

GRÖBNER-NICE PAIRS OF IDEALS

MIRCEA CIMPOEAŞ AND DUMITRU I. STAMATE

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of a Gröbner nice pair of ideals in a polynomial ring and we present some applications.

INTRODUCTION

One feature of a Gröbner basis is that it extends a system of generators for an ideal in a polynomial ring so that several invariants or algebraic properties are easier to read. It is a natural question to ask how to obtain a Gröbner basis for an ideal obtained by performing basic algebraic operations. A first situation we discuss in this note is when a Gröbner basis for the sum of the ideals I and J is obtained by taking the union of two Gröbner bases for the respective ideals. In that case we say that (I, J) is a Gröbner nice pair (G -nice pair, for short). In Theorem 1.1 we prove that for any given monomial order, (I, J) is a G -nice pair if and only if $\text{in}(I+J) = \text{in}(I) + \text{in}(J)$, which is also equivalent to having $\text{in}(I \cap J) = \text{in}(I) \cap \text{in}(J)$.

Given the ideals I and J in a polynomial ring, they could be a G -nice pair for some, for any, or for no monomial order. Situations of G -nice pairs of ideals have naturally occurred in the literature, especially related to ideals of minors, e.g. [1, 6, 7].

One application of the new concept is in Corollary 1.7: assume J is any ideal in the polynomial ring S and let f_1, \dots, f_r in S be a regular sequence on S/J . Then the sequence $\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r)$ is regular on $S/\text{in}(J)$ if and only if $\text{in}(J, f_1, \dots, f_r) = \text{in}(J) + (\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r))$.

We introduced the notion of a Gröbner nice pair in an attempt to unify some cases of distributivity in the lattice of ideals in a polynomial ring S . For instance, one consequence of Proposition 1.8 is that if (J, E) , (J, E') and $(J, E \cap E')$ are G -nice pairs, then $(J + E) \cap (J + E') = J + (E \cap E')$ if and only if $\text{in}((J + E) \cap (J + E')) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E \cap E')$. Moreover, in Proposition 1.11 we show that when $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of monomial ideals such that (J, E_i) is G -nice for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $(J, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i)$ is a G -nice pair and $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + E_i) = J + (\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i)$.

One problem we raise in Section 2 is how to efficiently transform a pair of ideals (J, E) into a G -nice pair (J, F) so that $F \supseteq E$. We show that in general there is no minimal such ideal F so that also $J + F = J + E$, see Example 2.4. However, if E is a monomial ideal then we may consider \widehat{E} the smallest monomial ideal in S containing E and so that (J, \widehat{E}) is G -nice. Furthermore, when J is a binomial ideal (i.e. it is generated by binomials) then $J + E = J + \widehat{E}$, see Corollary 2.9.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13P10, 13F20; Secondary 13P99.

Key words and phrases. Gröbner basis, polynomial ring, S-polynomial, regular sequence.

Buchberger's criterion ([4, 3, 5]) asserts that a set of polynomials form a Gröbner basis for the ideal they generate if and only if for any two elements in the set their S -polynomial reduces to zero with respect to the given set. Having this fact in mind, a special class of Gröbner nice pairs is introduced in Section 3. Namely, if \mathcal{G}_J is a Gröbner basis for J , then we say that the ideal E is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J if for all $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in E$ their S -polynomial $S(f, g) \in E$. To check that property it is enough to verify it for g in some Gröbner basis for E , see Proposition 3.4. A good property is that if E_i is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J for all $i \in \Lambda$, then so is $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$.

This way, given \mathcal{G}_J , for any ideal (resp. monomial ideal E) we can define the S -nice (monomial) closure: \tilde{E} (resp. $E^\#$) is the smallest (monomial) ideal which is S -nice w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_J and we show how to compute it in Proposition 3.10. While $J + \tilde{E} = J + E$, it is not always the case that $J + E^\# = J + E$. In Proposition 3.12 we show that if \mathcal{G}_J consists of binomials and E is a monomial ideal, then $\hat{E} = \tilde{E}$.

We provide many examples for the notions that we introduce.

1. GRÖBNER-NICE PAIRS OF IDEALS

Let K be any field. Throughout this paper, we usually denote by S the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, unless it is stated otherwise. For an ideal I in S and a monomial order \leq on S , the set of all Gröbner bases of I with respect to the given monomial order will be denoted $\text{Gröb}_{\leq}(I)$, or simply $\text{Gröb}(I)$ when there is no risk of confusion. As a piece of notation, with respect to a fixed monomial order, for f in S its leading term is denoted $\text{LT}(f)$, and its leading monomial $\text{in}(f)$.

The following result is at the core of our work.

Theorem 1.1. *We fix a monomial order in the polynomial ring S . Let J and E be ideals in S . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{in}(J + E) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$;
- (b) for any $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and $\mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(E)$, we have $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(J + E)$;
- (c) there exist $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and $\mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(E)$, such that $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(J + E)$;
- (d) $\text{in}(J \cap E) = \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E)$;
- (e) for any $f \in J$ and $g \in E$, there exists $h \in J \cap E$ such that $\text{in}(h) = \text{lcm}(\text{in } f, \text{in } g)$;
- (f) for any $0 \neq h \in J + E$, there exist $f \in J$ and $g \in E$ with $h = f - g$ and $\text{in}(f) \neq \text{in}(g)$.

Proof. We set $I = J + E$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $\mathcal{G}_J = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and $\mathcal{G}_E = \{g_1, \dots, g_p\} \in \text{Gröb}(E)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E$ generates the ideal I . Since $\text{in}(J) = (\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r))$ and $\text{in}(E) = (\text{in}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}(g_p))$, by (a), it follows that $\text{in}(I) = (\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r), \text{in}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}(g_p))$ and therefore, $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E$ is a Gröbner basis of I .

(b) \Rightarrow (c) is trivial.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let $\mathcal{G}_J = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and $\mathcal{G}_E = \{g_1, \dots, g_p\} \in \text{Gröb}(E)$, such that $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(J + E)$. It follows that $\text{in}(J + E) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$, as required.

(a) \Rightarrow (d): Since always $\text{in}(J \cap E) \subseteq \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E)$, it remains to prove the other inclusion. Let m be any monomial in $\text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E)$. Hence there exist $f \in J$ and $g \in E$ such that $m = \text{in}(f) = \text{in}(g)$. If $f = g$ then $m \in \text{in}(J \cap E)$ and we are done.

Otherwise, since $f - g \in J + E$, by (a), $\text{in}(f - g) \in \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$. If $\text{in}(f - g) \in \text{in}(J)$, then there exists $f_1 \in J$ with $\text{LT}(f - g) = \text{LT}(f_1)$, and we set $g_1 = 0$. If $\text{in}(f - g) \in \text{in}(E)$, then there exists $g_1 \in E$ with $\text{LT}(f - g) = \text{LT}(g_1)$, and we set $f_1 = 0$. In either case, we have $\text{in}(f - f_1) = \text{in}(g - g_1) = m$. If $f - f_1 = g - g_1$ we are done. Otherwise, since $\text{in}((f - f_1) - (g - g_1)) < \text{in}(f - g)$, we repeat the above procedure for $f - f_1$ and $g - g_1$. By Dickson's Lemma ([4, Theorem 1.9]) this process eventually stops, hence $m \in \text{in}(J \cap E)$.

Condition (e) is a restatement of (d).

(e) \Rightarrow (a): It is enough to prove that $\text{in}(J + E) \subseteq \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$. Let $p \in J + E$ and write $p = f - g$, with $f \in J$ and $g \in E$. If $\text{LT}(f) \neq \text{LT}(g)$ then $\text{in}(p) = \text{in}(f)$ or $\text{in}(p) = \text{in}(g)$ and we are done. Assume $\text{LT}(f) = \text{LT}(g)$. By (e), there exists $h \in J \cap E$ with $\text{LT}(h) = \text{LT}(f) = \text{LT}(g)$. We let $f_1 = f - h$ and $g_1 = g - h$. We note that $p = f - g = f_1 - g_1$, $\text{in}(f_1) < \text{in}(f)$ and $\text{in}(g_1) < \text{in}(g)$. If $\text{LT}(f_1) \neq \text{LT}(g_1)$ then $\text{in}(p) \in \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$, arguing as above. Otherwise, we repeat the same procedure for f_1 and g_1 until it stops.

(f) \Rightarrow (a) is obvious.

(d) \Rightarrow (f): Let $h \in I$. We write $h = f - g$ with $f \in J$ and $g \in E$. If $h \in J$ or $h \in E$ then there is nothing to prove.

If $\text{in}(f) \neq \text{in}(g)$, we are done. Otherwise, assume $\text{in}(f) = \text{in}(g)$. We distinguish two cases, depending whether $\text{in}(f) = \text{in}(h)$ or $\text{in}(f) > \text{in}(h)$.

If $\text{in}(h) = \text{in}(f) = \text{in}(g)$, according to (d) we have that $\text{in}(h) \in \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E) = \text{in}(J \cap E)$. Therefore, there exists $w \in J \cap E$ with $\text{LT}(w) = \text{LT}(g)$. We write $h = (f - w) - (g - w)$. Note that $f - w \in J$, $g - w \in E$ and $\text{in}(g - w) < \text{in}(g) = \text{in}(h)$. It follows that $\text{in}(h) = \text{in}(f - w) > \text{in}(g - w)$ so we are done. Note that $g - w \neq 0$, otherwise h would be in J , a contradiction.

If $\text{in}(h) < \text{in}(f) = \text{in}(g) =: m$, since $\text{in}(h) = \text{in}(f - g) < m$, it follows that $\text{LT}(f) = \text{LT}(g)$. Also, $m \in \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E) = \text{in}(J \cap E)$. Let $w \in J \cap E$ with $\text{in}(w) = m$. We can assume $\text{LT}(w) = \text{LT}(f) = \text{LT}(g)$. We write $h = f - g = f_1 - g_1$, where $f_1 = f - w$ and $g_1 = g - w$. Arguing as before, $0 \neq f_1 \in J$, $0 \neq g_1 \in E$, $\text{in}(f_1) < m$ and $\text{in}(g_1) < m$. If $\text{in}(f_1) \neq \text{in}(g_1)$ we are done. If $\text{in}(f_1) = \text{in}(g_1) = \text{in}(h)$ we are done by the discussion of the former case. Otherwise, we apply the same procedure for f_1 and g_1 , until it eventually stops. \square

Remark 1.2. The equivalence of the conditions (a), (d) and (e) in Theorem 1.1 was proved in a different way by A. Conca in [1, Lemma 1.3] under the extra hypothesis that the ideals J and E are homogeneous.

Definition 1.3. Let S be a polynomial ring with a fixed monomial order. If the ideals J and E of S fulfill one of the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.1, we say that (J, E) is a *Gröbner nice* (*G-nice*) pair of ideals.

Remark 1.4. The chosen monomial order is essential. For example, let $J = (x^2 + y^2)$, $E = (x^2)$ and $I = J + E = (x^2, y^2)$ as ideals in $S = K[x, y]$. If $x > y$, then

$\text{in}(J) = \text{in}(E) = (x^2)$, but $\text{in}(I) = (x^2, y^2)$. Thus the pair (J, E) is not G -nice. On the other hand, if $y > x$, then $\text{in}(I) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E) = (x^2, y^2)$, hence the pair (J, E) is G -nice.

On the other hand, some pairs of ideals are never G -nice, regardless of the monomial order which is used. Indeed, let $J = (x^2 + y^2)$ and $E = (xy)$ in $S = K[x, y]$. It is easy to see that $S(x^2 + y^2, xy)$ equals either y^3 (if $x^2 > y^2$), or x^3 (if $x^2 < y^2$), and in either case $\text{in}(S(x^2 + y^2, xy)) \notin (\text{in}(J), \text{in}(E))$.

Here are some examples of classes of Gröbner nice pairs of ideals.

- Example 1.5.** (1) If $J \subseteq E \subseteq S$, then the pair (J, E) is G -nice.
(2) If J and E are monomial ideals in S , then the pair (J, E) is G -nice.
(3) If J and E are ideals in S whose generators involve disjoint sets of variables, then the pair (J, E) is G -nice.
(4) (Conca [1]) Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be an $n \times m$ matrix of indeterminates and let Z be a set of consecutive rows (or columns) of X . For t an integer with $1 \leq t \leq \min\{n, m\}$ we let $J = I_t(X)$ be the ideal in $S = K[X]$ generated by the t -minors of X . Also, let $E = I_{t-1}(Z) \subset S$. Then (J, E) is a G -nice pair of ideals, according to [1, Proposition 3.2].
More generally, [1, Proposition 3.3] states that when Y is a *ladder*, $J = I_t(X)$ and $E = I_{t-1}(Y \cap Z)$, then the pair (J, E) is G -nice. We refer to [1] for the unexplained terminology and further details on this topic.
(5) Ideals generated by various minors in a generic matrix are a source of G -nice pairs of ideals, see [6, Lemma 4.2], [7, Lemma 2.10].

The following results characterize situations when one of the ideals of the G -pair is generated by a regular sequence.

Proposition 1.6. *Let J be an ideal in the polynomial ring S and let $f \in S$ which is regular on S/J . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{in}(J, f) = \text{in}(J) + (\text{in}(f))$;
- (b) $\text{in}(f)$ is regular on $S/\text{in}(J)$.

Proof. We note that since f is regular on S/J we get that

$$\text{in}(J \cap (f)) = \text{in}(fJ) = \text{in}(f) \text{in}(J).$$

By Theorem 1.1(d), property (a) is equivalent to $\text{in}(J \cap (f)) = \text{in}(J) \cap (\text{in}(f))$. That in turn is equivalent to $\text{in}(f) \text{in}(J) = \text{in}(J) \cap (\text{in}(f))$, which is a restatement of the condition (b), since S is a domain. \square

Corollary 1.7. *Let J be any ideal in the polynomial ring S and let f_1, \dots, f_r in S be a regular sequence on S/J . Then the sequence $\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r)$ is regular on $S/\text{in}(J)$ if and only if*

$$\text{in}(J, f_1, \dots, f_r) = \text{in}(J) + (\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r)).$$

In particular, if f_1, \dots, f_r is a regular sequence on S , then $\text{in}(f_1), \dots, \text{in}(f_r)$ is regular on S if and only if $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ is a Gröbner basis for (f_1, \dots, f_r) .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1.6 by induction on r . \square

The G -nice condition is also connected to the distributivity property in the lattice of ideals of S , as the following result shows.

Proposition 1.8. *Let J, E and E' be ideals in the polynomial ring S such that (J, E) and (J, E') are G -nice pairs. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $(J + E) \cap (J + E') = J + (E \cap E')$ and $(J, E \cap E')$ is a G -nice pair of ideals;
- (b) $\text{in}((J + E) \cap (J + E')) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E \cap E')$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) is straightforward.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): We denote $I = J + E$ and $I' = J + E'$. We have that

$$\text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E \cap E') \subseteq \text{in}(J + (E \cap E')) \subseteq \text{in}(I \cap I')$$

and thus, by (b), these inclusions are in fact equalities. In particular, $\text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E \cap E') = \text{in}(J + (E \cap E'))$, hence the pair $(J, E \cap E')$ is G -nice.

On the other hand, since $J + (E \cap E') \subseteq I \cap I'$ and $\text{in}(J + (E \cap E')) = \text{in}(I \cap I')$, it follows that $I \cap I' = J + (E \cap E')$. \square

The two parts of condition (a) in Proposition 1.8 are independent, as the following example shows.

Example 1.9. (1) Let $J = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$, $E = (xy, y^3 + yz^2)$ and $E' = (xy, y^3 + yz^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ be ideals in $S = K[x, y, z]$. Then $I = J + E = J + E' = (J, xy)$.

On S we consider the reverse lexicographic monomial order (or revlex, for short) with $x > y > z$. We have $\text{in}(I) = (x^2, xy, y^3)$, $\text{in}(E) = \text{in}(E') = (xy, y^3)$ and one can check with Singular ([2]) that $\text{in}(E \cap E') = (xy, y^4)$.

Therefore, (J, E) and (J, E') are G -nice pairs of ideals, $(J + E) \cap (J + E') = J + (E \cap E') = I$, but the pair $(J, E \cap E')$ is not G -nice.

- (2) In $S = K[x, y, z, t]$ let $J = (x^4 + y^3 + z^2, xy^3 - t^2)$, $E = (-y^2zt^2 + xz^2 + t^2, x^2yz^2 - zt^4 + xyt^2, x^2zt^4 + y^4z^2 - x^3yt^2 + yz^4, yzt^6 - xy^2t^4 - x^3z^3 - x^2zt^2, -zt^8 - y^5z^3 + xyt^6 - y^2z^5 - y^3z^2 + x^3t^2 - z^4)$ and $E' = (xz^5 - yzt^2, y^4zt^2 - z^5t^2, y^3z^5 + z^7 + x^3yzt^2, y^2z^5t^2 + y^3z^3t^2 + x^3zt^4, -z^9t^2 - yz^7t^2 - x^3y^2zt^4)$.

We set $I = J + E$ and $I' = J + E'$.

We claim that the inclusion $J + (E \cap E') \subset I \cap I'$ is strict. Indeed, considering the reverse lexicographic order on S with $x > y > z > t$, one can check with Singular ([2]) that $y^2z^6t^2 \in \text{in}(I \cap I')$, but $y^2z^6t^2 \notin \text{in}(J + (E \cap E'))$. However, one can verify that the pair $(J, E \cap E')$ is G -nice.

The following result is a dual form of Proposition 1.8.

Proposition 1.10. *Let J, E and E' be ideals in the polynomial ring S such that the pairs (J, E) and (J, E') are G -nice. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $J \cap E + J \cap E' = J \cap (E + E')$ and the pair $(J, E + E')$ is G -nice.
- (b) $\text{in}(J \cap E + J \cap E') = \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E + E')$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): We have that $\text{in}(J \cap E + J \cap E') = \text{in}(J \cap (E + E')) = \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E + E')$, since the pair $(J, E + E')$ is G -nice.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): We have that

$$\text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E + E') = \text{in}(J \cap E + J \cap E') \subseteq \text{in}(J \cap (E + E')) \subseteq \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E + E'),$$

hence the inequalities in this chain become equalities. It follows that $\text{in}(J \cap (E + E')) = \text{in}(J) \cap \text{in}(E + E')$ and thus, by Theorem 1.1(d), the pair $(J, E + E')$ is G -nice. Also, $\text{in}(J \cap E + J \cap E') = \text{in}(J \cap (E + E'))$, therefore $J \cap E + J \cap E' = J \cap (E + E')$. \square

Given E a monomial ideal, we denote by $G(E)$ its unique minimal set of monomial generators. Clearly, $G(E) \in \text{Gröb}(E)$ for any monomial order.

Proposition 1.11. *Let J be any ideal in the polynomial ring S and let $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a family of monomial ideals in S such that the pair (J, E_i) is G -nice for all $i \in \Lambda$. We set $I_i = J + E_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, $I = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ and $E = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Then (J, E) is a G -nice pair and $I = J + E$.*

Also, if $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ then $\mathcal{G}_J \cup G(E)$ is a Gröbner basis of I .

Proof. Since the E_i 's are monomial ideals then E is a monomial ideal, too. We have $\text{in}(E_i) = E_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ and $\text{in}(E) = E$. Obviously, $\text{in}(J) + E \subseteq \text{in}(J + E)$. On the other hand, $\text{in}(J + E) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \text{in}(J + E_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (\text{in}(J) + E_i) = \text{in}(J) + \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i = \text{in}(J) + E$. Thus, the pair (J, E) is G -nice.

Since $J + E \subseteq I$ and $\text{in}(J + E) = \text{in}(I)$, it follows that $I = J + E$. The last assertion follows immediately. \square

The following proposition shows that the G -nice property behaves well with respect to taking sums of ideals. For any positive integer m we denote $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proposition 1.12. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m be ideals in S such that (E_i, E_j) is a G -nice pair for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Let $X \subset [m]$. We denote $E_X = \sum_{i \in X} E_i$ and $E_{X^c} = \sum_{j \in [m] \setminus X} E_j$. Then (E_X, E_{X^c}) is a G -nice pair of ideals.*

Proof. For all i we pick a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}_i \in \text{Gröb}(E_i)$. We claim that $\mathcal{G}_Y = \bigcup_{i \in Y} \mathcal{G}_i$ is a Gröbner basis of E_Y , for any $Y \subseteq [m]$.

If $|Y| = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Assume $|Y| \geq 2$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{G}_Y$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{G}_i$, for some $i \in Y$, then $S(f, g) \rightarrow_{G_i} 0$ and therefore $S(f, g) \rightarrow_{G_Y} 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}_i$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_j$, with $i \neq j$ in Y , then $S(f, g) \rightarrow_{G_i \cup G_j} 0$, since $G_i \cup G_j$ is a Gröbner basis of $E_i + E_j$. It follows that $S(f, g) \rightarrow_{G_Y} 0$. Thus, \mathcal{G}_Y is a Gröbner basis of E_Y , which proves our claim.

It follows that $\mathcal{G}_{[m]} = \mathcal{G}_X \cup \mathcal{G}_{X^c}$ is a Gröbner basis for $E_{[m]} = E_X + E_{X^c}$, and therefore the pair (E_X, E_{X^c}) is G -nice. \square

Corollary 1.13. *If J is any ideal and $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of monomial ideals, such that the pair (J, E_i) is G -nice for all $i \in \Lambda$, then the pair $(J, \sum_{i \in \Lambda} E_i)$ is G -nice.*

Proof. Note that $\sum_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ can be written as the sum of finitely many terms in the sum. On the other hand, any two monomial ideals form a G -nice pair, so the conclusion follows by Proposition 1.12. \square

2. CREATING GRÖBNER-NICE PAIRS

Let J be an ideal in S . Given any ideal $E \subset S$ such that (J, E) is not a G -nice pair, we are interested in finding ideals F in S , “close” to E , such that

$$(1) \quad J + F = J + E \text{ and } (J, F) \text{ is a } G\text{-nice pair.}$$

Lemma 2.1. *If (J, F) is a G -nice pair with $E \supseteq F$ so that $J + E = J + F$, then (J, E) is a G -nice pair.*

Proof. We have $\text{in}(J + E) = \text{in}(J + F) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(F) \subseteq \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$, hence $\text{in}(J + E) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$ and (J, E) is a G -nice pair. \square

Based on Lemma 2.1, for (1) we should look at ideals $F \supseteq E$. In general, $(J, J + E)$ is a G -nice pair, so in the worst case we may take $F = J + E$. But sometimes, it is also the best choice, as the following example shows.

Example 2.2. Let $J = (x^2 - y^2)$ and $E = (x^2)$. We consider on $S = K[x, y]$ the revlex order with $x > y$. Let $I = J + E$. Then $\text{in}(I) = I = (x^2, y^2)$. Let $F \supseteq E$ be an ideal with $J + F = I$ and $\text{in}(I) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(F)$. We claim that $F = I$.

Indeed, since $y^2 \in \text{in}(F)$, there exists $f \in F$ with $\text{LT}(f) = y^2$. It follows that $f = y^2 + ax + by + c$, where $a, b, c \in K$. Since $y^2, f \in I$, we get that $ax + by + c \in I$, and therefore $a = b = c = 0$. Thus $y^2 \in F = I$.

Remark 2.3. For J and E ideals in S , assume the ideal F satisfies (1) and $F \supseteq E$. In order to find an ideal $E' \subseteq S$ such that $E \subseteq E' \subseteq F$ and (J, E') is a G -nice pair, where E' is as small as possible, a natural approach is the following. Set $I = J + E$. We write

$$\text{in}(I) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E) + (m_1, \dots, m_s),$$

where m_1, \dots, m_s are the monomials in $G(\text{in}(I)) \setminus (\text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E))$. Since $\text{in}(I) = \text{in}(J + F) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(F)$, it follows that $m_1, \dots, m_s \in \text{in}(F)$. We choose $g_1, \dots, g_s \in F$ such that $\text{in}(g_i) = m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $E_1 = E + (g_1, \dots, g_s)$. Then $J + E_1 = J + E = I$ and

$$\text{in}(I) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E) + (m_1, \dots, m_s) \subseteq \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E_1) \subseteq \text{in}(J + E_1) = \text{in}(I).$$

Thus (J, E_1) is a G -nice pair of ideals.

The following example shows that given the ideal I there does not always exist a minimal ideal E' (eventually containing E) such that (J, E') is a G -nice pair with $I = J + E'$.

Example 2.4. (1) We consider the lexicographic order on $S = K[x, y]$ induced by $x > y$. Let $J = (y^3) \subset I = (y^3, x^2 - y^2, xy)$. Then $\text{in}(I) = (y^3, x^2, xy)$. We define the sequence $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 1}$ by $\alpha_1 = 5$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = 3\alpha_k - 4$ for $k \geq 1$. Let $g_k = xy - y^{\alpha_k}$ and $E_k = (x^2 - y^2, xy - y^{\alpha_k})$. One can easily check that $J + E_k = I$, and $\text{in}(E_k) = (x^2, xy, y^{2\alpha_k-1})$. Therefore, (J, E_k) is a G -nice pair of ideals for all k .

Since $xg_k - y(x^2 - y^2) = y^3 - xy^{\alpha_k} = y^3 - xyg_k - y^{2\alpha_k-1}$, it follows that $y^3 - y^{2\alpha_k-1} \in E_k$. Then $g_{k+1} = g_k + y^{\alpha_k} - y^{3\alpha_k-4} = g_k + y^{\alpha_k-3}(y^3 - y^{2\alpha_k-1})$. Hence $E_k \subsetneq E_{k+1}$ for

all k . We also note that $\cap_{k \geq 1} E_k = (x^2 - y^2)$, $(J, (x^2 - y^2))$ is a G -nice pair of ideals, and $J + (x^2 - y^2) \subsetneq I$.

(2) In $S = K[x, y, z]$ ordered lexicographically (with $x > y > z$) we let $J = (x^2 - y^2, z^2)$, $E = (xy)$, $F = (xy, y^3, z^2)$. Denote $I = J + E = J + F = (x^2 - y^2, z^2, xy)$. We note that $\text{in}(I) = (x^2, xy, y^3, z^2) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(F)$. Therefore, (J, E) is not a G -nice pair, while (J, F) is one. We set $E_k = (xy, y^3 + z^{k+1} + z^k + \dots + z^2, z^{k+2})$. Then $E \subsetneq E_{k+1} \subsetneq E_k \subsetneq F$, while (J, E_k) is a G -nice pair for all $k \geq 1$.

We recall the definition of a normal form, see [5].

Definition 2.5. Consider the ideal $J \subset S$ and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. A normal form with respect to \mathcal{G}_J is a map $NF(-|\mathcal{G}_J) : S \rightarrow S$, which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $NF(0|\mathcal{G}_J) = 0$;
- (ii) if $NF(g|\mathcal{G}_J) \neq 0$ then $\text{in}(NF(g|\mathcal{G}_J)) \notin \text{in}(J)$;
- (iii) $g - NF(g|\mathcal{G}_J) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{G}_J} c_f f$, where $c_f \in S$ and $\text{in}(g) \geq \text{in}(c_f f)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ with $c_f \neq 0$.

Moreover, NF is called a reduced normal form, if for any $f \in S$ no monomial of $NF(f|\mathcal{G}_J)$ is contained in $\text{in}(\mathcal{G}_J)$.

Given the ideals J, E in S , $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and $NF(-|\mathcal{G}_J)$ a normal form with respect to \mathcal{G}_J , we denote $NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J) = (NF(g|\mathcal{G}_J) : g \in E)$.

Proposition 2.6. With notation as above, we have:

- (i) $J + E = J + NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J)$;
- (ii) $(J, NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J))$ is a G -nice pair of ideals;
- (iii) if NF is a reduced normal form and $E = NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J)$, then any $h \in J + E$ can be written as $h = f + g$, such that $f \in J$, $g \in E$ and no monomial of g is contained in $\text{in}(J)$;
- (iv) if $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of ideals with $E_i = NF(E_i|\mathcal{G}_J)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, then

$$NF\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i|\mathcal{G}_J\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i.$$

Proof. (i) : If $h \in J + E$, then $h = NF(h|\mathcal{G}_J) + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{G}_J} c_f f$, and therefore $NF(h|\mathcal{G}_J) \in J + E$.

(ii) : Since, by (i), $J + E = J + NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J)$, it is enough to consider the case $E = NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J)$ and to prove that $\text{in}(J + E) \subseteq \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$. Let $h \in J + E$. Then $h = j + g$ where $j \in J$ and $g \in E$. We also write $g = j_1 + g_1$, where we let $g_1 = NF(g|\mathcal{G}_J) \in NF(E|\mathcal{G}_J) = E$. Thus $h = (j + j_1) + g_1$. If $g_1 = 0$, then $\text{in}(h) \in \text{in}(J)$. Otherwise, by the definition of the normal form, $\text{in}(g) \notin \text{in}(J)$ which implies $\text{in}(h) \in \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E)$.

(iii) : For any $h \in J + E$, the decomposition $h = (h - NF(h|\mathcal{G}_J)) + NF(h|\mathcal{G}_J)$ satisfies the required condition.

(iv) is straightforward.

Given the ideals $J, E \subseteq S$ we introduce the sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{J,E} &= \{F \subseteq S : E \subseteq F, J + E = J + F, (J, F) \text{ is a } G\text{-nice pair of ideals}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m &= \{F \in \mathcal{E}_{J,E} : F \text{ is a monomial ideal}\}\end{aligned}$$

The previous discussion shows that the set $\mathcal{E}_{J,E}$ may not have a minimal element. However, when E is a monomial ideal and $\mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m \neq \emptyset$, then the latter set has a minimum.

Definition 2.7. *Let J be an ideal in S , and E a monomial ideal in S . The G -nice monomial closure of E with respect to J is the (monomial) ideal*

$$\widehat{E} = \bigcap_{E \subseteq F, F \text{ monomial ideal, } (J, F) \text{ is } G\text{-nice}} F.$$

The ideal \widehat{E} naturally depends on the ideal J , although this is not reflected in the notation. We prefer not to complicate the notation since it will be clear from the context what J is.

Proposition 2.8. *Let J be any ideal in S , and E a monomial ideal in S . Then (J, \widehat{E}) is a G -nice pair. Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m \neq \emptyset$, then \widehat{E} is the smallest element in $\mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m$ with respect to inclusion.*

Proof. The first part follows from Proposition 1.11. For the second assertion, note that if $F \in \mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m$ then $J + E \subseteq J + \widehat{E} \subseteq J + F$, hence $J + E = J + \widehat{E}$, $\widehat{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m$ and it is its smallest element. \square

Corollary 2.9. *With notation as in Proposition 2.8, if J is a binomial ideal, then $J + E = J + \widehat{E}$.*

Proof. Note that if b is any binomial in S and m is any monomial in S , then their S -polynomial $S(b, m)$ is a monomial. Also, since J is a binomial ideal, it has a Gröbner basis \mathcal{G}_J consisting of binomials. Therefore, we can define the monomial ideal F which extends E by adding the monomials $S(b, m)$ where $m \in G(E)$ and $b \in \mathcal{G}_J$. Then $F \in \mathcal{E}_{J,E}^m$, and we apply Proposition 2.8. \square

The ideal \widehat{E} can be computed as follows.

Remark 2.10. Let $J \subset S$ be an ideal, and let $E \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Let $F \subset S$ be any monomial ideal such that (J, F) is a G -nice pair and $E \subseteq F$. Let G_0 be the minimal monomial generators of $\text{in}(J + E)$ which are not in $\text{in}(J)$ nor in $\text{in}(E)$. Clearly, $G_0 \subset F$. We let $E_1 = E + (G_0)$. If (J, E_1) is G -nice, then $\widehat{E} = E_1$. Else, we argue as above and we get a chain of monomial ideals $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F$. By noetherianity, this chain stabilizes at some point $E_i = E_{i+1} = \dots$ and we get $\widehat{E} = E_i$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let J be a binomial ideal and let $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a family of monomial ideals. Assume $F_i \supseteq E_i$ are monomial ideals such that (J, F_i) is a G -nice pair and $J + E_i = J + F_i$, for all $i \in \Lambda$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + E_i) = J + \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \widehat{E}_i = J + \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} F_i.$$

Proof. Using the Corollary 2.9 we have that $J + E_i = J + \widehat{E}_i = F + F_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, hence

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + E_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + \widehat{E}_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + F_i).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.8, (J, \widehat{E}_i) is a G -nice pair for all i . Now using Proposition 1.11 we get that $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + \widehat{E}_i) = J + \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \widehat{E}_i$ and $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (J + F_i) = J + \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} F_i$. \square

Example 2.12. We consider the revlex order with $x > y > z$ on $S = K[x, y, z]$. Let $J = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ and $E = (xy)$ be ideals in S . Note that $\mathcal{G} = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy, y^3 + yz^2\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I = J + E$. Therefore, $\text{in}(I) = (x^2, xy, y^3)$ strictly includes $\text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E) = (x^2, xy)$, and the pair (J, E) is not G -nice.

Let $F \subset S$ be any monomial ideal such that the pair (J, F) is G -nice and $E \subseteq F$. Since $\text{in}(J + E) \subseteq \text{in}(J + F) = (x^2) + F$, it follows that $(xy, y^3) \subset F$. Let $E_1 = (xy, y^3)$. We have $(x^2, xy, y^3, yz^2) = \text{in}(J + E') \subseteq (x^2) + F$. Thus $yz^2 \in F$. Clearly, $E_2 = (xy, y^3, yz^2) \subseteq F$. Since (J, E_2) is a G -nice pair, we conclude that $E_2 = \widehat{E}$.

3. A SPECIAL CLASS OF GRÖBNER-NICE PAIRS OF IDEALS

To verify if a set is a Gröbner basis implies computing the S -polynomial of any two elements in the set and testing if it reduces to zero with respect to the given set, see [4, 3]. Inspired by this, we propose the following.

Definition 3.1. Let J, E be ideals in S and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. We say that E is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J if for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in E$ we have $S(f, g) \in E$.

Example 3.2. If $J \subseteq E$, then E is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J for any $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$.

Proposition 3.3. Assume the ideal E is S -nice with respect to $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. Then (J, E) is a G -nice pair of ideals.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}_E be any Gröbner basis for E . We claim that $\mathcal{G}_E \cup \mathcal{G}_J$ is a Gröbner basis for $E + J$. Indeed, we only need to consider S -polynomials $S(f, g)$ where $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_E$. Since $S(f, g) \in E$ we infer that the former reduces to 0 w.r.t. $\mathcal{G}_J \cup \mathcal{G}_E$. Applying Theorem 1.1(c) finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.4. Let J, E be ideals in S and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) the ideal E is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J ;
- (b) for any $\mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(E)$, for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_E$ one has that $S(f, g) \in E$;
- (c) there exists $\mathcal{G}_E \in \text{Gröb}(E)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_E$ one has that $S(f, g) \in E$.

Proof. The implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) are clear. We suppose (c) holds. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that the polynomials in \mathcal{G}_J and \mathcal{G}_E are monic. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_E$. We can write $g = \sum_{i=1}^p u_i g_i$ where $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_E$ and $\text{in}(g) \geq$

$\text{in}(u_i g_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and such that $\text{LT}(g) = \text{LT}(u_1 g_1)$. We set $h = g - u_1 g_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, g) &= \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(f)} f - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} g \\ &= \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(f)} (f - \text{LT}(f)) - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} (g - \text{LT}(u_1 g_1)) \\ &= \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(f)} (f - \text{LT}(f)) - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} (u_1 g_1 - \text{LT}(u_1 g_1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} h. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u_1 g_1 - \text{LT}(u_1 g_1) = \text{LT}(u_1)(g_1 - \text{LT}(g_1)) + (u_1 - \text{LT}(u_1))g_1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, g) &= \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(f)} (f - \text{LT}(f)) - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g_1)} (g_1 - \text{LT}(g_1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} ((u_1 - \text{LT}(u_1))g_1 + h) \\ &= \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g_1))} S(f, g_1) - \frac{\text{lcm}(\text{in}(f), \text{in}(g))}{\text{in}(g)} ((u_1 - \text{LT}(u_1))g_1 + h). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(f, g_1), g_1, h \in E$ we obtain that $S(f, g) \in E$, too. This proves statement (a). \square

The S -nice property is stable when taking intersections.

Proposition 3.5. *Let J be an ideal in S and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. Assume that in the family of ideals $(E_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ each is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J . Then*

- (a) *the ideal $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J ;*
- (b) *if (E_i, E_j) is a G -nice pair for all $i, j \in \Lambda$, then $\sum_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$ is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J .*

Proof. (a): Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Since E_i is S -nice w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_J we get that $S(f, g) \in E_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. This proves (a).

(b): For all $i \in \Lambda$ we pick $\mathcal{G}_i \in \text{Gröb}(E_i)$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 1.12 we get that $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{G}_i$ is a Gröbner basis for $\sum_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $S(f, g) \in \sum_{i \in \Lambda} E_i$. Conclusion follows by Proposition 3.4. \square

An immediate consequence of the previous result is the following related form of Proposition 1.8.

Corollary 3.6. *Let J, E and E' be ideals in S and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. Assume that E and E' are S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $(J + E) \cap (J + E') = J + (E \cap E')$;
- (b) $\text{in}((J + E) \cap (J + E')) = \text{in}(J) + \text{in}(E \cap E')$.

In view of Proposition 3.5, for any ideal we can define its S -nice (monomial) closure.

Definition 3.7. Let J be any ideal in S and let $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. For any ideal $E \subset S$ we set

$$\tilde{E} = \bigcap_{E \subseteq F, F \text{ is } S\text{-nice w.r.t. } \mathcal{G}_J} F$$

and we call it the S -nice closure of E with respect to \mathcal{G}_J .

Moreover, if E is a monomial ideal, we set

$$E^\sharp = \bigcap_{E \subseteq F, F \text{ monomial ideal is } S\text{-nice w.r.t. } \mathcal{G}_J} F$$

and we call it the S -nice monomial closure of E with respect to \mathcal{G}_J .

As with Definition 2.7, we prefer not to complicate notation and include \mathcal{G}_J in it, as it will be clear from the context the Gröbner basis which is used.

Remark 3.8. By Proposition 3.5, \tilde{E} (resp. E^\sharp) is indeed the smallest ideal (resp. the smallest monomial ideal) in S which is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J . Clearly, $E \subseteq \tilde{E} \subseteq E^\sharp$, and also $\hat{E} \subseteq E^\sharp$. By Example 3.2, the ideal $J + E$ is S -nice w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_J , hence $J + E \subseteq J + \tilde{E} \subseteq J + E$. The latter implies that

$$J + E = J + \tilde{E}.$$

Example 3.9. In $S = K[x, y]$ we consider $J = (x^2 + y^2)$ with $\mathcal{G}_J = \{x^2 + y^2\}$ and $E = (x^2)$. If $x > y$ then $S(x^2 + y^2, x^2) = y^2$, and so $\tilde{E} = (x^2, y^2) = J + E$. On the other hand, if $y > x$ then $S(x^2 + y^2, x^2) = x^4 \in E$ and thus $\tilde{E} = E = (x^2)$.

In general, the following proposition is useful for computing \tilde{E} and E^\sharp .

Proposition 3.10. Let J, E be ideals in S and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. Then

- (a) $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i \geq 0} E_i$, where the ideal E_i is defined inductively as $E_0 = E$ and $E_{i+1} = E_i + (S(f, g) : f \in \mathcal{G}_J, g \in E_i)$ for all $i > 0$.
- (b) If E is a monomial ideal, then $E^\sharp = \sum_{i \geq 0} F_i$, where $F_0 = E$ and F_{i+1} is the ideal generated by the monomial terms of the polynomials in \tilde{F}_i , for all $i > 0$.

Proof. (a): If $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in E_i$, then $S(f, g) \in E_{i+1}$. Therefore, $\sum_{i \geq 0} E_i$ is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J . Conversely, since $E_0 \subset \tilde{E}$ and \tilde{E} is S -nice w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_J , it follows that $S(f, g) \in \tilde{E}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$ and $g \in E$. Therefore, $E_1 \subseteq \tilde{E}$. Inductively, we get that $E_i \subseteq \tilde{E}$ for any $i \geq 0$. This completes the proof.

(b): If $m \in F_i$ is a monomial and $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$, then $S(f, m) \in \tilde{F}_i \subseteq F_{i+1}$. Therefore, $\sum_{i \geq 0} F_i$ is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J . Conversely, if $m \in E$ is a monomial and $f \in \mathcal{G}_J$, then $S(f, m) \in E^\sharp$. Since E^\sharp is a monomial ideal, any monomial which is in the support of $S(f, m)$ is in E^\sharp . Therefore, $F_1 \subseteq E^\sharp$. Inductively, we get $F_i \subseteq E^\sharp$ for all $i \geq 0$. Thus $\sum_{i \geq 0} F_i = E^\sharp$. \square

Example 3.11. In $S = K[x, y, z]$ we consider the revlex order with $x > y > z$ and the ideals $J = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$, $E = (xy)$, $E_1 = (xy, y^3 + yz^2)$ and $E_2 = (xy, y^3 + yz^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$. From Example 1.9 we have that $J + E_1 = J + E_2 = J + E$, and that $(J, E_1), (J, E_2)$ are G -nice pairs of ideals. Let $\mathcal{G}_J = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2\} \in \text{Gröb}(J)$. We claim that E_1 is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J , but E_2 is not.

We note that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{xy, y^3 + yz^2\} \in \text{Gröb}(E_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{xy, y^3 + yz^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2, x^3 + xz^2\} \in \text{Gröb}(E_2)$. Also, $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy) = y^3 + yz^2 \in E_1$ and $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, y^3 + yz^2) = y^3(y^2 + z^2) - x^2(y^3 + yz^2) = y^2(y^3 + yz^2) - xy(xy^2 + xz^2) \in E_1$. This proves the claim. Moreover, since $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy) = y^3 + yz^2$ we infer that, when computed with respect to \mathcal{G}_J , $\tilde{E} = E_1$.

Let U be a monomial ideal in S which is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J , and $E \subseteq U$. Since $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy) = y^3 + yz^2 \in U$ one has that $y^3, yz^2 \in U$. Let $L = (xy, y^3, yz^2)$. As $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, y^3) = y^5 + y^3z^2 \in L$ and $S(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, yz^2) = y^3z^2 + yz^4 \in L$, it follows that L is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J and moreover, $E^\# = L$. We also remark that $J + E \subsetneq J + E^\#$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let J be an ideal in S , $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ and E a monomial ideal in S . Then*

- (a) *if there exists a monomial ideal F in S which is S -nice with respect to \mathcal{G}_J and $J + E = J + F$, then $J + E^\# = J + E$;*
- (b) *if J is a binomial ideal and $\mathcal{G}_J \in \text{Gröb}(J)$ consists of binomials, then $\tilde{E} = E^\#$.*

Proof. Part (a) is clear. For (b) we let $E_0 = E$ and we note that $S(b, m)$ is a monomial, for any binomial b and monomial m . Therefore, using the notation from Proposition 3.10 we obtain an ascending chain of monomial ideals $E_{i+1} = E_i + (S(f, g) : f \in \mathcal{G}_J, g \in E_i)$. This shows that $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i \geq 0} E_i$ is a monomial ideal and we are done. \square

Acknowledgement. We thank Aldo Conca for pointing our attention to his paper ([1]). We gratefully acknowledge the use of the computer algebra system Singular ([2]) for our experiments.

The authors were partly supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education, CNCS–UEFISCDI under the project PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-1023.

The paper is in final form and no similar paper has been or is being submitted elsewhere.

Note added in the proof. After this paper was finished, we learned from Matteo Varbaro that the equivalence of conditions (a) and (d) in Theorem 1.1 has also been proved in the Ph.D. thesis of Michela Di Marca, *Connectedness properties of dual graphs of standard graded algebras*, University of Genova, December 2017.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Conca, *Gorenstein ladder determinantal rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **(2) 54** (1996), no. 3, 453–474.
- [2] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-1-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2019).
- [3] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **150**, Springer, 1995.
- [4] V. Ene, J. Herzog, *Gröbner Bases in Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **130**, American Mathematical Society, 2012.
- [5] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, *A Singular Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2008.

- [6] S. Hoşten, S. Sullivant, *Ideals of adjacent minors*, J. Algebra **277** (2004), 615–642.
- [7] F. Mohammadi, J. Rauh, *Prime splittings of determinantal ideals*, Comm. Algebra **46** (2018), 2278–2296.

MIRCEA CIMPOEAŞ, SIMION STOILow INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RESEARCH UNIT 5, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA
Email address: `mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro`

DUMITRU I. STAMATE, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, STR. ACADEMIEI 14, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA, AND
SIMION STOILow INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RESEARCH GROUP OF THE PROJECT ID-PCE-2011-1023, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA
Email address: `dumitru.stamate@fmi.unibuc.ro`