

EMBEDDING CALCULUS FOR SURFACES

MANUEL KRANNICH AND ALEXANDER KUPERS

ABSTRACT. We prove convergence of Goodwillie–Weiss’ embedding calculus for spaces of diffeomorphisms of surfaces and for spaces of arcs in surfaces. This exhibits the first nontrivial class of examples for which embedding calculus converges in handle codimension less than three and results in particular in a description of the mapping class group of a closed surface in terms of derived maps of modules over the framed E_2 -operad.

For smooth manifolds M and N and an embedding $e_\partial: \partial M \hookrightarrow \partial N$, we denote by $\text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$ the space of embeddings $e: M \hookrightarrow N$ that agree with e_∂ on ∂M in the smooth topology. Embedding calculus à la Goodwillie and Weiss provides a space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$ and a map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(M, N) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N), \quad (1)$$

which can be thought as an approximation to the space of embeddings through restrictions to subsets diffeomorphic to a finite collection of open discs. The space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$ arises as a homotopy limit of a tower of fibrations whose homotopy fibres have an explicit description in terms of the configuration spaces of M and N [Wei99, Wei11], so its homotopy type is sometimes easier to study than that of $\text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$. The main result in this context is due to Goodwillie, Klein, and Weiss [GW99, GK15] and says that if the difference of the dimension of the target and the handle dimension of the source is at least three, then embedding calculus *converges*: the map (1) is a weak homotopy equivalence. If this assumption is not satisfied, little is known about the quality of the approximation (1) provided by embedding calculus.

In this work, we study the map (1) for the first class of nontrivial examples in which the assumption on the handle codimension is never met: when the target N is a compact surface.

Diffeomorphisms of surfaces. Our main result shows that embedding calculus converges for codimension zero embeddings of compact surfaces.

Theorem A. *For compact surfaces Σ and Σ' , possibly with boundary and non-orientable, and an embedding $e_\partial: \partial\Sigma \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma'$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma')$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Specialising this result to $\Sigma = \Sigma'$ and $e_\partial = \text{id}_{\partial\Sigma}$ results in the following corollary.

Corollary B. *For a compact surface Σ , possibly with boundary and non-orientable, the map*

$$\text{Diff}_\partial(\Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Remark.

- (i) In dimension 2, Corollary B answers a question of Randal-Williams [Kra19, Question 2.3].
- (ii) Theorem A and Corollary B are a low-dimensional phenomenon: as part of [KK], we prove that these results fail for most high-dimensional compact manifolds M , for instance for all spin manifolds of dimension $d \geq 5$. In the language of that paper, Theorem A shows that the smooth Disc-structure space $\mathcal{S}_\partial^{\text{Disc}}(\Sigma)$ of a compact surface is contractible.

- (iii) Theorem **A** is stronger than Corollary **B**. For instance, it implies that $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') = \emptyset$ if Σ and Σ' are connected and not diffeomorphic.
- (iv) Composition induces a canonical A_∞ -structure on $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M)$ with respect to which the map $\text{Emb}_\partial(M, M) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M)$ is A_∞ . For a compact manifold M , the A_∞ -space $\text{Emb}_\partial(M, M) = \text{Diff}_\partial(M)$ is grouplike, but it is not known whether the same holds for $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M)$. Corollary **B** implies that this is the case for compact surfaces.
- (v) Through the connection between embedding calculus and modules over the framed little d -discs operad (see e.g. [BdBW13, Tur13] and the next section), Theorem **A** has as consequence that $\Omega^3 \text{Aut}^h(E_2)/\text{O}(2)$ is contractible (see Corollary 3.3).
- (vi) The analogues of Theorem **A** and Corollary **B** remain valid in dimension 0 and 1; see Remark 1.4 and Section 4.1.

Relation to the framed little d -discs operad. One of the strengths of embedding calculus stems from the fact that there are several equivalent ways to describe the homotopy type of $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$ and the map (1), some of which are related to the *framed little discs operads*.

To illustrate this in the simplified case where $M = N$ has no boundary, recall that the collection of embedding spaces $\{\text{Emb}(\sqcup^k \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)\}_{k \geq 0}$ assembles to an operad E_d^{fr} which is well-known to be weakly equivalent to the operad of framed little d -discs. Precomposition equips the collection $E_M := \{\text{Emb}(\sqcup^k \mathbb{R}^d, M)\}_{k \geq 0}$ with the structure of a right-module over E_d^{fr} . Localising the category of such right-modules at the levelwise weak homotopy equivalences gives rise to derived mapping spaces $\text{Map}_{E_d^{\text{fr}}}^h(-, -)$ between such right-modules. Precomposition induces an action of the monoid of self-embeddings $\text{Emb}(M, M)$ on E_M , so we obtain a map

$$\text{Emb}(M, M) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_{E_d^{\text{fr}}}^h(E_M, E_M). \quad (2)$$

By work of Boavida de Brito–Weiss [BdBW13, Section 6] (see also [Tur13]), the target of this map is weakly equivalent to $T_\infty \text{Emb}(M, M)$ if $d = \dim(M)$ and with respect to this equivalence (1) agrees with (2). Specialising to surfaces and taking components, Corollary **B** thus implies the following operadic description of the mapping class group.

Corollary C. *For a closed compact surface Σ , the map (2) induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_0 \text{Diff}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0 \text{Map}_{E_2^{\text{fr}}}^h(E_\Sigma, E_\Sigma).$$

Remark.

- (i) Injectivity of the map in Corollary **C** also follows from the Dehn–Nielsen–Baer theorem (see Remark 1.3). The new part of Corollary **C** is that this map is also surjective.
- (ii) In Section 1.2.1, we recall a slightly different model for $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N)$ from [BdBW13] in terms of presheaves which combined with Corollary **B** gives a version of Corollary **C** that also applies if the surface Σ has boundary.

Spaces of arcs in surfaces and strategy of proof. Our strategy for proving Theorem **A** is to imitate for $T_\infty \text{Emb}(\Sigma, \Sigma)$ Gramain’s argument [Gra73] of the contractibility of the path components of $\text{Diff}_\partial(\Sigma)$ in positive genus as outlined in Hatcher’s exposition of the Madsen–Weiss theorem [Hat14], as well as Cerf’s proof of Smale’s theorem that $\text{Diff}_\partial(D^2)$ is contractible [Cer63]. This relies on an isotopy extension theorem for $T_\infty \text{Emb}(-, -)$ due to Knudsen and the second-named author [KK20], other (partly new) properties of embedding calculus (Section 1), and a study of spaces of embedded arcs in a surface in this context (see Section 2). The latter involves proving the following convergence result, which might be of independent interest.

Theorem D. *For a compact surface Σ and two distinct points in its boundary $\{0, 1\} \subset \partial\Sigma$,*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial([0, 1], \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial([0, 1], \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Remark. To our knowledge, Theorems [A](#) and [D](#) provide the first class of nontrivial examples in which embedding calculus is known to converge in handle codimension less than three (however, see [[KK20](#), Theorem C, Section 6.2.4] for a few particular examples). It is worth pointing out that our results do *not* rely on the convergence results of Goodwillie, Klein, and Weiss.

Acknowledgements. The first-named author was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 756444).

1. SPACES OF EMBEDDINGS AND EMBEDDING CALCULUS

We begin by fixing some conventions on spaces of embeddings, recall various known properties of embedding calculus, and complement the latter with several new properties such as a lemma for lifting embeddings along covering spaces in the context of embedding calculus. The latter will be essential to the proof of Theorem [D](#) on spaces of arcs in surfaces.

1.1. Spaces of embeddings and maps. All our manifolds will be smooth and may be noncompact and disconnected. A *manifold triad* is a manifold M together with a decomposition of its boundary $\partial M = \partial_0 M \cup \partial_1 M$ into two codimension zero submanifolds that intersect at a corner $\partial(\partial_0 M) = \partial(\partial_1 M)$. Any of these sets may be empty or disconnected. If this decomposition is not specified, we implicitly take $\partial_0 M = \partial M$ and $\partial_1 M = \emptyset$.

When studying embeddings between manifolds triads M and N , we always fix a *boundary condition*, i.e. an embedding $e_{\partial_0} : \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$, and only consider embeddings $e : M \hookrightarrow N$ that restrict to e_{∂_0} on $\partial_0 M$ and have near $\partial_0 M$ the form $e_{\partial_0} \times \text{id}_{[0,1]} : \partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N \times [0, 1]$ with respect to choices of collars of $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_0 N$. We denote the space of such embeddings in the weak \mathcal{C}^∞ -topology by $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$. We replace the subscript ∂_0 by ∂ to indicate if $\partial_0 M = \partial M$ and drop it if we want to emphasise that $\partial_0 M = \emptyset$ holds. As a final piece of notation, if we have fixed a manifold triad M , and L is a manifold without boundary, we consider $M \sqcup L$ as manifold triad via $\partial_0(M \sqcup L) = \partial_0 M$.

Similarly, we also consider the space of bundle maps $\text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN)$. By this we mean the space of fibrewise injective linear maps $TM \rightarrow TN$ that restrict to the derivative $d(e_{\partial_0})$ on $T\partial_0 M$, in the compact open topology. Taking derivatives induces a map $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN)$ which we may postcompose with forgetful map $\text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ to the space of continuous maps extending e_{∂_0} in the compact open topology.

1.2. Manifold calculus. Given manifold triads M and N and a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0} : \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ as described above, Goodwillie–Weiss’ *embedding calculus* [[Wei99](#), [GW99](#)] gives a space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ (or rather, a homotopy type) together with a map

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N). \tag{3}$$

Embedding calculus *converges* if the map [\(3\)](#) is a weak homotopy equivalence. This fits into the more general context of *manifold calculus*, and we will need this generalization at several places.

1.2.1. Manifold calculus in terms of presheaves. Among the various models for the map [\(3\)](#) and manifold calculus in general, that of Boavida de Brito and Weiss in terms of presheaves [[BdBW13](#)] is particularly convenient for our purposes. We refer to Section 8 of their work for a proof of the equivalence between this model and the classical model of [[Wei99](#)].

To recall their model (in a slightly more general setting, see Remark [1.2](#)), we fix a $(d - 1)$ -manifold with boundary K , which we think of $\partial_0 M$ for a manifold triad M . We write Disc_K for the topologically enriched category whose objects are smooth d -dimensional manifold triads that are diffeomorphic (as triads) to $K \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for a finite set S with $\partial_0(K \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^d) = K \times \{0\}$,

and whose morphisms are given by spaces of embeddings of triads as described in Section 1.1. If K is clear from the context, we abbreviate Disc_K by Disc_{∂_0} .

We write $\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})$ for the topologically enriched category of space-valued enriched presheaves on Disc_{∂_0} and consider it as a category with weak equivalences by declaring a morphism of presheaves to be a weak equivalence if it is a weak homotopy equivalence on all its values. Localising at these weak equivalences (for instance as described in [DK80]) gives rise to a topologically enriched category $\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})^{\text{loc}}$ together with an enriched functor

$$\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}) \longrightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})^{\text{loc}}. \quad (4)$$

Denoting by Man_{∂_0} the topologically enriched category with objects all manifold triads M with an identification $\partial_0 M \cong K$ and morphism spaces the spaces of embeddings of triads, a presheaf $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})$ induces a new presheaf $T_{\infty}F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Man}_{\partial_0})$ by setting

$$T_{\infty}F(M) := \text{Map}_{\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})^{\text{loc}}}(\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, M), F).$$

If F is the restriction of a presheaf $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Man}_{\partial_0})$, then we have a composition of maps of presheaves on Man_{∂_0}

$$F(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Map}_{\text{PSh}(\text{Man}_{\partial_0})}(\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, M), F) \longrightarrow T_{\infty}F(M) \quad (5)$$

where the first map is given by the enriched Yoneda lemma and the second is induced by the restriction along $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0} \subset \text{Man}_{\partial_0}$ and the functor (4). Note that this is a weak homotopy equivalence whenever $M \in \text{Disc}_{\partial_0}$, that is, manifold calculus *converges* on manifolds diffeomorphic to the disjoint union of a collar on $\partial_0 M$ and a finite number of open balls.

Example 1.1 (Embedding calculus). For triads M and N and a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$, we have a presheaf $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)$ of embeddings of triads extending e_{∂_0} . Choosing $K = \partial_0 M$, the map (7) gives rise to a model for the embedding calculus map (3),

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0})^{\text{loc}}}(\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, M), \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)) = T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N).$$

1.2.2. *A smaller model.* In some situations, it is convenient to replace Disc_{∂_0} by a smaller equivalent category. There is a chain of enriched functors

$$\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\text{sk}} \longrightarrow \text{Disc}_{\partial_0}. \quad (6)$$

The right arrow is the inclusion of the full subcategory $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\text{sk}} \subset \text{Disc}_{\partial_0}$ on the objects $\partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for $\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $n \geq 0$. The category $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\bullet}$ has the same objects as $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\text{sk}}$ and space of morphisms pairs (s, e) of a parameter $s \in (0, 1]$ and an embedding of triads

$$e: \partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{m} \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

with $e|_{\partial_0 M \times [0, 1]} = \text{id}_{\partial_0 M} \times s \cdot (-)$, where $s \cdot (-): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is multiplication by s . Composition is given by composing embeddings and multiplying parameters and the functor to $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}^{\text{sk}}$ forgets the parameters. Both functors in (6) are Dwyer–Kan equivalences, the first by a variant of the proof of the contractibility of the space of collars and the second by definition. As a result, the induced functors on enriched presheaf-categories are Quillen equivalences (see e.g. [Kö17] for a proof), so we may equivalently define $T_{\infty}F(-)$ using any of these three categories.

1.2.3. *Two properties of manifold calculus.* The following two properties of the functor

$$\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0}) \ni F \longmapsto T_{\infty}F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Man}_{\partial_0}) \quad (7)$$

will be of use:

- (a) *Homotopy limits:* The mapping spaces resulting from the localisation (4) can be viewed equivalently as the derived mapping spaces formed with respect to the projective model structure on $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Disc}_{\partial_0})$ [BdBW13, Section 3.1]. That is, the functor (7) models the homotopy right Kan-extension along the inclusion $\mathrm{Disc}_{\partial_0} \subset \mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0}$ [BdBW13, Section 4.2]. As a consequence, the functor (7) preserves homotopy limits in the projective model structures, which are computed objectwise.
- (b) *\mathcal{J}_∞ -covers and descent:* If F is the restriction of a presheaf $F \in \mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0})$ then $T_\infty F$ can be seen alternatively as the *homotopy \mathcal{J}_∞ -sheafification* of F : for $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ (we will only use the cases $k = 1, \infty$), an open cover \mathcal{U} of a triad M is called a *Weiss k -cover* if every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ contains an open collar on $\partial_0 M$ and every finite subset of cardinality $\leq k$ of $\mathrm{int}(M)$ is contained in some element of \mathcal{U} . An enriched presheaf on $\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0}$ is a *homotopy \mathcal{J}_k -sheaf* if it satisfies descent for Weiss k -covers in sense of [BdBW13, Definition 2.2]. Note that homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaf is a homotopy sheaf in the usual sense, and a homotopy \mathcal{J}_k -sheaf is also a homotopy $\mathcal{J}_{k'}$ -sheaf for any $k' > k$. By [BdBW13, Theorem 1.2], the functor

$$\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0}) \ni F \longmapsto T_\infty F \in \mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0})$$

together with the natural transformation $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0})} \Rightarrow T_\infty$ is a model for the homotopy \mathcal{J}_∞ -sheafification. In particular, if F is already a \mathcal{J}_∞ -sheaf, then $F \rightarrow T_\infty F$ is a weak equivalence, so any map $F \rightarrow G$ in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Man}_{\partial_0})$ with G a homotopy \mathcal{J}_k -sheaf for some $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ factors canonically over $F \rightarrow T_\infty F$ up to weak equivalence.

It is often more convenient to use a stronger version of descent, namely with respect to *complete* Weiss ∞ -covers \mathcal{U} , which are Weiss ∞ -covers that contain a Weiss ∞ -cover of any finite intersection of elements in \mathcal{U} . Regarding such a cover \mathcal{U} as a poset ordered by inclusion, the map induced by restriction

$$T_\infty F(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T_\infty F(U)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence [KK20, Lemma 6.7].

1.3. Properties of embedding calculus. We explain various features of embedding calculus that illustrate that $T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ has formally similar properties to $\mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ even when embedding calculus need not converge.

- (a) *Postcomposition with embeddings:* Given triads M, N , and K with $\dim(M) = \dim(N)$, and boundary conditions $e_{\partial_0 M}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ and $e_{\partial_0 N}: \partial_0 N \hookrightarrow \partial_0 K$, there is a map

$$T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(N, K) \longrightarrow T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, K)$$

that are associative in the evident sense and compatible with the composition maps for embeddings spaces, both up to higher coherent homotopy.

In the model of Section 1.2.1, these maps are given by applying the map

$$\mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Disc}_{\partial_0 M})^{\mathrm{loc}}}(\mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N), \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, K)) \quad (8)$$

induced by postcomposition in the second factor, followed by composition in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathrm{Disc}_{\partial_0 M})^{\mathrm{loc}}$. Note that the codomain of (8) does in general *not* agree with $T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(N, K)$.

- (b) *Naturality and isotopy invariance:* In the situation of (a), if we assume $\dim(M) = \dim(N)$, then there are composition maps

$$T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(N, K) \longrightarrow T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, K) \quad (9)$$

that are associative in the evident sense and compatible with (8) and the composition for embeddings, up to higher coherent homotopy. Combining this with (a), we see that like spaces of embeddings, $T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, -)$ is isotopy-invariant in source and target: if $M \subset M'$

is a sub-triad with $\partial_0 M \subset \partial_0 M'$ such that there is an embedding of triads $M' \hookrightarrow M$ which is inverse to the inclusion up to isotopy of triads, then the maps

$$T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(L, M) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(L, M')$$

induced by restriction and inclusion are weak homotopy equivalences. Here L is any other triad with a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 L \hookrightarrow \partial_0 M$.

In the model described in Section 1.2.1, the composition map (9) can be implemented as follows: the codimension 0 embedding $e_{\partial_0 M}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ induces an enriched functor

$$(e_{\partial_0 M})_*: \text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{Disc}_{\partial_0 N}^\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad (e_{\partial_0 M})^*: \text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 N}^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}^\bullet)$$

Writing $d := \dim(M) = \dim(N)$, the functor $(e_{\partial_0 M})_*$ sends on objects $\partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to $\partial_0 N \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. On morphisms, $(e_{\partial_0 M})_*$ keeps the parameter s fixed and sends an embedding e to the embedding given by $\text{id}_{\partial_0 N} \times (s \cdot (-))$ on $\partial_0 M \times [0, 1]$ and by $(e_{\partial_0 M} \times [0, 1] \sqcup \text{id}_{\underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d}) \circ e|_{\underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ on $\underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. The functor $(e_{\partial_0 M})^*$ is given by precomposition with $(e_{\partial_0 M})_*$. The restriction maps

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0 N}(\partial_0 N \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d, N) \longrightarrow \text{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(\partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup \underline{n} \times \mathbb{R}^d, N)$$

are weak homotopy equivalences by the contractibility of spaces of collars, and similarly for $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, K)$, so we have weak homotopy equivalences in $\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}^\bullet)$

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{\partial_0 M})^* \text{Emb}_{\partial_0 N}(-, N) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(-, N) \quad \text{and} \\ (e_{\partial_0 M})^* \text{Emb}_{\partial_0 N}(-, K) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(-, K). \end{aligned}$$

Using the model

$$T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}^\bullet)^{\text{loc}}}(\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, M), \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)),$$

the composition (9) is given by applying $(e_{\partial_0 M})^*$ to the second factor, composition in the category $\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}^\bullet)^{\text{loc}}$, and using the weak equivalences of presheaves above.

- (c) *Convergence on disjoint unions of discs:* Embedding calculus converges if the domain M is diffeomorphic (as a triad) to $\partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for a finite set S , where

$$\partial_0(\partial_0 M \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \partial_0 M \times \{0\}.$$

This follows from the corresponding fact for manifold calculus (see Section 1.2.1). By isotopy invariance, it remains true with $S \times \mathbb{R}^d$ replaced by $S \times \mathbb{R}^d \sqcup T \times D^d$ for T a finite set.

- (d) *Comparison to bundle maps:* The derivative map $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN)$ fits into a natural commutative diagram (up to canonical homotopy) of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \\ & & \searrow \text{dashed} & & \\ & \downarrow & & & \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & & & & \end{array} \quad (10)$$

which is compatible with composition maps from (9) up to higher coherent homotopy. This follows from the discussion in Section 1.2.3 (b) by observing the target in the natural transformation $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(-, TN)$ is a homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaf.

- (e) *Extension by the identity:* Suppose that we have another triad Q with an identification of $\partial_0 Q$ with a codimension zero submanifold of $\partial_0 M$. Then we can form, up to smoothing corners, the triad $M \cup Q = M \cup_{\partial_0 Q} Q$ with

$$\partial_0(M \cup Q) = (\partial_0 M \setminus \text{int}(\partial_0 Q)) \cup \partial_1 Q.$$

If M and N are of the same dimension and we are further given a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$, we can form $N \cup Q$ in the same manner. Extending embeddings by the

identity gives a map $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \cup Q, N \cup Q)$ (strictly speaking this requires the addition of collars to the definitions to guarantee the glued map is smooth but we forego the addition of this contractible space of data), which can be shown to fit into a commutative diagram up to canonical homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \cup Q, N \cup Q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & \dashrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \cup Q, N \cup Q). \end{array} \quad (11)$$

The existence of the dashed map in (11) is proved by noting that $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \cup Q, N \cup Q)$ is a homotopy \mathcal{J}_∞ -sheaf on $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}$, see Section 1.2.3 (b).

- (f) *Isotopy extension:* Suppose that the triads M and N are both d -dimensional, $\partial_0 M = \partial M$, and $e_\partial: \partial M \hookrightarrow \partial N$ is a boundary condition. Fix a compact d -dimensional sub-manifold triad $P \subset M$ (so in particular $\partial_0 P = \partial M \cap \partial P$) and consider the induced boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial M \supset \partial_0 P \hookrightarrow \partial N$. Suppose that embedding calculus converges for triad embeddings of triads of the form $P \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^d \hookrightarrow N$ for finite sets S . Then, fixing a triad embedding $e: P \hookrightarrow N$ disjoint from $\partial N \setminus e_{\partial_0}(\partial_0 P)$, there is a map of fibration sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \setminus \text{int}(P), N \setminus \text{int}(e(P))) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(P, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \setminus \text{int}(P), N \setminus \text{int}(e(P))) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, N) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(P, N) \end{array}$$

whose right square results from (9) and whose left square is an instance of the diagram (11). The homotopy fibres are taken over the embedding e and its image in $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(P, N)$, and

$$\partial_0(M \setminus \text{int}(P)) := \partial_1 P \cup \partial M \setminus \text{int}(\partial_0 P).$$

with boundary condition is induced by e and e_∂ . For the upper row, this is a form of the usual parametrised isotopy extension theorem. For the lower row, this is a result of Knudsen and the second-named author [KK20, Theorem 6.1, Remarks 6.4 and 6.5]. Note that, every triad embedding $P \hookrightarrow N$ is disjoint from $\partial N \setminus e_{\partial_0}(\partial_0 P)$ up to isotopy of triad embeddings, so if we would like to draw conclusions about all homotopy fibres of the right horizontal maps, it suffices to restrict to embeddings of this form.

Remark 1.2. Boavida de Brito and Weiss [BdBW13] restrict their attention to the case $\partial_0 M = \partial M$ (see Section 9 loc. cit.), but this turns out to be no less general: given a manifold triad M , the manifold triad $M \setminus \partial_1 M$ with $\partial_0(M \setminus \partial_1 M) = \text{int}(\partial_0 M) = \partial(M \setminus \partial_1 M)$ is isotopy equivalent to M , so there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \simeq T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M \setminus \partial_1 M, N \setminus \partial_1 N)$$

by item (b) above.

Remark 1.3. As a consequence of property (d) above, to show that the map of Corollary C $\pi_0 \text{Diff}_\partial(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_0 T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma)$ is injective, it suffices to prove that

$$\pi_0 \text{Diff}_\partial(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \pi_0 \text{hAut}_\partial(\Sigma) \quad (12)$$

is injective, which is true for all compact surfaces and can be seen as follows.

First, one reduces to the case of connected surfaces. For this, it suffices to show that closed connected surfaces are homotopy equivalent if and only if they are diffeomorphic, which is a consequence of the fact that closed surfaces are classified by orientability and the Euler characteristic, and both of these are preserved by homotopy equivalences relative to the boundary. In the connected case, the claimed injectivity is proved for instance in [Bol09, Theorem 4.6], with the

exception of $\Sigma = S^2$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}P^2$. These two cases can be settled using the fibre sequence resulting from restricting to an embedded 2-disc and the fact that the mapping class groups of a disc and a Möbius strip are trivial (see [Sma59, Theorem B], [Eps66, Theorem 3.4]).

In fact, the forgetful map (12) is often an isomorphism: for closed orientable surfaces of positive genus this is an instance of the Dehn–Nielsen–Baer theorem [FM12, Theorem 8.1], but there is also an argument for most surfaces with boundary [Bol09, Theorem 1.1 (1)].

Remark 1.4. It follows from item (c) above that the map

$$\text{Emb}(M, N) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}(M, N)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence whenever M is 0-dimensional. In particular, Theorem A and its corollaries are valid in dimension 0.

The proof of Theorem A relies on some additional properties of embedding calculus which we establish in the ensuing subsections. Though these properties are not very surprising, to our knowledge they have not appeared in the literature before.

1.4. Thickened embeddings. Fix manifold triads M and N and consider $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ as a triad via $\partial_0(M \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \partial_0 M \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Fixing a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0} : \partial_0(M \times \mathbb{R}^k) \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$, we obtain a boundary condition $e'_{\partial_0} : \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ by restricting along the inclusion $M = M \times \{0\} \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^k$. From (10), we obtain the solid arrows in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) & \dashrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(M \times \mathbb{R}^k), TN) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(TM, TN). \end{array} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 1.5. *There exists a dashed map in (13) such that the diagram commutes up to preferred homotopy and so that the two subsquares are homotopy cartesian.*

Proof. Taking derivatives induces a homotopy cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(- \times \mathbb{R}^k), TN) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(-), TN), \end{array}$$

of enriched presheaves on Man_{∂_0} , so the resulting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(- \times \mathbb{R}^k), TN) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(-), TN), \end{array}$$

obtained by applying T_∞ is homotopy cartesian as well, by Section 1.2.3 (a). Observing that $\text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(- \times \mathbb{R}^m), TN)$ is a homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaf, we conclude that the map of presheaves

$$\text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(- \times \mathbb{R}^m), TN) \xrightarrow{\simeq} T_\infty \text{Bun}_{\partial_0}(T(- \times \mathbb{R}^m), TN)$$

is a weak equivalence for all $m \geq 0$, by Section 1.2.3 (b).

Applying this for $m = 0$ and $m = k$, we obtain a diagram as in (13) with homotopy cartesian bottom square, but with $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k, N)$ replaced by $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)(M \times \mathbb{R}^k)$. As the outer square is homotopy cartesian, to finish the proof, it suffices to provide an equivalence

$$T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \times \mathbb{R}^k, N)(M) \simeq T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)(M \times \mathbb{R}^k)$$

which is compatible with the maps from the embedding spaces and the maps to the spaces of bundle maps. To do so, we consider the complete Weiss ∞ -cover \mathcal{U} of M given by open subsets $U \subset M$ that are equal to a collar on $\partial_0 M$ and a finite disjoint union of open discs. Then $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \times \mathbb{R}^k \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a complete Weiss k -cover of $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \times \mathbb{R}^k, N)(M) & \dashrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)(M \times \mathbb{R}^k) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(- \times \mathbb{R}^k, N)(U) & & \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N)(U \times \mathbb{R}^k) \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U \times \mathbb{R}^k, N) \end{array}$$

using descent for \mathcal{J}_∞ -covers and convergence on discs (see Section 1.2.3 (b) and Section 1.3 (c)). This provides a dashed equivalence as desired. \square

1.5. Lifting along covering maps. The second property is concerned with the problem of lifting embeddings of triads $M \hookrightarrow N$ along covering maps $\pi: \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$. To state the result, we consider \tilde{N} as a triad by setting

$$\partial_0 \tilde{N} := \pi^{-1}(\partial_0 N) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 \tilde{N} := \pi^{-1}(\partial_1 N)$$

and fix a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ as well as a lift $\tilde{e}_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 \tilde{N}$. We moreover pick a homotopy class $[\alpha] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ such that there exists a lift $[\tilde{\alpha}] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})$, and moreover that $\partial_0 M \rightarrow M$ is 0-connected, so that this lift is unique. We write

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha \subset \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha \subset T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$$

for the collections of path components that map to $[\alpha] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$ via the maps in (10). We similarly define $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \subset \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})$ and $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \subset T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})$.

Lemma 1.6. *In this situation, there exists a dashed map making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha & \dashrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy. Here the top map is given by sending an embedding $\beta \in \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha$ to its unique lift $\tilde{\beta} \in \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ extending \tilde{e}_{∂_0} .

Proof. Let $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(-, \tilde{N}) \subset \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, \tilde{N})$ be the presheaf on $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}$ of those embeddings that remain an embedding after composition with π . This fits in a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(-, \tilde{N}) & \xrightarrow{\pi \circ -} & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, \tilde{N}) & \xrightarrow{\pi \circ -} & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N) \end{array}$$

of presheaves on $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}$ with vertical maps given by inclusion. This is homotopy cartesian in the projective model structure on $\text{PSh}(\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M})$, since $(\pi \circ -): \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, \tilde{N}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N)$ is a objectwise fibration by the lifting property of covering maps. Using that $T_\infty(-)$ preserves homotopy limits by Section 1.2.3 (a), and evaluating at M , we arrive at a commutative cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
& & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N}) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & & \\
& \searrow \simeq & \downarrow & \searrow \simeq & \\
& & T_\infty \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N}) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N)
\end{array}$$

with front and back faces homotopy cartesian, and bottom diagonal maps weak homotopy equivalences as $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, \tilde{N})$ and $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N)$ are homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaves (see Section 1.2.3 (b)). By the uniqueness of lifts (this uses that $\partial_0 M \rightarrow M$ is 0-connected), the bottom horizontal maps become weak homotopy equivalences when we restrict domain and target to the path components of $[\tilde{\alpha}]$ and $[\alpha]$ respectively. Doing so and using the homotopy pullback property, the top of the cube provides a commutative square with horizontal weak homotopy equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha.
\end{array}$$

The top map is in fact a homeomorphism, by the uniqueness of lifts. Using the inclusion of presheaves $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(-, \tilde{N}) \subset \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, \tilde{N})$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^\pi(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}}.
\end{array}$$

whose top composition is given by sending an embedding to its unique lift extending \tilde{e}_∂ , so we obtain a map $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ as desired. \square

Remark 1.7. If α has no lift, then there is no component of $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})$ mapping to $[\alpha]$ under composition with π . In this case, the above argument shows that there is also no component of $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, \tilde{N})$ mapping to $[\alpha]$ under the map of Section 1.3 (d) and composition with π .

1.6. Adding a collar to the source. The next property concerns the behaviour of embedding calculus when adding a disjoint collar to the domain.

We fix triads M and N and a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$. Given a compact $(\dim(M) - 1)$ -manifold K , we replace M by the triad $M \sqcup K \times [0, 1)$ with $\partial_0(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1)) = \partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}$ and fix an extension $e'_{\partial_0}: \partial_0(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1)) \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ of e_{∂_0} as boundary condition. By contractibility of the space of collars, the restriction map

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0, M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1), N) \longrightarrow \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. This remains true upon applying embedding calculus:

Lemma 1.8. *In this situation, in the diagram induced by restriction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1], N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(M, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1], N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(M, N), \end{array}$$

both horizontal maps are weak homotopy equivalences.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be the open cover of $M \sqcup K \times [0, 1]$ given by subsets of the form $U = V \sqcup K \times [0, 1]$ where $V \subset M$ is the union of an open subset diffeomorphic to a collar on $\partial_0 M$ and a finite disjoint union of open balls. This is a complete Weiss ∞ -cover of $M \sqcup K \times [0, 1]$, and $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \cap M \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a complete Weiss ∞ -cover of M . Restriction thus induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1], N) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(U, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(M \sqcup K \times [0, 1], N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(U, N) \end{array}$$

whose horizontal maps are weak homotopy equivalences by Section 1.2.3 (b) and whose right vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence by Section 1.3 (c). Similarly we have a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(U \cap M, N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(U \cap M, N), \end{array}$$

which receives a map from the former square by restriction, so it suffices to show that the maps

$$\mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M \sqcup K \times \{0\}}(U, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0 M}(U \cap M, N)$$

are weak homotopy equivalence This follows from the contractibility of spaces of collars. \square

1.7. Taking disjoint unions. The next property of embedding calculus concerns taking disjoint unions of the domain and target. Its full strength is not needed to prove the main results of this paper—only Corollary 1.10 is—but we believe it to be of independent interest.

Let $M, M', N,$ and N' be triads with $\dim(M) = \dim(M')$ and $\dim(N) = \dim(N')$. We form new triads $M \sqcup M'$ with $\partial_i(M \sqcup M') = \partial_i M \sqcup \partial_i M'$ for $i = 0, 1$, and $N \sqcup N'$ with $\partial_i(N \sqcup N') = \partial_i N \sqcup \partial_i N'$ for $i = 0, 1$. Given boundary conditions $e_{\partial_0} : \partial_0 M \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N$ and $e'_{\partial_0} : \partial_0 M' \hookrightarrow \partial_0 N'$, we get a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0} \sqcup e'_{\partial_0} : \partial_0(M \sqcup M') \hookrightarrow \partial_0(N \sqcup N')$. Disjoint union of embeddings induces a map

$$\mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N')$$

which is a weak homotopy equivalence (in fact, a homeomorphism) if both inclusions $\partial_0 M \hookrightarrow M$ and $\partial_0 M' \hookrightarrow M'$ are 0-connected. This remains true upon applying embedding calculus:

Lemma 1.9. *In this situation, there is a dashed weak homotopy equivalence making*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N') & \dashrightarrow & T_\infty \mathrm{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N') \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 1.8, we will use that T_∞ has descent for complete Weiss ∞ -covers by Section 1.2.3 (b).

We take \mathcal{U}_M to be the open cover of M given by open subsets $U \subset M$ that are diffeomorphic to a collar on $\partial_0 M$ and a finite disjoint union of open balls, and similarly for $\mathcal{U}_{M'}$. Let us take $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$ to be the open cover of $M \sqcup M'$ given by unions of an element of \mathcal{U}_M and an element of $\mathcal{U}_{M'}$. These are all complete Weiss ∞ -covers.

We consider $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$ as a poset ordered by inclusion and let $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N \sqcup N')$ be the presheaf on $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$ that sends $U \sqcup U'$ with $U \in \mathcal{U}_M$ and $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M'}$ to the subspace $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N') \subset \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N')$ which map U into N and U' into N' . Defining $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N \sqcup N')$ similarly, we have a homotopy pullback diagram of presheaves on $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N \sqcup N') & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N \sqcup N') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N \sqcup N') & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N \sqcup N'), \end{array} \quad (14)$$

which remains a homotopy pullback when taking homotopy limits over $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$.

To identify the term

$$\text{holim}_{U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N')$$

we note that there are isomorphisms $\mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'} \cong \mathcal{U}_M \times \mathcal{U}_{M'}$ of categories, and $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N \sqcup N') \cong \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N) \times \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, N')$ of presheaves, so the Fubini theorem for homotopy limits implies that this homotopy limit is given by

$$\text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{U}_M} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U, N) \times \text{holim}_{U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M'}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U', N').$$

Combining descent for T_∞ with the fact that embedding calculus converges on $U \in \mathcal{U}_M$ and $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M'}$ by Section 1.3 (c), we conclude that

$$\text{holim}_{U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N') \simeq T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N').$$

The same analysis holds for $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(-, M \sqcup M')$ and since this is a homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaf (see Section 1.2.3 (b)), we conclude that

$$\text{holim}_{U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}} \text{Map}_{\partial_0}^{\sqcup}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N') \simeq \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M', N').$$

By the same argument (using descent for T_∞ , convergence on $U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}$, and that $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N \sqcup N')$ is a homotopy \mathcal{J}_1 -sheaf), we have weak homotopy equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{holim}_{U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N') &\simeq T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N') \quad \text{and} \\ \text{holim}_{U \sqcup U' \in \mathcal{U}_{M \sqcup M'}} \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(U \sqcup U', N \sqcup N') &\simeq \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N'), \end{aligned}$$

so altogether we obtain a homotopy pullback diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M', N') & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \times \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M', N') & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M \sqcup M', N \sqcup N'). \end{array}$$

The condition that $\partial_0 M \hookrightarrow M$ and $\partial_0 M' \hookrightarrow M'$ are both 0-connected implies that the bottom map is a weak homotopy equivalence, so the top map is a weak homotopy equivalence as well. The proof is finished by tracing through the weak homotopy equivalences used to see that this makes the square in the statement homotopy commute. \square

Taking $M' = \emptyset$, which is the only case used in this paper, Lemma 1.9 says:

Corollary 1.10. *In this situation, in the diagram induced by the inclusion $N \subset N \sqcup N'$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N \sqcup N') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N \sqcup N'), \end{array}$$

both horizontal maps are weak homotopy equivalences.

Remark 1.11. Corollary 1.10 admits an alternative proof along the lines of Lemma 1.6: one observes there is a homotopy pullback diagram of presheaves on $\text{Disc}_{\partial_0 M}$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, N \sqcup N') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(-, N \sqcup N'). \end{array}$$

Taking T_∞ and evaluating at M yields a homotopy pullback diagram of spaces and if $\partial_0 M \rightarrow M$ is 0-connected, the map $\text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\partial_0}(M, N \sqcup N')$ is a weak homotopy equivalence hence so is the map $T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(M, N \sqcup N')$.

2. SPACES OF ARCS IN SURFACES AND EMBEDDING CALCULUS

As further preparation to the proof of Theorem A, we prove the following convergence result of independent interest on spaces of (thickened) arcs in surfaces. It includes Theorem D.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Σ be a compact surface.*

(i) *For any boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

(ii) *For any boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$ and a finite (possibly empty) set S ,*

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Convention 2.2. Throughout this section, we fix a compact surface Σ , write $I = [0, 1]$, and consider $I \times [0, 1]$ as a manifold triad with $\partial_0(I \times [0, 1]) = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$. We will use the convention and notation from Section 1.1, so embeddings $I \times [0, 1]$ into a surface Σ will always be assumed to extend a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ which will either be specified or is clear from the context. We consider I as a submanifold of $I \times [0, 1]$ via the inclusion $\{1/2\} \times [0, 1] \subset I \times [0, 1]$, so a boundary condition e_{∂_0} as above in particular induces a boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ for embedding of the form $I \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ by restriction.

As a preparation to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we establish three lemmas that interpolate between the two parts of the theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *For a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence if the map $\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for the boundary condition e_∂ obtained by restricting e_{∂_0} .

Proof. Combining Lemma 1.5 with isotopy invariance (see Section 1.3 (b)) provides a commutative (up to canonical homotopy) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times [0, 1]), \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times \{1/2\}), \Sigma)
\end{array} \tag{15}$$

whose two subsquares are homotopy cartesian. The claim follows from the top square. \square

The converse requires us to consider all extensions of the boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$ to a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$.

Lemma 2.4. *For a boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence if the map $\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all boundary conditions $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$ extending e_∂ .

Proof. Consider once more the commutative diagram (15), for an extension of the boundary condition e_∂ that we have yet to specify. For $[\beta] \in \pi_0 \text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times \{1/2\}), T\Sigma)$, we write $\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma)_\beta$ and $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma)_\beta$ the unions of components mapping to $[\beta]$. It suffices to prove that the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\})_\beta \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\})_\beta$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for all choices of $[\beta]$.

The homotopy fibre of the bottom map $\text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times [0, 1]), T\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times \{1/2\}), T\Sigma)$ over β is given by a space of sections over I with fibre $\{\pm 1\}$ relative to $\{0, 1\}$. This space is either empty or contractible, and we can always pick an extension of the boundary condition e_∂ for which it is contractible. Doing so, let $[\tilde{\beta}] \in \pi_0 \text{Bun}_\partial(T(I \times [0, 1]), T\Sigma)$ be the unique lift of $[\beta]$ so that we have a homotopy cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma)_{\tilde{\beta}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma)_\beta \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma)_{\tilde{\beta}} & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times \{1/2\}, \Sigma)_\beta
\end{array}$$

with bottom horizontal and left vertical maps weak homotopy equivalences. This implies that the right vertical map is also a weak homotopy equivalence, so the claim follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For a finite set S and a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \Sigma$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence if the maps $\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma') \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma')$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all compact surfaces Σ' and all boundary conditions $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma'$.

Proof. Lemma 2.3 gives the result when $S = \emptyset$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, we note that by isotopy extension and the convergence on discs (see Section 1.3 (c) and (f)), there is a map of fibre sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma \setminus S \times \text{int}(D^2)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}(S \times D^2, \Sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma \setminus S \times \text{int}(D^2)) & \rightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Sigma) & \rightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}(S \times D^2, \Sigma) \end{array}$$

induced by restriction along the standard inclusion $D^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with homotopy fibres taking over any embedding $e: S \times D^2 \hookrightarrow \text{int}(\Sigma)$. Strictly speaking, the domain of the spaces in the fibre is $I \times [0, 1] \sqcup S \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{int}(D^2)$ as opposed to $I \times [0, 1]$ but we can remove the collars by Lemma 1.8. The case $S = \emptyset$ shows that the left vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence for any choice of e , so we conclude that the middle vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence as well. \square

In view of Lemma 2.5, the second part of Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of its first part. We prove the latter in the next two lemmas, first for arcs that connect two boundary components and then for those connecting a boundary component to itself. These arguments are inspired by [Gra73] and the exposition thereof in [Hat14].

Lemma 2.6. *For a boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$ that hits two distinct boundary components, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we may prove the statement for $I \times [0, 1]$ instead of I , for all extensions of the boundary condition e_∂ to a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$.

We glue a disc D to the boundary component hit by $\{1\}$, and consider $L = (I \times [0, 1] \cup D)$. Smoothing corners and applying isotopy extension isotopy extension and the convergence on discs (see Section 1.3 (c) and (f)), we have a map of fibre sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{I \times \{0\}}(L, \Sigma \cup D) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}(D, \Sigma \cup D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{I \times \{0\}}(L, \Sigma \cup D) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}(D, \Sigma \cup D) \end{array}$$

with homotopy fibres taken over the standard inclusion. Observing that L is isotopy equivalent to $I \times [0, 1]$ relative to $I \times \{0\}$, the middle vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence by isotopy invariance and the convergence on discs (see Section 1.3 (b) and (c)). This implies that the left vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence as well, so the proof is finished. \square

The case of arcs connecting the same boundary component is harder and its proof is the heart of this section. It relies on the lifting Lemma 1.6, which we specialise to the case arcs for the benefit of the reader. The statement involves a covering map $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N$, a boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial N$, a path $\alpha \in \text{Map}_\partial(I, N)$, and a lift $\tilde{\alpha}: I \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ of α whose endpoints induce a boundary condition $e_\partial: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\tilde{N}$. Recall that

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I, N)_\alpha \subset \text{Emb}_\partial(I, N) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, N)_\alpha \subset T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, N)$$

are the collections of path components that map to $[\alpha] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, N)$ via the maps in (10).




FIGURE 1. The surface Γ . The original surface Σ is the region within the dotted circle.

Lemma 2.7. *In this situation, there exists a dashed map making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, N)_{\alpha} & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, N)_{\alpha} & \dashrightarrow & T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy. Here the top map is given by sending an arc $\gamma \in \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, N)_{\alpha}$ to the unique lift $\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \tilde{N})_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ starting at $\tilde{\alpha}(0) \in \tilde{N}$.

Proof. This is Lemma 1.6 for the triad $M = I$ with $\partial_0 I = \{0, 1\} = \partial I$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *For a boundary condition $e_{\partial}: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$ that hits a single boundary component,*

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Sigma) \longrightarrow T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Sigma)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Proof. By Corollary 1.10, we may assume that Σ is connected. We glue a 1-handle $I \times [0, 1]$ to Σ to the boundary component hit by $\{0, 1\}$, such that $I \times \{0\}$ and $I \times \{1\}$ are separated on that boundary component by $\{0, 1\}$ and are embedded with opposite orientation, resulting in a new surface Γ with an additional boundary component; see Figure 1. The composition $\{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma \subset \Gamma$ now hits two distinct boundary components, so the right vertical map in the homotopy-commutative diagram induced by the inclusion $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ (see Section 1.3 (a))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Gamma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & T_{\infty}\text{Emb}_{\partial}(I, \Gamma) \end{array} \tag{16}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence by Lemma 2.6.

We next investigate the set of path-components. To do so, we will use that the dashed map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma) \\
 \downarrow \text{dashed} & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 (\pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Sigma)) \times_{\pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Gamma)} (\pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Gamma),
 \end{array}$$

is surjective: if an embedding $I \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ is homotopic to a map $I \rightarrow \Sigma$, then it is isotopic to an embedding $I \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ within the homotopy class of $I \rightarrow \Sigma$. To see this, use the bigon criterion [FM12, Sections 1.2.4, 1.2.7] to isotope $I \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ so that its geometric intersection number with the cocore β of the 1-handle is equal to the algebraic intersection number, which is 0 since it is homotopic to a map $I \rightarrow \Sigma$. With this in mind, a diagram chase in the factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \textcircled{1} \quad \pi_0 T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma) \\
 \textcircled{2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Gamma)
 \end{array}$$

shows that the maps $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ have the same image.

Let us now fix a class $[\alpha] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$ in this image. As the map $\textcircled{1}$ is injective because two embedded arcs are isotopic relative to the endpoints if and only if they are homotopic relative to the endpoints [Feu66], there is a unique path component $\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha$ of $\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$ mapping to $[\alpha]$. Denoting by $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha \subset T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)$ the union of all path components that map to $[\alpha]$, it suffices to show that the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for all choices of $[\alpha]$. Since the left side is contractible by [Gra73, Théorème 5], it suffices to prove that the right side is contractible as well.

To do so, we will construct a homotopy-commutative diagram


$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{(e\circ-)\text{olift}} & \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\
 T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{(e\circ-)\text{olift}} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

whose horizontal compositions are homotopic to the identity. This will finish the proof, since it exhibits $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha$ as a retract of the contractible space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha \simeq \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha$.

The left square in (17) is obtained by restricting the path-components of the homotopy commutative square (16). The right square arises as the composition of two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} & \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \tilde{\Gamma})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \xrightarrow{e\circ-} & \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Gamma)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \tilde{\Gamma})_{\tilde{\alpha}} & \xrightarrow{e\circ-} & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I, \Sigma)_\alpha.
 \end{array}$$

which we explain now.

FIGURE 2. The surface Π .

The surface $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an appropriate covering space of Γ : the construction of Γ gives a decomposition $\pi_1(\Gamma) \cong \pi_1(\Sigma) * \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the cover corresponding to the subgroup $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Explicitly, the cover $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be constructed by cutting Γ along β to obtain a surface Π (see Figure 2) and gluing two copies of the universal cover $\tilde{\Pi}$ of this surface to the two intervals in the boundary resulting from β . Note that Π contains a preferred lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α and hence so does $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We denote the endpoints of α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the various surfaces generically by $\{0, 1\}$. The cover $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has the property that the map $\Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma$ lifts uniquely to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ so that $\{0, 1\}$ is fixed and (using that the interior of $\tilde{\Pi}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2) that there is an embedding $e: \tilde{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ fixing $\{0, 1\}$ such that the composition $\Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ is isotopic to the identity relative to $\{0, 1\}$.

The right square is induced by post-composition with e , so homotopy commutes in view of Section 1.3 (a). The homotopy commutative left square is obtained by invoking the lifting lemma Lemma 2.7 for the covering map $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$. The top composition in (17) is homotopic to the identity by construction, but it remains to justify this for the bottom composition.

Justifying this requires the details of the proof of Lemma 1.6. Inspecting this proof, we see it suffices to note that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ contains a copy of Σ such that the restriction π of Σ to this copy is injective, so that we get a dashed map of presheaves on $\text{Disc}_{\partial I}$ making the triangle in the following diagram commute up natural homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Emb}_{\partial}(-, \Sigma) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial}(-, \Gamma) & & \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow \pi \circ - & & \\
 & & \text{Emb}_{\partial}^{\pi}(-, \tilde{\Gamma}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial}(-, \tilde{\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{e \circ (-)} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(-, \Sigma)
 \end{array}$$

It then suffices to observe that the composition $\text{Emb}_{\partial}(-, \Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Emb}_{\partial}(-, \Sigma)$ along the bottom is naturally homotopic to the identity, as $\Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ is isotopic to the identity. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The first part is Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.8. The second part follows from the first by Lemma 2.5. \square

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section, we prove Theorem A by a sequence of reductions that gradually simplify the domain Σ , eventually to $\Sigma = D^2$, a case that we prove separately.

Convention 3.1. Throughout this section, we write $I = [0, 1]$ and consider $I \times [0, 1]$ as a manifold triad with $\partial_0(I \times [0, 1]) = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$. Consequently, embeddings $I \times [0, 1]$ into a surface Σ will always be assumed to extend a boundary condition $e_{\partial_0}: \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ which will either be specified or is clear from the context.

We begin with the following auxiliary lemma, which will be used in several induction steps.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Σ and Σ' be compact surfaces and $e_\partial: \partial\Sigma \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma'$ be a boundary condition.*

(i) *Fix $D^2 \subset \text{int}(\Sigma)$. If for all embeddings $e: D^2 \hookrightarrow \text{int}(\Sigma')$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D^2), \Sigma' \setminus \text{int}(e(D^2))) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D^2), \Sigma' \setminus e(\text{int}(D^2)))$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence, then so is the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma').$$

(ii) *Fix an embedding $I \times [0, 1] \subset \Sigma$ with $\partial\Sigma \cap (I \times [0, 1]) = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$. If for all embeddings of triads $e: I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma'$ with $\text{im}(e) \cap \Sigma' = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \setminus (I \times (0, 1)), \Sigma' \setminus e(I \times (0, 1))) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \setminus (I \times (0, 1)), \Sigma' \setminus e(I \times (0, 1)))$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence, then so is the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma').$$

Proof. These are two instances of the fact that for a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ E' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

whose right arrow is a weak homotopy equivalence, the map $E \rightarrow E'$ is a weak homotopy equivalence if and only if the map $\text{hofib}(E \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{hofib}(E' \rightarrow B')$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all choices of basepoint. For (i), we consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}(D^2, \Sigma') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma') \end{array}$$

whose right map is a weak homotopy equivalence by the convergence on discs (see Section 1.3 (c)). This property also allows us to apply isotopy extension (see Section 1.3 (f)), which identifies the map on homotopy fibres over an embedding $e: D^2 \hookrightarrow \text{int}(\Sigma')$ with one of the maps in the assumption, and the claim follows.

The case (ii) proceeds analogously by considering the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma'). \end{array}$$

and replacing the use of the convergence on discs by the second part of Theorem 2.1. \square

3.1. Proof of Theorem A. We now gradually simplify Σ to prove Theorem A.

Reduction to all components having boundary. We first show that it suffices to assume that all components of Σ have non-empty boundary. We do this by downwards induction on the number of closed components. If Σ has a closed component, we pick an embedded disc $D^2 \subset \Sigma$ in a closed component, so that $\Sigma \setminus \text{int}(D^2)$ has one less closed component and the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 (i) are satisfied.

Reduction to connected surfaces. We next assume that Σ has no closed components and reduce to the case that Σ is connected by downwards induction on the number of components. If Σ is not

connected, we attach 1-handle $I \times [0, 1]$ along $I \times \{0, 1\}$ to two different boundary components of Σ to obtain a new surface $\Sigma \cup (I \times [0, 1])$ which has no closed component and one component less. We also attach to 1-handle to Σ' along the composition of $I \times \{0, 1\} \subset \partial\Sigma$ with the boundary condition $e_\partial: \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \partial\Sigma'$ to obtain a surface $\Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]$ and consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \cup I \times [0, 1], \Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma \cup I \times [0, 1], \Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(I \times [0, 1], \Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]), \end{array}$$

where the right hand embedding spaces are formed with respect to the boundary condition $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \subset \Sigma' \cup I \times [0, 1]$. The left vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence by induction hypothesis and the right vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence by the second part of Theorem 2.1, which also allows us to apply isotopy extension (see Section 1.3 (f)) to identify the map on horizontal homotopy fibres over the standard inclusion with the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(\Sigma, \Sigma')$$

which is hence a weak homotopy equivalence as well.

Reducing the genus. By the classification of compact connected surfaces and the previous reductions, we may assume that Σ is diffeomorphic to one of the following surfaces

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma_{g,n} \\ \mathbb{R}P^2 \sharp \Sigma_{g,n} \\ \mathbb{R}P^2 \sharp \mathbb{R}P^2 \sharp \Sigma_{g,n} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

for some $g \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, where $\Sigma_{g,n}$ stands for a compact connected orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components. To reduce the case $(g+1, n)$ to $(g, n+1)$, we pick an embedded strip $I \times [0, 1] \subset \Sigma$ so that

- (i) we have $\partial\Sigma \cap I \times [0, 1] = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$,
- (ii) $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ lies in a single boundary component,
- (iii) with respect to some orientation of $\partial\Sigma$, both maps

$$\{0\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \{1\} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \partial\Sigma$$

are orientation-preserving, and

- (iv) $\Sigma \setminus I \times (0, 1)$ is connected.

Then $\Sigma \setminus (I \times (0, 1))$ lies in the same category as Σ in (18) but with g reduced by 1 and n increased by 1, so an application of Lemma 3.2 (ii) finishes the reduction.

Reducing the number of boundary components. We are left with the case where Σ is diffeomorphic to a surface in the list (18) with $(g, n) = (0, n)$ with $n \geq 1$. Now we reduce the case $(0, n+1)$ to $(0, n)$ for $n \geq 1$. To do so, we pick an embedding $I \times [0, 1] \subset \Sigma$ such that $I \times [0, 1] \cap \partial\Sigma = \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ hits two distinct components of Σ . Then $\Sigma \setminus (I \times (0, 1))$ is of the same type as Σ in the list (18), but with one boundary component less, so an application of Lemma 3.2 (ii) finishes the reduction.

Reducing to a disc. Thus it suffices to prove the case $(g, n) = (0, 1)$, that is, Σ being diffeomorphic to D^2 , M , or $M \natural M$, where $M = \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \text{int}(D^2)$ denotes the Möbius strip.

To reduce the cases M and $M \natural M$ to the case D^2 , we use

$$M \cong ([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) / (x, 0) \sim (1-x, 1).$$

and consider the embedding $\alpha: I \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow M$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, (1/2)y + 1/4)$. Performing the boundary connected sum in $M \natural M$ away from $\alpha(I \times [0, 1]) \cap \partial M$, we have $M \natural M \setminus \text{int}(\alpha(I \times [0, 1])) \cong M$ and $M \setminus \text{int}(\alpha(I \times [0, 1])) \cong D^2$, so an application of Lemma 3.2 (ii) finishes the reduction.

Proving the result for a disc. By the previous sequence of reductions, we may restrict to the case $\Sigma = D^2$. Moreover, as $\partial_0 D^2 = \partial D^2 \hookrightarrow D^2$ is 0-connected, we may assume by Corollary 1.10 that Σ' is connected. If Σ' is connected and not diffeomorphic to D^2 , then $\text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma') = \emptyset$, so we have to prove that in this case also $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma')$ is empty.

If it were non-empty, then the target of the canonical map

$$T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma') \longrightarrow \text{Map}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma')$$

from Section 1.3 (d) is nonempty as well, so Σ' is a connected surface with a boundary component whose inclusion is null-homotopic. We claim this is impossible unless $\Sigma' \cong D^2$. Firstly, if $\Sigma' = \Sigma_1 \natural \cdots \natural \Sigma_n$, then $\pi_1(\Sigma')$ splits as a free product $\pi_1(\Sigma_1) * \cdots * \pi_1(\Sigma_n)$ and we may choose this decomposition so that the homotopy class of the boundary inclusion represents the free product of the homotopy classes of boundary inclusions of those components at which we perform the boundary connected sums. By the classification of connected compact surfaces, it then suffices to observe that all boundary inclusions are non-trivial in the fundamental group of the surfaces $\Sigma_{0,2}$, $\Sigma_{1,1}$, and M . For $\Sigma_{0,2}$, each boundary inclusion represents a generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{0,2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, for $\Sigma_{1,1}$ the boundary inclusion represents $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in \pi_1(\Sigma_{1,1}) \cong \langle x, y \rangle$, and for M it represents twice a generator in $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This finishes the argument that $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, \Sigma')$ is empty for all connected surfaces Σ' not diffeomorphic to D^2 .

Finally, it remains to show that the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. For this we follow the proof of what is sometimes called the *Cerf Lemma* [Cer63, Proposition 5] (which leads to a proof of Smale's theorem [Cer63, Théorème 4]). To this end, we consider the triad $H = D^2 \cap ((-1/2, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\partial_0 H = H \cap \partial D^2$ and $\partial_1 H = H \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R})$, see Figure 3. Inside of this, we have the strip $J = H \cap ([-1/4, 1/4] \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\partial_0 J = J \cap \partial D^2$.

We will use that $\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(J, D^2)$ is path-connected, a consequence of the classification of surfaces. Writing $H_0 = H \setminus ((-1/4, 1/4) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap H$ and $D_0^2 = D^2 \setminus ((-1/4, 1/4) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap D^2$, we combine isotopy extension (see Section 1.3 (f)) with the second part of Theorem 2.1 to obtain a map of fibre sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H_0, D_0^2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H, D^2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(J, D^2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H_0, D_0^2) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H, D^2) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(J, D^2) \end{array}$$

with connected weakly equivalent bases and homotopy fibres taken over the standard inclusion $J \hookrightarrow D^2$. As H is a closed collar on $\partial_0 H$, the middle terms are contractible by the contractibility of the space of collars and Lemma 1.8, so the left vertical map is a weak homotopy equivalence as well. By Lemma 1.8 we may discard the collar $H_0 \cap ((-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R})$, and obtain that for $H'_0 = H \cap ([1/4, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ the map

$$\text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H'_0, D_0^2) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H'_0, D_0^2)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Invoking Corollary 1.10 to neglect $D_0^2 \setminus H_0$ from the target and identifying H'_0 with a disc upon smoothing corners, we conclude that

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2) \tag{19}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.




FIGURE 3. The triads $J, H_0 \subset D^2$. Here H the union of J and H_0 , and $H'_0 \subset H_0$ is the component to the right of J .

3.2. Automorphisms of the E_2 -operad. The above argument does not determine the homotopy type of $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2)$; by Smale's theorem [Sma59] already mentioned above, the space $\text{Diff}_\partial(D^2)$ is contractible and hence so is $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^2, D^2)$ by our result. Combining Theorems 1.2, 1.4, and 6.4 of [BdBW18], the space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^d, D^d)$ can be identified with the $(d+1)$ st loop space $\Omega^{d+1} \text{Aut}^h(E_d)/\text{O}(d)$ of the homotopy fibre of the canonical map

$$BO(d) \longrightarrow B\text{Aut}^h(E_d)$$

obtained by delooping the canonical action of $\text{O}(d)$ on the little discs operad by derived operad automorphisms, so we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. $\Omega^3 \text{Aut}^h(E_2)/\text{O}(2) \simeq *$

Remark 3.4. Horel [Hor17, Theorem 8.5] proved $\text{Aut}^h(E_2)/\text{O}(2) \simeq *$ with different methods.

4. EMBEDDING CALCULUS IN DIMENSION 1

The methods of this paper can also be used to prove a version of Theorem A for 1-manifolds, which we include for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 4.1. *For compact 1-dimensional manifolds M and M' and a boundary condition $e_\partial: \partial M \hookrightarrow \partial M'$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(M, M') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M')$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The proof is by reduction to $M = D^1$, which is dealt with similarly to D^2 in the proof of Theorem A. We use an analogue of Lemma 3.2 (i) which is proved by the same argument.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M and M' be compact 1-manifolds and $e_\partial: \partial M \hookrightarrow \partial M'$ a boundary condition. Fix $D^1 \subset \text{int}(M)$. If for all embeddings $e: D^1 \hookrightarrow \text{int}(M')$, the map*

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(M \setminus \text{int}(D^1), M' \setminus \text{int}(e(D^1))) \rightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M \setminus \text{int}(D^1), M' \setminus e(\text{int}(D^1)))$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence, then so is the map

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(M, M') \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M').$$

4.1. Proof of Theorem 4.1. Using Lemma 4.2, we prove Theorem 4.1 by simplifying M .

Reduction to all components having boundary. We first reduce the claim to the case that all components of M have non-empty boundary by downwards induction over the number of closed components. To do so, we proceed analogously to the corresponding step for surfaces by picking $D^1 \subset M$ in the interior of a closed component so that $M \setminus \text{int}(D^1)$ has one less closed component and applying Lemma 4.2.

Reduction to an interval. Assuming that M has no closed components, we reduce to the case where M is also connected by downwards induction over the number of components. If M is not connected, we can attach a 1-handle $[0, 1]$ along $\{0, 1\}$ to the boundary of two different component of M , so that $M \cup [0, 1]$ has one less component. Using the image of these points under the boundary condition e_∂ , we also attach $[0, 1]$ to M' and consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_\partial(M \cup [0, 1], M' \cup [0, 1]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}([0, 1], M' \cup [0, 1]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M \cup [0, 1], M' \cup [0, 1]) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}([0, 1], M' \cup [0, 1]), \end{array}$$

which allows us to finish the reduction in the same way as in the corresponding step for surfaces.

Proving the result for an interval. If $M = D^1$, we may use Corollary 1.10 as in the case of surfaces to assume that M' is connected, and hence $M' = D^1$ as well. One then takes $H = (-1/2, 1]$, $J = [-1/4, 1/4]$, and $D_0^1 = D^1 \setminus \text{int}(J)$ and develops a map of fibre sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H_0, D_0^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H, D^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(J, D^1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H_0, D_0^1) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(H, D^1) & \longrightarrow & T_\infty \text{Emb}_{\partial_0}(J, D^1). \end{array}$$

similar to that in the last step of the proof for surfaces. We use Lemma 1.8 and Corollary 1.10 to identify the map on fibres with

$$\text{Emb}_\partial(D^1, D^1) \longrightarrow T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(D^1, D^1),$$

which is thus a weak homotopy equivalence, so the claim follows.

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.1 has similar consequences in dimension 1 as Theorem A has in dimension 2. For example, the A_∞ -space $T_\infty \text{Emb}_\partial(M, M)$ is group-like for compact 1-manifolds M (see the first remark of the introduction) and $\text{Diff}_\partial(D^1) \simeq *$ has $\Omega^2 \text{Aut}^h(E_1)/O(1) \simeq *$ as a consequence (see Section 3.2).

REFERENCES

- [BdBW13] P. Boavida de Brito and M. Weiss, *Manifold calculus and homotopy sheaves*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **15** (2013), no. 2, 361–383. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [BdBW18] ———, *Spaces of smooth embeddings and configuration categories*, J. Topol. **11** (2018), no. 1, 65–143. [22](#)
- [Bol09] S. K. Boldsen, *Different versions of mapping class groups of surfaces*, arXiv e-prints (2009), arXiv:0908.2221. [7](#), [8](#)
- [Cer63] J. Cerf, *Théorèmes de fibration des espaces de plongements. Applications*, Séminaire Henri Cartan, 1962/63, Exp. 8, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1962/1963. [2](#), [21](#)
- [DK80] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Function complexes in homotopical algebra*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 4, 427–440. [4](#)
- [Eps66] D. B. A. Epstein, *Curves on 2-manifolds and isotopies*, Acta Math. **115** (1966), 83–107. [8](#)
- [Feu66] C. D. Feustel, *Homotopic arcs are isotopic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 891–896. [17](#)
- [FM12] B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. [8](#), [17](#)

- [GK15] T. G. Goodwillie and J. R. Klein, *Multiple disjunction for spaces of smooth embeddings*, J. Topol. **8** (2015), no. 3, 651–674. [1](#)
- [Gra73] A. Gramain, *Le type d'homotopie du groupe des difféomorphismes d'une surface compacte*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **6** (1973), 53–66. [2](#), [15](#), [17](#)
- [GW99] T. G. Goodwillie and M. Weiss, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. II*, Geom. Topol. **3** (1999), 103–118. [1](#), [3](#)
- [Hat14] A. Hatcher, *A short exposition of the Madsen–Weiss theorem*, 2014, <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/Papers/MW.pdf>. [2](#), [15](#)
- [Hor17] G. Horel, *Profinite completion of operads and the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group*, Adv. Math. **321** (2017), 326–390. [22](#)
- [KK] M. Krannich and A. Kupers, *Embedding calculus, automorphisms of the little discs operad, and the Disc-structure space of a manifold*, in preparation. [1](#)
- [KK20] B. Knudsen and A. Kupers, *Embedding calculus and smooth structures*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2006.03109. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [Kra19] M. Krannich, *Problems from the HCM workshop on automorphisms of manifolds*, 2019, https://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~mk999/hcm_problems.pdf. [1](#)
- [Kö17] A. Körschgen, *Dwyer–Kan equivalences induce equivalences on topologically enriched presheaves*, arXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1704.07472. [4](#)
- [Sma59] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 621–626. [8](#), [22](#)
- [Tur13] V. Turchin, *Context-free manifold calculus and the Fulton–MacPherson operad*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 3, 1243–1271. [2](#)
- [Wei99] M. Weiss, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. I*, Geom. Topol. **3** (1999), 67–101. [1](#), [3](#)
- [Wei11] ———, *Erratum to the article Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory: Part I*, Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), no. 1, 407–409. [1](#)

Email address: krannich@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK

Email address: a.kupers@utoronto.ca

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO SCARBOROUGH, 1265 MILITARY TRAIL, TORONTO, ON M1C 1A4, CANADA