arXiv:2101.07944v1 [math.FA] 20 Jan 2021

INVARIANT SUBSPACE OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON HARDY SPACE

TIANYU BAI, JUNMING LIU*

Abstract. We consider the invariant subspace of composition operators on Hardy space H^p where the composition operators corresponding to a function φ that is a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Firstly, we discuss composition operators C_φ on subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ of Hardy space H^p. We will explore the invariant subspaces for C_φ in various special cases. Secondly, we consider Beurling type invariant subspace for C_φ. When θ is a inner function, we prove that θH^p is invariant for C_φ if and only if $\frac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. Thirdly, we obtain that $z^n H^p$ is nontrivial invariant subspace for Deddends algebras $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ when C_φ is a compact composition operator and φ satisfies that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\| \varphi \|_\infty < 1$.

1. Introduction

The Hardy space H^p [23] consists of analytic functions f on open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\| f \|^p_{H^p} = \sup_{0<r<1} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

for $0 < p < \infty$. In this paper, we discuss the range of p in Hardy space H^p is $0 < p < \infty$. Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. The composition operator C_φ with symbol φ is the operator defined on Hardy space H^p by

$$C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in H^p$. And function of the form $C^n_\varphi = f \circ \varphi \circ \cdots \circ \varphi$. It would be convenient to write $\varphi_2 = \varphi \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi_{n+1} = \varphi_n \circ \varphi$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The symbol $\mathbb{N}$ denotes a set of positive integer numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0$ denotes a set of non-negative integer numbers. Hence $C^n_\varphi = f \circ \varphi_n$. In [23], Theorem 11.12 show that

$$\| C_\varphi \|^p \leq \frac{1+\varphi(0)}{1-\varphi(0)}$$

for $p > 0$. It is not hard to see that C_φ is a bounded operator on H^p. Throughout the section 4 of this paper, we will suppose that C_φ is a compact composition operator. For basic nature of composition operator on Hardy space H^p, we refer the reader to [1, 5, 18].

The invariant subspace problem is one of the most important open problems in linear analysis [9]. Subspaces of Hardy space H^p with unilateral shifts and composition operators have formed an important research field. For studying a family of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35, 32A36.

Key words and phrases. Hardy space, Composition operator, Invariant subspace.

*Corresponding author.

This work was supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 11801094).

subspaces of Hardy space for which a sure subalgebra of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is multiplier algebra [8]. Here the space $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all the functions that are analytic and bounded on $\mathbb{D}$. The vector operations are the usual pointwise addition of functions and multiplication by complex scalars [12]. The norm of the function belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$\| f \|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

It is clear that

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^p \subset H^s$$

for $0 < s < p < \infty$ [17]. The corresponding subspace of the Hardy space is $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ where (α, β) is *admissible pair*. The *admissible pair* (α, β) is a pair complex number that satisfies $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|\alpha| \neq 0$. The sequence of functions

$$\{\alpha + \beta z, z^2, z^3, \cdots\}$$

is orthonormal basis of subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. In fact, $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the subspace of H^p. For specific example $H^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ and some details, we refer the reader to [8]. The space H^2_0 consists of all functions of Hardy space H^2 vanishing at 0, see [22]. The space H^2_a consists of all functions of Hardy space H^2 vanishing at a. We will show that $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant for C_φ if and only if $\varphi(z) = z$ for $\beta \neq 0$. And other invariant subspaces forms about Hardy space $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ of C_φ will be verified in this paper.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all holomorphic and bounded functions by one in modulus on $\mathbb{D}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) : \quad \| f \|_\infty := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f(z)| \leq 1\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ is called *Schur class* and the function f of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ is called *Schur function*. In [3], A. Beurling proved that every invariant subspace of the unilateral shift operator other than $\{0\}$ has the form θH^2 where θ is an inner function. Inner function is function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ that satisfies $|\theta(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|\theta(e^{it})| = 1$ a.e.on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. In [11], V. Matache proved that there exist a invariant closed subspace θH^2 of unilateral shift operator such that subspace θH^2 is invariant under the composition operator C_φ where φ is a holomorphic self-map function of $\mathbb{D}$. In this paper, we will prove that the Beurling type invariant subspace θH^p is invariant for C_φ if and only if

$$\frac{\theta \circ \varphi}{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}).$$

In proof, we will cite Riesz Factorization Theorem [7] as follow:
Riesz factorization theorem: Let f be a non-zero function in $H^p (p > 0)$. Then there exist a Blaschke product B and a function $g \in H^p$ such that

$$g(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } f = Bg.$$

moreover, if $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\| f \|_\infty = \| g \|_\infty$.
We also will cite Schwartz Lemma [17] as follow:
Schwartz Lemma: Suppose $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $\| f \|_\infty \leq 1$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|f'(0)| \leq 1$. If $|f(z)| = |z|$ for one $z \in \mathbb{D} - \{0\}$, or $|f'(0)| = 1$, then $f(z) = \lambda z$, where λ is a constant with $|\lambda| = 1$.

Inner function θ can be decomposed into a Blaschke product B product of a singular inner function S, that is

$$\theta = BS.$$

The Blaschke product

$$B(z) = z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{a}_n}{|a_n|} \frac{a_n - z}{1 - \overline{a}_n z}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ are zeros of B with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1 - |a_n|) < \infty$ and nonnegative integer m is the multiplicity of B equals to zero at point 0. Blaschke product is inner function. The singular inner function

$$S(z) = \lambda \exp\Big(- \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t) \Big)$$

for some unimodular constant λ and positive singular measure μ corresponding to Lebesgue measure.

For Hardy space H^p, let $\mathcal{L}(H^p)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H^p. If $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^p)$ and there exists a positive integer $M = M(T) > 0$ such that

$$\| A^n T f \| \leq M \| A^n f \|$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $f \in H^p$, then the operator T belongs to Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_A$. $\mathcal{D}_A$ consists of all operators that satisfy above in-equation. In [6], Deddens puts forward the algebra under the assumption that A is an invertible operator, and he obtain that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| A^n T A^{-n} \| < \infty.$$

The advantage about our proposed definition is that A can not be an invertible operator. For details and facts about Deddens algebra, we refer the reader to [10, 13, 15, 16, 19]. Multiplication operator M_h is defined to be $M_h f(z) = h(z) f(z)$ for $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \in H^p$. Antiderivative operator V is defined to be $Vf(z) = \int_0^z f(w)dw$ for all $f \in H^p$ and all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In the process, we will show that the operators M_h and V belongs to Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ where C_φ is a compact composition operator. Then the invariant subspace of Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ must be of the form θH^p where θ is inner function. In section 4 of this paper, our main purpose is to prove $z^n H^p$ is nontrivial invariant subspace for Deddends algebras $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ when $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\| \varphi \|_\infty < 1$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we discuss composition operators C_φ on subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ of Hardy space H^p. We will show that $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant for C_φ under some special circumstances where J is an inner function. In section 3, the main theorem determining the Beurling type invariant subspace of composition operator C_φ on Hardy space H^p. Finally, we consider the invariant subspace of Deddens algebra on Hardy space H^p.

2. Invariant subspace of composition operators on subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$

The purpose of this section is to discuss the invariant subspace of C_φ on subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. The following lemma plays a very important role in subsequent proof process and mainly describes some properties of functions on subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Lemma 1. *Let f be a function in H^p and let (α, β) be an admissible pair. Then:*
(1) The function f is in $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $f(0)\beta = f'(0)\alpha$.
(2) Let J be an inner function such that $J(0) \neq 0$, then the function f is in $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $J(0)f'(0)\alpha = J(0)f(0)\beta + J'(0)f(0)\alpha$.

(3) Let G be an inner function with a zero at the point 0 of multiplicity n where n=0,1,2,..., then f is in $GH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if

$$f(0) = f'(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$$

and

$$f^{(n+1)}(0) = \Big\{(n+1)\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{G^{(n+1)}(0)}{G^{(n)}(0)}\Big\} f^{(n)}(0).$$

Proof. For part 1, suppose the function $f \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$f(z) = a_1(\alpha + \beta z) + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.1}$$

hence $f(0) = a_1\alpha$ and $f'(0) = a_1\beta$. Therefore, we get that $f(0)\beta = f'(0)\alpha$. Conversely, it is not hard to see that f has a power series expansion and satisfies (2.1). If f satisfies $f(0)\beta = f'(0)\alpha$, then $a_1 = \dfrac{f(0)}{\alpha} = \dfrac{f'(0)}{\beta}$ when $\beta \neq 0$ and $a_1 = f(0)$ when $\beta = 0$.

For part 2, the function f belongs to $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $f = Jg$ for some $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, which is equivalent to $\dfrac{f}{J} \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. And $\dfrac{f}{J} \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $\big(\dfrac{f}{J}\big)(0)\beta = \big(\dfrac{f}{J}\big)'(0)\alpha$, which is equivalent to $J(0)f'(0)\alpha = J(0)f(0)\beta + J'(0)f(0)\alpha$.

For the part 3, let $G(z) = z^n J(z)$ where J is an inner function and $J(0) \neq 0$, then $G^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)!J'(0)$ and $G^{(n)}(0) = n!J(0)$, so $\dfrac{G^{(n+1)}(0)}{G^{(n)}(0)} = (n+1)\dfrac{J'(0)}{J(0)}$. If $f \in GH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, suppose $f(z) = z^n J(z) g_1(z)$ for some $g_1 \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$g_1(z) = b_1(\alpha + \beta z) + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \cdots,$$

then

$$J(z)g_1(z) = b_1(J(z)\alpha + J(z)\beta z) + J(z)b_2 z^2 + J(z)b_3 z^3 + \cdots.$$

Therefore,

$$f(z) = b_1(J(z)\alpha z^n + J(z)\beta z^{n+1}) + J(z)b_2 z^{n+2} + J(z)b_3 z^{n+3} + \cdots.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$f(0) = f'(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0.$$

Taking the n-derivative and $n+1$-derivative of f at the zero point respectively, we obtain that

$$f^{(n)}(0) = n!b_1 J(0)\alpha \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$f^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)!\big(b_1 J'(0)\alpha + b_1 J(0)\beta\big), \tag{2.3}$$

multiplying both sides of (2.3) by $J(0)\alpha$, and then combine with (2.2) to get

$$J(0)\alpha f^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)\big(J'(0)\alpha + J(0)\beta\big) f^{(n)}(0),$$

then

$$f^{(n+1)}(0) = \Big\{(n+1)\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + (n+1)\frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\} f^{(n)}(0).$$

Therefore,

$$f^{(n+1)}(0) = \Big\{(n+1)\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{G^{(n+1)}(0)}{G^{(n)}(0)}\Big\} f^{(n)}(0).$$

Conversely, if $f \in H^p$ and $f(0) = f'(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$, there exist a function $g_2 \in H^p$ such that $f(z) = z^n g_2(z)$, then we obtain that

$$f^{(n)}(0) = n!g_2(0) \text{ and } f^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)!g_2'(0).$$

And the condition

$$f^{(n+1)}(0) = \Big\{(n+1)\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{G^{(n+1)}(0)}{G^{(n)}(0)}\Big\}f^{(n)}(0)$$

is equivalent to

$$J(0)\alpha f^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)\big(J'(0)\alpha + J(0)\beta\big)f^{(n)}(0),$$

then

$$J(0)\alpha(n+1)!g_2'(0) = (n+1)\big(J'(0)\alpha + J(0)\beta\big)n!g_2(0).$$

Therefore

$$J(0)g_2'(0)\alpha = J(0)g_2(0)\beta + J'(0)g_2(0)\alpha.$$

Thus $g_2 \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, and hence $f(z) = z^n g_2(z) \in z^n JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = GH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

Next, we will explore two special cases, the first is $\beta \neq 0$, the second is $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. The purpose of the following lemma is to verify under what conditions, the subspace $H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ that satisfies the first two cases is invariant for C_φ.

Lemma 2. *Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and let (α, β) be an admissible pair. Then:*
(1) For $\beta \neq 0$, $C_\varphi(H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $\varphi(z) = z$.
(2) $C_\varphi(H^p_{1,0}) \subseteq H^p_{1,0}$ if and only if either $\varphi(0) = 0$ or $\varphi'(0) = 0$.

Proof. For part 1, suppose $C_\varphi(H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then $C_\varphi f = f(\varphi(z)) \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $f \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. By the Lemma 1(1), we obtain that

$$f\big(\varphi(0)\big)\beta = f'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi'(0)\alpha. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking $f(z) = z^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ in (2.4), we get

$$\big(\varphi(0)\big)^n\beta = n\big(\varphi(0)\big)^{n-1}\varphi'(0)\alpha, \tag{2.5}$$

Assume $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, then $\varphi(0)\beta = n\varphi'(0)\alpha$. So $\varphi'(0) = \dfrac{\varphi(0)\beta}{n\alpha}$ for $n \geq 2$. Taking $n \to \infty$, we get $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Taking $\varphi'(0) = 0$ substitute into (2.5), we get $\varphi(0) = 0$. The contradiction shows that $\varphi(0) = 0$. And then taking $f(z) = \alpha + \beta z$ in (2.4), we obtain that $\big(\alpha + \beta\varphi(0)\big)\beta = \varphi'(0)\alpha\beta$. So $\varphi'(0) = 1$. Therefore, by the Schwartz Lemma, we get $\varphi(z) = z$. Conversely, suppose $\varphi(z) = z$, we obtain that $C_\varphi f = f \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $f \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Thus $C_\varphi(H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For part 2, suppose $C_\varphi(H^p_{1,0}) \subseteq H^p_{1,0}$, then $C_\varphi f \in H^p_{1,0}$ for any $f \in H^p_{1,0}$. Then we obtain that $f'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi'(0) = 0$ by Lemma 1(1), taking $f(z) = z^2$ in it, we get either $\varphi(0) = 0$ or $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Conversely, for any $f \in H^p_{1,0}$, then $f'(0) = 0$ by Lemma 1(1). If $\varphi(0) = 0$, for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, we get

$$(C_\varphi f)(0)\beta = f(\varphi(0))\beta = 0 = f'(0)\varphi'(0)\alpha = f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\alpha,$$

we obtain that $C_\varphi f \in H^p_{1,0}$. If $\varphi'(0) = 0$, for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, we get

$$(C_\varphi f)(0)\beta = f(\varphi(0))\beta = 0 = f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\alpha,$$

it is not hard to see that $C_\varphi f \in H^p_{1,0}$. Thus, the condition that either $\varphi(0) = 0$ or $\varphi'(0) = 0$ can imply $C_\varphi(H^p_{0,1}) \in H^p_{1,0}$. □

The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 3. *Let J be an inner function such that $J(0) \neq 0$. Then for an admissible pair (α, β), $J(0)\beta + J'(0)\alpha = 0$ if and only if $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = H^p_{1,0}$. Further, if J has a zero at the point 0 of multiplicity n, then $(n+1)\dfrac{\beta}{\alpha} + \dfrac{J^{(n+1)}(0)}{J^{(n)}(0)} = 0$ if and only if $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = z^n H^p_{1,0}$.*

Proof. For the first half, suppose $J(0)\beta + J'(0)\alpha = 0$. Notice that $f \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if

$$J(0)f'(0)\alpha = J(0)f(0)\beta + J'(0)f(0)\alpha.$$

Then $f'(0) = 0$ since $J(0)\beta + J'(0)\alpha = 0$ and $J(0) \neq 0$. By Lemma 1(1), we get $f \in H^p_{1,0}$. So $f \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $f \in H^p_{1,0}$. Therefore, $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = H^p_{1,0}$. Conversely, suppose $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = H^p_{1,0}$, let $g(z) = (\alpha + \beta z) \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, it is not hard to see that $Jg \in H^p_{1,0}$, then $(Jg)'(0) = 0$, then $J(0)\beta + J'(0)\alpha = 0$.

For the latter part, we know that J has a zero at the point 0 of multiplicity n. Then let $J(z) = z^n J_1(z)$ and $J_1(0) \neq 0$, we get $J^{(n)}(0) = n!J_1(0)$ and $J^{(n+1)}(0) = (n+1)!J_1'(0)$. Hence $(n+1)\dfrac{\beta}{\alpha} + \dfrac{J^{(n+1)}(0)}{J^{(n)}(0)} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(n+1)\Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J_1'(0)}{J_1(0)}\Big\} = 0,$$

then $J_1(0)\beta + J_1'(0)\alpha = 0$ if and only if $J_1 H^p_{\alpha,\beta} = H^p_{1,0}$ if and only if $z^n J_1 H^p_{\alpha,\beta} = z^n H^p_{1,0}$, that is, $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} = z^n H^p_{1,0}$. □

The next two theorems give the conditions of $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ under composition operator C_φ for special types of function J and φ.

Theorem 1. *Let $\beta \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z) = z^k$.*
(1) If $n = 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2\}$, then the subspace $zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ.
(2) If $n \geq 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the subspace $z^n H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ

Proof. For part 1, suppose $k = 1$, then $\varphi(z) = z$. It is not hard to see that $C_\varphi(zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Suppose $k \geq 3$. Let $f(z) = zg(z) \in zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$g(z) = a_1(\alpha + \beta z) + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots,$$

then

$$f(z) = z\{a_1(\alpha + \beta z) + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(C_\varphi f)(z) =& f(z^k)\\ =& z^k\{a_1(\alpha + \beta z^k) + a_2 z^{2k} + a_3 z^{3k} + \cdots\}\\ =& z\{a_1(\alpha z^{k-1} + \beta z^{2k-1}) + a_2 z^{3k-1} + a_3 z^{4k-1} + \cdots\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $C_\varphi f \in zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, as $k \geq 3$. Therefore $C_\varphi(zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For part 2, suppose $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $f(z) = z^n g(z) \in z^n H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$g(z) = b_1(\alpha + \beta z) + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \cdots,$$

then

$$f(z) = z^n\{b_1(\alpha + \beta z) + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \cdots\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(C_\varphi f)(z) =& f(z^k)\\ =& z^{nk}\{b_1(\alpha+\beta z^k)+b_2z^{2k}+b_3z^{3k}+\cdots\}\\ =& z^n\{b_1(\alpha z^{(k-1)n}+\beta z^{(k-1)n+k})+b_2z^{(k-1)n+2k}+b_3z^{(k-1)n+3k}+\cdots\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_\varphi f\in z^nH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Therefore, $C_\varphi(z^nH^p_{\alpha,\beta})\subseteq z^nH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

We consider whether the subspace $zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ for $\varphi(z)=z^2$. Let $g(z)=(\alpha+\beta z)$, then $f(z)=zg(z)=z(\alpha+\beta z)\in zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then

$$(C_\varphi f)(z)=C_\varphi\big(z(\alpha+\beta z)\big)=z^2(\alpha+\beta z^2)=z(\alpha z+\beta z^3)\notin zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Therefore, $zH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is not invariant under C_φ for $\varphi(z)=z^2$.

Theorem 2. *Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda>0$. Then:*
(1) If $\lambda\neq\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$, then $e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ if and only if $\varphi(z)=z$.
(2)If $\lambda=\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$, then $e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ if and only if either $\varphi(0)=0$ or $\varphi'(0)=0$.

Proof. Notice that $e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f\in e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $f\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$C_\varphi\big(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f(z)\big)=e^{\lambda\frac{\varphi(z)+1}{\varphi(z)-1}}f\big(\varphi(z)\big).$$

Let $g(z)=e^{\lambda(\frac{\varphi(z)+1}{\varphi(z)-1}-\frac{z+1}{z-1})}f\big(\varphi(z)\big)$, then

$$e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}g(z)=e^{\lambda\frac{\varphi(z)+1}{\varphi(z)-1}}f\big(\varphi(z)\big)=C_\varphi\big(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f(z)\big).$$

Thus, $g(z)\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}g(z)\in e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f(z))\in e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta})\subseteq e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Suppose $e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ is invariant under C_φ, that is $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta})\subseteq e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, which implies that $g\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then $g(0)\beta=g'(0)\alpha$ by Lemma 1(1). It is not hard to see that

$$g(0)=e^{2\lambda(\frac{\varphi(0)}{\varphi(0)-1})}f(\varphi(0)) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$g'(z)=e^{\lambda(\frac{\varphi(z)+1}{\varphi(z)-1}-\frac{z+1}{z-1})}\Big[2\lambda\Big(\frac{1}{(z-1)^2}-\frac{\varphi'(z)}{(\varphi(z)-1)^2}\Big)f(\varphi(z))+f'(\varphi(z))\varphi'(z)\Big],$$

then

$$g'(0)=e^{2\lambda\left(\frac{\varphi(0)}{\varphi(0)-1}\right)}\Big[2\lambda\Big(1-\frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)f(\varphi(0))+f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\Big]. \tag{2.7}$$

So substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into $g(0)\beta=g'(0)\alpha$, we get

$$f(\varphi(0))\beta=\Big[2\lambda\Big(1-\frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)f(\varphi(0))+f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\Big]\alpha. \tag{2.8}$$

Firstly, for $\lambda\neq\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$, taking $f(z)=z^n$ $(n\geq 2)$ in (2.8), we get

$$(\varphi(0))^n\beta=\Big[2\lambda\Big(1-\frac{\varphi'(0)}{\big(\varphi(0)-1\big)^2}\Big)(\varphi(0))^n+n(\varphi(0))^{(n-1)}\varphi'(0)\Big]\alpha. \tag{2.9}$$

Assume $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{n}\varphi(0)\beta = \Big[\frac{1}{n}2\lambda\Big(1 - \frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)\varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)\Big]\alpha. \tag{2.10}$$

Taking $n \to \infty$, then $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi'(0) = 0$ take in (2.9), we get

$$\varphi(0)\beta = \varphi(0)2\lambda\alpha.$$

Then $\varphi(0) = 0$ since $\lambda \neq \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$. The contradiction shows that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Therefore,

$$f(0)\beta = \Big[2\lambda\big(1 - \varphi'(0)\big)f(0) + f'(0)\varphi'(0)\Big]\alpha. \tag{2.11}$$

And then taking $f(z) = \alpha + \beta z$ in (2.11), we get

$$\beta = 2\lambda\alpha\big(1 - \varphi'(0)\big) + \beta\varphi'(0),$$

which implies $\varphi'(0) = 1$ since $\lambda \neq \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$. According to the Schwartz Lemma, we get that $\varphi(z) = z$.

After that, for $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$, taking it in (2.8), then

$$f(\varphi(0))\beta = \Big(1 - \frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)f(\varphi(0))\beta + f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\alpha. \tag{2.12}$$

Taking $f(z) = z^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ in (2.12), we get

$$(\varphi(0))^n\beta = \Big(1 - \frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)\big(\varphi(0)\big)^n\beta + n\big(\varphi(0)\big)^{n-1}\varphi'(0)\alpha. \tag{2.13}$$

If $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\beta}{n}\varphi(0) = \Big(1 - \frac{\varphi'(0)}{(\varphi(0)-1)^2}\Big)\varphi(0)\frac{\beta}{n} + \varphi'(0)\alpha. \tag{2.14}$$

Taking $n \to \infty$, we get $\varphi'(0) = 0$.

Conversely, for $\lambda \neq \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$. Suppose $\varphi(z) = z$, then $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f) = e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f \in e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $f \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Thus $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. For $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}$. Suppose $\varphi(0) = 0$, then $g(0) = f(0)$ and $g'(0) = 2\lambda f(0)(1-\varphi'(0)) + f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha g'(0) =& 2\alpha\lambda f(0)(1-\varphi'(0)) + \alpha f'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)\\ =& \beta f(0)(1-\varphi'(0)) + \alpha f'(0)\varphi'(0)\\ =& \beta f(0)\\ =& \beta g(0)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then we get $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. If $\varphi'(0) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha g'(0) =& e^{2\lambda(\frac{\varphi(0)}{\varphi(0)-1})}2\lambda\alpha f(\varphi(0))\\ =& \beta e^{2\lambda(\frac{\varphi(0)}{\varphi(0)-1})}f(\varphi(0))\\ =& \beta g(0)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then we get $C_\varphi(e^{\lambda\frac{z+1}{z-1}}H^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

Theorems 1 and 2 are examples of more general results about the invariance of $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ under C_φ. Due to the intuitive value, we choose to keep special cases as independent results.

Next we will pay attention to the equivalent conditions of $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ as an invariant subspace under C_φ in general.

Theorem 3. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a holomorphic and different from the identity map, (α, β) be an admissible pair and J be an inner function such that $JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} \neq H^p_{1,0}$. Suppose that $0 \notin \mathcal{Z}_J$, the set of zeros of J. Then*

$$C_\varphi(JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq JH^p_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ if and only if } \varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_J \text{ and } J \circ \varphi \in z^2 H^\infty.$$

Proof. Suppose that $C_\varphi(JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}) \subseteq JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Firstly, we will show that $\varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_J$. Suppose to the contrary that $\varphi(a) \notin \mathcal{Z}_J$ for some $a \in \mathcal{Z}_J$, that is, $J\big(\varphi(a)\big) \neq 0$. Let $f = Jg \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then $C_\varphi f \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then

$$(C_\varphi f)(a) = f\big(\varphi(a)\big) = J\big(\varphi(a)\big)g\big(\varphi(a)\big) = 0.$$

Thus $g\big(\varphi(a)\big) = 0$ since $J\big(\varphi(a)\big) \neq 0$. Taking $g(z) = \alpha + \beta z$, we obtain that

$$\alpha + \beta\varphi(a) = 0.$$

Assume $\beta = 0$, we get $\alpha = 0$, then (α, β) is not a admissible pair. Hence $\beta \neq 0$ and $\varphi(a) = -\dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}$. And taking $g(z) = z^2$, then $\dfrac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} = 0$ since $g\big(\varphi(a)\big) = g(-\dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}) = 0$. Thus $\alpha = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $J\big(\varphi(a)\big) = 0$, that is $\varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_J$.

Next, we will show that $J \circ \varphi \in z^2 H^\infty$. Let $f = Jg \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g \in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then $C_\varphi f \in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. According to Lemma 1(2), we get

$$J(0)(f \circ \varphi)'(0)\alpha = J(0)(f \circ \varphi)(0)\beta + J'(0)(f \circ \varphi)(0)\alpha,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(f \circ \varphi)'(0) = \Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}(f \circ \varphi)(0). \tag{2.15}$$

And then

$$\Big[J'\big(\varphi(0)\big)g\big(\varphi(0)\big) + J\big(\varphi(0)\big)g'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\Big]\varphi'(0) = \Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J(\varphi(0))g(\varphi(0)). \tag{2.16}$$

Assume $\varphi(0) = 0$, then

$$\Big[J'(0)g(0) + J(0)g'(0)\Big]\varphi'(0) = \Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J(0)g(0). \tag{2.17}$$

Taking $g(z) = \alpha + \beta z$ in (2.17), then

$$\Big[J'(0)\alpha + J(0)\beta\Big]\varphi'(0) = \Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J(0)\alpha.$$

Thus $\varphi'(0) = 1$ since $J'(0)\alpha + J(0)\beta \neq 0$. According to the Schwartz Lemma, we get $\varphi(z) = z$. The contradiction shows that $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. And then taking $g(z) = z^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ in (2.16), we get

$$\Big[J'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\big(\varphi(0)\big)^n + J\big(\varphi(0)\big)n\big(\varphi(0)\big)^{n-1}\Big]\varphi'(0) = \Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J\big(\varphi(0)\big)\big(\varphi(0)\big)^n.$$

Then

$$\Big[\frac{1}{n}J'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi(0)+J\big(\varphi(0)\big)\Big]\varphi'(0)=\frac{1}{n}\Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi(0). \tag{2.18}$$

Taking $n\to\infty$, we get $J(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)=0$. Assume $J(\varphi(0))\neq 0$, then $\varphi'(0)=0$. Taking $\varphi'(0)=0$ in (2.18), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{n}\Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}J\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi(0)=0.$$

Then $J(\varphi(0))=0$. The contradiction shows that $J(\varphi(0))=0$. Taking $J(\varphi(0))=0$ in (2.18), we get $J'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)=0$, that is $(J\circ\varphi)'(0)=0$. And J is a inner function. Hence $J\circ\varphi\in z^2H^\infty$.

Conversely, suppose $\varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J)\subseteq\mathcal{Z}_J$ and $J\circ\varphi\in z^2H^\infty$. Hence

$$J(\varphi(0))=0 \text{ and } J'(\varphi(0))\varphi'(0)=0.$$

Let $f=Jg\in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$(f\circ\varphi)(0)=J\big(\varphi(0)\big)g\big(\varphi(0)\big)=0$$

and

$$(f\circ\varphi)'(0)=J'\big(\varphi(0)\big)g\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi'(0)+J\big(\varphi(0)\big)g'\big(\varphi(0)\big)\varphi'(0)=0.$$

Therefore,

$$(f\circ\varphi)'(0)=\Big\{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}\Big\}(f\circ\varphi)(0)=0,$$

that is,

$$J(0)(f\circ\varphi)'(0)\alpha=J(0)(f\circ\varphi)(0)\beta+J'(0)(f\circ\varphi)(0)\alpha=0.$$

By Lemma 1(2), we get $C_\varphi f\in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence $C_\varphi JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}\subseteq JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

In the next theorem, we will discuss the case that 0 belongs to the set $\mathcal{Z}_J$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\varphi:\mathbb{D}\longrightarrow\mathbb{D}$ be a holomorphic and different from the identity map, (α,β) be an admissible pair, and J an inner function such that $0\in\mathcal{Z}_J$ with multiplicity n. If $\varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J)\subseteq\mathcal{Z}_J$ and $J\circ\varphi\in z^{n+2}H^\infty$, then $C_\varphi(JH^p_{\alpha,\beta})\subseteq JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$.*

Proof. If $\varphi(\mathcal{Z}_J)\subseteq\mathcal{Z}_J$ and $J\circ\varphi\in z^{n+2}H^\infty$, then

$$(J\circ\varphi)(0)=(J\circ\varphi)'(0)=\cdots=(J\circ\varphi)^{(n+1)}(0)=0.$$

Let $f=Jg\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $g\in H^p_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(f\circ\varphi)^{(k)}=&\Big((J\circ\varphi)(g\circ\varphi)\Big)^{(k)}(0)\\ =&\sum_{m=0}^{k}C_k^m(J\circ\varphi)^{(k-m)}(0)(g\circ\varphi)^{(m)}(0).\end{aligned}$$

Then $(f\circ\varphi)^{(k)}(0)=0$ for $0\le k\le n+1$. Therefore,

$$(f\circ\varphi)^{(n+1)}(0)=\Big\{(n+1)\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\frac{J^{(n+1)}(0)}{J^{(n)}(0)}\Big\}(f\circ\varphi)^{(n)}(0)=0.$$

By Lemma 1(3), we get that $C_\varphi f=(f\circ\varphi)\in JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence $C_\varphi(JH^p_{\alpha,\beta})\subseteq JH^p_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

By [21], Schwartz states that a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ is an automorphism if and only if C_φ is invertible operator that belongs to H^2. In the following theorem, we discuss the range will narrow to the subspace of H^2.

Theorem 5. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a holomorphic function. Let H^2_a denote the subspace of H^2 consisting of functions vanishing at $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and let H^2_b denote the subspace of H^2 consisting of functions vanishing at $b \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $C_\varphi : H^2_a \to H^2_b$ is invertible if and only if φ is an automorphism and $\varphi(b) = a$*

Proof. If φ is an automorphism and $\varphi(b) = a$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(a) = b$. For $f \in H^2_a$, then

$$(C_\varphi f)(b) = f(\varphi(b)) = f(a) = 0,$$

and for $g \in H^2_b$, then

$$(C_{\varphi^{-1}} g)(a) = g(\varphi^{-1}(a)) = g(b) = 0.$$

Therefore $C_\varphi : H^2_a \to H^2_b$ and $C_{\varphi^{-1}} : H^2_b \to H^2_a$ are well-defined. Notice that for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in H^2_a$, we obtain that

$$f(z) = f\big(\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(z))\big) = (C_{\varphi^{-1}} C_\varphi f)(z)$$

Then $C_{\varphi^{-1}} C_\varphi = I_{H^2_a}$. In the same way, we get $C_\varphi C_{\varphi^{-1}} = I_{H^2_b}$. So C_φ is invertible and the inverse of C_φ is $C_{\varphi^{-1}}$.

Conversely, suppose $C_\varphi : H^2_a \to H^2_b$ is invertible. Let $f(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z} \in H^2_a$. Then $(C_\varphi f)(z) = f(\varphi(z)) \in H^2_b$, that is $f(\varphi(b)) = 0$. And hence $\varphi(b) = a$. Let K^a_w is the reproducing kernel of H^2_a at the point w and let K^b_w is the reproducing kernel of H^2_b at the point w. Let w_1 and w_2 belong to $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(w_1) = \varphi(w_2)$. It is not hard to see that

$$K^a_{\varphi(w_1)} = K^a_{\varphi(w_2)},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, C^*_\varphi K^a_{w_1} \rangle &= \langle C_\varphi f, K^a_{w_1} \rangle \\
&= f(\varphi(w_1)) \\
&= f(\varphi(w_2)) \\
&= \langle C_\varphi f, K^a_{w_2} \rangle \\
&= \langle f, C^*_\varphi K^a_{w_2} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for $f \in H^2_a$. Since C^*_φ is invertible, we obtain that $K^a_{w_1} = K^a_{w_2}$, then $w_1 = w_2$. So φ is injective. Let $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ and $\varphi_b(z) = \frac{b-z}{1-\bar{b}z}$. Suppose $\varphi_1 = \varphi_a \circ \varphi_b$, then φ_1 is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi_1(b) = a$ and $\varphi_1^{-1} = \varphi_b \circ \varphi_a$, which implies that $C^{-1}_{\varphi_1} = C_{\varphi_1^{-1}}$ and $C^{-1}_{\varphi_1} : H^2_b \to H^2_a$ are well-defined. Let $\psi = \varphi \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$, then $\psi(a) = \varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(a)) = \varphi(b) = a$ and $C_\psi = C_{\varphi_1^{-1}} C_\varphi : H^2_a \to H^2_a$ is well-defined. Since C_φ and $C_{\varphi_1^{-1}}$ are invertible, we obtain that C_ψ is also invertible. For any $w \in \mathbb{D}$, notice that the reproducing kernel K^a_w belongs to H^2_a. Hence there exist a function $g \in H^2_a$ such that $C^*_\psi g = K^a_w$ as $C^*_\psi g$ is invertible. Suppose $g(z) = \frac{\bar{\eta}(z-a)}{1-\bar{\eta}(z-a)} \in H^2_a$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$. Let f_1, f_2 and $f_1 f_2$ belong to H^2_a. Then there exist F_1, F_2 and F belong to H^2_a such that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\psi F_1 &= f_1, \\
C_\psi F_2 &= f_2, \\
C_\psi F &= f_1 f_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1F_2)(\psi(w)) &= F_1(\psi(w))F_2(\psi(w))\\ &= (C_\psi F_1)(w)(C_\psi F_2)(w)\\ &= f_1(w)f_2(w)\\ &= (C_\psi F)(w)\\ &= F(\psi(w)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $F_1F_2 = F$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f_1f_2, g\rangle &= \langle C_\psi F_1F_2, g\rangle\\ &= \langle F_1F_2, C_\psi^* g\rangle\\ &= \langle F_1F_2, K_w^a\rangle\\ &= F_1(w)F_2(w)\\ &= \langle F_1, K_w^a\rangle\langle F_2, K_w^a\rangle\\ &= \langle F_1, C_\psi^* g\rangle\langle F_2, C_\psi^* g\rangle\\ &= \langle C_\psi F_1, g\rangle\langle C_\psi F_2, g\rangle\\ &= \langle f_1, g\rangle\langle f_2, g\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \to \langle f, g\rangle$ is multiplicative linear functional on H_a^2. Thus we obtain that $g(z) = \frac{\bar{\eta}(z-a)}{1-\bar{\eta}(z-a)} = K_\eta^a(z)$ where $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$. So $C_\psi^* K_w^a = K_w^a$, that is $K_{\varphi(\eta)}^a = K_w^a$. Thus for any $w \in \mathbb{D}$, there exist a point $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\psi(\eta) = w$. Therefore, ψ is surjective. It is not hard to see that ψ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. Notice that $\varphi = \psi \circ \varphi_1$, then φ is an automorphism. □

3. **Beurling type invariant subspace of composition operators on Hardy space H^p**

Throughout this section, let φ be a holomorphic self-map function of $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be an inner function. The symbol $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ represents the zero set of holomorphic function f and the symbol $mult_f(z)$ represents the multiplicity of z that belongs to set $\mathcal{Z}(f)$.

Now we are ready to present a important lemma that is basic of this section.

Lemma 4. *(1)If θH^p is invariant for C_φ, then $mult_\theta(\alpha) \le mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta)$.*
(2)The quotient $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ defines a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}$ if and only if $mult_\theta(\alpha) \le mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta)$.

Proof. For part 1, if θH^p is invariant for C_φ, that is $C_\varphi(\theta H^p) \subseteq \theta H^p$, there exist a function $f \in H^p$ such that

$$C_\varphi(\theta) = \theta\circ\varphi = \theta f,$$

then

$$\mathcal{Z}(\theta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\theta\circ\varphi).$$

Hence for any a point $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\theta\circ\varphi)$, then $\theta(\varphi(a)) = 0$, which is equivalent to $\varphi(a) \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta)$, Therefore $mult_\theta(\alpha) \le mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$.

For part 2, suppose $mult_\theta(\alpha) \leq mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be the zero sequence of $\theta(\{a_n\}$ may be empty or finite set). Let B_1 be the Blaschke product corresponding to the sequence $\{a_n\}$. Thus there exist a holomorphic function f such that

$$\theta = B_1 f \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If α is a zero of θ, then

$$mult_\theta(\alpha) \leq mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha).$$

Thus, there exist a Blaschke product B_2 and a holomorphic function g such that

$$\theta \circ \varphi = B_1 B_2 g \text{ and } g(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} = \dfrac{B_1B_2g}{B_1f} = \dfrac{B_2g}{f}$ is a holomorphic function clearly on $\mathbb{D}$. Conversely, if $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose to the contrary that $mult_\theta(\alpha) > mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta)$, then

$$\lim_{z\to\alpha} \frac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} = \infty.$$

Thus $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ is not holomorphic, a contradiction with conditions. □

The inverse of Lemma 4(1) is invalid, that is, $mult_\theta(\alpha) \leq mult_{\theta\circ\varphi}(\alpha)$ can not get θH^p is an invariant for C_φ. And the Lemma 4(1) will be discussed in latter corollary.

The following theorem is the central result of this section.

Theorem 6. *The following statement are equivalent:*
(1) θH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ.
(2) $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Suppose θH^p is invariant under the composition operator C_φ. By the Lemma 4, we get that $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}$. Since $\theta\circ\varphi \in \theta H^p$, there exist a function $f \in H^p$ such that

$$\theta \circ \varphi = \theta f,$$

then

$$f = \frac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} \in H^p.$$

Since θ is inner function, then $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. By Riesz factorization theorem, there exist a function $g_1 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and a Blaschke product B_1 such that

$$g_1(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \theta = B_1 g_1.$$

Again by Riesz factorization theorem, there exist a function $g_2 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and a Blaschke product B_2 such that

$$g_2(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \theta \circ \varphi = B_2 g_2.$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}(\theta) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\theta\circ\varphi)$, there exist a Blaschke product B_3 such that

$$B_2 = B_1 B_3.$$

Then

$$\theta \circ \varphi = B_1 B_3 g_2.$$

For $g_2 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\| B_2 \|_\infty = \| B_1 B_3 \|_\infty = 1$, as B_2 is a inner function, which implies that

$$\| \theta \circ \varphi \|_\infty = \| B_1 B_3 g_2 \|_\infty = \| g_2 \|_\infty \leq 1.$$

And

$$f = \frac{\theta \circ \varphi}{\theta} = \frac{B_1 B_3 g_2}{B_1 g_1} = \frac{B_3 g_2}{g_1} \in H^p.$$

Since $|g_1(e^{it})| = 1$ a.e.,taking the radial limit, then

$$|f(e^{it})| = \left| \frac{B_3(e^{it}) g_2(e^{it})}{g_1(e^{it})} \right| = |g_2(e^{it})| \text{ a.e.},$$

and hence $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\| f \|_\infty = \| g_2 \|_\infty \leq 1$. Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose $\dfrac{\theta \circ \varphi}{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\theta \circ \varphi = \theta f.$$

Let $g \in H^p$, then

$$C_\varphi(\theta g) = (\theta \circ \varphi)(g \circ \varphi) = \theta f (g \circ \varphi).$$

And C_φ is bounded, then

$$g \circ \varphi \in H^p.$$

Since $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we get that $f(g \circ \varphi) \in H^p$. Hence $C_\varphi(\theta g) = \theta f(g \circ \varphi) \in \theta H^p$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 1. *Let B be a Blaschke product and let φ be a holomorphic self map of $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*
(1)BH^p is an invariant subspace under C_φ.
(2)$mult_B(w) \leq mult_{B\circ\varphi}(w)$ for all w in $\mathcal{Z}(B)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose BH^p is invariant under C_φ, that is,

$$C_\varphi(BH^p) \subseteq BH^p.$$

By Lemma 4(1), we get easily $mult_B(w) \leq mult_{B\circ\varphi}(w)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose $mult_B(w) \leq mult_{B\circ\varphi}(w)$ for all w in $\mathcal{Z}(B)$. By Riesz factorization theorem, there exist a Blaschke product B_1 and a function $g_1 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$B \circ \varphi = B_1 g_1 \text{ and } g_1(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

since $B \circ \varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. According to the condition that $mult_{B_1}(w) = mult_{B\circ\varphi}(w) \geq mult_B(w)$, then there exist a Blaschke product B_2 such that

$$B_1 = BB_2.$$

Thus,

$$B \circ \varphi = BB_2 g_1.$$

Since $g_1 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\|BB_2\|_\infty = 1$, as $B_1 = BB_2$ is inner function. Then

$$\|g_1\|_\infty = \|BB_2 g_1\|_\infty = \|B \circ \varphi\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Thus $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\frac{B \circ \varphi}{B} = \frac{BB_2 g_1}{B} = B_2 g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}),$$

since $\|B_2 g_1\|_\infty \leq \|B_2\|_\infty \|g_1\|_\infty \leq 1$. By Theorem 6, BH^p is invariant under C_φ. □

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, we will call $b \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ a fixed point of φ if

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} \varphi(rb) = b.$$

If $b \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ is a fixed point, then

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} \varphi'(rb) = \varphi'(b).$$

Let φ be automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ and φ not be an identity, then φ has at least two fixed points in complex plane. φ is said to be:
(1) elliptic if φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$.
(2) parabolic if φ has a unique fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$.
(3) hyperbolic if φ has two fixed points in $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

The following theorem is another form of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. *Let θ be an inner function and φ be an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*
(1) θH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ.
(2) $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ is unimodular constant.
Moreover, in this case, if $w \in \mathbb{D}$ is the unique fixed point of φ, then

$$\frac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} \equiv \begin{cases} \big(\varphi'(w)\big)^{mult_\theta(w)} & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose θH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ, we get $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f = \dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$. Suppose $w \in \mathbb{D}$ is the unique fixed point of φ. Let

$$g_w(z) = \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In the first case, if $w \in \mathcal{Z}(\theta)$, then there exist an inner function θ_1 such that

$$\theta(z) = \big(g_w(z)\big)^{mult_\theta(w)}\theta_1(z) \text{ and } \theta_1(w) \neq 0$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta} \\ &= \frac{\big(g_w\circ\varphi\big)^{mult_\theta(w)}(\theta_1\circ\varphi)}{\big(g_w\big)^{mult_\theta(w)}\theta_1} \\ &= \Big(\frac{g_w\circ\varphi}{g_w}\Big)^{mult_\theta(w)}\big(\frac{\theta_1\circ\varphi}{\theta_1}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{z\to w}\frac{(g_w\circ\varphi)(z)}{g_w(z)} &= \lim_{z\to w}\frac{w-\varphi(z)}{1-\bar{w}\varphi(z)}\cdot\frac{1-\bar{w}z}{w-z}\\ &= \lim_{z\to w}\frac{w-\varphi(z)-|w|^2z+\bar{w}z\varphi(z)}{w-z-|w|^2\varphi(z)+\bar{w}z\varphi(z)}\\ &= \lim_{z\to w}\frac{\big(-\varphi'(z)\big)-|w|^2+\bar{w}\varphi(z)+\bar{w}z\varphi'(z)}{(-1)-|w|^2\varphi'(z)+\bar{w}\varphi(z)+\bar{w}z\varphi'(z)}\\ &= \frac{\varphi'(w)(|w|^2-1)}{|w|^2-1}\\ &= \varphi'(w).\end{aligned}$$

Since w is the unique fixed point of φ, that is $\varphi(w)=w$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}f(w) &= \Big(\frac{g_w\circ\varphi(w)}{g_w(w)}\Big)^{mult_\theta(w)}\big(\frac{\theta_1\circ\varphi(w)}{\theta_1(w)}\big)\\ &= \big(\varphi'(w)\big)^{mult_\theta(w)}\frac{\theta_1(w)}{\theta_1(w)}\\ &= \varphi'(w)^{mult_\theta(w)}.\end{aligned}$$

We get $|\varphi'(w)|=1$ since φ is an elliptic automorphism, and hence $|f(w)|=1$. Since $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$, by the maximum modulus principle, we get f is constant function and $f\equiv f(w)\equiv\varphi'(w)^{mult_\theta(w)}$. The other case, if $w\notin\mathcal{Z}(\theta)$, that is, $\theta(w)\neq 0$, then

$$f(w)=\frac{\theta\circ\varphi(w)}{\theta(w)}=\frac{\theta(w)}{\theta(w)}=1.$$

Again by the maximum modulus principle, we get f is constant function and $f\equiv f(w)\equiv 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}$ is unimodular constant, then $\dfrac{\theta\circ\varphi}{\theta}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$. By Theorem 6, we directly get θH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ. □

We repeat the proof to get the next two results.

Corollary 2. *Let φ be a holomorphic self map of $\mathbb{D}$ and let $w\in\mathbb{D}$ be the fixed point of φ. Let θ be an inner function and suppose that $\theta(w)\neq 0$. Then θH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ if and only if $\theta\circ\varphi=\theta$.*

Theorem 8. *Let φ be a parabolic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, every orbit of φ is Blaschke summable. Then $B_zH^p\in LatC_\varphi$ for all $z\in\mathbb{D}$, where B_z is the Blaschke product corresponding to the orbit $\{\varphi_m(z)\}_{m\geq 0}$.*

Proof. For any $a\in\mathbb{D}$, B_z is the Blaschke product corresponding to the orbit $\{\varphi_m(a)\}_{m\geq 0}$, then $\{\varphi_m(a)\}_{m\geq 0}\subseteq\mathcal{Z}(B_a)$. Since every orbit of φ is Blaschke summable, and by Corollary 1, there is clearly that B_aH^p is an invariant subspace for C_φ, then $B_aH^p\in LatC_\varphi$. Therefore $B_zH^p\in LatC_\varphi$ for all $z\in\mathbb{D}$. □

4. Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ associated to compact composition operators on H^p

In this section, let $C_\varphi:H^p\to H^p$ be a bounded linear operator and let C_φ be a compact composition operator.

Now we will determine that some types of operators belong to $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ where. In the following two theorems, we will show that composition operator C_φ, multiplication operator M_h and antiderivative operator V belong to Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic function that satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then the composition operator C_φ belongs to the Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.*

Proof. Notice that C_φ is a bounded operator, then there exist a constant $M > 0$ such that $\| C_\varphi \| \leq M$. For $f \in H^p$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \| C_\varphi^n C_\varphi f \|_{H^p} &= \| C_\varphi C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \\ &\leq \| C_\varphi \| \| C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \\ &\leq M \| C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore composition operator C_φ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. □

Theorem 9. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic function that satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$ and let $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then the operators M_h and V belongs to the Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $C_\varphi M_h = M_{h\circ\varphi} C_\varphi$, and then

$$C_\varphi^2 M_h = C_\varphi M_{h\circ\varphi} C_\varphi = M_{h\circ\varphi_2} C_\varphi^2,$$
$$C_\varphi^3 M_h = C_\varphi M_{h\circ\varphi_2} C_\varphi^2 = M_{h\circ\varphi_3} C_\varphi^3.$$

Hence

$$C_\varphi^n M_h = M_{h\circ\varphi_n} C_\varphi^n.$$

Notice that $\| M_{h\circ\varphi_n} \| = \| h \circ \varphi_n \|_\infty$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, then we obtain that

$$\| h \circ \varphi_n \|_\infty \leq \| h \|_\infty .$$

Let $M_1 = \| h \|_\infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| C_\varphi^n M_h f \|_{H^p} &= \| M_{h\circ\varphi_n} C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \\ &\leq \| M_{h\circ\varphi_n} \| \| C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \\ &\leq \| h \|_\infty \| C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \\ &= M_1 \| C_\varphi^n f \|_{H^p} \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in H^p$. Therefore, multiplication operator M_h belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.

Let $f \in H^p$, it is not hard to see that $C_\varphi V f = V M_{\varphi'} C_\varphi f$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} (C_\varphi^2 V f)(z) &= (C_\varphi V M_{\varphi'} C_\varphi f)(z) \\ &= C_\varphi \int_0^z (f \circ \varphi)(w) d(\varphi(w)) \\ &= \int_0^z (f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi)(w) d((\varphi \circ \varphi)(w)) \\ &= \int_0^z (f \circ \varphi_2)(w) \varphi_2'(w) dw \\ &= (V M_{\varphi_2'} C_\varphi^2 f)(z), \end{aligned}$$

so that $C_\varphi^n V f = V M_{\varphi_n'} C_\varphi^n f$. By the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, we get that antiderivative operator V belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ if and only if there exist a constant M_2 such that $\| V M_{\varphi_n'} \| \leq M_2$. And hence we just have to prove $\| V M_{\varphi_n'} \| \leq M_2$. It is clear that $\| \varphi \|_\infty \leq 1$ and φ_n is a self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that

$\| \varphi_n \|_{BMO} \leq 1$ where $\| \cdot \|_{BMO}$ denotes BMO norm [23]. By a classic result of Pommerenke [2, 13], we get that

$$\| \int_0^z \varphi_n'(w) f(w) dw \|_{H^p} \leq M \| f \|_{H^p}$$

for $M > 0$, $f \in H^p$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f = 1 \in H^p$, then

$$\| V M_{\varphi_n'} 1 \|_{H^p} = \| \int_0^z \varphi_n'(w) dw \|_{H^p} \leq M.$$

Therefore, antiderivative operator V belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. □

Lemma 6. *If u and v are function that are differentiable $n+1$, then*

$$\int u(w) v^{(n)}(w) dw = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u^{(j-1)}(w) v^{(n-j)}(w) (-1)^{j+1} + (-1)^n \int u^{(n)}(w) v(w) dw.$$

The proof process of the next theorem will use Lemma 6.

Theorem 10. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic function that satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let $\theta = BS$ be the factorization of the inner function θ into a Blaschke product B and a singular inner function S. If $\alpha \neq 0$ is a zero of B, then the subspace θH^p cannot be invariant for Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.*

Proof. we get from condition that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\| \varphi \|_\infty \leq 1$. By Schwartz Lemma, we get either

$$|\varphi(z)| < |z| \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}$$

or

$$\varphi(z) = cz \text{ for some } |c| = 1.$$

Suppose $\varphi(z) = cz$ for some $|c| = 1$, then C_φ is unitary operator. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi} = \mathcal{L}(H^p)$ and the theorem holds. Thus, we only consider the first case that $|\varphi(z)| < |z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Suppose to the contrary that θH^p is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, that is $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}(\theta H^p) \subseteq \theta H^p$. And let $\alpha \neq 0$ is a zero of θ with multiplicity $m \geq 1$, that is $mult_\theta(\alpha) = m$. Since θH^p is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ and $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, then θH^p is invariant for C_φ. By Lemma 4, we get

$$\theta \circ \varphi(\alpha) = 0 \text{ and } mult_{\theta \circ \varphi}(\alpha) \geq mult_\theta(\alpha) = m.$$

Then $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a zero of θ and $mult_\theta\big(\varphi(\alpha)\big) \geq m$. By parity of reasoning, $\varphi_n(\alpha)$ is a zero of θ and $mult_\theta\big(\varphi_n(\alpha)\big) \geq m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|\varphi(z)| < |z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the sequence $\{|\varphi_n(\alpha)|\}$ is decreasing. But the sequence cannot have a limit point in $\mathbb{D}$, otherwise, θ would be equal to 0 a.e.. Thus, there exist a positive integer n such that $\varphi_n(\alpha) = 0$, so $\varphi_n(\alpha) = 0$ is a zero of θ and $mult_\theta(0) \geq m$. Hence there exist a function $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\theta(z) = z^m (z - \alpha)^m g(z) \text{ and } g(\alpha) \neq 0.$$

And the function $\theta(z) z^n \in \theta H^p$ since $z^n \in H^p$ for any positive integer n. θH^p is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ and the antiderivative operator $V \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, so the subspace θH^p is invariant under the operator V, that is $V(\theta H^p) \subseteq \theta H^p$. Then

$$V\Big(\theta(z) z^n\Big) = \int_0^z \theta(w) w^n dw$$

belongs to θH^p for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\int_0^\alpha \theta(w) w^n dw = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

since $\theta(\alpha) = 0$. Using the Lemma 6, and the fact that

$$\theta(0) = \theta'(0) = \theta^{(2)}(0) = ... = \theta^{(m-1)}(0) = 0$$

and

$$\theta(\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha) = \theta^{(2)}(\alpha) = ... = \theta^{(m-1)}(\alpha) = 0.$$

We get

$$\int_0^\alpha \theta^{(m)}(w) w^{n+m} dw = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $w = s\alpha$, where s is variable, then

$$\int_0^1 \theta^{(m)}(s\alpha)(s\alpha)^{n+m} d(s\alpha) = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \theta^{(m)}(s\alpha) s^{n+m} ds = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observing the functions

$$f_1(s) = s^m \mathrm{Re}(\theta)^{(m)}(s\alpha)$$

and

$$f_2(s) = s^m \mathrm{Im}(\theta)^{(m)}(s\alpha),$$

it is clearly that f_1 and f_2 is real-valued and equal to 0 at all moment, then f_1 and f_2 must be zero functions, so θ is a polynomial of degree up to $m-1$, contradicting $\theta(z) = z^m(z-\alpha)^m g(z)$. Thus, the assumption is invalid. □

Theorem 10 states that if θH^p is invariant under the $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, then $\theta(z) = z^k S(z)$, where k belongs to $\mathbb{N}$ and some singular inner function S. Then, we will prove that the S must be a constant.

Theorem 11. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a analytic function that satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let S be a singular inner function and n be a non-negative integer. If the subspace $Sz^n H^p$ is invariant for Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, then S must be a constant.*

Proof. Since S is a singular inner function, there exist a finite positive measure μ such that μ is singular corresponding to Lebesgue measure and

$$S(z) = \exp\Big\{ -\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t) \Big\}.$$

Let $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$, viewing μ as a measure on $\mathbb{T}$, then there exist a set E of μ with $\mu(E) = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} S(r\zeta) = 0$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E$. Notice for any $f \in Sz^n H^p$ that f extends to all inner functions which is part of S, and let I_f be the inner factor of f. Since $Sz^n H^p$ is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ and the antiderivative operator $V \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, we get

$$V(Sz^n H^p) \subseteq Sz^n H^p.$$

And the function

$$f_m(z) = S(z) z^n z^m = S(z) z^{n+m}$$

belongs to Sz^nH^p for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$Vf_m \in Sz^nH^p.$$

Let $F_m = Vf_m$, then

$$\lim_{r\uparrow 1} I_{F_m} = 0 \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E \text{ and all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let O_{F_m} be the outer factor of F_m, then

$$O_{F_m}(r\zeta) = \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it}+r\zeta}{e^{it}-r\zeta}\log|F_m(e^{it})|d\mu(t)\Big\}.$$

Thus,

$$|O_{F_m}(r\zeta)| = \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(t-t_0)\log|F_m(e^{it})|d\mu(t)\Big\},$$

where $\zeta = e^{it_0}$ and $P_r = \dfrac{1-r^2}{|1-r^2e^{i(t-t_0)}|^2}$ is Poisson kernel that is given by transformation of $\dfrac{e^{it}+r\zeta}{e^{it}-r\zeta}$. It is clearly that $\| F_m \|_\infty \leq 1$. Then $\log|F_m(e^{it})| \leq 0$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ a.e.. And Hence

$$|O_{F_m}(r\zeta)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E \text{ and all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

as Poisson kernel $P_r > 0$. Since $F_m = I_{F_m}O_{F_m}$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{r\uparrow 1} F_m(r\zeta) = 0 \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E \text{ and all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $w = s\zeta$, where s is variable, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(r\zeta) &= Vf_m(r\zeta) \\ &= V\big(S(r\zeta)(r\zeta)^{n+m}\big) \\ &= \int_0^{r\zeta} S(w)w^{n+m}dw \\ &= \int_0^{r} S(s\zeta)s^{n+m}\zeta^{n+m+1}ds \\ &= \zeta^{n+m+1}\int_0^{r} S(s\zeta)s^{n+m}ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.1) implies that the functions

$$F_1(s) = \mathrm{Re}S(s\zeta)s^n$$

and

$$F_2(s) = \mathrm{Im}S(s\zeta)s^n$$

are continuous real-valued and equal to 0 at all moment. So F_1 and F_2 must be zero function. Hence $S(s\zeta) \equiv 0$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E$ and all $s \in (0, 1)$. Then $E = \mathbb{T}$. It is clearly that $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 0$. Thus, S must be a constant. □

Our final step is to prove that z^nH^p is indeed invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. Previously, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a nonzero analytic function such that C_φ is a compact composition operator. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let J_k be the set of positive integers m such that $T(z^m) = z^kf(z) \in z^kH^p$ and $f(0) \neq 0$ for some $f \in H^p$ and some $T \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. Then, J_k must be a finite set for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. Suppose to contrary that there exist a integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that J_k is an infinite set. Let $m \in J_k$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ such that

$$T(z^m) = z^k f(z)$$

for some $f \in H^p$. Since antiderivative operator $V \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, by the definition of Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, we get

$$TV \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}.$$

It is clearly that $TVz^{m-1} \in z^k H^p$, which implies that if $m \in J_k$, then $m-1 \in J_k$. Since J_k is an infinite set, we obtain that

$$J_k = \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus, subspace $z^m H^p$ is not invariant for Deddens algebra $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ for $m > k$. In other words, there exist a integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z^N H^p$ is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, but $z^n H^p$ is not invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ for $n > N$. Then $z^N H^p$ is invariant under C_φ since $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. Let operator A be the restriction of C_φ to $z^N H^p$, that is,

$$A = C_\varphi | z^N H^p,$$

then A is a compact operator. Let the nontrivial invariant subspace of $\mathcal{D}_A$ be $\mathcal{M}$, then

$$\mathcal{M} \subset z^N H^p.$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$ and let operator B be the restriction of T to $z^N H^p$, that is,

$$B = T | z^N H^p,$$

then $B \in \mathcal{D}_A$ since $z^N H^p$ is invariant for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. Thus, the subspace $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant for B. And the invariant subspace of operator T must be the form $z^n H^p$ for some $n > N$. The contradiction shows that J_k is a finite set. □

The next theorem is the most important theorem in this section.

Theorem 12. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a nonzero analytic function that satisfied $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\| \varphi \|_\infty < 1$. Then $z^n H^p$ is nontrivial invariant subspace for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$.*

Proof. Notice that $\| \varphi \|_\infty < 1$. Let $\delta = \| \varphi \|_\infty < 1$. Taking the Schwartz Lemma applied to function φ/δ, then

$$\varphi(z)/\delta < |z| \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is,

$$\varphi(z) < \delta |z| \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By parity of reasoning, we get

$$|\varphi_n(z)| < \delta^n |z| \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the radial limits, then

$$|\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})| < \delta^n \text{ for a.e. } \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let E be the subset of $\mathbb{T}$ such that there exist at least one function φ_n has no radial limit, then the Lebesgue measure of subset E is equal to 0 and the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ is convergent on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash E$ as well as its limit is 0. The next is to prove the theorem. Suppose to contrary that $z^n H^p$ is not nontrivial invariant subspace for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, which is equivalent to there exist non-negative integer k, m and function $f \in H^p$ where $m > k$ such that

$$T(z^m) = z^k f(z) \text{ and } f(0) \neq 0.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$, there exist $M > 0$ such that

$$\| C_\varphi^n T(z^m) \|_{H^p}^p \leq M \| C_\varphi^n(z^m) \|_{H^p}^p$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} |f \circ \varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq M \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{mp} d\theta$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{\varphi_n\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash E$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|f \circ \varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^p \geq \frac{|f(0)|^p}{2}$$

for all $n > \mathbb{N}$ and all $e^{i\theta} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash E$. Then

$$\frac{|f(0)|^p}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} d\theta \leq M \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{mp} d\theta \tag{4.2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. And

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{mp} d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{(m-k)p} d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} |\delta^n|^{(m-k)p} d\theta \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Taking (4.3) in (4.2), we get

$$\frac{|f(0)|^p}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} d\theta \leq M |\delta|^{(m-k)np} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{kp} d\theta$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\frac{|f(0)|^p}{2} \leq M |\delta|^{(m-k)np} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And hence $\frac{|f(0)|^p}{2} \leq M |\delta|^{(m-k)np} \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$. The contradiction show that $T(z^m) \in z^m H^p$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $z^n H^p$ is a nontrivial invariant subspace for $\mathcal{D}_{C_\varphi}$. □

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to MuthuKumar for his valuable suggestions.

References

[1] J. Anand, T. Bhattacharyya, S. Srivastava, *Some thoughts on composition operators on subspaces of the Hardy space*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 114 (2020), no. 4, 431-444.
[2] A. Aleman, J. A. Cima, *An integral operator on Hp and Hardy's inequality.* J. Anal. Math. 85 (2001), 157-176.
[3] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space.* Acta Math. 81 (1948), 239-255.
[4] C. C. Cowen, B. D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton(1995).
[5] C. C. Cowen, *Composition operators on H^2.* J. Operator Theory 9 (1983), no. 1, 77-106.
[6] J. A. Deddens, *Another description of nest algebras*, Hilbert space operators (Proc. Conf., Calif. State Univ., Long Beach, Calif., 1977), pp. 77-86, Lecture Notes in Math., 693, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[7] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38 Academic Press, New York-London 1970.
[8] K. R. Davidson, V. I. Paulsen, M. Raghupathi, *A constrained Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58, no. 2, 709-732(2009).
[9] H. Helson, *Lectures on invariant subspaces*. Academic Press, New York-London 1964.
[10] M. Lacruz, *Invariant subspaces and Deddens algebras*, Expo. Math. 33 (2015), no. 1, 116-120.
[11] V. Matache, *Invariant subspaces of composition operators*. J. Operator Theory 73 (2015), no. 1, 243-264.
[12] R. A. Martínez-Avendañeo, P. Rosenthal, *An introduction to operators on the Hardy-Hilbert space*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 237. Springer, New York, 2007.
[13] S. Petrovic, *Deddens algebras and shift*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 5 (2011), no. 1, 253-259.
[14] C. Pommerenke, *Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation*. Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), no. 4, 591-602
[15] S. Petrovic, *Spectral radius algebras, Deddens algebras, and weighted shifts*. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 43 (2011), no. 3, 513-522.
[16] S. Petrovic, D. Sievewright, *Compact composition operators and Deddens algebras*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 12 (2018), no. 8, 1889-1901.
[17] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*. Third edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
[18] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993
[19] D. Sievewright, *Deddens algebras for weighted shifts*, Houston J. Math. 41 (2015), no. 3, 785-814.
[20] B. Snehasish, M. P, S. Jaydeb, *Beurling type invariant subspaces of composition operators*. To appear J. Operator Theory (2021).
[21] H. J. Schwartz, *Composition operators on H^p*. Thesis (Ph.D.)-The University of Toledo. 1969.
[22] J. H. Shapiro, *What do composition operators know about inner functions?*. Monatsh. Math. 130 (2000), no. 1, 57-70.
[23] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510520, P. R. China
Email address: 18819799287@163.com

School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510520, P. R. China
Email address: jmliu@gdut.edu.cn