ZARISKI DENSITY OF POINTS WITH MAXIMAL ARITHMETIC DEGREE FOR SURFACES

KAORU SANO, TAKAHIRO SHIBATA

ABSTRACT. We prove that any surjective self-morphism f with $\delta_f > 1$ on a potentially dense smooth projective surface defined over a number field K has densely many L-rational points for a finite extension L/K.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Definitions and Notation	3
3.	Lemmata	4
4.	Ptoof of Theorem 1.5	6
References		9

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a projective variety defined over a number field K and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism over K. Then we can define two dynamical quantities, the dynamical degree δ_f and the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x)$ at a point $x \in X(\overline{K})$, see Section 2 for the definitions.

Relationships of those two quantities are studied in several papers. The following result is fundamental.

Theorem 1.1 ([KS16b, endomorphism case]). Let X be a projective variety over a number field K, and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism over K. Then the limit defining $\alpha_f(x)$ converges and the inequality $\alpha_f(x) \leq \delta_f$ holds for all $x \in X(\overline{K})$.

See [KS16a, Theorem 26] and [Mat16, Theorem 1.4] for the case that f is a dominant rational map.

Matsuzawa, Meng, Zhang, and the second author gave the following conjecture in [MMSZ20].

Conjecture 1.2. Let X be a projective variety over a number field K, $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective morphism, and d > 0 a positive integer. Then the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37P55, Secondary 14G05.

Key words and phrases. dynamical degree, arithmetic degree.

set

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$Z_f(d) := \{ x \in X(\overline{K}) \mid [K(x) : K] \le d, \alpha_f(x) < \delta_f \}$$

is not Zariski dense.

Roughly speaking, Conjecture 1.2 says that the set of points with nonmaximal arithmetic degree is small.

On the other hand, the set of points with maximal arithmetic degree should be large. To give a precise statement, we prepare the notion of densely many rational points with maximal arithmetic degree.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a projective variety over a number field K and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism over K. Fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K and let L be an intermediate field extension $\overline{K}/L/K$. We say that (X, f) has densely many L-rational points with maximal arithmetic degree if there is a subset $S \subset X(L)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) S is Zariski dense,
- (2) the equality $\alpha_f(x) = \delta_f$ holds for all $x \in S$, and
- (3) $O_f(x) \cap O_f(y) = \emptyset$ for any distinct two points $x, y \in S$, where $O_f(x)$ is the forward f-orbit $\{f^n(x) \mid n \ge 0\}$ of x.

If (X, f) has densely many *L*-rational points with maximal arithmetic degree, we also say that (X, f) satisfies $(DR)_L$, for abbreviation. If there is a finite extension L/K such that (X, f) satisfies $(DR)_L$, we say that (X, f)satisfies (DR).

In [SS20], the authors proved that for a surjective self-morphism $f: X \longrightarrow X$ on a projective variety X both defined over a number field K, (X, f) has densely many \overline{K} -rational points with maximal arithmetic degree. The authors also gave the following question:

Question 1.4. Let X be a projective variety and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism. If X has potentially dense rational points i.e. there is a finite extension L/K such that X(L) is Zariski dense, then does (X, f) satisfy (DR)?

In fact, if X is either a unirational variety, an abelian variety, or a \mathbb{P}^1 bundle over an elliptic curve, the authors gave affirmative answers for Question 1.4 in [SS20]. The main result of this paper is that Question 1.4 is affirmative if X is a smooth projective surface and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ is a surjective self-morphism with $\delta_f > 1$.

Theorem 1.5. Let K be a number field, X a smooth projective surface over K having potentially dense rational points, and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism with $\delta_f > 1$. Then (X, f) satisfies (DR).

The idea of the proof is as follows. Replacing the self-morphism by its iteration, a self-morphism on the minimal model is induced. So we may assume that the given surface is minimal. Since the case of abelian varieties and unirational varieties is proved in [SS20], the remaining case is automorphisms of K3 surfaces and non-isomorphic surjective self-morphisms of elliptic surfaces. These cases are treated in case-by-case analysis.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prepare some notation and definitions which we use in this paper. In Section 3, lemmata to be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5 are prepared. We prove Theorem 1.5 for automorphisms of K3 surfaces and non-isomorphic surjective self-morphisms of elliptic surfaces in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, respectively.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Professor Shu Kawaguchi for giving valuable comments and suggesting them writing this paper, and Professor Joseph Silverman for reading a draft and giving valuable comments. The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K14300. The second author is supported by a Research Fellowship of NUS. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

2. Definitions and Notation

Notation and Conventions.

- Throughout this article, we work over a fixed number field K. We fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K.
- A variety means a geometrically integral separated scheme of finite type over K.
- The symbols \sim (resp. $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\sim_{\mathbb{R}}$) and \equiv mean the linear equivalence (resp. \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence, \mathbb{R} -linear equivalence) and the numerical equivalence on divisors.
- Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For a \mathbb{K} -linear endomorphism $\phi : V \to V$ on a \mathbb{K} -vector space $V, \rho(\phi)$ denotes the *spectral radius* of f, that is, the maximum of absolute values of eigenvalues (in \mathbb{C}) of ϕ .
- Though the definition of the dynamical degree of dominant rational self-map is known, we need them only for surjective self-morphisms in this paper. Let $f: X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map on a projective variety. We define the (first) dynamical degree δ_f of f as

$$\delta_f = \lim_{n \to \infty} ((f^n)^* H \cdot H^{\dim X - 1})^{1/n}.$$

Let $f^* : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the pull-back action on the space of numerical classes of \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X. If f is a morphism, then $\delta_f = \rho(f^*)$, so δ_f is an algebraic integer.

- Let X be a projective variety. For an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D on X, a function $h_D : X(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is determined up to the difference of a bounded function. h_D is called the *height function associated to* D. For definition and properties of height functions, see e.g. [HS00, Part B] or [Lan83, Chapter 3].
- Let X be a projective variety and $f : X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective selfmorphism. Fix an ample height function $h_H \ge 1$ on X.

• For $x \in X(\overline{K})$, we define

4

$$\alpha_f(x) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} h_H(f^n(x))^{1/n},$$

which we call the *arithmetic degree of* f at x. The convergence defining the arithmetic degree is known (cf. [KS16b]). Moreover, the arithmetic degree is independent of the choice of H and h_H .

Remark 2.1. Dynamical degrees have the following invariance: if $\pi: X \rightarrow$ X' is a dominant rational map between projective varieties of the same dimension, and $f: X \dashrightarrow X$ and $f': X' \dashrightarrow X'$ are dominant rational maps satisfying $\pi \circ f = f' \circ \pi$, then the equality $\delta_f = \delta_{f'}$ holds. For details on dynamical degrees, see [Tru20].

3. Lemmata

In this section, we list lemmata used in the next section.

Lemma 3.1. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X \xrightarrow{f_X} X \\ \pi \\ \downarrow \\ Y \xrightarrow{f_Y} Y, \end{array}$$

where X, Y are smooth projective varieties and f_X , f_Y are surjective selfmorphisms. Suppose that there exists a non-empty open subset $U \subset Y$ such that $\pi: V := \pi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$ is finite. Let $x \in X(\overline{K}), y := \pi(x) \in Y(\overline{K}),$ $O_{f_X}(x) \subset V, \ O_{f_Y}(y) \subset U.$ Then the equality $\alpha_{f_X}(x) = \alpha_{f_Y}(y)$ holds.

Proof. Since f_X and f_Y are morphism, the existence of the limit defining the arithmetic degrees are known. The equality $\alpha_{f_X}(x) = \alpha_{f_Y}(y)$ is a part of [MS20, Lemma 2.8].

Lemma 3.2. Let X, Y be projective varieties, $f_X \colon X \longrightarrow X$ and $f_Y \colon Y \longrightarrow$ surjective self-morphisms on X and Y, respectively, and $\pi: X \longrightarrow Y$ a surjective morphism such that $\pi \circ f_X = f_Y \circ \pi$.

- (a) If π is birational and (Y, f_Y) satisfies (DR), then (X, f_X) satisfies (DR).
- (b) If π is finite and (X, f_X) satisfies the (DR), then (Y, f_Y) satisfies (DR).
- *Proof.* (a) Let L be a finite extension of K such that (Y, f_Y) satisfies $(DR)_L$. Let $S_Y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset Y(L)$ be a sequence of L-rational points such that
 - S_Y is Zariski dense,

 - $\alpha_{f_Y}(y_i) = \delta_{f_Y}$ for $i \ge 1$, and $O_{f_Y}(y_i) \cap O_{f_Y}(y_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \ne j$.

Let $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the family of all the proper closed subsets of X. Let $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ be open subsets such that $\pi|_U \colon U \longrightarrow V$ is isomorphic. Then we can inductively take a subsequence $\{y_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset V$ of S_Y such that $x_j := (\pi|_U)^{-1}(y_{i_j}) \notin X_j$ for $j \ge 1$. Since $\alpha_{f_X}(x_j) = \alpha_{f_Y}(y_{i_j})$ and $\delta_{f_X} = \delta_{f_Y}$ by Lemma 3.1, the sequence $S_X := \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies

- $x_j \notin X_j$ for $j \ge 1$,
- $\alpha_{f_X}(x_j) = \delta_{f_X}$ for $j \ge 1$, and
- $O_{f_X}(x_j) \cap O_{f_X}(x_k) = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$.
- (b) Let L be a finite extension of K such that (X, f_X) satisfies $(DR)_L$. Let
 - $S_X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X(L)$ be a sequence of *L*-rational points such that
 - S_X is Zariski dense,
 - $\alpha_{f_X}(x_i) = \delta_{f_X}$ for $i \ge 1$, and
 - $O_{f_X}(x_i) \cap O_{f_X}(x_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the family of all the proper closed subsets of Y. Then since π is finite, the number of $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $O_{f_Y}(\pi(x_k)) \cap O_{f_Y}(\pi(x_i)) \neq \emptyset$ is finite for each $i \geq 1$. So we can inductively take a subset $\{x_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

- $y_j := \pi(x_{i_j}) \notin Y_j$ for $j \ge 1$,
- $\alpha_{f_Y}(y_j) = \alpha_{f_X}(x_{i_j}) = \delta_{f_X} = \delta_{f_Y}$, and
- $O_{f_Y}(y_j) \cap O_{f_Y}(y_k) = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$,

where the second assertion follows by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a projective variety and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective self-morphism. Then for any integer $t \ge 1$ and a finite extension L/K, (X, f) satisfies $(DR)_L$ if and only if (X, f^t) satisfies $(DR)_L$.

Proof. Obviously (X, f^t) satisfies $(DR)_L$ if so does (X, f). Conversely, assume that (X, f^t) satisfies $(DR)_L$. Let $S = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X(L)$ be a subset such that

- S is Zariski dense,
- $\alpha_{f^t}(x_i) = \delta_{f^t}$ for i = 1, 2, ...,
- $O_{f^t}(x_i) \cap O_{f^t}(x_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Note that we have $\alpha_f(x) = \alpha_{f^t}(x)^{1/t} = \delta_{f^t}^{1/t} = \delta_f$ for $x \in S$. Thus, it is enough to prove that for any proper closed subset $Y \subset X$ and any points $x'_1, x'_2, \ldots x'_r \in S$, there is a point $x \in S$ such that $x \notin Y$ and $O_f(x) \cap$ $O_f(x'_i) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since S is Zariski dense, there are infinitely many $i \geq 1$ such that $x_i \notin Y$. Since the number of $i \geq 1$ such that $O_f(x_i) \cap$ $O_f(x'_j) \neq \emptyset$ is at most t for each j. Hence we can get a point $x_i \in S$ which we wanted. \Box

Theorem 3.4. Let K be a number field. Then there is a constant N such that, for any elliptic curve defined over K, the number of K-torsion points is at most N.

Proof. See [Mer96].

Lemma 3.5. Let $\pi: X \longrightarrow B$ be an elliptic surface. Then the followings are equivalent.

- (a) X(K) is Zariski dense.
- (b) There are infinitely many points $b \in B(K)$ such that $X_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$ has infinitely many K-rational points.

Proof. Clearly (b) implies (a). Assume that (b) does not hold. Then we can take an open subset $B' \subset B$ such that $X' = \pi^{-1}(B') \xrightarrow{\pi} B'$ admits the structure of an abelian scheme and $X_b(K)$ is finite for any $b \in B'(K)$. Let N be an upper bound of the number of torsion points of elliptic curves defined over K, which we can take by Lemma 3.4. Let $[N!]: X' \longrightarrow X'$ be the morphism defined by the multiplication map by N! on each fiber. Then we have

$$X(K) \subset \ker([N!]) \cup (\pi^{-1}(B \setminus B'))(K).$$

Hence X(K) is not dense.

Lemma 3.6. Let $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be a surjective self-morphism with $\delta_f > 1$ on a normal projective variety. Assume that there is an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor H such that $f^*H \equiv_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_f H$.

(a) The number of the preperiodic points are finite.

(b) $x \in X(\overline{K})$ is not preperiodic if and only if $\alpha_f(X) = \delta_f$.

Proof. By [MMSZZ20, Theorem 6.4 (1)], there is an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D' such that $f^*D' \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_f D'$. So the assertion follows from [CS93, Corollary 1.1.1].

4. Ptoof of Theorem 1.5

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.5 into two cases: the automorphism case and the non-isomorphic self-morphism case.

4.1. The automorphism case. We start with listing known results on automorphisms of surfaces.

Lemma 4.1. Let X be a smooth projective surface over \mathbb{C} , and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be an automorphism with $\delta_f > 1$.

(a) The set of eigenvalues of $f^*|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})}$ with counted multiplicities is

$$\{\delta_f, \delta_f^{-1}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\dim H^2(X,\mathbb{R})-2}\},\$$

where $|\lambda_i| = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) - 2$.

- (b) The eigenvalues δ_f and δ_f^{-1} are irrational real numbers. Moreover, δ_f^{-1} is a Galois conjugate of δ_f over \mathbb{Q} .
- (c) There are numerically non-zero nef \mathbb{R} -divisors D^+ and D^- satisfying $f^*D^+ \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_f D^+$ and $f^*D^- \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_f^{-1}D^-$, respectively.
- (d) For a curve C in X, $(C \cdot D^+) = 0$ holds if and only if $(C \cdot D^-) = 0$.
- (e) Let $D := D^+ + D^-$. Then the set C_f of irreducible curves C satisfying $(C \cdot D) = 0$ is a finite set.

6

- (f) The set C_f coincides with the set of f-periodic irreducible curves in X.
- (g) For $\bullet \in \{+, -\}$, the set C_f coincides with the set of irreducible curves C such that $(C \cdot D^{\bullet}) = 0$ holds.
- *Proof.* (a) See [McM02, Theorem 3.2] and [Kaw08, Theorem 2.1].
- (b) Since $f^*|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})}$ is induced by the action of f^* on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, an integer matrix represents $f^*|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})}$. So δ_f and δ_f^{-1} are algebraic integers. If δ_f is a rational number, δ_f^{-1} is also a rational number, so δ_f and δ_f^{-1} are integers. Hence we get $\delta_f = \delta_f^{-1} = 1$. This is contradiction. Since fis an isomorphism, the constant term of the characteristic polynomial of $f^*|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})}$ is 1. Since the minimal polynomial of δ_f have integer coefficients and divides the characteristic polynomial of $f^*|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{R})}$, the constant term of the minimal polynomial of δ_f is also 1. Since $|\lambda_i| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \dim H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) - 2$, the number δ_f^{-1} must be a Galois conjugate of δ_f over \mathbb{Q} .
- (c) See [Kaw08, Proposition 2.5].
- (d) Let F be the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_f)/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ be an automorphism sending δ_f to δ_f^{-1} . Then since D^+ and D^- lie in nef classes in $N^1(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\delta_f)$, we have $(C \cdot D^{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\delta_f)(\subset F)$ for any curve C in X and for $\bullet \in \{+, -\}$. Since δ_f and δ_f^{-1} are Galois conjugate over \mathbb{Q} , we have $\sigma D^+ = \sigma D^-$, so $\sigma(C \cdot D^+) = (C \cdot D^-)$.
- (e), (f) See [Kaw08, Proposition 3.1]
- (g) If $(C \cdot D) = 0$ holds, we have $(C \cdot D^{\bullet}) = 0$ for $\bullet \in \{+, -\}$ since D^+ and D^- are nef. If $(C \cdot D^+) = 0$ holds, we get $(C \cdot D^-) = 0$ by (d), so $(C \cdot D) = (C \cdot D^+) + (C \cdot D^-) = 0$. If $(C \cdot D^-) = 0$ holds, the similar is true.

 \Box

Theorem 4.2. If X is a smooth projective surface admitting an automorphism f with $\delta_f > 1$, then X is either a non-minimal rational surface, or a surface birational to a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or an abelian surface.

Proof. See [Can99, Proposition 1].

Proposition 4.3. Let X be a K3 surface over a number field K with an infinite group of automorphisms. Then there is a rational curve $C \subset X$ such that $\#\{g(C) \mid g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)\} = \infty$.

Proof. See the proof of [BT00, Theorem 4.10].

Proposition 4.4. Let X be a K3 surface defined over a number field K, and $f: X \longrightarrow X$ an automorphism with $\delta_f > 1$. Then there exists a rational curve $C \subset X$ such that $\#\{f^n(C) \mid n \ge 0\} = \infty$

Proof. Since X contains only finitely many f-periodic curves by Lemma 4.1(e),(f), and there are infinitely many rational curves in X by Proposition 4.3, there is a rational curve $C \subset X$ which is not f-periodic.

Proposition 4.5. Let X be a projective variety and $f : X \longrightarrow X$ a surjective morphism with $\delta_f > 1$. Assume the following condition:

(†): There is a nef \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D on X such that $f^*D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_f D$ and for any proper closed subset $Y \subset X_{\overline{K}}$, there exists a non-constant morphism $g: \mathbb{P}^1_K \longrightarrow X$ such that $g(\mathbb{P}^1_K) \not\subset Y$ and g^*D is ample.

Then (X, f) satisfies the condition $(DR)_K$.

Proof. See [SS20, Theorem 4.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.5 when f is an automorphism. If X is rational, our assertion is true by [SS20]. Assume that X is irrational. Take a birational morphism $\mu: X \longrightarrow Y$ to the minimal model Y of X and let $g: Y \dashrightarrow Y$ be the birational automorphism on Y defined as $g = \mu \circ f \circ \mu^{-1}$. Then g is in fact an automorphism since, if g has indeterminacy, Y must have a K_Y -negative curve. By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.2, we may assume that X is either a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, or an abelian variety. If X is an abelian variety, our assertion is true by [SS20]. If X is an Enriques surface, take the universal covering $\pi: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$. Then an automorphism $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\pi \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$ is induced and \tilde{X} is a K3 surface. Hence by Lemma 3.2, we may assume that X is a K3 surface.

Now it is enough to prove that (\dagger) in Proposition 4.5. Let Y be a proper closed subset of X. Take a rational curve $\iota: C \hookrightarrow X$ such that $\#\{f^n \circ \iota(C) \mid n \geq 0\} = \infty$ by Proposition 4.4. Then there is an integer $n_Y \geq 0$ such that $C_Y := f^{n_Y} \circ \iota(C) \not\subset Y$. Let $g_Y := f^{n_Y} \circ \iota$. Since C_Y is not f-periodic, we have $(C_Y \cdot D^+) > 0$ by Lemma 4.1 (e), (f), so $g_Y^*D^+$ is ample. Hence (\dagger) in Proposition 4.5 is proved.

4.2. The non-isomorphic surjective self-morphism case. We prepare the following lemmata to reduce to a minimal surface.

Lemma 4.6. Let $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic surjective self-morphism on a smooth projective irrational surface X with $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. Then there is a positive integer t, a birational morphism $\mu: X \longrightarrow X'$ to a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a curve B with $g(B) \ge 1$, and a surjective self-morphism $f': X' \longrightarrow X'$ such that the equality $\mu \circ f^t = f' \circ \mu$ holds.

Proof. By [Nak02, Proposition 10], any (-1)-curve on X is f-periodic. So the assertion follows.

Lemma 4.7. Let $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic surjective self-morphism on a smooth projective surface X with $\kappa(X) \ge 0$. Then X is minimal.

Proof. See [Fuj02, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 3.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.5 when f is not an automorphism. We prove it by using the Enriques–Kodaira Classification and case-by-case analysis.

(I) $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. By Lemma 4.6, Lemma 3.2, and Lemma 3.3, we may assume that X is either a rational surface or a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a curve B with genus $g(B) \ge 1$. If X is rational, the assertion follows by [SS20, Theorem 1.11]. If q(B) > 2, X does not have potentially dense rational points. If g(B) = 1, X is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over an elliptic curve. This case is proved in [SS20, Theorem 6.1].

From now on, assume that $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Then X is minimal by Lemma 4.7.

- (II) $\kappa(X) = 0$. By [Fuj02, Theorem 3.2], X is either a hyperelliptic surface or an abelian surface.
 - (II-i) The hyperelliptic surface case. In this case, there is an equivariant finite covering from an abelian variety. See e.g. the proof of [MSS18, Theorem 7.1] for details. By taking such an equivariant finite covering and applying Lemma 3.2, we can reduce to the abelian surface case.
 - (II-ii) The abelian surface case. More generally the abelian variety case is proved in [SS20, Theorem 1.12].
- (III) $\kappa(X) = 1$. We treat this case below.
- (IV) $\kappa(X) = 2$. This case does not occur since any surjective self-morphisms on X are automorphisms of finite order.

Thus the remaining case is the $\kappa(X) = 1$ case. Then X admits an elliptic fibration $\pi: X \longrightarrow B$ and f descends to an automorphism of finite order on B (cf. [MZ19, Theorem A] or [MSS18, Theorem 8.1]). Replacing f by some iteration f^t , we may assume that f is a morphism over the base curve B. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the set of all the proper closed subsets of X. It is enough to get $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X(L)$ such that

- $x_i \notin Y_i$,
- $\alpha_f(x_i) = \delta_f$ for i = 1, 2, ..., and $O_f(x_i) \cap O_f(x_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Let L be a finite extension field of K such that X(L) is Zariski dense. By Lemma 3.5, we can find an infinite subset $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset B(L)$ such that $X_{b_j} =$ $\pi^{-1}(b_i)$ contains infinitely many L-rational points for each j. Removing special fibers, we may assume that X_{b_i} is an elliptic curve and $f|_{X_{b_i}}$ has dynamical degree δ_f . By Lemma 3.6, there are infinitely many L-rational points $x \in X_{b_i}(L)$ with $\alpha_f(x) = \delta_f$ for each j. Hence, letting $\{b_{j_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that $X_{b_{j_i}}$ is not contained Y_i , we can find $x_i \in X_{b_i}(L)$ such that $x_i \notin Y_i$. Since f is a morphism over B, we have $O_f(x_k) \cap O_f(x_l) = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. The assertion is proved.

References

- F. A. Bogomolov, Y. Tschinkel, Density of rational points on elliptic K3 [BT00] surfaces, Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 2, 351-368.
- [CS93] G. S. Call, J. H. Silverman, Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms, Compositio Math. 89 (1993), no. 2, 163–205.

[Can99]	S. Cantat, Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces projectives com- plexes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S'er. I Math. 328 (1999), 901–906.
[Fuj02]	Y. Fujimoto, Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with nonnegative
[HS00]	 Kodaira dimension, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 38 (2002), 33–92. M. Hindry, J. H. Silverman, <i>Diophantine Geometry: An Introduction</i>, Springer-Verlag New York 2000
[KS16a]	S. Kawaguchi, J. H. Silverman, On the dynamical and arithmetic degrees of rational self-maps of algebraic varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 713 (2016),
[KS16b]	21–48. S. Kawaguchi, J. H. Silverman, Dynamical canonical heights for Jordan blocks, arithmetic degrees of orbits, and nef canonical heights on abelian varieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), no. 7, 5009–5035
[Kaw08]	S. Kawaguchi, Projective surface automorphisms of positive topological entropy from an arithmetic viewpoint, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 1, 159–186.
[Lan83]	S. Lang, <i>Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry</i> , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
[Mat16]	Y. Matsuzawa, On upper bounds of arithmetic degrees, arXiv:1606.00598
[McM02]	C. T. McMullen, Dynamics on K3 surfaces: Salem numbers and Siegel disks, J. Reine Angew. Math. 545 (2002), 201–233.
[MS20]	Y. Matsuzawa, K. Sano, Arithmetic and dynamical degrees of self-morphisms of semi-abelian varieties, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 40 (2020), no. 6, 1655–1672.
[MSS18]	Y. Matsuzawa, K. Sano, T. Shibata, Arithmetic degrees and dynamical de- grees of endomorphisms on surfaces, Algebra Number Theory 12 (2018), no. 7, 1635–1657.
[MMSZ20]	Y. Matsuzawa, S. Meng, T. Shibata, DQ. Zhang, Non-density of points of small arithmetic degrees, srXiv:2002.10976
[MMSZZ20]	Y. Matsuzawa, S. Meng, T. Shibata, DQ. Zhang, G. Zhong, Invariant sub- varieties with small dynamical degree, srXiv:2005.13368
[MZ19]	S. Meng, DQ. Zhang, Kawaguchi–Silverman Conjecture for surjective endomorphisms, arXiv:1908.01605
[Mer96]	L. Merel, Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 437–449.
[Nak02]	N. Nakayama, Ruled surfaces with non-trivial surjective endomorphisms, Kyusyu J. Math. 56 (2002), 433–446.
[SS20]	K. Sano, and T. Shibata, Zariski density of points with maximal arithmetic degree, arXiv:2007.15180
[Tru20]	TT. Truong, Relative dynamical degrees of correspondences over a field of arbitrary characteristic. J. Reine Angew. Math. 758 (2020), 139–182.
Faculty	of Science and Engineering, Doshisha University, Kyoto, 610-0394,

JAPAN. Email address: kaosano@mail.doshisha.ac.jp

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE 119076, REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE $\mathit{Email}\ address: \texttt{mattashQnus.edu.sg}$