

THE SPLIT COMMON NULL POINT PROBLEM FOR GENERALIZED RESOLVENTS AND NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

BIJAN OROUJI¹, EBRAHIM SOORI^{2,*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the split common null point problem in two Banach spaces is considered. Then, using the generalized resolvents of maximal monotone operators and the generalized projections and an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings, a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split common null point problem in two Banach spaces in the presence of a sequence of errors will be proved.

Keywords: Split common null point problem. Maximal monotone operator. Generalized projection. Generalized resolvent. Nonexpansive mapping.

1. Introduction

Let H_1 and H_2 be two Hilbert spaces and C and Q , two nonempty, closed and convex subsets of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Let $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Then the *split feasibility problem* (SFP) [8] is: to find $z \in H_1$ such that $z \in C \cap A^{-1}Q$. There exists several generalizations of the SFP: the multiple set convex sets problem (MSSFP) [22, 9], the split common fixed point problem (SCFPP) [10, 23], and the split common null point problem (SCNPP) [7]. (SCNPP) is as follows: given set-valued mappings $M_1 : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$ and $M_2 : H_2 \rightarrow 2^{H_2}$, and a bounded linear operator $A : E \rightarrow F$, find a point $z \in H_1$ such that

$$z \in M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0),$$

* Corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10.

bijanorouji@yahoo.com(B. Orouji); sori.e@lu.ac.ir(E. Soori) .

where $M_1^{-1}0$ and $M_2^{-1}0$ are sets of null points of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Many authors have studied the split feasibility problem and the split common null point problem using nonlinear operators and fixed points; see, for example, [4, 7, 10, 11, 22, 15, 23, 38]. However, we have not found many results outside of the framework Hilbert spaces. Note that the first extension of SFP to Banach spaces is appeared in [28], then this scheme was later extended to MSSFP in [37]. A very recent generalization for the SFP is appeared in [29]. The split common null point problem in Banach spaces is also solved by Takahashi [34, 35, 36].

In this paper, the split common null point problem with generalized resolvents of maximal monotone operators in two Banach spaces is considered. Then using the generalized resolvents of maximal monotone operators and the generalized projections, a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the split null point problem in two Banach spaces in the presence of a sequence of errors is proved.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and E^* , the dual space of E . When $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E , the strong convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to $x \in E$ is denoted by $x_n \rightarrow x$ and the weak convergence to $x \in E$ is denoted by $x_n \rightharpoonup x$. A Banach space E is strictly convex if $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$, whenever $x, y \in S(E)$, $x \neq y$ and $S(E)$ is the unite sphere centered at the origin of E . E is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta_E(\epsilon) = 0$ and $\delta_E(\epsilon) > 0$ for all $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ where $\delta_E(\epsilon)$ is the modulus of convexity of E and is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \delta_E(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} : \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

A uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive. It is also well known that a uniformly convex Banach space has Kadec Klee property, that is, $x_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$ imply $x_n \rightarrow u$, see [14, 26]. Furthermore, E is called p -uniformly convex if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\delta_E \geq c\epsilon^p$ for all $\epsilon \in [0, 2]$, where p is a fixed real number with $p \geq 2$. For example, the L_p space is 2-uniformly convex for $1 < p \leq 2$ and p -uniformly convex for $p \geq 2$, see [30]. Let $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. The norm of E is said to be

Gateaux differentiable if for each $x, y \in U$, the limit

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists. In this case, E is called smooth. The modulus of smoothness of E is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + y\| + \|x - y\|}{2} - 1 : x \in U, \|y\| \leq t \right\}.$$

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_E(t)}{t} = 0$, then E is called uniformly smooth. Let $q > 1$. If there exists a fixed constant $c > 0$ such that $\rho_E(t) \leq ct^q$, then E is said to be q -uniformly smooth, see [19]. It is well known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex.

The mapping J_E^p from E to 2^{E^*} is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad J_E^p(x) = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|\|x^*\|, \|x^*\| = \|x\|^{p-1}\}, \quad \forall x \in E$$

If $p = 2$ then $J_E^2 = J_E$ is the normalized duality mapping on E . Note that E is smooth if and only if J_E is a single-valued mapping of E into E^* . We also know that E is reflexive if and only if J_E is surjective, and E is strictly convex if and only if J_E is one-to-one. Hence, if E is smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then J_E is a single-valued, bijection and in this case, the inverse mapping J_E^{-1} coincides with the duality mapping $J_{E^*} : E^* \rightarrow 2^E$, that means $J_E^{-1} = J_{E^*}$. If E is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth, then is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets of E and $J_E^{-1} = J_{E^*}$ is also uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets of E^* . It is known that E is p -uniformly convex if and only if its dual E^* is q -uniformly smooth where $1 < q \leq 2 \leq p < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For more details about the mapping J_E^p refer to [1, 21, 30, 14, 16, 26, 32, 33].

Lemma 2.1. [12] *Let $x, y \in E$ if E is q -uniformly smooth, then there is a $c_q > 0$ so that*

$$\|x - y\|^q \leq \|x\|^q - q\langle y, J_E^q(x) \rangle + c_q\|y\|^q.$$

Suppose that E is a smooth Banach space and J is the duality mapping on E . Define a function $\phi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(2.5) \quad \phi_E(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Observe that, in a Hilbert space H , $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$ for all $x, y \in H$. Furthermore, we know that for each $x, y, z, \omega \in E$,

$$(2.6) \quad (\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \phi\left(t, J^{-1}(\lambda Jx + (1 - \lambda)Jy)\right) \leq \lambda\phi(t, x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(t, y);$$

$$(2.8) \quad 2\langle x - y, Jz - J\omega \rangle = \phi(x, \omega) + \phi(y, z) - \phi(x, z) - \phi(y, \omega).$$

if E is additionally assumed to be a strictly convex Banach space, then

$$\phi(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y.$$

The following lemma is due to Kamimura and Takahashi [20].

Lemma 2.2. [20] *Let E be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let $\{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ be two sequences of E , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(y_n, z_n) = 0$ and either $\{y_n\}$ or $\{z_n\}$ is bounded, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - z_n) = 0$.*

Suppose that C is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space E . Then for any $x \in E$, there exists a unique element $z \in C$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \phi(z, x) = \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

The mapping $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$ defined by $z = \Pi_C x$ is called the generalized projection of E onto C . For example, see [2, 3, 20].

Lemma 2.3. [18] *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E and let $x \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then, the following condition hold:*

- (1) $\phi(z, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \leq \phi(z, x) \quad \forall x \in E, y \in C;$
- (2) $z = \Pi_C x \iff \langle y - z, Jx - Jz \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in C.$

Suppose that M is a mapping of E into 2^{E^*} for the Banach space E . The effective domain of M is denoted by $\text{dom}(M)$, that is, $\text{dom}(M) = \{x \in E : Mx \neq \emptyset\}$. A multi-valued mapping M on E is said to be monotone if $\langle x - y, u^* - v^* \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in \text{dom}(M)$, $u^* \in Mx$ and $v^* \in My$. A monotone operator M on E is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on E .

Theorem 2.4. [6, 27] *Let E be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let J be the duality mapping of E into E^* . Let M be a monotone operator of E into 2^{E^*} . Then M is maximal if and only if for any $r > 0$,*

$$R(J + rM) = E^*,$$

where $R(J + rM)$ is the range of $J + rM$.

Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space with a Gateaux differentiable norm and let M be a maximal monotone operator of E into 2^{E^*} . For all $x \in E$ and $r > 0$, we consider the following equation

$$Jx \in Jx_r + rMx_r$$

This equation has a unique solution x_r . In fact, it is obvious from Theorem 3.1 that there exists a solution x_r of $Jx \in Jx_r + rMx_r$. Assume that $Jx \in Ju + rMu$ and $Jx \in Jv + rMv$. Then there exist $\omega_1 \in Mu$ and $\omega_2 \in Mv$ such that $Jx = Ju + r\omega_1$ and $Jx = Jv + r\omega_2$. So, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle u - v, Jx - Jx \rangle \\ &= \langle u - v, Ju + r\omega_1 - (Jv + r\omega_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle u - v, Ju - Jv + r\omega_1 - r\omega_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle u - v, Ju - Jv \rangle + \langle u - v, r\omega_1 - r\omega_2 \rangle \\ &= \phi(u, v) + \phi(v, u) + r\langle u - v, \omega_1 - \omega_2 \rangle \\ &\geq \phi(u, v) + \phi(v, u) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $0 = \phi(u, v) = \phi(v, u)$. Since E is strictly convex, we have $u = v$. We defined J_r^M by $x_r = J_r^M x$, such that $J_r^M, r > 0$ are called the generalized resolvents of M . The set of null points of M is defined by $M^{-1}0 = \{z \in E : 0 \in Mz\}$. We know that $M^{-1}0$ is closed and convex; see[26]. Furthermore

$$(2.10) \quad \langle J_r^M x - y, J(x - J_r^M x) \rangle \geq 0,$$

hold for all $x \in E$ and $y \in M^{-1}0$; see [15].

Lemma 2.5. [13] *Let E be a real reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, $M : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone with $M^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, then for any $x \in E, y \in M^{-1}0$ and $r > 0$, we have*

$$\phi(y, J_r^M x) + \phi(J_r^M x, x) \leq \phi(y, x).$$

where $J_r^M : E \rightarrow E$ is defined by $J_r^M := (J + rM)^{-1}J$.

Definition 2.6. [24] M is called upper semicontinuous if for any closed subset C of E^* , $M^{-1}(C)$ is closed.

Theorem 2.7. [24] Let $M : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator with $\text{dom}(M) = E$. Then, M is upper semicontinuous.

A Banach space E is said to satisfy the Opial condition, if whenever a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E converges weakly to $x_0 \in E$, then

$$(2.11) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|, \quad \forall x \in E, x \neq x_0$$

Definition 2.8. Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space, $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself and $\{\lambda_i\}$ a real sequence such that $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Following [31], for any $n \geq 1$, we define a mapping W_n of C into itself as follows,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{n,n+1} &:= I, \\ U_{n,n} &:= \lambda_n T_n U_{n,n+1} + (1 - \lambda_n)I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,k} &:= \lambda_k T_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \lambda_k)I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,2} &:= \lambda_2 T_2 U_{n,3} + (1 - \lambda_2)I, \\ W_n := U_{n,1} &:= \lambda_1 T_1 U_{n,2} + (1 - \lambda_1)I, \end{aligned}$$

The following results hold for the mappings W_n .

Theorem 2.9. [31] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space. Let $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a real sequence such that $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq b < 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_n be the W -mapping of C into itself generated by T_n, T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1 and $\lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_1$. Then

- (1) W_n is asymptotically regular and nonexpansive and $\text{Fix}(W_n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Fix}(T_i)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) for every $x \in C$ and for each positive integer j , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,j}x$ exists.
- (3) The mapping $W : C \rightarrow C$ defined by $Wx := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,1}x$, for every $x \in C$, is a nonexpansive mapping

satisfying $\text{Fix}(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$ and it is called the W -mapping generated by $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 2.10. [25] *Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a real sequence such that $0 < \lambda_i \leq b < 1$, ($i \geq 1$). If D is any bounded subset of C , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in D} \|Wx - W_n x\| = 0$.*

Lemma 2.11. [5] *Let E be a strictly convex Banach space and $C \subseteq E$ be a nonempty and convex subset of E . let $T : C \rightarrow E$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Then $\text{Fix}(T)$ is convex.*

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let E and F be two 2-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach spaces that satisfy the Opial condition. Let J_E and J_F be the duality mappings on E and F , respectively. Suppose that C is nonempty, closed and convex subset of E . Let $A : E \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $A \neq 0$ with the adjoint operator A^* . Let M_1 be a maximal monotone operator of E into 2^{E^*} such that $M_1^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$ and M_2 be a maximal monotone operator of F into 2^{F^*} such that $M_2^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $S : C \rightarrow E$ is a nonexpansive mapping and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : C \rightarrow C$, a family of nonexpansive mappings. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_n be a W -mapping generated by Definition 2.8. Let $J_{\lambda}^{M_1}$ and $Q_{\mu}^{M_2}$ be the generalized resolvents of M_1 and M_2 for $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$, respectively. Suppose that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C$. Let $x_1 \in C$ and let $\{x_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be the sequences generated by*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_n = J_E^{-1} \left((1 - \alpha_n) J_E x_n + \alpha_n J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1} (\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E S x_n) \right); \\ z_n = J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1} (u_n + e_n); \quad \omega_n = Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} (A z_n); \\ y_n = \Pi_C J_E^{-1} \left(J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F (A z_n - \omega_n) \right); \\ C_n = \{z \in C, \langle \omega_n - A z, J_F (A z_n - \omega_n) \rangle \geq 0\}; \\ D_n = \{z \in E, \phi_E(z, z_n) \leq \phi_E(z, u_n + e_n)\}; \\ Q_n = \{z \in E, \langle x_n - z, J_E x_1 - J_E x_n \rangle \geq 0\}; \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n \cap Q_n \cap D_n} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

where $J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1} = (J_E + \lambda_n M_1)^{-1} J_E$ and $Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} = (J_F + \mu_n M_2)^{-1} J_F$ such that $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy in $0 < a \leq \lambda_n, \mu_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

\mathbb{N} and $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{c\|A\|^2}$ with $c > 0$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\sigma_n\}$ be real sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfied in the conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|J_E x_n - J_E u_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 1$.

Consider the error sequence $\{e_n\} \subseteq E$ such that

- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e_n\| = 0$.

Let $\Omega = M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i))$. Suppose that one of the following two conditions holds:

- (v) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded,
- or
- (vi) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$.

Then

- (a) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded,
- (b) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ where $\omega_0 = \Pi_{\Omega} x_1$.

Proof. (a) Let $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. First, it will be checked that $C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n$ is closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $z \in D_n$, it is realized that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_E(z, z_n) &\leq \phi_E(z, u_n + e_n) \\ \Leftrightarrow \|z\|^2 + \|z_n\|^2 - 2\langle z, J_E z_n \rangle &\leq \|z\|^2 + \|u_n + e_n\|^2 - 2\langle z, J_E(u_n + e_n) \rangle \\ (3.2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \|u_n + e_n\|^2 - \|z_n\|^2 + 2\langle z, J_E z_n \rangle - 2\langle z, J_E(u_n + e_n) \rangle &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because E is a real Banach space, the inner product of E is linear in both components and jointly continuous. Therefore, it is easily observed from (3.2) that D_n is closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, since A is a bounded linear operator, it is obvious that C_n is closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, it is evident that Q_n is closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n$ is closed and convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, it will be shown that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, since $Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2}$ be the resolvent of M_2 , we have from (2.10) for all $z \in A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$ that

$$\langle Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} A z_n - A z, J_F(A z_n - Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} A z_n) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, it will be demonstrated that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq D_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, since M_1 is maximal monotone, hence from Lemma 2.5, it is implied for all $z \in M_1^{-1}0$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ that

$$\phi_E(z, z_n) = \phi_E(z, J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}(u_n + e_n)) \leq \phi_E(z, u_n + e_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence, $M_1^{-1}0 \subseteq D_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq D_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, it will be shown by induction that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq Q_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\langle x_1 - z, J_E x_1 - J_E x_1 \rangle \geq 0$ for all $z \in E$, it is obvious that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq Q_1 = E$. Suppose that $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq Q_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_k \cap D_k \cap Q_k$. From the fact that $x_{k+1} = \Pi_{C_k \cap D_k \cap Q_k} x_1$, it is implied from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\langle x_{k+1} - z, J_E x_1 - J_E x_{k+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C_k \cap D_k \cap Q_k.$$

Since $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_k \cap D_k \cap Q_k$, it is concluded that

$$\langle x_{k+1} - z, J_E x_1 - J_E x_{k+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0).$$

So $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq Q_{k+1}$. Hence by induction, $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq Q_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence $C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is well defined.

By Theorem 2.7 and Definition 2.6, the set $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$ is closed. Next, we show that $M_1^{-1}0$ and $A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$ are convex subsets of E . Indeed, it is observed that for all $x_1, x_2 \in M_1^{-1}0$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 - v, tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 - u \rangle &= t\langle 0 - v, x_1 - u \rangle + (1-t)\langle 0 - v, x_2 - u \rangle \\ &\geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(M_1), v \in M_1 u, \end{aligned}$$

hence, by the maximal monotonicity of M_1 , it is implied that $tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 \in M_1^{-1}0$. Also, for all $x_1, x_2 \in A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 - v, tAx_1 + (1-t)Ax_2 - u \rangle &= t\langle 0 - v, Ax_1 - u \rangle + (1-t)\langle 0 - v, Ax_2 - u \rangle \\ &\geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(M_2), v \in M_2 u, \end{aligned}$$

and since A is linear then by the maximal monotonicity of M_2 , it is implied that $tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 \in A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$. Thus, $M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$

is a convex subset of E and therefore, by Lemma 2.11, Ω is closed and convex.

Since Ω is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E , there exists $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ such that $\omega_0 = \Pi_\Omega x_1$. Since $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n} x_1$, it is concluded that

$$\phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1) \leq \phi_E(y, x_1), \quad \forall y \in C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n,$$

and since $\omega_0 \in \Omega \subseteq M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n$, it is observed that

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1) \leq \phi_E(\omega_0, x_1).$$

This means that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Conversely, suppose $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. First, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= \phi_E(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_{n-1} \cap D_{n-1} \cap Q_{n-1}} x_1) \\ &\leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1) - \phi_E(\Pi_{C_{n-1} \cap D_{n-1} \cap Q_{n-1}} x_1, x_1) \\ (3.4) \quad &= \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1) - \phi_E(x_n, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\phi_E(x_n, x_1) + \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1)$, so

$$(3.5) \quad \phi_E(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_1),$$

therefore, it is concluded from (2.6), (3.5) that $\{\phi_E(x_n, x_1)\}$ is bounded and nondecreasing. Then, there exists the limit of $\{\phi_E(x_n, x_1)\}$. Using (3.4), it is realized that

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0.$$

From Lemma 2.2, it is implied that

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$

Next, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0$. From the inequality (2.7), it is implied that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n) &= \phi_E(x_{n+1}, J_E^{-1}((1 - \alpha_n)J_E x_n \\ &\quad + \alpha_n J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n)J_E S x_n))) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \phi_E(x_{n+1}, \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n)J_E S x_n)) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \phi_E(x_{n+1}, J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n)J_E S x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\phi_E(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n\sigma_n\phi_E(x_{n+1}, W_nx_n) + \alpha_n(1 - \sigma_n)\phi_E(x_{n+1}, Sx_n), \end{aligned}$$

and since from (2.6), $\{\phi_E(x_{n+1}, W_nx_n)\}$ and $\{\phi_E(x_{n+1}, Sx_n)\}$ are bounded. hence, using (i) and (3.6), it is concluded that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, it is realized from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - u_n\| = 0.$$

Hence, it is followed from (3.7) and (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.$$

Furthermore, since E is uniformly smooth and J_E is uniformly continuous, it is implied from (3.10) that

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E x_n - J_E u_n\| = 0.$$

Also, since $x_{n+1} \in D_n$ then

$$(3.12) \quad \phi_E(x_{n+1}, z_n) \leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n + e_n)$$

Notice that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n + e_n) - \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n) &= \|u_n + e_n\| - \|u_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\langle x_{n+1}, J_E u_n - J_E(u_n + e_n) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since J_E is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of E and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e_n\| = 0$, we know from (3.8) and (3.12) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, u_n + e_n) = 0$, which implies that

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, z_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, it is implied from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - z_n\| = 0.$$

Hence, it is followed from (3.7) and (3.15) that

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0.$$

From (3.10) and (3.16) it is implied that

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0.$$

Let $z \in C_n \cap D_n \cap Q_n$. Using Lemma 2.1, it is concluded that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_E(z, y_n) &= \phi_E(z, \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n))) \\
&\leq \phi_E(z, J_E^{-1}(J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n))) \\
&= \|z\|^2 + \|J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n)\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\langle z, J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&= \|z\|^2 + \|J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n)\|^2 - 2\langle z, J_E z_n \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\gamma \langle z, A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&\leq \|z\|^2 + \|J_E z_n\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle Az_n, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&\quad + c\gamma^2 \|A\|^2 \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 - 2\langle z, J_E z_n \rangle + 2\gamma \langle Az, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&= \phi_E(z, z_n) - 2\gamma \langle Az_n - Az, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&\quad + c\gamma^2 \|A\|^2 \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 \\
&= \phi_E(z, z_n) - 2\gamma \langle Az_n - \omega_n, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\gamma \langle \omega_n - Az, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle + c\gamma^2 \|A\|^2 \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 \\
&= \phi_E(z, z_n) - 2\gamma \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle \omega_n - Az, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \\
&\quad + c\gamma^2 \|A\|^2 \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 \\
(3.18) \quad &\leq \phi_E(z, z_n) - \gamma(2 - c\gamma \|A\|^2) \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

hence, it is implied from the condition $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{c\|A\|^2}$ that

$$(3.19) \quad \phi_E(z, y_n) \leq \phi_E(z, z_n).$$

Also, using (3.19) and (3.14), it is concluded that

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n+1}, y_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, it is followed from (3.7), (3.20) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0.$$

Next, it is evaluated that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n - \omega_n\| = 0$. Indeed, putting $z = x_{n+1}$, from (3.18), it is observed that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad &\gamma(2 - c\gamma \|A\|^2) \|Az_n - \omega_n\|^2 \leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, z_n) - \phi_E(x_{n+1}, y_n) \leq \phi_E(x_{n+1}, z_n),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{c\|A\|^2}$, it is concluded from (3.14) that

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n - \omega_n\| = 0.$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, from (3.10), (3.16), (3.21) and (3.23), the sequences $\{u_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{\omega_n\}$ are bounded.

From the condition (iii) and the fact that $\{\|J_E Sx_n - J_E W_n x_n\|\}$ is bounded, it is observed that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) - J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n - (1 - \sigma_n) J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \sigma_n) \|J_E Sx_n - J_E W_n x_n\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence, from the continuity of $J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}$, it is understood that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) - J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(J_E W_n x_n)\| \\ (3.24) \quad &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) - J_E(W_n x_n)\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n) J_E x_n \\ &\quad + \alpha_n J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) \\ &\quad - J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n) J_E x_n - (1 - \alpha_n) J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) \\ &\quad - J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_E x_n - J_E u_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) \\ &\quad - J_E W_n x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_E x_n - J_E u_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E Sx_n) \\ &\quad - J_E W_n x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{\|J_E x_n - J_E u_n\|}{\alpha_n} + \|(J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n \\ &\quad + (1 - \sigma_n) J_E S x_n) - J_E W_n x_n)\| \end{aligned}$$

now, using (3.24) and the condition (ii), it is concluded that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E u_n - J_E W_n x_n\| = 0,$$

hence, because E^* is uniformly smooth, it is induced that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - W_n x_n\| = 0,$$

therefore, it is deduced from (3.10) that

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - W_n x_n\| = 0.$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ which converges weakly to a point $x^* \in E$. First, we show that $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$. To see that, by Theorems 2.9 and 2.10, the mapping $W : C \rightarrow C$ satisfies

$$(3.26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W_n x^* - W x^*\| = 0.$$

Moreover, from Theorem 2.9, it is followed that $\text{Fix}(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$. Assume that $x^* \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$ then $x^* \neq W x^*$ and using (3.25), (3.26) and Opial's property of Banach space, it is concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x^*\| &< \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - W x^*\| \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_{n_k} - W_{n_k} x_{n_k}\| + \|W_{n_k} x_{n_k} - W_{n_k} x^*\| \\ &\quad + \|W_{n_k} x^* - W x^*\|) \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)$.

Next, it will be checked that $x^* \in M_1^{-1}0$. From (3.10) and the fact that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to x^* , there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ converging weakly to x^* and therefore from (3.17), it is induced that $\{J_{\lambda_{n_k}}^{M_1}(u_{n_k} + e_{n_k})\}$ converges weakly to x^* . Also, from (iv) and (3.17), it is implied that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u_n + e_n) - J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}(u_n + e_n)\| = 0,$$

hence, since E is uniformly smooth, it is understood that

$$(3.27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_E(u_n + e_n) - J_E J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}(u_n + e_n)\| = 0.$$

Since $J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}$ is the generalized resolvent of M_1 , it is observed that

$$\frac{J_E(u_n + e_n) - J_E J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}(u_n + e_n)}{\lambda_n} \in M_1 J_{\lambda_n}^{M_1}(u_n + e_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the monotonicity of M_1 , it is deduced that

$$(3.28) \quad \left\langle r - J_{\lambda_{n_k}}^{M_1}(u_{n_k} + e_{n_k}), t^* - \frac{J_E(u_{n_k} + e_{n_k}) - J_E J_{\lambda_{n_k}}^{M_1}(u_{n_k} + e_{n_k})}{\lambda_{n_k}} \right\rangle \geq 0,$$

for all $(r, t^*) \in M_1$. From (3.27) and the condition $0 < a \leq \lambda_{n_k}$, it is followed that $\langle r - x^*, t^* - 0 \rangle \geq 0$, for all $(r, t^*) \in M_1$. Since M_1 is maximal monotone, we have $x^* \in M_1^{-1}0$.

Next, we show that $x^* \in A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$. From (3.16) and the fact that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to x^* , there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ converging weakly to x^* and since A is bounded and linear, we also have that $\{Az_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to Ax^* . Therefore, from (3.23), we have $\{Q_{\mu_{n_k}}^{M_2} Az_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to Ax^* . Also, since F is uniformly smooth, it is induced from (3.23) that

$$(3.29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_F Az_n - J_F \omega_n\| = 0.$$

Since $Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2}$ is the generalized resolvent of M_2 , it is understood that

$$\frac{J_F Az_n - J_F Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} Az_n}{\mu_n} \in M_2 Q_{\mu_n}^{M_2} Az_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the monotonicity of M_2 , it follows that

$$(3.30) \quad \left\langle b - Q_{\mu_{n_k}}^{M_2} Az_{n_k}, f^* - \frac{J_F Az_{n_k} - J_F Q_{\mu_{n_k}}^{M_2} Az_{n_k}}{\mu_{n_k}} \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (b, f^*) \in M_2.$$

From (3.29) and the condition $0 < a \leq \mu_{n_k}$, it is concluded that $\langle b - Ax^*, f^* - 0 \rangle \geq 0$, for all $(b, f^*) \in M_2$. Since M_2 is maximal monotone, it is implied that $x^* \in A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0)$.

Therefore, $x^* \in \Omega = M_1^{-1}0 \cap A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i))$ hence, $\Omega \neq \emptyset$.

(b) Now, let \bar{x} be an arbitrary element of $\omega_{\omega}(x_n)$ (the set of all weak limit point of the sequence $\{x_n\}$). Then there exists another subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to \bar{x} . Clearly,

repeating the same argument, it is implied that $\bar{x} \in \Omega$. It is claimed that $\bar{x} = x^*$. Indeed, suppose that $\bar{x} \neq x^*$. Obviously, from (3.7), the sequences $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy and hence the sequences $\{\|x_n - \bar{x}\|\}$ and $\{\|x_n - x^*\|\}$ are convergent. Again, using Opial's property, it is concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \bar{x}\| &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - \bar{x}\| < \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - x^*\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - x^*\| < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - \bar{x}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \bar{x}\|, \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction and thus $\bar{x} = x^*$. Therefore, $\omega_\omega(x_n)$ is singleton. Thus $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $x^* \in \Omega$. Since norm is weakly lower semicontinuous, it is implied from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_E(\omega_0, x_1) &= \phi_E(\Pi_\Omega x_1, x_1) \leq \phi_E(x^*, x_1) \\ &= \|x^*\|^2 - 2\langle x^*, J_E x_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_{n_k}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_k}, J_E x_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n_k}, x_1) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n_k}, x_1) \leq \phi_E(\omega_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

hence, from the definition of $\Pi_\Omega x_1$, it is understood that $\omega_0 = x^*$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_E(x_{n_k}, x_1) = \phi_E(x^*, x_1) = \phi_E(\omega_0, x_1).$$

So, it is deduced that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k}\| = \|\omega_0\|$. From the Kadec-Klee property of E , it is concluded that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = \omega_0$. Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \omega_0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x^* where $x^* = \Pi_\Omega x_1$. \square

4. Applications and numerical example

In this section, using Theorem 3.1, a new strong convergence theorem in Banach spaces will be demonstrated. Let E be a Banach space and f be a proper, lower semicontinuous and convex function of E into $(-\infty, \infty]$.

Recall the definition of the subdifferential ∂f of f as follows:

$$\partial f(x) = \{z^* \in E^* : f(x) + \langle y - x, z^* \rangle \leq f(y), \forall y \in E\}$$

for all $x \in E$. It is known that ∂f is a maximal monotone operator by Rocfellar [21]. Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset

of E and i_C be the indicator function of C , i.e.,

$$i_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in C, \\ \infty & x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then i_C is a proper, lower semicontinuous and convex function on E and hence, the subdifferential ∂i_C of i_C is a maximal monotone operator. Therefore, the generalized resolvent j_λ of ∂i_C for $\lambda > 0$ is defined as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad J_\lambda x = (J + \lambda \partial i_C)^{-1} Jx, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

For any $x \in E$ and $u \in C$, the following relations are hold:

$$\begin{aligned} u = J_\lambda x &\iff Jx \in Ju + \lambda \partial i_C u \\ &\iff \frac{1}{\lambda}(Jx - Ju) \in \partial i_C u \\ &\iff i_C y \geq \langle y - u, \frac{1}{\lambda}(Jx - Ju) \rangle + i_C u, \quad \forall y \in E \\ &\iff 0 \geq \langle y - u, \frac{1}{\lambda}(Jx - Ju) \rangle, \quad \forall y \in C \\ &\iff \langle y - u, Jx - Ju \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\ &\iff u = \Pi_C x. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using Theorem (3.1), a strong convergence theorem for finding minimizers of convex functions in two Banach spaces is demonstrated.

Theorem 4.1. *Let E and F be two 2-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach spaces that satisfies the Opial condition and let J_E and J_F be the duality mappings on E and F , respectively. Let C and Q be nonempty, closed and convex subsets of E and F respectively. Let $A : E \longrightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator such that $A \neq 0$ and with the adjoint operator A^* . Suppose that $S : C \longrightarrow E$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : C \longrightarrow C$ a family of nonexpansive mappings. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_n be a W -mapping generated by Definition 2.8. Let $x_1 \in C$ and let*

$\{x_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be the sequences generated by

$$\begin{cases} u_n = J_E^{-1}\left((1 - \alpha_n)J_Ex_n + \alpha_n J_E \Pi_C J_E^{-1}(\sigma_n J_E W_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n)J_ESx_n)\right); \\ z_n = \Pi_C(u_n + e_n); \quad \omega_n = \Pi_Q(Az_n); \\ y_n = \Pi_C J_E^{-1}\left(J_E z_n - \gamma A^* J_F(Az_n - \omega_n)\right); \\ C_n = \{z \in C, \langle \omega_n - Az, J_F(Az_n - \omega_n) \rangle \geq 0\}; \\ D_n = \{z \in E, \phi_E(z, z_n) \leq \phi_E(z, u_n + e_n)\}; \\ Q_n = \{z \in E, \langle x_n - z, J_Ex_1 - J_Ex_n \rangle \geq 0\}; \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n \cap Q_n \cap D_n} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{c\|A\|^2}$ with $c > 0$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\sigma_n\}$ be real sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|J_Ex_n - J_E u_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 1$;

and the error sequence $\{e_n\} \subseteq E$ such that

- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e_n\| = 0$.

Let $\Omega = C \cap A^{-1}Q \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_i)\right)$. Suppose that one of the following two conditions is hold:

- (v) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded,
- or
- (vi) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$.

Then

- (a) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded,
- (b) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ where $\omega_0 = \Pi_{\Omega} x_1$.

Next, Theorem 3.1 will be illustrated by an example:

A numerical example Let $E = F = \mathbb{R}$, the set of real numbers, with the inner product defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = xy, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and usual norm $|\cdot|$. Suppose that $C = [0, 1]$ and the mapping $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $A(x) = -2x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow C$ be the identity function for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence the mapping $W_n : C \rightarrow C$ is the identity function for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also suppose that $S : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the identity function. Let $M_1, M_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined by $M_1(x) = \{2x\}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M_2(y) = \{3y\}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $M_1^{-1}0 \cap$

$A^{-1}(M_2^{-1}0) \subseteq C$ and $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ be arbitrary real sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 1$. Let $\lambda_n = \mu_n = 0.25$, $e_n = n^{-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\gamma = 0.1$. Then the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{u_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{\omega_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ generated by (3.1) are as follows: given initial value $x_1 \in C$

$$\begin{cases} u_n = x_n \\ z_n = \frac{2}{3}(x_n + n^{-1}); & \omega_n = \frac{-16}{21}(x_n + n^{-1}); \\ y_n = \Pi_C\left(\frac{116}{210}(x_n + n^{-1})\right); \\ C_n = \left\{z \in C, z \leq \frac{16(x_n + n^{-1})}{42}\right\}; \\ D_n = \left\{z \in E, z \leq \frac{5x_n + 2n^{-1}}{6}\right\}; \\ Q_n = \{z \in E, (x_n - z)(x_1 - x_n) \geq 0\}; \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n \cap Q_n \cap D_n} x_1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Oregan, D. and Sahu, D.R. Fixed point theory for Lipschitzian-type mappings with applications, in: Topological Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, vol. 6, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [2] Alber, Y.I.: Metric and generalized projections in Banach spaces: properties and applications. In: Kartsatos, A.G. (ed.) Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, pp. 15-50 (1996).
- [3] Alber, Y.I. and Reich, S.: An iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear operator in Banach spaces. Panamer. Math. J. 4, 39-54 (1994).
- [4] Alsulami, S.M. and Takahashi, W.: The split common null point problem for maximal monotone mappings in Hilbert spaces and applications. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 15, 793-808 (2014).
- [5] Browder, F.E.: Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces. Math.Z.100, pp.201-225(1967).
- [6] Browder, F.E.: Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces. Math. Ann. 175, 89-113 (1968).
- [7] Byrne, C., Censor, Gibali, Y. A. and Reich, S.: The split common null point problem. J. Nonlinear Convex. Anal. 13, 759-775 (2012).
- [8] Censor, Y. and Elfving, T.: A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space. Numer. Algorithms 8, 221-239 (1994).
- [9] Censor, Y., Elfving, T. and Kopf, N., Bortfeld, T.: The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its application. Inverse Problems 21, 2071-2084 (2005).

- [10] Censor, Y. and Segal, A.: The split common fixed-point problem for directed operators. *J. Convex Anal.* 16, 587-600 (2009).
- [11] Censor, Y., Gibali, A. and Reich, R.: Algorithms for the split variational inequality problems. *Numer. Algorithms* 59, 301-323 (2012).
- [12] Charles E. C., Romanus, O. M. and Nnyaba, U. V.: An iterative algorithm for solving split equality fixed point problems for a class of nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces , *Numerical Algorithms* volume 82, pages987–1007(2019).
- [13] Chidume, C.E., Romanus, O.M. and Nnyaba, U.V. An iterative algorithm for solving split equality fixed point problems for a class of nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces. *Numer Algor* 82, 987-1007 (2019).
- [14] Cioranescu, I.: *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Non-linear Problems*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1990).
- [15] Eslamian, M., Zamani Eskandani, G. and M. Raeisi,: Split Common Null Point and Common Fixed Point Problems Between Banach Spaces and Hilbert Spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 14, 119 (2017).
- [16] Garcia-Falset, J., Muniz-Perez, O. and Reich, S.: Domains of accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Proceedings of the Royal Soc. Edinburgh* 146, 325-336 (2016).
- [17] Hojo, M. and Takahashi, W.: A strong convergence theorem by shrinking projection method for the split common null point problem in Banach spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 37, 541-553 (2016).
- [18] Jouymandi, Z. and Moradlou, F.: Extragradient Methods for Solving Equilibrium Problems, Variational Inequalities, and Fixed Point Problems, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 38:11, 1391-1409 (2017).
- [19] Jouymandi, Z. and Moradlou, F.: Extragradient methods for split feasibility problems and generalized equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, doi: 10.1002/mma.4647, 2017.
- [20] Kamimura, S. and Takahashi, W.: Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space. *SIAM. J. Optim.* 13, 938-945 (2002).
- [21] Kamimura S and Takahashi W.: Strong convergence of proximal-type algorithm in Banach space. *SIAM J Optim.* 13, 938-945 (2003).
- [22] Masad, E. and Reich, S.: A note on the multiple-set split convex feasibility problem in Hilbert space. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 8, 367-371 (2007).
- [23] Moudafi, A.: The split common fixed point problem for demicontractive mappings. *Inverse Problems* 26, 6, 055007, (2010).
- [24] Pathak. H. K.: *An Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis and Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, Singapore, (2018).
- [25] Piri, H.: Strong convergence for a minimization problem on solutions of sys- tems of equilibrium problems and common fixed points of an infinite family and semigroup of nonexpansive mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61, no. 9, 2562-2577 (2011).
- [26] Reich, S.: Book Review: *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26, 367-370 (1992).

- [27] Rockafellar, R.T.: On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 149, 75-88 (1970).
 - [28] Schopfer, F., Schuster, T., Louis, A.K.: An iterative regularization method for the solution of the split feasibility problem in Banach spaces. *Inverse Problems* 24, 20, 055008 (2008).
 - [29] Shehu, Y., Iyiola, O.S. and Enyi, C.D.: An iterative algorithm for solving split feasibility problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces. *Inverse Problems* 72, 835-864 (2016).
 - [30] Shehu Y and Iyiola O.S: A cyclic iterative method for solving multiple sets split feasibility problems in Banach spaces. *Quaestiones Math.* ; 39(7): 959-975 (2016).
 - [31] Shimoji K. and Takahashi, W.: Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, *Taiwanese J. Math* 5, no. 2, 387-404 (2001).
 - [32] Takahashi, W.: *Convex Analysis and Approximation of Fixed Points*, (Japanese). Yokohama Publish-ers, Yokohama (2000).
 - [33] Takahashi, W.: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama (2000).
 - [34] Takahashi, W.: The split common null point problem for generalized resolvents in two banach spaces, *Numerical Algorithms* volume 75, 1065-1078 (2017).
 - [35] Takahashi, S. and Takahashi, W.: The split common null point problem and the shrinking projection method in Banach spaces, *Optimization*, 65:2, 281-287 (2016).
 - [36] Takahashi, W.: The split common null point problem in two Banach spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16, 2343-2350 (2015).
 - [37] Wang, F.: A new algorithm for solving the multiple-sets split feasibility problem in Banach spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 35, 99-110 (2014).
 - [38] Xu, H.K.: A variable Krasnosel'skii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem. *Inverse Problems* 22, 2021-2034 (2006).
 - [39] Xu, H.-K.: Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16(2), 1127-1138 (1991).
-