

INTERSECTING GEODESICS ON THE MODULAR SURFACE

JUNEHYUK JUNG AND NASER TALEBIZADEH SARDARI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the *modular intersection kernel*, and we use it to study how geodesics intersect on the full modular surface $\mathbb{X} = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Let C_d be the union of closed geodesics with discriminant d and let $\beta \subset \mathbb{X}$ be a compact geodesic segment. As an application of Duke's theorem to the modular intersection kernel, we prove that $\{(p, \theta_p) : p \in \beta \cap C_d\}$ becomes equidistributed with respect to $\sin \theta ds d\theta$ on $\beta \times [0, \pi]$ with a power saving rate as $d \rightarrow +\infty$. Here θ_p is the angle of intersection between β and C_d at p . This settles the main conjectures introduced by Rickards [Ric19].

We prove a similar result for the distribution of angles of intersections between C_{d_1} and C_{d_2} with a power-saving rate in d_1 and d_2 as $d_1 + d_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Previous works on the corresponding problem for compact surfaces do not apply to \mathbb{X} , because of the singular behavior of the modular intersection kernel near the cusp. We analyze the singular behavior of the modular intersection kernel by approximating it by general (not necessarily spherical) point-pair invariants on $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and then by studying their full spectral expansion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Y be a negatively curved surface of finite area. The prime geodesic theorem [Sar80] states that the number of primitive closed geodesics having length less than L , which we denote by $\pi(L)$, satisfies

$$\pi(L) \sim \frac{e^L}{L},$$

as $L \rightarrow \infty$. A natural problem is to understand how primitive closed geodesics of length less than L are positioned or distributed in Y as $L \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, one may ask

- (1) how the number of transversal intersections $I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ between two primitive closed geodesics α_1 and α_2 is distributed, or
- (2) how the set of angles of intersections between α_1 and α_2 is distributed,

as one varies α_1 , or both α_1 and α_2 . Bonahon [Bon86] defined the *intersection form* $i : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ on the space of currents \mathcal{C} such that when μ_i ($i = 1, 2$) is the unique invariant measure corresponding to α_i , then $i(\mu_1, \mu_2) = I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. When Y is compact, Pollicott and Sharp [PS06] used an extension of the intersection form to understand the distribution of angles of self-intersections of closed geodesic α having length less than L , as $L \rightarrow \infty$. When Y is a compact hyperbolic surface, using the intersection form, Herrera [HJ15] proved that the distribution of $I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) / (l(\alpha_1)l(\alpha_2))$ for closed geodesics α_1, α_2 of length $< L$, is concentrated near $1/(2\pi^2(g-1)) = 2/(\pi \text{vol}(Y))$ with exponentially decaying tail, as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Here $l(\cdot)$ is the length function, and g is the genus of Y .

In this article, we study a refined problem:

- (3) how are the locations and angles of intersections between α_1 and α_2 jointly distributed relative to α_2 , as one varies α_1 , or both α_1 and α_2 ?

To state our main theorem, we let \mathbb{X} be the full modular surface $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. On \mathbb{X} , primitive oriented closed geodesics are in one-to-one correspondence with conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover there is a bijection between the primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes and the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivalence classes of primitive integral binary quadratic forms of non-square positive discriminant [LRS09, Sar82]. So by the discriminant of a primitive closed geodesic, we mean the discriminant of the corresponding binary quadratic form. In particular, if the hyperbolic class γ is associated to the binary quadratic form Q then γ^{-1} is associated to $-Q$.

We thank D. Jakobson, V. Blomer, D. Milicevic, C. Pagano, M. Lee, M. Lipnowski, Y. Kim, and J. Yim for valuable comments. J.J. thanks A. Reid for the discussion that led to this project. J.J. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1900993, and by Sloan Research Fellowship. N.T.S. was supported partially by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-2015305, and is grateful to Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support.

Each oriented primitive closed geodesics of discriminant d has a unique lift to a closed geodesic of length $2 \log \varepsilon_d$ in the unit tangent bundle $S\mathbb{X}$. Let $h(d)$ be the number of inequivalent primitive integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant d . We denote the disjoint union of these $h(d)$ closed geodesics by $\mathcal{C}_d \subset S\mathbb{X}$, which has total length $2h(d) \log \varepsilon_d$.

Note that the closed geodesic on \mathbb{X} has length $\log \varepsilon_d$ or $2 \log \varepsilon_d$ according as Q is or is not equivalent to $-Q$ [Duk88, p.75]. We now let C_d be the union of primitive (unoriented) closed geodesics of discriminant d on \mathbb{X} , and note that $l(C_d) = h(d) \log \varepsilon_d$ is the total length of C_d .

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $T > 100$, and let β be a compact oriented geodesic segment of length < 1 in the region determined by $y < T$ on \mathbb{X} . For $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$, let $I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, C_d)$ be the number of intersections between β and C_d with the angle between θ_1 and θ_2 . (Here the angle between β and C_d at $p \in \beta \cap C_d$ is measured counterclockwise from the tangent to β at p to the tangent to C_d at p .)*

Then we have

$$\frac{I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, C_d)}{l(\beta)l(C_d)} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin \theta d\theta + O_\epsilon \left(d^{-\frac{25}{3584} + \epsilon} \right),$$

uniformly in β , θ_1 , and θ_2 , under the assumption that

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 \gg d^{-\frac{25}{7168}},^\dagger$$

and that

$$l(\beta) \gg d^{-\frac{25}{7168}}.$$

Remark 1.2. *This statement is false if C_d is replaced by individual geodesics. For instance, the set of intersections between β and a closed geodesic α does not necessarily become equidistributed as $l(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$. To see this, take a finite sheeted covering S of \mathbb{X} whose genus is ≥ 2 . Then according to Rivin's work [Riv01] there are arbitrarily long simple closed geodesics on S . Note that these simple closed geodesics must be contained in a compact part of S [JR21]. This implies that there is a compact set $C \subset \mathbb{X}$ which contains arbitrarily long primitive closed geodesics. Take a geodesic segment β in $\mathbb{X} - C$. Then there are infinitely many closed geodesics which do not intersect β .*

Remark 1.3. *The exponent $-\frac{25}{3584}$ can be improved slightly by refining our argument, but in order to keep the exposition simple, we do not discuss the optimal rate in the current article.*

As an immediate consequence, we deduce that the intersection points and corresponding angles become equidistributed, resolving the main conjectures introduced by Rickards [Ric19].

Corollary 1.4. *Fix a closed geodesic α . Then for any fixed segment $\beta \subset \alpha$, and any fixed $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$, we have*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, C_d)}{l(\alpha)l(C_d)} = \frac{l(\beta)}{l(\alpha)} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\sin \theta}{2} d\theta.$$

Remark 1.5. *Rickards's work is motivated by the work of Darmon and Vonk [DV21] on the arithmetic (p -arithmetic) intersection between pairs of oriented closed geodesics on the modular surfaces (Shimura curves). The arithmetic intersection between oriented closed geodesics α_1 and α_2 of discriminants D_1 and D_2 only depends on D_1 and D_2 and the angles of intersections between α_1 and α_2 . Darmon and Vonk conjectured that [DV21, Conjecture 2] that the p -arithmetic intersection is algebraic and belongs to the composition of the Hilbert class field of real quadratic fields of discriminants D_1 and D_2 .*

To prove our main results, we introduce the *modular intersection kernel*. For $\delta > 0$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, \pi)$, let $k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2} : S\mathbb{H} \times S\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the integral kernel defined by

$$k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, \xi_2)) = 1,$$

if the geodesic segments of length δ from x_i with the initial vector ξ_i intersect at an angle $\in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, and 0 otherwise. Under the identification $S\mathbb{H} \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, for a given discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, we define the *modular intersection kernel* $K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2} : \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by taking the average of $k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ over Γ :

$$K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, \gamma g_2).$$

[†]Here and elsewhere, $A \ll_\tau B$ means $|A| \leq C(\tau)B$ for some constant $C(\tau)$ that depends only on τ .

The basic idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 then is as follows. Heuristically,

$$I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, C_d)$$

should be well approximated by

$$\frac{1}{2\delta^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_d} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{\beta} \subset S\mathbb{X}$ is a lift of β with either of orientations of β

$$\tilde{\beta}(t) = (\beta(t), \beta'(t)),$$

under assuming that $\beta(t)$ is parameterized by the arc length. As noted from [LRS09], Duke's theorem [Duk88] can be extended to the equidistribution of \mathcal{C}_d in $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Observing that

$$\frac{1}{2\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, g) ds_1 \quad (1.2)$$

is a compactly supported function in g for compact β , (1.1) is

$$\sim \frac{l(\mathcal{C}_d)}{2\delta^2} \int_g \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, g) ds_1 d\mu_g,$$

which is asymptotically $\frac{3}{\pi^2} l(C_d) l(\beta) \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin \alpha d\alpha$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, by an explicit computation.

Note that (1.2) is a discontinuous function. Therefore, in order to obtain the rate of convergence, we need a smooth approximation of (1.2), and a quantified version of Duke's theorem with explicit dependency on the test functions. To this end, we follow the argument sketched in [LRS09] to prove:

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that $f \in C_0^\infty(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ has support in the region determined by $y < T$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_d)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_d} f(s) ds = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} f(g) d\mu_g + O_\epsilon \left(\log T d^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|f\|_{W^{6, \infty}} \right).$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, p}}$ is the Sobolev norm:

$$\|f\|_{W^{k, p}} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_{\theta}^{\alpha_1} (y \partial_x)^{\alpha_2} (y \partial_y)^{\alpha_3} f\|_{L^p}.$$

Remark 1.7. *The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the equidistribution of the lifts of C_d in the unit tangent bundle. For this reason, one may generalize Theorem 1.1 to any surfaces and any sequence of closed geodesics whose lifts become equidistributed on the unit tangent bundle.*

1.1. Intersecting two closed geodesics. We now consider the number of intersections between two closed geodesics when both vary.

Theorem 1.8. *The following estimate holds uniformly in $d_1, d_2 > 0$, and $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$ such that $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \gg (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{3072}}$*

$$\frac{I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(C_{d_1}, C_{d_2})}{l(C_{d_1}) l(C_{d_2})} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin \theta d\theta + O_\epsilon \left((d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{6144} + \epsilon} \right).$$

Note that if Γ is co-compact, then the modular intersection kernel coincides with the *intersection kernel* from [Lal14] when $\theta = \pi$ and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. However, when $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is non-compact, then they are never the same; for instance, we have $K_{\delta}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(g, g) = \Omega(y)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ (Proposition 2.2). In particular, $K_{\delta}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is not a Hilbert–Schmit kernel, so the usual spectral theory does not apply. This is the main technical difficulty of dealing with the modular intersection kernel for non-compact quotients of \mathbb{H} . As it will be shown in the subsequent chapters, when both α_1 and α_2 are closed geodesics, $I_{0, \theta}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) / (l(\alpha_1) l(\alpha_2))$ is the integral of $\delta^{-2} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} / (l(\alpha_1) l(\alpha_2))$ over $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$. When α_1 and α_2 vary over closed geodesics of length $< L$, as $L \rightarrow \infty$, we expect that the integral converges to the integral of $\delta^{-2} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ over $\Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H} \times \Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$, since $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ becomes equidistributed in $\Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H} \times \Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$, as $L \rightarrow \infty$. However, unboundedness of the modular intersection kernel K causes issues of interchanging the limit and the integral. In particular, the argument of [PS06] using intersection form does not apply in this case. Hence, in order to prove Theorem 1.8, we study the full spectral expansion of $K_{\delta}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(g_1, g_2)$. This is similar to the existing work on the weight- m Selberg's trace formula [Hej76], except that we have to deal with all weights simultaneously, and that the modular

intersection kernel is not diagonalizable in general. We go over this carefully in Section 5. Once the spectral expansion is obtained, the integral of $\delta^{-2} K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ over $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ becomes a linear combination of the period integrals of the form

$$\int_{\alpha_1} \phi_1 ds \times \int_{\alpha_2} \phi_2 ds.$$

We may now use the same estimates that we use in order to prove the effective Duke's theorem to bound these, which leads to Theorem 1.8, generalizing [PS06] to a non-compact hyperbolic surface.

2. THE MODULAR INTERSECTION KERNEL

2.1. Parametrization. Recall that $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on \mathbb{H} and on $S\mathbb{H}$ with the fractional transformations. For $g \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and $u \in S\mathbb{H}$ we write these actions by gz and gu . We parameterize the points of \mathbb{H} and $S\mathbb{H}$ with $x + iy$ and $(x + iy, \exp(i\theta))$. Let

$$\Pi((x + iy, \exp(i\theta))) := x + iy,$$

be the projection map from $S\mathbb{H}$ to \mathbb{H} .

Fix $z_0 = i$ and $u_0 = (i, \exp(i\frac{\pi}{2}))$. Let $g = naR_\theta \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Iwasawa decomposition where

$$n = n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = a(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad R_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$gz_0 = x + iy$$

and

$$gu_0 = \left(x + iy, \exp\left(i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\theta\right)\right) \right).$$

For the rest of the paper, we identify $S\mathbb{H}$ with $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by sending $g \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ to gu_0 . We often use the following fact in our computation without mentioning.

Proposition 2.1. *The image under $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ of the geodesic segment of length δ corresponding to $g = (x, \xi)$ is the geodesic segment of length δ corresponding to γg .*

We use the volume form given by $dV = \frac{dx dy d\theta}{y^2}$. The volume of $S\mathbb{X}$ is then $\frac{\pi^2}{3}$.

2.2. Preliminary estimates. We first recall here the definition of the modular intersection kernel described in the introduction. For $\delta > 0$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, \pi)$, we define the integral kernel

$$k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2} : S\mathbb{H} \times S\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, \xi_2)) = 1,$$

if the geodesic segment of length δ on \mathbb{H} from x_1 with the initial vector ξ_1 and the segment from x_2 with the initial vector ξ_2 intersect at an angle $\in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, and 0 otherwise. Here the angle of the intersection of geodesic segments l_1 and l_2 at $p \in l_1 \cap l_2$ is measured counterclockwise from l_1 to l_2 . Under the identification $S\mathbb{H} \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ from §2.1, we note here that

$$k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(gg_1, gg_2) = k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, g_2)$$

for any $g, g_1, g_2 \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Now for a given discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, we define the modular intersection kernel $K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2} : \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by taking the average of $k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ over Γ :

$$K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, \gamma g_2).$$

Note that when Γ is co-compact, and $\delta > 0$ is less than a half of the injectivity radius of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, we have $K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2} \leq 1$. However, when $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is non-compact, $K_\delta^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, g_2)$ becomes arbitrarily large near the diagonal $g_1 = g_2$ as $y_1, y_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This is illustrated in the following proposition when $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 2.2. *Fix $0 < \theta < \pi$. Then for any $1 > \delta > 0$, we have*

$$K_\delta^{0, \theta}(g, g) = \Omega_\theta(\delta y).$$

Proof. Consider

$$g = \left(Re^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha(\delta))}, e^{i\alpha(\delta)} \right) \in S\mathbb{H},$$

where $\alpha(\delta)$ is chosen such that the geodesic segment

$$\beta_g := \{ Re^{i\theta} : |\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \alpha(\delta) \} \subset \mathbb{H}$$

has length δ . Note that the length of the segment does not depend on R and that $\alpha(\delta) \sim \delta$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. From this, we infer that β_g and $\beta_g + n$ with $0 < n \ll R\delta$ intersect.

The angle of intersection is explicitly given by $2 \arcsin \frac{n}{R}$. So for all sufficiently small $0 < \delta < \theta$, we have

$$k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} \left(g, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) = 1,$$

for $0 < n \ll R\delta$. This implies that

$$K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g, g) \gg \delta R \gg \delta y. \quad \square$$

In view of Proposition 2.2, the following proposition provides a nice upper bound of the modular intersection kernel.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $1 > \delta > 0$. Let h be a compactly supported function on $S\mathbb{H}$, where we assume that $h((\cdot, \xi))$ is supported in $B_{\delta}(i)$ for any $\xi \in S^1$. Define $H : \Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H} \times \Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$ by*

$$H(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $g_i = (z_i, \xi_i)$ with $\text{dist}_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}}(z_1, z_2) > 2\delta$, we have

$$H(g_1, g_2) = 0.$$

When $y_1 > 0$ and $y_2 > 0$ are sufficiently large, we have

$$H(g_1, g_2) \ll \delta \sqrt{y_1 y_2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Proof. If $H > 0$, then there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$h(g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2) > 0.$$

This implies that the balls of radius δ centered at z_1 and γz_2 intersect, hence $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{H}}(z_1, \gamma z_2) < 2\delta$, which contradicts the assumption.

Now to prove the second estimate, we first note that when y_2 is sufficiently large, we have $y(\gamma g_2) < 1$ unless $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore $h(g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2) > 0$ only if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $h(g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2) = 1$ holds only if $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{H}}(z_1, n + z_2) < 2\delta$. This is equivalent to

$$\text{arccosh} \left(1 + \frac{(n + x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}{y_1 y_2} \right) < 2\delta,$$

and so

$$(n + x_2 - x_1)^2 < y_1 y_2 (\cosh(2\delta) - 1) - (y_1 - y_2)^2 \leq y_1 y_2 (\cosh(2\delta) - 1),$$

from which we infer that there are at most $\ll \delta \sqrt{y_1 y_2}$ choices of γ which makes $h(g_1, \gamma g_2) > 0$. \square

Now we analyze the modular intersection kernel when one variable is assumed to be contained in a compact set. We first note that if δ is less than half of the injectivity radius of g_0 in $\Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$, then for each $g \in S\mathbb{H}$, there is at most one $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_0, \gamma g) \neq 0.$$

Therefore $K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_0, \cdot)$ coincides with $k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_0, \cdot)$ in the 2δ -neighborhood of g_0 , which is a translation of $k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), \cdot)$ around (i, i) .

Lemma 2.4. *For $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), g) dV = (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2.$$

Assume that $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon = o(\delta)$ and $\varepsilon = o(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$ there exist a smooth majorant $M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ and a smooth minorant $m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$, i.e.,

$$0 \leq m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} \leq k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), \cdot) \leq M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2},$$

such that

$$\int m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} dV \quad \text{and} \quad \int M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} dV$$

are both

$$(\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2 (1 + O(\varepsilon)),$$

and that

$$\|m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}\|_{W^{k, \infty}} + \|M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}\|_{W^{k, \infty}} = O_k(\varepsilon^{-k}).$$

Proof. Note that the action of the geodesic flow of time t on $S\mathbb{H} = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the multiplication from the right by $a(e^t)$. For given $\varphi \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, we describe the collection of $g \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ for which the corresponding geodesic segment of length δ intersects $\{iy : e^{\delta} > y > 1\}$ transversally at angle φ . Note that this happens only when

$$ga\left(e^{\frac{t_2}{2}}\right) = \begin{cases} a\left(e^{\frac{t_1}{2}}\right) R_{\frac{\varphi}{2}}, \\ a\left(e^{\frac{t_1}{2}}\right) R_{\frac{\varphi+\pi}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

for some $0 < t_1, t_2 < \delta$. Hence

$$g = \begin{cases} a\left(e^{\frac{t_1}{2}}\right) R_{\frac{\varphi}{2}} a\left(e^{-\frac{t_2}{2}}\right), \\ a\left(e^{\frac{t_1}{2}}\right) R_{\frac{\varphi+\pi}{2}} a\left(e^{-\frac{t_2}{2}}\right). \end{cases}$$

Consider $\Psi : AKA \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(t_1, \varphi, t_2) \mapsto a\left(e^{\frac{t_1}{2}}\right) R_{\frac{\varphi}{2}} a\left(e^{-\frac{t_2}{2}}\right)$$

The determinant of the Jacobian of Ψ is a nonzero multiple of $|\sin \varphi|$ (we refer the readers to Appendix A for the computation), and so this defines a local diffeomorphism away from $\varphi = 0$ and π . Observe that Ψ is injective away from $\varphi = 0$ and π . From this we infer that the support of $k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), g)$ is the image of the open box

$$\{(t_1, \varphi, t_2) : 0 < t_1, t_2 < \delta, \theta_1 < \varphi < \theta_2 \text{ or } \theta_1 + \pi < \varphi < \theta_2 + \pi\}$$

under Ψ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), g) dV &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} |\sin(\varphi)| d\varphi dt_1 dt_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} \int_{\theta_1+\pi}^{\theta_2+\pi} |\sin(\varphi)| d\varphi dt_1 dt_2 \\ &= (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $dV = \frac{1}{2} |\sin \varphi| d\varphi dt_1 dt_2$ ((A.1)).

Note that the support of $k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((i, i), \cdot)$ is an open set which has a piecewise smooth boundary. Therefore, under the assumption that $\varepsilon = o(\delta)$ and $\varepsilon = o(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, there exist smooth majorant and minorant whose L^1 norms are $(\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2 (1 + O(\varepsilon))$, and whose k -th derivatives are $O_k(\varepsilon^{-k})$. \square

As an immediate application, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Fix a compact subset $C \subset \Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$, and assume that δ is less than the half of the infimum of injectivity radius of $g \in C$ in $\Gamma \backslash S\mathbb{H}$. Then for any given compact geodesic segment $\beta \subset C$, and for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ which is $o(\delta)$ and $o(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$,*

$$\int_{\beta} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s, \cdot) ds$$

admits a smooth majorant $M_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}$ and a smooth minorant $m_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}$ such that

$$\|m_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}\|_{L^1}, \|M_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}\|_{L^1} = l(\beta) (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2 (1 + O(\varepsilon)),$$

and that

$$\|m_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}\|_{W^{k,\infty}} + \|M_{\beta,\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}\|_{W^{k,\infty}} = O_k(l(\beta) \varepsilon^{-k}).$$

2.3. Intersection numbers. In this section, we prove formulas relating the number of intersections between two geodesics to the integral of the modular intersection kernel over the two geodesics.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha_i = \{\alpha_i(t) : t \in [0, l(\alpha_i)]\}$ be closed geodesics in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ parameterized by the arc length, and let $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \{(\alpha_i(t), \alpha'_i(t)) : t \in [0, l(\alpha_i)]\} \subset S\mathbb{H}$ be the lifts of α_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$I_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2.$$

Remark 2.7. *For each α_i , there are two choices of parameterization by the arc length, namely $\alpha_i(t)$ and $\alpha_i(-t)$, but the integral does not depend on the choice of the parameterizations.*

Proof. By abuse of notations, we think of each α_i with $t \in [0, l(\alpha_i)]$ a geodesic segment in \mathbb{H} and accordingly $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ a corresponding curve in $S\mathbb{H}$. For a geodesic segment $\alpha \subset \mathbb{H}$ parameterized by $t \in [a, b]$, let $[\alpha] \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the bi-infinite geodesic $\{\alpha(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ that contains α . Then we express the integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma \tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\gamma \tilde{\alpha}_1} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma \widetilde{[\alpha_2]}} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]} \backslash \Gamma/\Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]}} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma' \gamma \widetilde{[\alpha_2]}} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]} \backslash \Gamma/\Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma \widetilde{[\alpha_2]}} \int_{\widetilde{[\alpha_1]}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Gamma_{[\alpha_i]}$ is the stabilizer subgroup of Γ with respect to $[\alpha_i]$.

Now because two geodesics in \mathbb{H} may intersect at most once, for each intersection point $p \in \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2$ on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, there exists a unique $\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}$ such that α_1 and $\gamma[\alpha_2]$ intersect at a lift of p . Also, because $[\alpha_1]$ is a disjoint union of $\gamma'\alpha_1$ with $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]}$, each $\{\gamma'\gamma : \gamma' \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]}\}$ contains at most one $\gamma'\gamma$ such that $\gamma'\gamma[\alpha_2]$ intersects α_1 .

Therefore the intersections of α_1 and α_2 are in one-to-one correspondence with $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\alpha_1]} \backslash \Gamma/\Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}$ such that $\gamma[\alpha_2]$ intersects $[\alpha_1]$. We complete the proof by observing that

$$\int_{\gamma \widetilde{[\alpha_2]}} \int_{\widetilde{[\alpha_1]}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = 1,$$

if $[\alpha_1]$ and $\gamma[\alpha_2]$ intersect at an angle $\in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, and $= 0$ otherwise. \square

Now let $\beta = \{\beta(t) : t \in [0, l(\beta)]\}$ be a compact geodesic segment in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and let α_2 be a closed geodesic as before. Then

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2$$

does not always give $I(\beta, \alpha_2)$. Instead, it is a weighted sum over the intersections of $\beta_0 := \{\beta(t) : t \in [0, l(\beta) + \delta]\}$ and α_2 . We prove the following.

Lemma 2.8. *With the same notations as above, assume that $0 < \delta < l(\beta)$ and that β_0 has no self intersection. For $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$, let $S(\beta_0, \alpha_2)_{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ be the set of intersections between β_0 and α_2 where the intersection angle is $\in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1,\theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \sum_{p \in S(\beta_0, \alpha_2)_{\theta_1, \theta_2}} \min \left\{ \frac{\beta^{-1}(p)}{\delta}, 1, \frac{l(\beta) + \delta - \beta^{-1}(p)}{\delta} \right\}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we first have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma \tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma / \Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma[\alpha_2]} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that because we assumed that β_0 has no self-intersection, $p \in S(\beta_0, \alpha_2)_{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\gamma \in \Gamma / \Gamma_{[\alpha_2]}$ such that β_0 and $\gamma[\alpha_2]$ intersect at p at an angle $\in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. We denote by γ_p the γ corresponding to p . Observe that

$$\int_{\gamma[\alpha_2]} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = 0,$$

if $\gamma[\alpha_2] \cap \beta_0 = \emptyset$. So it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\gamma_p[\alpha_2]} \int_{\tilde{\beta}} k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{\beta^{-1}(p)}{\delta}, 1, \frac{l(\beta) + \delta - \beta^{-1}(p)}{\delta} \right\}.$$

This follows by observing that $k_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}((\beta(t_1), \beta'(t_1)), (\gamma_p \alpha_2(t_2), (\gamma_p \alpha_2)'(t_2))) = 1$ for

$$(t_1, t_2) \in (\beta^{-1}(p) - \delta, \beta^{-1}(p)) \times (\alpha_2^{-1}(p) - \delta, \alpha_2^{-1}(p)),$$

and 0 otherwise, whereas the integral over $\tilde{\beta}$ is over the range $t_1 \in (0, l(\beta))$. \square

3. SPECTRAL THEORY

3.1. Spectral expansion. We first go over the spectral decomposition of $L^2(S\mathbb{X})$. Readers may find more details on the subject from [Kub73] and [Lan85]. On $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, there is a differential operator of order 2 that commutes with G action:

$$\Omega = y^2 \partial_x^2 + y^2 \partial_y^2 + y \partial_x \partial_\theta,$$

which is called the Casimir operator. An equivariant eigenfunctions of Ω is a function $f \in C^\infty(S\mathbb{X})$ that satisfies

$$\Omega f = \lambda f$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$f(gR_\theta) = e^{-im\theta} f(g) \tag{3.1}$$

for some $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. We say that a function has weight m if it satisfies (3.1).

Each irreducible (cuspidal) sub-representation of the right regular representation

$$\rho_g : f(h) \mapsto f(hg)$$

on $L^2(S\mathbb{X})$ is generated by an equivariant eigenfunction of Ω .

We let \mathbf{E}^+ and \mathbf{E}^- to be the raising and lowering operator acting on equivariant functions on $L^2(S\mathbb{X})$, which are given by [Jak94]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^+ &= e^{-2i\theta} (2iy\partial_x + 2y\partial_y + i\partial_\theta), \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{E}^- &= e^{2i\theta} (2iy\partial_x - 2y\partial_y + i\partial_\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that \mathbf{E}^+ (resp. \mathbf{E}^-) maps a weight m eigenfunction of Ω to a weight $m+2$ (resp. $m-2$) eigenfunction of Ω .

For an even integer m let

$$\psi_{s,m}(g) = y^s e^{-im\theta}.$$

Note that $\psi_{s,m}$ is invariant under the action of the unipotent upper triangular matrices. The weight- m Eisenstein series is then given by

$$E_m(g, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \psi_{s,m}(\gamma g),$$

where $\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is the stabilizer subgroup of Γ with respect to the cusp $i\infty$. Although the right-hand side of the equation is absolutely convergent only for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, the weight- m Eisenstein series has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane.

Let Θ be the closure of

$$\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) dt : h(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

in $L^2(S\mathbb{X})$, and let

$$L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X}) = \{ f \in L^2(S\mathbb{X}) : \int_0^1 f(n(x)g) dx = 0 \text{ for almost every } g \in S\mathbb{X} \}$$

be the space of cusp forms. Then we have the decomposition

$$L^2(S\mathbb{X}) = \langle \{1\} \rangle \oplus \Theta \oplus L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X}),$$

where $\langle \{1\} \rangle$ is the subspace spanned by a constant function.

We express the cuspidal subspace as a direct sum of subspaces generated by Maass forms and modular forms as in [LRS09, (1.10)],

$$L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_{\pi_j^0} \oplus \sum_{m \geq 12} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} (W_{\pi_j^m} \oplus W_{\pi_j^{-m}}),$$

where each $W_{\pi_j^m}$ corresponds to a G and Hecke irreducible subspace of a right regular representation on L_{cusp}^2 . Here d_m is the dimension of the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight m for $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Each π_j^0 corresponds to a Maass—Hecke cusp form which we denote by ϕ_j^0 . For $m > 0$, π_j^m corresponds to a holomorphic Hecke cusp form ϕ_j^m . We identify a weight m function on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$

$$f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^m f(z) \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \in \Gamma$$

with a weight m Γ -invariant function F on $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ via

$$F(g) = y^{\frac{m}{2}} f(z) e^{-im\theta}. \quad (3.3)$$

When $m \geq 0$, viewing ϕ_j^m as a function on $S\mathbb{X}$, each $W_{\pi_j^m}$ is spanned by

$$\dots, (\mathbf{E}^-)^3 \phi_j^m, (\mathbf{E}^-)^2 \phi_j^m, \mathbf{E}^- \phi_j^m, \phi_j^m, \mathbf{E}^+ \phi_j^m, (\mathbf{E}^+)^2 \phi_j^m, (\mathbf{E}^+)^3 \phi_j^m, \dots$$

Note that when $m > 0$, $\mathbf{E}^- \phi_j^m = 0$.

For $m < 0$, we set

$$W_{\pi_j^{-m}} = \overline{W_{\pi_j^m}} = \{ \bar{f} : f \in W_{\pi_j^m} \}.$$

Now let

$$U_{\pi_j^0} = W_{\pi_j^0}, \text{ and } U_{\pi_j^m} = W_{\pi_j^m} \oplus W_{\pi_j^{-m}},$$

when $m > 0$. We specify an orthonormal basis of each $U_{\pi_j^m}$ as follows.

The Maass cusp form case $m = 0$. Let $-(\frac{1}{4} + t_j^2)$ be the Laplacian eigenvalue of $\phi_j^{0\dagger}$, for some real t_j . We set $\phi_{j,0}^0 = \phi_j^0$, and define $\phi_{j,l}^0$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^- \phi_{j,l}^0 &= (l + 1 - 2it_j) \phi_{j,l-2}^0, \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{E}^+ \phi_{j,l}^0 &= (l + 1 + 2it_j) \phi_{j,l+2}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The holomorphic Hecke cusp form case $m > 0$. We set $\phi_{j,m}^m = \phi_j^m$ and $\phi_{j,-m}^m = \overline{\phi_j^m}$, and define $\phi_{j,l}^m$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^- \phi_{j,l}^m &= (l - m) \phi_{j,l-2}^m, \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{E}^+ \phi_{j,l}^m &= (l + m) \phi_{j,l+2}^m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

[†]Formally, it is the eigenvalue of the Laplace—Beltrami operator on \mathbb{X} that corresponds to ϕ_j^0 .

Finally, note that we have the following relation among the weight m Eisenstein series.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^- E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) &= (m+1-2it) E_{m-2} \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right), \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{E}^+ E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) &= (m+1+2it) E_{m+2} \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right). \end{aligned}$$

With these notations, we have

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in L^2(S\mathbb{X})$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(g) &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{S\mathbb{X}} f(g_1) dg_1 + \sum_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ 2|m}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \sum_{\substack{l \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |l| \geq m}} \langle f, \phi_{j,l}^m \rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \phi_{j,l}^m(g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle f, E_m \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right\rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $d_0 = +\infty$.

4. EFFECTIVE EQUIDISTRIBUTION

4.1. Invariant linear form. Define μ_d to be the integral over discriminant d oriented closed geodesics on $S\mathbb{X}$,

$$\mu_d(F) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_d} F(s) ds = \sum_{\text{disc}(q)=d} \int_{C(q)} F(s) ds.$$

where $C(q) \subset S\mathbb{X}$ is the oriented closed geodesic associated to the binary quadratic form q [LRS09, 2.3]. Then for any $F \in U_{\pi_j^m}$, we have

$$\mu_d(F) = \mu_d(\phi_j^m) \eta_j^m(F)$$

for some linear form η_j^m on $U_{\pi_j^m}$ invariant under the diagonal action [LRS09, §3.7.1], which we describe below following [LRS09, §3.2]. (Note that the parameter s in [LRS09] is replaced by $2it$ in this article for consistency.)

The Maass cusp form case $m = 0$. Let $\phi_{j,l}^0$ be the Maass form defined by (3.4). When $4|l$ and $l \geq 4$, we have

$$\eta_j^0(\phi_{j,l}^0) = \eta_j^0(\phi_{j,-l}^0) = \frac{(1-2it_j)(5-2it_j) \cdots (l-3-2it_j)}{(3+2it_j)(7+2it_j) \cdots (l-1+2it_j)}, \quad (4.1)$$

and $\eta_j^0(\phi_{j,l}^0)$ is identically 0 if $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Note that $\{\phi_{j,l}^0\}_{l \in 2\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthogonal basis of $U_{\pi_j^0}$, and normalized so that,

$$\|\phi_{j,l}^0\|_{L^2} = \|\phi_j^0\|_{L^2}.$$

The holomorphic Hecke cusp form case $m > 0$. Let $\phi_{j,l}^m$ be the holomorphic Hecke cusp form defined by (3.5). When $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, η_j^m is identically 0.

When $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, for $l \geq 4$ with $4|l$,

$$\eta_j^m(\phi_{j,m+l}^m) = \eta_j^m(\phi_{j,-m-l}^m) = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (\frac{l}{2} - 1)}{(m+1)(m+3) \cdots (m + \frac{l}{2} - 1)}, \quad (4.2)$$

and $\eta_j^m(\phi_{j,m+l}^m)$ vanishes for $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Note that $\{\phi_{j,l}^m\}_{l \in 2\mathbb{Z}, |l| \geq m}$ is an orthogonal basis of $U_{\pi_j^m}$, and normalized so that

$$\|\phi_{j,l}^m\|_{L^2} = \|\phi_j^m\|_{L^2}.$$

for $l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $|l| \geq m$.

Eisenstein series case. By the above identities and following [LRS09, Section 3], we have

$$\mu_d \left(E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right) = \eta(m, t) \mu_d \left(E_0 \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right),$$

where for $m \geq 4$ such that $4|m$,

$$\eta(m, t) = \eta(-m, t) = \frac{(1-2it)(5-2it)\cdots(2m-3-2it)}{(3+2it)(7+2it)\cdots(2m-1+2it)}, \quad (4.3)$$

and $\eta(m, t)$ is identically 0 if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

4.2. Period integrals.

4.2.1. Holomorphic cusp forms. In this section, we give an upper bound on the period integrals of holomorphic forms. We first use the results of Shintani to relate the period integrals of holomorphic cusp forms to the Fourier coefficients of half integral holomorphic forms. We then apply the result of Kohnen and Zagier [KZ81] which gives an explicit version of the Waldspurger's formula for the Fourier coefficients of half integral holomorphic forms. An upper bound on these period integrals is deduced by using the subconvexity bounds on the central value of the L -functions and the Ramanujan bound on the Fourier coefficients of holomorphic modular forms.

Note that $c(d)$ is identically zero when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and so we assume that $4|m$. Let $\hat{\phi}_j^m$ be a normalization of the Hecke holomorphic cusp form ϕ_j^m of weight m such that $a_1 = 1$. Let

$$c(d) := \sum_{\text{disc}(q)=d} \int_{C(q)} \hat{\phi}_j^m(z) q(z, 1)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} dz,$$

where $\hat{\phi}_j^m(z)$ is the associated holomorphic modular form defined on the upper half plane and the integration is on the upper half plane (3.3). By [LRS09, equation (2.4) p.14], we have

$$|c(d)| = |d|^{\frac{m}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} |\mu_d(\hat{\phi}_j^m)|. \quad (4.4)$$

Let

$$\theta(z, \hat{\phi}_j^m) := \sum_{d \geq 1} c(d) e(dz).$$

By [Shi75, Theorem 2], $\theta(z, \phi_j^m)$ is a Hecke holomorphic cusp form of weight $\frac{m+1}{2}$ and level $\Gamma_0(4)$. By [LRS09, (6.2), p.37], we have the following explicit version of Rallis inner product formula

$$\langle \theta(\hat{\phi}_j^m), \theta(\hat{\phi}_j^m) \rangle = \frac{(\frac{m}{2}-1)!}{2^m \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m\right) \langle \hat{\phi}_j^m, \hat{\phi}_j^m \rangle.$$

Suppose that $d = Db^2$ with D a fundamental discriminant. By [KZ81, Theorem 1], for D a fundamental discriminant with $D > 0$ and $4|m$, we have

$$\frac{c(D)^2}{\langle \theta(\hat{\phi}_j^m), \theta(\hat{\phi}_j^m) \rangle} = \frac{(\frac{m}{2}-1)!}{\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} D^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m \otimes \chi_D)}{\langle \hat{\phi}_j^m, \hat{\phi}_j^m \rangle},$$

which implies that

$$|c(D)| = D^{\frac{m-1}{4}} \frac{(\frac{m}{2}-1)!}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} \left(L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m \otimes \chi_D\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By using the Ramanujan bound on the Fourier coefficients of integral weight cusp forms and the above, we have

$$|c(d)| \ll_{\epsilon} b^{\frac{m-1}{2}+\epsilon} |c(D)| \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{m-1}{4}+\epsilon} \frac{(\frac{m}{2}-1)!}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} \left(L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m \otimes \chi_D\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and so

$$|\mu_d(\hat{\phi}_j^m)| \ll_{\epsilon} |d|^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \frac{(\frac{m}{2}-1)!}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} \left(L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m \otimes \chi_D\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

by (4.4).

We now use the convexity bound

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m\right) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon},$$

and the subconvexity bound [BHM07, Theorem 1]

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^m \otimes \chi_D\right) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{\frac{75+12\theta}{16}} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}(1-2\theta)+\epsilon},$$

where $\theta = \frac{7}{64}$ is the best exponent toward Ramanujan conjecture for Maass forms, to see that

$$|\mu_d(\hat{\phi}_j^m)| \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \frac{\left(\frac{m}{2}-1\right)!}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}} m^{2.64} D^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{25}{512}}.$$

It is well-known that

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_j^m, \hat{\phi}_j^m \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(m)}{(4\pi)^m} L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j^m)$$

up to a constant. Hence, by Stirling's approximation

$$|\mu_d(\phi_j^m)| \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} m^{2.9} D^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{25}{512}} \ll d^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{25}{512}+\epsilon} m^{2.9}. \quad (4.5)$$

4.2.2. Maass forms. In this section, we give an upper bound on the period integrals of Maass forms. We first recall some results of Katok and Sarnak [KS93] that generalize the work of Shintani [Shi75] to Maass forms and related the period integrals to the Fourier coefficients of half integral Maass forms. Then we use an explicit version of the Waldspurger formula [BM10] and give a non-trivial bound on these period integrals by using the subconvexity bound on the central value of the L -functions and the best bound toward Ramanujan conjecture for Maass forms.

Let ϕ_j^0 be a Hecke—Maass form with $\langle \phi_j^0, \phi_j^0 \rangle = 1$ and with the Laplacian eigenvalue $-\left(\frac{1}{4} + t_j^2\right)$. For $d > 0$, let

$$\rho(d) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} d^{\frac{3}{4}}}} \sum_{\text{disc}(q)=d} \int_{C(q)} \phi_j^0 ds$$

be the associated period integral, and let

$$\theta((u+iv), \phi_j^0) := \sum_{d \neq 0} \rho(d) W_{\frac{\text{sgn}(d)}{4}, \frac{it_j}{2}}(4\pi|d|v) e(du),$$

where $W_{\frac{\text{sgn}(d)}{4}, \frac{it_j}{2}}$ is the usual Whittaker function. Here $\rho(d)$ for $d < 0$ is the sum of ϕ_j^0 over the CM points with the discriminant d appropriately normalized (see [KS93, p.197] or [TS20, section 3.3] for a detailed discussion.)

Note from [KS93] that $\theta((u+iv), \phi_j^0)$ is a weight- $\frac{1}{2}$ Hecke—Maass form with the Laplacian eigenvalue $-\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{t_j^2}{4}\right)$. By [KS93, (5.6), p.224] or [LRS09, (6.4), p.38], we have the following version of the Rallis inner product formula

$$\langle \theta(\phi_j^0), \theta(\phi_j^0) \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0\right),$$

where

$$\Lambda(s, \phi_j^0) = \pi^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+it_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-it_j}{2}\right) L(s, \phi_j^0)$$

is the completed L -function.

By an explicit form of Waldspurger formula [BM10, Theorem 1.4], and the best exponent toward the Ramanujan conjecture [LRL20, Corollary 6.1], we have

$$\frac{\rho(d)}{\langle \theta(\phi_j^0), \theta(\phi_j^0) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll_{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|d|}} \left(\frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0 \otimes \chi_D\right)}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j^0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{7}{64}+\epsilon} |t_j|^{-\frac{\text{sgn}(d)}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi|t_j|}{4}},$$

where $d = Db^2$ with D a fundamental discriminant. Note from Stirling's formula that

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+it_j}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-it_j}{2}\right) \ll |t_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi|t_j|}{2}},$$

from which we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_d(\phi_j^0) &\ll d^{\frac{3}{4}} |\rho(d)| \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0 \otimes \chi_D\right)}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi_j^0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{7}{64} + \epsilon} |t_j|^{-\frac{\text{sgn}(d)}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi |t_j|}{4}} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0 \otimes \chi_D\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{7}{64} + \epsilon} |t_j|^{-\left(\frac{\text{sgn}(d)+1}{4}\right) + \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We now use the convexity bound,

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0\right) \ll_{\epsilon} |t_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon},$$

and the subconvexity bound [BHM07, Theorem 1],

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_j^0 \otimes \chi_D\right) \ll_{\epsilon} |t_j|^{\frac{31+4\theta+\epsilon}{16}} D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8}(1-2\theta) + \epsilon},$$

to conclude that

$$\mu_d(\phi_j^0) \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon} |t_j|^{\frac{3}{4}} b^{\frac{7}{64} + \epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{25}{512}} \ll d^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} |t_j|^{\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (4.6)$$

4.2.3. Eisenstein series. For a non-square integer $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, let $d = Db^2$ where D is a fundamental discriminant. Then we have the following explicit formula for the period integral of the Eisenstein series [Zag81, p.282][†]:

$$\mu_d(E_0(\cdot, s)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})^2 d^{\frac{s}{2}} L(s, d)}{\Gamma(s) \zeta(2s)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$L(s, d) = L(s, \chi_D) \left(\sum_{a|b} \mu(a) \left(\frac{D}{a} \right) a^{-s} \sigma_{1-2s} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right) \right). \quad (4.8)$$

Here $L(s, \chi_D)$ is the Dirichlet L -function attached to the quadratic Dirichlet character $\chi_D(\cdot) = \left(\frac{D}{\cdot} \right)$, $\mu(\cdot)$ is the Möbius function, and $\sigma_v(\cdot) = \sum_{a|\cdot} a^v$ is the divisor function.

Now assume that $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Stirling's formula, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})^2}{\Gamma(s)} \ll |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the zero free region of $\zeta(2s)$ around $2s = 1 + 2it$, we have

$$|\zeta(2s)| \gg_{\epsilon} t^{-\epsilon}.$$

We also have the convexity bound

$$\zeta(s) \ll |t|^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and we know from [HB80] that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_D\right) \ll_{\epsilon} ((|t| + 1) D)^{\frac{3}{16} + \epsilon}.$$

Finally, observe that we have

$$\sum_{a|b} \mu(a) \left(\frac{D}{a} \right) a^{-s} \sigma_{1-2s} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right) \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\epsilon}.$$

Combining all these estimates, we deduce the following estimate from (4.7) for $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$:

$$\mu_d(E_0(\cdot, s)) \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16} + \epsilon}. \quad (4.9)$$

[†]When $b = 1$, this is a classical result due to Hecke [Sie65, p.88].

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6. For any compactly supported smooth function $F \in C_0^\infty(S\mathbb{X})$, recall from Proposition 3.1 that we have

$$F(g) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{S\mathbb{X}} F(g_1) dg_1 + \sum_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ 2|m}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \sum_{\substack{l \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |l| \geq m}} \langle F, \phi_{j,l}^m \rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \phi_{j,l}^m(g) \\ + \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle F, E_m \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right\rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} E_m \left(g, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) dt,$$

and so from the discussion of Section 4.1, we have

$$\mu_d(F) = \mu_d \left(\frac{3}{\pi^2} \right) \int_{S\mathbb{X}} F(g) dg + \sum_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ 4|m}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \mu_d(\phi_j^m) \sum_{\substack{l \in 4\mathbb{Z} \\ |l| \geq m}} \langle F, \phi_{j,l}^m \rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \eta_j^m(\phi_{j,l}^m) \\ + \sum_{m \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle F, E_m \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right\rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \eta \left(m, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \mu_d \left(E_0 \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right) dt.$$

Firstly, we have from (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) that $\eta_j^m(\phi_{j,l}^m)$ and $\eta(m, \frac{1}{2} + it)$ are both $O(1)$. Note by successive integration by parts and Cauchy—Schwarz inequality, we have for all $N \geq 1$,

$$\langle F, \phi_{j,l}^m \rangle \ll_N (|l|^2 + 1)^{-N} \|F\|_{W^{2N,2}(S\mathbb{X})},$$

when $m > 0$, and

$$\langle F, \phi_{j,l}^0 \rangle \ll_N (|l|^2 + |t_j|^2 + 1)^{-N} \|F\|_{W^{2N,2}(S\mathbb{X})}.$$

Likewise, assuming that the support of F is contained in $y < T$, we have

$$\left\langle F, E_m \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right\rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \ll_N (|m|^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-N} \|F\|_{W^{2N,2}(S\mathbb{X})} \log T,$$

where we used [Kub73, (6.1.6)] and [Jak94, (1.6), (1.7)].

Now for $m > 0$, we take $N = 3$ and apply (4.5) to see that

$$\sum_{\substack{m > 0 \\ 4|m}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \mu_d(\phi_j^m) \sum_{\substack{l \in 4\mathbb{Z} \\ |l| \geq m}} \langle F, \phi_{j,l}^m \rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \eta_j^m(\phi_{j,l}^m) \ll_\epsilon d^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|F\|_{W^{6,2}(S\mathbb{X})},$$

and for $m = 0$, we take $N = 2$ and apply (4.6) to deduce

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_d(\phi_j^0) \sum_{l \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \langle F, \phi_{j,l}^0 \rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \eta_j^0(\phi_{j,l}^0) \ll_\epsilon d^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|F\|_{W^{4,2}(S\mathbb{X})}.$$

For the Eisenstein series contribution, we take $N = 2$ and apply (4.9) to see

$$\sum_{m \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle F, E_m \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right\rangle_{S\mathbb{X}} \eta \left(m, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \mu_d \left(E_0 \left(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right) dt \ll_\epsilon \log T d^{\frac{7}{16} + \epsilon} \|F\|_{W^{4,2}(S\mathbb{X})}.$$

Therefore Theorem 1.6 will follow once we establish the following lower bound for the total length of \mathcal{C}_d :

$$l(\mathcal{C}_d) = 2h(d) \log \epsilon_d \gg_\epsilon d^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon}. \quad (4.10)$$

To see this, let $d = Db^2$ where D is a fundamental discriminant. Then by Dirichlet class number formula [Dav67, p.50] for binary quadratic forms discriminant d (or by letting $s \rightarrow 1$ in (4.7)), we have

$$h(d) \log(\epsilon_d) = d^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1, d)$$

with the same $L(\cdot, d)$ given in (4.8), i.e.,

$$L(1, d) = L(1, \chi_D) \left(\sum_{a|b} \mu(a) \left(\frac{D}{a} \right) a^{-1} \sigma_{-1} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right) \right).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a|b} \mu(a) \left(\frac{D}{a} \right) a^{-1} \sigma_{-1} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right) &= \sum_{ca|b} \mu(a) \left(\frac{D}{a} \right) \frac{c}{b} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{e|b} e \prod_{p|e} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{p} \right) p^{-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $e = ac$, and that

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{e|b} e \prod_{p|e} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{p} \right) p^{-1} \right) \gg b^{-\epsilon}.$$

Now (4.10) follows by using Siegel's lower bound [Sie35]

$$L(1, \chi_D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{-\epsilon},$$

and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\beta : [0, l(\beta)] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a sufficiently short compact geodesic segment in the region determined by $y < T$ such that $\beta([-l(\beta), 2l(\beta)])$ has no self intersection. (We fix T for simplicity, but it is possible to vary T with d .) For $\delta = d^{-a}$ with $a > 0$ to be chosen later, such that $l(\beta) \gg \delta$, let

$$\beta_1 := \{\beta(t) : t \in [0, l(\beta) - \delta]\}$$

and

$$\beta_2 := \{\beta(t) : t \in [-\delta, l(\beta)]\}.$$

Then from Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_1} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \leq I^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, \alpha_2) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_1} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2$$

for any closed geodesic α_2 . Now define $f_1, f_2 \in C_0^{\infty}(S\mathbb{X})$ using Lemma 2.4 by

$$f_1(g) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_1} m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1^{-1}g) ds_1$$

and

$$f_2(g) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_2} M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1^{-1}g) ds_1,$$

with $\varepsilon = d^{-2a}$, where we assume that $\theta_2 - \theta_1 \gg d^{-a}$. Note that $m(g_1^{-1}g_2)$ and $M(g_1^{-1}g_2)$ are minorant and majorant of $K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(g_1, g_2)$ for $g_1 \in \beta_i$, $g_2 \in S\mathbb{X}$ for all sufficiently large d . Hence, for all sufficiently large d (independent of α_2), we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} f_1(s) ds \leq I^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, \alpha_2) \leq \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_2} f_2(s) ds,$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_d} f_1(s) ds \leq 2I^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\beta, C_d) \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_d} f_2(s) ds, \quad (4.11)$$

where the factor 2 amounts to the fact that \mathcal{C}_d is a double cover of C_d .

We now apply Theorem 1.6 to see that

$$\frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_d)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_d} f_i(s) ds = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{S\mathbb{X}} f_i(g) d\mu_g + O_{\epsilon} \left(d^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|f_i\|_{W^{6, \infty}} \right).$$

Because of the choice of f_1 and f_2 , we have

$$\|f_i\|_{W^{6, \infty}} \ll \varepsilon^{-6} l(\beta) \ll d^{12a} l(\beta),$$

and

$$\int_{S\mathbb{X}} f_i(g) d\mu_g = (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) (l(\beta) + O(\delta)) (1 + O(\varepsilon)) = (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) l(\beta) (1 + O(d^{-2a}))$$

by Lemma 2.4. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 for sufficiently short geodesic segments by choosing $a = \frac{25}{7168}$ and applying these estimates to (4.11). This then implies Theorem 1.1 for any geodesic

segment of length < 1 by dividing the segment into finitely many sufficiently short geodesic segments, and then applying Theorem 1.1 to each of them.

5. SELBERG'S PRE-TRACE FORMULA FOR $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Let $k \in C_0^\infty(PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$, and let K be the integral kernel on $S\mathbb{X}$ defined by

$$K(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k(g_1, \gamma g_2),$$

where $k(g_1, g_2) = k(g_1^{-1}g_2)$. The corresponding integral operator T_K acts on $f \in L^2(S\mathbb{X})$ by

$$T_K(f) := \int_{S\mathbb{X}} K(g_1, g_2) f(g_2) dg_2 = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(g_1^{-1}g_2) f(g_2) dg_2.$$

It follows that $T_K(f) \in L^2(S\mathbb{X})$. In this section, we study the spectral expansion of K in terms of the equivariant eigenfunctions of the Casimir operator, which are explicitly described in §3.1. In other words, we derive Selberg's pre-trace formula for $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

5.1. Cuspidal spectrum. In this section, we describe explicitly the spectrum of T_K acting on the cuspidal subspace $L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X})$. Let $R_g(f)(x) = f(xg)$ be the right regular action of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on

$$L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})) = L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X}).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $f \in W_\pi \subset L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X})$, we have*

$$T_K(f) \in W_\pi.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$T_K(f)(g_1) = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(g_1, g_2) f(g_2) dg_2 = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(g_1^{-1}g_2) f(g_2) dg_2 = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) f(g_1 u) du, \quad (5.1)$$

where $u = g_1^{-1}g_2$. Hence, we have

$$T_K(f) = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) R_u(f) du,$$

and because $R_u(f) \in W_\pi$ for every u , we conclude that $T_K(f) \in W_\pi$. \square

From (5.1), for an abstract irreducible unitary representation π of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in W_\pi$, we define the action of k on f by

$$k * f = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) \pi(u)(f) du,$$

which agrees with $T_K(f)$ when W_π is a subspace of $L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X})$.

Let $\psi : W_\pi \rightarrow W_{\pi'}$ be an isomorphism of representations π and π' . Note that for $f \in W_\pi$ and $f' \in W_{\pi'}$ with $\psi(f) = f'$, we have $\psi(k * f) = k * f'$. We denote by $\phi_m \in W_\pi$ the unique (up to a unit scalar) vector of norm 1 and weight m . We fix the unit scalar except for the spherical or the lowest weight vector, by using the normalized lowering and raising operator that we introduced in (3.4) and (3.5).

Now let

$$h(k, m, n, \pi) := \langle k * \phi_m, \phi_n \rangle, \quad (5.2)$$

and let $M_\pi(m, n)(g) = \langle \pi(g)\phi_m, \phi_n \rangle$ be the matrix coefficient of π . We note that $h(k, m, n, \pi)$ and $M_\pi(m, n)(g)$ do not depend on the choice of the unit scalar of the spherical or the lowest weight vector.

We recall some properties of $M_\pi(m, n)(g)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *We have for every $g \in PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$|M_\pi(m, n)(g)| \leq 1,$$

and

$$M_\pi(m, n)(R_{\theta'} g R_\theta) = e^{-im\theta} e^{-in\theta'} M_\pi(m, n)(g).$$

Proof. We have

$$1 = |\pi(g) \phi_m|^2 = \sum_n \langle \pi(g) \phi_m, \phi_n \rangle^2,$$

from which it is immediate that $|M_\pi(m, n)(g)| \leq 1$. For the second identity, we have

$$M_\pi(m, n)(R_{\theta'} g R_\theta) = \langle \pi(g) \pi(R_\theta) \phi_m, \pi(R_{-\theta'}) \phi_n \rangle = e^{-im\theta} e^{-in\theta'} M_\pi(m, n)(g). \quad \square$$

Define $k_{m,n} \in C_0^\infty(PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$k_{m,n}(g) := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(R_{\theta'} g R_\theta) e^{-in\theta' - im\theta} d\theta' d\theta. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that

$$k_{m,n}(R_{\theta_1} g R_{\theta_2}) = e^{in\theta_1} k_{m,n}(g) e^{im\theta_2}. \quad (5.4)$$

The following lemma holds for every unitary irreducible representation of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$h(k, m, n, \pi) = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(u) M_\pi(m, n)(u) du,$$

and for all non-negative integers N_1, N_2 , we have the following estimate

$$h(k, m, n, \pi) \ll_{N=N_1+N_2} (1 + |m|)^{-N_1} (1 + |n|)^{-N_2} \|k\|_{W^{N,1}}.$$

Proof. Recall from the definition that

$$h(k, m, n, \pi) = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) \langle \pi(u) \phi_m, \phi_n \rangle du = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) M_\pi(m, n)(u) du,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} h(k, m, n, \pi) &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u) M_\pi(m, n)(u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} \int_\theta \int_{\theta'} k(R_{\theta'} u R_\theta) M_\pi(m, n)(R_{\theta'} u R_\theta) d\theta d\theta' du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} M_\pi(m, n)(u) \int_\theta \int_{\theta'} k(R_{\theta'} u R_\theta) e^{-im\theta} e^{-in\theta'} d\theta d\theta' du \\ &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(u) M_\pi(m, n)(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(k, m, n, \pi) &\leq \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} |k_{m,n}(u)| du = \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_\theta \int_{\theta'} k(R_{\theta'} u R_\theta) e^{-im\theta} e^{-in\theta'} d\theta d\theta' \right| du \\ &\ll_N (1 + |m|)^{-N_1} (1 + |n|)^{-N_2} \|k\|_{W^{N,1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $|M_\pi(m, n)(u)| \leq 1$ from Lemma 5.2. This completes the proof of our lemma. \square

5.1.1. *Principal series representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.* For our application in the subsequent chapters, we need a refined estimate for $h(k, m, n, \pi)$ when π is a unitary principal series representation. We first give an explicit representation of $h(k, m, n, \pi)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let W_π be a unitary principal series representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ with the parameter t [Kna01, Chapter VII]. Let*

$$h(k, m, n, t) := \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(g) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{-im\theta} dg, \quad (5.5)$$

where $g = na(y)R_\theta$. Then we have

$$h(k, m, n, \pi) = h(k, m, n, t).$$

Proof. We note that principal series representations are induced from the unitary characters of the upper triangular matrices to $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ [Kna01, Chapter VII]. In this model, a dense subspace of a representation is given by

$$\{f : PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous} : f(xan) = e^{(it+\frac{1}{2})\log(a)} f(x)\}$$

with the norm

$$|f|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta} |f(R_{\theta})|^2 d\theta,$$

and the $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ action is given by

$$\pi(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x).$$

The weight- m unit vectors are explicitly given by

$$\phi_m(R_{\theta}a(y)n) = e^{im\theta}y^{-(\frac{1}{2}+it)}.$$

Note that the orthonormal basis $\{\phi_m\}$ is normalized as our convention in (3.4), i.e.,

$$\mathbf{E}^-\phi_m = (m+1-2it)\phi_{m-2}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{E}^+\phi_m = (m+1+2it)\phi_{m+2}.$$

With these, we first see that

$$\begin{aligned} k * \phi_m(R_{\theta'}) &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(u)y(u^{-1}R_{\theta'})^{-(\frac{1}{2}+it)} e^{im\theta(u^{-1}R_{\theta'})} du \\ &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(R_{\theta'}v^{-1})y(v)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+it)} e^{im\theta(v)} dv, \end{aligned}$$

where $v = u^{-1}R_{\theta'}$ and $v = R_{\theta(v)}a(y(v))n(v)$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} h(k, m, n, \pi) &= \langle k * f_m, f_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta'} k * f_m(R_{\theta'}) \bar{f}_n(R_{\theta'}) d\theta' \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta'} e^{-in\theta'} \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(R_{\theta'}v^{-1})y(v)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+it)} e^{im\theta(v)} dv d\theta' \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} \int_{\theta'} e^{-in\theta'} e^{-im\theta} k(R_{\theta'}w) d\theta' dw \\ &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(w) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{-im\theta} dw, \end{aligned}$$

where $w = v^{-1}$ and $w = na(y)R_{\theta}$. Note that $y = y(v)^{-1}$ and $\theta = -\theta(v)$. □

We now prove that $h(k, m, n, t)$ decays fast in all parameters uniformly.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that k is supported inside the compact subset $C \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have*

$$\int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(g) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{-im\theta} dg \ll_{N,C} (1+|m|)^{-N_1} (1+|n|)^{-N_2} (1+|t|)^{-N_3} \|k\|_{W^{N,\infty}}$$

for any $N_1, N_2, N_3 \geq 0$, where $N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$.

Proof. From the definition, we have

$$\int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k_{m,n}(g) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{-im\theta} dg = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(R_{\theta'_1}n(x)a(y)R_{\theta'_2}) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{-in\theta'_1-im\theta'_2} d\theta'_1 d\theta'_2 \frac{dx dy}{y^2},$$

and so the statement follows from integration by parts. □

5.2. Continuous spectrum. For $k_{m,n}$ given by (5.3), let

$$K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_{m,n}(g_1^{-1}\gamma g_2). \quad (5.6)$$

Then we infer from (5.4) that

$$K_{m,n}(g_1 R_{\theta_1}, g_2 R_{\theta_2}) = e^{-in\theta_1} K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) e^{im\theta_2},$$

and so it defines an integral operator that maps weight m -forms to weight n -forms. Denote by $S^m \subset L^2(\Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ the space of weight m forms and by S_{cusp}^m the space of weight m forms in $L_{\text{cusp}}^2(S\mathbb{X})$. We first recall the following result regarding the decomposition of $K_{m,m}$.

Theorem 5.6 ([Hej76]). *The integral kernel*

$$K_{m,m}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, m, t) E_m\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt$$

defines a compact operator $S_{\text{cusp}}^m \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^m$ that acts trivially on Θ . (Here $h(k, m, m, t)$ is given by (5.5).)

We define \mathbf{E}^a to be $(\mathbf{E}^+)^a$ if $a > 0$, and $(\mathbf{E}^-)^{|a|}$ if $a < 0$. We have

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}^a} = (-\mathbf{E})^{-a},$$

which follows directly from (3.2). Let $c_{m,n}$ be given by

$$\mathbf{E}^{n-m} E_m(g, s) = c_{m,n}(s) E_n(g, s).$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{E}^{n-m} y^s e^{-im\theta} = c_{m,n}(s) y^s e^{-in\theta},$$

and that

$$\overline{c_{m,n}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)} = c_{n,m}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \quad (5.7)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.7. *For $m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt$$

defines a compact operator $S_{\text{cusp}}^m \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^n$ that acts trivially on Θ .

Proof. Note that

$$\int \mathbf{E}_{g_2}^{m-n} (K(g_1, g_2) f(g_2)) dg_2 = 0$$

for every g_1 , $m \neq n$, and $f \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence

$$T_K \mathbf{E}^{m-n} : C_0^\infty(\Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$$

is an integral operator with the integral kernel

$$K'(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k'(g_1^{-1}\gamma g_2),$$

where

$$k'(g) = (-\mathbf{E})^{m-n} k(g) = \overline{\mathbf{E}^{n-m}} k(g).$$

Then by Theorem 5.6, we see that

$$K''(g_1, g_2) = K'_{n,n}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k', n, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_n\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt$$

defines a compact operator $T_{K''} : S_{\text{cusp}}^n \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^n$ that acts trivially on Θ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k', n, n, t) E_n \left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \overline{E_n \left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it \right)} dt \\ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(k', n, n, t)}{c_{m,n} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right)} E_n \left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \overline{\mathbf{E}^{n-m} E_m \left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it \right)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K'''(g_1, g_2) := K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(k', n, n, t)}{c_{m,n} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right)} E_n \left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \overline{E_m \left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it \right)} dt.$$

Note that

$$T_{K''} = T_{K'''} \circ \mathbf{E}^{m-n}.$$

Firstly, since \mathbf{E}^{m-n} does not annihilate the Eisenstein series, $T_{K'''}$ acts trivially on Θ .

If $m > n \geq 0$ or $m < n \leq 0$, then as a map $S_{\text{cusp}}^n \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^m$, $\ker(\mathbf{E}^{m-n})$ is empty, and we may decompose S_{cusp}^m as

$$S_{\text{cusp}}^m = \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}^{m-n}) \oplus R,$$

where R is a finite dimensional subspace of S_{cusp}^m spanned by modular forms of weight $> n$ and their images under raising operators in S_{cusp}^m . Note that

$$(\mathbf{E}^{m-n})^{-1} : \text{Im}(\mathbf{E}^{m-n}) \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^n$$

is a bounded operator, hence

$$T_{K'''}|_{\text{Im}(\mathbf{E}^{m-n})} = T_{K''} \circ (\mathbf{E}^{m-n})^{-1}$$

is a compact operator. This implies that $T_{K'''}$ is a direct sum of a compact operator and finite dimensional linear operator, which is a compact operator.

If $n > m \geq 0$ or $n < m \leq 0$, then $\mathbf{E}^{m-n} : S_{\text{cusp}}^n \rightarrow S_{\text{cusp}}^m$ is surjective, and so we may define a bounded operator

$$(\mathbf{E}^{m-n})^{-1} : S_m \rightarrow (\ker(\mathbf{E}^{m-n}))^\perp$$

from which it follows that

$$T_{K'''} = T_{K''} \circ (\mathbf{E}^{m-n})^{-1}$$

is a compact operator.

If $n > 0 > m$ or $m > 0 > n$, then we further decompose $T_{K''}$ to

$$S_{\text{cusp}}^n \xrightarrow{\mathbf{E}^{-n}} S_{\text{cusp}}^0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{E}^m} S_{\text{cusp}}^m \xrightarrow{T_{K'''}} S_{\text{cusp}}^n,$$

and then combine the above arguments to see that $T_{K''}$ is a compact operator.

Finally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} h(k', n, n, t) &= \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} \left(\overline{\mathbf{E}^{n-m} k}(g) \right) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{in\theta} dg \\ &= c_{n,m} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \int_{PSL_2(\mathbb{R})} k(g) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} e^{im\theta} dg, \end{aligned}$$

and we complete the proof using (5.7). □

5.3. General case. We are now ready to describe Selberg's pre-trace formula for $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 5.8. *For $k \in C_0^\infty(PSL_2(\mathbb{R}))$, let K be the integral kernel on $S\mathbb{X}$ defined by*

$$K(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k(g_1, \gamma g_2).$$

Then we have

$$K(g_1, g_2) = \frac{9}{\pi^4} \iint K(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2 + \sum_{\substack{e \geq 0 \\ 2|e}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \phi_{j,n}^e(g_1) \overline{\phi_{j,m}^e(g_2)} \\ + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt,$$

where π_j^e is the irreducible unitary representation of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ associated to ϕ_j^e .

Proof. We first note from (5.3) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(R_{\theta'_1} g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2 R_{\theta'_2}) e^{-in\theta'_1 - im\theta'_2} d\theta'_1 d\theta'_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k(R_{-\theta'_1} g_1^{-1} \gamma g_2 R_{\theta'_2}) e^{in\theta'_1 - im\theta'_2} d\theta'_1 d\theta'_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K(g_1 R_{\theta'_1}, g_2 R_{\theta'_2}) e^{in\theta'_1 - im\theta'_2} d\theta'_1 d\theta'_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K((x_1, y_1, \theta'_1), (x_2, y_2, \theta'_2)) e^{in\theta'_1 - im\theta'_2} d\theta'_1 d\theta'_2 e^{-in\theta_1 + im\theta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the Fourier expansion of K ,

$$K(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{n, m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2),$$

where the summation is uniform for g_1 and g_2 in compacta.

We infer from Theorem 5.7 that

$$K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt$$

defines a compact operator acting on L_{cusp} that acts trivially on Θ . Because it only acts non-trivially on weight m forms, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt \\ = \frac{9}{\pi^4} \iint K_{m,n}(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2 + \sum_{\substack{e \geq 0 \\ 2|e}}^{\min\{|m|, |n|\}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \phi_{j,n}^e(g_1) \overline{\phi_{j,m}^e(g_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.2), and the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt$$

acts trivially on L_{cusp}^2 . Note that the integral on the right-hand side of the equation vanishes unless $m = n = 0$, in which case it is identical to

$$\frac{9}{\pi^4} \iint K(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2. \quad \square$$

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.8. We now present a proof of Theorem 1.8. Recall from Theorem 5.8 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(g_1, g_2) &= \frac{9}{\pi^4} \iint K(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2 + \sum_{\substack{e \geq 0 \\ 2|e}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \phi_{j,n}^e(g_1) \overline{\phi_{j,m}^e(g_2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) E_n\left(g_1, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{E_m\left(g_2, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = M + D + \frac{1}{4\pi} E,$$

where

$$M = \frac{9}{\pi^4} \iint K(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{\substack{e \geq 0 \\ 2|e}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \frac{\mu_{d_1}(\phi_{j,n}^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(\phi_{j,m}^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{e \geq 0 \\ 4|e}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} \frac{\mu_{d_1}(\phi_j^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(\phi_j^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \eta_j^e(\phi_{j,n}^e) \overline{\eta_j^e(\phi_{j,m}^e)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \sum_{m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) \frac{\mu_{d_1}(E_n(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(E_m(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} dt \\ &= \sum_{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) \frac{\mu_{d_1}(E_0(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(E_0(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} \eta\left(n, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{\eta\left(m, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For D with $e > 0$, we use (4.2), (4.5), Lemma 5.3 with $N_1 = N_2 = 5$, and (4.10) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{e > 0 \\ 4|e}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_e} \frac{\mu_{d_1}(\phi_j^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(\phi_j^e)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^e) \eta_j^e(\phi_{j,n}^e) \overline{\eta_j^e(\phi_{j,m}^e)} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{e > 0 \\ 4|e}} e^{6.8} (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z} \\ |m|, |n| \geq e}} |m|^{-5} |n|^{-5} \|k\|_{W^{10, \infty}} \\ &\ll (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|k\|_{W^{10, \infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

For D with $e = 0$, we use (4.1), (4.6), Lemma 5.5 with $N_1 = N_2 = 2$ and $N_3 = 4$, and (4.10) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{d_1}(\phi_j^0)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \overline{\frac{\mu_{d_2}(\phi_j^0)}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})}} \sum_{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z}} h(k, m, n, \pi_j^0) \eta_j^0(\phi_{j,n}^0) \overline{\eta_j^0(\phi_{j,m}^0)} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} |t_j|^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{m, n \in 4\mathbb{Z}} (1 + |m|)^{-2} (1 + |n|)^{-2} (1 + |t_j|)^{-4} \|k\|_{W^{8, \infty}} \\ &\ll (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|k\|_{W^{8, \infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

For E , we use (4.3), (4.9), Lemma 5.5 with $N_1 = N_2 = 2$ and $N_3 = 3$, and (4.10) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(k, m, n, t) \frac{\mu_{d_1}(E_0(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})} \frac{\overline{\mu_{d_2}(E_0(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + it))}}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \eta\left(n, \frac{1}{2} + it\right) \overline{\eta\left(m, \frac{1}{2} + it\right)} dt \\ & \ll_{\epsilon} \sum_{m,n \in 4\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{1}{16} + \epsilon} (1 + |m|)^{-2} (1 + |n|)^{-2} (|t| + 1)^{-2} \|k\|_{W^{7,\infty}} dt \\ & \ll (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{1}{16} + \epsilon} \|k\|_{W^{7,\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$\iint K(g_1, g_2) dg_1 dg_2 = \int_{S\mathbb{X}} \int_{S\mathbb{H}} k(g_1^{-1} g_2) dg_2 dg_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \int_{S\mathbb{H}} k(g) dg,$$

and so

$$M = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{S\mathbb{H}} k(g) dg.$$

So far, we proved the following:

Theorem 5.9. *For any $k \in C_0^\infty(S\mathbb{H})$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{S\mathbb{H}} k(g) dg + O_{\epsilon}\left((d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \|k\|_{W^{10,\infty}}\right).$$

Remark 5.10. *Note that this is not the same as equidistribution of $\mathcal{C}_{d_1} \times \mathcal{C}_{d_2}$ in $S\mathbb{X} \times S\mathbb{X}$. For instance, if we replace K with any compactly supported smooth function in $S\mathbb{X} \times S\mathbb{X}$, then the equality may not hold when d_1 is fixed and d_2 tends to ∞ .*

In order to prove Theorem 1.8, we make specific choices of k in Theorem 5.9. We let K_1 and K_2 to be the kernel corresponding to $k = m_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ and $k = M_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ defined in Lemma 2.4, respectively. Then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K_1(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 & \leq \frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K_2(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2, \end{aligned}$$

while we know from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K_{\delta}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = 4\delta^2 I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(C_{d_1}, C_{d_2}).$$

We now apply Theorem 5.9 and Lemma 2.4 to see that

$$\frac{1}{l(\mathcal{C}_{d_1})l(\mathcal{C}_{d_2})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{d_1}} K_i(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{3}{\pi^2} (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) \delta^2 (1 + O(\epsilon)) + O_{\epsilon}\left((d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \epsilon^{-10}\right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{I_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(C_{d_1}, C_{d_2})}{l(C_{d_1})l(C_{d_2})} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) (1 + O(\delta^2)) (1 + O(\epsilon)) + O_{\epsilon}\left((d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{512} + \epsilon} \epsilon^{-10} \delta^{-2}\right),$$

and by choosing $\delta^2 = \epsilon = (d_1 d_2)^{-\frac{25}{6144}}$, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.8.

REFERENCES

- [BHM07] V. Blomer, G. Harcos, and P. Michel. A Burgess-like subconvex bound for twisted L -functions. *Forum Math.*, 19(1):61–105, 2007. Appendix 2 by Z. Mao.
- [BM10] Ehud Moshe Baruch and Zhengyu Mao. A generalized Kohnen-Zagier formula for Maass forms. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), 82(1):1–16, 2010.
- [Bon86] Francis Bonahon. Bouts des variétés hyperboliques de dimension 3. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 124(1):71–158, 1986.
- [Dav67] Harold Davenport. *Multiplicative number theory*, volume 1966 of *Lectures given at the University of Michigan, Winter Term*. Markham Publishing Co., Chicago, Ill., 1967.
- [Duk88] W. Duke. Hyperbolic distribution problems and half-integral weight Maass forms. *Invent. Math.*, 92(1):73–90, 1988.
- [DV21] Henri Darmon and Jan Vonk. Arithmetic intersections of modular geodesics. *Journal of Number Theory*, 2021.

- [HB80] D. R. Heath-Brown. Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L -functions. II. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 31(122):157–167, 1980.
- [Hej76] Dennis A. Hejhal. *The Selberg trace formula for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)$. Vol. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 548. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [HJ15] Yoe Alexander Herrera Jaramillo. Intersection numbers of geodesic arcs. *Rev. Colombiana Mat.*, 49(2):307–319, 2015.
- [Jak94] Dmitry Jakobson. Quantum unique ergodicity for Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 44(5):1477–1504, 1994.
- [JR21] Junehyuk Jung and Alan W. Reid. Embedding closed totally geodesic surfaces in Bianchi orbifolds. *to appear Math. Res. Lett.*, 2021.
- [Kna01] Anthony W. Kna. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original.
- [KS93] Svetlana Katok and Peter Sarnak. Heegner points, cycles and Maass forms. *Israel J. Math.*, 84(1-2):193–227, 1993.
- [Kub73] Tomio Kubota. *Elementary theory of Eisenstein series*. Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1973.
- [KZ81] W. Kohnen and D. Zagier. Values of L -series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip. *Invent. Math.*, 64(2):175–198, 1981.
- [Lal14] Steven P. Lalley. Statistical regularities of self-intersection counts for geodesics on negatively curved surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(6):1191–1261, 2014.
- [Lan85] Serge Lang. $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, volume 105 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. Reprint of the 1975 edition.
- [LR120] Stephen Lester and Maksym Radziwiłł. Quantum unique ergodicity for half-integral weight automorphic forms. *Duke Math. J.*, 169(2):279–351, 2020.
- [LRS09] Wenzhi Luo, Zeév Rudnick, and Peter Sarnak. The variance of arithmetic measures associated to closed geodesics on the modular surface. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3(2):271–309, 2009.
- [PS06] Mark Pollicott and Richard Sharp. Angular self-intersections for closed geodesics on surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(2):419–426, 2006.
- [Ric19] James Rickards. Intersections of closed geodesics on the modular curve. *arXiv:1909.04103*, 2019.
- [Riv01] Igor Rivin. Simple curves on surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 87(1-3):345–360, 2001.
- [Sar80] Peter Clive Sarnak. *PRIME GEODESIC THEOREMS*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1980. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.
- [Sar82] Peter Sarnak. Class numbers of indefinite binary quadratic forms. *J. Number Theory*, 15(2):229–247, 1982.
- [Shi75] Takuro Shintani. On construction of holomorphic cusp forms of half integral weight. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 58:83–126, 1975.
- [Sie35] C. L. Siegel. Über die Classenzahl quadratischer Zahlkörper. *Acta Arith.*, 1:83–86, 1935.
- [Sie65] Carl Ludwig Siegel. *Lectures on advanced analytic number theory*. Notes by S. Raghavan. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, No. 23. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1965.
- [TS20] Naser Talebizadeh Sardari. The least prime number represented by a binary quadratic form. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2020.
- [Zag81] D. Zagier. Eisenstein series and the Riemann zeta function. In *Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979)*, volume 10 of *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math.*, pages 275–301. Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981.

APPENDIX A. JACOBIAN COMPUTATION

Recall that $\Psi : AKA \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$(t_1, \varphi, t_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t_1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} R_{\frac{\varphi}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{t_2}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{t_2}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t_1-t_2}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} & -e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \\ e^{\frac{-t_1-t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} & e^{\frac{t_2-t_1}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this section, we compute the pullback of $dV = \frac{dx dy d\theta}{y^2}$ under Ψ . We start with the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t_1-t_2}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} & -e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \\ e^{\frac{-t_1-t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} & e^{\frac{t_2-t_1}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} \end{pmatrix} = n(x) a(y) R_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{y}} & \frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{y}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By comparing the image of $i \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$x + iy = \frac{e^{\frac{t_1-t_2}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} i - e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}{e^{\frac{-t_1-t_2}{2}} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} i + e^{\frac{t_2-t_1}{2}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}},$$

and for simplicity, we write this as $\frac{A}{B}$. By comparing the second row of each matrix, we have

$$\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{y}} = B.$$

From a quick computation, we see that

$$A_{t_1} = \frac{A}{2}, \quad B_{t_1} = -\frac{B}{2}, \quad A_{t_2} = \frac{\bar{A}}{2}, \quad B_{t_2} = \frac{\bar{B}}{2}, \quad A_\varphi = -\frac{e^{t_1}}{2}B, \quad B_\varphi = \frac{e^{-t_1}}{2}A, \quad \text{Im}A\bar{B} = 1, \quad y = \frac{1}{|B|^2}.$$

We use these to express the Jacobian matrix in terms of A and B as follows

$$\frac{\partial(x, y, \theta)}{\partial(t_1, t_2, \varphi)} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}\frac{A}{B} & \text{Im}\frac{1}{B^2} & \text{Re}\left(-\frac{e^{t_1}}{2} - \frac{e^{-t_1}}{2}\frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) \\ \text{Im}\frac{A}{B} & -\text{Re}\frac{1}{B^2} & \text{Im}\left(-\frac{e^{t_1}}{2} - \frac{e^{-t_1}}{2}\frac{A^2}{B^2}\right) \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\text{Im}\frac{\bar{B}}{B} & \frac{e^{-t_1}}{2|B|^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{y^2} \left| \frac{\partial(x, y, \theta)}{\partial(t_1, t_2, \varphi)} \right| &= |B|^4 \left| \frac{\partial(x, y, \theta)}{\partial(t_1, t_2, \varphi)} \right| \\ &= \left| -\frac{1}{2}e^{-t_1}\text{Re}\left(\frac{\bar{A}}{B}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\text{Im}\left(\bar{B}^2\right)\text{Im}\left(\bar{A}B\left(e^{t_1} + e^{-t_1}\frac{A^2}{B^2}\right)\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{t_1}}{2}\text{Im}\left(B^2\right) + \frac{e^{-t_1}}{4|B|^2}\left(-2\text{Re}(AB) - |A|^2\text{Im}\left(B^2\right)\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the definition of A and B to compute each term explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Re}(AB) &= -(e^{t_2} + e^{-t_1})\sin\varphi \\ e^{t_1}\text{Im}(B^2) &= \sin\varphi \\ e^{-t_1}|A|^2 &= e^{t_2}\sin^2\frac{\varphi}{2} + e^{-t_2}\cos^2\frac{\varphi}{2} \\ e^{t_1}|B|^2 &= e^{-t_2}\sin^2\frac{\varphi}{2} + e^{t_2}\cos^2\frac{\varphi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{y^2} \left| \frac{\partial(x, y, \theta)}{\partial(t_1, t_2, \varphi)} \right| = \frac{1}{2}|\sin\varphi|.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$dV = \frac{1}{2}|\sin\varphi|dt_1dt_2d\varphi. \tag{A.1}$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912 USA
Email address: junehyuk_jung@brown.edu

PENN STATE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCALLISTER BUILDING, POLLOCK RD, STATE COLLEGE, PA 16802 USA
Email address: nzt5208@psu.edu