arXiv:2101.07835v4 [math.OC] 5 Nov 2021

An improvement of a saddle point theorem and some of its applications

BIAGIO RICCERI

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Wataru Takahashi

Abstract. In this paper, we establish an improved version of a saddle point theorem ([4]) removing a weak lower semicontinuity assumption at all. We then revisit some of the applications of that theorem in the light of such an improvement. For instance, we obtain the following very general result of local nature: Let $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a real Hilbert space and $\Phi : B_\rho \to H$ a $C^{1,1}$ function, with $\Phi(0) \neq 0$. Then, for each $r > 0$ small enough, there exist only two points points $x^*, u^* \in S_r$, such that

$$\max\{\langle\Phi(x^*), x^* - x\rangle, \langle\Phi(x), x^* - x\rangle\} < 0$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$,

$$\|\Phi(u^*) - u^*\| = \mathrm{dist}(\Phi(u^*), B_r)$$

and

$$\|\Phi(x) - u^*\| < \|\Phi(x) - x\|$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{u^*\}$, where

$$B_r = \{x \in H : \|x\| \leq r\}$$

and

$$S_r = \{x \in H : \|x\| = r\} .$$

Keywords. Saddle point; Hilbert space; ball; $C^{1,1}$ function; variational inequality; best approximation point.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A50, 41A52, 47J20, 49J35, 49J40.

In the sequel, $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is a real Hilbert space. For each $r > 0$, set

$$B_r = \{x \in H : \|x\| \leq r\}$$

and

$$S_r = \{x \in H : \|x\| = r\} .$$

The aim of this paper is to establish the following result jointly with two meaningful applications of it:

THEOREM 1. - *Let Y be a non-empty closed convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space, let $\rho > 0$ and let $J : B_\rho \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:*
(a_1) *for each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is C^1 and $J'_x(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant L (independent of y) ;*
(a_2) *$J(x, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous and concave for all $x \in B_\rho$ and $J(x_0, \cdot)$ is sup-compact for some $x_0 \in B_\rho$;*
(a_3) *$\delta := \inf_{y\in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| > 0$.*

Then, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\delta}{2L}\right\}\right]$ *and for each non-empty closed convex* $T \subseteq Y$*, there exist* $x^* \in S_r$ *and* $y^* \in T$ *such that*

$$J(x^*, y) \leq J(x^*, y^*) < J(x, y^*)$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$*,* $y \in T$ *.*

PROOF. Fix $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\delta}{2L}\right\}\right]$ and a non-empty closed convex $T \subseteq Y$. Consider the function $\varphi : B_r \times T \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{L}{2}\|x\|^2 + J(x, y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in B_r \times T$. Notice that, for each $y \in T$, the function $\varphi(\cdot, y)$ is continuous and convex in B_r (see the proof of Corollary 2.3 of [3]). Consequently, if we consider B_r endowed with the relative weak topology, the function φ satisfies the assumptions of a classical minimax theorem ([1], Theorem 2) from which we infer

$$\sup_T \inf_{B_r} \varphi = \inf_{B_r} \sup_T \varphi \ . \tag{1}$$

The function $x \to \sup_{y \in T} \varphi(x, y)$ (resp. $y \to \inf_{x \in B_r} \varphi(x, y)$) is weakly lower semicontinuous (resp. sup-compact). Therefore, there exist $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in T} \varphi(x^*, y) = \inf_{x \in B_r} \sup_{y \in T} \varphi(x, y) \ ,$$

$$\inf_{x \in B_r} \varphi(x, y^*) = \sup_{y \in T} \inf_{x \in B_r} \varphi(x, y) \ .$$

So, in view of (1), we obtain

$$\varphi(x^*, y) \leq \varphi(x^*, y^*) \leq \varphi(x, y^*) \tag{2}$$

for all $x \in B_r$, $y \in T$. Notice that the equation

$$J'_x(x, y^*) + Lx = 0$$

has no solution in the interior of B_r. Indeed, let $\tilde{x} \in B_\rho$ be such that

$$J'_x(\tilde{x}, y^*) + L\tilde{x} = 0 \ .$$

Then, in view of (a_1), we have

$$\|L\tilde{x} + J'_x(0, y^*)\| \leq \|L\tilde{x}\| \ .$$

In turn, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this readily implies that

$$\|\tilde{x}\| \geq \frac{\|J'_x(0, y^*)\|}{2L} \geq \frac{\delta}{2L} \geq r \ .$$

From this remark, we infer that the set of all global minima of the function $\varphi(\cdot, y^*)$ is contained in S_r and so, being convex, it reduces to x^* (recall that X is a Hilbert space), in view of (2). Therefore, for every $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$, $y \in T$, from (2) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2 + J(x^*, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2 + J(x^*, y^*) < \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + J(x, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x^*\|^2 + J(x, y^*)$$

and so

$$J(x^*, y) \leq J(x^*, y^*) < J(x, y^*) \ .$$

The proof is complete. △

REMARK 1. - Theorem 1 was obtained in [4] ([4], Theorem 2.1) under the following additional assumption: for each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous. This was due to the fact that,

instead of applying the classical minimax theorem in [1] to φ (as we did above), we applied Theorem 1.2 of [2] to J.

We can now revisit two applications of Theorem 1. The first one concerns variational inequalities.

THEOREM 2. - *Let $\rho > 0$ and let $\Phi : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant γ. Set*

$$\theta := \sup_{x \in B_\rho} \|\Phi'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \ ,$$

$$M := 2(\theta + \rho\gamma)$$

and assume also that

$$\sigma := \inf_{y \in B_\rho} \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle \Phi(0), u\rangle - \langle \Phi'(0)(u), y\rangle| > 0 \ .$$

Then, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{2M}\right\}\right]$, there exists a unique $x^* \in S_r$ such that

$$\max\{\langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - x\rangle, \langle \Phi(x), x^* - x\rangle\} < 0$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$.

PROOF. Consider the function $J : B_\rho \times B_\rho \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$J(x, y) = \langle \Phi(x), x - y\rangle$$

for all $x, y \in B_\rho$. Of course, for each $y \in B_\rho$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is C^1 and one has

$$\langle J'_x(x, y), u\rangle = \langle \Phi'(x)(u), x - y\rangle + \langle \Phi(x), u\rangle$$

for all $x \in B_\rho, u \in H$. Fix $x, v \in B_\rho$ and $u \in S_1$. We then have

$$|\langle J'_x(x, y), u\rangle - \langle J'_x(v, y), u\rangle| = |\langle \Phi(x) - \Phi(v), u\rangle + \langle \Phi'(x)(u), x - y\rangle - \langle \Phi'(v)(u), v - y\rangle|$$

$$\leq \|\Phi(x) - \Phi(v)\| + |\Phi'(x)(u) - \Phi'(v)(u), v - y\rangle + \langle \Phi'(x)(u), x - v\rangle|$$

$$\leq \theta\|x - v\| + 2\rho\|\Phi'(x) - \Phi'(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} + \theta\|x - v\|$$

$$\leq 2(\theta + \rho\gamma)\|x - v\| \ .$$

Hence, the function $J'_x(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant M. At this point, we can apply Theorem 1 taking $Y = B_\rho$ with the weak topology. Therefore, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{2M}\right\}\right]$, there exist $x^* \in S_r$ and $y^* \in B_r$ such that

$$\langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - y\rangle \leq \langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - y^*\rangle < \langle \Phi(x), x - y^*\rangle \tag{3}$$

for all $x, y \in B_r$, with $x \neq x^*$. Notice that $\Phi(x^*) \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\Phi(x^*) = 0$, we would have

$$\|\Phi(0)\| = \|\Phi(0) - \Phi(x^*)\| \leq \theta r$$

and hence, since $\sigma \leq \|\Phi(0)\|$, it would follow that

$$r \leq \frac{\|\Phi(0)\|}{2M} < \frac{\|\Phi(0)\|}{\theta} \leq r \ .$$

From the first inequality in (3), taking $y = x^*$, we get $0 \leq \langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - y^*\rangle$. So, in view of the strict inequality, we infer that $x^* = y^*$ (since, otherwise, we could take $x = y^*$, obtaing a contradiction). Thus, (3) actually reads

$$\langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - y\rangle \leq 0 < \langle \Phi(x), x - x^*\rangle$$

for all $x, y \in B_r$, with $x \neq x^*$. In particular, we infer that x^* is the unique global minimum in B_r of the linear functional $y \to \langle \Phi(x^*), y \rangle$. Hence

$$\max\{\langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - x \rangle, \langle \Phi(x), x^* - x \rangle\} < 0$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$. Finally, to show the uniqueness of x^*, argue by contradiction, supposing that there is another $\tilde{x} \in S_r$, with $\tilde{x} \neq x^*$, such that

$$\max\{\langle \Phi(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} - x \rangle, \langle \Phi(x)), \tilde{x} - x \rangle\} < 0$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{\tilde{x}\}$. So, we would have at the same time

$$\langle \Phi(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} - x^* \rangle < 0$$

and

$$\langle \Phi(\tilde{x}), x^* - \tilde{x} \rangle < 0 \ ,$$

an absurd, and the proof is complete. △

REMARK 2. - Theorem 2 was obtained in [4] ([4], Theorem 2.2) under the following additional assumption: for each $y \in B_\rho$, the function $x \to \langle \Phi(x), x - y \rangle$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.

A remarkable corollary of Theorem 2 is as follows:

THEOREM 3. - *Let $\rho > 0$ and let $\Phi : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function with Lipschitzian derivative. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*
(i) for each $r > 0$ small enough, there exists a unique $x^* \in S_r$ such that

$$\max\{\langle \Phi(x^*), x^* - x \rangle, \langle \Phi(x), x^* - x \rangle\} < 0$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$;
(ii) $\Phi(0) \neq 0$.

PROOF. The implication *(ii)* $\to$ *(i)* is obvious. So, assume that *(i)* holds. Notice that the function $y \to \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle \Phi(0), u \rangle - \langle \Phi'(0)(u), y \rangle|$ is continuous in H and takes the value $\|\Phi(0)\| > 0$ at 0. Therefore, for a suitable $r^* \in]0, \rho]$, we have

$$\inf_{y \in B_{r^*}} \sup_{\|u\|=1} \langle \Phi(0), u \rangle - \langle \Phi'(0)(u), y \rangle| > 0 \ .$$

Now, we can apply Theorem 2 to the restriction of the function Φ to B_{r^*}, and *(i)* follows. △

Also, it is worth noticing the following further corollary of Theorem 2:

THEOREM 4. - *Let $\rho > 0$ and let $\Psi : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function whose derivative vanishes at 0 and is Lipschitzian with constant γ_1. Set*

$$\theta_1 := \sup_{x \in B_\rho} \|\Psi'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \ ,$$

$$M_1 := 2(\theta_1 + \rho\gamma_1)$$

and let $w \in H$ satisfy

$$\|w - \Psi(0)\| \geq 2M_1\rho \ . \tag{4}$$

Then, for each $r \in]0, \rho]$, there exists a unique $x^* \in S_r$ such that

$$\max\{\langle \Psi(x^*) - w, x^* - y \rangle, \langle \Psi(y) - w, x^* - y \rangle\} < 0$$

for all $y \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$.

PROOF. Set $\Phi := \Psi - w$. Apply Theorem 2 to Φ. Since $\Phi' = \Psi'$, we have $M = M_1$. Since $\Phi'(0) = 0$, we have $\sigma = \|\Phi(0)\|$ and so, in view of (4),

$$\rho \leq \frac{\sigma}{2M}$$

and the conclusion follows. △

The second application of Theorem 1 is as follows:

THEOREM 5. - *Let $Y \subseteq H$ be a closed bounded convex set, let $\rho > 0$ and let $f : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant γ. Moreover, let η be the Lipschitz constant of the function $x \to x - f(x)$, set*

$$\theta := \sup_{x \in B_\rho} \|f'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \ ,$$

$$L := 2\left(\eta + \theta + \gamma\left(\rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\|\right)\right)$$

and assume that

$$\sigma := \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle f'(0)(u), y\rangle - \langle f(0), u\rangle| > 0 \ .$$

Then, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right]$ and for each non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$, there exist $x^* \in S_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 < \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 = (\mathrm{dist}(f(x^*), T))^2 \tag{5}$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$.

PROOF. Consider the function $J : B_\rho \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$J(x, y) = \|f(x) - x\|^2 - \|f(x) - y\|^2$$

for all $x \in B_\rho$, $y \in Y$. Clearly, for each $y \in Y$, $J(\cdot, y)$ is of class C^1. Moreover, one has

$$\langle J'_x(x, y), u\rangle = 2\langle x - f(x), u\rangle - 2\langle f'(x)(u), x - y\rangle$$

for all $x \in B_\rho$, $u \in H$. Fix $x, v \in B_\rho$ and $u \in H$, with $\|u\| = 1$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\langle J'_x(x, y) - J'_x(v, y), u\rangle| = |\langle x - f(x) - v + f(v), u\rangle - \langle f'(x)(u), x - y\rangle + \langle f'(v)(u), v - y\rangle|$$

$$\leq \eta\|x - v\| + |\langle f'(x)(u), x - v\rangle + \langle f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u), v - y\rangle|$$

$$\leq \eta\|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u)\|\|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u)\|\|v - y\| \leq \left(\eta + \theta + \gamma\left(\rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\|\right)\right)\|x - v\| \ .$$

Therefore, the function $J'_x(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant L. Moreover, we clearly have

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| = 2\sigma \ .$$

So, if we fix $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right]$ and a non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$, by Theorem 1, there exist $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$\|f(x^*) - x^*\|^2 - \|f(x^*) - y\|^2 \leq \|f(x^*) - x^*\|^2 - \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 < \|f(x) - x\|^2 - \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 \tag{6}$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$, $y \in T$. Clearly, (6) is equivalent to (5), and the proof is complete. △

REMARK 2. - Theorem 5 was obtained in [3] ([3], Corollary 2.5) assuming, in addition, that f is sequentially weakly-strongly continuous.

Here is a remarkable consequence of Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. - *Let $\rho > 0$ and let $f : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant γ. Moreover, let η be the Lipschitz constant of the function $x \to x - f(x)$, set*

$$\theta := \sup_{x \in B_\rho} \|f'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \ ,$$

$$L := 2(\eta + \theta + 2\gamma\rho)$$

and assume that

$$\sigma := \inf_{y \in B_\rho} \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle f'(0)(u), y\rangle - \langle f(0), u\rangle| > 0 \ .$$

Then, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right]$, there exists a unique $x^* \in S_r$ such that

$$\|f(x^*) - x^*\| = \mathrm{dist}(f(x^*), B_r) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\|f(x) - x^*\| < \|f(x) - x\| \tag{8}$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$.

PROOF. Fix $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right]$. Applying Theorem 5 with $Y = B_\rho$ and $T = B_r$, we obtain $x^* \in S_r$ and $y^* \in B_r$ such that

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 < \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 = (\mathrm{dist}(f(x^*), B_r))^2 \tag{9}$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$. From this, we infer that $y^* = x^*$. Actually, if $y^* \neq x^*$, we could take $x = y^*$ in (9), obtaining

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\| < \mathrm{dist}(f(x^*), B_r)$$

which is absurd. Now, (7) and (8) follow directly from (9). Finally, concerning the uniqueness of x^*, assume that $x_0 \in B_r$ is such that

$$\|f(x) - x_0\| < \|f(x) - x\|$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x_0\}$. Then, if $x_0 \neq x^*$, we would have

$$\|f(x^*) - x_0\| < \|f(x^*) - x^*\|$$

which is absurd in view of (7). △

Finally, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, we get the following corollary of Theorem 6:

THEOREM 7. - *Let $\rho > 0$ and let $f : B_\rho \to H$ be a C^1 function with Lipschitzian derivative.*
Then, the following assertions are equivalent:
(*i*) *for each $r > 0$ small enough, there exists a unique $x^* \in S_r$ such that*

$$\|f(x^*) - x^*\| = \mathrm{dist}(f(x^*), B_r)$$

and

$$\|f(x) - x^*\| < \|f(x) - x\|$$

for all $x \in B_r \setminus \{x^*\}$;
(*ii*) *$f(0) \neq 0$.*

Acknowledgement. The author has been supported by the Università di Catania, PIACERI 2020-2022, Linea di intervento 2, Progetto MAFANE and by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

References

[1] K. FAN, *Minimax theorems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **39** (1953), 42-47.

[2] B. RICCERI, *On a minimax theorem: an improvement, a new proof and an overview of its applications*, Minimax Theory Appl., **2** (2017), 99-152.

[3] B. RICCERI, *Applying twice a minimax theorem*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **20** (2019), 1987-1993.

[4] B. RICCERI, *A remark on variational inequalities in small balls*, J. Nonlinear Var. Anal., **4** (2020), 21-26.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Catania
Viale A. Doria 6
95125 Catania, Italy
e-mail address: ricceri@dmi.unict.it