

Non self-adjoint correct restrictions and extensions with real spectrum

B.N. Biyarov, Z.A. Zakarieva, G.K. Abdrasheva

December 9, 2021

Key words: maximal (minimal) operator, correct restriction, correct extension, real spectrum, non self-adjoint operator.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B25, 47A55.

Abstract. The work is devoted to the study of the similarity of a correct restriction to some self-adjoint operator in the case when the minimal operator is symmetric. The resulting theorem was applied to the Sturm-Liouville operator and the Laplace operator. It is shown that the spectrum of a non self-adjoint singularly perturbed operator is real and the corresponding system of eigenvectors forms a Riesz basis.

1 Introduction

Let a linear operator L be given in a Hilbert space H . The linear equation

$$Lu = f \tag{1.1}$$

is said to be *correctly solvable* on $R(L)$ if $\|u\| \leq C\|Lu\|$ for all $u \in D(L)$ (where $C > 0$ does not depend on u) and *everywhere solvable* if $R(L) = H$. If (1.1) is simultaneously correct and solvable everywhere, then we say that L is a *correct operator*. A correctly solvable operator L_0 is said to be *minimal* if $\overline{R(L_0)} \neq H$. A closed operator \widehat{L} is called a *maximal operator* if $R(\widehat{L}) = H$ and $\text{Ker } \widehat{L} \neq \{0\}$. An operator A is called a *restriction* of an operator B and B is said to be an *extension* of A if $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $Au = Bu$ for all $u \in D(A)$.

Note that if a correct restriction L of a maximal operator \widehat{L} is known, then the inverses of all correct restrictions of \widehat{L} have in the form [1]

$$L_K^{-1}f = L^{-1}f + Kf, \tag{1.2}$$

where K is an arbitrary bounded linear operator from H into $\text{Ker } \widehat{L}$.

Let L_0 be some minimal operator, and let M_0 be another minimal operator related to L_0 by the equation $(L_0u, v) = (u, M_0v)$ for all $u \in D(L_0)$ and $v \in D(M_0)$. Then

$\widehat{L} = M_0^*$ and $\widehat{M} = L_0^*$ are maximal operators such that $L_0 \subset \widehat{L}$ and $M_0 \subset \widehat{M}$. A correct restriction L of a maximal operator \widehat{L} such that L is simultaneously a correct extension of the minimal operator L_0 is called a *boundary correct extension*. The existence of at least one boundary correct extension L was proved by Vishik in [2], that is, $L_0 \subset L \subset \widehat{L}$.

The inverse operators to all possible correct restrictions L_K of the maximal operator \widehat{L} have the form (1.2), then $D(L_K)$ is dense in H if and only if $\text{Ker}(I + K^*L^*) = \{0\}$. All possible correct extensions M_K of M_0 have inverses of the form

$$M_K^{-1}f = (L_K^*)^{-1}f = (L^*)^{-1}f + K^*f,$$

where K is an arbitrary bounded linear operator in H with $R(K) \subset \text{Ker } \widehat{L}$ such that

$$\text{Ker}(I + K^*L^*) = \{0\}.$$

Lemma 1.1 (Hamburger [3, p.269]). *Let A be a bounded linear transformation in H and N a linear manifold. If we write $A(N) = M$ then*

$$A^*(M^\perp) = N^\perp \cap R(A^*).$$

Proposition 1.1 ([4, p.1863]). *A correct restrictions L_K of the maximal operator \widehat{L} are correct extensions of the minimal operator L_0 if and only if $R(K) \subset \text{Ker } \widehat{L}$ and $R(M_0) \subset \text{Ker } K^*$.*

The main result of this work is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let L_0 be symmetric minimal operator in a Hilbert space H , L be self-adjoint correct extension of the L_0 , and L_K be correct restriction of the maximal operator \widehat{L} ($\widehat{L} = L_0^*$). If*

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L), \quad I + KL \geq 0,$$

and $I + KL$ is invertible, where L and K are the operators in representation (1.2), then L_K similar to a self-adjoint operator.

Corollary 1.1. *If K satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, then the spectrum of L_K is real, that is, $\sigma(L_K) \subset \mathbb{R}$.*

Corollary 1.2. *If K satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and L^{-1} is the compact operator, then the system of eigenvectors of L_K forms a Riesz basis in H .*

Corollary 1.3. *The results of Theorem 1.2 are also valid if conditions “ $I + KL \geq 0$ and $I + KL$ is invertible” replace to condition “ $KL \geq 0$ ”.*

Corollary 1.4. *The results of Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.1-1.3 are also valid for the L_K^* .*

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some results for correct restrictions and extensions which are used in Section 3.

If A is bounded linear transformation from a complex Hilbert space H into itself, then the numerical range of A is by definition the set

$$W(A) = \{(Ax, x) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

It is well known and easy to prove that if $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of A , then

$$\sigma_p(A) \subset W(A), \quad \sigma(A) \subset \overline{W(A)},$$

for the point spectrum $\sigma_p(A)$ and the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A , where the bar indicates closure. The numerical range of an unbounded operator A in a Hilbert space H is defined as

$$W(A) = \{(Ax, x) : x \in D(A), \|x\| = 1\},$$

and similarly to the bounded case, $W(A)$ is convex and satisfies $\sigma_p(A) \subset W(A)$. In general, the conclusion $\sigma(A) \subset \overline{W(A)}$ does not surely hold for unbounded operators A (see [5]).

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 2 in [6, p. 181]). *The following are equivalent conditions on an operator T :*

- (1) T is similar to a self-adjoint operator.
- (2) $T = PA$, where P is positive and invertible and A is self-adjoint.
- (3) $S^{-1}TS = T^*$ and $0 \notin \overline{W(S)}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 1 in [7, p. 215]). *Let A and B operators on the complex Hilbert space H . If $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ then*

$$\sigma(A^{-1}B) \subset \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}.$$

Corollary 2.1 (Corollary in [7, p. 218]). *If $A > 0$, $B \geq 0$ and $C = C^*$, then $\sigma(AB)$ is positive and $\sigma(AC)$ is real.*

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem A in [8, p. 508]). *The numerical range $W(T)$ of T is convex and $W(aT + b) = aW(T) + b$ for all complex numbers a and b .*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We transform (1.2) to the form

$$L_K^{-1} = L^{-1} + K = (I + KL)L^{-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then L_K is defined as the restriction of the maximal operator \widehat{L} on the domain

$$D(L_K) = \{u \in D(\widehat{L}) : (I - K\widehat{L})u \in D(L)\}.$$

Now let us prove Theorem 1.2. It was proved in [9, p. 27] that KL is bounded on $D(L)$ (that is, $\overline{KL} \in B(H)$) if and only if

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*).$$

It follows from $\overline{D(L)} = H$ that \overline{KL} is bounded on H . In the future, instead of \overline{KL} , we will write KL . Then, by virtue of Theorem 2.1 and taking into account the conditions of Theorem 1.2 that $I + KL \geq 0$ and $I + KL$ is invertible, we obtain proof of Theorem 1.2.

The proof of Corollary 1.1 follows from Corollary 2.1. Corollary 1.2 is easy to obtain from the fact that the operator

$$C = (I + KL)^{1/2} L^{-1} (I + KL)^{1/2}$$

is self-adjoint and

$$L_K^{-1} = (I + KL)^{1/2} C (I + KL)^{-1/2} = (I + KL) L^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let us proof Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 2.3, we get that $0 \notin W(I + KL)$. Then $I + KL \geq 0$ and $I + KL$ is invertible.

The proof of Corollary 1.4 follows from (3.2), since C is a self-adjoint operator and in the case Corollary 1.2 the self-adjoint operator C is compact.

4 Non self-adjoint perturbations for some differential operators

Example 1. We consider the Sturm-Liouville equation on the interval $(0, 1)$

$$\widehat{L}y = -y'' + q(x)y = f, \quad (4.1)$$

where $q(x)$ is the real-valued function of $L^2(0, 1)$. We denote by L_0 the minimal operator and by \widehat{L} the maximal operator generated by the differential equation (4.1) in the space $L_2(0, 1)$. It's clear that

$$D(L_0) = \mathring{W}_2^2(0, 1)$$

and

$$D(\widehat{L}) = \{y \in L^2(0, 1) : y, y' \in AC[0, 1], y'' - q(x)y \in L^2(0, 1)\}.$$

Then $\text{Ker } \widehat{L} = \{a_{11}c(x) + a_{12}s(x)\}$, where a_{11}, a_{12} are arbitrary constants, and the functions $c(x)$ and $s(x)$ are defined as follows

$$c(x) = 1 + \int_0^x \mathcal{K}(x, t; 0) dt, \quad s(x) = x + \int_0^x \mathcal{K}(x, t; \infty) t dt,$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(x, t; 0) = \mathcal{K}(x, t) + \mathcal{K}(x, -t), \quad \mathcal{K}(x, t; \infty) = \mathcal{K}(x, t) - \mathcal{K}(x, -t),$$

and $\mathcal{K}(x, t)$ is the solution of the following Goursat problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{K}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{K}(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = q(x)\mathcal{K}(x, t), \\ \mathcal{K}(x, -x) = 0, \quad \mathcal{K}(x, x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x q(t)dt, \end{cases}$$

in the domain

$$\Omega = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < 1, -x < t < x\}.$$

Note that $c(0) = s'(0) = 1$, $c'(0) = s(0) = 0$ and Wronskian

$$W(c, s) \equiv c(x)s'(x) - c'(x)s(x) = 1.$$

As a fixed boundary correct extension L we take the operator corresponding to the Dirichlet problem for equation (4.1) on $(0, 1)$. Then

$$D(L) = \{y \in W_2^2(0, 1) : y(0) = 0, y(1) = 0\}.$$

Therefore the description of the inverse of all correct restrictions L_K of the maximal operator \widehat{L} has the form

$$\begin{aligned} y \equiv L_K^{-1}f &= \int_0^x [c(x)s(t) - s(x)c(t)]f(t) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{s(x)}{s(1)} \int_0^1 [c(1)s(t) - s(1)c(t)]f(t) dt \\ &\quad + c(x) \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma_1(t)}dt + s(x) \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma_2(t)}dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x) \in L_2(0, 1)$ which uniquely determine the operator K from (1.2) in the following form

$$Kf = c(x) \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma_1(t)}dt + s(x) \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{\sigma_2(t)}dt, \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2(0, 1).$$

K is a bounded operator in $L_2(0, 1)$ acting from $L_2(0, 1)$ to $\text{Ker } \widehat{L}$. The operator L_K is the restriction of \widehat{L} on the domain

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_K) = \left\{ y \in W_2^2(0, 1) : y(0) = \int_0^1 [-y''(t) + q(t)y(t)]\overline{\sigma_1(t)}dt; \right. \\ \left. y(1) = c(1)y(0) + s(1) \int_0^1 [-y''(t) + q(t)y(t)]\overline{\sigma_2(t)}dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the condition

$$R(K^*) \subset D(L^*) = D(L)$$

we have that

$$KLy = c(x) \int_0^1 y(t)[- \overline{\sigma_1''(t)} + q(t)\overline{\sigma_1(t)}]dt + s(x) \int_0^1 y(t)[- \overline{\sigma_2''(t)} + q(t)\overline{\sigma_2(t)}]dt,$$

where

$$y \in D(L), \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W_2^2(0, 1), \quad \sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1(1) = \sigma_2(0) = \sigma_2(1) = 0.$$

If $I + KL \geq 0$ and $I + KL$ is invertible, then the spectrum of the operator L_K consists only of real eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$, since L^{-1} is a compact self-adjoint positive operator. In particular, if

$$\sigma_1(x) = \alpha(L^{-1}c)(x), \quad \sigma_2(x) = \beta(L^{-1}s)(x), \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0,$$

then $KL \geq 0$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.3, the results of Theorem 1.2 are valid for L_K . In this case, L_K^{-1} has the form

$$y = L_K^{-1}f = L^{-1}f + c(x) \int_0^1 f(t)(L^{-1}c)(t)dt + s(x) \int_0^1 f(t)(L^{-1}s)(t)dt.$$

Then $(L_K^{-1})^* = (L_K^*)^{-1}$ has form

$$v(x) = (L^{-1}f)(x) + \alpha(L^{-1}c)(x) \int_0^1 f(t)c(t)dt + \beta(L^{-1}s)(x) \int_0^1 f(t)s(t)dt.$$

Thus, we have

$$(L_K^*v)(x) = -v''(x) + q(x)v(x) + a(x)v'(0) + b(x)v'(1) = f(x),$$

$$D(L_K^*) = \{v \in W_2^2(0, 1) : v(0) = v(1) = 0\},$$

where

$$a(x) = \frac{\alpha\beta(c, s)s(x) - \alpha(1 + \beta\|s\|^2)c(x)}{(1 + \alpha\|c\|^2)(1 + \beta\|s\|^2) - \alpha\beta|(c, s)|^2},$$

$$b(x) = \frac{\alpha[c(1)(1 + \beta\|s\|^2) - \beta s(1)(s, c)]c(x) - \beta[\alpha c(1)(c, s) - s(1)(1 + \alpha\|c\|^2)]s(x)}{(1 + \alpha\|c\|^2)(1 + \beta\|s\|^2) - \alpha\beta|(c, s)|^2},$$

$a(x), b(x) \in \text{Ker } \widehat{L}$ and (\cdot, \cdot) is scalar product in $L^2(0, 1)$. The operator L_K^* acts as

$$L_K^* = L^* + Q,$$

where

$$L^* = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x),$$

$$(Qv)(x) = a(x) \langle \delta'(x), v(x) \rangle + b(x) \langle \delta'(x-1), v(x) \rangle = a(x)v'(0) + b(x)v'(1),$$

that is, the function $Q \in W_2^{-2}(0, 1)$. Thus, we have constructed an example of a non self-adjoint singularly perturbed Sturm-Liouville operator with a real spectrum and the system of eigenvectors that forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$.

Example 2. In the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$, where is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^m with an infinitely smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, let us consider the minimal L_0 and maximal \widehat{L} operators generated by the Laplace operator

$$-\Delta u = -\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_m^2}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

The closure L_0 , in the space $L^2(\Omega)$ of Laplace operator (4.2) with the domain $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, is *the minimal operator corresponding to the Laplace operator*. The operator \widehat{L} , adjoint to the minimal operator L_0 corresponding to Laplace operator, is *the maximal operator corresponding to the Laplace operator*. Then

$$D(\widehat{L}) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \widehat{L}u = -\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

Denote by L the operator, corresponding to the Dirichlet problem with the domain

$$D(L) = \{u \in W_2^2(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

We have (1.2), where K is an arbitrary linear operator bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ with

$$R(K) \subset \text{Ker } \widehat{L} = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : -\Delta u = 0\}.$$

Then the operator L_K is defined by

$$\widehat{L}u = -\Delta u,$$

on

$$D(L_K) = \{u \in D(\widehat{L}) : [(I - K\widehat{L})u]|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\},$$

where I is the identity operator in $L^2(\Omega)$. Note that L^{-1} is a self-adjoint compact operator. If K satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2, then L_K is non self-adjoint operator with a real positive spectrum (i.e., $\sigma(L_K) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$), and the system of eigenvectors L_K forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. In particular, if

$$Kf = \varphi(x) \int_{\Omega} f(t)\psi(t)dt,$$

where $\varphi \in W_{2,loc}^2(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ is a harmonic function and $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$, then $K \in B(L^2(\Omega))$ and $R(K) \subset \text{Ker } \widehat{L}$. From $R(K^*) \subset D(L)$ it follows that $\psi \in W_2^2(\Omega)$ and $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. From the condition $KL \geq 0$ we have that $\psi(x) = \alpha(L^{-1}\varphi)(x)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence the operator L_K is the restriction of \widehat{L} to the domain

$$D(L_K) = \left\{u \in D(\widehat{L}) : \left(u - \frac{\varphi}{1 + \|\varphi\|^2} \int_{\Omega} u(y)\varphi(y)dy\right)\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\right\}.$$

The inverse of L_K^{-1} has the form

$$u = L_K^{-1}f = L^{-1}f + \varphi \int_{\Omega} f(y)(L^{-1}\varphi)(y)dy. \quad (4.3)$$

We find the adjoint operator L_K^* . From (4.3) we have

$$v = (L_K^{-1})^* g = L^{-1} g + L^{-1} \varphi \int_{\Omega} g(y) \varphi(y) dy, \quad \text{for all } g \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Then

$$L_K^* v = -\Delta v + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \|\varphi\|^2} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)(y) \varphi(y) dy = g,$$

$$D(L_K^*) = D(L) = \{v \in W_2^2(\Omega) : v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

By virtue of Corollary 1.4, the spectrum of the operator L_K^* consists only of real positive eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Note that

$$(L_K^* v)(x) = -(\Delta v)(x) + \frac{\varphi(x)}{1 + \|\varphi\|^2} F(u) = g(x),$$

where $F \in W_2^{-2}(\Omega)$, since

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)(y) \varphi(y) dy.$$

This is understood in the sense of the definition of the space $H^{-s}(\Omega)$, $s > 0$ as in Theorem 12.1 (see [10, p. 71]).

Thus, we have shown the examples of a non self-adjoint singularly perturbed operator with a real spectrum. Moreover, the corresponding eigenvectors forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(\Omega)$.

References

- [1] B.K. Kokebaev, M. Otelbaev, A.N. Shynibekov, *About expansions and restrictions of operators in Banach space*. Uspekhi Matem. Nauk 37 (1982), no. 4, 116–123 (in Russian).
- [2] M.I. Vishik, *On general boundary problems for elliptic differential equations*. Tr. Mosk. Matem. Obs. 1 (1952), 187–246 (in Russian). English transl.: Am. Math. Soc., Transl., II, 24 (1963), 107–172.
- [3] H.L. Hamburger, *Five notes on a generalization of quasi-nilpotent transformations in Hilbert space*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3**, 1 (1951), 494–512.
- [4] B.N. Biyarov, *Spectral properties of correct restrictions and extensions of the Sturm-Liouville operator*. Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 30 (1994), no. 12, 2027–2032 (in Russian). English transl.: Differ. Equations 30 (1994), no. 12, 1863–1868.
- [5] D.Y. Wu and A. Chen, *Spectral inclusion properties of the numerical range in a space with an indefinite metric*, Linear Algebra Appl. **435** (2011), 1131–1136.

- [6] H. Radjavi and J.P. Williams, *Products of self-adjoint operators*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 177–185.
- [7] J.P. Williams, *Spectra of products and numerical ranges*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **17** (1967), 214–220.
- [8] M. Radjabalipour and H. Radjavi, *On the geometry of numerical ranges*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), No. 2, 507–511.
- [9] B.N. Biyarov, D.A. Svistunov, G.K. Abdrasheva, *Correct singular perturbations of the Laplace operator*, Eurasian Math. J. **11** (2020), No. 4, 25–34.
- [10] J.L. Lions, E. Magenes *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

Bazarkan Nurolidinovich Biyarov,
Zaruet Almazovna Zakarieva,
Gulnara Kaparovna Abdrasheva,
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
13 Munaitpasov St,
010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan
E-mail: bbiyarov@gmail.com,
zaruet.zakarieva@mail.ru,
gulnara.abdrash@gmail.com