

# Dye's Theorem for tripotents in von Neumann algebras and JBW\*-triples

Jan Hamhalter

Czech Technical University in Prague  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6  
Czech Republic  
hamhalte@fel.cvut.cz

**Abstract.** We study morphisms of the generalized quantum logic of tripotents in JBW\*-triples and von Neumann algebras. Especially, we establish generalization of celebrated Dye's theorem on orthoisomorphisms between von Neumann lattices to this new context. We show one-to-one correspondence between maps on tripotents preserving orthogonality, orthogonal suprema, and reflection  $u \rightarrow -u$ , on one side, and their extensions to maps that are real linear on sets of elements with bounded range tripotents on the other side. In a more general description we show that quantum logic morphisms on tripotent structures are given by a family of Jordan \*-homomorphisms on 2-Peirce subspaces. By examples we exhibit new phenomena for tripotent morphisms that have no analogy for projection lattices and demonstrated that the above mentioned tripotent versions of Dye's theorem cannot be improved. On the other hand, in a special case of JBW\*-algebras we can generalize Dye's result directly. Besides we show that structure of tripotents in C\*-algebras determines their projection poset and is a complete Jordan invariant for von Neumann algebras.

**key words:** tripotent poset, quantum logic morphisms, JBW\* algebras, JBW\*-triples.

**MSC2010 classification:** 17C65, 46L10, 81P10

## 1 Introduction

The aim of the present paper is to explore the concept of orthogonality and order in structures associated with von Neumann algebras and Jordan triples. Especially, we study morphisms of projection lattices of JBW\*-algebras and generalized quantum logics of tripotents in JBW\*-triples. The former structure is going back to John von Neumann's work on continuous geometry [20], foundations of operator algebras [15], and foundations of quantum theory [19]. The latter structure is younger and stems from infinite dimensional holomorphy,

Jordan theory and its applications to mathematical physics [5, 4, 25]. Main results of the paper concern generalizations of celebrated Day's theorem [9] for the above indicated order structures showing their intimate connection with their underlying linear structure.

Dye's theorem is a generalization of famous Wigner's theorem about symmetries of quantum system [26]. Ulhorn's logic theoretic version [24] of this principle states that orthogonality relation in the set  $P(H)$  of projections acting on a Hilbert space,  $H$  with  $\dim H \geq 3$ , determines dynamics of the system. More precisely, if  $\varphi : P(H) \rightarrow P(H)$  is a bijection preserving orthogonality in both directions, i.e.

$$pq = 0 \iff \varphi(p)\varphi(q) = 0,$$

then there is a unitary or antiunitary operator  $u$  acting on  $H$  such that

$$\varphi(p) = u^*pu, \quad \text{for all } p \in P(H).$$

In unifying reformulation avoiding distinguishing between unitary and antiunitary case, we can say that there is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism  $J : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ , where  $B(H)$  is the algebra of all bounded operators acting on  $H$ , such that

$$\varphi(p) = J(p), \quad \text{for all } p \in P(H).$$

Following advances made by John von Neumann in his project of continuous geometry [20], Day tackled the problem of describing orthoisomorphisms between projection lattices of von Neumann algebras which involves Ulhorn's result as a very special case. His principal result, known today as Dye's theorem, reads as follows

**1.1. Theorem. (Dye's Theorem)** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be von Neumann algebras, where  $M$  does not have Type  $I_2$  direct summand. Let  $\varphi : P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$  be orthoisomorphism between projection lattices of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. Then there is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism  $J : M \rightarrow N$  extending  $\varphi$ .*

The assumption on absence of Type  $I_2$  direct summand corresponds to assumption  $\dim H \geq 3$  in Ulhorn's version of Wigner's theorem. Dye's theorem shows that orthogonality relation in the projection lattice of a von Neumann algebra determines its Jordan  $*$ -structure given by the anticommutatnt product  $(x, y) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$  and the standard  $*$ -operation. This result was then generalized beyond von Neumann algebras by the author in [11].

The main message of Dye's theorem is the fact that any map preserving orthogonality relation can be "linearised" by finding its *linear* extension. Once we have linearity, the fact that the extension has to be Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism can be deduced relatively easily as a consequence of preserving projections and their orthogonality. L.J.Bunce and J.D.M.Wright fully realised this fact in their work [3] that was a turning point for further investigation. Namely, they showed that Gleason's theorem on extending probability measure on projections to a linear map can be applied to generalizing Dye's theorem in a few directions.

At first it enabled to show a version of Dye's theorem for non-bijective maps between projections. Moreover, they established validity of Dye's theorem for projection structures in JW-algebras that are more general than von Neumann algebras. (JW-algebra is a weakly closed subspace of the real space of self-adjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space that is closed under forming the squares  $a \rightarrow a^2$ .) We continue this research by showing Dye's theorem for even more general JBW\*-algebras. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be JBW\*-algebras, where  $A$  does not have Type  $I_2$  part. We show that any map  $\varphi : P(A) \rightarrow P(B)$  between projection lattices of JBW\*-algebras such that  $\varphi$  preserves zero, orthogonality and suprema of orthogonal projection (such a map will be called quantum logic morphism) is a restriction of a Jordan \*-homomorphism  $J : A \rightarrow B$ .

Principal contribution of our paper concerns Dye's theorem in the context of JBW\*-triples. JBW\*-triples are Banach spaces that generalize von Neumann algebras. However, they involve also Hilbert spaces and structures of operators between different Hilbert spaces (rectangular matrices), which are seldom von Neumann algebras. The theory of JBW\*-triples has been developing rapidly recently and is vital for infinite dimensional complex analysis, differential geometry and mathematical physics. Projection lattice is a prominent order structure associated with classifications of von Neumann algebras. There is another poset, called tripotent poset, that underlies theory of JBW\*-triples in a similar way. An element  $u$  in a von Neumann algebra  $M$  is a tripotent if it is partial isometry, i.e. if  $u = uu^*u$ . This class of operators involves unitary operators as well as projections. Order is defined as follows

$$u \leq v \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u = uv^*u.$$

The resulting poset  $(U(M), \leq)$  of all tripotents in  $M$  includes projection lattice  $P(M)$  as a principal ideal. Besides, there is a natural orthogonality relation on  $U(M)$ . The tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  are orthogonal if  $uu^*v = 0$ . It is the same as to say that kernels of  $u$  and  $v$  are orthogonal subspaces in the underlying Hilbert space and the same holds for ranges of  $u$  and  $v$ . The importance of the structure  $(U(M), \leq, \perp)$  was recognized by Edwards and Rüttimann who studied tripotent posets from the perspectives of orthomodular structures and obtained many deep results between functional analysis and theory of quantum logics [7, 8]. Especially, they showed that tripotent poset of a JBW\*-triple is Dedekind complete (i.e. each upper bounded set has supremum) and found connection of this poset with facial geometry of the unit ball. Unlike von Neumann projection lattice, triple poset is not upward directed and has many maximal elements. However, it can be organized into generalized quantum logic (nonunital version of orthomodular poset). In further development a fruitful interplay of the theory of orthomodular posets and JBW\*-triples initiated by Edwards and Rüttimann seemed to be neglected until recent paper [13]. We believe that it is a pity in the light of recent development in JBW\*-triple theory as well in foundations of quantum theory [16]. For this reason we would like to revive this line of the research by studying morphisms between tripotent posets and appropriate

forms of Dye's theorem for these structures.

In the beginning of our research, we realised that one cannot generalise Dye's theorem verbatim to Jordan triple structures. Indeed, easy counterexamples show that there is an orthoisomorphism between tripotents that does not preserve order and cannot be so extended to a Jordan morphism. That is why we have to consider quantum logic morphisms (see [3]), i.e maps preserving suprema of orthogonal elements, in addition to preserving orthogonality. Further, our counterexamples show that even if we exclude Type  $I_2$  part as in original version of Dye's theorem, the theorem is not valid. In other words, quantum logic morphisms are always more general than restrictions of linear Jordan maps. However, we show that any quantum logic morphism of triple structures extends to a map that is additive on relevant parts. Most importantly, we establish one-to-one correspondence between quantum logic morphisms preserving reflection  $u \rightarrow -u$  and real homogeneous maps preserving tripotents that are additive with respect to elements whose range tripotents have upper bound. Such maps are called local Jordan morphisms. Another approach how to describe all quantum logic morphisms elaborated in this paper is based on a family of linear Jordan maps that are defined on homotopes and are consistent in some sense. Beside generalization of Dye's theorem we also bring some new results on tripotent posets and their morphisms.

Tripotent poset constitute invariant in the category of  $JB^*$ -triples. Even if it is not in the main focus of our paper, we also discuss briefly a natural question of whether it is a complete invariant in case of  $JBW^*$ -triples. We show that the answer is in the positive in case of triple posets in von Neumann algebras. More specifically, we prove that the following conditions are equivalent: (i) von Neumann algebras  $M$  and  $N$  are Jordan  $*$ -isomorphic (2) tripotent posets  $U(M)$  and  $U(N)$  are isomorphic as generalised quantum logics (iii) projection lattices  $P(M)$  and  $P(N)$  are isomorphic as quantum logics. We also show that the tripotent posets of two  $C^*$ -algebras are isomorphic if and only if their projection posets are isomorphic. As a consequence, tripotent posets carry the same amount of information as projection lattices. This seems to be interesting because tripotent posets include also non normal elements and are much larger than projection posets

Our paper is organised as follows. After introduction and recalling basic notions we introduce orthomodular order structures in the second section and prove some easy statements needed later. In the third part we generalise Dye's theorem to projection lattices of  $JBW^*$  algebras. In the forth section of the present note we focus on ordered tripotent structures and their morphisms. We exhibit some examples showing that our later results are optimal. Sections 5 and 6 contain main results describing quantum logic morphisms between tripotent structures in terms of families of Jordan maps and local Jordan maps. Concluding section is an invitation to further research and contains discussion on complete order invariant of von Neumann algebras.

Let us now recall a few concepts and fix the notation. For the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras the reader is referred to monographs [15, 22]. For fundamentals of the theory of Jordan algebras and Jordan triple systems we recommend monograph [5, 6, 21, 25, 23].

Given a normed space  $X$ ,  $B_1(X)$  shall denote its unit ball. By the symbol  $B(H)$  we shall denote the algebra of all bounded operators on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Jordan algebra is a real or complex commutative algebra endowed with a Jordan product,  $\circ$ , satisfying the identity  $x \circ (x^2 \circ y) = x^2 \circ (x \circ y)$ . By a JB-algebra we mean a real Banach space that is simultaneously a (real) Jordan algebra, for which we have  $\|x \circ y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ ,  $\|x^2\| = \|x\|^2$  and  $\|x^2 - y^2\| \leq \max\{\|x^2\|, \|y^2\|\}$ . By a JC-algebra we understand a real closed subalgebra of the self-adjoint part of  $B(H)$  that is closed under the squares and whose Jordan product is  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ . Let  $A$  be a JB-algebra. Positive elements in  $A$  are elements of the form  $a^2$ ,  $a \in A$ . Elements  $x, y \in A$  are said to operator commute if  $x \circ (y \circ z) = y \circ (x \circ z)$  for all  $z \in A$ . The center  $Z(A)$  of  $A$  is the set of all elements operator commuting with each element of  $A$ . A JW-algebra is a JC-algebra that is moreover closed in the weak operator topology on  $B(H)$ . JBW-algebra is a JB-algebra that has a (unique) predual. Given  $a \in A$  we shall define an operator  $U_a$  acting on  $A$  by  $U_a(x) = 2a \circ (a \circ x) - a^2 \circ x$ . Let us remark that operator  $U_a$  is positive in the sense that leaves the positive cone of  $A$  invariant.

Let  $A$  be a complex Jordan algebra endowed with an involution  $*$ . Then one can define the triple product,  $\circ$ , on  $A$  by

$$\{a, b, c\} = a \circ (b^* \circ c) + c \circ (a \circ b^*) - b^* \circ (a \circ b). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $A$  be JC\*-algebra, that is a closed complex Jordan subalgebra of  $B(H)$  which is invariant with respect to adjoints and endowed with the Jordan product  $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ . Then we have that

$$\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a).$$

JB\*-algebra is a Jordan \*-algebra that is simultaneously a Banach space whose norm satisfies:

$$\|a^*\| = \|a\|, \quad \|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|, \quad \|\{a, a, a\}\| = \|a\|^3.$$

The self-adjoint part of a JB\*-algebra is the set  $H(A) = \{a \in A : a = a^*\}$ . It is a JB-algebra and each JB-algebra can be obtained in this manner [27]. If a JB\*-algebra admits a predual, then it is called a JBW\*-algebra. A projection in a JB\*-algebra (resp. JB-algebra) is a self-adjoint idempotent (resp. idempotent). The set of projections in a JB\*-algebra or in a JB-algebra  $A$  will be denoted  $P(A)$ . A linear functional  $f$  on a JB\*-algebra  $A$  is called positive if it takes positive values on elements in the positive part of  $A$ , that is if  $f(a^2) \geq 0$

for all  $a \in H(A)$ . If  $f$  is moreover norm one, then it is called state.

A Jordan triple is a complex space  $E$  endowed with triple product  $(a, b, c) \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$  which is symmetric and linear in the first and the third variable and conjugate linear in the second variable and satisfies the identity

$$\begin{aligned} [L(a, b), L(c, d)] &= L(\{a, b, c\}, d) - L(c, \{d, a, b\}) = \\ &= L(a, \{b, c, d\}) - L(\{c, d, a\}, b), \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the commutator and  $L$  is the mapping from  $E \times E$  into the space of linear operators on  $E$  defined by  $L(a, b)c = \{a, b, c\}$ . A Jordan triple  $E$  is said to be a JB\*-triple if the following holds:

- $E$  is a Banach space and  $L$  is a continuous map from  $E \times E$  into the space  $B(E)$  of bounded operators acting on  $E$ .
- For each  $a \in E$ ,  $L(a, a)$  is a hermitian operator with nonnegative spectrum and satisfies  $\|L(a, a)\| = \|a\|^2$ . (Let us recall that a bounded operator  $T$  acting on some complex Banach space is called hermitian if  $\|e^{itT}\| = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .)

The JBW\*-triple is a JB\*-triple that is a dual Banach space. Any JBW\*-algebra endowed with the triple product (1.1) is a JBW\*-triple.

Tripotent  $u$  in a JB\*-triple  $E$  is an element satisfying  $\{u, u, u\} = u$ . The set of all tripotents of  $E$  will be denoted by  $U(E)$ . Each tripotent  $u$  is responsible for decomposition of  $E$  into closed subspaces

$$E = E_0(u) \oplus E_1(u) \oplus E_2(u),$$

where  $E_i(u)$  is the eigenspace of  $L(u, u)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\frac{i}{2}$ . A complete tripotent is a tripotent  $u \in E$  for which  $E_0(u) = \{0\}$ . It is known that  $x$  is an extreme point of the unit ball of a JBW\*-triple if and only if it is a complete tripotent. The space  $E_2(u)$  can be made into JBW\*-algebra with respect to the following involution  $*_u$  and Jordan product  $\circ_u$ :

$$x \circ_u y = \{x, u, y\}, \quad x^{*u} = \{u, x, u\} \quad x, y \in E_2(u).$$

The tripotent  $u$  is the unit in the JB\*-algebra  $E_2(u)$ . The triple product induced by the Jordan product  $\circ_u$  via (1.1) coincides with the original triple product restricted to  $E_2(u)$ . We shall denote the JB\*-algebra defined in this way by the symbol  $E(u)$ . Sometimes  $E(u)$  is called homotope of  $E$  corresponding to  $u$ . Tripotent  $u$  in  $E$  is called unitary if  $E_2(u) = E$ . In that case the triple product on  $E$  is coming from the underlying JB\*-algebra  $E(u)$ .

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces and  $B(H, K)$  the space of all bounded operators from  $H$  to  $K$ . A J\*-algebra is a closed subset of  $B(H, K)$  which is closed under the product  $a \rightarrow aa^*a$ . When endowed with the triple product

$\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a)$ ,  $J^*$ -algebra is a  $JB^*$ -triple. If  $E$  is a  $J^*$ -algebra, then  $u \in E$  is a tripotent if and only if it is a partial isometry, that is an element  $u$  for which  $uu^*$  and  $u^*u$  are projections (in the corresponding spaces). We shall define initial projection of a tripotent  $u$  as  $p_i(u) = u^*u$  and final projection of  $u$  by  $p_f(u) = uu^*$ . In this case we have

$$E_2(u) = p_f(u)Ep_i(u).$$

If a  $J^*$ -algebra  $A$  is closed in the weak\*-topology, then it is a  $JBW^*$ -triple. An example of a  $J^*$ -algebra is a  $JC^*$ -algebra. An example of a  $J^*$ -algebra that may not be a  $JB^*$ -algebra is the  $JBW^*$ -triple  $B(H)_a$  of all antisymmetric operators in  $B(H)$ . Let us recall that an operator  $X \in B(H)$  is antisymmetric if  $X^t = -X^t$ , where  $X \rightarrow X^t$  is the transpose operation with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis of  $H$ . It is known that this  $JB^*$ -triple system is a  $JB^*$ -algebra if and only if  $H$  does not have odd finite dimension.

Let  $x$  be a nonzero element in  $JBW$ -algebra  $M$ . Its range projection  $p$  is the smallest projection in  $M$  such that  $p \circ x = x$ . This projection is always contained in a  $JBW$ -subalgebra of  $M$  generated by  $x$ . For each norm one element  $x$  in a  $JBW^*$ -triple  $E$ ,  $r(x)$  will denote its range tripotent. It is the smallest tripotent  $e$  in  $M$  for which  $x$  is a positive element in  $E(e)$ . If  $x$  is a general nonzero element then its range tripotent is the range tripotent of  $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ . We set  $r(0) = 0$ . The range tripotent is always contained in a the  $JBW^*$ -subtriple of  $E$  generated by  $x$ . Suppose that  $x$  is a positive element in  $E(u)$  for some tripotent  $u \in E$ . Then its range tripotent coincides with its range projection in  $E(u)$ .

Let  $(A, \circ)$  and  $(B, \circ)$  be  $JB^*$ -algebras. A linear map  $J : A \rightarrow B$  is called a Jordan \*-homomorphism if  $J(a \circ b) = J(a) \circ J(b)$  and  $J(a^*) = J(a)^*$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . It is called a Jordan \*-isomorphism if it is a bijective Jordan \*-homomorphism. Jordan homomorphism  $J : (A, \circ) \rightarrow (B, \circ)$  between  $JB$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is a map preserving product, that is  $J(a \circ b) = J(a) \circ J(b)$ . If  $J$  is bijective it is called a Jordan isomorphism. A linear map  $J : E \rightarrow F$  between  $JB^*$ -triples  $E$  and  $F$  is called a Jordan triple homomorphisms if it preserves triple product, that is  $J\{a, b, c\} = \{J(a), J(b), J(c)\}$ . If a Jordan triple homomorphism is a bijection, then we are talking about Jordan triple isomorphism. Celebrated Kaup's theorem assures that a surjective linear operator between  $JB^*$ -triples is an isometry if and only if it is a triple isomorphism.

It is well known that Dye's theorem does not hold for algebra of two by two matrices. In fact, it does not hold for  $JBW$ -algebras of Type  $I_2$  which is much wider class. We do not give original definition of these algebras since we are not going to use it. However, we describe what Type  $I_2$  means for readers not familiar with classification theory of Jordan algebras. Let  $H_n$ , where  $n < \infty$ , be an  $n$ -dimensional real Hilbert space. We define  $JB$ -algebra  $V_n = H_n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  as a Banach space with norm  $\|x \oplus \lambda 1\| = \|x\| + |\lambda|$  and with multiplication

$$(a + \lambda 1) \circ (b + \mu 1) = (\mu a + \lambda b) \oplus (\langle a, b \rangle + \lambda \mu).$$

Such an algebra is called (finite dimensional) spin factor. Let  $C(X, V_n)$  be the JBW-algebra of continuous functions from a hyperstonean space  $X$  into spin factor  $V_n$  with pointwise defined Jordan multiplications and maximum norm. Type  $I_2$  algebra is isomorphic to a nonzero direct sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{n_k},$$

where  $(n_k)$  is a strictly increasing sequence of integers and each  $A_{n_k}$  is either zero or the algebra  $C(X_k, V_{n_k})$ , where  $X_{n_k}$  is a hyperstonean space. We shall say that a JBW-algebra is regular if it does not contain any direct summand of Type  $I_2$ . A JBW\*-triple  $E$  is said to be regular if  $H(E(u))$  is a regular JBW-algebra for each complete tripotent  $u \in E$ . If  $M$  is a von Neumann algebra, then it is regular as a JBW\*-triple if and only if it does not contain any Type  $I_2$  direct summand. Indeed, having a complete tripotent  $u$  in a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , we shall show in the proof of Lemma 7.3 that there is a unital Jordan triple isomorphisms between  $M = M(1)$  and  $M(u)$ . This isomorphism is a Jordan \*-isomorphism. Any Jordan \*-isomorphism preserves Type  $I_2$  direct summands. Therefore  $M$  does not have any Type  $I_2$  direct summand if and only if the same holds for  $M(u)$ .

Jordan triple isomorphism  $\Phi : E \rightarrow F$ .

## 2 Order structures

In this section we gather standard definitions and notations concerning ordered structures. Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set (poset in short). Given  $a, b \in P$  we shall denote  $[a, b] = \{x : a \leq x \leq b\}$ . This set will be called the interval. By  $a \wedge b$  and  $a \vee b$  we shall mean the join (infimum) and meet (supremum) of the set  $\{a, b\}$ , respectively. In case of general set  $S \subset P$ , we shall denote the respective meet and join by  $\bigwedge S$  and  $\bigvee S$ . A subset of a poset is called bounded if it has lower and upper bound (that is, if it is a subset of some interval). Further, a subset  $S \subset P$  is called upper bounded if it has an upper bound. By a conditionally complete poset we mean a poset for which every upper bounded nonempty set has supremum. An upward directed poset is a poset in which every two point set has an upper bound. Now we shall recall basic concepts of morphisms between posets.

**2.1. Definition.** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be posets, and  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$  a map. Then  $\varphi$  is called

- an order morphism if  $a \leq b$  implies  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$  for each  $a, b \in P$ . We also say that  $\varphi$  preserves order in one direction;
- an embedding of posets if  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$  for each  $a, b \in P$ . We also say that  $\varphi$  preserves order in both directions. Order embedding is always an injective map;



- an order isomorphism if it is a surjective order embedding.

The same terminology will be employed in case of order reversing maps. For example, a map  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$  is an order antimorphism if  $a \leq b$  implies  $\varphi(a) \geq \varphi(b)$  in  $Q$ .

We shall be mainly interested in poset endowed with some concept of orthogonality or orthocomplementation.

**2.2. Definition.** Let  $P$  be poset with a least element 0. Orthogonality relation,  $\perp$ , on  $P$  is a relation satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\perp$  is a symmetric relation.
- (ii)  $0 \perp a$  for each  $a \in P$ .
- (iii)  $a \perp a$  implies  $a = 0$ .
- (iv) If  $a \perp b$ , then  $c \perp b$  whenever  $c \leq a$ .

**2.3. Definition.** Let  $P$  be a poset with a least element 0 and a greatest element 1.

- $P$  is called orthoposet if there is an operation  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  on  $P$ , called orthocomplementation, fulfilling the following conditions for each  $a, b \in P$ :

- (i)  $a \leq b$  implies  $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$
- (ii)  $a^{\perp\perp} = a$
- (iii)  $a \wedge a^\perp = 0$  and  $a \vee a^\perp = 1$ .

We say that two elements  $a, b$  in an orthoposet are orthogonal, written  $a \perp b$ , if  $a \leq b^\perp$ . Let us remark that  $\perp$  is an orthogonality relation on  $P$  in the sense of Definition 2.2.

- An orthoposet  $(P, \leq, \perp)$  is called an orthomodular poset or quantum logic if

$$a \vee b \text{ exists whenever } a \perp b$$

and the following orthomodular law is satisfied:

$$b = a \vee (b \wedge a^\perp),$$

whenever  $a \leq b$ . An orthomodular lattice is an orthomodular poset that is a lattice.

- A generalized orthomodular poset  $P$  (or a generalized quantum logic) is a poset with a least element 0, such that each interval  $[0, a]$ ,  $a \in P$  is an orthoposet endowed with orthocomplementation  $x \rightarrow x^{\perp_a}$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $([0, a], \leq, \perp_a)$  is a quantum logic for each  $a \in P$ .

(ii) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $x^{\perp a} = x^{\perp b} \wedge a$  for all  $x \in [0, a]$ .

Let us remark that if  $P$  is a quantum logic, then it is canonically a generalized quantum logic with local orthocomplementation on each interval  $[0, a]$  given by

$$b^{\perp a} = a \wedge b^{\perp} \quad b \leq a.$$

Therefore quantum logics can be viewed as unital generalised quantum logics. Further, having generalized quantum logic  $P$ , we can induce a canonical orthogonality relation,  $\perp$ , on  $P$  by setting  $a \perp b$  if there is a  $v \in P$  with  $v \geq a, b$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are orthogonal in quantum logic  $[0, v]$ , that is  $b \leq a^{\perp v}$ . It can be shown that this definition does not depend on  $v$ . A typical example of a generalized quantum logic is the poset  $P(A)$  of projection in a (possibly nonunital)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Indeed, for each projection  $p \in A$  we introduce orthocomplementation  $\perp_p$  on  $[0, p]$  by setting

$$q^{\perp_p} = p - q,$$

for  $q \leq p$ .

**2.4. Definition.** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be posets endowed with relation of orthogonality, and  $\varphi$  a map  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ .

- $\varphi$  is called orthomorphism if it preserves orthogonality relation in one direction, that is if for each  $a, b \in P$

$$\varphi(a) \perp \varphi(b) \text{ whenever } a \perp b.$$

- $\varphi$  is called orthoisomorphism if it is a bijection preserving orthogonality relation in both directions, that is if

$$\varphi(a) \perp \varphi(b) \text{ if and only if } a \perp b.$$

**2.5. Definition.** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be generalized orthomodular posets and  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ . Then  $\varphi$  is called a quantum logic morphism if for each orthogonal  $a, b \in P$  we have

- (i)  $\varphi(0)=0$
- (ii)  $\varphi(a) \perp \varphi(b)$
- (iii)  $\varphi(a \vee b) = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(b)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a bijection such that both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are quantum logic morphisms, then  $\varphi$  is called quantum logic isomorphism.

We have the following simple observation.

**2.6. Proposition.** Let  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$  be a quantum logic morphism between generalized orthomodular posets  $P$  and  $Q$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an orthomorphism and order morphism.

*Proof.* By definition  $\varphi$  preserves orthogonality. Take  $a \leq b$  in  $P$ . Hence  $b = a \vee a^{\perp b}$ . Then  $\varphi(b) = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(a^{\perp b})$ . It says that  $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ .  $\square$

On the other hand, in the unital case we see that orthoisomorphism is a quantum logic isomorphism.

**2.7. Proposition.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be quantum logics and  $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$  an orthoisomorphism. Then  $\varphi$  is an order isomorphism preserving orthocomplements.*

*Proof.* As 0 is the only element that is orthogonal to every element of the poset, we see that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Further, as the largest element 1 can be characterized as the only element that is orthogonal only to 0, we can conclude that  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Let  $a \in P$ . Then  $\varphi(a), \varphi(a^{\perp})$  are orthogonal. Put  $b = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(a^{\perp})$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(b^{\perp})$  is orthogonal to both  $a$  and  $a^{\perp}$ . Therefore  $\varphi^{-1}(b^{\perp}) \perp 1$  and so it is zero. Consequently,  $b = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(a^{\perp}) = 1$ . Put  $c = \varphi(a^{\perp})$ . Then  $c \perp \varphi(a)$  and  $\varphi(a) \vee c = 1$ . As  $c \leq \varphi(a)^{\perp}$  there is, by the orthomodular law,  $d \in Q$  with  $c \perp d$  and  $c \vee d = \varphi(a)^{\perp}$ . Therefore  $d \perp \varphi(a)$  and so  $d \perp (c \vee \varphi(a)) = 1$ . Hence  $d = 0$  and so  $\varphi(a^{\perp}) = \varphi(a)^{\perp}$ . We have shown that  $\varphi$  preserves orthocomplementation. As  $a \leq b$  in  $P$  if and only if  $a \perp b^{\perp}$  and  $\varphi$  preserves orthocomplements and orthogonality, we have that  $\varphi$  preserves the order. It is also clear from symmetry that  $\varphi$  preserves the order in both directions. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Projection lattices

In this part we shall generalize Dye's theorem to projection lattices of JBW\*-algebras. For each JB\*-algebra  $(A, \circ)$  we can associate its projection poset  $P(A)$ , where order of projections is given by  $p \leq q$  if  $p \circ q = p$ . In this case  $p + q = p \vee q$ . If  $A$  is unital, then  $P(A)$  becomes an orthomodular poset with orthocomplementation  $p \rightarrow 1 - p$ . (If  $A$  is nonunital, then  $P(A)$  can be endowed with the structure of generalized orthomodular poset, but we shall not need this level of abstraction.) We can define orthogonality relation on  $P(A)$  by  $p \perp q$  if  $p \circ q = 0$ . If  $A$  is unital, then orthogonality relation on  $P(A)$  is the one induced canonically by the orthocomplementation, i.e.  $p \perp q$  if  $p \leq 1 - q$ . As a consequence of Proposition 2.7 we have that any orthoisomorphism between projection structures of unital JB\*-algebras is order isomorphism preserving orthocomplements. It is well known that if  $A$  is a JBW\*-algebra, then  $P(A)$  is a complete lattice.

In Theorem 3.2 we present non-bijective version of Dye's theorem for JBW\*-algebras. Our sharpest weapon will be deep Gleason's theorem for Jordan algebras proved by Bunce and Wright in [1, 2]. Let us recall that positive finitely additive measure on the projection poset  $P(A)$  of a JB\*-algebra  $A$  is a map  $\varrho$  from  $P(A)$  into an interval  $[0, \infty]$  such that  $\varrho(p+q) = \varrho(p) + \varrho(q)$  whenever  $p \perp q$ .

### 3.1. Theorem. (Jordan version of Gleason's Theorem)

Let  $W$  be a JBW-algebra such that  $W$  does not contain any Type  $I_2$  direct summand. Let  $\varrho$  be a finitely additive positive measure on  $P(W)$ . Then  $\varrho$  extends to a unique positive functional on  $W$ .

The following theorem has been proved in [3] for JW-algebras.

**3.2. Theorem.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be JBW\*-algebras such that  $H(A)$  does not contain any Type  $I_2$  direct summand. Suppose that  $\varphi : P(A) \rightarrow P(B)$  is a quantum logic morphism. Then  $\varphi$  extends uniquely to a Jordan \*-homomorphism  $J : A \rightarrow B$ .

*Proof.* We shall follow ideas of the proof in [3]. First let us note that any Jordan homomorphism between self-adjoint parts of JBW\*-algebras (viewed as JBW-algebras) can be canonically extended to a Jordan \*-homomorphism between whole algebras. Therefore we restrict ourselves to JBW-algebras  $M = H(A)$  and  $N = H(B)$  and show that  $\varphi$  extends to a Jordan homomorphism  $J : M \rightarrow N$ . If  $p$  and  $q$  are orthogonal projections, then their supremum is their sum  $p + q$ . Hence, by the property of quantum logic morphism we have that  $\varphi(p + q) = \varphi(p) + \varphi(q)$  whenever  $p \perp q$ .

Let us take a positive functional  $f$  on  $N$ . Composition  $f \circ \varphi$  is a positive finitely additive measure on  $P(A)$ . According to Theorem 3.1, we have that there is a positive functional  $\hat{f}$  on  $M$  that extends  $f \circ \varphi$ . Let  $L(M)$  be the linear span of  $P(A)$  in  $M$ . Pick up  $x \in L(M)$  and suppose that we have two expressions of  $x$  as linear combinations of projections, say

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j q_j,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m$  are projections. Then we have that

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \hat{f}(p_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \hat{f}(q_j).$$

In other words,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(p_i)\right) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \varphi(q_j)\right).$$

By the Hahn Banach Theorem and the fact that dual of  $N$  is spanned by positive functionals, we infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(p_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \varphi(q_j).$$

This allows us to define a linear map

$$T : L(M) \rightarrow L(N) : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mu(p_i).$$

We shall show that this map is positive. For a contradiction suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i$  is positive while  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(p_i)$  is not positive. In this case there is a positive functional  $f$  on  $N$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(\mu(p_i)) < 0$ . Therefore  $\hat{f}$  is a positive functional on  $M$  with  $\hat{f}(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i) < 0$ , but this is a contradiction. Positivity of  $T$  implies its boundedness. Indeed, it follows from the inequality  $\|Tx\| \leq \|T(1)\|$  whenever  $x \in L(M)$  is a positive element with norm less than one. Consequently,  $T$  can be extended to a bounded linear map (denoted again by  $T$ ) from  $M$  to  $N$ . Finally, as  $T$  preserves projections, it is a Jordan homomorphism. This fact was shown in Theorem A.4 in [18] for von Neumann algebras, but the proof for JBW\*-algebras is the same.  $\square$

Now we shall consider bijective variant of the previous result, which is a direct generalization of Dye's theorem for von Neumann algebras.

**3.3. Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a JBW\*-algebra such that  $H(A)$  does not contain any Type  $I_2$  direct summand and  $B$  is another JBW\*-algebra. Suppose that  $\varphi : P(A) \rightarrow P(B)$  is an orthoisomorphism. Then  $\varphi$  extends to a Jordan \*-isomorphism  $J : A \rightarrow B$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2,  $\varphi$  extends to a Jordan \*-homomorphism  $J : A \rightarrow B$ . The image  $J(P(A))$  contains  $P(B)$ . Since any image of a Jordan\*-homomorphism of a JB\*-algebra is closed and the closed linear span of  $P(B)$  is dense in  $B$ , we have that  $J(A) = B$ . Let us demonstrate that  $J$  is injective. We know that  $\text{Ker } J$  is a JB\*-algebra and so it is linearly generated by positive elements. So if  $\text{Ker } J \neq \{0\}$ , then there is a positive norm one element  $x \in J$ . Now we can continue in the same way as in the proof of [10, Theorem 8.1.2 p. 256]. By the spectral theory we can write

$$x = \sum_n \frac{1}{2^n} p_n,$$

where  $p_n$ 's are mutually commuting projections in  $H(A)$ . As  $0 = J(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^n} J(p_n)$ , we have that  $J(p_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . However, at least one  $p_n$  has to be nonzero. This is a contradiction with injectivity of  $\varphi$  on the projection lattice.  $\square$

## 4 Orthogonality and order for tripotents

We shall recall a few standard definitions. Let  $E$  be a JB\*-triple. By  $U(E)$  we shall denote the set of all tripotents of  $E$ , that is the set of all elements  $u \in E$  for

which  $u = \{u, u, u\}$ . This is always nonempty subset as zero is a tripotent. In case when  $E$  is a  $JB^*$ -algebra, then tripotents are just partial isometries, that is elements  $u$  with  $u = uu^*u$ . Then  $p_i(u) = u^*u$  and  $p_f(u) = uu^*$  are projections, called initial and final projection, respectively. We shall be mainly interested in the following orthogonality relation on  $U(E)$ .

**4.1. Definition.** Let  $E$  be a  $JB^*$ -triple. Two tripotents  $e, f \in E$  are orthogonal if

$$L(e, f) = 0.$$

In the next proposition we shall gather basic simple characterizations of orthogonality of tripotents that we shall use in the sequel without further comments (see e.g. Lemma 2.1 in [13]).

**4.2. Proposition.** *Let  $E$  be a  $JB^*$  triple, and let  $e, f$  be tripotents in  $E$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $e \perp f$
- (ii)  $f \perp e$
- (iii)  $e \in E_0(f)$
- (iv)  $E_2(e) \subset E_0(f)$
- (v)  $\{e, e, f\} = 0$
- (vi) *Both  $e + f$  and  $e - f$  are tripotents.*

If  $p$  and  $q$  are projections in a  $JB^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then  $p$  and  $q$  are orthogonal as projections ( $p \circ q = 0$ ) if and only if they are orthogonal as tripotents. In case of  $J^*$ -algebras orthogonality is equivalent to pairwise orthogonality of initial and final projections. This relation is known under the name double orthogonality.

**4.3. Proposition.** *Two tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  in a unital  $J^*$ -algebra  $A$  are orthogonal if and only if they have orthogonal initial and final projections, that is*

$$v^*u = uv^* = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $v \perp u$ . This is equivalent to

$$\{v, v, u\} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$vv^*u + uv^*v = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By multiplying from the left by  $v^*$  we obtain

$$0 = v^*vv^*u + v^*uv^*v = v^*u + v^*uv^*v = v^*u[1 + v^*v]$$

However, as  $v^*v$  is a projection and therefore positive element, we have that  $1 + v^*v$  is invertible and so  $v^*u = 0$  by the previous identity. Multiplying now the identity (4.1) by  $v^*$  from the right, we arrive similarly to

$$[vv^* + 1]uv^* = 0$$

which gives  $uv^* = 0$  in the same way as above. The reverse implication is obvious.  $\square$

Now we shall define key order considered in this paper.

**4.4. Definition.** Let  $E$  be a  $JB^*$ -triple, and let  $e, f \in E$  be tripotents. We say that  $e$  is less then  $f$ , written  $e \leq f$ , if  $f - e$  is a tripotent orthogonal to  $e$ .

We gather a few characterizations of the order relation that we shall use frequently.

**4.5. Proposition.** *Let  $E$  be a  $JB^*$ -triple, and let  $u, v$  be tripotents in  $E$ . The following assertions are equivalent (see e.g Proposition 2.4 in [13]):*

- (i)  $u \leq v$
- (ii)  $u = \{u, v, u\}$
- (iii)  $u = \{u, u, v\}$
- (iv)  $u$  is a projection in  $E(v)$
- (v)  $E(u)$  is a  $JB^*$ -subalgebra of  $E(v)$ .

Having a  $JB^*$ -triple  $E$  we shall always consider  $U(E)$  the set of all tripotents in  $E$  as a poset with order defined above. It is a generalized orthomodular poset, where local orthocomplementation in interval  $[0, e]$  is given by

$$f^{\perp_e} = e - f.$$

It can be observed immediately that  $e \perp f$  for two tripotents  $e, f$  exactly when  $e \leq u - f = f^{\perp_u}$  in each interval  $[0, u]$  containing  $e$  and  $f$ . (Such interval exists because  $e + f$  is supremum  $e \vee f$ .) In other words, orthogonality is induced by order an local orthocomplementation.

Let  $E$  be a  $JBW^*$ -triple. It is a consequence of Proposition 4.5 that any interval  $[0, e]$  in  $U(E)$  is order isomorphic to the projection poset  $P(E(e))$ , which is an orthomodular poset. Moreover if  $e, f$  are orthogonal tripotents and  $w$  is a tripotent  $w \geq e, f$ , then  $e$  and  $f$  become orthogonal projections in  $E(w)$ . (Especially this holds for  $w = e + f$ .) Therefore the poset  $U(E)$  can be seen as pasting orthomodular posets that are isomorphic to projection posets of  $JB^*$ -algebras.

If  $A$  is a  $JBW^*$ -algebra, then  $P(A)$  is a complete lattice. This is far from being true in case of tripotent order structures. The reason is that this poset is

not upward directed in a typical situation. To see it, let us recall that maximal elements in  $U(E)$ , where  $E$  is a nonzero JBW\* triple, are precisely complete tripotents, that is, extreme points of the unit ball. If  $\dim E \geq 2$ , there must be two different maximal tripotents  $u$  and  $v$ . It is then straightforward to conclude that there is no upper bound of the set  $\{u, v\}$ .

The relation of orthogonality for tripotents is an orthogonality relation in the sense of our Definition 2.2. Further, tripotent poset  $U(E)$  is an example of generalized quantum logic. Even if it is not a lattice, a deep analysis given by Edward and Rüttimann in [7] showed that  $U(E)$  is a conditionally complete lattice. More specifically, they showed that there is an order anti-isomorphism between  $U(E)$  and the set of nonempty weak\* closed faces of the unit ball of  $E$  ordered by set inclusion. This antiisomorphism is given by the map

$$e \in U(E) \rightarrow e + B_1(E_0(e)).$$

In this light, let us look at the lattice operation. The supremum of two elements  $e, f \in U(E)$  exists if and only if the intersection of the faces  $e + B_1(E_0(e))$  and  $f + B_1(E_0(f))$  is nonempty. However, it may easily happen that this intersection is empty. For example, one can take two distinct extreme points  $f, g$  of the unit ball of  $E$  (they correspond to maximal tripotents) and consider singleton faces  $\{e\}, \{f\}$ . In contrast to this, given two weak\* closed nonempty faces  $E$  and  $F$ , there is always their supremum, namely the smallest weak\* closed face contains  $F \cup G$ . It means that infimum  $e \wedge f$  in  $U(E)$  always exists and corresponds to a weak\*-closed face generated by two faces.

As an illustration of the triple order, let us consider a von Neumann algebra  $M$ . Then  $U(M)$  is the set of all partial isometries and the order relation is given by

$$u \leq v \text{ if and only if } u = uv^*u = uu^*v.$$

All unitaries are maximal tripotents, however there might be non-unitary maximal tripotents in case of infinite algebras (see [13] for deeper analysis of this phenomenon.)

The following description of triple order was given in Proposition 4.6 in [13].

**4.6. Proposition.** *Let  $u, v$  be partial isometries in a von Neumann algebra  $M$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $u \leq v$
- (ii) *There is a unique projection  $p \leq p_f(v)$  such that  $u = pv$ .*
- (iii) *There is a unique projection  $q \leq p_i(v)$  such that  $u = vq$ .*



This implies that the interval  $[0, v]$  in  $U(E)$  is order isomorphic to interval  $[0, p_f(v)]$  (and  $[0, p_i(v)]$ ) in the projection lattice  $P(M)$ .

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Suppose  $u, w \in U(M)$ . In order to understand better the way how intervals in  $U(M)$  may overlap, we shall describe  $I = [0, u] \cap [0, w] = [0, u \wedge w]$ . This gives a spacial description of infima that is not referring to facial structure of the unit ball as Edwards and Rüttimann did in [7]. By Proposition 4.6 we see that a tripotent  $t$  is in this intersection if and only if

$$t = pu = qw,$$

where  $p$  is a projection under  $p_f(u)$  and  $q$  is a projection under  $p_f(w)$ . Multiplying the previous equation by  $u^*$  from the right, and using the fact  $p_f(u) = uu^* \geq p$ , we have

$$p = puu^* = qwu^*. \quad (4.2)$$

However, this means that range of  $p$  is contained in the range of  $q$  and so  $p \leq q$ . By symmetry argument  $p = q$ . Therefore we have,

$$I = \{pu : p \leq p_f(u) \wedge p_f(w), pu = pw\}.$$

In other words, infimum  $u \wedge w$  is of the form

$$u \wedge w = hu = hw,$$

where  $h = \sup\{p \in P(M) : p \leq p_f(u) \wedge p_f(w), pu = pw\}$ .

This is an expression for infima in terms of the projection lattice. Let us now explore special geometric meaning of elements in  $I$ . Take  $p \leq p_f(u)$  with  $pu = pw$ . By (4.2) we have that

$$p = p w u^*. \quad (4.3)$$

This implies that  $wu^*$  restricts to identity on  $p(H)$ . Indeed, let us take  $\xi \in H$  with  $p\xi = \xi$ . By (4.3) we have that

$$\xi = p w u^* \xi.$$

Suppose that  $wu^* \xi \neq p w u^* \xi$ . Then, obviously

$$\|p w u^* \xi\| < \|w u^* \xi\| \leq \|\xi\|.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore  $p(H)$  is an invariant subspace of  $wu^*$  and this map is identity on it. By the same arguments,  $p(H)$  is invariant for  $uw^* = (wu^*)^*$  and so  $p(H)^\perp$  is also invariant for  $uw^*$ . So we obtain the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$uw^* = \text{identity on } p(H) \oplus \text{some contraction on } (1-p)(H).$$

Now we turn to morphisms between tripotent posets.

**4.7. Example.** Let  $\Phi : E \rightarrow F$  be a Jordan triple homomorphism between  $JB^*$ -triples  $E$  and  $F$ . Then  $\Phi$  preserves tripotents and restricts to a quantum logic morphism  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$ .

The proof of this statement is straightforward. In the opposite direction Jordan triple homomorphisms between  $JBW^*$ -triples can be characterised as linear maps preserving tripotents. This is the content of the following proposition. We expect this fact to be known but we give the argument for the sake of completeness.

**4.8. Proposition.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $JBW^*$ -triples. Let  $\Phi : E \rightarrow F$  be a bounded linear map preserving tripotents. Then  $\Phi$  is a Jordan triple homomorphism.

*Proof.* First we show that  $\Phi$  restricts to a quantum logic morphism between  $U(E)$  and  $U(F)$ . Let  $e$  and  $f$  be orthogonal tripotents in  $E$ . Then  $e + f$  and  $e - f$  are tripotents in  $E$ , implying that  $\Phi(e) + \Phi(f)$  and  $\Phi(e) - \Phi(f)$  are tripotents in  $F$ . By Proposition 4.2 again we have that  $\Phi(e)$  and  $\Phi(f)$  are orthogonal. Therefore,  $\Phi$  preserves orthogonality of tripotents. This is enough for showing that  $\Phi$  is a triple homomorphism. Indeed, in view of polarization identities (see e.g [5]) it suffices to show that  $\Phi$  preserves cubic powers. Let us take an element  $x$  and try to show that

$$\Phi(x^3) = \Phi(x)^3.$$

By the spectral theorem and continuity of  $\Phi$  we can suppose that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i,$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal tripotents and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . As  $\Phi$  preserves orthogonality of tripotents we have that

$$\{\Phi(e_i), \Phi(e_j), \Phi(e_k)\} = 0$$

whenever  $\{i, j, k\}$  is not singleton. Based on it we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x^3) &= \Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_i \Phi(e_i) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_j} \lambda_k \{\Phi(e_i), \Phi(e_j), \Phi(e_k)\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_i \{\Phi(e_i), \Phi(e_i), \Phi(e_i)\} = \Phi(x)^3. \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, in case of linear maps the situation is clear. However, in the context of  $JBW^*$ -triples duality between triple morphisms and Jordan triple morphisms breaks down. Indeed, next series of examples shows that order automorphisms of tripotent poset may not be coming from restrictions of linear maps. Also we demonstrate that the relationship between orthogonality and order is more delicate for tripotents than for projections in Jordan algebras.

**4.9. Example.** (i) *Orthoisomorphisms not extendable to a homogeneous map:*

Let  $A$  be a JB\*-algebra. Then the star operation  $x \rightarrow x^*$  is a bijection that preserves Jordan product, and so its triple product as well. Therefore, when restricted to  $U(A)$  and taking into account Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we obtain an orthoisomorphism and order isomorphism that is not extendable to any linear map.

(ii) *Orthoisomorphism not extendable to an additive map:*

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with  $\dim E \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let us introduce equivalence relation on  $U(M)$  by putting  $u \sim v$  if there is a complex unit  $\lambda$  such that  $u = \lambda v$ . Choose an arbitrary map  $T : U(E)/\sim \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $S : U(E)/\sim \rightarrow U(E)$  be a selection function. Finally, define a map  $\varphi : U(M) \rightarrow U(M)$  by  $\varphi(\lambda S([u])) = \lambda T([u])S([u])$ ,  $u \in U(E)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a bijection for which  $\varphi(S([u])) = T([u])S([u])$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  preserves orthogonality and order in both directions. Indeed, let  $u \perp v$  in  $U(E)$ , or equivalently  $\{u, u, v\} = 0$ . There are complex units  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\varphi(u) = \lambda u$  and  $\varphi(v) = \mu v$ . Then

$$\{\varphi(u), \varphi(u), \varphi(v)\} = \lambda \bar{\lambda} \mu \{u, u, v\} = 0.$$

Similarly, it can be verified that  $\varphi$  preserves orthogonality in the opposite direction. We can now specify the map  $\varphi$  so that it has no extension to any additive map acting on  $M$ . Indeed, take two nonzero orthogonal tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  in  $M$ . Modify parameters in definition of  $\varphi$  so that  $\varphi(u) = -u$  and  $\varphi(v) = v$ . There is a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\varphi(u + v) = \lambda(u + v)$ . Since  $\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) = v - u$ , we can see that  $\varphi(u + v) \neq \varphi(u) + \varphi(v)$ . So  $\varphi$  cannot have an additive extension over  $M$ . Therefore there are orthoisomorphisms of  $U(M)$  which cannot be extended to any additive map from  $M$  to  $M$ .

(iii) *Orthoisomorphism that is not preserving the order:*

We shall show that there is a tripotent orthoisomorphism that is not preserving the order. This cannot happen in the projection poset of JB\*-algebras (see Proposition 2.7). We shall use the preceding example (ii). Let us take linearly independent tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  in  $M$  with  $u \leq v$ . We can certainly choose the map  $\varphi$  above so that  $\varphi(u) = u$  and  $\varphi(v) = -v$ . Then  $u = \{u, v, u\}$ . But  $\{\varphi(u), \varphi(v), \varphi(u)\} = -u$  and so  $\varphi(u)$  is not underneath  $\varphi(v)$  as  $u = \varphi(u) \neq \{\varphi(u), \varphi(v), \varphi(u)\}$ .

There is another example showing considerable nonlinearity of tripotent orthoisomorphisms.

Let  $M = B(H)_a$ , where  $\dim H = 5$ . By a rank,  $d(u)$ , of a tripotent  $u$  in  $M$  we mean dimension of its initial (and so final) projection. First we observe that if  $u \in M$  is a nonzero tripotent, then the following cases may occur (see e.g. [13]): either  $d(u) = 2$  or  $d(u) = 4$ . In the former case  $u$  is minimal. Indeed, let  $e \leq u$  be a nonzero tripotent. Then  $p_f(e)$  and  $p_f(u-e)$  are orthogonal projections underneath  $p_f(u)$  and with even dimensions. This immediately implies that  $e = u$ . In the latter case we infer in a similar way that  $u$  is a maximal tripotent. Let us now consider a bijection  $\varphi : U(M) \rightarrow U(M)$  that is fixing tripotents with rank two and preserves zero and tripotents of rank 4. As nontrivial tripotents are orthogonal only if they have rank two, we can see that  $\varphi$  is an orthoisomorphism. Let us now fix tripotents  $u \leq v$  such that  $\dim p_i(u) = 2$  and  $\dim p_i(v) = 4$ . We can further specify  $\varphi$  to send  $v$  to a tripotent whose initial projection is not above  $p_i(u)$ . Then  $\varphi(v)$  is not above  $\varphi(u)$  and therefore  $\varphi$  is not order preserving.

- (iv) *Orthoisomorphism on a regular  $JBW^*$ -triple having no linear extension.*

Let us now consider  $M = B(H)_a$ , where  $\dim H = 3$ . Let  $u$  be a nonzero tripotent of  $M$ . Then its rank must be two. Moreover, tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  are orthogonal if and only if at least one of them is zero. Therefore, any bijection  $\Phi$  acting on  $U(M)$  fixing zero is an orthoisomorphism. Of course,  $\varphi$  may not have any linear extension to  $M$ . On the other hand,  $M$  is regular. To see it we consider a homotope  $M(u)$  where  $u$  is a maximal tripotent (i.e.  $u$  has rank two). Simultaneously  $u$  is an atom and so  $E(u)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . For this reason  $E(u)$  cannot contain any Type  $I_2$  direct summand and so  $M$  is regular.

## 5 Description of quantum morphisms - consistent systems of Jordan maps

**5.1. Definition.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $JB^*$ -triples, and let  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  be a map. The system of maps  $\Phi(\varphi) = (\Phi_u)_{u \in U(E)}$  is a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms corresponding to  $\varphi$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) Each  $\Phi_u : E(u) \rightarrow F(\varphi(u))$  is a unital Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism between algebras  $E(u)$  and  $F(\varphi(u))$ .
- (ii) If  $u \leq v$  in  $U(E)$  then  $\Phi_u$  and  $\Phi_v$  coincide on  $E(u)$ .

Remark that the map  $\varphi$  in the above definition is uniquely determined by the system of maps  $(\Phi_u)_{u \in U(E)}$  as

$$\varphi(u) = \Phi_u(u).$$

On the other hand, to a given  $\varphi$  there is only one possible consistent system  $\Phi(\varphi)$ . Indeed, suppose we have two such consistent systems  $\Phi(\varphi)$  and  $\Psi(\varphi)$ .

Consider a tripotent  $u \in E$ . We know that  $\Phi_u$  and  $\Psi_u$  coincide with  $\varphi$  on  $[0, u] = P(E(u))$ . However projections in  $E(u)$  span a dense linear subspace. So by continuity  $\Phi_u = \Psi_u$ .

**5.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\Phi(\varphi)$  be a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms between  $JB^*$ -triples  $E$  and  $F$ . Then the map  $\varphi$  is a quantum logic morphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  and  $v$  be orthogonal tripotents. Then  $w = u + v = u \vee v$  is a tripotent. By the assumption  $\Phi_w$  gives  $\Phi_u$  and  $\Phi_v$  on  $E(u)$  and  $E(v)$ , respectively. Tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  becomes projections in  $E(w)$  and are mapped to orthogonal projections  $\Phi_w(u) = \varphi(u)$  and  $\Phi_w(v) = \varphi(v)$  as  $\Phi_w$  is a Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover, we have

$$\varphi(u \vee v) = \varphi(u + v) = \Phi_w(u + v) = \Phi_w(u) + \Phi_w(v) = \varphi(u) + \varphi(v) = \varphi(u) \vee \varphi(v).$$

□

**5.3. Definition.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $JB^*$ -triples. The consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\Phi(\varphi)$  is called a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -isomorphisms if each map  $\Phi_u$  is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism and  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

**5.4. Proposition.** *Let  $\Phi(\varphi)$  be a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -isomorphisms. Then  $\varphi$  is a quantum logic isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.2 the corresponding map  $\varphi$  is a bijection that is a quantum logic isomorphism. Let us now realize that the system of maps  $(\Phi_w^{-1})_{w \in U(F)}$  is a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism corresponding to  $\varphi^{-1}$ . For this reason  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a quantum logic morphism as well.

□

Now we prove that any quantum logic morphism between structure of tripotents extends uniquely to a consistent system of Jordan maps.

**5.5. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $JBW^*$ -triples, where  $E$  is regular. Let  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  be a quantum logic morphism. Then there is a unique consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\Phi(\varphi) = (\Phi_u)_{u \in U(E)}$  corresponding to  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $\varphi$  preserves the order. Let us fix  $u \in U(E)$  and take a complete tripotent  $w \in E$  with  $w \geq u$ . Then  $\varphi$  maps  $[0, u] = P(E(u))$  into  $[0, \varphi(u)] = P(E(\varphi(u)))$  and  $[0, w] = P(E(w))$  into  $[0, \varphi(w)] = P(E(\varphi(w)))$ . According to Theorem 3.2 there is a unital Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_w : E(w) \rightarrow F(\varphi(w))$ . As  $E(u)$  is a  $JB^*$ -subalgebra of  $E(w)$ ,  $\Phi_w$  restricts to a Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_u$  with domain  $E(u)$  whose restriction to  $[0, u]$  coincides with  $\varphi$ . Such a map is unique and so does not depend on the choice of  $w$ . Therefore, we have that for each  $u \in U(E)$  the restricted map  $\varphi : [0, u] \rightarrow [0, \varphi(u)]$  extends uniquely to a unital Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_u$  between  $E(u)$  and  $F(\varphi(u))$ . It remains to verify that  $\Phi(\varphi)$  is a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms. But this follows immediately from the construction.

□

**5.6. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  be a regular  $JBW^*$ -triple and  $F$  a  $JBW^*$ -triple. Let  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  be a quantum logic isomorphism. Then there is a unique consistent system  $\Phi(\varphi)$  of Jordan  $*$ -isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* We know that there is a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\Phi(\varphi)$  with corresponding function  $\varphi$ . We have to show that each  $\Phi_u$  is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism. Fix  $u \in U(E)$ . Then the restriction  $\varphi : E(u) \rightarrow E(\varphi(u))$  is a quantum logic isomorphism. So by Theorem 3.3 we have that  $\Phi_u$  is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism. □

## 6 Local Jordan morphisms

In this part we describe morphisms of tripotent posets using one single map rather than a family of Jordan maps. It turns out that this global map is partially linear in the sense of definitions below.

**6.1. Definition.** We say that a set  $S$  in a  $JBW^*$ -triple  $E$  is triple bounded if the set  $\{r(s) : s \in S\}$  has upper bound in  $U(E)$ .

**6.2. Definition.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be  $JBW^*$ -triples. Let  $J : E \rightarrow F$  be a map. We say that  $J$  is a local triple Jordan homomorphism if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i)  $J$  is real homogenous, i.e.  $J(\lambda x) = \lambda J(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $J$  is partially additive in the sense  $J(x + y) = J(x) + J(y)$ , whenever the set  $\{x, y\}$  is triple bounded.
- (iii)  $J$  preserves tripotents.

First we observe that in view of Proposition 4.8 any linear local Jordan morphism is a Jordan triple homomorphism. Further we see that any local Jordan isomorphism restricts to a quantum logic morphism preserving reflections.

**6.3. Proposition.** *Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are  $JBW^*$ -triples. Let  $J : E \rightarrow F$  be a local triple Jordan homomorphism between  $JBW^*$ -triples  $E$  and  $F$ . Then  $J$  restricts to quantum logic morphism  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  such that  $\varphi(-u) = -\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in U(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $e$  and  $f$  are orthogonal tripotents. Tripotent  $e + f$  and  $e - f$  is supremum of the set  $\{e, f\}$  and  $\{e, -f\}$ , respectively. Therefore the sets  $\{e, f\}$  and  $\{e, -f\}$  are triple bounded. By assumption  $J(e + f) = J(e) + J(f)$  and  $J(e - f) = J(e) - J(f)$ . As  $e \pm f$  are tripotents, we conclude that  $J(e) \pm J(f)$  are tripotents as well. This shows that  $J(e)$  and  $J(f)$  are orthogonal tripotents. Moreover we see that  $\varphi(e \vee f) = \varphi(e) \vee \varphi(f)$ . The proof is completed. □

In order to prove the opposite statement we shall need the following auxiliary lemma.

**6.4. Lemma.** *Let  $E$  be a JBW\*-triple. Let  $w \in U(E)$ . The following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $x$  is a positive element in  $E(w)$ , then  $r(x) \leq w$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$  be such that  $r(x_i) \leq w$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $r(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \leq w$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x$  be a positive element in  $E(w)$ . As  $E(w)$  is a JBW\*-subtriple of  $E$ , we have that the range tripotent  $r(x)$  belongs to  $E(w)$ . However,  $r(x)$  is the smallest tripotent such that  $x$  is positive in  $E(r(x))$ . Hence,  $r(x) \leq w$ .

(ii) We have that  $x_i$  is a positive element in  $E(r(x_i))$  and so also in  $E(w)$  because  $E(r(x_i))$  is a \*-subalgebra of  $E(w)$ . For this reason  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is positive in  $E(w)$  and (i) applies.

□

Let us remark that the previous proposition does not hold without assuming positivity of  $x$ . Indeed, any tripotent in  $E(w)$  that is not a projection provides a counterexample.

**6.5. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be JBW\*-triples. Suppose that  $E$  is regular. Let*

$$\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F).$$

*Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\varphi$  is a quantum logic morphism such that  $\varphi(-u) = -\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in U(E)$ .*
- (ii) *There is a local Jordan triple morphism*

$$\Phi : E \rightarrow F$$

*extending  $\varphi$ .*

- (iii) *There is a local Jordan triple morphism*

$$\Phi : E \rightarrow F$$

*extending  $\varphi$  such that moreover*

$$\Phi\{x, y, x\} = \{\Phi(x), \Phi(y), \Phi(x)\},$$

*whenever the set  $\{x, y\}$  is triple bounded.*

*Further, if  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  is a quantum logic morphism, then it extends to a partially additive map between  $E$  and  $F$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $\varphi$  be a quantum logic morphism. By Theorem 5.5 we have a consistent system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\Phi(\varphi)$  corresponding to  $\varphi$ . Define now the map  $\Phi$  in the following way: Let  $x \in E$ , set

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_{r(x)}(x).$$

Let us verify that  $\Phi$  is a local Jordan triple morphism. Let us fix  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lambda > 0$  then it can be seen readily  $r(x) = r(\lambda x)$ . Therefore,

$$\Phi(\lambda x) = \Phi_{r(\lambda x)}(\lambda x) = \lambda \Phi_{r(x)}(x) = \lambda \Phi(x).$$

Let us discuss the case when  $\lambda < 0$ . It holds that  $r(-x) = -r(x)$ . Indeed, for any tripotent  $u \in E$  we have that  $E_2(u) = E_2(-u)$  and  $x^{*u} = x^{*-u}$ ,  $x \circ_u y = -x \circ_{-u} y$  for all  $x, y \in E_2(u)$ . This gives that an element  $z \in E_2(u)$  is positive in  $E(u)$  if and only if  $-z$  is positive in  $E(-u)$ . Therefore  $-x$  is positive in  $E(-r(x))$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $-x$  is positive in  $E(u)$  for a tripotent  $u$ . By the above  $x$  is positive in  $E(-u)$  and so  $r(x) \leq -u$ . Equivalently,  $r(x) = \{r(x), -u, r(x)\}$  and so  $-r(x) = \{-r(x), u, -r(x)\}$ . This means that  $-r(x) \leq u$ . Hence,  $r(-x) = -r(x)$ . Further, we shall need the fact that  $\Phi_u = \Phi_{-u}$ . Let us remark that by the assumption both  $\Phi_u$  and  $\Phi_{-u}$  act between  $E_2(-u)$  and  $E_2(\varphi(-u))$ . Let us take a projection  $p \in E(-u)$ . Then  $-p$  is a projection in  $E(u)$ . Indeed, the  $*$ -operation is the same and so  $p$  is self-adjoint in  $E(u)$ . The idempotency of  $p$  in  $E(-u)$  means that  $p = \{p, -u, p\}$ . Then  $(-p) \circ_u (-p) = \{p, u, p\} = -p$ . Therefore,  $-p$  is a projection in  $E(u)$ . In fact, by symmetry,  $q$  is a projection in  $E(u)$  if and only if  $-q$  is projection in  $E(-u)$ . Let us fix a projection  $p \in E(-u)$ . Then

$$\Phi_u(p) = -\Phi_u(-p) = -\varphi(-p) = \varphi(p).$$

It means that  $\Phi_u$  and  $\Phi_{-u}$  coincide on projections in  $E(-u)$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_u$  preserves Jordan product in  $E(-u)$ . For this, let us take  $x, y \in E(-u)$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_u(x \circ_{-u} y) &= \Phi_u(-x \circ_u y) = -\Phi_u(x) \circ_{\varphi(u)} \Phi_u(y) = \\ &= \Phi_u(x) \circ_{-\varphi(u)} \Phi_u(y) = \Phi_u(x) \circ_{\varphi(-u)} \Phi_u(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since any Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism is uniquely determined by its value on projections, we have that really  $\Phi_u = \Phi_{-u}$ . Finally, we can compute,

$$\Phi(\lambda x) = \Phi_{r(\lambda x)}(\lambda x) = \Phi_{-r(x)}(\lambda x) = \Phi_{r(x)}(\lambda x) = \lambda \Phi_{r(x)}(x) = \lambda \Phi(x).$$

We have shown that  $\Phi$  is real homogeneous.

Let us investigate additivity of  $\Phi$ . Take a triple bounded set  $\{x, y\}$ . Let  $w$  be a tripotent with  $w \geq r(x), r(y)$ . By Lemma 6.4 we have  $r(x+y) \leq w$ . Using consistency of the system of Jordan  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\Phi(\varphi)$  we have



$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(x+y) &= \Phi_{r(x+y)}(x+y) = \Phi_w(x+y) = \\
&= \Phi_w(x) + \Phi_w(y) = \Phi_{r(x)}(x) + \Phi_{r(y)}(y) = \Phi(x) + \Phi(y). \quad (6.1)
\end{aligned}$$

This shows additivity of  $\Phi$  on triple bounded sets.

Suppose now that  $\{x, y\}$  is a triple bounded set. That is, there is a tripotent  $w$  with  $r(x), r(y) \leq w$ . As  $x$  and  $y$  are positive in  $E(r(x))$  and  $E(r(y))$ , respectively, we can see that  $x$  and  $y$  are positive in  $E(w)$  as well. Observe that  $U_x(y) = \{x, y, x\}$  is positive in  $E(w)$ . Using Lemma 6.4 once again, we obtain that  $r(\{x, y, x\}) \leq w$ . Now we can compute, using the properties of a consistent system of Jordan maps, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi\{x, y, x\} &= \Phi_{r(\{x, y, x\})}\{x, y, x\} = \Phi_w\{x, y, x\} = \\
&= \{\Phi_w(x), \Phi_w(y), \Phi_w(y)\} = \{\Phi(x), \Phi(y), \Phi(x)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the present implication is completed.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows immediately from Proposition 6.3 and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivial.

The fact that any quantum logic morphism  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  extends to a partially additive map  $\Phi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  is contained in the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

The proof is completed. □

**6.6. Definition.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be JBW\*-triples. A map  $J : E \rightarrow F$  is a local Jordan triple isomorphism if it is a bijection such that both  $J$  and  $J^{-1}$  are local Jordan triple homomorphisms.

**6.7. Lemma.** *Let  $J : E \rightarrow F$  be a local Jordan triple isomorphism. Then its restriction  $\varphi$  to  $U(E)$  is a quantum logic isomorphism between  $U(E)$  and  $U(F)$  such that  $\varphi(-u) = -\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in U(E)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Proposition 6.3 that  $\varphi$  is a quantum logic isomorphism. □

**6.8. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be JBW\*-triples. Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are regular. Let  $\varphi : U(E) \rightarrow U(F)$  be a quantum logic isomorphism such that  $\varphi(-u) = -\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in U(E)$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to a local*

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  local Jordan triple homomorphisms corresponding to  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  respectively, as constructed in the proof of Theorem 6.5.

We shall prove that they are mutually inverse maps. For this let us take  $x \in E$  and consider

$$y = \Phi(x) = \Phi_{r(x)}(x).$$

We know that  $\Phi_{r(x)}$  is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism from  $E(r(x))$  onto  $E(\varphi(r(x)))$  whose inverse is the map  $\Psi_{\varphi(r(x))}$ . As  $x$  is positive in  $E(r(x))$ , we can see that  $y = \Phi(x)$  is positive in  $E(\varphi(r(x)))$ . Hence,  $r(y) \leq \varphi(r(x))$ . Now we can compute

$$\Psi(y) = \Psi_{\varphi(r(x))}(y) = \Phi_{r(x)}^{-1}(y) = x.$$

So we have established that  $\Psi \circ \Phi$  is identity on  $E$ . By the symmetry we have that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are really mutually inverse maps. Now by Theorem 6.5  $\Phi$  is a local Jordan triple isomorphism. □

## 7 Tripotent posets as invariants

If we have two JB\*-triples  $E$  and  $F$  that are Jordan triple isomorphic, then the tripotent structures  $U(E)$  and  $U(F)$  are isomorphic as generalized quantum logics. This holds because of the fact that Jordan triple isomorphism implements quantum logic isomorphism. Therefore the tripotent poset is invariant in the theory of Jordan triples. We know that morphism between tripotent structures is not extendable to a Jordan triple morphism in all cases. However, it does not exclude that tripotent structure determines the triple structure itself. It happens in case of projection lattices. Indeed, even if Dye's theorem does not hold for type  $I_2$  von Neumann algebras, we still have that such algebras are Jordan  $*$ -isomorphic if and only if their projection lattices are orthoisomorphic. We have the following result proved in [17, Cor. 9.2.9, p. 193] and [12, Theorem 2.3]

**7.1. Proposition.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be von Neumann algebras. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $P(M)$  and  $P(N)$  are orthoisomorphic.
- (ii)  $M$  and  $N$  are Jordan isomorphic (that is there is a Jordan  $*$ -isomorphism between  $M$  and  $N$ ).

Therefore, projection lattice with orthogonality relation is a complete Jordan invariant for von Neumann algebras. It is natural to ask whether the same holds for tripotent posets. We shall give an affirmative answer below. Let us first state auxiliary facts. The following one is well known and we state it for the sake of completeness.

**7.2. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a  $J^*$ -algebra in  $B(H)$ . Suppose that  $v \in U(A)$ . Then  $A(v)$  is triple isomorphic to  $A(p_i(v))$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $A(v) = p_f(v)Ap_i(v)$  and  $A(p_i(v)) = p_i(v)Ap_i(v)$ . It can be easily verified by a direct computation that the map

$$x \in A(v) \rightarrow v^*x \in A(p_i(v))$$

is a Jordan triple isomorphism. □

We show that for unital  $C^*$ -algebras the structure of tripotents determines the structure of projections. It is based on the following lemma.

**7.3. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $u$  a complete tripotent in  $A$ . Then  $P(A)$  is quantum logic isomorphic to the interval  $[0, u]$  in  $U(A)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1 in [14] there is a Hilbert space  $H$  and an isometric unital Jordan  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  such that  $\psi(u)^*\psi(u) = 1$ . Therefore we can replace  $A$  by  $JB^*$ -algebra  $\psi(A)$  that is Jordan  $*$ -isomorphic to  $A$ . This way we can suppose that  $p_i(u) = 1$ . By Lemma 7.2 there is a unital triple isomorphism between  $A(u)$  and  $A(p_i(u)) = A(1) = A$ . Therefore  $A(u)$  and  $A(1)$  are isomorphic as  $JB^*$ -algebras. Consequently,  $P(A) = [0, 1]$  is quantum logic isomorphic to  $[0, u]$  in  $A(u)$ . The proof is completed. □

**7.4. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $U(A)$  and  $U(B)$  are quantum logic isomorphic. Then  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$  are quantum logic isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : U(A) \rightarrow U(B)$  be an orthoisomorphism. Then  $u = \varphi(1)$  is a complete tripotent in  $B$ . Indeed, if it is not true, then there is a nonzero tripotent  $h$  in  $B$  orthogonal to  $\varphi(1)$ . Then the preimage  $\varphi^{-1}(h)$  is a nonzero tripotent orthogonal to 1, which is not possible. Now  $\varphi$  restricts to an orthoisomorphism between  $[0, 1]$  and  $[0, u]$ . These posets are quantum logic isomorphic to  $P(A)$  and  $P(B)$ , respectively by Lemma 7.3. □

Since it is known that projection lattice as a quantum logic is a complete Jordan invariant for von Neumann algebras (see Proposition 7.1) we can conclude that the same holds for tripotent poset.

**7.5. Theorem.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be von Neumann algebras. Suppose that  $U(M)$  and  $U(N)$  are quantum logic isomorphic. Then  $M$  and  $N$  are Jordan  $*$ -isomorphic.*

As a conclusion, even if the tripotent poset is larger than projection poset, it contains the same amount of information about Jordan parts of von Neumann algebras as their projection lattices.

#### Acknowledgement:

This work was supported by the project OPVVV CAAS  
CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000778

## References

1. : L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *Quantum measures and states on Jordan algebras*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, **98**, 187-202 (1985), 187-202.
2. L. J. Bunce and J.D.M. Wright, *Continuity and linear extensions of quantum measures on Jordan operator algebras*. Math. Scand. **64** (1989), 300-306.
3. L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *On Dye theorem for Jordan operator algebras*. Expo Math. **11**, (1993), 91–95.
4. Y. Friedmann, *Physical Applications of Homogeneous Balls*. Birkhäuser, 2005.
5. Cho-Ho Chu, *Jordan Structures in Geometry and Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
6. M. Macabre Garcia and A. Rodriguez Palacois, *Non-associative Normed Algebras*. Vol. 2, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 167, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
7. C.M.Edwards and G.T.Rütimann, *On the facial structure of the unit ball in a  $JBW^*$  triple*. Math. Scand. **82** (2) (1989), 317-332.
8. C. M. Edwards and G. T. Rütimann. *Exposed faces of the unit ball in a  $JBW^*$ -triple*. Math. Scand. **82** (1998), 287-304.
9. H. A. Dye, *On the geometry of projections in certain operator algebras*. Ann. Math. **61**, (1955), 73-89.
10. J. Hamhalter, *Quantum Measure Theory*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (2003).
11. J. Hamhalter, *Dye’s theorem and Gleason’s theorem for  $AW^*$ -algebras*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and its Applications, **422** (2015), 1103-1115.
12. J. Hamhalter and E. Turilova. *Jordan invariants of von Neumann algebras and Choquet order on state spaces*. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10773-019-04157-w>.
13. J. Hamhalter, O. F. Kalenda, A. Peralta: *Finite tripotents and finite  $JBW^*$  triples*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and its Applications, **490** (1), (2020), 124217.
14. J. Hamhalter, O. F. Kalenda, A. Peralta, H. Pfizner, *Measures of weak noncompactness in preduals of von Neumann algebras and  $JBW^*$ -triples*. Journal of Functional Analysis **278** (2020), 108300.

15. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*. Academic Press, 1993.
16. K. Landsman, *Foundations of Quantum Theory, From Classical Concepts to Operator Algebras*. Springer Verlag, 2017.
17. B. Lindenhovius,  $C(A)$ . PhD. Thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen, Netherlands, 2016.
18. L. Molnar: *Selected Preservers Problems on Algebraic Structures of Linear Operators and Function Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer 2007.
19. J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Series: Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics, 53, Princeton University Press, 2018.
20. J. von Neumann, *Continuous Geometry*. Princeton, 1937.
21. H.Hanche-Olsen and E. Størmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, Pitman Advanced Publish Program, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1984.
22. M.Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*. Springer Verlag, 1979.
23. D. M. Topping, *Jordan algebras of self-adjoint operators*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., **53**, (1965).
24. U.Ulhorn, *Representation of symmetry transformations in quantum mechanics*. Arkiv Fyzik, **23** (1962), 307-340.
25. H. Upmeyer, *Symmetric Banach manifolds and Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras*. Math. Studies, **104**, Nort-Holland (1985)
26. E .P. Wigner, *Group Theory and Its Applications to the Quantum Theory of Atomic Spectra*. Academic Press Inc., New York, 1959.
27. J. D. M. Wright, *Jordan  $C^*$  algebras*. Michigan Math. J. **24** (1977), 291-302.