

THE LIMIT OF THE HARMONIC FLOW ON FLAT COMPLEX VECTOR BUNDLE

XI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the limiting behaviour of the harmonic flow on flat complex vector bundle, and prove the limit must be isomorphic to the graded flat complex vector bundle associated to the Jordan-Hölder filtration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle of rank r over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We say (E, D) is simple if it has no proper D -invariant sub-bundle and (E, D) is semi-simple if it is a direct sum of D -invariant sub-bundles. For the general case, there is a filtration of sub-bundles

$$(1.1) \quad 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \cdots \subset E_l = E,$$

such that every sub-bundle E_i is D -invariant and every quotient bundle $(Q_i, D_i) := (E_i/E_{i-1}, D_i)$ is flat and simple, which is called the Jordan-Hölder filtration of the flat complex vector bundle (E, D) . It is well known that the above filtration may be not unique, but the following graded flat complex vector bundle

$$(1.2) \quad Gr^{JH}(E, D) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (Q_i, D_i)$$

is unique in the sense of isomorphism. By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, we know that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli space of fundamental group representations and the moduli space of flat vector bundles. If the flat bundle (E, D) is corresponding to a representation $\tau : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$, the graded object $Gr^{JH}(E, D)$ is corresponding to the semi-simplification of τ .

Given a Hermitian metric H on E , there is a unique decomposition

$$(1.3) \quad D = D_H + \psi_H,$$

where D_H is a unitary connection and $\psi_H \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$ is self-adjoint with respect to H . A Hermitian metric H is called harmonic on (E, D) if it is a critical point of the energy functional $\int_M |\psi_H|^2 dV_g$, i.e. it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(1.4) \quad D_H^* \psi_H = 0.$$

Under the assumption that the flat complex vector bundle (E, D) is semi-simple, Corlette ([3]) and Donaldson ([7]) proved the existence of harmonic metric. Furthermore, when (M, g) is a Kähler manifold, the existence of harmonic metric H implies

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 58E15.

Key words and phrases. Projectively flat bundle, Higgs bundle, non-Kähler, the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow, ϵ -regularity theorem.

The authors are partially supported by NSF in China No.11625106, 11801535 and 11721101. The research was partially supported by the project "Analysis and Geometry on Bundle" of Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, No.SQ2020YFA070080.

that there exists a poly-stable Higgs structure $(D_H^{0,1}, \psi_H^{1,0})$ on E . On the other hand, by the work of Hitchin ([9]) and Simpson ([14]) on Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem for Higgs bundles, one has the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, i.e. there is an equivalence of categories between the category of poly-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern numbers and the category of semi-simple flat bundles.

In order to obtain harmonic metrics, Corlette ([3]) introduced the following heat flow

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma(t)}{\partial t} \cdot (\sigma(t))^{-1} = D_{t,K}^* \psi_{t,K},$$

where K is a fixed Hermitian metric on (E, D) , $\sigma(t) \in \Gamma(\text{Aut}E)$ and

$$(1.6) \quad D_t = \sigma(t)\{D\} = \sigma(t) \cdot D \cdot \sigma^{-1}(t) = D_{t,K} + \psi_{t,K}.$$

The heat flow (1.5) is equivalent to the following heat flow which involves flat connections,

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{\partial D_t}{\partial t} = -D_t\{D_{t,K}^* \psi_{t,K}\}.$$

We call the above heat flow (1.7) the harmonic flow on the flat bundle (E, D) . Corlette proved the existence of long time solution D_t ($0 \leq t < \infty$) for the heat flow (1.7). By choosing a subsequence and taking suitable unitary gauge transformations, D_{t_i} converges weakly to a flat connection D_∞ in L_1^p . Furthermore, if (E, D) is simple, Corlette showed that the limit must lie in the complex gauge orbit of D , i.e. there exists $\eta_\infty \in \Gamma(\text{Aut}E)$ such that $D_\infty = \eta_\infty \cdot D \cdot \eta_\infty^{-1}$.

In this paper, we consider the limit of the harmonic flow (1.7) on the flat bundle (E, D) which is not necessarily simple. Firstly, let's recall the limiting behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow on holomorphic vector bundles. For the Riemann surface case, Atiyah and Bott ([1]) pointed out that the limiting holomorphic bundle should be isomorphic to the graded bundle associated to the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration, and this conjecture has been proved by Daskalopoulos ([4]). In [2], Bando and Siu proposed an interesting question that the above Atiyah-Bott's conjecture should still hold for reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E} over higher dimensional Kähler manifold. When the sheaf \mathcal{E} is locally free, this question was answered in the affirmative by Daskalopoulos and Wentworth ([5]) for Kähler surfaces case; by Jacob ([11]) and Sibley ([13]) for higher dimensional case. The general reflexive sheaves case was confirmed by Li, Zhang and the author ([12]). Inspired by this, it is natural to raise a question: should the limit of the harmonic flow (1.7) be isomorphic to the graded flat complex vector bundle associated to the Jordan-Hölder filtration? When the base manifold (M, g) is Kähler, this also is conjectured by Deng ([6]) in his doctoral dissertation. In this paper, we solve this problem, i.e. we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and D_t be the long time solution of the harmonic flow (1.7) with initial data D . Then the limiting flat bundle (E, D_∞) must be isomorphic to the graded flat complex vector bundle associated to the Jordan-Hölder filtration of (E, D) , i.e. we have:*

$$(1.8) \quad (E, D_\infty) \cong Gr^{JH}(E, D).$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic concepts and results about the harmonic flow on flat complex vector bundles. In section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n , E be a complex vector bundle over M with rank r . Given any connection D and Hermitian metric H on E , there is a unique decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad D = D_H + \psi_H,$$

where D_H is an H -unitary connection, $\psi_H \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$ is H -self-adjoint, i.e. $\psi_H^{*H} = \psi_H$, and

$$(2.2) \quad H(\psi_H X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \{H(DX, Y) + H(X, DY) - dH(X, Y)\}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$. Suppose K is another Hermitian metric on E , then we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_H &= \frac{1}{2} h^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ h + \frac{1}{2} \psi_K + \frac{1}{2} (D_K - h^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h) \\ &= h^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ h + \frac{1}{2} (D - h^{-1} \circ D \circ h) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_H &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi_K - h^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ h + D_K + h^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h) \\ &= \psi_H - h^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ h + h^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h \\ &= h^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h + \frac{1}{2} (D - h^{-1} \circ D \circ h). \end{aligned}$$

where $h = K^{-1}H$.

If D is a flat connection, then

$$(2.5) \quad 0 = F_D = D_H^2 + \psi_H \wedge \psi_H + D_H \circ \psi_H + \psi_H \circ D_H.$$

Considering the self-adjoint and anti-self-adjoint parts of the above identity, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad D_H(\psi_H) = 0,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad D_H^2 + \psi_H \wedge \psi_H = 0.$$

Let $H(t)$ be a family of Hermitian metrics on E . By direct computation, one can find that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial \psi_{H(t)}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} D_H(H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_H \circ H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \circ \psi_H.$$

Choosing local coordinates $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$ on M , we write $g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$, $\psi_H = (\psi_H)_k dx^k$ and

$$(2.9) \quad |\psi_H|_H^2 = g^{ij} \text{tr} \{(\psi_H)_i \circ (\psi_H)_j^{*H}\},$$

where $(\psi_H)_i \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ and (g^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) . After a straightforward calculation, one can check that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi_{H(t)}|_H^2 &= 2\text{Re} \langle \frac{\partial \psi_{H(t)}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_H \circ H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \circ \psi_H, \psi_H \rangle_H \\ &= -\text{Re} \langle D_H(h^{-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}), \psi_H \rangle_H. \end{aligned}$$

Let K be a fixed metric on E . Denote the group of smooth automorphisms of E (which preserve the metric K) as $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}_K)$. Every $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ acts on the connection D by

$$(2.11) \quad \sigma(D) := \sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $H(X, Y) = K(\sigma X, \sigma Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$, set $H = K\sigma^{*K}\sigma$. One can see that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} K(\psi_{\sigma(D), K}(X), Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \{K(\sigma(D)X, Y) + K(X, \sigma(D)Y) - dK(X, Y)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{H(D \circ \sigma^{-1}X, \sigma^{-1}Y) + H(\sigma^{-1}X, D \circ \sigma^{-1}Y) - dH(\sigma^{-1}X, \sigma^{-1}Y)\} \\ &= H(\psi_{D, H} \circ \sigma^{-1}(X), \sigma^{-1}Y) \\ &= K(\sigma \circ \psi_{D, H} \circ \sigma^{-1}(X), \sigma^{-1}Y). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.13) \quad \psi_{\sigma(D), K} = \sigma \circ \psi_{D, H} \circ \sigma^{-1}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sigma(D)_K = \sigma \circ D_H \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

By (2.3), (2.4), (2.13) and (2.14), we have (or By the definition, we have!!!!!!)

$$(2.15) \quad \psi_{\sigma(D), K} = (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ \psi_{D, K} \circ \sigma^{*K} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ D \circ \sigma^{*K}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \sigma(D)_K = (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ D_K \circ \sigma^{*K} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ D \circ \sigma^{*K}.$$

Let $h = \sigma^{*K} \circ \sigma$. There holds that

$$(2.17) \quad \psi_{\sigma(D), K} = (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ (\psi_{D, K} - \frac{1}{2} D(h) \circ h^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{*K}$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \sigma(D)_K = (\sigma^{*K})^{-1} \circ (D_K - \frac{1}{2} D(h) \circ h^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{*K}.$$

From the definition, it is easy to see that

$$(2.19) \quad \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_H = \langle \sigma \circ \varphi_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}, \sigma \circ \varphi_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \rangle_K$$

for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$. We know

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{D, H}, D_H \varphi \rangle_H &= \langle \psi_{D, H}, (\sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K \circ \sigma)(\varphi) \rangle_H \\ &= \langle \psi_{D, H}, \sigma^{-1} \circ \{(\sigma(D))_K(\sigma \circ \varphi \circ \sigma^{-1})\} \circ \sigma \rangle_H \\ &= \langle \sigma \circ \psi_{D, H} \circ \sigma^{-1}, (\sigma(D))_K(\sigma \circ \varphi \circ \sigma^{-1}) \rangle_K \\ &= \langle \psi_{\sigma(D), K}, (\sigma(D))_K(\sigma \circ \varphi \circ \sigma^{-1}) \rangle_K \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(2.21) \quad (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} = \sigma \circ D_H^* \psi_{D,H} \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, one can check that $(\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the metric K .

Lemma 2.1. *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and K be a Hermitian metric on E . For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, we have*

$$(2.22) \quad \langle \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} \circ \sigma - D_K^* \psi_{D,K}, h \rangle_K = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \operatorname{tr} h - \frac{1}{2} \langle D(h) \circ h^{-1}, D(h) \rangle_K,$$

$$(2.23) \quad \langle D_K^* \psi_{D,K} - \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} \circ \sigma, h^{-1} \rangle_K = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \operatorname{tr} h^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle h \circ D(h^{-1}), D(h^{-1}) \rangle_K$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad \langle \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} \circ \sigma - D_K^* \psi_{D,K}, s \rangle_K = \frac{1}{4} \Delta |s|_K^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle D(h) \circ h^{-1}, D(s) \rangle_K,$$

where $h = \sigma^{*K} \circ \sigma$ and $s = \log h$.

Proof. By (2.17) and (2.18), and choosing local normal coordinates $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$ centered at the considered point, one can easily check that

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} \circ \sigma &= h^{-1} \circ (D_K^* \psi_{D,K} - \frac{1}{2} D_K^* (D(h) \circ h^{-1})) \circ h \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} h^{-1} \circ (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) - \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \circ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1}) \circ h, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma(D))_K^* \psi_{\sigma(D),K} \circ \sigma - D_K^* \psi_{D,K}, s \rangle_K \\ &= \langle -\frac{1}{2} D_K^* (D(h) \circ h^{-1}), h^{-1} \circ s \circ h \rangle_K \\ &+ \langle \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \circ (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) - \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \circ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1}), h^{-1} \circ s \circ h \rangle_K \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (D_K^* (D(h) \circ h^{-1}) \circ s) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \operatorname{tr} (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ (\psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \circ s - s \circ \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \operatorname{tr} (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ s) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \operatorname{tr} (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ (D_{K, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}(s) - \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \circ s + s \circ \psi_{D,K}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}))) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Delta |s|_K^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle D(h) \circ h^{-1}, D(s) \rangle_K. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the following identity

$$(2.27) \quad \operatorname{tr} (D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}(h) \circ h^{-1} \circ s) = \operatorname{tr} (s \circ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} s).$$

Immediately (2.22) and (2.23) can be proved in a similar way. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let (E, \hat{D}) be a flat complex vector bundle on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and K be a Hermitian metric on E . Assume $\hat{D}_K^* \psi_{\hat{D}, K} = 0$, then (E, \hat{D}) must be semi-simple.*

Proof. Suppose that (E, \hat{D}) is not simple, and choose a \hat{D} -invariant sub-bundle S which is minimal rank. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$(2.28) \quad 0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote D_S and D_Q (respectively, K_S and K_Q) the connections (respectively, metrics) on the sub-bundle S and the quotient bundle Q induced by the connection \hat{D} (respectively, metric K). For the Hermitian metric K on E , we have the following bundle isomorphism

$$(2.29) \quad f_K : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E, \quad (X, [Y]) \mapsto i(X) + (\text{Id}_E - \pi_K)(Y),$$

where $X \in \Gamma(S)$, $Y \in \Gamma(E)$, $i : S \hookrightarrow E$ is the inclusion and $\pi_K : E \rightarrow E$ is the orthogonal projection into S with respect to the metric K . Since S is \hat{D} -invariant, we know

$$(2.30) \quad \pi_K = (\pi_K)^2 = (\pi_K)^{*K}$$

and

$$(2.31) \quad (\text{Id}_E - \pi_K) \circ \hat{D}(\pi_K) = 0.$$

By the definition, the pulling back metric is

$$(2.32) \quad f_K^*(K) = \begin{pmatrix} K_S & 0 \\ 0 & K_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

and the pulling back connection is

$$(2.33) \quad f_K^*(\hat{D}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_S & \beta \\ 0 & D_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(Q, S))$ will be called the second fundamental form. One can check that

$$(2.34) \quad \beta([Y]) = -\pi_K \circ (\hat{D}\pi_K)(Y),$$

where $Y \in \Gamma(E)$. Because \hat{D} is flat, we have

$$(2.35) \quad D_S^2 = 0, \quad D_Q^2 = 0, \quad D_S \circ \beta + \beta \circ D_Q = 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(2.36) \quad f_K^*(\psi_{\hat{D}, K}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{D_S, K_S} & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta^* & \psi_{D_Q, K_Q} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(2.37) \quad f_K^*(\hat{D}K) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{K_S} & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ -\frac{1}{2}\beta^* & D_{K_Q} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^* \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(Q, S))$ is the adjoint of β with respect to the metrics K_S and K_Q . In the following, we choose the normal coordinates $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$ centered at the

considered point $p \in M$. A direct calculation yields

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} f_K^{-1} \circ \hat{D}_K^* \psi_{\hat{D},K} \circ f_K &= f_K^* (\hat{D}_K)^* \{f_K^* (\psi_{\hat{D},K})\} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} D_{K_S}^* \psi_{D_S, K_S} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \beta_i \circ \beta_j^* \\ \frac{1}{2} D_{K, S^* \otimes Q}^* \beta^* + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (\beta_i^* \circ \psi_{S,j} - \psi_{Q,j} \circ \beta_i^*) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} D_{K, Q^* \otimes S}^* \beta - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (\beta_i \circ \psi_{Q,j} - \psi_{S,j} \circ \beta_i) \\ D_{K_Q}^* \psi_{D_Q, K_Q} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \beta_i^* \circ \beta_j \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_i = \beta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$, $\beta_j^* = \beta^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})$, $\psi_{S,i} = \psi_S(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$ and $\psi_{Q,j} = \psi_Q(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})$. Due to $\hat{D}_K^* \psi_{\hat{D},K} = 0$, (2.38) implies

$$(2.39) \quad D_{K_S}^* \psi_{D_S, K_S} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \beta_i \circ \beta_j^* = 0,$$

and

$$(2.40) \quad \int_M |\beta|^2 dV_g = 2 \int_M \langle D_{K_S}^* \psi_{D_S, K_S}, \text{Id}_S \rangle_{K_S} dV_g = 0.$$

So $(E, \hat{D}) \cong (S, D_S) \oplus (Q, D_Q)$, where (S, D_S) is a simple flat bundle and (Q, D_Q) is a flat bundle with $D_{K_Q}^* \psi_{D_Q, K_Q} = 0$. Applying the above argument to (Q, D_Q) , we obtain an isomorphism

$$(2.41) \quad (E, \hat{D}) \cong \oplus_{i=1}^l (Q_i, D_{Q_i}),$$

where every (Q_i, D_{Q_i}) is a simple flat bundle. \square

Let $\sigma(t)$ be a solution of the heat flow (1.5), i.e. it satisfies

$$(2.42) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma(t)}{\partial t} \circ \sigma^{-1}(t) = (\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K},$$

then

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t)\{D\} &= -\sigma(t)\{D\} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma(t)}{\partial t} \circ \sigma^{-1}(t) \right) \\ &= -\sigma(t)\{D\} \left((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the self-adjoint and anti-self-adjoint parts of the above identity, we have

$$(2.44) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t)\{D\}_K = -[\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}, (\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}],$$

and

$$(2.45) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K} = -\sigma(t)\{D\}_K \left((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K} \right).$$

Let's recall some basic estimates of the heat flows (1.5) and (1.7).

Lemma 2.3. ([3]) *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and K be a Hermitian metric on E . If $\sigma(t)$ is a solution of the heat flow (1.5), then we have*

$$(2.46) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}\|_{L^2}^2 = -2 \|(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}|_K^2 &= 2 |\nabla^{(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K} \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}|_K^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K} \circ Ric, \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K} \rangle_K + 2 |[\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}, \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}]|_K^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \\ &= 2|(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K})|_K^2 + 2|[\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}, (\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}]|_K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. ([3]) *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and K be a Hermitian metric on E . The harmonic flow (1.5) has a long time solution $\sigma(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, for every sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a subsequence t_j such that $\sigma(t_j)\{D\} = (\sigma(t_j)\{D\})_K + \psi_{\sigma(t_j)\{D\},K}$ converges weakly, modulo K -unitary gauge transformations, to a flat connection $D_\infty = D_{\infty,K} + \psi_{\infty,K}$ in L_1^p -topology, and $D_{\infty,K}^* \psi_{\infty,K} = 0$.*

In the following, we will show that the above convergence can be strengthened to in C^∞ -topology. Using (2.47), we deduce

$$(2.49) \quad (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \geq -C_1|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2,$$

equivalently

$$(2.50) \quad (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(e^{-C_1 t}|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2) \geq 0,$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending only on the Ricci curvature of (M, g) . Let $f(x, t) = \int_M \chi(x, y, t - t_0) e^{-C_1 t_0} |\psi_{\sigma(t_0)\{D\},K}|_K^2(y) dV_g(y)$, where χ is the heat kernel of (M, g) . Of course (2.50) implies:

$$(2.51) \quad (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(e^{-C_1 t}|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 - f(x, t)) \geq 0$$

and

$$(2.52) \quad f(\cdot, t_0) = e^{-C_1 t_0} |\psi_{\sigma(t_0)\{D\},K}|_K^2.$$

From the maximum principle and (2.46), for any $t_0 \geq 0$, it follows that

$$(2.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \max_M e^{-C_1(t_0+1)} |\psi_{\sigma(t_0+1)\{D\},K}|_K^2 &\leq \max_M f(x, t_0 + 1) \\ &= \int_M \chi(x, y, 1) e^{-C_1 t_0} |\psi_{\sigma(t_0)\{D\},K}|_K^2(y) dV_g(y) \\ &\leq C_2 e^{-C_1 t_0} \int_M |\psi_{\sigma(t_0)\{D\},K}|_K^2 dV_g \\ &\leq C_2 e^{-C_1 t_0} \int_M |\psi_{D,K}|_K^2 dV_g, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(2.54) \quad \max_M |\psi_{\sigma(t_0+1)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \leq C_2 e^{C_1} \int_M |\psi_{D,K}|_K^2 dV_g,$$

where C_2 is a positive constant depending only on the upper bound of $\chi(x, y, 1)$. Hence we know that $\sup_M |\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K$ is uniformly bounded.

Choosing local normal coordinates $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$ centered at the considered point, we have

$$(2.55) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 &= 2 |\nabla^\sigma \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ g^{ij} \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^\sigma \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}^\sigma \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}, \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K} \rangle \}, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇^σ is the covariant derivative induced by the connection $(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of (M, g) . Denote

$$(2.56) \quad \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K} = \psi_{m,l} dx^m \otimes dx^l,$$

and we have

$$(2.57) \quad g^{ij} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^\sigma \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}^\sigma \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K} = g^{ij} \psi_{m,lji} dx^m \otimes dx^l,$$

where $\psi_{m,lji}$ denotes the component of the covariant derivative. According to the Ricci identity, one can check that

$$(2.58) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{ij} \psi_{m,lji} &= g^{ij} (\psi_{j,iml} + \psi_{a,l} R_{jmi}^a + \psi_a R_{jmi,l}^a + \psi_{a,i} R_{mlj}^a + \psi_a R_{mlj,i}^a + \psi_{a,m} R_{jli}^a + \psi_{j,a} R_{mli}^a \\ &+ [F_{im,l}, \psi_j] + [F_{im}, \psi_{j,l}] + [F_{il}, \psi_{j,m}] + [F_{jl,i}, \psi_m] + [F_{jl}, \psi_{m,i}]), \end{aligned}$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature of g and F denotes the curvature of the connection $(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K$. By the harmonic flow (1.5), we have

$$(2.59) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 &= -2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla^\sigma ((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K ((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K})), \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K} \rangle \\ &- 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle [[\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}, (\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}], \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}], \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that

$$(2.60) \quad \nabla^\sigma ((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K ((\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K})) = -g^{ij} \psi_{j,iml} dx^m \otimes dx^l.$$

From (2.55), (2.58), (2.59) and (2.60), we get

$$(2.61) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 &\geq 2 |\nabla^\sigma \nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \\ &- C_3 (|Rm| + |\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2) |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \\ &- C_4 (|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 |(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K + |\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K |\nabla Rm|) |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K, \end{aligned}$$

where C_3 and C_4 are uniform constants depending only on $\dim(M)$ and $\operatorname{rank}(E)$.

(2.47) and (2.54) yields

$$(2.62) \quad \int_{M \times [t_0, t_0+3]} |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 dV_g dt \leq C_5$$

for all $t_0 \geq 0$, where C_3 is a uniform constant. Making use of (2.61) and the Moser's parabolic estimate, one can derive

$$(2.63) \quad \sup_{M \times [t_0+1, t_0+2]} |\nabla^\sigma \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \leq C_6.$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$(2.64) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |(\nabla^\sigma)^\alpha \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 &\geq 2 |(\nabla^\sigma)^{\alpha+1} \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 \\ &- C_5 |(\nabla^\sigma)^\alpha \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2 - C_6 |(\nabla^\sigma)^\alpha \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K, \end{aligned}$$

where C_5 and C_6 are uniform positive constants depending only on $|Rm|$, $|\nabla Rm|$, \dots , $|(\nabla)^\alpha Rm|$, and $|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K, \dots, |(\nabla)^\alpha \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K$. Using (2.7), (2.64) and repeating the above argument, we have the following uniform C^∞ -estimates,

$$(2.65) \quad \sup_{[0,\infty) \times M} (|(\nabla)^\alpha F_{(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K}|^2 + |(\nabla)^\alpha \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\},K}|_K^2) \leq \hat{C}_\alpha$$

for every $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then, applying a result of Donaldson-Kronheimer (Theorem 2.3.7 in [8]) and Hong-Tian's argument (Proposition 6 in [10]), we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and K be a Hermitian metric on E . If $\sigma(t)$ is a longtime solution of the heat flow (1.5), then for every sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a subsequence t_j such that $\sigma(t_j)\{D\} = (\sigma(t_j)\{D\})_K + \psi_{\sigma(t_j)\{D\},K}$ converges, modulo K -unitary gauge transformations, to a flat connection $D_\infty = D_{K,\infty} + \psi_\infty$ in C^∞ -topology, and $D_{K,\infty}^* \psi_\infty = 0$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The following proposition about the existence of the Jordan-Hölder filtration and the uniqueness of the graded flat complex vector bundle should be well known to experts, and we give a proof here for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (E, D) be a flat complex vector bundle. There is a filtration of sub-bundles*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_i \dots \subset E_l = E,$$

such that every sub-bundle E_i is D -invariant and every quotient bundle $(Q_i, D_{Q_i}) := (E_i/E_{i-1}, D_{Q_i})$ is flat and simple. Furthermore, the graded flat complex vector bundle $\oplus_{i=1}^l (Q_i, D_{Q_i})$ is unique in the sense of isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that (E, D) is not simple. Let E_1 be a D -invariant sub-bundle of E with minimal rank. Then we have the following exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{i_0} E \xrightarrow{P} Q \rightarrow 0,$$

and (E_1, D_{E_1}) is simple. By induction, we can assume that there is a Jordan-Hölder filtration of the flat bundle (Q, D_Q) , i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad 0 = \hat{Q}_0 \subset \hat{Q}_1 \subset \dots \subset \hat{Q}_i \dots \subset \hat{Q}_{l-1} = Q.$$

Choosing a Hermitian metric K on E , we get a bundle isomorphism $P^{*K} : Q \rightarrow E_1^\perp$, where P^{*K} is the adjoint of the projection P with respect to the metric K . Set

$$(3.4) \quad E_i = E_1 \oplus P^{*K}(\hat{Q}_{i-1})$$

for all $1 < i \leq l$. One can easily find that every E_i is D -invariant and $(E_i/E_{i-1}, D_{Q_i})$ is simple. So, we obtain a Jordan-Hölder filtration of (E, D) .

Suppose that there is another Jordan-Hölder filtration of (E, D) ,

$$(3.5) \quad 0 = \tilde{E}_0 \subset \tilde{E}_1 \subset \dots \subset \tilde{E}_i \dots \subset \tilde{E}_l = E.$$

We will show that

$$(3.6) \quad \oplus_{i=1}^l (Q_i, D_{Q_i}) \cong \oplus_{\alpha=1}^l (\tilde{Q}_\alpha, D_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha}).$$

It is straightforward to check that there exist bundle isomorphisms $f : \bigoplus_{i=1}^l Q_i \rightarrow E$ and $\tilde{f} : \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^{\tilde{l}} \tilde{Q}_\alpha \rightarrow E$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad f^*(D) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{f}^*(D) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{l}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\eta = f^{-1} \circ \tilde{f} = (\eta_{i\alpha})$, where $\eta_{i\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{Q}_j, Q_i)$. (3.7) and (3.8) mean

$$(3.9) \quad \eta \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{l}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix} \circ \eta.$$

Assume that $\eta_{11} = 0, \dots, \eta_{(k+1)1} = 0$ and $\eta_{k1} \neq 0$. We express η as a partitioned matrix

$$(3.10) \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\eta}_{11} & \check{\eta}_{12} \\ \eta_{k1} & \check{\eta}_{22} \\ 0 & \check{\eta}_{32} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\check{\eta}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_{(k-1)1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\check{\eta}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{12} & \cdots & \eta_{1\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & \eta_{(k-1)\tilde{l}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\check{\eta}_{22} = (\eta_{k2} \ \cdots \ \eta_{k\tilde{l}})$,

$\check{\eta}_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{12} & \cdots & \eta_{1\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & \eta_{(k-1)\tilde{l}} \end{pmatrix}$. Write:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{l}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1} & \check{\gamma}_{12} \\ 0 & \check{D}_{\tilde{Q}_{2\tilde{l}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{D}_{Q_{1(k-1)}} & \check{\beta}_{12} & \check{\beta}_{13} \\ 0 & D_{Q_k} & \check{\beta}_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \check{D}_{Q_{(k+1)l}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\check{\gamma}_{12} = (\gamma_{12} \ \cdots \ \gamma_{1\tilde{l}})$, $\check{D}_{\tilde{Q}_{2\tilde{l}}} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2\tilde{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{l}}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\check{D}_{Q_{1(k-1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_{1(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}$,

$\check{\beta}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{(k-1)k} \end{pmatrix}$, $\check{\beta}_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1(k+1)} & \cdots & \beta_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{(k-1)(k+1)} & \cdots & \beta_{(k-1)l} \end{pmatrix}$, $\check{\beta}_{23} = (\beta_{k(k+1)} \ \cdots \ \beta_{kl})$,

$$\check{D}_{Q_{(k+1)l}} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_{k+1}} & \cdots & \beta_{(k+1)l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9) \text{ tells us that}$$

$$(3.13) \quad \eta_{k1} \circ D_{\check{Q}_1} = D_{Q_k} \circ \eta_{k1}.$$

Since $(\check{Q}_1, D_{\check{Q}_1})$ and (Q_k, D_{Q_k}) are simple, $\eta_{k1} : (\check{Q}_1, D_{\check{Q}_1}) \rightarrow (Q_k, D_{Q_k})$ is an isomorphism. Denote

$$(3.14) \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{k-1}} & -\check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id}_{Q_k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id}_{Q_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_l} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\check{Q}_1} & -(\eta_{k1})^{-1} \circ \check{\eta}_{22} & \\ 0 & & \text{Id}_{\check{Q}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{Q}_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct calculation, we have:

$$(3.16) \quad A \circ \eta \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \check{\eta}_{12} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} \check{\eta}_{22} \\ \eta_{k1} & 0 \\ 0 & \check{\eta}_{32} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3.9) is equivalent to the following formula

$$(3.17) \quad A \circ \eta \circ B \circ B^{-1} \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_{\check{Q}_1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1\bar{l}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\check{Q}_l} \end{pmatrix} = A \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} \circ A \circ \eta \circ B,$$

and then

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\check{\eta}_{12} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} \check{\eta}_{22}) \circ \check{D}_{\check{Q}_{2\bar{l}}} \\ \eta_{k1} \circ D_{\check{Q}_1} & \check{\eta}_{22} \circ \check{D}_{\check{Q}_{2\bar{l}}} - D_{Q_k} \circ \check{\eta}_{22} + \eta_{k1} \circ \check{\gamma}_{12} \\ 0 & \check{\eta}_{32} \circ \check{D}_{\check{Q}_{2\bar{l}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{D}_{Q_{1(k-1)}} \circ \check{\eta}_{11} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ D_{\check{Q}_1} + \check{\beta}_{12} \circ \eta_{k1} & \check{D}_{Q_{1(k-1)}} \circ (\check{\eta}_{12} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} \check{\eta}_{22}) + \check{\alpha} \circ \check{\eta}_{32} \\ D_{Q_k} \circ \eta_{k1} & \check{\beta}_{23} \circ \check{\eta}_{32} \\ 0 & \check{D}_{Q_{(k+1)l}} \circ \check{\eta}_{32} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\check{\alpha} = \check{\beta}_{13} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} \check{\beta}_{22}$. Set

$$(3.19) \quad \check{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\eta}_{12} - \check{\eta}_{11} \circ (\eta_{k1})^{-1} \check{\eta}_{22} \\ \check{\eta}_{32} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (3.16) and (3.18), it is not hard to see that $\check{\eta} : \check{Q}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{Q}_l \rightarrow Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{Q}_{k-1} \oplus Q_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{Q}_l$ is a bundle isomorphism and satisfies

$$(3.20) \quad \check{\eta} \circ \check{D}_{\check{Q}_{2\bar{l}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{D}_{Q_{1(k-1)}} & \check{\alpha} \\ 0 & \check{D}_{Q_{(k+1)l}} \end{pmatrix} \circ \check{\eta}.$$

According to (3.13), (3.20) and induction, we can prove there must exist an isomorphism between $\oplus_{i=1}^l (Q_i, D_{Q_i})$ and $\oplus_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{l}} (\check{Q}_\alpha, D_{\check{Q}_\alpha})$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let (\hat{E}, \hat{D}) be a flat complex vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , \hat{K} be a Hermitian metric on \hat{E} and $i_0 : \hat{S} \hookrightarrow \hat{E}$ be a \hat{D} -invariant sub-bundle of \hat{E} . Suppose that there is a sequence of gauge transformation $\hat{\sigma}_l$ such that $\hat{D}_l := \hat{\sigma}_l(\hat{D}) \rightarrow \hat{D}_\infty$ weakly in L_1^p -topology as $l \rightarrow +\infty$. Furthermore, $\|\hat{D}_{l,K}^* \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\psi_{\hat{D}_l,K}\|_{L^\infty}$ are uniformly bounded. Then there is a subsequence of $\eta_l := \hat{\sigma}_l \circ i_0$, up to rescale, converges weakly to a nonzero map $\eta_\infty : \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{E}$ satisfying $\eta_\infty \circ D_{\hat{S}} = \hat{D}_\infty \circ \eta_\infty$ in L_2^p -topology, where $D_{\hat{S}} = \hat{D}|_{\hat{S}}$ is the induced flat connection on \hat{S} .*

Proof. With respect to the Hermitian metric K , we have the following decomposition

$$(3.21) \quad \hat{D}_l = \hat{D}_{l,K} + \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K},$$

where $\hat{D}_{l,K}$ is a K -unitary connection, $\psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$ is K -self-adjoint. Under the condition $\hat{D}_l \rightarrow \hat{D}_\infty$ weakly in L_1^p -topology, we know that

$$(3.22) \quad \hat{D}_{l,K} \rightarrow \hat{D}_{\infty,K}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} \rightarrow \psi_{\hat{D}_\infty,K}$$

weakly in L_1^p -topology as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Choose local coordinates $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$ on M , and write $g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$. Note that \hat{S} is a \hat{D} -invariant sub-bundle, and we have

$$(3.23) \quad \eta_l \circ D_{\hat{S}} = \hat{D}_l \circ \eta_l,$$

(3.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{K,l} \eta_l &= g^{ij} (\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^{K,l} (\hat{D}_{l,K} \circ \eta_l - \eta_l \circ D_{\hat{S},K})) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \\ &= \hat{D}_{l,K}^* \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} \circ \eta_l - \eta_l \circ D_{\hat{S},K}^* \psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}} - 2g^{ij} \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \eta_l \circ \psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \\ &\quad + g^{ij} \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \circ \eta_l + g^{ij} \eta_l \circ \psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \Delta |\eta_l|_K^2 \geq -\hat{C}_0 (|\hat{D}_{l,K}^* \psi_{\hat{D}_l,K}|_K + |D_{\hat{S},K}^* \psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}}|_K + |\psi_{\hat{D}_l,K}|_K + |\psi_{D_{\hat{S},K}}|_K) |\eta_l|_K^2,$$

where \hat{C}_0 is a constant depending only on the dimension of M . Set $\tilde{\eta}_l = \frac{\eta_l}{\|\eta_l\|_{L^2}}$. From (3.25) and the Moser's iteration, we see that there exists a uniform constant \hat{C}_1 such that

$$(3.26) \quad \|\tilde{\eta}_l\|_{L^\infty} \leq \hat{C}_1$$

for all l . By the above uniform C^0 -estimate (3.26), the equation (3.24) and the assumption that $\hat{D}_l \rightarrow \hat{D}_\infty$ weakly in L_1^p -topology, the elliptic theory gives us that there exists a subsequence of $\tilde{\eta}_l$ which converges weakly in L_2^p -topology to a map η_∞ such that $\eta_\infty \circ D_{\hat{S}} = \hat{D}_\infty \circ \eta_\infty$. On the other hand, the fact that $\|\tilde{\eta}_l\|_{L^2} = 1$ for all l implies that the map η_∞ is non-zero. \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let (E, D) be a rank r flat complex vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , K be a Hermitian metric on E . Suppose that there is a sequence of gauge transformation σ_j such that $D_j := \sigma_j(D) \rightarrow D_\infty$ weakly in L_1^p -topology with $D_{\infty,K}^* \psi_{D_\infty,K} = 0$. Furthermore, $\|D_{j,K}^* \psi_{D_j,K}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\psi_{D_j,K}\|_{L^\infty}$ are uniformly bounded. Then, we have:*

$$(3.27) \quad (E, D_\infty) \cong Gr^{JH}(E, D),$$

where $Gr^{JH}(E, D)$ is the graded flat complex vector bundle associated to the Jordan-Hölder filtration of (E, D) .

Proof. We prove this by induction. Let's assume that the conclusion of this theorem is true for $\text{rank}(E) < r$. If (E, D) is simple, Proposition 3.2 implies that there exists an isomorphic map between (E, D) and (E, D_∞) . Suppose (E, D) is not simple, and then we have the following Jordan-Hölder filtration of sub-bundles

$$(3.28) \quad 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_i \cdots \subset E_l = E,$$

such that every sub-bundle E_i is D -invariant and every quotient bundle $(Q_i, D_i) := (E_i/E_{i-1}, D_i)$ is flat and simple. Let $S = E_1$ and $Q = E/E_1$. We consider the following exact sequence

$$(3.29) \quad 0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{i_0} E \xrightarrow{P} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote that D_S and D_Q are the induced connections on S and Q , $H_j = K\sigma_j^* \circ \sigma_j$, $\pi_1^{H_j}$ is the orthogonal projection onto E_1 with respect to the metric H_j . Set $\pi_1^j = \sigma_j \circ \pi_1^{H_j} \circ \sigma_j^{-1}$. It is easy to see that

$$(3.30) \quad (\pi_1^j)^{*K} = \pi_1^j = (\pi_1^j)^2$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad (\text{Id}_E - \pi_1^j) \circ D_j \pi_1^j = 0.$$

According to (2.31), (2.34), (2.38), (2.21) and the conditions of the theorem, we derive

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |D_j \pi_1^j|_K^2 dV_g &= \int_M |\pi_1^j \circ D_j \pi_1^j|_K^2 dV_g = \int_M |\pi_1^{H_j} \circ D \pi_1^{H_j}|_{H_j}^2 dV_g \\ &= 2 \int_M \langle D_{S, H_j}^* \psi_{D_S, H_j} - \pi_1^{H_j} \circ D_{H_j}^* \psi_{D, H_j} \circ i_0, \text{Id}_S \rangle_{H_j} dV_g \\ &= -2 \int_M \langle \pi_1^{H_j} \circ D_{H_j}^* \psi_{D, H_j} \circ i_0, \text{Id}_S \rangle_{H_j} dV_g \\ &\leq 2 \int_M |D_{H_j}^* \psi_{D, H_j}|_{H_j} dV_g \\ &= 2 \int_M |D_j^* \psi_{D_j, K}|_K dV_g \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $|\pi_1^j|_K \equiv \text{rank}(S)$. After going to a subsequence, one can obtain $\pi_1^j \rightarrow \pi_1^\infty$ strongly in $L^p \cap L_1^2$, and

$$(3.33) \quad D_\infty \pi_1^\infty = 0.$$

We know that π_1^∞ determines a D_∞ -invariant sub-bundle E_1^∞ of (E, D_∞) with $\text{rank}(E_1^\infty) = \text{rank}(E_1)$, and

$$(3.34) \quad (E, D_\infty) \cong (E_1^\infty, D_{1, \infty}) \oplus (Q_\infty, D_{Q_\infty}),$$

where $Q_\infty = (E_1^\infty)^{\perp K}$, $D_{1, \infty}$ and D_{Q_∞} are the induced connections on E_1^∞ and Q_∞ by the connection D_∞ .

Proposition 3.2 yields that there is a subsequence of $\eta_j := \frac{\sigma_j \circ i_0}{\|\sigma_j \circ i_0\|_{L^2}}$, up to rescale, converges to a nonzero map $\eta_\infty : S \rightarrow E$ satisfying $\eta_\infty \circ D_S = D_\infty \circ \eta_\infty$. Due to $\pi_1^j \circ \sigma_j \circ i_0 = \sigma_j \circ i_0$, we have:

$$(3.35) \quad \pi_1^\infty \circ \eta_\infty = \eta_\infty.$$

The condition $D_{\infty, K}^* \psi_{D_{\infty, K}} = 0$ implies that D_{∞} is smooth, and then η_{∞} is also smooth. Because E_1 is simple, it is easy to see that η_{∞} is an isomorphic map between (E_1, D_S) and $(E_1^{\infty}, D_{1, \infty})$.

Let $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ be a local frame of E_1 , and $H_{j, \alpha\beta} = \langle \eta_j(e_{\alpha}), \eta_j(e_{\beta}) \rangle_K$. We write

$$(3.36) \quad \pi_1^j(Y) = \langle Y, \eta_j(e_{\beta}) \rangle_K H_j^{\alpha\beta} \eta_j(e_{\alpha})$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(E)$, where $(H_j^{\alpha\beta})$ is the inverse of the matrix $(H_{j, \alpha\beta})$. Since $\eta_j \rightarrow \eta_{\infty}$ weakly in L_2^p -topology, and η_{∞} is injective, we know that $\pi_1^j \rightarrow \pi_1^{\infty}$ weakly in L_2^p -topology. Here, $\pi_1^{\infty} : E \rightarrow E$ is just the projection onto E_1^{∞} with respect to the metric K .

Using Lemma 5.12 in [4], we can choose a sequence of K -unitary gauge transformations u_j such that $\pi_1^j = u_j \circ \pi_1^{\infty} \circ u_j^{-1}$ and $u_j \rightarrow \text{Id}_E$ weakly in L_2^p -topology as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It is straightforward to check that $u_j(Q_{\infty}) = u_j((E_1^{\infty})^{\perp K}) = (\pi_1^j(E))^{\perp K}$, and the K -unitary gauge transformation u_0 satisfies $u_0((E_1^{\infty})^{\perp K}) = E_1^{\perp K}$. Set

$$(3.37) \quad D_j^Q = (P^{*K})^{-1} \circ u_0 \circ (\pi_1^{\infty})^{\perp K} \circ u_j^{-1} \circ D_j \circ u_j \circ (\pi_1^{\infty})^{\perp K} \circ u_0^{-1} \circ P^{*K},$$

$$(3.38) \quad \hat{\sigma}_j = (P^{*K})^{-1} \circ u_0 \circ (\pi_1^{\infty})^{\perp K} \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \sigma_j \circ P^{*K}$$

and

$$(3.39) \quad \hat{\sigma}_j^{-1} = (P^{*K})^{-1} \circ (\pi_1^{\infty})^{\perp K} \circ \sigma_j^{-1} \circ u_j \circ u_0 \circ P^{*K}.$$

One can find that

$$(3.40) \quad D_j^Q = \hat{\sigma}_j \circ D_Q \circ \hat{\sigma}_j^{-1}$$

and

$$(3.41) \quad D_j^Q \rightarrow D_{\infty}^Q = (P^{*K})^{-1} \circ u_0 \circ D_{Q_{\infty}} \circ u_0^{-1} \circ P^{*K}$$

weakly in L_1^p -topology. From (2.36), (2.38) and (3.32), it follows that $\|\psi_{D_j^Q, K}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ and $\|(D_{j, K}^Q)^* \psi_{D_j^Q, K}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ are uniformly bounded, and $D_{Q_{\infty}, K}^* \psi_{D_{Q_{\infty}, K}} = 0$. According to the induction hypothesis, we have

$$(3.42) \quad (Q_{\infty}, D_{Q_{\infty}}) \cong (Q, D_{\infty}^Q) \cong Gr^{JH}(Q, D_Q).$$

This completes the proof of theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to Proposition 2.1 ([3]), we know that the harmonic flow (1.5) has a long time solution $\sigma(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, and there exists a sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\sigma(t_j)\{D\}$ converges weakly, modulo K -unitary gauge transformations, to a flat connection D_{∞} in L_1^p -topology, and $D_{\infty, K}^* \psi_{\infty, K} = 0$. (2.54) and (2.48) imply that $\|\psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ and $\|(\sigma(t)\{D\})_K^* \psi_{\sigma(t)\{D\}, K}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ are uniformly bounded. By Theorem 3.1, we deduce

$$(3.43) \quad (E, D_{\infty}) \cong Gr^{JH}(E, D).$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] M.F.Atiyah and R.Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, **308**(1983), no. 1505, 523-615.
- [2] S.Bando and Y.T.Siu, *Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics*, in *Geometry and Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, 39-50.
- [3] K.Corlette, *Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics*, J. Differential Geom., **28** (1988), no. 3, 361-382.
- [4] G.D.Daskalopoulos, *The topology of the space of stable bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, J. Differential Geom., **36**(1992), no. 3, 699-746.
- [5] G.D.Daskalopoulos and R.A.Wentworth, *Convergence properties of the Yang-Mills flow on Kähler surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **575**(2004), 69-99.
- [6] Y.Deng, *Generalized Okounkov bodies, hyperbolicity-related and direct image problems*, Université Grenoble Alpes (Ph.D. thesis), 2017.
- [7] S.K.Donaldson, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **55** (1987), no. 1, 127-131.
- [8] S.K.Donaldson and P.B.Kronheimer *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1990).
- [9] N.J.Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **55** (1987), no. 1, 59-126.
- [10] M.C.Hong and G.Tian, *Asymptotical behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow and singular Yang-Mills connections*, Math. Ann. **330**(2004), no. 3, 441-472.
- [11] A.Jacob, *The Yang-Mills flow and the Atiyah-Bott formula on compact Kähler manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **138**(2016), no. 2, 329-365.
- [12] J.Y.Li, C.J.Zhang and X.Zhang, *The limit of the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow on reflexive sheaves*, Adv. Math. **325** (2018), 165-214.
- [13] B.Sibley, *Asymptotics of the Yang-Mills flow for holomorphic vector bundles over Kähler manifolds: the canonical structure of the limit*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **706**(2015), 123-191.
- [14] C.Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math., **75** (1992), 5-95.

XI ZHANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026, P.R. CHINA,
Email address: mathzx@ustc.edu.cn