

Local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over some two-dimensional geometric global fields

Diego Izquierdo
 École polytechnique
 diego.izquierdo@polytechnique.edu

Giancarlo Lucchini Arteché
 Universidad de Chile
 luco@uchile.cl

Abstract

In this article, we study the obstructions to the local-global principle for homogeneous spaces with connected or abelian stabilizers over finite extensions of the field $\mathbb{C}((x, y))$ of Laurent series in two variables over the complex numbers and over function fields of curves over $\mathbb{C}((t))$. We give examples that prove that the usual Brauer-Manin obstruction is not enough to explain the failure of the local-global principle, and we then construct a variant of this obstruction using torsors under quasi-trivial tori which turns out to work. In the end of the article, we compare this new obstruction to the descent obstruction with respect to torsors under tori. For that purpose, we use a result on towers of torsors, that is of independent interest and therefore is proved in a separate appendix.

MSC codes: primary 14G12, 14G27, 14M17; secondary 11E72.

Keywords: Two-dimensional fields, homogeneous spaces, Galois cohomology, local-global principle, Brauer-Manin obstruction.

1. Introduction

Consider a number field K . Recall that a family of K -varieties \mathcal{F} is said to satisfy the local-global principle if any variety $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ that has points in every completion of K has in fact a rational point over K . The most classical example of such a family is given by the family of quadrics over K (Hasse-Minkowski Theorem).

Some varieties fail to satisfy the local-global principle. In order to explain those failures, given any K -variety Z , Manin introduced in 1970 a computable subset $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ of the set of adelic points $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)$ that always contains the set of rational points $Z(K)$. This allowed him to define a weakening of the local-global principle, the so-called Brauer-Manin obstruction: the Brauer-Manin obstruction is said to be the only obstruction to the local-global principle for a given family of K -varieties \mathcal{F} if any variety $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ for which $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ has in fact a K -rational point.

Since Manin's contribution, various families have been proved to satisfy or to fail the previous condition. One of the most important examples was given in 1981 by Sansuc (cf. [San81]), who proved that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for principal homogeneous spaces under connected linear algebraic groups. This result was then extended in 1996 to all homogeneous spaces under connected linear algebraic groups with connected stabilizers by Borovoi (cf. [Bor96]).

Much more recently, there has been a considerable interest in the study of analogous questions for various two-dimensional fields naturally arising in geometry. Consider a field K of one of the following two types:

- (a) the function field of a smooth projective curve C over $\mathbb{C}((t))$;
- (b) the fraction field of a local, henselian, two-dimensional, excellent domain A with algebraically closed residue field of characteristic 0 (for instance, K could be any finite extension of the Laurent series field $\mathbb{C}((x, y))$ in two variables over the complex numbers).

The field K then tends to have an arithmetic behaviour similar to that of the usual global fields. In case (a), one can define a local-global principle for the field K by considering its completions with respect to the valuations coming from the closed points of the curve C . Colliot-Thélène/Harari (cf. [CTH15]) and the first author (cf. [Izq17]) have then defined a Brauer-Manin obstruction to this local-global principle and proved that it is the only obstruction for principal homogeneous spaces under connected linear algebraic groups.

In case (b), one can define a local-global principle for the field K by considering its completions with respect to the valuations coming from prime ideals of height one in A . The first author (cf. [Izq19]) has then introduced a Brauer-Manin obstruction to this local-global principle which coincides with some previously defined reciprocity obstructions by Colliot-Thélène/Parimala/Suresh (cf. [CTPS16]) and proved that it is the only obstruction for principal homogeneous spaces under connected linear algebraic groups.

The previous results show that Sansuc's theorem for principal homogeneous spaces still holds over fields of types (a) and (b). The present article aims at investigating what the situation is regarding Borovoi's result about homogeneous spaces with connected stabilizers.

In fact, because Cebotarev's density theorem fails over fields of types (a) and (b), one can easily find examples of homogeneous spaces Z with connected stabilizers over K that have no rational points but for which the Brauer-Manin set $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ is non-empty. So, in order to understand the obstructions to the local-global principle for such homogeneous spaces, one needs to impose extra local conditions on X .

This is easy to do. Indeed, the Brauer-Manin obstruction we are using here only takes into account some of the completions of the field K : in case (a), we are only considering completions with respect to the valuations coming from the closed points of the curve C , while in case (b), we are only considering completions with respect to the valuations coming from prime ideals of height one in the domain A . However, the field K has more valuations, and hence it is natural to ask whether a homogeneous space Z/K with connected stabilizers for which the Brauer-Manin set $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ is non-empty *and* that has points in *all* completions of K always has a rational point. The first main theorem of the present article gives a negative answer to this question:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.1). *Let K be a field of type (a) or (b) as above. There exists a finite extension L of K and a homogeneous space Z/L under $SL_{n,L}$ for some $n \geq 1$ with toric stabilizers for which the Brauer-Manin set $Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}}$ is non-empty, that has points in all completions of L , but that has no L -rational points.*

For that reason, one should go beyond the Brauer-Manin obstruction in order to understand the failure of the local-global principle for homogeneous spaces over fields of types (a) and (b). A natural way to do so consists in combining the Brauer-Manin obstruction with another very usual one, the descent obstruction. If it is known that over number fields the descent obstruction with respect to torsors under connected linear groups does not carry more information than the Brauer-Manin obstruction ([Har02]), the situation turns out to be completely different over fields of types (a) and (b):

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.3). *Let K be a field of type (a) or (b) as above. Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with connected geometric stabilizers. Assume that Z has points in every completion of K . Then there exists a torsor $W \rightarrow Z$ under a quasi-trivial torus T such that, if the Brauer-Manin set of W is non-empty, then Z has a K -rational point.*

The torsor W and its Brauer-Manin set turn out to be both algorithmically computable, and while proving Theorem 1.2, we will see that the assumption that Z has points in every completion of K can be replaced by the assumption that Z has points in an explicit finite number of completions of K . Hence Theorem 1.2 provides (at least theoretically) an algorithmic way to find out whether homogeneous spaces with connected stabilizers over fields of types (a) and (b) have rational points.

At the end of the article, we compare the obstruction of Theorem 1.2, which combines the Brauer-Manin obstruction and the descent obstruction with respect to torsors under quasi-trivial tori, to the descent obstruction with respect to torsors under general tori (see theorem 6.4). We deduce:

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 6.5). *Let K be a field of type (a) or (b) as above. Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with connected geometric stabilizers. Assume that Z has points in every completion of K and that the set given by the descent obstruction with respect to torsors under general tori (as defined in section 6) is non-empty. Then Z has a K -rational point.*

The proof of this result relies on a theorem of independent interest about towers of torsors that states that, given a characteristic 0 field K , a connected linear K -group G with trivial geometric Picard group, a K -torus T and a geometrically integral K -variety X , every T -torsor over a G -torsor over X is in fact a torsor under an extension of G by T over X . This result is settled in an appendix.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mathieu Florence for his enormous help with the result in the appendix. The second author would like to thank Michel Brion for helpful discussions. The second author's research was partially supported by ANID via FONDECYT Grant 1210010.

2. Notations and preliminaries

In this section we fix the notations that will be used all throughout this article.

Fields Let F be an algebraically closed field, and let A be a local integral, henselian, excellent domain with residue field F and fraction field E . Set $S := \text{Spec}(A)$ and let s be the closed point of S . Consider an integral, regular, 2-dimensional scheme \mathcal{X} endowed with a projective surjective morphism $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$. Let K be the function field of \mathcal{X} , let X_0 be the special fibre of p and set $X := \mathcal{X} \setminus X_0 = p^{-1}(S \setminus \{s\})$.

Assumptions. In the sequel, we will assume that X_0 is a strict normal crossings divisor in \mathcal{X} and that we are in one of the two following cases:

- (a) (*Semi-global case*). The ring A is a discrete valuation ring, the fibers of p are all 1-dimensional, and the generic fibre is smooth and geometrically integral.
- (b) (*Local case*). The ring A is 2-dimensional and p is birational.

Example 2.1. (i) In the semi-global case, one could take $A = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, let X be a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over $E = \mathbb{C}((t))$ and \mathcal{X} be a regular model of X whose special fibre has strict normal crossings. The field K is then a finite extension of $E(x)$.

- (ii) In the local case, one could let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{C}((x, y))$, let S be the normalization of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[[x, y]])$ in K and let \mathcal{X} be a desingularization of X whose special fibre has strict normal crossings.

In the sequel, we will be often considering finite extensions L/K . Note that such an extension satisfies the same hypothesis as K and hence we may define analog notations in such a situation. In this case we will denote by B the corresponding local ring and by $q : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ the corresponding 2-dimensional scheme. We also define Y_0 and Y as the special and generic fibres of q respectively. Note that we retain the assumption that Y_0 be a normal crossings divisor.

Remark 2.2. Observe that L is a semi-global field in the sense of (a) (resp. a local field in the sense of (b)) if, and only if, K is a semi-global field in the sense of (a) (resp. a local field in the sense of (b)).

Local-global principle and Brauer-Manin obstruction Given a subset Ω of the set Ω_K of all places of K , we say that a K -variety Z satisfies the local-global principle with respect to Ω if:

$$\prod_{v \in \Omega} Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

There are mainly three different natural choices for the set Ω in this context:

- (a) $\Omega = \Omega_K$ is the set of all places of K ;
- (b) $\Omega = \mathcal{X}^{(1)}$ is the set of codimension 1 points of \mathcal{X} ;
- (c) $\Omega = X^{(1)}$ is the set of codimension 1 points of X .

Whatever the choice, one can find varieties that fail the local-global principle. That is why one usually tries to introduce obstructions that explain such failures. Fix a K -variety

Z . Given a regular model \mathcal{Z} of Z over an open subset U of X , define the set of its adelic points as:

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K) = \left\{ (P_v) \in \prod_{v \in X^{(1)}} Z(K_v) : P_v \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_v) \text{ for almost all } v \right\}.$$

By [CTH15, Prop. 2.1.(v)] and [Izq19, Thm. 1.6], there is an exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Br}(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} \mathrm{Br}(K_v) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence one can define a Brauer-Manin pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{BM} : Z(\mathbb{A}_K) \times \mathrm{Br}(Z) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ ((P_v), \alpha) &\mapsto \theta(P_v^* \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

such that $Z(K)$ is contained in the subset $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}(Z)}$ defined as the adelic points that are orthogonal to the cohomological Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(Z) := H^2(Z, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Define $\mathrm{Br}_0(Z) := \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Br}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(Z))$ and note that the pairing BM factors through $\mathrm{Br}(Z)/\mathrm{Br}_0(Z)$. Given a subgroup B of $\mathrm{Br}(Z)$ or of $\mathrm{Br}(Z)/\mathrm{Br}_0(Z)$, we say that the Brauer-Manin obstruction with respect to B is the only obstruction for Z if:

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

In the sequel, the group B will often be chosen to be:

$$\mathbb{B}(Z) := \ker \left(\mathrm{Br}_1(Z)/\mathrm{Br}_0(Z) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in X^{(1)}} \mathrm{Br}_1(Z_{K_v})/\mathrm{Br}_0(Z_{K_v}) \right),$$

where $\mathrm{Br}_1(Z) := \ker(\mathrm{Br}(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(Z_{\bar{K}}))$.

Tate-Shafarevich groups As explained in the previous paragraph, the local-global principle over the field K can be defined with respect to different sets of places. For that reason, in the sequel, when we are given a Galois module M over K and an integer $i \geq 0$, we will make use of the following three Tate-Shafarevich groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{III}^i(K, M) &:= \ker \left(H^i(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in X^{(1)}} H^i(K_v, M) \right), \\ \mathbb{III}_{\mathcal{X}}^i(K, M) &:= \ker \left(H^i(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \mathcal{X}^{(1)}} H^i(K_v, M) \right), \\ \mathbb{III}_{\mathrm{tot}}^i(K, M) &:= \ker \left(H^i(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_K} H^i(K_v, M) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces For G a linear algebraic K -group, we denote

- $D(G)$ the derived subgroup of G ;
- G° the neutral connected component of G ;
- $G^f = G/G^\circ$ the group of connected components of G (it is a finite group);
- G^u the unipotent radical of G° (it is a unipotent characteristic subgroup);
- $G^{\text{red}} = G^\circ/G^u$ (it is a reductive group);
- $G^{\text{ss}} = D(G^{\text{red}})$ (it is a semisimple group);
- $G^{\text{tor}} = G^{\text{red}}/G^{\text{ss}}$ (it is a torus);
- $G^{\text{ssu}} = \ker[G^\circ \rightarrow G^{\text{tor}}]$ (it is an extension of G^{ss} by G^u);
- $G^{\text{torf}} = G/G^{\text{ssu}}$ (it is an extension of G^f by G^{tor});

all of which are defined over K . For T a K -torus, we shall note \hat{T} the Galois module of its geometric characters. Recall that T is said to be *quasi-trivial* if \hat{T} is an induced $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -module.

Assumptions. In the sequel, we will be considering homogeneous spaces of a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying the following hypothesis:

$$G^{\text{ss}} \text{ is simply connected and } \bar{H}^{\text{torf}} \text{ is abelian.} \tag{H}$$

Remark 2.3. Note that assumption (H), although it seems quite restrictive, is satisfied by every homogeneous space under a connected linear K -group with connected stabilizers, up to replacing the ambient group conveniently (cf. [Bor96, Lem. 5.2]). Actually, this is the same hypothesis used by Borovoi in [Bor96].

Let Z be a homogeneous space under a linear connected K -group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} . Following [DLA17, §2], we associate to Z a gerb \mathcal{M}_Z and an injective morphism of gerbs $\mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \underline{\text{TORS}}(G)$, where $\underline{\text{TORS}}(G)$ denotes the trivial gerb of G -torsors under G . The gerb \mathcal{M}_Z is known as the *Springer class* of Z and can be seen as a class in a certain non-abelian 2-cohomology set associated to the geometric stabilizer \bar{H} . It corresponds to the obstruction to being dominated by a principal homogeneous space of G (i.e. the class is neutral if, and only if, such a dominating torsor exists). See [DLA17] for more details.

Assume now that Z satisfies (H). Since the subgroup \bar{H}^{ssu} is characteristic in \bar{H} , we may also consider the induced gerb $\mathcal{M}_Z^{\text{torf}}$ which, since \bar{H}^{torf} is abelian, defines a natural K -form H^{torf} of \bar{H}^{torf} (cf. for instance [Bor93, §1.7]) and $\mathcal{M}_Z^{\text{torf}}$ can then be seen as a class $\eta^{\text{torf}} \in H^2(K, H^{\text{torf}})$.

We will use in the sequel the following result on the triviality of nonabelian H^2 for semisimple groups in the context of these particular base fields.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{L} be a K -lien (or K -kernel) whose underlying \bar{K} -group \bar{G} satisfies $\bar{G} = \bar{G}^{\text{ssu}}$. Then every class in $H^2(K, \mathcal{L})$ is neutral.*

Proof. By [Bor93, Prop. 4.1], we may assume that \bar{G} is reductive, hence semisimple. Then [Bor93, Prop. 3.1] tells us that there exists a neutral class in $H^2(K, \mathcal{L})$, so that we can see $H^2(K, \mathcal{L})$ as $H^2(K, G)$ for some K -form G of \bar{G} . The lemma is then a direct consequence of results by González-Avilés. Indeed, by [GA12, Ex. 5.4(vi)] we know that the base field K is “of Douai type”. This allows us to apply [GA12, Thm. 5.8(ii)], which tells us that a class in $H^2(K, \mathcal{L})$ is neutral if and only if its canonical image in $H^2(K, G^{\text{tor}})$ is trivial. But $G^{\text{tor}} = 1$ and the result follows. \square

3. Local-global principle with Brauer-Manin obstruction

In this section we study a family of homogeneous spaces for which we can prove that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the local-global principle. The strategy is to use the validity of this assertion for principal homogeneous spaces and slice our homogeneous space in order to reduce ourselves to this case. Recall that we assume that all our homogeneous spaces satisfy (H).

We start with a case where there is no need for any obstruction (and not even a local-global principle!).

Proposition 3.1. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). Assume moreover that $G = G^{\text{ssu}}$ and $\bar{H} = \bar{H}^{\text{ssu}}$. Then Z has a rational point.*

Proof. We prove first that we may assume $G = G^{\text{ss}}$. Indeed, using [Bor96, Lem. 3.1], we may consider the map $Z \rightarrow Z/G^{\text{u}}$, where Z/G^{u} is a homogeneous space of G^{ss} and the fibers are homogeneous spaces of G^{u} . Now, the Springer class of such a fiber lies in $H^2(K, U)$ for a certain *unipotent* K -lien (or K -kernel) U , which only contains neutral classes by [Bor93, Cor. 4.2]. This implies that the fiber is dominated by a torsor under G^{u} , which clearly has rational points since H^1 is trivial for unipotent groups. Thus, if Z/G^{u} has rational points, Z will immediately have rational points.

Assume then that $G = G^{\text{ss}}$ and let us start with the case of trivial stabilizers. By [CTGP04, Thm. 1.1, 1.2 & 1.4], we know that the field K satisfies Serre’s conjecture II, which means precisely that principal homogeneous spaces of $G = G^{\text{ss}}$ always have rational points when G^{ss} is simply connected. The result for non-trivial stabilizers follows from Lemma 2.4, since it implies that every such homogeneous space is dominated by a G -torsor. \square

We add now some tori to the mixture, with a technical hypothesis that ensures that the Brauer-Manin obstruction controls the local-global principle.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). Assume that the natural arrow $\bar{H}^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow \bar{G}^{\text{tor}}$ is injective. Then*

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{B}(Z)} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Since G acts on Z , we may consider the quotient variety $Z' = Z/G^{\text{ssu}}$. Since $\bar{H}^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow \bar{G}^{\text{tor}}$ is injective, we know by [Bor96, Lem. 3.1] that Z' is a homogeneous space of G^{tor} with geometric stabilizer \bar{H}^{torf} and the geometric fibers of the quotient morphism $Z \rightarrow Z'$ are homogeneous spaces of \bar{G}^{ssu} with geometric stabilizers (isomorphic to) \bar{H}^{ssu} .

Consider then an adelic point $(P_v) \in Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathbb{B}(Z)}$ and push it to Z' . By the functoriality of the Brauer pairing, we get that its image lies in $Z'(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathbb{B}(Z')}$. Since Z' is a homogeneous space of a torus, it is also a principal homogeneous space for another torus (namely $G^{\text{tor}}/H^{\text{torf}}$, where H^{torf} is the K -form of \bar{H}^{torf} associated to Z) and hence, by [CTH15, Cor. 8.3] (cf. also [Izq17, Cor. 2.10]) and [Izq19, Thm. 4.2], we know that there is a K -point $P_0 \in Z'(K)$. The fiber above P_0 is a homogeneous space satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1, hence it has a rational point, which implies that $Z(K) \neq \emptyset$. \square

This is as far as we can go with the Brauer-Manin obstruction, as we will see in the subsequent sections. We conclude then this section with a result that tells us that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is computable in these cases.

Proposition 3.3. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} and satisfying (H). Assume that the natural arrow $\bar{H}^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow \bar{G}^{\text{tor}}$ is injective. Then the Brauer pairing*

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K) \times \mathbb{B}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

factors on the right through the quotient $\overline{\mathbb{B}(Z)}$, i.e. it is trivial on divisible elements in $\mathbb{B}(Z)$.

Proof. Consider, as in the last proof, the quotient variety $Z' = Z/G^{\text{ssu}}$, which is a principal homogeneous space under the torus $G^{\text{tor}}/H^{\text{torf}}$. By [Izq17, Thm. 2.8] and [Izq19, Thm. 4.2], we know that the result holds for Z' . Following [BvH12], we see that both Z and Z' have the natural complex $[H^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow G^{\text{tor}}]$ associated to them. We get then an isomorphism $\mathbb{B}(Z') \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Z)$ by [BvH12, Thm. 7.2]. The functoriality of the Brauer pairing gives us then the result for Z . \square

The proof of this result tells us that in fact $\overline{\mathbb{B}(Z)}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{B}(Z')}$ with Z' a torsor under a K -torus, and we know by Poitou-Tate duality (cf. [CTH15] and [Izq19]) that this group is finite. This implies the computability of the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

4. Counter-examples

In this section we present examples of homogeneous spaces Z over a finite extension L of K that fail the local-global principle with respect to the small set of places $Y^{(1)}$ and such that $Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}(Z)}$ is nonempty (for the notations associated to the extension L/K , cf. Section 2). In particular, $Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\mathbb{B}(Z)}$ is nonempty. Moreover, these counterexamples have rational points over *all* the completions of the field L . We will develop in further sections a more involved obstruction, using torsors under quasi-trivial tori, that actually explains all of these failures to the local-global principle.

The main result of this section is the following:


Theorem 4.1. *There exists a finite extension L/K , a homogeneous space Z/L under $\text{SL}_{n,L}$ and a geometric point $z \in Z(\bar{K})$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *the (geometric) stabilizer of z is a torus;*
- (ii) *for any discrete valuation v on L , the set $Z(L_v)$ is non-empty;*

(iii) the set $Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}(Z)}$ is non-empty;

(iv) the set $Z(L)$ is empty.

The proof, which has 9 steps, goes as follows: We fix a finite extension L/K in Step 1 satisfying suitable geometric hypotheses. In steps 2–4, we define a torus S and a homogeneous space Z whose Springer class lies in $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S)$, which ensures that properties (i), (ii) and (iv) hold. In step 5, we construct auxiliary varieties



where all arrows are torsors under L -rational groups. This gives us isomorphisms of the corresponding unramified Brauer groups. Moreover, both V and \tilde{V} are torsors under suitable L -tori that come from constructions by Colliot-Thélène in [CT14], where their unramified Brauer groups were explicitly given. This allows us to compute the unramified Brauer group of Z in Step 6. Steps 7 and 8 use this information in order to compute explicitly the (whole) Brauer group of Z . Finally, we use this in order to check (iii) in Step 9.

Proof. Step 1: Recalling the constructions of [CTPS16, §5.2]. Consider a finite extension L of K such that the reduced special fibre Y_0 of p_L contains three divisors L_1, L_2, L_3 that form a triangle. Set:

$$m_1 := L_2 \cap L_3, \quad m_2 := L_1 \cap L_3, \quad m_3 := L_1 \cap L_2.$$

Now, since the Picard group of a semi-local ring is always trivial one can construct three elements $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 \in L^\times$ in the following way:

- (a) First, one chooses $\pi_1 \in L^\times$ so that the support of $D_1 := \text{div}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\pi_1) - L_1$ does not contain any of the m_i 's.
- (b) Secondly, one chooses a place $v_0 \in \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \setminus Y_0^{(0)}$ that is not contained in the support of D_1 . One can then choose $\pi_2 \in L^\times$ so that:
 - (i) $v_0(\pi_2) = 1$,
 - (ii) the support of $D_2 := \text{div}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\pi_2) - L_2$ does not contain any of the m_i 's, any of the components of the support of D_1 and any of the intersection points of one of the L_i 's with the support of D_1 .
- (c) Finally, one chooses $\pi_3 \in L^\times$ so that:
 - (i) the classes of π_1 and π_3 in the quotient $k(v_0)^\times / k(v_0)^{\times 2}$ are not equal,
 - (ii) the support of $D_3 := \text{div}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\pi_3) - L_3$ does not contain any of the m_i 's, any of the components of the support of D_1 , any of the components of the support of D_2 , any of the intersection points of one of the L_i 's with the support of D_1 , any of the intersection points of one of the L_i 's with the support of D_2 and any of the intersection points of the supports of D_1 and D_2 .

Step 2: Defining the torus S as well as some other useful auxiliary tori. Set $a := \pi_2\pi_3$ and $b := \pi_3\pi_1$, introduce the field $M := L(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$, and consider the normic torus Q and the multinormic torus \tilde{Q} defined by the following exact exact sequences:

$$1 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R_{M/L}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1, \quad (1)$$

$$1 \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow R_{L(\sqrt{a})/L}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_{L(\sqrt{b})/L}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_{L(\sqrt{ab})/L}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1. \quad (2)$$

We can now introduce the torus S by writing down a flasque resolution of Q :

$$1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1. \quad (3)$$

In particular, S is a flasque L -torus that is split by M and R is a quasi-trivial L -torus.

Step 3: Computing the Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S)$. By Shapiro's Lemma and Hilbert's Theorem 90, we have $H^1(L, R) = 0$. Hence the short exact sequence (3) induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, Q) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, R).$$

Moreover,

- by [CTPS16, Prop. 2.1] and Shapiro's Lemma, the group $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, R)$ is trivial;
- by [CTPS16, Cor. 5.3 & 5.7], which apply to the field L constructed in Step 1 and the torus Q from Step 2, the group $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, Q)$ is non trivial.

Hence $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S)$ is non trivial.

Step 4: Defining the homogeneous space Z and proving statements (i), (ii) and (iv). Take a non-zero class $\alpha \in \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S)$. By [DLA17, Prop. 2.1 & Cor. 3.3], there exists a positive integer n , a homogeneous space Z/L under $SL_{n,L}$ and a geometric point $z \in Z(\bar{L})$ such that the torus $S \times_L \bar{L}$ is the stabilizer of z and α is the Springer class of Z . Since $\alpha \neq 0$, the set $Z(L)$ is empty. Since $\alpha \in \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, S)$, the set $Z(L_v)$ is non-empty for every discrete valuation v on L . We have therefore settled (i), (ii) and (iv). The remaining steps will be devoted to the proof of statement (iii).

Step 5: Constructing an auxiliary torsor \tilde{V} under \tilde{Q} that is stably birational to Z . By Proposition 5.1, which will be proved in next section, one can construct an L -homogeneous space W under $SL_n \times R$ together with a morphism of L -homogeneous spaces $p : W \rightarrow Z$ which makes W into an R -torsor. Since R is quasi-trivial and $\prod_{v \in \Omega_L} Z(L_v) \neq \emptyset$, Hilbert's theorem 90 shows that $\prod_{v \in \Omega_L} W(K_v) \neq \emptyset$.

Now consider the quotient $V := W/SL_n$ and the natural projection $q : W \rightarrow V$. The L -variety V is a Q -torsor such that $\prod_{v \in \Omega_L} V(K_v) \neq \emptyset$. Its class in $H^1(L, Q)$ therefore belongs to $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, Q)$. Moreover, the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^2 \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

and the triviality of the group $H^1(L, \mathbb{G}_m)$ induce an exact sequence of Tate-Shafarevich groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, \tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, Q) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)^2 = 0,$$

and hence an isomorphism $\text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, \tilde{Q}) \cong \text{III}_{\text{tot}}^1(L, Q)$. The Q -torsor V therefore comes from a \tilde{Q} -torsor \tilde{V} that has points in every completion of L . The natural morphism $r : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is a \mathbb{G}_m^2 -torsor.

Step 6: Using the torsor \tilde{V} to compute the unramified Brauer group of $L(Z)/L$. Since the groups R , SL_n and \mathbb{G}_m^2 are all L -rational, we have the following isomorphisms of unramified Brauer groups:

$$\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(Z)/L) \xrightarrow[p^*]{\cong} \text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(W)/L) \xleftarrow[q^*]{\cong} \text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(V)/L) \xrightarrow[r^*]{\cong} \text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(\tilde{V})/L).$$

But the \tilde{Q} -torsor \tilde{V} is given by an equation of the form:

$$(x_1^2 - ax_2^2)(y_1^2 - by_2^2)(x_3 - aby_3^2) = c,$$

for some $c \in L^\times$, and then, by [CT14, Thm. 4.1], the quotient $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(\tilde{V})/L)/\text{Im}(\text{Br}(L))$ is a cyclic group of order 2 generated by the class of the quaternion algebra $A := (x_1^2 - ax_2^2, b)$. Hence $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(L(Z)/L)/\text{Im}(\text{Br}(L))$ is also a cyclic group of order 2 generated by $\gamma := (p^*)^{-1}q^*(r^*)^{-1}([A])$.

Step 7: Computing the algebraic Brauer group of Z . By step 6, the algebraic Brauer group $\text{Br}_{\text{al}}(Z)$ contains the non-zero class γ (note that A is algebraic). Let's prove that $\text{Br}_{\text{al}}(Z) = \{0, \gamma\}$. Since $\text{Br}_{\text{al}}(Z) \cong H^1(L, \hat{S})$, it suffices to check that $H^1(L, \hat{S})$ has order at most 2. By inflation-restriction, we have an isomorphism:

$$H^1(M/L, \hat{S}) \cong H^1(L, \hat{S}).$$

Moreover, by definition of the torus S , we have an exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(M/L)$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{Q} \rightarrow \hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow 0$$

that induces an exact sequence:

$$0 = H^1(M/L, \hat{R}) \rightarrow H^1(M/L, \hat{S}) \rightarrow H^2(M/L, \hat{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(M/L, \hat{R}).$$

The isomorphisms:

$$H^2(M/L, \hat{Q}) \cong H^3(M/L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

therefore show that $H^1(L, \hat{S})$ has order at most 2, as wished.

Step 8: Computing the Brauer group of Z . Since we have already computed the algebraic Brauer group of Z , we just need to compute the geometric Brauer group of Z . Since $\bar{Z} \cong SL_{n, \bar{L}}/\bar{S}$, the generically trivial \bar{S} -torsor $SL_{n, \bar{L}} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ induces an injection of Brauer groups. $\text{Br}(\bar{X}) \hookrightarrow \text{Br}(SL_{n, \bar{L}})$. But $\text{Br}(SL_{n, \bar{L}}) = 0$ by [Gil09, §0], and hence $\text{Br}(\bar{Z}) = 0$. By step 7, we deduce that:

$$\text{Br}(Z)/\text{Br}_0(Z) = \text{Br}_{\text{al}}(Z) = \{0, \gamma\}.$$

Step 9: Checking that $Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}(Z)} \neq \emptyset$. Consider the map:

$$\text{ev}_{A, v_0} : \tilde{V}(L_{v_0}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_{v_0}).$$

Since the extension $L_{v_0}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})/L_{v_0}$ has degree 4 and $v_0(a) = v_0(b) = 1$, [CTPS16, Prop. 4.3] shows that $\text{Im}(\text{ev}_{A, v_0}) = \{0, (-a, b)\} = \text{Br}(L_{v_0})[2]$. We deduce that there exists $(\tilde{P}_v) \in \tilde{V}(\mathbb{A}_K)$ such that $\text{BM}((\tilde{P}_v), [A]) = 0$. Hence $\text{BM}(r(\tilde{P}_v), (r^*)^{-1}([A])) = 0$.

Now recall that the projection $q : W \rightarrow V$ is an SL_n -torsor. Since SL_n -torsors over a field are always trivial, we can find an adelic point $(P_v) \in W(\mathbb{A}_L)$ that lifts $(r(\tilde{P}_v)) \in V(\mathbb{A}_L)$. We then have $\text{BM}((P_v), q^*(r^*)^{-1}([A])) = 0$, and hence:

$$\text{BM}(p(P_v), \gamma) = \text{BM}(p(P_v), (p^*)^{-1}q^*(r^*)^{-1}([A])) = 0.$$

Since $\text{Br}(Z)/\text{Br}_0(Z) = \{0, \gamma\}$ according to step 8, we deduce that:

$$p(P_v) \in Z(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}(Z)}.$$

This finishes the proof of statement (iii) and hence the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.2. It is highly likely that there are such counterexamples over *every* field K . Indeed, consider the Weil restriction $R_{L/K}(Z)$, where Z is defined as in Theorem 4.1. This variety is a homogeneous space under $R_{L/K}(\text{SL}_{n,L})$. It is evident that this variety satisfies conditions (ii) and (iv). Finally, for (iii), note that $\overline{R_{L/K}(Z)}$ is simply a self-product of \bar{Z} and hence $\text{Br}(\overline{R_{L/K}(Z)}) = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}(R_{L/K}(Z))/\text{Br}_0(R_{L/K}(Z)) &= \text{Br}_{\text{al}}(R_{L/K}(Z)) = H^1(K, I_{L/K}(\hat{S})) \\ &\cong H^1(L, \hat{S}) \cong \text{Br}(Z)/\text{Br}_0(Z). \end{aligned}$$

One should check then that the Brauer pairing is compatible with these isomorphisms and the bijection between M -points of K and $M \otimes_K L$ -points of Z for M/K . This seems to be a tedious straightforward computation.

Remark 4.3. The interested reader can find explicit examples of fields L satisfying the conditions of Step 1 in the previous proof by looking at [CTPS16, Ex. 5.6. & 5.8].

5. An obstruction using torsors under quasi-trivial tori

Let Z be a homogeneous space under a linear connected K -group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). In the previous section, we have seen that the usual Brauer-Manin obstruction is not enough to explain the failure of the local-global principle when Z does not satisfy the technical hypothesis from Theorem 3.2. This is why, in this section, we aim at constructing a stronger obstruction by taking into account more places of K and applying the Brauer-Manin obstruction to torsors under quasi-trivial tori over Z .

For that purpose, we start by defining a new set Ω_Z of places of K as follows. Consider the canonical K -form H^{torf} of \bar{H}^{torf} associated to Z . Then consider:

- L/K the minimal extension splitting the group of multiplicative type H^{torf} ;
- \mathcal{Y} the regular model associated to L ;
- Ω_Z the set of places v of K that come from $w \in \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$;
- $\Omega_{0,Z}$ the set of places v of K that come from $w \in \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ and that are *not* in $X^{(1)}$.

Note that $\Omega_{0,Z}$ may be bigger than $X_0^{(0)} = \mathcal{X}^{(1)} \setminus X^{(1)}$.

Finally, we fix an inclusion $H^{\text{torf}} \hookrightarrow T$ into a torus T isomorphic to $(R_{L/K}\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. Note that such an inclusion always exists.

We start with an application of [DLA17] that allows us to construct a torsor over Z under T .

Proposition 5.1. *Let Z be as above and assume that $Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ for every $v \in \Omega_Z$. Then there exists a K -homogeneous space W under $G \times T$ with geometric stabilizer \bar{H}^{torf} with the following extra properties:*

- the natural morphism $\bar{H}^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow (G \times T)^{\text{tor}} = G^{\text{tor}} \times T$ is injective;
- there is a morphism of K -homogeneous spaces $p : W \rightarrow Z$ which makes W into a T -torsor.

Proof. We use the notations fixed in Section 2. Consider the class $\eta^{\text{torf}} \in H^2(K, H^{\text{torf}})$ naturally associated to Z and denote by ξ its image in $H^2(K, T) \simeq H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)^n$. For $v \in \Omega_Z$, the hypothesis $Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ implies that η^{torf} is trivial in $H^2(K_v, H^{\text{torf}})$. Hence ξ lies in $\text{III}_Y^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)^n$, which is trivial by [CTPS16, Rem. 2.3]. Since $\xi = 0$, this can be interpreted as a morphism of gerbs $\mathcal{M}_Z^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{TORS}}(T)$. By [DLA17, Thm. 3.4], we get all the data in the statement (using the notations in [DLA17], take $N := G^{\text{ssu}}$, $G' := T$ and $\mathcal{M}' := \mathcal{M}_Z^{\text{torf}}$). \square

Remark 5.2. This kind of construction was already used by Borovoi in [Bor96] in order to reduce the study of the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle and weak approximation for homogeneous spaces over number fields to simpler cases in which this study was already done.

We now prove that the Brauer-Manin obstruction applied to this T -torsor $W \rightarrow Z$ is enough to explain the eventual failure of the local-global principle.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). Assume moreover that $Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ for every $v \in \Omega_Z$. Then there exists a torsor $W \rightarrow Z$ under a quasi-trivial torus T such that, if $W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{B}(W)} \neq \emptyset$, then $Z(K) \neq \emptyset$.*

In particular, recalling Remark 2.3, we have this result for homogeneous spaces with connected stabilizers.

Proof. Proposition 5.1 gives us the T -torsor $W \rightarrow Z$, to which we can apply Theorem 3.2, concluding that

$$W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{B}(W)} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow W(K) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset,$$

where the second implication is obvious. \square

Remark 5.4. Another way to define this obstruction is as follows. Assuming $Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset$ for every $v \in \Omega_Z$, we get the existence of the T -torsor $W \rightarrow Z$. Since T is quasi-trivial, every adelic point $(P_v) \in Z(\mathbb{A}_K)$ lifts to an adelic point $(Q_v) \in W(\mathbb{A}_K)$. Evaluation at (Q_v) defines then a morphism $\phi_Z : \text{B}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is independent of the choice of (P_v) and (Q_v) . Then $W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{B}(W)} \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to ϕ_Z being the trivial morphism.

In order to get a more conceptual result, we introduce the following obstruction to the local-global principle.

Definition 5.5. For Z any variety, we define

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt},\text{B}} := \bigcap_{\substack{f:W \xrightarrow{T} Z \\ T \text{ quasi-trivial}}} f(W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{B}(W)}).$$

It is easy to see that $Z(K) \subseteq Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt},\text{B}} \subseteq Z(\mathbb{A}_K)$. Then the following result is deduced from Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.6. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). Then*

$$[Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt},\text{B}} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset, \forall v \in \Omega_{0,Z}] \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Since $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt},\text{B}} \neq \emptyset$, we immediately get that $Z(\mathbb{A}_K) \neq \emptyset$. The hypothesis on places in $\Omega_{0,Z}$ allows then to apply Theorem 5.3 and the result follows. \square

Remark 5.7. The obstruction of Theorem 5.3 is computable in theory (but not the one in Corollary 5.6). Indeed, the model \mathcal{Y} can be obtained from \mathcal{X} by taking the normalization in L and desingularizing; the set $\Omega_{0,Z}$ of extra places is finite; the morphism $H^{\text{torf}} \rightarrow T$ can be made explicit; and finally, W can be constructed explicitly from the given data and satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3, which makes the Brauer-Manin obstruction computable.

6. Comparing our new obstruction with descent obstructions

It is well-known that, in the context of number fields, the algebraic Brauer-Manin obstruction is equivalent to the descent obstruction with respect to connected groups, or even with respect to tori. Now, we have just seen that in this new context the Brauer-Manin obstruction fails to explain some failures of the local-global principle, but that the extra input of torsors under quasi-trivial tori seems to be enough to explain everything. It is natural then to compare this new obstruction with the descent obstruction with respect to tori.

In this section we prove that, up to considering the new set of places Ω_Z , which seems to be unavoidable, the descent obstruction with respect to tori is stronger than (and possibly equivalent to) the obstruction introduced in Definition 5.5 and hence it also explains the failures of the local-global principle for homogeneous spaces satisfying (H).

Let us recall the classic definitions associated to descent obstructions (cf. [Sko01, §5.3]).

Definition 6.1. For $f : W \rightarrow Z$ a torsor under a K -group G , we define

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^f := \bigcup_{[a] \in H^1(K,G)} ({}_a f)({}_a W(\mathbb{A}_K)).$$

For Z any variety, we define

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{tor}} := \bigcap_{\substack{f:W \xrightarrow{T} Z \\ T \text{ torus}}} Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^f.$$

We give now a generalization of Definition 5.5, which we will compare with descent obstructions here below.

Definition 6.2. For $B \in \{\mathbb{B}, \text{Br}_1, \text{Br}\}$, define

$$Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt}, B} := \bigcap_{\substack{f:W \xrightarrow{T} Z \\ T \text{ quasi-trivial}}} \bigcap_{\alpha \in B(W)} f(W(\mathbb{A}_K)^\alpha).$$

Remark 6.3. Recall that quasi-trivial tori have a trivial H^1 by Hilbert's Theorem 90 and hence there is no need to consider Galois twists in the last definition. In particular, for $B = \mathbb{B}$ we recover Definition 5.5 since $W(\mathbb{A}_K)^\alpha$ is either empty or the whole set $W(\mathbb{A}_K)$ and thus

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(W)} f(W(\mathbb{A}_K)^\alpha) = f(W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathbb{B}(W)}).$$

Note however that for $B = \text{Br}_1$ or $B = \text{Br}$ this definition *does not* coincide *a priori* with the “more natural” set

$$\bigcap_{\substack{f:W \xrightarrow{T} Z \\ T \text{ quasi-trivial}}} f(W(\mathbb{A}_K)^{B(Y)}).$$

We can state now the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *Let Z be a smooth geometrically integral K -variety. We have $Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{tor}} \subseteq Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{qt}, \text{Br}_1}$.*

We then deduce that the descent obstruction with respect to tori, and the existence of local points at the places in $\Omega_{0,Z}$, are enough to explain the lack of Hasse principle for the homogeneous spaces considered in this article:

Corollary 6.5. *Let Z be a homogeneous space under a connected linear group G with geometric stabilizer \bar{H} satisfying (H). Then*

$$[Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{tor}} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad Z(K_v) \neq \emptyset, \forall v \in \Omega_{0,Z}] \Rightarrow Z(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Consider an adelic point $(P_v) \in Z(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{tor}}$. We must prove that, for every quasi-trivial torus T , for every T -torsor $W \rightarrow Z$ and every class $\alpha \in \text{Br}_1(W)$, we can find a lift of (P_v) to $W(\mathbb{A}_K)$ that is orthogonal to α . Since the Brauer group coincides with the Azumaya Brauer group, we may follow the proof of [Sko01, Prop. 5.3.4], adapting it to our context. Note that this proof uses the bijectivity of the map

$$H^1(L, \text{PGL}_n) \xrightarrow{d_n} \text{Br}(L)[n],$$

for all the involved local and global fields, and this holds for a given field L if and only if it has the “period = index” property. Since this is well-known for the fields L considered

here (cf. [CTGP04, Thm. 1.4 & 1.5] and [HHK09, Thm. 5.5]) we conclude that, for $\alpha \in \text{Br}_1(W)$, we have $W(\mathbb{A}_K)^\alpha = W(\mathbb{A}_K)^f$ for $f : V \rightarrow W$ a PGL_n -torsor representing α . Note that the torsor is trivialized over \bar{K} since $\alpha \in \text{Br}_1(W)$.

Fix then such a tower $V \rightarrow W \rightarrow Z$. Let L/K be an extension such that $\alpha|_L = 0 \in \text{Br}(W_L)$. Define S to be the quasi-trivial torus $S = R_{L/K}\mathbb{G}_m$ and consider the natural inclusion $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow S$. Seeing GL_n as an extension of PGL_n by \mathbb{G}_m with the trivial action (cf. [SGA3, Exp. XVII, App. A]), and endowing S with the trivial PGL_n -action, we may pushforward this extension and get the following commutative diagram with exact lines

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_n & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}_n & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

This diagram gives rise to the following diagram with exact lines in non-abelian cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(W, \text{GL}_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(W, \text{PGL}_n) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H^2(W, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ H^1(W, G) & \longrightarrow & H^1(W, \text{PGL}_n) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H^2(W, S). \end{array}$$

Note that the upper Δ arrow sends the class of $[V \rightarrow W]$ to α and that the vertical arrow on the right corresponds to the restriction map $\text{Br}(W) \rightarrow \text{Br}(W_L)$, hence the image of α in $H^2(W, S)$ is trivial. This implies that the class $[V \rightarrow W]$ comes from $H^1(W, G)$. In other words, there exists a G -torsor $U \rightarrow W$ dominating $V \rightarrow W$.

Note now that SL_n is normal in G since S is central and they both generate G . In particular, G/SL_n is a torus R . Having said this, we get the following diagram of torsors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & U & & \\ & S \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \text{SL}_n & \\ V & & G & & Y \\ & \text{PGL}_n \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow R & \\ & & W & & \\ & & T \downarrow & & \\ & & Z & & \end{array} \quad (4)$$

By Theorem A.1 in the appendix, we know that there exists an extension

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1,$$

with Q a K -torus such that the arrow $Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Q -torsor. Since $(P_v) \in Z(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{tor}}$, we know that there exists a Galois twist ${}_a Y$ of Y for $[a] \in H^1(K, Q)$ such that (P_v) lifts to an adelic point in ${}_a Y$. Now, since T is quasi-trivial, we immediately see that $[a]$ comes from a class in $H^1(K, R)$, abusively still denoted by $[a]$. Now, consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{SL}_n \rightarrow G \rightarrow R \rightarrow 1,$$

and the corresponding exact sequence in non-abelian cohomology:

$$H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K, R) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H^2(K, \text{SL}_n).$$

Since $H^2(K, \mathrm{SL}_n)$ is only composed of neutral classes by Lemma 2.4, the class $[a] \in H^1(K, R)$ comes from a class $[b] \in H^1(K, G)$, whose image in $H^1(K, \mathrm{PGL}_n)$ we denote by $[c]$. We may then twist the whole diagram (4) by the cocycle b in order to get the following diagram of torsors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & bU & & \\
 & S \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \mathrm{SL}(A) & \\
 & cV & {}_bG & & {}_aY \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow R & \\
 \mathrm{PGL}(A) & & W & & \\
 & & \downarrow T & & \\
 & & Z & &
 \end{array}$$

where A denotes some central simple algebra over K ($\mathrm{SL}(A)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}(A)$ are inner twists of SL_n and PGL_n). We know then that (P_v) lifts to an adelic point $(P'_v) \in {}_aY(\mathbb{A}_K)$. Each P'_v lifts in turn to a K_v -point of ${}_bU$ since $H^1(K_v, \mathrm{SL}(A)) = 1$ for these fields (cf. [Sus85]). It is easy to see that these lifts define an adelic point in ${}_bU$, which we may push down to an adelic point in ${}_cV$ lifting (P_v) . Since ${}_cV$ is a Galois twist of V , its image in W belongs to $W(\mathbb{A}_K)^f$ and lifts (P_v) . This concludes the proof. \square

A. A result on towers of torsors

The goal of this appendix is to prove the following result, which is needed in the proof of Theorem 6.4 above. We are greatly indebted to Mathieu Florence for his help with the proof.

Theorem A.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Let G be a connected linear K -group and T an algebraic K -torus. Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor and let $Z \rightarrow Y$ be a T -torsor. Assume that $\mathrm{Pic}(\bar{G}) = 0$ (for instance, if G is a torus) and that X is geometrically integral. Then there exists a canonical extension*

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

such that the composite $Z \rightarrow X$ is an E -torsor. Moreover, if G is a torus, then E is a torus as well.

Remark A.2. One can find similar results in [BDLM20, App. A] and [BD13, Lem. 2.13], but none of these seemed to be general enough for our purposes. We hope to generalize this result even further in the future.

Proof. For W/K a K -scheme, consider the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{X_W}^{T_W}(Z_W)$ of X_W -automorphisms of Z_W that are compatible with the action of T_W . This defines a group presheaf over the big étale site over K . Denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_X^T(Z)$ the corresponding sheaf and consider the subsheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_Y^T(Z)$ defined by taking the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y_W}^{T_W}(Z_W)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X_W}^{T_W}(Z_W)$ for each W/K . We have:

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{Y_W}^{T_W}(Z_W) = T(Y_W).$$

Indeed, it is well-known that the functor $W \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}_W^{T_W}(Z \times_Y W)$ over the big étale site of Y is represented, as a Y -scheme, by T_Y (cf. for instance [Gir71, III.§1.5]). Moreover, a direct application of Rosenlicht's Lemma gives us, for geometrically irreducible W ,

$$T(Y_W) = T(W) \times M(W),$$

where M is a free and finitely generated abelian constant sheaf. We deduce then that the quotient sheaf M associated to the presheaf

$$W \mapsto T(Y_W)/T(W),$$

is a locally free, locally constant sheaf that is finitely generated and abelian. In other words, we have an exact sequence of abelian sheaves

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_Y^T(Z) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1.$$

In particular, since M is locally constant, it is representable. And since T is affine, we get by [DG70, III.4, Prop. 1.9] that $\underline{\text{Aut}}_Y^T(Z)$ is represented by an abelian K -group scheme A . We abusively denote by A the sheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}_Y^T(Z)$ as well.

Since every element in $\text{Aut}_{X_W}^{T_W}(Z_W)$ induces an X_W -automorphism of Y_W , we have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_X^T(Z) \xrightarrow{\pi} \underline{\text{Aut}}_X(Y),$$

where $\underline{\text{Aut}}_X(Y)$ denotes the sheaf of X -automorphisms of Y .

We claim that π is surjective. In order to prove this, we can replace K by a finite extension. We may assume then that both T and G are split over K . Since G is split and connected and $\text{Pic}(\bar{G}) = 0$, we have that $\text{Pic}(G) = 0$ (cf. [San81, Lem. 6.9]). By [San81, Prop. 6.10], we get then that the map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is surjective. Since T is split, we deduce then that the torsor $Z \rightarrow Y$ comes by pullback from a T -torsor $Z' \rightarrow X$. In other words, $Z = Y \times_X Z'$. The surjectivity is then evident.

Note now that G is clearly a subgroup of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_X(Y)$. Define then $\mathcal{E}' \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}_X^T(Z)$ to be the group sheaf corresponding to the preimage of G via π . We get an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

Since A is abelian, the extension \mathcal{E}' induces an action of the *sheaf* G on the *sheaf* A . By Yoneda's Lemma, this action is actually an action of the K -group G on the K -group A . Note that T corresponds to the neutral connected component of A and thus it is preserved by the G -action since G is connected. In particular, we may quotient by T in order to get an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

Then, if one forgets its group structure, \mathcal{F} corresponds to an M -torsor over the scheme G . By [SGA7, Exp. 8, Prop. 5.1], we know that $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and hence, since M is locally free and finitely generated, $H^1(G, M) = 0$ up to taking a finite extension of K . Since representability can be checked over a finite extension (once again by Yoneda's Lemma), this tells us that \mathcal{F} is represented by a K -scheme F (which is a K -form of $M \times G$). Thus we have an exact sequence of K -group-*schemes*

$$1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

from where we get a new exact sequence of group sheaves

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1.$$

Once again, since T is an affine group-scheme, [DG70, III.4, Prop. 1.9] tells us that \mathcal{E}' is in fact a scheme E' and hence a K -group. But now, since M is discrete, it suffices to define E as the neutral connected component of E' , which clearly fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

Since $E \subset E'$ is a subgroup of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_X^T(Z)$, it is immediate then to check that E acts on Z and that $Z \rightarrow X$ is an E -torsor.

Note finally that the whole construction is clearly canonical. Indeed, the extension \mathcal{E}' is obtained by pullback from the canonical extension of sheaves of automorphism groups. Then we get E' as *the* K -group scheme representing \mathcal{E}' and finally we take the neutral connected component, which is a characteristic subgroup. Also, the last assertion when G is a torus follows from [DG70, IV.1, Prop. 4.5]. 

References

- [BDLM20] **M. R. Ballard, A. Duncan, A. Lamarche, P. K. McFaddin.** Separable algebras and coflasque resolutions. arXiv:2006.06876
- [Bor93] **M. Borovoi.** Abelianization of the second nonabelian Galois cohomology. *Duke Math. J.* 72(1), 217–239, 1993.
- [Bor96] **M. Borovoi.** The Brauer-Manin obstructions for homogeneous spaces with connected or abelian stabilizer. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 473, 181–194, 1996.
- [BD13] **M. Borovoi, C. Demarche.** Manin obstruction to strong approximation for homogeneous spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 88, 1–54, 2013.
- [BvH12] **M. Borovoi, J. van Hamel.** Extended equivariant Picard complexes and homogeneous spaces. *Transform. Groups* 17, 51–86, 2012.
- [CTGP04] **J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, Ph. Gille, R. Parimala.** Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over 2-dimensional geometric fields. *Duke Math. J.* 121(2), 285–341, 2004.
- [CT14] **J.-L. Colliot-Thélène.** Groupe de Brauer non ramifié d’espaces homogènes de tores. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 26(1), 69–83, 2014.
- [CTH15] **J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Harari.** Dualité et principe local-global pour les tores sur une courbe au-dessus de $\mathbb{C}((t))$. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 110(6), 1475–1516, 2015.
- [CTPS16] **J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, V. Suresh.** Lois de réciprocité supérieures et points rationnels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368(6), 4219–4255, 2016.
- [DLA17] **C. Demarche, G. Lucchini Arteche.** Le principe de Hasse pour les espaces homogènes : réduction au cas des stabilisateurs finis. *Compos. Math.* 155, 1568–1593, 2019.

-
- [DG70] **M. Demazure, P. Gabriel.** *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs.* Masson & Cie, Paris; North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.
- [Gil09] **S. Gille.** On the Brauer group of a semisimple algebraic group. *Adv. Math.* 220(3), 913–925, 2009.
- [Gir71] **J. Giraud.** *Cohomologie non abélienne.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. **179**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [GA12] **C. D. González-Avilés.** Quasi-abelian crossed modules and nonabelian cohomology. *J. Algebra* 369, 235–255, 2012.
- [Har02] **D. Harari.** Groupes algébriques et points rationnels. *Math. Ann.* 322(4), 811–826, 2002.
- [HHK09] **D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen.** Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras. *Invent. Math.* 178, 231–263, 2009.
- [Izq17] **D. Izquierdo.** Principe local-global pour les corps de fonctions sur des corps locaux supérieurs II. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 145(2), 267–293, 2017.
- [Izq19] **D. Izquierdo.** Dualité et principe local-global pour les anneaux locaux henséliens de dimension 2. With an appendix by Joël Riou. *Algebr. Geom.* 6(2), 148–176, 2019.
- [San81] **J.-J. Sansuc.** Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 327, 12–80, 1981.
- [SGA3] *Schémas en groupes.* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64. Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Nos. **151, 152, 153**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970; Documents Mathématiques, Nos. **7, 8**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011.
- [SGA7] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7I).* Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. **288**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Sko01] **A. Skorobogatov.** *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. **144**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [Spr66] **T. A. Springer.** Nonabelian H^2 in Galois cohomology. *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965)*, 164–182, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966.
- [Sus85] **A. A. Suslin.** Algebraic K-theory and the norm–residue homomorphism. *J. Soviet Math.* 30, 2556–2611, 1985.