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#### Abstract

Let $(X, D)$ be a $\log$ smooth $\log$ canonical pair such that $K_{X}+D$ is ample. Extending a theorem of Guenancia and building on his techniques, we show that negatively curved Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics converge to Kähler-Einstein mixed cusp and edge metrics smoothly away from the divisor when some of the cone angles converge to 0 . We further show that near the divisor such normalized KählerEinstein crossing edge metrics converge to a mixed cylinder and edge metric in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense when some of the cone angles converge to 0 at (possibly) different speeds.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. The small angle world. Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ and $D \subset X$ be a smooth hypersurface. A Kähler edge metric on $X$ with angle $2 \pi \beta(0<\beta \leq 1)$ along $D$ is a Kähler metric on $X \backslash D$ that is quasi-isometric to the model edge metric at D:

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{cone}}=\frac{\beta^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2(1-\beta)}}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}
$$

where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are holomorphic coordinates and $D$ is locally given by $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$. Tian generalized Calabi's conjecture to Kähler-Einstein edge metrics and studied the applications of negatively curved Kähler-Einstein edge metric to algebraic geometry by letting the cone angle tend to $2 \pi$ [22]. Donaldson proposed using Kähler edge metrics to study the existence problem of smooth Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive curvature on $X$ by deforming the cone angle to $2 \pi$ [7]. Since then much research has gone into understanding the large angle limits (when $\beta \rightarrow 1$ ) of Kähler (-Einstein) edge metrics in relation to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture. Cheltsov-Rubinstein initiated the program of studying Kähler-Einstein edge metrics in another extreme where the cone angle goes to zero [4]. One topic of their program is to understand the limit, when such exists, of Kähler-Einstein edge metrics as the cone angle tends to 0 . This paper is following that program. We prove that on a $\log$ smooth $\log$ canonical pair $(X, D)$, i.e., $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold and $D=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) D_{i}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossing support such that $\beta_{i} \in[0,1)$ for all $i$, assuming that $K_{X}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}$ is ample, then the negatively curved Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics converge to the Kähler-Einstein
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mixed cusp and edge metric when some of the cone angles tend to 0 . We further study the asymptotic behavior of the Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics near the divisor and show the rescaled Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics converge to mixed cylinder and edge metrics on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ when some of the cone angles tend to 0 . A beautiful theorem of Guenancia related the Kähler-Einstein edge metric to the Kähler-Einstein cusp metric in the smooth case [11], which confirmed a conjecture made by Mazzeo [17]. Our paper is a generalization of Guenancia's results to the snc case. An added interesting feature of our work is the possibility that multiple angles converge to zero at (possibly) different rates.
1.2. Guenancia's convergence result. Let $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ be the punctured unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. The first observation is that

$$
\omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}:=\frac{\eta^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{|z|^{2(1-\eta)}\left(1-|z|^{2 \eta}\right)^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^{*}, \eta \in(0,1)
$$

is a Kähler edge metric with cone angle $2 \pi \eta$ at 0 and it has constant Ricci curvature -2 . When $\eta$ tends to $0, \omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ converges pointwise (see (5) for the detail) to the following cusp metric (also called a Poincaré metric) on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{P, \mathbb{D}^{*}}:=\frac{\sqrt{-1} d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{|z|^{2}\left(\log |z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In higher dimensions, we consider the pair $(X, D)$ where $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ and $D$ is a smooth divisor such that $K_{X}+D$ is ample. By Kobayashi [14, Theorem 1] or Tian-Yau [23, Theorem 2.1] with complements by Wu [24], there exists a unique complete Kähler-Einstein metric $\omega_{0}$ on $X \backslash D$ with cusp singularity along $D$ such that Ric $\omega_{0}=-\omega_{0}$.

Definition 1.1. $\omega_{0}$ is said to have cusp singularities along $D$ if whenever $D$ is locally given by $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
C^{-1} \omega_{\text {cusp }} \leq \omega_{0} \leq C \omega_{\text {cusp }}
$$

where $\omega_{\text {cusp }}$ is the model cusp metric:

$$
\omega_{\text {cusp }}:=\frac{\sqrt{-1} d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \log ^{2}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i} .
$$

Since ampleness is an open condition, there exists some $\beta_{0}$ such that for $0<\beta<\beta_{0}$, $K_{X}+(1-\beta) D$ is also ample. Thus, by Campana-Guenancia-Păun [12, Theorem A] and Jeffres-Mazzeo-Rubinstein [13, Theorem 2], there exists a unique negatively curved Kähler-Einstein edge metric $\omega_{\beta}$ for each such small $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0}\right]$. The family of metrics $\left\{\omega_{\beta}\right\}_{0 \leq \beta<\beta_{0}}$ can be seen as currents on $X$ satisfying the twisted Kähler-Einstein equation:

$$
\operatorname{Ric} \omega_{\beta}=-\omega_{\beta}+(1-\beta)[D], \quad 0 \leq \beta<\beta_{0} .
$$

As a generalization of the observation discussed in the beginning of this section, Guenancia related these two metrics as follows:

Theorem 1.2. [11, Theorem A and B] Let $\omega_{0}$ be defined as in Definition 1.1. $\left\{\omega_{\beta}\right\}_{0<\beta<\beta_{0}}$ converge to $\omega_{0}$ in both the weak topology of currents and the $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(X \backslash D)$-topology as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, for $\beta \in(0,1 / 2]$, there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $\beta$ such that on any coordinate chart $U$ where $D$ is given by $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$, the Kähler-Einstein edge metric $\omega_{\beta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \omega_{\beta, \bmod } \leq \omega_{\beta} \leq C \omega_{\beta, \bmod } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}:=\frac{\beta^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}
$$

The relation between the convergence result in Theorem 1.2 and (2) is that the weak convergence from $\left(\omega_{\beta}\right)_{0<\beta<\beta_{0}}$ to $\omega_{0}$ can be recovered from (2) by using Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem.

As an application of Theorem 1.2, Guenancia studied the asymptotic behavior of $\omega_{\beta}$ near $D$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Fix a point $p \in D$, let $U_{\beta}$ denote the punctured metric ball $B_{\omega_{\beta}}(p, 1)$ of radius 1 centered at $p$ with respect to the metric $\omega_{\beta}$. Then after renormalization by $\beta^{-2}$, there exists a subsequence of the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \omega_{\beta}\right)$ converging to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \omega_{\text {cyl }}\right)$ in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense, where $\omega_{\text {cyl }}$ is a so-called cylindrical metric:

Definition 1.3. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ be the universal cover of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ given by $\pi\left(z_{1} \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(e^{z_{1}}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. A Kähler metric $\omega_{\text {cyl }}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is called cylindrical if $\pi^{*} \omega$ is isometric to the usual Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ up to a complex linear transformation.

Theorem 1.4. [11, Theorem C] Let $\left(\beta_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . Then, up to extracting a subsequence, there exists a cylindrical metric $\omega_{\mathrm{cyl}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \beta_{k}^{-2} \omega_{\beta_{k}}\right)$ converge in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \omega_{\text {cyl }}\right)$ when $k$ tends to $+\infty$.
1.3. The main results. A natural problem is to generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 to the snc case when all or some of the cone angles tend to 0 . This possibility is mentioned in [11] but there is no detailed proof given. In this paper, we generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 to the snc setting.

From now on, let $(X, \omega)$ be an $n$-dimensional Kähler manifold with a smooth Kähler metric $\omega$. Fix a divisor $D_{\beta}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) D_{i}$, where $\beta_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Assume each $D_{i}$ is smooth and irreducible. We further assume $D_{\beta}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, i.e., for any $p \in \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ lying in the intersection of exactly $m$ components $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$, there exists a coordinate chart $\left(U,\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)$ containing $p$ such that $\left.D_{j}\right|_{U}=\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$ for
$j=1, \ldots, m, m \leq n$. Suppose $K_{X}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}$ is ample. Let $s_{i}$ denote the defining section of $D_{i}$ and $h_{i}=|\cdot|_{h_{i}}$ be a smooth hermitian metric on $L_{D_{i}}$, which is the line bundle induced by $D_{i}$. We normalize $h_{i}$ such that $\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}+1<0$ for each $i$. Denote

$$
\beta:=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}\right) \in(0,1)^{r} .
$$

The following result is well known (see $[19, \S 4]$ for a survey) .
Theorem 1.5. $[12,13,18]$ (Solution of the Calabi-Tian conjecture in the negative regime) There exists a unique Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric with negative curvature, denoted by $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{\beta}$ on $X$ with cone angle $2 \pi \beta_{i}$ along $D_{i}$ for each $i$. In another word, $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ satisfies the Kähler-Einstein edge equation

$$
\operatorname{Ric} \omega_{\phi_{\beta}}-\left[D_{\beta}\right]=-\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}
$$

Analogously to [11], let us introduce a reference metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\beta}:=\omega-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left[\frac{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{\beta_{i}}\right]^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 1.6. Let $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ be given by Theorem 1.5. Let $\Omega_{\beta}$ be given by (3). There exists a uniform constant $C>0$, independent of $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{r}$, such that

$$
C^{-1} \Omega_{\beta} \leq \omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C \Omega_{\beta}
$$

The key point of Theorem 1.6 is that the constant $C$ is uniform with respect to small $\beta_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, r$. According to Theorem 1.6 and Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain the weak convergence from $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ to the Kähler-Einstein mixed cusp and edge metric $\omega_{0}$ constructed in [10] as some of the cone angles tend to 0 . In particular, when $\beta \rightarrow 0 \in[0,1)^{r}$, the limiting metric of such $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ is the unique Kähler-Einstein cusp metric on $\left(X, \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}\right)$ constructed in [14, 23, 24]. More precisely, the following result is shown in section 2.3.

Theorem 1.7. The Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ converges to a KählerEinstein mixed cusp and edge metric on $\left(X, D_{\beta}\right)$ globally in a weak sense and locally in a strong sense when some of the cone angles tend to 0 . In particular, $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ converges to the Kähler-Einstein cusp metric on $\left(X, \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}\right)$ in the above sense when $\beta \rightarrow 0 \in[0,1)^{r}$.

Remark 1.8. In Theorem 1.7, we assume $K_{X}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}$ to be ample to ensure the existence of a limiting Kähler-Einstein metric by the work of Kobayashi [14] and Tian-Yau-Wu [23, 24]. An interesting open problem is to study the convergence of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ when we only assume the ampleness of $K_{X}+D_{\beta}$ for $0<\beta_{i} \ll 1, i=1, \ldots, r$.

Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 generalize Guenancia's Theorem 1.2 from the smooth case to the snc case.

As an application of Theorem 1.6, we study the asymptotic behavior of the KählerEinstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ near $D_{\beta}$ when the smallest cone angle approaches 0 , with possibly other cone angles also converging to 0 .
To state the result, without loss of generality, we assume for $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}\right)$ there holds $\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{r}$. Fix a point $p \in D_{\beta}$. Choose a coordinate chart $\left(U,\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)$ containing $p$ such that $\left.D_{j}\right|_{U}=\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m, m \leq n$. Consider a small neighborhood $U_{\beta}$ about $p$ defined by
$U_{\beta}:=\left\{z \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}:\left|z_{1}\right|<e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left|z_{j}\right|<\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{j}}}, j=2, \ldots, m,\left|z_{\ell}\right|<1, \ell=m+1, \ldots, n\right\}$.
We show that after normalization by factor $\beta_{1}^{-2}$, a subsequence of metrics $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ converges to a mixed cylinder and edge metric on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ (see Definition 3.1 for more details) as $\beta_{1}$ tends to 0 . The limiting metric has cylindrical part along the component $D_{1}$ where the cone angle $\beta_{1}$ approaches 0 while has conical singularities along other components. More precisely, the third result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.9. Let $\left\{\beta_{1, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. Assume further that $\left\{\beta_{i, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge to 0 for each $i=2, \ldots, r$ and all $\beta_{i, k} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Let $\omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}$ be the (negatively curved) Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric on ( $X, D_{k}=$ $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i, k}\right) D_{i}\right)$. Then there exists a subsequence of the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ converging in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \omega_{\infty}\right)$ where $\omega_{\infty}$ is a mixed cylinder and edge metric.

Theorem 1.9 is a generalization of [11, Theorem C] which shows the convergence of KählerEinstein edge metrics to a cylindrical metric in the smooth case. Regarding complex dimension 1, i.e., in the Riemann surface case, but in the positive curvature regime, Rubinstein-Zhang showed that the (American) football equipped with the Ricci soliton metric converges to the cone-cigar soliton on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$as two cone angles converge to 0 at a different speed and to a flat cylindrical metric as two cone angles converge to 0 at a comparable speed [21, Theorem 1.1-1.3]. In [21], the $S^{1}$-symmetry of the metric plays an important role in the proof. In higher dimensions, we generalize Theorem 1.9 to allow more than one cone angles to tend to 0 and study the limit behavior of metrics under this joint degeneration of cone angles. The result is as follows.

Theorem 1.10. Let $\left\{\beta_{1, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . Assume further that for any $i \in\{2, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\left\{\beta_{i, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges to 0 , there holds $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{1, k}}{\beta_{i, k}} \in[0,1]$ and all $\beta_{i, k} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Let $\omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}$ be the (negatively curved) KählerEinstein crossing edge metric on $\left(X, D_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i, k}\right) D_{i}\right)$. Then there exists a subsequence of the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ converging in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \omega_{\infty}\right)$, where $\omega_{\infty}$ is a mixed cylinder and edge metric with cylindrical part along components whose cone angles converge to 0 and conical part along other components.

In the language of [21], [21, Theorem 1.1-1.3] completely describe, in a geometric sense, the boundary behavior of the body of ample angles [20] of the pair ( $S^{2}, N+S$ ), where $N$ and $S$ denote the north and south poles of the Riemann sphere respectively. In higher dimensions, given a pair ( $X, \widetilde{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}$ ), Theorem 1.10 is far from being a satisfactory description of the boundary of the body of ample angles of $(X, \widetilde{D})$ in the negative curvature regime. Part of the reason is that different subsequences may converge to different mixed cylinder and edge metrics. A complete characterization of the moduli space of such $(X, \widetilde{D})$ endowed with Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics in the sense of [19] is still open.
1.4. Main ingredients of the proofs. We first recall the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the boundedness of the holomorphic bisectional curvature of the model metric $\omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}$, which makes it possible to use the Chern-Lu inequality to obtain the Laplacian estimates, cf. [11, Theorem 3.2]. Therefore, one way to prove corresponding results of Theorem 1.2 in the snc setting is to extend [11, Theorem 3.2] to the snc setting, i.e., prove boundedness of holomorphic bisectional curvature of the model metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ (see (3) for details). However, this will lead to complicated computations. Indeed, the needed boundedness of holomorphic bisectional curvatures in Theorem 1.2 shares the same idea with [13, Proposition A. 1], where an upper bound on the bisectional curvature of a conical reference metric is obtained when the divisor is smooth. [13, Proposition A. 1] can be extended to the snc setting by assuming all the cone angles $\beta_{i}$ along each components $D_{i}$ are less than $\frac{1}{2}$ (instead of less than 1 in the one smooth divisor case), cf. [16, Theorem 1.2]. In this paper, however, we will not follow that approach, i.e., we avoid estimating the bound of the holomorphic bisectional curvature of $\Omega_{\beta}$ and therefore avoid complicated computations.

Instead, the proof of Theorem 1.6 uses the regularization arguments of Datar-Song [5] to reduce the snc case to the smooth case. More precisely, in Section 2, we approximate the Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ by a sequence of Kähler-Einstein edge metrics with cone singularities along a single component of the divisor $D_{\beta}$. In the mean time, one realizes the reference metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ as a sum of Kähler edge metrics $\left\{\Omega_{\beta_{i}}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ (see (15)) with cone singularities along a smooth component. The comparison result of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ with each $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ is obtained by first showing comparisons between approximating metrics and $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ and then taking a limit in an appropriate sense. By adding things up, one finally obtains the Laplacian estimates of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ and $\Omega_{\beta}$, which is essentially the content of Theorem 1.6.

An important observation is that the reference metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ has the property of converging to a Kähler metric with mixed cusp and edge singularities when some of the cone angles tend to 0 . This observation, combined with the content of Theorem 1.6, give us the result of Theorem 1.7 as a corollary. As another consequence of Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 1.10 treat the limit behavior of the Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ near the divisor $D_{\beta}$ when some of the cone angles approach 0 . After fixing a point in the divisor $D_{\beta}$, we first rescale the reference metric to obtain its convergence to a mixed cylinder and edge metric (see Definition 3.1) as the smallest cone angle tends to 0 in a small neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$. To obtain the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of the rescaled Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ near the divisor, we actually show a stronger local smooth convergence result. We use Theorem 1.6 and the limit behavior of $\Omega_{\beta}$ mentioned above to obtain $C^{0}$-estimates of rescaled $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$. By a standard use of Evans-Krylov theory and Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, we obtain the $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$-convergence of the rescaled $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ as some of the cone angles tend to 0 .
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## 2. Small angle limits of the Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metrics

Let $\mathbb{D}^{*}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<|z|<1\}$ be the punctured unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. The first observation is, for $\eta \in(0,1)$, the following Kähler metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}} & :=-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1-|z|^{2 \eta}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{-1} \eta^{2}|z|^{2 \eta-2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2 \eta}\right)^{2}} d z \wedge d \bar{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

has negative constant curvature and cone singularity with cone angle $2 \pi \eta$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Indeed, direct calculation using the Poincaré-Lelong formula [9] yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric} \omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}=2 \pi(1-\eta) \delta_{0}-2 \omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ denotes the Dirac measure at 0 .
For fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta^{2}|z|^{2 \eta-2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2 \eta}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{|z|^{2}\left(\log |z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ converges uniformly to the Poincaré metric $\omega_{P, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ defined in (1) for any compact $K \Subset \mathbb{D}^{*}$ when $\eta$ tends to 0 . Note that

$$
\operatorname{Ric} \omega_{P, \mathbb{D}^{*}}=2 \pi \delta_{0}-2 \omega_{P, \mathbb{D}^{*}}
$$

Thus, $\omega_{P, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ is a Kähler-Einstein cusp metric on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ with cusp singularity at 0 . Next we introduce a reference metric that generalizes $\omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ to higher dimensional manifolds.
2.1. The reference metric. From now on, let $\left(X, D_{\beta}\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional Kähler manifold with an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D_{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) D_{i}$ such that $K_{X}+D_{\beta}$ is ample, where $\beta_{i} \in(0,1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Assume each $D_{i}$ is smooth and irreducible. We further assume $D_{\beta}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, i.e., for any $p \in \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ lying in the intersection of exactly $m$ divisors $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}, m \leq n$, there exists a coordinate chart $\left(U,\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)$ containing $p$ such that $\left.D_{j}\right|_{U}=\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Let $s_{i}$ denote a defining holomorphic section of $D_{i}$ and $h_{i}=|\cdot|_{h_{i}}$ be a smooth hermitian metric on $L_{D_{i}}$, which is the line bundle induced by $D_{i}$. Let $\theta_{i}$ denote the curvature form of each $\left(L_{D_{i}}, h_{i}\right)$. We normalize $h_{i}$ such that $\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}+1<0$ for each $i$. Let $\omega$ be a fixed smooth Kähler metric with $[\omega]=c_{1}\left(K_{X}+D_{\beta}\right)$. Below we denote $\beta:=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}\right)$.

Define the reference metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\beta}:=\omega-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left[\frac{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{\beta_{i}}\right]^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. The appearance of $\beta_{i}$ in the denominator of the log term in the potential function does not affect the definition of the reference metric. We use this convention, following [11], since the potential function in (6) defined in such a way will be shown to converge weakly to a potential function for some Kähler cusp metric. See Lemma 2.12 for details.
$\Omega_{\beta}$ can be seen as a generalization of $\omega_{\eta, \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ to higher dimensiaonl manifolds. First, let us recall [11, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.2. $\Omega_{\beta}$ is a Kähler edge form with cone angle $2 \pi \beta_{i}$ along $D_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\beta}=\omega+2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\beta_{i}^{2}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2-2 \beta_{i}}\left(1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s_{i}, D^{1,0} s_{i}\right\rangle-\frac{\beta_{i}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}} \theta_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{1,0}$ is the (1,0)-part of the Chern connection of $\left(L_{D_{i}}, h_{i}\right)$ for each $i$. Up to rescaling $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, r}, \Omega_{\beta} \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega$.

Proof. A concise proof for the case $r=1$ is given in [11, Lemma 3.1]. For the reader's convenience, we give a detailed proof here. In fact, it suffices to show (7) when $r=1$. Hence, below we suppose $r=1$ and drop the subscript $i$ for simplicity.

If we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =-\log \left(\frac{1-x^{\beta}}{\beta}\right)^{2}, \\
\phi & =|s|_{h}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\Omega_{\underline{\beta}}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f \circ \phi$. Recall there holds $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f \circ \phi=\sqrt{-1}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(\phi) \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi+\right.$ $\left.f^{\prime}(\phi) \partial \bar{\partial} \phi\right)$. We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime} & =\frac{2 \beta x^{\beta-1}}{1-x^{\beta}} \\
f^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-2 \beta x^{\beta-2}}{1-x^{\beta}}+\frac{2 \beta^{2} x^{\beta-2}}{\left(1-x^{\beta}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\Omega_{\beta}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \cdot \frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \partial \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2}+\sqrt{-1} \cdot\left(\frac{2 \beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}\right) \partial|s|_{h}^{2} \wedge \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2}
$$

Note

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2} & =\bar{s} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+|s|^{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h+h \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+s \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}  \tag{8}\\
\partial|s|_{h}^{2} \wedge \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2} & =|s|^{4} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h+s h|s|^{2} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\bar{s} h|s|^{2} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+|s|^{2} h^{2} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the fact

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta & =-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h=\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h}{h^{2}}-\frac{\partial \bar{\partial} h}{h}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle & =\left\langle\partial s \cdot e+\frac{\partial h}{h} s \cdot e, \partial s \cdot e+\frac{\partial h}{h} s \cdot e\right\rangle  \tag{11}\\
& =h \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\bar{s} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+s \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\frac{|s|^{2}}{h} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\beta} & =\omega+\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \partial \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2}+\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{2 \beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}\right) \partial|s|_{h}^{2} \wedge \bar{\partial}|s|_{h}^{2} \\
& =\omega+\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}|s|^{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h-\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}|s|^{4} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h\right) \\
& +\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}(\bar{s} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+h \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+s \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}) \\
& +\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{2 \beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}\right)\left(s h|s|^{2} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\bar{s} h|s|^{2} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+|s|^{2} h^{2} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}\right) \\
& +\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-4}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}|s|^{4} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h \\
& =\omega-\frac{2 \beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h}{h^{2}}-\frac{\partial \bar{\partial} h}{h}\right) \\
& +\sqrt{-1} \frac{2 \beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left(h \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\bar{s} \partial s \wedge \bar{\partial} h+s \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{s}+\frac{|s|^{2}}{h} \partial h \wedge \bar{\partial} h\right) \\
& =\omega+2 \cdot \sqrt{-1} \frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle-2 \cdot \frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

which is what we need. Since $\sqrt{-1} \frac{\beta_{i}^{2}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2-2 \beta_{i}}\left(1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h}^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s_{i}, D^{1,0} s_{i}\right\rangle$ contributes as a non-negative $(1,1)$-form for each $i$, we will show that up to rescaling $h_{i}, \frac{\beta_{i}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}$ can be made arbitrarily small to conclude that $\Omega_{\beta} \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega$. To see this, consider the function $f_{\beta_{i}}(t):=\frac{\beta_{i} t^{\beta_{i}}}{1-t^{\beta_{i}}} . f_{\beta_{i}}(t)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$ and satisfies $f_{\beta_{i}}(0)=0$. Hence for any $\delta>0, \exists t_{\delta} \in(0,1)$, such that for $t \in\left(0, t_{\delta}\right], f_{\beta_{i}}(t) \leq \delta$ for each $i=1, \ldots, r$. Now take $\delta=\frac{1}{4 r \cdot \sup _{X, i} \operatorname{tr}_{\omega} \theta_{i}}$ and rescale each $h_{i}$ such that $\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2} \leq t_{\delta}$. Then

$$
2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{r}-\frac{\beta_{i}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}} \theta_{i} \geq-\frac{1}{2} \omega
$$

and therefore $\Omega_{\beta} \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega$.

When $r=1$ and $\beta=\beta_{1} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, the following result of Guenancia states that the reference metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ has uniformly bounded holomorphic bisectional curvatures on $X \backslash D_{\beta}$.

Lemma 2.3. [11, Theorem 3.2] When $r=1$, there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on $X$ such that for all $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, the holomorphic bisectional curvature of $\Omega_{\beta}$ is bounded by $C$.

Proof. For the reader's convenience, we give an alternative proof for the lemma following [13, Lemma 2.3]. Let us assume $r=1$ and drop all the subscripts of $\beta, D, s, h$ and $\theta$. We will show that the curvature tensor of $\Omega_{\beta}$ is uniformly bounded on $X \backslash D$. Fix a point $p \in X \backslash D$. We can find local holomorphic coordinates such that $s=z_{1}$ and the hermitian metric $h$ on $L_{D}$ is given by $h=e^{-\phi}$ while $\phi(p)=0$ and $d \phi(p)=0$. In these local coordinates, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\sqrt{-1} \tilde{g}_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{j} \\
\theta & =\sqrt{-1} \theta_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{g}_{i \bar{j}}$ and $\theta_{i \bar{j}}$ are smooth functions. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle & =\left\langle d z_{1} \cdot e-z_{1} \partial \phi \cdot e, d z_{1} \cdot e-z_{1} \partial \phi \cdot e\right\rangle \\
& =e^{-\phi}\left(1-\bar{z}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}-z_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right) d z^{1} \wedge d \bar{z}^{1} \\
& +\sum_{i=2}^{n} e^{-\phi}\left(-\bar{z}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}\right) d z^{1} \wedge d \bar{z}^{i} \\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{n} e^{-\phi}\left(-z_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z^{j}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right) d z^{j} \wedge d \bar{z}^{1} \\
& +\sum_{k, \ell=2}^{n} e^{-\phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}} d z^{k} \wedge d \bar{z}^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, writing $\Omega_{\beta}=\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{j}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\tilde{g}_{i \bar{j}}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \theta_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle \\
& =\tilde{g}_{i \bar{j}}-\frac{\beta e^{-\beta \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta}}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} \cdot e^{-\beta \phi}} \theta_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\beta^{2} e^{-(\beta-1) \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} e^{-\beta \phi}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each components, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1 \overline{1}}=\tilde{g}_{1 \overline{1}}-\frac{\beta e^{-\beta \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta}}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} \cdot e^{-\beta \phi}} \theta_{1 \overline{1}}+\frac{\beta^{2} e^{-(\beta-1) \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} e^{-\beta \phi}\right)^{2}} e^{-\phi}\left(1-\bar{z}_{1} \phi_{\bar{z}_{1}}-z_{1} \phi_{z_{1}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \phi_{z_{1}} \phi_{\bar{z}_{1}}\right), \\
& g_{1 \bar{j}}=\tilde{g}_{1 \bar{j}}-\frac{\beta e^{-\beta \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta}}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} \cdot e^{-\beta \phi}} \theta_{1 \bar{j}}+\frac{\beta^{2} e^{-(\beta-1) \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} e^{-\beta \phi}\right)^{2}} e^{-\phi}\left(-\bar{z}_{1} \phi_{\bar{z}_{j}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \phi_{z_{1}} \phi_{\bar{z}_{j}}\right), j=2, \ldots, n, \\
& g_{k \bar{\ell}}=\tilde{g}_{k \bar{\ell}}-\frac{\beta e^{-\beta \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta}}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} \cdot e^{-\beta \phi}} \theta_{k \bar{\ell}}+\frac{\beta^{2} e^{-(\beta-1) \phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta-2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2 \beta} e^{-\beta \phi}\right)^{2}} e^{-\phi}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \phi_{z_{k}} \phi_{\bar{z} \ell}, k, \ell=2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider a change of coordinate $\xi=z_{1}^{\beta} / \beta$, then we have

$$
d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}=|\beta \xi|^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2} d \xi \wedge d \bar{\xi} .
$$

Moreover, in coordinates $\left(\xi, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right), g_{i \bar{j}}=O\left(|\xi|^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2}\right)=O(1)$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$. Since the curvature tensor is given by

$$
R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{\ell}}=-g_{i \bar{j}, k \bar{\ell}}+g^{s \bar{t}} g_{i \bar{i}, k} g_{s \bar{j}, \ell},
$$

it suffices to show $g_{i \bar{j}, k}$ and $g_{i \bar{j}, k \bar{\ell}}$ are bounded to finish the proof. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i \bar{j}, k} & =O\left(|\xi|^{\frac{2}{\beta}}-3\right), \\
g_{i \bar{j}, k \bar{\ell}} & =O\left(|\xi|^{\frac{2}{\beta}}-4\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $0<\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the curvature tensor is bounded.
Remark 2.4. As pointed out to the author by H. Guenancia, J. Sturm's trick (see [19, p. 62]) can also be applied to simplify the proof of the curvature bounds.
2.2. A priori estimates. By Theorem 1.5, there exists a unique KählerEinstein crossing edge metric on $X$ with cone angle $2 \pi \beta_{i}$ along each $D_{i}$, denoted by $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{\beta}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{\beta}\right)^{n}=\frac{e^{f+\phi_{\beta}} \omega^{n}}{\Pi_{i=1}^{r}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}},  \tag{13}\\
\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{\beta}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$. In this section, we establish a Laplacian estimate for $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ with respect to the reference metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ by proving the following result.

Theorem 2.5. For $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}\right) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{r}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ (independent of $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \Omega_{\beta} \leq \omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C \Omega_{\beta} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$.
Define

$$
\psi_{\beta_{i}}:=-\log \left[\frac{1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}}{\beta_{i}}\right]^{2}
$$

then

$$
\Omega_{\beta}=\omega+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\beta_{i}}
$$

Consider the Kähler edge metric with cone singularity along a smooth divisor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\beta_{i}}:=\frac{1}{r} \omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\beta_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.6. Assume $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{r}$. There exists a uniform constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that for any $i=1, \ldots, r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \geq C^{\prime} \Omega_{\beta_{i}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{i}\right)$.
Let us first show Theorem 2.5 is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.6.
Proof of Theorem 2.5. Adding (16) for all $i=1, \ldots, r$, there holds

$$
\omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \geq \frac{C^{\prime}}{r} \cdot \Omega_{\beta} .
$$

By Lemma $2.2, \Omega_{\beta}$ has cone singularities with cone angle $2 \pi \beta_{i}$ along $D_{i}$, for $i=1 \ldots, r$. Thus, $\Omega_{\beta}^{n}$ and $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}^{n}$ are uniformly equivalent on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ [5]. The lower bound on the metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ then implies the upper bound on $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}[19, \S 7.2]$, i.e., there exists a uniform constant $C>0$ such that (14) holds on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. We divide the proof into three steps. First, we approximate $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ by using Kähler-Einstein edge metrics with singularities only along a smooth divisor. Then we deduce the zero-order estimates for $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ and the approximating metrics. In the last step, we show the approximating metrics are bounded below by some constant times $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$, and hence so is $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$.

Remark 2.7. When $r=1$, the proof of (16) is already given in [11, Proposition 4.2]. The main difference for the case $r>1$ is that $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ admits crossing edge singularities. Therefore, we first prove similar estimates as in (16) for a sequence of approximating metrics following the arguments in [11]. Then we show (16) can be obtained by approximating $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ using Kähler edge metrics in a proper way.

## Step 1: Approximating $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$.

Let $f_{i}:=\log \left(\Pi_{j=1, j \neq i}^{r}\left|s_{j}\right|_{h_{j}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{j}\right)}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. For some constant $A \gg 1, \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f_{i}>$ $-A \omega$ as currents. By Demailly's regularization theorem [6] (for our use, the particular case treated in [1, Theorem 1] would be enough), there exist $F_{i, \ell} \in C^{\infty}(X)$ such that $F_{i, \ell} \searrow f_{i}$ and $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{i, \ell}>-A \omega$. Consider a family of Monge-Ampère equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{i, \ell}\right)^{n}=\frac{e^{f-F_{i, \ell}+\phi_{i, \ell}} \omega^{n}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}},  \tag{17}\\
\omega_{i, \ell}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{i, \ell}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\omega_{i, \ell}$ can be seen as a sequence of Kähler-Einstein edge metrics to approximate the KählerEinstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ for each $i$.

Lemma 2.8. $\left\|\phi_{i, \ell}-\phi_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{0}(X)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i=1, \ldots, r$.
Proof. First, note that for each $i$, there exists a solution $\phi_{i, \ell} \in C^{2, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}(X)$ to (17) for some $\alpha_{i} \in(0,1)$, where the Hölder space $C^{2, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}(X)$ is defined as in [7]. By integrating both sides of (13) and (17), one finds $\phi_{i, \ell}$ pointwise converges to $\phi_{\beta}$ almost everywhere. By assumptions on $\beta_{i}$ and $\phi_{i, \ell}, \omega_{i, \ell}^{n} / \omega^{n} \in L^{p}(X, \omega)$ for some $p>1$. Thus $\omega_{i, \ell}^{n} / \omega^{n} \rightarrow \omega_{\phi_{\beta}}^{n} / \omega^{n}$ in $L^{1}(X, \omega)$. Then we finish the proof by applying Kolodziej's stability result for MongeAmpère equation [15, Theorem 4.1].

## Step 2: Comparing $\phi_{i, \ell}$ with $\psi_{\beta_{i}}$.

We first compare the potential functions of $\omega_{i, \ell}$ and $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$. Let $\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}:=\phi_{i, \ell}-\psi_{\beta_{i}}$, then using (15) and (17) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{i, \ell}\right)^{n} & =\frac{e^{f-F_{i, \ell}+\phi_{i, \ell} \omega^{n}}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}}  \tag{18}\\
\Rightarrow\left(\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\beta_{i}}+\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right)^{n} & =\frac{e^{f-F_{i, \ell}+\psi_{\beta_{i}}+\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}} \omega^{n}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}}  \tag{19}\\
\Rightarrow\left(\Omega_{\beta_{i}}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right)^{n} & =e^{\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}+\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}^{n} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}=\psi_{\beta_{i}}-F_{i, \ell}+f+\log \left(\frac{\omega^{n}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}^{n}}\right)$. Then we claim that
Claim 2.9. For some uniform constant $C>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}\right\|_{C^{0}(X)} \leq C \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First note that $F_{i, \ell}$ and $f$ are smooth on $X$ by construction, hence $F_{i, \ell}$ and $f$ are bounded as $X$ is compact. Therefore, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}+F_{i, \ell}-f & =\psi_{\beta_{i}}+\log \left(\frac{\omega^{n}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}^{n}}\right) \\
& =\log \left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{2} \omega^{n}}{\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}\left(1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}^{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is bounded. Below we drop the $i$ in the subscript for simplicity. To prove the claim, it is equivalent to show

$$
\Omega_{\beta}^{n}=\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}} e^{O(1)} \omega^{n}
$$

near the divisor. Let $p \in M \backslash D$ near $D$. Let $e$ be a local holomorphic frame for $L_{D}$, and $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ be a local holomorphic coordinate chart such that $s=z_{1} e$. Let $h=e^{-\phi}$ be a smooth hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ and $\theta$ the curvature form of $\left(L_{D}, h\right)$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\sqrt{-1} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \\
\theta & =\sqrt{-1} \phi_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the expression (7) of $\Omega_{\beta}$. We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle & =e^{-\phi}\left(d z_{1}+z_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}} d z_{k}\right) \wedge\left(d \bar{z}_{1}+\bar{z}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}} d \bar{z}_{k}\right) \\
& =e^{-\phi}\left[\left(1+\bar{z}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}+z_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}\right. \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\bar{z}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(z_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}\right) d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1} \\
& \left.+\sum_{k, l=2}^{n}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}} d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{z_{1}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{\bar{z}_{1}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}+\sum_{k, l=2}^{n} \frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}} d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l} \\
& =\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{z_{1}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\beta^{2}|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{\bar{z}_{1}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O(1)\right) d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}+\sum_{k, l=2}^{n} O(1) d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}=\left(g_{i \bar{j}}{ }_{i, j=1}^{n}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}\left(\phi_{i \bar{j}}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle .\right.
$$

Write $\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ as a block matrix

$$
\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & \overrightarrow{A_{r}}  \tag{22}\\
\vec{A}_{c} & \left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=2}^{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{11}=g_{1 \overline{1}}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \phi_{1 \overline{1}}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-1}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right) O(1), \\
& A_{1 j}=g_{1 \bar{j}}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \phi_{1 \bar{j}}+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-1}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)+O(1), \quad j=2, \ldots, n, \\
& A_{i 1}=g_{i \overline{1}}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \phi_{i \overline{1}}+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-1}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)+O(1), \quad i=2, \ldots, n, \\
& A_{k l}=O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)+O(1), \quad k, l=2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the formula for determinant of block matrices as in (22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=2}^{n} \cdot\left(A_{11}-\overrightarrow{A_{r}}\left(\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=2}^{n}\right)^{-1} \vec{A}_{c}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (23),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Omega_{\beta}^{n}}{\omega^{n}} & =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}-\frac{\beta|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}}{1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}} \phi_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}\left\langle D^{1,0} s, D^{1,0} s\right\rangle\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)} \\
& =e^{O(1)} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=2}^{n} \cdot\left(A_{11}-\vec{A}_{r}\left(\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=2}^{n}\right)^{-1} \vec{A}_{c}\right) \\
& =e^{O(1)} \cdot\left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}+O\left(|s|_{h}^{4 \beta-2}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta-1}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{4 \beta-1}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)+O\left(|s|_{h}^{4 \beta}\right)+O(1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, one finds that the dominant term is $\frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}}$. In another word, we have shown $\Omega_{\beta}^{n}=e^{O(1)} \frac{\beta^{2}}{|s|_{h}^{2(1-\beta)}\left(1-|s|_{h}^{2 \beta}\right)^{2}} \omega^{n}$, which is exactly what we need.

Lemma 2.10. There exists a uniform constant $C>0$ such that for any $\ell$,

$$
\sup _{X \backslash D_{i}}\left|\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right| \leq C .
$$

Proof. Let $\chi_{i, \ell, \epsilon}=\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}+\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}$ for small $\epsilon>0$. Since $\chi_{i, \ell, \epsilon}(p)$ approaches $-\infty$ when $p \rightarrow D_{i}, \chi_{i, \ell, \epsilon}$ obtains its maximum on $X \backslash D_{i}$, at say $p_{\max }$. Then

$$
0 \geq \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\left(p_{\max }\right)-\epsilon \theta_{i}\left(p_{\max }\right)
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ is the curvature of the Chern connection on $\left(L_{D_{i}}, h_{i}\right)$. Then at $p_{\max }$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Omega_{\beta_{i}}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right)^{n} & \leq\left(\Omega_{\beta_{i}}+\epsilon \theta_{i}\right)^{n}  \tag{24}\\
& \leq 2^{n} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}^{n} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

by the fact that $\Omega_{\beta_{i}} \geq \epsilon \theta_{i}$ for small enough $\epsilon$, as shown in Lemma 2.2. Combining (20) and (25), at $p_{\max }$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}+\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}}\left(p_{\max }\right) & \leq 2^{n} \\
\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\left(p_{\max }\right) & \leq n \log 2-\tilde{F}_{i, \ell}\left(p_{\max }\right) \\
& \leq n \log 2-\inf _{X \backslash D_{i}} \tilde{F}_{i, \ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $p \in X \backslash D_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}(p) & =\chi_{i, \ell, \epsilon}(p)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(p) \\
& \leq \chi_{i, \ell, \epsilon}\left(p_{\max }\right)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(p) \\
& \leq n \log 2-\inf _{X \backslash D_{i}} \tilde{F}_{i, \ell}+\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\left(p_{\max }\right)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(p) \\
& \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C>0$, when letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (21). Similarly by considering $\tilde{\chi}_{i, \ell, \epsilon}:=\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}$ achieving its minimum on $X \backslash D_{i}$, we can show a lower bound for $\tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}$ on $X \backslash D_{i}$.

## Step 3: The Laplacian estimates for $\omega_{i, \ell}$ and $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$.

In this section, we use Chern-Lu's inequality to deduce the lower bound on $\omega_{i, \ell}$ with respect to $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$.

Lemma 2.11. Assume $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{r}$. There exists a uniform constant $C>0$, indepent of $\beta_{i}$, such that for any $i=1, \ldots, r$ and all $\ell$,

$$
\omega_{i, \ell} \geq C \Omega_{\beta_{i}}
$$

on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{i}\right)$.
Proof. Consider the identity map

$$
\text { id }:\left(X \backslash D_{i}, \omega_{i, \ell}=\omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{i, \ell}\right) \rightarrow\left(X \backslash D_{i}, \Omega_{\beta_{i}}\right)
$$

Recall that $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{i, \ell}>-A \omega$ and $\omega \leq 2 r \Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ (from Lemma 2.2), thus $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} F_{i, \ell}>$ $-2 A r \Omega_{\beta_{i}}$. Thus, by (17), Ric $\omega_{i, \ell}>-\omega_{i, \ell}-C_{2} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ for some constant $C_{2}>0$. From Lemma 2.3, $\left|\operatorname{Bisec}_{\Omega_{\beta_{i}}}\right| \leq C_{3}$ for some constant $C_{3}>0$ when $\beta_{i} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Then by Chern-Lu's inequality [13, Proposition 7.1] (see also [19, Proposition 7.2]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\omega_{i, \ell}}\left(\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right) \geq-1-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) n+\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set for $0<\epsilon \ll 1$,

$$
H_{i, \ell, \epsilon}=\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}+\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2},
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\omega_{i, \ell}} H_{i, \ell, \epsilon} & =\Delta_{\omega_{i, \ell}}\left(\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right)-\epsilon \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \theta_{i}  \tag{27}\\
& \geq \Delta_{\omega_{i, \ell}}\left(\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality is true by noting that $\theta_{i} \leq M \Omega_{\beta_{i}}$ for some constant $M>0$ and assuming $\epsilon<\frac{1}{2 M}$.
Combine (26) and (28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\omega_{i, \ell}} H_{i, \ell, \epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}-C \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C>0 . H_{i, \ell, \epsilon}$ achieves its maximum on $X \backslash D_{i}$, at $q_{\max }$. Then by (29),

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}\left(q_{\max }\right) \leq 2 C .
$$

For any $q \in X \backslash D_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{i, \ell}} \Omega_{\beta_{i}}(q) & =H_{i, \ell, \epsilon}(q)+\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}(q)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(q) \\
& \leq H_{i, \ell, \epsilon}\left(q_{\max }\right)+\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}(q)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(q) \\
& \leq 2 C-\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}\left(q_{\max }\right)+\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\left(q_{\max }\right) \\
& +\left(C_{2}+2 C_{3}+1\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i, \ell}(q)-\epsilon \log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}(q) \\
& \leq \text { some constant } C,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is true by Lemma 2.10 and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence we have shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i, \ell} \geq C \cdot \Omega_{\beta_{i}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{i}\right)$.

It remains to show a lower bound for $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ as in (30). By (30) and the fact that $\omega_{i, \ell}^{n}$ are uniformly equivalent to $\Omega_{\beta_{i}}$, $\omega_{i, \ell}$ has uniformly bounded mass away from $\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{i}\right)$. Thus, the Evans-Krylov estimates and the usual bootstrapping for complex Monge-Ampère equations give all derivatives' estimates for $\omega_{i, \ell}$ away from the divisor. Proposition 2.6 together with the above discussion show that $\phi_{i, \ell} \rightarrow \phi_{\beta}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$. Hence by taking $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ in (30), we get (16) pointwise.
2.3. Global convergence of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}$. A smooth Kähler metric $\Omega_{P C}$ on $X \backslash D$ is said to have mixed cusp and edge singularities along a divisor $D$ if whenever $D$ is locally given
by $D=\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left\{z_{i}=0\right\}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{m}\left(1-\beta_{j}\right)\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$ with $t<m \leq n, \Omega_{P C}$ is quasi-isometric to the following metric:

$$
\omega_{P C}:=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{2} \log ^{2}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{m} \frac{\beta_{j}^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left|z_{j}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{j}\right)}}+\sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{\ell} \wedge d \bar{z}_{\ell}
$$

In particular, when $t=m, \omega_{P C}$ has merely cusp singularities along $D$.
In the case $t=m$, it is well known $[14,23]$ that if $K_{X}+D$ is ample, there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein metric on $X \backslash D$ with cusp singularities along $D$. In general, it is shown that if $K_{X}+D$ is ample, there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein metric on $X \backslash D$ with mixed cusp and cone singularities along $D[10$, Theorem A]. As a corollary of Theorem 2.5 , we study the global weak convergence and local smooth convergence of the KählerEinstein crossing edge metrics $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ to a Kähler-Einstein mixed cusp and edge metric on $\left(X, D_{\beta}\right)$ as some of the cone angles tend to 0 . The first observation is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.12. Assume $\beta_{i} \rightarrow 0$, for $i=1, \ldots, t<r$, and $\beta_{j} \rightarrow d_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $j=$ $t+1, \ldots, r$, then $\Omega_{\beta}$ weakly converges to some Kähler mixed cusp and edge metric $\Omega_{P C}$. Moreover, $\Omega_{\beta}$ converges to $\Omega_{P C}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Recall the definition of $\Omega_{\beta}$. Note that $\log \left[\left(1-\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2 \beta_{i}}\right) / \beta_{i}\right]^{2}$ converges to $\log \log ^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}$ in $L^{1}(X, \omega)$ and $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ as $\beta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ for each $i=1, \ldots, t$. Thus $\Omega_{\beta}$ converges to

$$
\Omega_{P C}:=\omega-\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \log ^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}-\sum_{j=t+1}^{r} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left[\frac{1-\left|s_{j}\right|_{h_{j}}^{2 d_{j}}}{d_{j}}\right]^{2}
$$

in $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)\right)$ sense and weakly in the sense of currents. It remains to show that $\Omega_{P C}$ has mixed cusp and edge singularities along $D_{\beta}$. To see this, recall we denote by $\theta_{i}$ the Chern curvature form of $\left(L_{D_{i}}, h_{i}\right)$ for each $i$, then by (8) and (10), we calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \log ^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{t} 2 \sqrt{-1} \cdot \frac{\left(\partial \bar{\partial}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right)\left(\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right)\left(\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right)-\partial\left(\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right) \bar{\partial}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}}{\left(\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{2 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle D^{1,0} s_{i}, D^{1,0} s_{i}\right\rangle}{\log ^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}\left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}}+\frac{2}{\log \left|s_{i}\right|_{h_{i}}^{2}} \theta_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\Omega_{P C}$ has cusp singularities along $D_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. The result follows.

Theorem 2.13. The Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ converges to the KählerEinstein mixed cusp and edge metric on $\left(X, D_{\beta}\right)$ globally in a weak sense and locally in a strong sense when $\beta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, t<r$ and $\beta_{j} \rightarrow d_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $j=t+1, \ldots, r$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, the family of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ has uniformly bounded mass. Thus, the family of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology. The same arguments in the proof of Lemma 2.10 and in the end of the proof of Proposition 2.6 give respectively the $C^{0}$ estimate and all higher-order estimates for the family of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$. Therefore, any weak limit $\omega_{0}$ is smooth on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ and this $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$-convergence indicates that such $\omega_{0}$ is KählerEinstein outside $D_{\beta}$. Lemma 2.12 shows any such $\omega_{0}$ also admits mixed cusp and edge singularities along $D_{\beta}$. Thus, by Yau's generalized maximum principle [25], all such $\omega_{0}$ coincides with the unique Kähler-Einstein metric on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ with mixed cusp and cone singularities along $D_{\beta}$. Hence we have shown the locally strong and globally weak convergence of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ to a Kähler-Einstein mixed cusp and edge metric as $\beta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ and $\beta_{j} \rightarrow d_{j}$ for $j=t+1, \ldots, r$.

## 3. Asymptotic behavior near the divisors in the small angle limit

Theorem 2.13 only gives us the smooth convergence of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ to a Kähler-Einstein mixed cusp and edge metric away from the divisor when cone angles approach 0 . In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ near $D$ when some of the cone angles tend to 0 . More precisely, consider a fixed point $p \in D_{\beta}$ with a holomorphic coordinate chart $\left(U,\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)$ centered at $p$ such that $D_{\beta} \cap U=\left\{z_{1} \cdots z_{m}=0\right\}$, for $m \leq n$ and $D_{j} \cap U=\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Let $\beta_{i}$ denote the cone angle along $D_{i}$ for each i. From now on, assume $\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{m}$. We allow other cone angles to tend to 0 , but we always assume that $\beta_{1}$ goes to 0 in the fastest speed, i.e., $\beta_{1} / \beta_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$, for $i=2, \ldots, m$.
3.1. A small neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. By choosing an appropriate coordinate system [3, Lemma 4.1], whenever $D_{\beta}$ is locally given by $\left\{z_{1} \cdots z_{m}=0\right\}$, the reference metric $\Omega_{\beta}$ is equivalent to the following metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\beta, \mathrm{mod}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\beta_{i}^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}\left(1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Theorem 2.5 tells us on $X \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$, there exists a uniform constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \omega_{\beta, \bmod } \leq \omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C \omega_{\beta, \bmod } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (32), it is enough to consider $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}\right)$ when dealing with a small neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$ under the metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$. Let us fix a point $p \in D_{\beta}$. Let $\left(U, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ be a holomorphic coordinate chart centered at $p$, such that $U \cap D_{\beta}=\left\{z_{1} \cdots z_{m}=0\right\}$ and $U \cap D_{i}=\left\{z_{i}=0\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $\mathbb{D}:=\left\{\left|z_{i}\right| \leq 1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ be the unit polydisk. Then we claim that the distance function $d_{\beta}$ induced by the completion of $\omega_{\beta, \bmod }$ on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\beta}(0, z) \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\left|z_{i}\right|^{\beta_{i}}}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{\beta_{i}}}\right)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where " $\simeq$ " means "is equivalent up to a constant independent of $z$ to". Indeed, $\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+x^{\beta_{i}}}{1-x^{\beta_{i}}}\right)$ is the primitive of $\frac{\beta_{i}}{x^{1-\beta_{i}}\left(1-x^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)}$, and (33) follows from this fact and (31). Summarizing the discussions above, it is enough to study the polydisk in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$

$$
\left\{\left|z_{i}\right|^{\beta_{i}}<\frac{1-e^{-2 a}}{1+e^{-2 a}}, i=1, \ldots, m, z_{j}<a, j=m+1, \ldots, n\right\}, \quad a>0
$$

when we study a neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$ given by the geodesic ball $B_{\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}}(p, a)$ centerd at $p$ of radius $a$ with respect to the metric $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$.
3.2. The mixed cylinder and edge metric. In this section, we focus on a small neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$ and show that in a neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$, a renormalization of $\omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}$ locally converges to a mixed cylinder and edge metric (see Definition 3.1 below) in the $C^{\infty}$-sense.

Definition 3.1. A Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ is called a mixed cylinder and edge metric if $\tilde{\omega}$ is quasi-isometric to the following metric:

$$
\omega_{\operatorname{mix}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{m} \frac{\beta_{j}^{2} \sqrt{-1} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left|z_{j}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{j}\right)}}+\sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d z_{\ell} \wedge d \bar{z}_{\ell}
$$

where $\beta_{j} \in(0,1)$ for $j=t+1, \ldots, m$.

Denote by

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b\right):=\left\{z \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}:\left|z_{i}\right|<a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m,\left|z_{j}\right|<b, j=m+1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Let

$$
U_{\beta}:=\mathbb{D}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, 1\right)
$$

From section 3.1, one realizes $U_{\beta}$ as a neighborhood of $D_{\beta}$. We endow $U_{\beta}$ with $\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}$. Define a map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\beta}: \mathbb{D}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{m}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\right) \rightarrow U_{\beta}=\mathbb{D}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, 1\right) \\
\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}, w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} w_{1},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}} w_{2}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}} w_{m}, \beta_{1} w_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{1} w_{n}\right) \\
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\end{gathered}
$$

On $\Psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$, the pull-back metric reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}\right) & =\frac{e^{-2}\left|w_{1}\right|^{2 \beta_{1}}}{\left(1-e^{-2}\left|w_{1}\right|^{2 \beta_{1}}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{1} \wedge d \bar{w}_{1}}{\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{i} \wedge d \bar{w}_{i}}{\left|w_{i}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{i}^{2}}\left|w_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{34}\\
& +\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d w_{j} \wedge d \bar{w}_{j} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}, w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m},\left|w_{1}\right|^{2 \beta_{1}} \rightarrow 1$ as $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{i}^{2}}\left|w_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, for any compact subset $K \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$, when $\beta_{1}$ is small enough, $K \subset \Psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$. Hence we have indeed shown the following result.

Lemma 3.2. The pull-back of $\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \bmod }$ by $\Psi_{\beta}$ on any compact subset $K \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ converges to a mixed cylindrical and conical metric in $C^{\infty}(K)$ when $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_{i}$ does not converge to 0 for each $i=2, \ldots, m$.

Proof. Summarizing the discussions above, $\Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \text { mod }}\right)$ converges to

$$
\frac{e^{-2}}{\left(1-e^{-2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{1} \wedge d \bar{w}_{1}}{\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{i} \wedge d \bar{w}_{i}}{\left|w_{i}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}}+\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d w_{j} \wedge d \bar{w}_{j}=: \hat{\omega},
$$

which is a mixed cylinder and edge metric by Definition 3.1, in $C^{\infty}(K)$ as $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \forall i=2, \ldots, m$.
3.3. The convergence of renormalized $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ near $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. For a Kähler metric $\xi$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, let us denote $\bar{\xi}:=\Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \xi\right)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\left\{\beta_{1, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . Assume further that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{i, k}>0$ for each $i=2, \ldots, r$. Assume all $\beta_{i, k} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Let $\omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}$ be the (negatively curved) Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric on $\left(X, D_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i, k}\right) D_{i}\right)$. Then there exists a subsequence of the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ which converges in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \bar{\omega}_{\infty}\right)$, where $\bar{\omega}_{\infty}$ is a mixed cylindrical and conical metric. Indeed, a subsequence of $\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}$ converges in $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}\right)$ topology to $\bar{\omega}_{\infty}$.

Proof. First note that $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ admits a potential function on $U_{\beta}$ since $\omega_{\beta, \bmod }$ admits one. Thus, $\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}$ admits a potential function on $\Psi_{\beta}^{-1}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$, denoted by $\bar{\phi}_{\beta}$. The proof consists of three steps. We first deduce the $C^{0}$-estimate of $\bar{\phi}_{\beta}$ using Theorem 2.5. Then we derive the $C^{2, \alpha}$-estimates for $\bar{\phi}_{\beta}$ by the standard regularization arguments for MongeAmpère equations. This combining with Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem gives us a cluster value
of the metrics. Finally, we use that smooth convergence to conclude the pointed GromovHausdorff convergence as wanted.

## Step 1: $C^{0}$-estimates.

Discussions in section 3.1 indicate that there exists a uniform constant $C>0$ (independent of $\left.\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{r}\right)$ such that

$$
C^{-1} \omega_{\beta, \bmod } \leq \omega_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C \omega_{\beta, \bmod }
$$

on $U_{\beta}$. Thus

$$
C^{-1} \Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \bmod }\right) \leq \bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C \Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \bmod }\right) .
$$

By Lemma 3.2, $\Psi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \bmod }\right)$ converges in $C^{\infty}(K)$ to $\hat{\omega}$ for any compact $K \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{C}^{n-m}$. Hence, there exists a constant $C_{K}>0$ (independent of $\beta$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{K}^{-1} \hat{\omega} \leq \bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}} \leq C_{K} \hat{\omega} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (36), $\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}$ is uniformly bounded in mass on $K$. Then by the weak compactness of positive currents, $\bar{\phi}_{\beta}$ has a uniform $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}$ bound, hence uniform $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}$ bounds, for any $p>1$. By (36), $\Delta \bar{\phi}_{\beta}$ is uniformly bounded. Thus by standard elliptic regularity results, $[8$, Theorem 8.17], there exists a constant $C$ independ of $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{\phi}_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{0}(K)} \leq C, \quad \text { for } C=C(K) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 2: Higher-order estimates and the smooth local convergence.

Since $\omega_{\phi_{\beta}}$ satisfies the Kähler-Einstein equation outside $D_{\beta}, \bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric} \bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}=-\beta_{1}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}} \quad \text { on } K \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d V_{\text {eucl }}$ denote the Euclidean volume form on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$. Define

$$
H_{\beta}:=\log \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta}}^{n} e^{-\beta_{1}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{\beta}}}{d V_{\mathrm{eucl}}}
$$

$H_{\beta}$ is pluriharmonic by (38). By the definition of $H_{\beta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{\phi}_{\beta}\right)^{n}=e^{\beta_{1}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{\beta}+H_{\beta}} d V_{\text {eucl }} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (36),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta_{1}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{\beta}+H_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{0}(K)}<+\infty \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (37) and (40), we see $\left\|H_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{0}(K)}<+\infty$. Then by gradient estimates for pluriharmonic functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{k}(K)}<C(k, K), \quad \text { where } C(k, K) \text { only depends on } k \text { and } K \text { not on } \beta \text {. } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\Phi: \phi \mapsto \log \frac{(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{n} e^{-\beta_{1}^{2} \phi}}{d V_{\mathrm{eucl}}}
$$

$\Phi$ is a uniform elliptic concave operator as a function of $\partial \bar{\partial} \phi$. Hence by Evans-Krylov theory, $\|\phi\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(K)}$ is controlled by $\|\phi\|_{C^{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)},\|\Delta \phi\|_{C^{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}$ and $\|\Phi(\phi)\| \|_{C^{0,1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}$ for some $K^{\prime} \ni K$. Since $\Phi\left(\bar{\phi}_{\beta}\right)=H_{\beta}$, by (41), (37) and the fact $\left\|\Delta \bar{\phi}_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}<+\infty$, there exist some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and a uniform constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\bar{\phi}_{\beta}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(K)} \leq C .
$$

By standard bootstrapping arguments, every derivative of $\bar{\phi}_{\beta}$ is uniformly bounded on $K$. Then Arzelà-Ascoli theorem indicates $\left(\bar{\phi}_{\beta_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C^{\infty}(K)$ topology. Recall (38), letting $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 0$ then due to the $C^{\infty}$-convergence above we get a cluster value $\bar{\omega}_{\infty}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ric} \bar{\omega}_{\infty}=0
$$

By (36), $\bar{\omega}_{\infty}$ is quasi-isometric to $\hat{\omega}$, and therefore is a mixed cylinder and edge metric.

## Step 3: Pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence

It remains to show a subsequence of $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ converges in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \bar{\omega}_{\infty}\right)$. Fix $q \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ and fix a radius $a>0$. First note that by construction, $B_{\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}}(q, a)$ is isometric to $B_{\frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}}\left(\Psi_{\beta_{k}}(q), a\right)$. Secondly, by letting the index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough, we have $B_{\bar{\omega}_{\infty}}(q, 2 a) \subset \Psi_{\beta_{k}}^{-1}\left(U_{\beta_{k}}\right)$. Finally, due to the local $C^{\infty}$-convergence, $B_{\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}}(q, a) \subset B_{\bar{\omega}_{\infty}}(q, 2 a)$ and $B_{\bar{\omega}_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}}(q, a)$ converges to $B_{\bar{\omega}_{\infty}}(q, a)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Therefore $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ converges (up to a subsequence) in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \bar{\omega}_{\infty}\right)$ by [2, Definition 8.1.1].

If we further allow more than one cone angles converge to 0 , then we have the following results by modifying the result of Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\left\{\beta_{1, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 . Assume further that for any $i=2, \ldots, r$ such that $\left\{\beta_{i, k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges to 0 , there holds $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{1, k}}{\beta_{i, k}} \in[0,1]$. Assume $\beta_{i, k} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}$ be the (negatively curved) Kähler-Einstein crossing edge metric on $\left(X, D_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\beta_{i, k}\right) D_{i}\right)$. Then there exists a subsequence of the metric spaces $\left(U_{\beta_{k}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\phi_{\beta_{k}}}\right)$ converging in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \bar{\omega}_{\infty}\right)$, where $\bar{\omega}_{\infty}$ is a mixed cylinder and edge metric with cylindrical part along components whose cone angles converge to 0 and conical part along other components.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{i, k}=0, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, t, t<m  \tag{42}\\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{j, k}:=\beta_{j, \infty}>0, \quad \text { for } j=t+1, \ldots, m \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{1, k}}{\beta_{\ell, k}}=c_{\ell} \in(0,1], \quad \text { for } \ell=1, \ldots, s, s<t  \tag{44}\\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{1, k}}{\beta_{\ell, k}}=0, \quad \text { for } \ell=s+1, \ldots, t \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall in Lemma 3.2, we denote
$\mathbb{D}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b\right)=\left\{z \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}:\left|z_{i}\right|<a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m,\left|z_{j}\right|<b, j=m+1, \ldots, n\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\beta}: \mathbb{D}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{m}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\right) \rightarrow U_{\beta}:=\mathbb{D}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, 1\right) \\
& \quad\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}, w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} w_{1},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}} w_{2}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta m}} w_{m}, \beta_{1} w_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{1} w_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us modify $\Psi_{\beta}$ by defining

$$
V_{\beta}:=\mathbb{D}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}}, e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots, e^{-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{s}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{s+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{s+1}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, 1\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{D}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{1}}}, e^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{2}}}, \ldots, e^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{s}}},\left(\frac{\beta_{s+1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{s+1}}}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{m}}{\beta_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \beta_{m}}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\right) \rightarrow V_{\beta}, \\
& \Phi_{\beta}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{s}, w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_{m}, w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)= \\
& \left(e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} w_{1}, e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}} w_{2}, \ldots, e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_{s}}} w_{s},\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{s+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{s}+1}} w_{s+1}, \ldots,\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}} w_{m}, \beta_{1} w_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{1} w_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{2}} \omega_{\beta, \bmod }\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{i}^{2}} \frac{e^{-2}\left|w_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}}}{\left(1-e^{-2}\left|w_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{i} \wedge d \bar{w}_{i}}{\left|w_{i}\right|^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{j=s+1}^{m} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{j} \wedge d \bar{w}_{j}}{\left|w_{j}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{j}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{j}^{2}}\left|w_{j}\right|^{2 \beta_{j}}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d w_{\ell} \wedge d \bar{w}_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
\beta_{k}:=\left(\beta_{1, k}, \ldots, \beta_{r, k}\right), \quad \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}^{*} .
$$

For a compact $K \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$, there exists a large enough $k$ such that $K \subset \Phi_{\beta_{k}}^{-1}\left(V_{\beta_{k}}\right)$. By assumptions (42) and (44), $\Phi_{\beta_{k}}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1, k}^{2}} \omega_{\beta_{k}, \bmod }\right)$ converges in $C^{\infty}(K)$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{e^{-2}}{c_{i}^{2}\left(1-e^{-2}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{i} \wedge d \bar{w}_{i}}{\left|w_{i}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{j=s+1}^{t} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{j} \wedge d \bar{w}_{j}}{\left|w_{j}\right|^{2}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{\ell=t+1}^{m} \frac{\sqrt{-1} d w_{\ell} \wedge d \bar{w}_{\ell}}{\left|w_{\ell}\right|^{2\left(1-\beta_{\ell, \infty}\right)}}+\sum_{q=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{-1} d w_{q} \wedge d \bar{w}_{q}=: \check{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a mixed cylindrical and conical metric by Definition 3.1. The cylindrical parts are along $D_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$, whose cone angle goes to 0 . The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3.
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