

Enumeration of accurate dominating sets

Saeid Alikhani^{1,*}, Maryam Safazadeh¹, Nima Ghanbari²

December 31, 2021

¹Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

²Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, 5020 Bergen, Norway

alikhani@yazd.ac.ir, msafazadeh92@gmail.com, Nima.ghanbari@uib.no

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A dominating set of G is a subset $D \subseteq V$ such that every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . The cardinality of a smallest dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the domination number of G . A dominating set D is an accurate dominating set of G , if no $|D|$ -element subset of $V \setminus D$ is a dominating set of G . The accurate domination number, $\gamma_a(G)$, is the cardinality of a smallest accurate dominating set D . In this paper, after presenting preliminaries, we count the number of accurate dominating sets of some specific graphs.

Keywords: domination number, accurate dominating set, path, cycle.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C69, 05C05, 05C75

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with n vertices. Throughout this paper we consider only simple graphs. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V(G) \setminus D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G . There are various domination numbers in the literature. For a detailed treatment of domination theory, the reader is referred to [9].

An accurate dominating set of G is a dominating set D of G such that no $|D|$ -element subset of $V \setminus D$ is a dominating set of G . The accurate domination number of G , $\gamma_a(G)$, is the cardinality of a smallest accurate dominating set of G . A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma(G)$ is called a γ -set. Also an accurate dominating set of G of cardinality $\gamma_a(G)$ is called a γ_a -set of G . The accurate domination in graphs was introduced by Kulli and Kattimani [11], and further studied in a number of papers (see, for example, [7, 8]).

*Corresponding author




Figure 1: The graph P_5 with $V(P_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$.

The concept of domination and related invariants have been generalized in many ways. Among the best known generalizations are total, independent, and connected dominating, each of them with the corresponding domination number. Most of the papers published so far deal with structural aspects of domination, trying to determine exact expressions for $\gamma(G)$ or some upper and/or lower bounds for it. There were no paper concerned with the enumerative side of the problem by 2008.

Regarding to enumerative side of dominating sets, Alikhani and Peng [3], have introduced the domination polynomial of a graph. The domination polynomial of graph G is the generating function for the number of dominating sets of G , i.e., $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} d(G, i)x^i$ (see [1, 3]). This polynomial and its roots has been actively studied in recent years (see for example [10, 12]). It is natural to count the number of another kind of dominating sets ([2]). Let $\mathcal{D}_a(G, i)$ be the family of accurate dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $d_a(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}_a(G, i)|$. The generating function for the number of accurate dominating sets of G is denoted by $D_a(G, x)$.

The corona of two graphs G_1 and G_2 , is the graph $G_1 \circ G_2$ formed from one copy of G_1 and $|V(G_1)|$ copies of G_2 , where the i th vertex of G_1 is adjacent to every vertex in the i th copy of G_2 . The corona $G \circ K_1$, in particular, is the graph constructed from a copy of G , where for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, a new vertex v' and a pendant edge vv' are added. The join of two graphs G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is a graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G_1) \text{ and } v \in V(G_2)\}$.

In the next section, we consider specific graphs and count the number of their accurate dominating sets. In Sections 3 and 4, we study the problem of the number of accurate dominating sets of paths and cycles, respectively.

2 Enumeration of accurate dominating sets of certain graphs

By the definition of accurate dominating set, every accurate dominating set is a dominating set (and so $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_a(G)$) but the converse is not true. In other words, in some graphs there exists dominating sets which are not accurate dominating sets. For example in the graph path P_5 with $V(P_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ (see Figure 1), the set $D = \{v_1, v_4\}$ is a dominating set of P_5 which is not accurate dominating set. As an example for accurate dominating set, we consider the dominating set $D = \{v_2, v_4\}$ for P_5 .

In this section, we study the number of accurate dominating sets of specific graphs. First we state some known results.

Lemma 2.1 [7]

- (i) For every natural number n , $\gamma_a(K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- (ii) For every natural number n , $\gamma_a(K_{n,n}) = n + 1$.
- (iii) For $n > m \geq 1$, $\gamma_a(K_{m,n}) = m$.
- (iv) For $n \geq 3$, $\gamma_a(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{3}{n} \rfloor + 2$.
- (v) $\gamma_a(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ unless $n \in \{2, 4\}$.

The following theorem is easy to obtain:

Theorem 2.2 (i) If L_n is the ladder graph (the Cartesian product of P_n and K_2), then $\gamma_a(L_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$.

- (ii) Let B_n ($n \geq 2$) be the book graph (Cartesian product of $K_{1,n}$ and K_2). Then $\gamma_a(B_2) = 4$ and for $n \geq 3$, $\gamma(B_n) = \gamma_a(B_n) = 2$.
- (iii) For $n \geq 3$, $d_a(B_n, 2) = 1$ and for $3 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $d_a(B_n, i) = 0$.
- (iv) If Q_n is the hypercube graph (Cartesian product of Q_{n-1} and K_2), then $\gamma_a(Q_n) = 2^{n-1} + 1$.
- (v) The number of accurate dominating sets of hypercube graph Q_n with cardinality i is $d_a(Q_n, i) = \binom{2^n}{i}$.

Theorem 2.3 Let G be a graph of order n .

- (i) If D is a dominating set with $|D| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then D is an accurate dominating set of G .
- (ii) $d(G, i) = d_a(G, i)$ for every $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- (iii) If $\gamma_a(G) > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then $D(G, x) = D_a(G, x)$.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose that D is a dominating set with $|D| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$. So $|V \setminus D| < \frac{n}{2}$ and no $|D|$ -element subset of $V \setminus D$ is a dominating set of G . Therefore D is an accurate dominating set of G .
- (ii) By Part (i) every dominating sets and accurate dominating sets of G are equal, for $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and so $d(G, i) = d_a(G, i)$.
- (iii) It follows from Part (ii) and the definition of the domination polynomial and the accurate domination polynomial. \square

By Theorem 2.3, for a graph G of order n , we shall find $d_a(G, i)$ for $\gamma_a(G) \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Because for $i > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we can use results on the domination polynomial to obtain $d_a(G, i)$. The following theorem is about the accurate domination number and the number of accurate dominating sets of friendship graph which is the join of K_1 and nK_2 .

Theorem 2.4 *Let F_n be the friendship graph.*

- (i) $\gamma_a(F_n) = 1$.
- (ii) For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_a(F_n, i) = \binom{n}{i-n}2^n + \binom{2n}{i-1}$.

Proof.

- (i) If v is the central vertex of F_n , then $D = \{v\}$ is an accurate dominating set of F_n , and so we have the result.
- (ii) Let D be an accurate dominating set of F_n with cardinality i and v be the center vertex of F_n . There are two cases:
 - (1) If $v \in D$, then $D = D' \cup \{v\}$ and any $i - 1$ vertices of $V(F_n) \setminus \{v\}$ can be in D' . So in this case the number of accurate dominating sets of F_n with cardinality i is $\binom{2n}{i-1}$.
 - (2) If $v \notin D$, then $i \geq n$ and we first choose n vertices from each bases of triangles with 2^n cases and choose $i - n$ vertices with $\binom{n}{i-n}$ cases. Therefore we have the result. \square

We need the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5 [13] *For a graph G with even order n and no isolated vertices, $\gamma(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ if and only if the components of G are the cycles C_4 or the corona $H \circ K_1$ for some connected graph H .*

Theorem 2.6 *If G is a graph of order n , then $\gamma_a(G \circ K_1) = \gamma(G \circ K_1) + 1 = n + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and the vertex u_i is adjacent to v_i for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. If D is a dominating set of G , then for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $u_i \in D$ or $v_i \in D$. This implies that $|D| \geq n$. Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is a dominating set of $G \circ K_1$ with minimum cardinality, we have $\gamma(G \circ K_1) = n$. By adding one vertex to the set D , we have an accurate dominating set, so $\gamma_a(G \circ K_1) = \gamma(G \circ K_1) + 1 = n + 1$. \square

Corollary 2.7 *Let G be a graph of order n .*

- (i) For $n + 1 \leq m \leq 2n$, we have $d_a(G \circ K_1, m) = \binom{n}{m-n}2^{2n-m}$.
- (ii) $D_a(G \circ K_1, x) = x^n(x + 2)^n - 2x^n$.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose that D is an accurate dominating set of $G \circ K_1$ of size m , and $|D \cap V(G)| = i$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $D \cap V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$, so D contains u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n and the vertex u_{n+1} to be an accurate dominating set. For extending $\{v_1, \dots, v_i, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}\}$ to an accurate dominating set D of size m with $D \cap V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$, we have $\binom{n}{m-n}$ possibilities. Therefore $d_a(G \circ K_1, m) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{i}{m-n}$. It is not hard to see that the above sum is equal to $\binom{n}{m-n}2^{2n-m}$.

- (ii) Since there is no accurate dominating sets of cardinality $i \leq n$ for $G \circ K_1$, so we have the result from Part (i). \square

3 Counting the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n

In this section, we want to count the number of accurate dominating sets of path graph P_n . We need the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 [4] *The number of dominating sets of path P_n satisfies the following recursive relation:*

$$d(P_n, i) = d(P_{n-1}, i-1) + d(P_{n-2}, i-1) + d(P_{n-3}, i-1).$$

The following theorem gives the explicit formula for the number of dominating sets of P_n ([6]):

Theorem 3.2 *For every $n \geq 1$, $d(P_n, k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + 1} \binom{k-1}{n-k-m} \binom{n-k-m+2}{m}$.*

By Theorem 2.3(ii), we know that $d(P_n, i) = d_a(P_n, i)$, for $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$. So to study the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n , we need to consider $d_a(P_n, i)$ for $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem 3.3 *The number of accurate dominating sets of path P_n with cardinality i , where $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(P_n, i) \geq \sum_{k=3}^i d(P_{n-k}, i-k+1) + \sum_{k=5}^{i+1} d(P_{n-k}, i-k+2).$$

Proof. Let $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ (see Figure 2) and D be an accurate dominating set of P_n with cardinality i , where $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. At least one of the vertices v_1 or v_2 should be in the dominating set. So for finding a lower bound of $d_a(P_n, i)$, we consider the following cases:

- (i) For every $3 \leq k \leq i$, if $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1} \in D$ and $v_k \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-k}, i-k+1) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1}\}.$$

In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-k}, i-k+1)$.

- (ii) For every $4 \leq k \leq i$, if $v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_k \in D$ and $v_1, v_{k+1} \notin D$,

$$\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-k}, i-k+2) \cup \{v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_k\}.$$

In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-k}, i-k+2)$.




Figure 2: Making accurate dominating sets of P_n related to Theorems 3.3 and 3.4

Therefore we have the result. \square

Theorem 3.4 *The number of accurate dominating sets of path P_n with cardinality i , where $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(P_n, i) \leq d_a(P_{n-2}, i-1) + d_a(P_{n-3}, i-1) + d_a(P_{n-4}, i-2) \\ + \sum_{k=3}^i d(P_{n-k}, i-k+1) + \sum_{k=5}^{i+1} d(P_{n-k}, i-k+2).$$

Proof. Let $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ (see Figure 2) and D be an accurate dominating set of P_n with cardinality i . At least one of the vertices v_1 or v_2 should be in the dominating set. So for finding an upper bound of $d_a(P_n, i)$, we consider the following cases:

- (i) For every $3 \leq k \leq i$, if $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1} \in D$ and $v_k \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-k}, i-k+1) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{k-1}\}.$$

In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-k}, i-k+1)$.

- (ii) For every $4 \leq k \leq i$, if $v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_k \in D$ and $v_1, v_{k+1} \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-k}, i-k+2) \cup \{v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_k\}.$$

In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-k}, i-k+2)$.

- (iii) If $v_1 \in D$ and $v_2 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_a(P_{n-2}, i-1) \cup \{v_1\}$. In this case $d_a(P_n, i)$ is at most $d_a(P_{n-2}, i-1)$.
- (iv) If $v_1 \notin D, v_2 \in D$ and $v_3 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_a(P_{n-3}, i-1) \cup \{v_2\}$. In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is at most $d_a(P_{n-3}, i-1)$.
- (v) If $v_2, v_3 \in D$, and $v_1, v_4 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_a(P_{n-4}, i-2) \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$. In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is at most $d_a(P_{n-4}, i-2)$.

Therefore we have the result. \square

Remark 3.5 *The upper bound in Theorem 3.4 is sharp. It suffices to consider path P_7 and $i = 4$. Then we have $d_a(P_5, 3) = 8$, $d_a(P_4, 3) = 4$, $d_a(P_3, 2) = 3$, $d(P_4, 2) = 4$, $d(P_3, 1) = 1$, $d(P_2, 1) = 2$ and $d_a(P_7, 4) = 22$.*

Theorem 3.6 *The number of accurate dominating sets of path P_n with cardinality i , $d_a(P_n, i)$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(P_n, i) \geq 2d(P_{n-3}, i-2) - d(P_{n-6}, i-4).$$

Proof. Let $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ (see Figure 3) and D be an accurate dominating set of P_n with cardinality i . At least one of the vertices v_1 or v_2 should be in the dominating set D . So for finding a lower bound, we consider the following cases:

- (i) If $v_1, v_2 \in D$ and $v_3 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-3}, i-2) \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$. In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-3}, i-2)$.
- (ii) If $v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_{n-2} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(P_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-3}, i-2) \cup \{v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case the number of accurate dominating sets of P_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-3}, i-2)$.

Now, we consider the case $v_1, v_2, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_3, v_{n-2} \notin D$. Since we count these cases twice, then we should delete them once and the number of cases are $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$. Therefore we have the result. \square

Remark 3.7 *The lower bound in Theorem 3.6 is sharp. It suffices to consider path P_6 (see Figure 4) and $i = 3$. Then we have two accurate dominating sets $\{1, 2, 5\}$ and $\{2, 5, 6\}$ and $d(P_3, 1) = 1$.*




Figure 3: Making accurate dominating sets of P_n related to the proof of Theorem 3.6




Figure 4: Path graph P_6 with vertex set $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

4 Counting the number of accurate dominating sets of C_n

In this section we count the number of accurate dominating sets of cycle graph C_n . We need the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 [5] *The number of dominating sets of cycle C_n satisfies the following recursive relation:*

$$d(C_n, i) = d(C_{n-1}, i-1) + d(C_{n-2}, i-1) + d(C_{n-3}, i-1).$$

The following theorem gives the explicit formula for the number of dominating sets of C_n ([6]):

Theorem 4.2 [6] *For every $n \geq 3$,*

$$d(C_n, k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + 1} \binom{k-1}{n-k-m} \left(\binom{n-k-m+2}{m+2} \binom{n-k-m}{m-2} \right).$$

By Theorem 2.3(ii), we know that $d(C_n, i) = d_a(C_n, i)$, for $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$. So to study the number of accurate dominating sets of C_n , we should consider $d_a(C_n, i)$ for $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. We need the following observation:

Observation 4.3 *If there is no three or more consecutive vertices in the dominating set D of the cycle C_n with $|D| \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then D is not an accurate dominating set for C_n .*

Theorem 4.4 *The number of accurate dominating sets of cycle C_n , $n \geq 6$, with cardinality i , where $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(C_n, i) \geq \sum_{k=3}^{i-1} (k+2) d(P_{n-k-2}, i-k).$$

Proof. Let $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ (see Figure 5) and D be an accurate dominating set of C_n with cardinality i , where $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. By Observation 4.3, at least one of the three vertices v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2} ($1 \leq j \leq n-2$) are in D . Therefore one of the three vertices v_1, v_2, v_n are in D . We consider the following cases:

Case (1) If $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in D$ and $v_4, v_n \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$.

Case (2) If $v_1, v_2, v_n \in D$ and $v_3, v_{n-1} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$.

Case (3) If $v_1, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_2, v_{n-2} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_1, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$.

Case (4) If $v_2, v_3, v_4 \in D$ and $v_1, v_5 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$.

Case (5) If $v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_1, v_{n-3} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$.

Case (6) If $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in D$ and $v_5, v_n \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

Case (7) If $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_n \in D$ and $v_4, v_{n-1} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

Case (8) If $v_1, v_2, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_3, v_{n-2} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

Case (9) If $v_1, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_1, v_{n-3} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_1, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

Case (10) If $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \in D$ and $v_1, v_6 \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

Case (11) If $v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n \in D$ and $v_1, v_{n-4} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-6}, i-4) \cup \{v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-6}, i-4)$.

By continuing these steps, we will have the following $i+1$ end steps:

Case (1') If $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{i-1} \in D$ and $v_i, v_n \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-i-1}, 1) \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{i-1}\}.$$

In this case the number of isolate dominating sets of C_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-i-1}, 1)$.

Case (2') If $v_n, v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{i-2} \in D$, and $v_i, v_{n-1} \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-i-1}, 1) \cup \{v_n, v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{i-2}\}.$$

In this case the number of isolate dominating sets of C_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-i-1}, 1)$.

Case (i') If $v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_i \in D$, and $v_1, v_{i+1} \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-i-1}, 1) \cup \{v_{n-i+2}, v_{n-i+3}, \dots, v_n, v_1\}.$$




Figure 5: Making accurate dominating sets of C_n related to the proof of Theorem 4.4

In this case the number of isolate dominating sets of C_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-i-1}, 1)$.

Case $((i+1)')$ If $v_{n-i+1}, v_{n-i+2}, v_{n-i+3}, \dots, v_n \in D$, and $v_1, v_{n-i} \notin D$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-i-1}, 1) \cup \{v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_i\}.$$

In this case the number of isolate dominating sets of C_n with cardinality i is $d(P_{n-i-1}, 1)$.

So we have:

$$d_a(C_n, i) \geq 5d(P_{n-5}, i-3) + 6d(P_{n-6}, i-4) + \dots + (i+1)d(P_{n-i-1}, 1)$$

and therefore we have the result. \square

Theorem 4.5 *The number of accurate dominating sets of cycle C_n , $n \geq 6$, with cardinality i , where $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(C_n, i) \leq \sum_{k=3}^{i-1} nd(P_{n-k-2}, i-k).$$

Proof. By Observation 4.3, at least three or more consecutive vertices to have an accurate dominating set for C_n . Let $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and D be an accurate




Figure 6: Cycle graph C_{10} with vertex set $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

dominating set of C_n with cardinality i . First we consider three consecutive vertices in D which have n cases. For example, if $v_{k-1}, v_k, v_{k+1} \in D$ and $v_{k-2}, v_{k+2} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_{k-1}, v_k, v_{k+1}\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$. So we have $nd(P_{n-5}, i-3)$ accurate dominating sets. Now we consider four consecutive vertices in D which are n cases. For example, if $v_{k-1}, v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2} \in D$ and $v_{k-2}, v_{k+3} \notin D$, then $\mathcal{D}_a(C_n, i) = \mathcal{D}(P_{n-5}, i-3) \cup \{v_{k-1}, v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}\}$. In this case $d_a(C_n, i)$ is $d(P_{n-5}, i-3)$. So we have $nd(P_{n-6}, i-4)$ accurate dominating sets. By continuing this process, since we count some cases possibly more than once, so we have the result. \square

Remark 4.6 *The upper bound in Theorem 4.5 is sharp. It suffices to consider the cycle C_{10} (see Figure 6) and $i = 5$. Then we have 30 accurate dominating sets as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{1, 2, 3, 5, 8\}, \quad \{1, 2, 3, 6, 8\}, \quad \{1, 2, 3, 6, 9\}, \quad \{2, 3, 4, 7, 9\}, \quad \{2, 3, 4, 7, 10\}, \\ &\{2, 3, 4, 6, 9\}, \quad \{3, 4, 5, 7, 10\}, \quad \{3, 4, 5, 8, 10\}, \quad \{3, 4, 5, 8, 1\}, \quad \{4, 5, 6, 8, 1\}, \\ &\{4, 5, 6, 9, 1\}, \quad \{4, 5, 6, 9, 2\}, \quad \{5, 6, 7, 9, 2\}, \quad \{5, 6, 7, 10, 2\}, \quad \{5, 6, 7, 10, 3\}, \\ &\{6, 7, 8, 10, 3\}, \quad \{6, 7, 8, 1, 3\}, \quad \{6, 7, 8, 1, 4\}, \quad \{7, 8, 9, 1, 4\}, \quad \{7, 8, 9, 2, 4\}, \\ &\{7, 8, 9, 2, 5\}, \quad \{8, 9, 10, 2, 5\}, \quad \{8, 9, 10, 3, 5\}, \quad \{8, 9, 10, 3, 6\}, \quad \{9, 10, 1, 3, 6\}, \\ &\{9, 10, 1, 4, 6\}, \quad \{9, 10, 1, 4, 7\}, \quad \{10, 1, 2, 4, 7\}, \quad \{10, 1, 2, 5, 7\}, \quad \{10, 1, 2, 5, 8\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(P_5, 2) = 3$ and $d(P_4, 1) = 0$, then the equality holds.

Theorem 4.7 *The number of accurate dominating sets of cycle C_n , $n \geq 6$, with cardinality i , $d_a(C_n, i)$, satisfies:*

$$d_a(C_n, i) \geq (n - i + 1)d_a(C_{n-1}, i - 1).$$

Proof. First, we consider the graph C_{n-1} and find all of the accurate dominating sets of that with size $i - 1$. Now we have $n - i + 1$ vertices which are not in the dominating set. We put a new vertex as a neighbour of them and also in the dominating set. Therefore we have an accurate dominating set with size i for C_n . \square

Remark 4.8 *The lower bound in Theorem 4.7 is sharp. It suffices to consider $i = n$.*

By Theorems 3.2 and 4.2, we have the following result:

Corollary 4.9 For every $n \geq 3$ and $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $d_a(P_n, i) \leq d_a(C_n, i)$.

Comparing $d_a(P_n, i)$ with $d_a(C_n, i)$ for $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, looks interesting. Note that The inequality in Corollary 4.9 is not true for every i . For example $d_a(C_9, 3) = 0 < d_a(P_9, 3) = 1$.

5 Conclusions

We studied the number of accurate dominating sets for certain graphs. For some graphs we found the exact formula for the number of accurate dominating sets of cardinality i , but for paths and cycles the problem looks difficult. We presented some inequalities for $d_a(P_n, i)$ and $d_a(C_n, i)$, but until now all attempts to find a formula for $d_a(P_n, i)$ and $d_a(C_n, i)$ failed. There are recurrence relations for the number of dominating sets of arbitrary graph G with cardinality i ([10]) and it is interesting problem to find recurrence relations for the number of accurate dominating sets, too. The paper leaves some open problems, among them:

Open Problem 1. Find explicit formulas for $d_a(P_n, i)$ and $d_a(C_n, i)$, for $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Open Problem 2. Find recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the $d_a(G, i)$ using simple graph operations.

References

- [1] Akbari, S., Alikhani, S., Peng, Y.H., Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 31 (2010) 1714-1724.
- [2] Alikhani, S., Akhbari, M.H., Eslahchi, C., Hasni, R., On the number of outer connected dominating sets of graphs, *Utilitas Math.* 91 (2013) 99-107.
- [3] Alikhani, S., Peng, Y.H., Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph, *Ars Combin.* 114 (2014) 257—266.
- [4] Alikhani, S., Peng, Y.H., Dominating sets and domination polynomials of paths, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* (2009) Article ID 542040.
- [5] Alikhani, S., Peng, Y.H., Dominating sets and domination polynomials of certain graphs, II, *Opuscula Math.* (30) (1)(2010) 37-51.
- [6] Arocha, J.L., Llano, B., The number of dominating k -sets of paths, cycles and wheels, Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.01268>.
- [7] Cyman, J., Henning, M.A., Topp, J., On accurate domination in graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 39 (2019) 615—627.
- [8] Dhanalakshmi, K., Maheswari, B., Accurate and total accurate dominating sets of interval graphs, *Int. J. Comput. Eng. Tech.* 5 (2014) 85-93.

- [9] Haynes, T.W., Hedetniemi, S.T., Slater, P.J., Fundamentals of domination in graphs. Marcel Dekker, NewYork, (1998).
- [10] Kotek, T., Preen, J., Simon, F., Tittmann, P., Trinks, M., Recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the domination polynomial, *Elec. J. Combin.* 19(3) (2012) 27 pp.
- [11] Kulli, V.R., Kattimani, M.B., The accurate domination number of a graph, Technical Report 2000:01, (Dept. Math., Gulbarga University, Gulbarga) (2000).
- [12] Oboudi, M.R., On the roots of domination polynomial of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 205 (2016) 126-131.
- [13] Payan, C., Xuong, N.H., Domination-balanced graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, 6 (1982) 23–32.