed. A. Papadopoulos).
- [6] A. Basmajian, N. G. Vlamis, There are no exotic ladder surfaces. Preprint available at [arXiv:2009.14266](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.14266).
- [7] J. Bavard, S. Dowdall, K. Rafi, Isomorphisms between big mapping class groups, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2020, no. 10, 3084–3099.
- [8] J. Behrstock, D. Margalit, Curve complexes and finite index subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Dedicata* 118 (2006), 71–85.
- [9] R. W. Bell, D. Margalit, Braid groups and the co-Hopfian property. *J. Algebra* 303 (2006), no. 1, 275–294.
- [10] J. S. Birman, Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 22 (1969), 213–238.
- [11] J. S. Birman and H. M. Hilden, On isotopies of homeomorphisms of Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 97 (1973), 424–439.
- [12] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 319. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1999. xxii+643 pp.
- [13] G. Domat, Big pure mapping class groups are never perfect, appendix with R. Dickmann. [\protectarXiv:2007.14929](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14929).
- [14] F. Fanoni, T. Ghaswala, A. McLeay, Homeomorphic subsurfaces and the omnipresent arcs. Preprint available at [arXiv:2003.04750](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.04750).
- [15] B. Farb, D. Margalit. A primer on mapping class groups. Princeton Mathematical Series, 49. *Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ*, 2012.
- [16] J. Hernández Hernández, I. Morales, F. Valdez, Isomorphisms between curve graphs of infinite-type surfaces are geometric. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 48 (2018), no. 6, 1887–1904.
- [17] J. Hernández Hernández, I. Morales, F. Valdez, The Alexander method for infinite-type surfaces. *Michigan Math. J.* 68 (2019), no. 4, 743–753.
- [18] J. Hernández Hernández, F. Valdez, Automorphism groups of simplicial complexes of infinite-type surfaces. *Publ. Mat.* 61 (2017), no. 1, 51–82.
- [19] E. Irmak, Complexes of nonseparating curves and mapping class groups. *Michigan Math. J.* 54 (2006), no. 1, 81–110.
- [20] E. Irmak, Superinjective simplicial maps of complexes of curves and injective homomorphisms of subgroups of mapping class groups. *Topology* 43 (2004), no. 3, 513–541.

- [21] E. Irmak, Superinjective simplicial maps of complexes of curves and injective homomorphisms of subgroups of mapping class groups. II. *Topology Appl.* 153 (2006), no. 8, 1309–1340.
- [22] N. V. Ivanov, Automorphism of complexes of curves and of Teichmüller spaces. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1997, no. 14, 651–666.
- [23] N. V. Ivanov, J. D. McCarthy, On injective homomorphisms between Teichmüller modular groups. I. *Invent. Math.* 135 (1999), no. 2, 425–486.
- [24] I. Kra, On the Nielsen-Thurston-Bers type of some self-maps of Riemann surfaces. *Acta Math.* 146 (1981), no. 3-4, 231–270.
- [25] K. Mann, Automatic continuity for some homeomorphism groups and mapping class groups of non-compact manifolds. Preprint available at https://e.math.cornell.edu/people/mann/papers/autcont_noncompact.pdf.
- [26] K. Mann, K. Rafi, Large scale geometry of big mapping class groups. *Preprint*, arXiv:1912.10914.
- [27] P. Patel, N. Vlamis, Algebraic and topological properties of big mapping class groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18 (2018), no. 7, 4109–4142.
- [28] I. Richards, On the classification of noncompact surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106 (1963), 259–269.
- [29] J.-P. Serre, Arbres, amalgames, SL_2 . *Astérisque*, No. 46. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977. 189 pp.
- [30] K. J. Shackleton, Combinatorial rigidity in curve complexes and mapping class groups. *Pacific J. Math.* 230 (2007), no. 1, 217–232.
- [31] P. B. Shalen, Representations of 3-manifold groups and applications in topology. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 607–614*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [32] J. R. Stallings, On torsion-free groups with infinitely many ends. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 88 (1968), 312–334.
- [33] R. Winarski, Symmetry, isotopy, and irregular covers. *Geom. Dedicata* 177 (2015), 213–227.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, ICMAT (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM)

Email address: javier.aramayona@icmat.es

RICE UNIVERSITY

Email address: c.j.leininger95@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG

Email address: mcleay.math@gmail.com