

Thermal corrections in Bardeen type regular black holes

Yawar H.Khan and Prince A.Ganai

*Department of Physics National Institute of Technology, Hazratbal, Srinagar, 190006.**

We carry quantum (thermal) corrections to the thermodynamics of regular black holes in detail. Firstly, we discuss the non-extended phase space thermodynamics of regular black holes. We obtain expressions for various thermodynamic quantities like temperature, entropy, internal energy, Helmholtz free energy, pressure, enthalpy, and Gibbs free energy. Then we introduce quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of Bardeen type (toy model) of regular black holes. The qualitative and quantitative analysis of these corrections is carried by plotting all the corrected thermodynamic quantities. The comparative analysis is then done where the effects of these corrections can be clearly seen.

Keywords: Bardeen regular black holes, quantum corrections, stability, entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of singularities seems to be unavoidable for almost all of the physically acceptable solutions of Einstein equations and this is quite true for almost all of the known exact black hole solutions. These singularities occur due to global hyperbolicity in any kind of matter collapsing in the general relativity regime [1]. The existence of such singularities is beautifully interpreted by Penrose cosmic censorship, which states that these singularities must be furnished by event horizons. There exists no casual connection of interiors of a black hole with the exterior fields as the physics outside is well-regulated [2]. However, there are some attempts to remove these singularities like by Sakharov [3] and Gliner [4]. Later on Bardeen replaced the singularity inside black hole with de-sitter patch and proposed a new kind of black hole called as ‘regular black hole (RBH)’ [5]. Later on, many more RBH models were proposed [6–9]. All of these models were later termed as “Bardeen black holes” or “toy model regular black holes” [10, 11]. Some characteristic features of RBH differentiate them from usual singular solutions. For example, spherically symmetric RBH of Bardeen violates strong energy conditions which results in the regularity of these solutions. In a general context, one can say that RBH violates some conditions of Hawking-Penrose theorems of singularity [12] which results in the regularity of such solutions. It has been found that RBHs have some special features. For instance, one can have RBHs with spherical symmetry like Dymnikova [13–15], Bronnikov [16], and Hayward [17]. Also, there are RBHs with axial symmetry [18, 19]. Some RBHs with rotation can also be found in the literature which in general violate weak energy condition [20–23].

Thermodynamics is an important aspect of black hole physics which started with the breakthrough of Hawking who proved that semi-classically a black hole can radiate [24], referred to as Hawking Radiation. Hawking radiation for black holes is a result of tunneling at

horizons [25, 26]. This connection between gravity and thermodynamics (as black hole being a completely gravitational object and Hawking radiations carry thermodynamic quantities with them) was then beautifully covered by Bardeen, who formulated four laws of black hole thermodynamics [27]. This connection was sustained by making proportionality between surface gravity and temperature as well as the surface area of the event horizon and entropy. In thermodynamics of black holes, the temperature is obtained from the first law of black hole thermodynamics. The entropy for the black hole is given by Bekenstein’s area law [28, 29]. Since then the thermodynamics has become not only an important aspect in black hole physics but it has proven to be a vital tool in characterizing the physicality and viability of many black hole solutions.

Corrections to the thermodynamics of black holes is an important feature that incorporates or brings stability/instability, criticality, and many more other features. In the black hole, thermodynamics corrections due to fluctuations have now got a prominent place and have become by now a frequent subject of interest. For instance, the corrections to black hole thermodynamics with matter fields in the background have been studied in [30, 31]. The effect of thermal fluctuations on a charged anti-de Sitter (AdS) black hole is an excellent accord of corrections in AdS black holes [32]. The detailed analysis of the thermodynamics of black holes suggested that the quantum approach at small scales to thermodynamics of black holes is inevitable. This resulted in the corrections to various thermodynamic quantities by quantum effects. One such approach that is worth mention is GUP-corrected thermodynamics for all black objects [33]. The correction (of the form $\alpha \ln A$) to Gödel black hole has been discussed in [34]. These corrections are necessary for logarithmic in nature [35]. The effect of quantum corrections to thermodynamics of black holes using Cardy formalism has also been studied in [36]. The detailed effect of quantum corrections on thermodynamics of black holes is discussed by Nozari et al [37]. S. Upadhyay in [38] has discussed quantum corrections to thermodynamics of quasi-topological black holes. Such kinds of studies for the Schwarzschild-Beltrami-de Sitter black hole [39]

*Electronic address: iyhkphy@gmail.com, princeganai@nitsri.com

and the massive black hole in AdS space [40] have also been made. The detailed study in this regard of quantum corrections to black holes can be found in [41]. The quantum corrections in the black hole thermodynamics started with the pioneering work of Frolov et al. [42]. Some other kinds of corrections to the thermodynamics of RBHs do also exist in literature like simple RBH with logarithmic entropy correction [43]. Some other related work could be found in [44, 45].

The thermodynamics for regular class of black holes have been done in many attempts [46, 47]. But these investigations are concerned mainly with calculating temperature, entropy, and specific heat only. In this paper, apart from calculating temperature, entropy, and specific heat, we calculate various other thermodynamic quantities like free energy, internal energy, enthalpy, and Gibbs free energy. These quantities are important tools for determining the stability and time evolution of black holes. Here, we first give a brief idea of the general class of RBHs. We then discuss the thermodynamics for this general class of RBHs. We further incorporate the corrections to the thermodynamic quantities due to quantum. Some earlier attempts of calculating corrections to the thermodynamics of RBHs have been made [48]. Here, we calculate the quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of a specific class of RBHs also (namely, Hayward black holes and Bardeen black holes).

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section II, we recapitulate the basics of RBHs. The thermodynamics of RBH is discussed in section III. Further, in section IV, we study the effects of quantum corrections on the thermodynamics of general black holes. We emphasize the specific case of Hayward and Bardeen black holes in sections V and VI, respectively. We conclude our work in the last section.

II. BRIEF REVIEW OF RBHS

Let us start by writing the line element for the typical spherically symmetric RBH as follows,

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (1)$$

where metric function $f(r)$ has the following form:

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}. \quad (2)$$

The mass term $m(r)$ given in Ref. [23] is of the form

$$m(r) = \frac{M_0}{(1 + (\frac{a}{r})^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}}, \quad (3)$$

which guarantees the asymptotic flatness of spacetime for positive values of p and q . Here, M_0 and a correspond to mass and length parameter, respectively. In fact, M_0 stands for Arnowitt-Deser-Misner mass of the

Schwarzschild black hole. The basic characteristics of Bardeen type RBHs is carried in the mass term given in Eq.(3). For example:

1. de Sitter core is generated for small values of r and for $p = 3$ [23, 54].
2. For large values of r , typically $r \geq r_+$ the mass function is almost constant $m(r) \approx M_0$, then we have approximately the Schwarzschild metric.
3. To identify Bardeen and Hayward RBHs, one specifies $p = 3, q = 2$ and $p = q = 3$ in mass term respectively.

III. THERMODYNAMICS

In this section, we discuss the thermodynamics of the toy model class of RBHs. We calculate various thermodynamic quantities like entropy, free energy, internal energy, pressure, enthalpy, and Gibbs energy. The thermodynamics for a particular type of RBHs can be easily found in the literature. For example, thermodynamics of Bardeen RBH is discussed by Akbar et al. [49], Myung et al. [50–52], and Dymnikova et al. [53]. Their investigations mainly deal with entropy, temperature, and specific heat. However, we are interested in derive almost all thermodynamic quantities of physical interest which are so important in determining the stability and viability of a particular black hole. The thermodynamics of any class of RBHs is more attractive than any other class of black holes as RBHs have a temperature less than Schwarzschild black hole. In contrast to Schwarzschild black hole, RBHs show stability for positive specific heat.

Here, we first determine the Hawking temperature (T_H) for general class of RBHs. There are various ways to derive T_H . A beautiful discussion on evaluation of temperature for general class of RBHs is given in Ref. [54]. We evaluate T_H by

$$T_H = \frac{f'(r_+)}{4\pi} = \frac{1 - 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^q}{(4\pi r)((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)}. \quad (4)$$

Here, r_+ denotes the greater of the roots of the equation $f(r) = 0$. In the limit of $a \rightarrow 0$, where we get Schwarzschild black hole, one can easily find that Hawking temperature of RBHs is less than Hawking temperature of singular black holes. This indicate that RBHs are colder than any other type of singular black holes.

We then estimate the entropy of general class of RBH. The entropy of general class of RBHs follows from Bekesteins area law [29]. In order to determine the entropy we follow semi-classical formulation which reads as

$$S_0 = \int \frac{1}{T_H} dm. \quad (5)$$

Plugging the values of T_H (4) and m (3) in (5) results

$$S_0 = \pi r_+^2. \quad (6)$$

Next, we calculate the internal energy for the RBH from the formula $E = \int T_H dS_0$. Plugging the values of temperature and entropy in this formula leads to

$$E = \frac{3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}; \frac{q-1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) - 2\pi r_+^2}{2\pi r_+}, \quad (7)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ refers to hypergeometric functions of second kind and should be implied so throughout the text. We now compute an important thermodynamical quantity called Helmholtz free energy or free energy which is a measure of useful work obtainable from a closed thermodynamic system. The free energy F is defined as $F = -\int S_0 dT_H$. In order to estimate F for general class of Bardeen type RBHs, we first calculate

$$dT_H = \frac{(3q+1)(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1}{4\pi r_+^2 ((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2}. \quad (8)$$

. With this value of dT_H and entropy S_0 , the Helmholtz free energy turns out to be

$$F = \frac{-6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}; \frac{q-1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) + \frac{3\pi r_+^2}{(\frac{a}{r_+})^{q+1}} + 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+}. \quad (9)$$

Here we note that to determine the value of F specifically one has to fix the value of q .

Another important thermodynamic quantity on which we focus is pressure. The pressure in Black hole physics has an important significance as this quantity is directly related to the cosmological constant Λ . The variation in pressure can give an idea about the spacetime curvature at horizon. The pressure in general is given by the relation

$$P = -\frac{dF}{dV}$$

where F is Helmholtz free energy and V is corresponding volume, which in our case is $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_+^3$. This relation leads to the following value of pressure for spherically symmetric regular black holes:

$$P = \frac{3q(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + (\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1}{4\pi r_+^2 ((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2}. \quad (10)$$

The enthalpy is an important state function which gives an idea about the energy changes of system. The enthalpy of system also provides an idea about the equilibrium conditions of the system. The enthalpy in black hole thermodynamics gained prominence due to Kastor et al. [55] when thermodynamic variables were included in first-law of black hole thermodynamics along with the cosmological constant. There it is argued that the mass M of an AdS black hole plays the role of enthalpy of classical thermodynamics. We calculate enthalpy for Bardeen

class of RBHs by formula $H = E + PV$. This gives,

$$H = \frac{6(3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}, \frac{q-1}{q}; -\frac{a}{r_+}) - 2\pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+} + \frac{\pi r_+^2 ((3q+1)(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1)}{(12\pi r_+)((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2}. \quad (11)$$

For black holes to have a static boundary to be held at a fixed temperature we need fixed pressure and temperature. In such a scenario, the relevant thermodynamic potential to be used is naturally the Gibbs potential or Gibbs free energy. Once Helmholtz Free energy, pressure and volume are known it is matter of calculation to derive Gibbs free energy by the formula $G = F + PV$ as following:

$$G = \frac{-6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}, \frac{q-1}{q}; -\frac{a}{r_+}) + \frac{3\pi r_+^2}{(\frac{a}{r_+})^{q+1}} + 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{(3q+1)(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1}{12((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2} \quad (12)$$

This completes the relevant equations of states for thermodynamics of toy model class of RBHs. These thermodynamic quantities can be used for analysis of specific black holes by fixing the value of q . Thus for different values of q (i.e. for even and odd q), one can have different nature of thermodynamic quantities. We shall discuss these things in detail in later sections by setting the values of q for Hayward and Bardeen classes of RBHs.

IV. QUANTUM CORRECTIONS

In this section, we shall analyze the effect of quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of the toy model class of RBHs. Some of the earlier attempts concerning the application of The quantum correction to black hole thermodynamics could also be found in the literature. Here we employ the quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of the toy model class of regular black holes. We start our discussion by introducing the quantum correction parameter α in the entropy equation. The basic essence of this type of corrections follows from the quantum regime. In quantum regime, near the Planck scale, the quantum corrections to gravity are expected to change the manifold structure of spacetime [56, 57]. This can change the holographic principle as a result of which an evident change in entropy-area law is expected [58]. It was then argued first in [41] and then in [58] that corrected entropy-area relation could be written as

$$S = S_0 + \alpha \ln A + \gamma_1 A^{-1} + \gamma_2 A^{-2} + \dots$$

where $\alpha, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ depend on the type of model under consideration. Therefore one gets (up to first order)

$$S = S_0 + \alpha \log[A] = \pi r_+^2 + \alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2). \quad (13)$$

Due to the correction term in entropy, every thermodynamical quantity will get the modification. We first start computing internal energy E . The corrected value of internal energy can be obtained by formula $E_C = \int T_H dS$ as

$$E_C = \frac{3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}; \frac{q-1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) - 2\pi r_+^2}{2\pi r_+} - \frac{3\alpha {}_2F_1(1, \frac{1}{q}; 1 + \frac{1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) + 2\alpha}{2\pi r_+}. \quad (14)$$

This gives the expression for the quantum corrected internal energy for toy model class of RBHs. The detailed analysis of these corrections of internal energy would be calculated for different classes of RBHs in later sections.

Furthermore, we derive the the quantum corrected Helmholtz free energy denoted by relation $F_C = \int S dT_H$. Here, we get

$$F_C = \frac{-6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}; \frac{q-1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) + \frac{3\pi r_+^2}{(\frac{a}{r_+})^{q+1}} + 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{6\alpha {}_2F_1(1, \frac{1}{q}; 1 + \frac{1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) + \frac{3\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{(\frac{a}{r_+})^{q+1}}}{4\pi r_+} - \frac{4\alpha - 2\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+}. \quad (15)$$

This equation represents the quantum corrected Helmholtz Free energy for toy model class of RBHs. These corrections would be evident once we interpret this F_C for different classes of black holes simply by setting different values of q .

The the quantum correction can be incorporated in the pressure equation by equation $P = -\frac{dF_C}{dV}$ as,

$$P_C = \frac{(3q(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + (\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1)(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi^2 r_+^4 ((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2}. \quad (16)$$

The above equation gives the modified value of pressure and could be recasts for various classes of RBHs simply by substituting different values of q .

The expression for corrected value of enthalpy would follow from relation $H_C = E_C + P_C V$. Exploiting the value of E_C , P_C and volume $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_+^3$, we get the following corrected enthalpy:

$$H_C = \frac{-2\pi r_+^2 + 2\alpha + 3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1[1, -\frac{1}{q}, \frac{-1+q}{q}, -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q]}{2\pi r_+} - \frac{\alpha {}_2F_1[1, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1+q}{q}, -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q]}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{-1 + (1 + 3q)(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q}q}{12\pi r_+^2 (1 + (\frac{a}{r_+})^q)^2} + \frac{\pi r_+^2 + \alpha \log[4\pi r_+^2]}{12\pi r_+^2 (1 + (\frac{a}{r_+})^q)^2}. \quad (17)$$

Next, we calculate quantum modified Gibbs free energy for the Bardeen class of RBHs. To do so, we use relation $G_C = F_C + P_C V$ and obtain,

$$G_C = \frac{-6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(1, -\frac{1}{q}; \frac{q-1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q) + 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{3(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+ ((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)} + \frac{-4\alpha - 2\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + 6\alpha {}_2F_1(1, \frac{1}{q}; 1 + \frac{1}{q}; -(\frac{a}{r_+})^q)}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{(3q(\frac{a}{r_+})^q + (\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 2(\frac{a}{r_+})^{2q} - 1)(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+ ((\frac{a}{r_+})^q + 1)^2}. \quad (18)$$

This section completes the calculation of the quantum corrected thermodynamics for toy model class of RBHs. The effect of these corrections would be determined once we go for specific class of RBHs. All these effects would be controlled by nature of the integral values of α which can take any integral value. We expect that the effect of the corrections would be logarithmic in nature, by Kaul and Majmudar [35]. These corrections can induce various thermodynamic features into any class of black holes including RBHs like stability, instability, criticality and altering heat capacity etc.

V. HAYWARD BLACK HOLES

In the previous section, we calculated quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of toy model class of RBHs. To study the effects of these correction, we plot resulting modified thermodynamic quantities against various values of correction parameter α . First, we set $q = 3$ which corresponds to the Hayward black holes. The effect of quantum corrections would be maximum at small values of r_+ . In fact, at large distances, these corrections have almost null consequences. By simply putting $q = 3$ in (4), the temperature for Hayward RBHs is given by

$$T = \frac{1 - \frac{2a^3}{r_+^3}}{4\pi r_+ (\frac{a^3}{r_+^3} + 1)}. \quad (19)$$

We now plot entropy (13) against r_+ for various values of α in Fig. (1). From this plot, we notice that the effect of quantum corrections is notable for small values of r_+ which is quite obvious. For positive values of α entropy decreases which infers instability; however for negative α we can see that entropy tends to increase asymptotically which is clear sign of stability. This justifies that quantum corrections corresponding to negative value of correction parameter induce stability in Hayward type of RBHs.

The expression for internal energy for Hayward black holes, E_{CH} , can be obtained from Eq. (14) by plugging




FIG. 1: Entropy versus r_+ . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

$q = 3$ as follows,

$$E_{CH} = \frac{3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{2}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3}) - 2\pi r_+^2}{2\pi r_+} - \frac{3\alpha {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3}) + 2\alpha}{2\pi r_+}. \quad (20)$$

In order to do comparative analysis, we plot a graph 2 for E_{CH} vs α . From the plot, it is obvious that for negative




FIG. 2: Internal energy versus r_+ . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

value of α internal energy for small black holes decreases. In contrast, for positive values of α it takes asymptotically positive value. This is in agreement of first law of black hole thermodynamics as we have already seen that for negative value of α entropy increases. Thus, even after incorporating the quantum correction, the first laws of black hole thermodynamics holds. The decrease in internal energy of Hayward black holes due quantum corrections leads to stability of the black holes.

In order to estimate the effect of corrections on the free energy of Hayward type of black holes, we first set $q = 3$

in Eq. (15). This leads to,

$$F_{CH} = \frac{-6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{2}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3}) + 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{6\alpha {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3})}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{3r_+^3 (\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+ (a^3 + r_+^3)} - \frac{4\alpha - 2\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+}. \quad (21)$$

Here we note that after setting $\alpha = 0$ we obtain the original expression for free energy for Hayward type of black holes. In order to study the effect of the quantum on the




FIG. 3: Helmholtz free energy versus r_+ . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

free energy of Hayward black hole, we plot Fig. 3. From the figure, one can clearly see that the positive values of α increases the Helmholtz free energy which indicates to instability. In contrast, negative α decreases Helmholtz free energy. For smaller black holes, Helmholtz free energy has positive asymptotic value, which indicates instability. This plot helps us to identify the region of instability. The existence of such an unusual behavior of Helmholtz free energy curve for $r_+ \rightarrow 0$ is due to the overcome of quantum effects by tidal forces at very small values of r_+ . This will also get clear from the pressure.

The quantum corrected pressure for Hayward type of RBHs, P_{CH} , can be obtained from Eq. (16) by substituting $q = 3$. Thus,

$$P_{CH} = -\frac{(-2a^6 - 10a^3 r_+^3 + r_+^6)(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi^2 r_+^4 (a^3 + r_+^3)^2}. \quad (22)$$

The choice of value of α will give the detailed effect of corrections on the pressure for Hayward black holes. We plot P_{CH} vs r_+ in the figure 4. From the plot 4, it is obvious that there exist two regions. At $r_+ = 1$ pressure is a discontinuous function. The region $r_+ > 1$ shows a typical pressure curve for black holes. In this




FIG. 4: Pressure versus r_+ . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

region, the effect of The quantum gravity corrections is clearly evident. For positive values of α (i.e. $\alpha = 1$) the pressure becomes more negative. For negative values of α (i.e. $\alpha = -1.5$) the pressure shifts towards positive value. This justifies that negative α increases stability of the black holes, which follows the case of entropy, internal energy and free energy. In the region of $r_+ < 1$, the pressure curves for different values of α do not change with r_+ . This shows that in the region of $r_+ < 1$ no sort of effects, fluctuations or corrections can overcome the tidal forces which are associated with pressure of black hole. Since the pressure of the black hole is related to the cosmological constant of that black hole, we conclude that the cosmological constant determines the type and strength of correction which would get affected at any value of r_+ .

We now analyze the effect of correction parameter α on enthalpy of Hayward black holes. The expression for corrected enthalpy H_{CH} is given by

$$H_{CH} = -\frac{6\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{2}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3}) - 2\pi r_+^2}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{6\alpha {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3})}{4\pi r_+} + \frac{3r_+^3(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+(a^3 + r_+^3)} - \frac{4\alpha - 2\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+}. \quad (23)$$

The plot of corrected enthalpy with respect to horizon radius is given in figure 5. This is clear from plot that negative value of α given by blue line decreases enthalpy and hence induces stability for r_+ tends to zero. Again a kink in the graph of negative values of α is attributed to that overcome of quantum effects by tidal forces. The asymptotic shift of blue line at $r_+ \rightarrow 0$ is also a kind of unusual behavior. This can also justified by the overcome of quantum effects by tidal forces.

Now, we analyze the effect of corrections on the Gibbs free energy of Hayward black holes. The corrected Gibbs




FIG. 5: Variation of H_{CH} w.r.t r_+ for different values of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

free energy G_{CH} is calculated by

$$G_{CH} = \frac{-18\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{2}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3}) - 8\pi r_+^2}{12\pi r_+} + \frac{18\alpha {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3})}{12\pi r_+} + \frac{15r_+^3(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+(a^3 + r_+^3)} - \frac{9r_+^6(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+(a^3 + r_+^3)^2} - \frac{12\alpha + 4\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+}. \quad (24)$$

To study the behavior of the corrected Gibbs free energy, we plot Fig. 6. From the plot, we see that without quan-




FIG. 6: Variation of G_{CH} w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

tum correction Gibbs free energy is negative for Hayward black holes. Then for positive values of α makes Gibbs energy less negative. However, for negative value of α , Gibbs energy becomes more negative value. This is a

clear sign of stability. Also, for negative α , the Gibbs free energy takes positive asymptotic value for $r_+ \rightarrow 0$. For large black holes, we see that the quantum corrections have almost null effect. The unusual behavior of corrected potential has been attributed to overcome the the quantum corrections by tidal forces. The presence of tidal forces has already been seen in the pressure plot 4.

VI. BARDEEN BLACK HOLES

The Bardeen class of RBHs started with the pioneer work of Bardeen [27]. In order to study thermodynamics of Bardeen black holes we set $q = 2$ in the thermodynamics of toy model class of RBHs. We start by writing the expression of Hawking temperature for Bardeen class of regular black as follows,

$$T_B = \frac{1 - \frac{2a^2}{r_+^2}}{4\pi r_+ (\frac{a^2}{r_+^2} + 1)}. \quad (25)$$

We then compute corrected internal energy of Bardeen type RBHs by substituting $q = 2$ in the Eq. (14) as,

$$E_{CB} = \frac{3}{2}r_+ {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{a^2}{r_+^2}\right) - \frac{3\alpha \tan^{-1}(\frac{a}{r_+})}{2\pi a} + \frac{\alpha}{\pi r_+} - r_+. \quad (26)$$

The plot 7 describes the detailed effect of correction parameter on internal energy of Bardeen type RBHs. Here,




FIG. 7: Internal energy w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

we can see that both positive as well as negative values of α increase internal energy when $r_+ \rightarrow 0$. Contrary to Hayward RBHs case, the internal energy of Bardeen type black holes at $r_+ \rightarrow 0$ takes positive asymptotic value for negative α have a shift in internal energy curve for negative α . This difference is simply attributed to the even power of q (i.e. $q = 2$ in case of Bardeen class of RBHs).

This clearly shows that the quantum corrections induce instability in Bardeen class of RBHs.

Next, we proceed to evaluate the the quantum effects on Helmholtz free energy of Bardeen black holes. The corrected Helmholtz free energy, F_{CB} can be estimated by,

$$F_{CB} = \frac{-4\alpha(a^2 + r_+^2) + \alpha(r_+^2 - 2a^2) \log(4\pi r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+ (a^2 + r_+^2)} - \frac{\pi r_+^2 (4a^2 + r_+^2)}{4\pi r_+ (a^2 + r_+^2)} + \frac{3}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a} - \pi a \right) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{r_+} \right). \quad (27)$$

The detailed variation of F_{CB} can be depicted from the figure 8. Here, we find that corresponding to positive




FIG. 8: Variation of F_{CB} w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

value of correction parameter Helmholtz free energy for large sized black holes becomes less negative than the uncorrected one. For smaller black holes (i.e. $r_+ < 1$), the behavior is attributed to tidal force dominance. For negative value of correction parameter, we see the free energy of larger black holes (i.e. $r_+ > 1$) becomes more negative. This indicates the sign of stability.

The corrected pressure for Bardeen black holes is calculated by setting $q = 2$ in Eq. (16) as follows,

$$P_{CB} = \frac{(\frac{2a^4}{r_+^4} + \frac{7a^2}{r_+^2} - 1)(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi^2 r_+^4 (\frac{a^2}{r_+^2} + 1)^2}. \quad (28)$$

The graph for P_{CB} vs r_+ is plotted in Fig. 9. The effect of the quantum corrections on pressure could be easily visualized from the figure. There exist two different regions; one for $r_+ < 1$ and other for $r_+ > 1$. In the former region pressure shows unusual behavior as one can see the pressure becomes divergent for different values of α . For other region, we can see the behavior of pressure is quite typical. The pressure has minimum value near $r_+ = 4$. For positive value of α (i.e. $\alpha = 1$) pressure




FIG. 9: Variation of P_{CB} w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

becomes more negative valued. This is an evidence of instability. For negative value of α (i.e. $\alpha = -1.5$), the pressure becomes relatively more positive valued. Next, to calculate the corrected enthalpy H_{CB} for Bardeen type black hole we substitute $q = 2$ in Eq.(17). This results,

$$H_{CB} = \frac{1}{2\pi r_+} (3\pi r_+^2 {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{a^2}{r_+^2}) - \frac{3\alpha r_+ \tan^{-1}(\frac{a}{r_+})}{a} + 2\alpha - 2\pi r_+^2) + \frac{(2a^4 + 7a^2 r_+^2 - r_+^4)(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{12\pi r_+(a^2 + r_+^2)^2} \quad (29)$$

The behavior of enthalpy calculated above for different values of correction parameter can be seen in the plot (10). The red curve corresponds to the enthalpy without




FIG. 10: Variation of H_{CB} w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

the quantum corrections. From the figure, we notice that for small black holes, the enthalpy of Bardeen black holes due to positive value of α increases which is a clear sign

of instability. The negative values of α decreases the enthalpy. As we know the enthalpy is attributed to the mass of black hole thus decrease in entropy is a clear sign of stability. We thus conclude that negative values of correction parameter induces stability by decreasing enthalpy.

Finally, we derive expression for the corrected Gibbs energy of Bardeen type of regular black holes. In this regard, we plug the value $q = 2$ in Eq. (18) which yields,

$$G_{CB} = \frac{3\alpha {}_2F_1(\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3})}{2\pi r_+} - \frac{3r_+ {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{3}, 1; \frac{2}{3}; -\frac{a^3}{r_+^3})}{2} + \frac{5r_+^2(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi(a^3 + r_+^3)} - \frac{3r_+^5(\alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) + \pi r_+^2)}{4\pi(a^3 + r_+^3)^2} - \frac{3\alpha + \alpha \log(4\pi r_+^2) - 2\pi r_+^2}{3\pi r_+}. \quad (30)$$

We now plot G_{CB} vs r_+ and see the effect of corrections on the Gibbs free energy of Bardeen type of RBHs in Figure 11. The uncorrected Gibbs free energy for Bardeen




FIG. 11: Variation of G_{CH} w.r.t r_+ for different value of α . Red, green and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1.5$, respectively.

type RBHs is negative, which confirms the stability of black holes. The the quantum does not affect the stability of larger black holes. However, in the limit $r_+ \rightarrow 0$ limit, the Gibbs free energy takes positive asymptotic values. This is clear evidence of instability. For the small black holes, the negative value of α induce more negativity in Gibbs energy which makes the black holes more stable. This is in agreement for the other cases of black holes mentioned above too.

This completes our analysis of the effect of the quantum corrections on the Bardeen class of RBHs. We conclude here that the negative value of α again proved to be a prominent factor in determining and bringing stability to the Bardeen class of RBHs.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have carried the thermodynamics of the toy model class of RBHs in detail. The essence of this detailed calculation of thermodynamics was, to sum up, all the relevant calculations and analysis about the thermodynamics of the toy model class of RBHs. We started our discussion by calculating entropy and temperature for the general class of RBHs. Following from area-law, the entropy for the general class of RBHs is seen to be in par with the generalized second law. We have used the semi-classical formulation to calculate entropy. The Hawking temperature for toy model class of RBHs, on the other hand, can be calculated by various approaches[54]. We have calculated Hawking temperature followed by calculating internal energy for the toy model class of RBHs. Once entropy and temperature are known, it is a matter of calculation to estimate Helmholtz free energy of the system which gives an idea about surplus energy present in any thermodynamic system. Subsequently, we have calculated pressure, another important thermodynamic quantity. Generally, the pressure of black holes is related to the cosmological constant. This quantity needs special attention as the strength of interaction as well as the presence of different forces is directly related to pressure and in turn cosmological constant. To verify the first law of black hole thermodynamics we need some other thermodynamic state functions too. In this regard, we have calculated enthalpy and Gibbs free energy for the toy model class of RBHs. These thermodynamic potentials, Gibbs Free energy, and Helmholtz Free energy, directly give us an idea about the stability of the black hole thermodynamic system and its evolution in time.

Furthermore, we have studied the effect of the quantum on toy model class RBHs. We have considered the corrections up to leading-order only. These corrections, which are prominent for very small values of r_+ , were subjected just to bring stability to some thermodynamic quantities. The effect of these corrections on more specific classes of RBHs was evident once we have fixed the value of q for appropriate RBHs.

We have analyzed, in detail, the effect of the quantum corrections on Hayward type RBHs by fixing $q = 3$. Here we have found that the correction term with only negative correction parameter increases the entropy of the system. Thus, we can easily claim that a negative value of α causes stability to the system. Then the corrected value of internal energy for Hayward type RBHs

was calculated by substituting $q = 3$ in the general case. Here we have seen that the correction due to the quantum is also in agreement with the first law of black hole mechanics as for the negative value of α internal energy decreased. The corrections to the Helmholtz free energy have also been calculated and the effect of correction was expectedly found to decrease the function for the negative value of α . The variation of free energy versus horizon radius for different values of correction parameter shows deviation from expected behavior at very small values of r_+ (i.e. $r_+ \rightarrow 0$). The corrections to the pressure for the Hayward class of RBHs is also estimated. From the plot, we found that the effect of these corrections was evident only for a larger horizon radius ($r_+ > 1$). For region below $r_+ < 1$, these corrections do not play a significant role and the pressure remains constant. Moreover, we have calculated the corrections to the enthalpy of Hayward black holes and found the correction terms expectedly decrease the enthalpy for the negative value of correction parameter α . Finally, we have evaluated corrections to Gibbs free energy, where we have found that the quantum corrections with a negative value of α decrease the Gibbs free energy and increases for positive α . We have discussed the quantum corrections to the Bardeen type of RBHs. Here we observed that for the negative value of correction parameter there is an increase in entropy. However, in contrast to the virtue of the first law of black hole mechanics, the internal energy decrease for a negative value of α . This is due to the even power q . The decrease in Helmholtz free energy for the Bardeen class of RBHs for a negative value of α indicates stability for the system. We have derived the quantum corrections on the pressure of the Bardeen class of RBHs also. Here, we have noticed that like Hayward class of black holes there exist two regions in the corrected pressure curve for Bardeen black holes also. In the region for small horizon radius (i.e. $r_+ < 1$), the pressure remains unaffected by kind of corrections. In the region for a larger horizon radius (i.e. $r_+ > 1$), the pressure shows a typical behavior black hole system. There is an increase in pressure for the negative value of the correction parameter α . The behavior of pressure towards the quantum corrections for Bardeen type RBHs is more or less similar to that of Hayward type black holes. This is again due to the dependence of various thermodynamic quantities on integer q which is even for the Bardeen class of RBHs. Also, the behavior of corrected enthalpy and Gibbs free energy have found the same to that for Hayward class of RBHs.

[1] S. W. Hawking and G.F. Ellis, "The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time", (Cambridge Univ. Press 1973).
[2] R. M. Wald, "Gravitational Collapse and Cosmic Censorship", preprint gr-qc/9710068 (1997).
[3] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP 22, 241 (1966).
[4] E. B. Gliner, Sov. Phys. JETP 22, 378 (1966).

[5] J. Bardeen, Proceedings of GR5, Tiflis, U.S.S.R. (1968).
[6] A. Borde, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3392 (1994).
[7] C. Barrab'es and V. P. Frolov, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3215 (1996).
[8] M. Mars, M. M. Mart'ın Prats and J. M. M. Senovilla, Class. Quant. Grav. 13, L51 (1996).

- [9] A. Cabo and E. Ayron-Beato, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 14, 2013 (1999).
- [10] A. Borde, *Phys. Rev. D* 55, 7615 (1997).
- [11] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 5056 (1998).
- [12] R. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago, Chicago, 1984).
- [13] I. G. Dymnikova, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* 24, 235 (1992).
- [14] I. G. Dymnikova, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 05, 529 (1996).
- [15] I. G. Dymnikova, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 12, 1015 (2003).
- [16] K. A. Bronnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* 63, 044005 (2001).
- [17] S. A. Hayward, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 031103 (2006).
- [18] A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, *Phys. Lett. B* 688, 82 (2010).
- [19] L. Modesto and P. Nicolini, *Phys. Rev. D* 82, 104035 (2010).
- [20] C. Bambi and L. Modesto, *Phys. Lett. B* 721, 329 (2013).
- [21] B. Toshmatov, B. Ahmedov, A. Abdujabbarov and Z. Stuchlik, *Phys. Rev. D* 89, 104017 (2014).
- [22] M. Azreg-Ainou, *Phys. Rev. D* 90, 064041 (2014).
- [23] J. C. S. Neves and A. Saa, *Phys. Lett. B* 734, 44 (2014).
- [24] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 43, 199 (1975).
- [25] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 5042 (2000).
- [26] M. Agheben, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo, and S. Zerbini, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2005) 014.
- [27] J. M. Bardeen, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 31, 161 (1973).
- [28] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, *Commun. Math. physics* 87, 577 (1983).
- [29] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 7, 2333 (1973).
- [30] R. B. Mann and S. N. Solodukhin, *Nucl. Phys. B* 523, 293 (1998).
- [31] A. J. M. Medved and G. Kunstatter, *Phys. Rev. D* 60, 104029 (1999).
- [32] B. Pourhassan and M. Faizal, *Europhys. Lett.* 111, 40006 (2015).
- [33] M. Faizal and M. M. Khalil, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 30, 1550144 (2015).
- [34] A. Pourdarvish, J. Sadeghi, H. Farahani, B. Pourhassan, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 52 (2013) 3560.
- [35] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 5255 (2000).
- [36] S. Carlip, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 17 (2000) 4175.
- [37] K. Nozari and S. H. Mehdipour, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 21 (2006) 4979.
- [38] S. Upadhyay, *Phys. Lett. B* 775 (2017) 130.
- [39] B. Pourhassan, S. Upadhyay and H. Farahani, arXiv:1701.08650.
- [40] S. Upadhyay, B. Pourhassan and H. Farahani, *Phys. Rev. D* 95, 106014 (2017).
- [41] K. Nouicer, *Phys. Lett. B* 646 (2007) 63.
- [42] V. P. Frolov, W. Israel and S. N. Solodukhin, *Phys. Rev. D* 54, 2732 (1996).
- [43] N. Morales-Durón, A.F. Vargas ad P. H.-Restrepo et al, *Eur. Phys. J. C* (2016) 76: 559.
- [44] Yawar H. Khan, Prince A. Ganai, Sudhaker Uphadhay, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* (2020) 135:620.
- [45] Yawar H. Khan, Prince A. Ganai, Sudhaker Uphadhay, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020, 103B06 (13 pages).
- [46] J. Man and H. Cheng, arXiv:1312.6566.
- [47] M. Sharif and W. Javed, *Can. J. Phys.* 89 (2011) 1027.
- [48] M. Sharif and W. Javed, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* 57, 217 (2010).
- [49] M. Akbar, Nema Salem and S. A. Hussein, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 29 (2012) 070401.
- [50] Y. S. Myung, Y.-W. Kim and Y.-J. Park, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 41, 1051 (2008).
- [51] Y. S. Myung and M. S. Yoon, *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 62, 405 (2009).
- [52] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim, and Y. J. Park, *JHEP* 02 (2007) 012.
- [53] I. Dymnikova and M. Korpusik, *entropy* 13, 1967 (2011).
- [54] R. V. Maluf and J. C. S. Neves, *Phys. Rev. D* 97, 104015 (2018).
- [55] D. Kastor, S. Ray, J. Traschen, *Class. quantum* 26, 195011 (2009).
- [56] D. Bak and S. J. Rey, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 17, L1 (2000)
- [57] S. K. Rama, *Phys. Lett. B* 457, 268 (1999)
- [58] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, S. Upadhyay and L. A. Asfar, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77, no. 8, 555 (2017).

