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#### Abstract

In this paper we provide the basic setup for a project, initiated by Felix Rehren in [16, aiming at classifying all 2-generated primitive axial agebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$. We first revise Rehren's construction of an initial object in the cathegory of primitive $n$-generated axial algebras giving a formal one, filling some gaps and, though correcting some inaccuracies, confirm Rehren's results. Then we focus on 2-generated algebras which naturally part into three cases: the two critical cases $\alpha=2 \beta$ and $\alpha=4 \beta$, and the generic case (i.e. all the rest). About these cases, which will be dealt in detail in subsequent papers, we give bounds on the dimensions (the generic case already treated by Rehen) and classify all 2-generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ algebraically independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$. Finally we restrict to the 2 -generated Majorana algebras (i.e. when $\alpha=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{32}$ ), showing that these fall precisely into the nine isomorphism types of the Norton-Sakuma algebras.


## 1. Introduction

Axial algebras constitute a class of commutative non-associative algebras generated by idempotent elements called axes such that their adjoint action is semisimple and the relative eigenvectors satisfy a prescribed fusion law. Let $R$ be a ring, $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq R \backslash\{0,1\}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. An axial algebra over $R$ is called of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ if it satisfies the fusion law $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$ given in Table 1 . This means that the adjoint action of every axis has spectrum $\{1,0, \alpha, \beta\}$ and, for any two eigenvectors $v_{\gamma}, v_{\delta}$ with relative eigenvalues $\gamma, \delta \in\{1,0, \alpha, \beta\}$, the product $v_{\gamma} \cdot v_{\delta}$ is a sum of eigenvectors relative to eigenvalues contained in $\gamma \star \delta$. This class was introduced by J. Hall, F. Rehren and S. Shpectorov [7] in order to axiomatise some key features of important classes of algebras, including the weight-2 components of OZ-type vertex operator algebras, Jordan algebras and Matsuo algebras (see the introductions of [7], [16] and [5). They are also of particular interest for finite group theorists as most of the finite simple groups, or their automorphism groups, can be faithfully and effectively represented as automorphism groups of these algebras.

| $\star$ | 1 | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $\emptyset$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| 0 | $\emptyset$ | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | 1,0 | $\beta$ |
| $\beta$ | $\beta$ | $\beta$ | $\beta$ | $1,0, \alpha$ |

Table 1. Fusion table $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$

The motivating example is the Griess algebra $V_{2}^{\sharp}$ which is a real axial algebra of Monster type ( $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32}$ ) and coincides with the weight- 2 component of the Monster vertex operator algebra $V^{\sharp}$. Here axes are associated to the involutions of type $2 A$ in the Monster (i.e. those having the double cover of the Baby Monster as centraliser). The subalgebras of the Griess algebra which are generated by two axes were first classified by S. Norton in [14] who showed that there are nine isomorphism classes of such algebras, corresponding to the 9 conjugacy classes in the Monster group $M$ of the dihedral subgroups generated by the pairs of $2 A$ involutions associated to the two generating axes. These algebras are labelled $1 A, 2 A, 3 A, 4 A, 5 A, 6 A, 4 B$, $2 B$.

On the basis of an earlier work by M. Miyamoto [12], who observed that Ising vectors in a vertex operator algebra of CFT-type satisfy the Monster fusion law, in 17 S . Sakuma classified all $O Z$-type vertex operator algebras generated by a pair of two Ising conformal vectors showing that, up to rescaling, the isomorphism types of their weight- 2 subspaces match precisely the 9 classes of Norton, now often called Norton-Sakuma algebras.

By extracting the relevant properties of these weight-2 subspaces, A. A. Ivanov introduced in 2009 the concept of Majorana algebras [10, which are real axial algebras of Monster type $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32}\right)$ satisfying some additional properties, in particular they are endowed with a positive definite associative bilinear form. In 2010 A. Ivanov, D. Pasechnik, A. Seress and S. Shpectorov obtained Norton's classification within the axiomatic context of Majorana algebras (see [11]). A further development was achieved by J. Hall, F. Rehren and S. Shpectorov [7] who constructed a universal object for primitive Frobenius axial algebras with a prescribed fusion law and extended Norton-Sakuma theorem to 2 -generated primitive Frobenius axial algebras of Monster type $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32}\right)$. Subsequently F. Rehren [16, 15] addressed the general case dropping the assumption on the existence of the bilinear form (which characterises Frobenius axial algebras) and described a universal object for primitive axial algebras with a prescribed fusion law. In the particular case of 2 generated algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \notin\{2 \beta, 4 \beta\}$ and in characteristic other than 2 , he produced a spanning set of 8 elements and computed the structure constants with respect to these elements. Finally he produced new examples of 2 -generated primitive Frobenius axial algebras of Monster type. This paper is part of a project of the authors aiming to classifying 2-generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type over fields. We start by giving, for any positive integer $n$, a formal construction of a universal $n$-generated primitive axial algebra mapping epimorphically onto every $n$-generated axial algebra with a prescribed fusion law. We then focus on 2-generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type. We say that such an algebra is symmetric if the map that swaps the two generating axes extends to an automorphism of the entire algebra. All the algebras considered by Rehren in [15, 16] are symmetric. In Section [4] we re-prove Rehren's result on the number of generators and get the following bound for symmetric algebras in the case $\alpha=4 \beta$.

Theorem 1.1. Every 2-generated primitive axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic other than 2 has dimension at most 8, provided $\alpha \notin\{2 \beta, 4 \beta\}$.

Theorem 1.2. Every 2-generated symmetric primitive axial algebra of Monster type $(4 \beta, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic other than 2 has dimension at most 8 , except possibly when $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(2, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

The case $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(2, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is truly exceptional, as the infinite dimensional 2 generated symmetric primitive axial algebra of Moster type ( $2, \frac{1}{2}$ ) constructed in [4] shows. On the other hand, the same bound 8 holds for 2 -generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type $(2 \beta, \beta)$ over any ring in which 2 and $\beta$ are invertible and it is the best possible (see [3]).

In Section 5 we consider in more details the case when $\alpha-2 \beta$ and $\alpha-4 \beta$ are invertible in the field $\mathbb{F}$, which we call the generic case. Denote by $\mathbb{F}_{0}$ the prime subfield of $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{0}(\alpha, \beta)[x, y, z, t]$ be the polynomial ring in 4 variables over $\mathbb{F}_{0}(\alpha, \beta)$. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.3. There exists a subset $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{0}(\alpha, \beta)[x, y, z, t]$ of size 4 , depending only on $\mathbb{F}_{0}$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$, such that every 2 -generated primitive axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic other than 2 , with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \notin\{2 \beta, 4 \beta\}$ is completely determined, up to homomorphic images, by a quadruple $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{4}$ which is a common zero of all the elements of $T$.

Using Theorem 1.3, we classify the algebras defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$. We refer to 8 for the definition of the algebras of type $1 A, 2 B, 3 C(\eta), \eta \in \mathbb{F}$. We denote by $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ the algebra of dimension 4 defined in [16, Table 9] for $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $V$ be a 2-generated primitive axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ algebraically independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then we have one of the following:
(1) $V$ is the trivial algebra $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ of type $1 A$;
(2) $V$ is an algebra of type $2 B$;
(3) $V$ is an algebra of Jordan type $\alpha$ of type $3 C(\alpha)$;
(4) $V$ is an algebra of Jordan type $\beta$ of type $3 C(\beta)$;
(5) $V$ is an algebra of dimension 4 of type $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$.

In particular, $V$ is symmetric.
Recall that the fusion law $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)_{+}=\{1,0, \alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)_{-}=\{\beta\}$ and this implies that every axis induces an automorphism of the algebra called Miyamoto involution. The Miyamoto group is the group generated by all Miyamoto involutions (see [9]).

Corollary 1.5. Let $V$ be a primitive finitely generated axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the Miyamoto group of $V$ is a group of 3 -transpositions.

Finally, as a consequence of Theorem 1.3 we get that the Norton-Sakuma Theorem holds in general for 2-generated primitive axial algebra of Monster type ( $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32}$ ) over a field of characteristic zero, without any assumption on the existence of a Frobenius form. This fact has been also checked computationally in 19 .

Theorem 1.6. Every 2 -generated primitive axial algebra of Monster type $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32}\right)$ over a field of characteristic zero is a Norton-Sakuma algebra.

Throughout this paper $R$ is a commutative associative ring with 1 .
While this paper was in preparation, Yabe posted a preprint in arXiv giving an almost complete classification of the primitive symmetric 2-generated axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ [18].

## 2. Primitive axial algebras

We begin with some basic results about endomorphisms of $R$-modules, which are well known for vector spaces. The main difference is that, when considering $R$-modules instead of vector spaces, it is no longer true in general that eigenvectors relative to different eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Let $V$ be an $R$-module. For $\xi \in \operatorname{End}(V), \lambda \in R$, and $\Gamma \subseteq R$, define

$$
V_{\lambda}^{\xi}:=\{v \in V \mid \xi(v)=\lambda v\} \text { and } V_{\Gamma}^{\xi}:=\sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma} V_{\lambda}^{\xi}
$$

If $V$ is also an $R$-algebra and $a \in V$, denote by $\operatorname{ad}_{a}$ the endomorphism of $V$ induced by multiplication by $a$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rllc}
\operatorname{ad}_{a}: \quad V & \rightarrow & V \\
x & \mapsto & a x
\end{array} .
$$

In this case, we'll write simply $V_{\lambda}^{a}$ and $V_{\Gamma}^{a}$ instead of $V_{\lambda}^{\text {ad }_{a}}$ and $V_{\Gamma}^{\text {ad }_{a}}$, respectively.
Two elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $R$ are called distinguishable if $\alpha-\beta$ is a unit in $R$. In the remainder of this section we assume that $\Gamma$ is a finite set of pairwise distinguishable elements of $R$. Note that every nontrivial ring homomorphism maps sets of pairwise distinguishable elements into sets of pairwise distinguishable elements. Let $R[x]$ be the ring of polynomials over $R$ with indeterminate $x$.
Lemma 2.1. If $g \in R[x]$ satisfies $g(\lambda)=0$ for every $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $\operatorname{deg} g<|\Gamma|$, then $g=0$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $|\Gamma|$. If $|\Gamma|=1$, then $g$ is a constant and since the value of $g$ is zero in at least one element (the element of $\Gamma$ ), it must be $g=0$. Suppose $|\Gamma|>1$. Assume by contradiction that $g$ is not the zero polynomial and set $k:=\operatorname{deg} g$. Then $k<|\Gamma|$. Let $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then, since $x-\lambda$ is monic, we have $g=q \cdot(x-\lambda)+r$, with $r=g(\lambda)=0$, so $g=q \cdot(x-\lambda)$. Then clearly $\operatorname{deg} q=k-1<\left|\Gamma^{\prime}\right|$, where $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \backslash\{\lambda\}$. Also for $\mu \in \Gamma^{\prime}, 0=g(\mu)=q(\mu) \cdot(\mu-\lambda)$. Since $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are distinguishable, $(\mu-\lambda)$ is a unit and so $q(\mu)=0$. By induction this means that $q=0$, whence also $g=0$, a contradiction.

For $\mu \in \Gamma$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mu}:=\prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma \backslash\{\mu\}}(x-\lambda), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:=\prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma}(x-\lambda), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

clearly

$$
f=(x-\mu) f_{\mu}
$$

for every $\mu \in \Gamma$. Note that, since elements of $\Gamma$ are pairwise distinguishable, $f_{\mu}(\mu)$ is a unit in $R$.

Corollary 2.2.

$$
\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)} f_{\mu}=1
$$

Proof. Define

$$
g:=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)} f_{\mu}-1
$$

then $\operatorname{deg} g<|\Gamma|$ and clearly $g(\lambda)=0$ for every $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $g=0$.
Lemma 2.3. For every $\xi \in \operatorname{End}(V)$, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma} V_{\lambda}^{\xi}$;
(2) $V=V_{\Gamma}^{\xi}$;
(3) $f(\xi) V=0$.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (2) and (2) implies (3). Suppose (3) is satisfied. Then, for every $\mu \in \Gamma$, and $v \in V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =(f(\xi))(v)=\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma}(\xi-\lambda)\right)(v) \\
& =(\xi-\mu)\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma \backslash\{\mu\}}(\xi-\lambda)\right)(v) \\
& =(\xi-\mu)\left(\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)(v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)(v) \in V_{\mu}^{\xi}$. Set

$$
v_{\mu}:=\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)}\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)(v)
$$

By Corollary 2.2,

$$
i d_{V}=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)} f_{\mu}(\xi)
$$

and so

$$
v=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)}\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)(v)=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} v_{\mu}
$$

Now, assume $v=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} w_{\mu}$ for some $w_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}^{\xi}$. Since

$$
\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)}\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)\left(w_{\lambda}\right)=\delta_{\lambda \mu} w_{\mu}
$$

(where $\delta_{\lambda \mu}$ is the Kronecker delta), we get

$$
v_{\mu}=\frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)}\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)(v)=\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{f_{\mu}(\mu)}\left(f_{\mu}(\xi)\right)\left(w_{\lambda}\right)=w_{\mu}
$$

giving (1).
Recall [2] that a fusion law is a pair $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ is a set and $*$ is a map from the cartesian product $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ to the power set $2^{\mathcal{S}}$. A morphism between two fusion laws $\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, *_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{2}, *_{2}\right)$ is a map

$$
\phi: \mathcal{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2}
$$

such that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$,

$$
\phi\left(\alpha *_{1} \beta\right) \subseteq \phi(\alpha) *_{2} \phi(\beta)
$$

An isomorphism of fusion laws is a bijective morphism such that its inverse is also a morphism. A fusion law $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ is said to be finite if $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set. In this paper we deal with fusion laws $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ where $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set containing the spectrum of the adjoint action of an idempotent element in an $R$-algebra. Therefore, we assume $1_{R} \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq R$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $0_{R} \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, for every morphism $\phi$ of fusion laws, we'll assume that $1^{\phi}=1$ and $0^{\phi}=0$. More generally, when considering morphisms between fusion laws, one may want to preserve some possible algebraic relations between the elements of the set $\mathcal{S}$. To this aim, we call a morphism $\phi$ of fusion laws an algebraic morphism if it is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map. An axial algebra over $R$ with generating set $\mathcal{A}$ and fusion law $(\mathcal{S}, \star)$ is a quadruple

$$
(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, \star))
$$

such that
(1) $R$ is an associative commutative ring with identity 1 ;
(2) $\mathcal{S}$ is a subset of $R$ containing 1 and 0 ;
(3) $V$ is a commutative non associative $R$-algebra;
(4) $\mathcal{A}$ is a set of idempotent elements (called axes) of $V$ that generate $V$ as an $R$-algebra and such that
Ax1 $V=V_{\mathcal{S}}^{a}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
$\operatorname{Ax} 2 V_{\lambda}^{a} V_{\mu}^{a} \subseteq V_{\lambda \star \mu}^{a}$ for every $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Further, $V$ is called primitive if,
Ax3 $V_{1}^{a}=R a$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
A Frobenius axial algebra is an axial algebra $(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, \star))$ endowed with an associative bilinear form $\kappa: V \times V \rightarrow R$ such that the map $a \mapsto \kappa(a, a)$ is constant on the set of axes.

Let $(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, \star))$ be an axial algebra and assume the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise distinguishable. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, for every $v \in V$, denote by $v_{1}$ the projection of $v$ into $V_{1}^{a}$ with respect to the decomposition of $V$ into $a d_{a}$-eigenspaces. If $V$ is primitive, we have

$$
v_{1}=\lambda_{a}(v) a
$$

for some $\lambda_{a}(v) \in R$ which is generally not unique. On the other hand, if the annihilator ideal

$$
A n n_{R}(a):=\{r \in R \mid r a=0\}
$$

of $a$ in $R$ is trivial, then $\lambda_{a}(v)$ is unique, and we say that $a$ is a free axis. Clearly this condition is satisfied when $R$ is a field. As an immediate consequence we have the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.4. Let $(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, \star))$ be a primitive axial algebra and assume that the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise distinguishable and the axes in $\mathcal{A}$ are free. Then, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a well defined $R$-linear map

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda_{a}: & : V & \rightarrow & R \\
v & \mapsto & \lambda_{a}(v) \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

such that every $v \in V$ decomposes uniquely as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\lambda_{a}(v) a+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{1\}} v_{\mu}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}^{a}$.
We say that $V$ is weak primitive if, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and every element $v \in V, v$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=l_{a}(v) a+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{1\}} v_{\mu} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{a}(v) \in R$ depends on $v$ and $a$, and $v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}^{a}$. Note that in general the decomposition in (5) and $l_{a}(v)$ are not uniquely determined by $v$.

Lemma 2.5. If the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise distinguishable, in particular, if $R$ is a field, then weak primitivity is equivalent to primitivity.

Proof. Trivially, primitivity implies weak primitivity. Conversely, suppose that $V$ is weak primitive, fix $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $v_{1} \in V_{1}^{a}$. Then, by weak primitivity, there exist $l \in R, v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}^{a}$, such that

$$
v_{1}=l a+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{1\}} v_{\mu}
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{1\}} v_{\mu}=v_{1}-l a \in\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{1\}} V_{\mu}^{a}\right) \cap V_{1}^{a},
$$

and the last intersection is trivial by Lemma 2.3. Thus $v_{1}=l a \in R a$.
We conclude this section with the following straightforward observation.
Lemma 2.6. Let $(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, \star))$ be a primitive axial algebra and assume that the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise distinguishable, and the axes in $\mathcal{A}$ are free. Then, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{S}$, and $v, w \in V$, we have

$$
\left(f_{1}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{a}\right)\right)\left(w-\lambda_{a}(w) a\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\left(\prod_{\eta \in \gamma \star \delta}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c}-\eta\right)\right)\left(\left(f_{\gamma}\left(a d_{c}\right)\right)(v) \cdot\left(f_{\delta}\left(a d_{c}\right)\right)(w)\right)=0
$$

## 3. Universal primitive axial algebras

In this section we fix a positive integer $k$, a fusion law $\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}, *_{0}\right)$, and denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the class whose objects are the primitive axial algebras

$$
(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *))
$$

such that
O1 $\mathcal{A}$ has size at most $k$ and its elements are free axes,
O2 $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}, *_{0}\right)$, and
O3 the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are pairwise distinguishable in $R$.

For two elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{1}:=\left(R_{1}, V_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{1},\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, *_{1}\right)\right) \text { and } \mathcal{V}_{2}:=\left(R_{2}, V_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{2},\left(\mathcal{S}_{2}, *_{2}\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{O}$, let $\mathcal{H o m}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$ be the set of maps

$$
\phi: R_{1} \cup V_{1} \rightarrow R_{2} \cup V_{2}
$$

satisfying the following conditions:
H1 $\phi_{\mid R_{1}}$ is an homomorphism of rings with identity between $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ that induces by restriction an isomorphism of fusion laws between $\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, *_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{2}, *_{2}\right)$;
$\mathrm{H} 2 \phi_{\mid V_{1}}$ is a (non-associative) ring homomorphism between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that $\phi\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{2} ;$
H3 $(\gamma v)^{\phi}=\gamma^{\phi} v^{\phi}$, for every $\gamma \in R_{1}$ and $v \in V_{1}$.
Note that, since $\phi_{\mid R_{1}}$ is a ring homomorphism, the induced isomorphism of fusion law in (H1) is in fact an algebraic isomorphism. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the class of all $\phi \in \mathcal{H o m}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$ where $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ range in $\mathcal{O}$. Then clearly $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H})$ is a category.

It will turn convenient to define some subcategories of $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H})$ in the following way. Let

- $n:=|\mathcal{S} \backslash\{0,1\}| ;$
- $x_{i}, y_{i}, w_{i}, z_{i, j}, t_{h}$ be algebraically independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Z}$, for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i<j, h \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $D$ be the polynomial ring

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{i}, y_{i}, w_{i}, z_{i, j}, t_{h} \mid i, j \in\{1, \ldots n\}, i<j, h \in \mathbb{N}\right] ;
$$

- $L$ be a proper ideal of $D$ containing the set
$\Sigma:=\left\{x_{i} y_{i}-1,\left(1-x_{i}\right) w_{i}-1\right.$, and $\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) z_{i, j}-1$ for all $\left.1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} ;$
- $\hat{D}:=D / L$. For $d \in D$, we denote the element $L+d$ by $\hat{d}$.

The extra indeterminates $t_{h}$ in the definition of $D$ have been introduced here in order to guarantee, when necessary, the invertibility of certain elements in the ring $\hat{D}$.

Since $L$ is proper and, for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, the elements $\hat{x}_{i}-\hat{x}_{j}$ are invertible in $\hat{D}$, the elements $\hat{x}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{n}$ are still pairwise distinguishable in $\hat{D}$. Define $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}, \mathcal{H}_{L}\right)$ as the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H})$ whose objects are the primitive axial algebras $(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *)) \in \mathcal{O}$ that satisfy the further condition

O4 $R$ is a ring containing a subring isomorphic to a factor of $\hat{D}$.
Clearly, if $(\Sigma)$ is the ideal of $D$ generated by the set $\Sigma$, then $\mathcal{O}_{(\Sigma)}=\mathcal{O}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$ be as in Equation (6) and let $\phi \in \mathcal{H o m}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$. Then, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, v \in V_{1}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(v^{\phi}\right)=\left(\lambda_{a}(v)\right)^{\phi} .
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$ are primitive axial algebras, the result follows applying $\phi$ to the decomposition of $v$ in Equation (4).

We construct a universal object for each category $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}, \mathcal{H}_{L}\right)$ as follows. Let

- $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of size $k$;
- $W$ be the free commutative magma generated by the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ subject to the condition that every element of $\mathcal{A}$ is idempotent;
- $\hat{R}:=\hat{D}[\Lambda]$ be the ring of polynomials with coefficients in $\hat{D}$ and indeterminates set $\Lambda:=\left\{\lambda_{c, w} \mid c \in \mathcal{A}, w \in W, c \neq w\right\}$ where $\lambda_{c, w}=\lambda_{c^{\prime}, w^{\prime}}$ if and only if $c=c^{\prime}$ and $w=w^{\prime}$.
- $\hat{V}:=\hat{R}[W]$ be the set of all formal linear combinations $\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w$ of the elements of $W$ with coefficients in $\hat{R}$, with only finitely many coefficients different from zero. Endow $\hat{V}$ with the usual structure of a commutative non associative $\hat{R}$-algebra;
- $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the set $\left\{1,0, \hat{x}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{n}\right\}$.

Let $\star: \hat{\mathcal{S}} \times \hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow 2^{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}$ be a map such that $(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star)$ is a fusion law isomorphic to $(\mathcal{S}, *)$. Since, obviously, a fusion law is isomorphic to ( $S, *$ ) if and only if it is isomorphic to $(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star)$, we may assume $(\mathcal{S}, *)=(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star)$. For $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, let $f_{\mu}$ be the polynomial defined in Equation (11), for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\lambda_{c, c}:=1$, and let $J$ be the ideal of $\hat{V}$ generated by all the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c}\right)\right)\left(w-\lambda_{c, w} c\right) \quad \text { for all } c \in \mathcal{A} \text { and } w \in W \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{\eta \in \gamma \star \delta}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c}-\eta \operatorname{id}_{\hat{V}}\right)\right)\left(\left(f_{\gamma}\left(a d_{c}\right)\right)(v) \cdot\left(f_{\delta}\left(a d_{c}\right)\right)(w)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v, w \in \hat{V}, \gamma, \delta \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $I_{0}$ be the ideal of $\hat{R}$ generated by all the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} \lambda_{c, w} \text { for all } c \in \mathcal{A}, w \in W, \gamma_{w} \in \hat{R} \text { such that } \sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w \in J . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{A} / J:=\{c+J \mid c \in \mathcal{A}\} \\
& -J_{0}:=J+I_{0} \hat{V}, \\
& -\bar{R}:=\hat{R} / I_{0}, \\
& -\bar{V}:=\hat{V} / J_{0}, \\
& -\alpha_{i}:=x_{i}+I_{0}, \text { for } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \\
& -\bar{c}:=c+J_{0}, \text { for } c \in \mathcal{A}, \\
& -\overline{\mathcal{A}}:=\{\bar{c} \mid c \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\
& -\overline{\mathcal{S}}:=\left\{1+I_{0}, 0+I_{0}, \alpha_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.2. Since $\hat{D} \cap I_{0}=\{0\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \leq \hat{D} I_{0} / I_{0}$, ( $\left.\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}\right)$ is a fusion law isomorphic to $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ and the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ are pairwise distinguishable.

Lemma 3.3. $(\hat{R}, \hat{V} / J, \mathcal{A} / J,(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star))$ and $(\hat{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star))$ are primitive axial algebras such that, for $J_{*} \in\left\{J, J_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\lambda_{c+J_{*}}\left(w+J_{*}\right)=\lambda_{c, w}
$$

for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W$.
Proof. Clearly $\hat{V} / J$ is generated by $\mathcal{A} / J$ and $\bar{V}$ is generated by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $J_{*} \in\left\{J, J_{0}\right\}$ and let $f$ be as in Equation (2). By the definition of $J$, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}, f\left(\operatorname{ad}_{a}\right) \hat{V} \subseteq$ $J$, whence, by Lemma [2.3] $\hat{V} / J_{*}$ satisfies condition Ax1. By Equation (8), $\hat{V} / J_{*}$
also satisfies the fusion law $(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star)$. Furthermore, for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W$, since $f_{1}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c}\right)\left(w-\lambda_{c, w} c\right) \in J_{*}$, we get

$$
w+J_{*}=\left(\lambda_{c, w} c+\sum_{\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} \backslash\{1\}} w_{\mu}\right)+J_{*},
$$

where $w_{\mu}+J_{*}$ is a $\mu$-eigenvector for $\operatorname{ad}_{c+J_{*}}$, so $\hat{V} / J_{*}$ is also weak primitive. By Remark 3.2 and Lemma 2.5, $\hat{V} / J_{*}$ is also primitive.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathcal{V}:=(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *))$ be an element of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$, let $\phi: \hat{R} \cup \hat{V} \rightarrow R \cup V$ be a map that satisfies conditions (H1) (with respect to $(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \star)$ and $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ ), (H2), and (H3). Then $I_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\left.\right|_{\hat{R}}}$, $J_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\left.\right|_{\hat{V}}}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.6, $J \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\hat{V}}$, so $\phi$ induces an $\hat{R}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\hat{V} / J}: \hat{V} / J & \rightarrow V \\
v+J & \mapsto v^{\phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by Lemma 3.3, $\hat{V} / J$ is a primitive axial algebra over the ring $\hat{R}$, for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W$, we can write

$$
w+J=\left(\lambda_{c, w} c+\sum_{\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} \backslash\{1\}} w_{\mu}\right)+J
$$

where, for every $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} \backslash\{1\}, w_{\mu}+J$ is a $\mu$-eigenvector for $\operatorname{ad}_{c+J}$. Condition (H3) implies that, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \phi_{\hat{V} / J}$ maps $\mu$-eigenvectors for $\operatorname{ad}_{a+J}$ to $\mu^{\phi}$-eigenvectors for $\operatorname{ad}_{a^{\phi}}$. Thus, if $v=\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w \in J$, then $v^{\phi}=0$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(v^{\phi}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi} w^{\phi}\right) \\
& =\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi} \lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(w^{\phi}\right) \\
& =\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi} \lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(\left(\lambda_{a, w} a+\sum_{\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} \backslash\{1\}} w_{\mu}\right)^{\phi}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi} \lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(\left(\lambda_{a, w}\right)^{\phi} a^{\phi}\right)+\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi} \lambda_{a^{\phi}}\left(\sum_{\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} \backslash\{1\}} w_{\mu}^{\phi}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\phi}\left(\lambda_{a, w}\right)^{\phi}=\left(\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} \lambda_{a, w}\right)^{\phi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $I_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\left.\right|_{\hat{R}}}$. Finally, by condition (H3), $\left(I_{0} \hat{V}\right)^{\phi}=I_{0}^{\phi} V^{\phi}=0 V_{1}=0$, whence $J_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\left.\right|_{\hat{V}}}$.

Lemma 3.5. We have $J_{0} \neq \hat{V}$, in particular $|\overline{\mathcal{A}}|=k$.
Proof. Let $\bar{R}^{k}$ be the direct sum of $k$ copies of $\bar{R}$. Set $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$, where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)$ is the canonical basis of $\bar{R}^{k}$. Then, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, e_{i}$ is an idempotent and

$$
\bar{R}^{k}=\bar{R} e_{i} \oplus \operatorname{ker} \operatorname{ad}_{e_{i}}
$$

Therefore, for every fusion law $\left(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{R}}, * \bar{R}\right)$, the 4-tuple

$$
\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{k}, \mathcal{B},\left(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{R}}, * \bar{R}\right)\right)
$$

is obviously a primitive (associative) axial algebra. By the construction of $\hat{V}$, any bijection from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ extends uniquely to a map $\phi_{\hat{V}}: \hat{V} \rightarrow \bar{R}^{k}$. Let

$$
\phi: \hat{R} \cup \hat{V} \rightarrow \bar{R} \cup \bar{R}^{k}
$$

be the map whose restrictions to $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{V}$ are the canonical projection on $\bar{R}$ and $\phi_{\hat{V}}$, respectively. Then $\phi$ satisfies the conditions (H1), (H2), and (H3). Therefore, by Lemma [3.4, $J_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \phi_{\left.\right|_{\hat{V}}} \neq \hat{V}$. Since $k=|\mathcal{A}| \geq|\overline{\mathcal{A}}| \geq|\mathcal{B}|=k$, we get $|\overline{\mathcal{A}}|=k$.

Theorem 3.6. $\overline{\mathcal{V}}:=(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ is a universal object in the category $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}, \mathcal{H}_{L}\right)$.
Proof. Clearly $\bar{V}$ is an algebra over $\bar{R}$ generated by the set of idempotents $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Since by Lemma $3.3,(\hat{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\hat{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ is a primitive axial algebra, and $I_{0} \subseteq A n n_{\hat{R}}(\bar{V})$, we get that $(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \star))$ is a primitive axial algebra. Finally, the axes in $\frac{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}{}$ are free, for, if $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $r \in \hat{R}$ are such that $r c \in J_{0}$, then there exist $j \in J, i_{0} \in I_{0}$ and $\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w \in \hat{V}$ such that

$$
r c-i_{0}\left(\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w\right)=j
$$

whence, by the definition of $I_{0}$,

$$
r \in i_{0}\left(\sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} \lambda_{c, w}\right)+I_{0}=I_{0}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, the elements of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ are pairwise distinguishable in $\bar{R}$, whence $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$.
Now assume $\mathcal{V}_{1}:=\left(R_{1}, V_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{1},\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, *_{1}\right)\right)$ is an object in $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ and let $\bar{t}: \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1}$ a map of sets. Since every non-empty subset of $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ generates a primitive axial subalgebra of $V_{1}$ with fusion law $\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, *_{1}\right)$ and free axes, without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{t}$ is surjective. Let $t$ be the composition of $\bar{t}$ with the (bijective) projection of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $W$ is the free commutative magma generated by the set of idempotents $\mathcal{A}$ there is a unique magma homomorphism

$$
\chi: W \rightarrow V_{1}
$$

inducing the map $t: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Since the elements of $\Lambda$ are alegbraically independent over $\hat{D}$, there is a unique homomorphism of $\hat{D}$-algebras

$$
\hat{\psi}: \hat{R} \rightarrow R_{1}
$$

such that, for $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W \backslash\{c\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{c, w}^{\hat{\psi}}=\lambda_{c^{t}}\left(w^{\chi}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{c^{t}}$ is the function defined in Proposition 2.4. Define

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\hat{\chi}: & \hat{V} & \rightarrow & V_{1} \\
& \sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w} w & \mapsto & \sum_{w \in W} \gamma_{w}^{\hat{\psi}} w^{\chi}
\end{array} .
$$

Then $\hat{\chi}$ is a ring homomorphism extending $t$ and such that $(\gamma v)^{\hat{\chi}}=\gamma^{\hat{\psi}} v^{\hat{\chi}}$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{R}$ and $v \in \hat{V}$. Since, for every $c \in \mathcal{A}, v \in \hat{V}$, and $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
\left[\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c}-\gamma \operatorname{id}_{\hat{V}}\right)(v)\right]^{\hat{\chi}}=\left(\operatorname{ad}_{c^{t}}-\gamma^{\hat{\psi}} \operatorname{id}_{V_{1}}\right)\left(v^{\hat{\chi}}\right)
$$

by Lemma 2.6, it follows that $J_{0}$ is contained in ker $\hat{\chi}$ and so $\hat{\chi}$ induces a ring homomorphism

$$
\phi_{V}: \bar{V} \quad \rightarrow \quad V_{1}
$$

extending $\bar{t}$ and such that $(\gamma \bar{w})^{\phi_{V}}=\gamma^{\hat{\psi}} \bar{w}^{\phi_{V}}$ for every $\gamma \in \hat{R}$ and $\bar{w} \in \bar{V}$. As in the proof of Lemma3.4 we get that $I_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \hat{\psi}$. Let $\phi_{R}: \bar{R} \rightarrow R_{1}$ be the homomorphism of $\hat{D}$-algebras induced by $\hat{\psi}$. Then $\left(\phi_{R}, \phi_{V}\right) \in \mathcal{H o m}\left(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}_{1}\right)$.

Since $\hat{R}=\hat{D}[\Lambda], \phi_{R}$ is completely determined by its values on the elements $\lambda_{c, w}+I_{0}$. Further, by Equation (10), for every $c \in \mathcal{A}, w \in W \backslash\{c\}$,

$$
\left(\lambda_{c, w}+I_{0}\right)^{\phi_{R}}=\lambda_{c^{t}}\left(\left(w+J_{0}\right)^{\phi_{V}}\right)
$$

whence $\phi_{R}$ is completely determined by the images $\left(w+J_{0}\right)^{\phi_{V}}$, with $w \in W$. Since $\phi_{V}$ is a ring homomorphism extending $t$, such images are uniquely determined, whence the uniqueness of $\left(\phi_{R}, \phi_{V}\right)$.

Corollary 3.7. Every permutation $\sigma$ of the set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ extends to a unique automorphism $f_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H o m}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\mathcal{V}})$.

Note that, for a generic object $\mathcal{V}$, the above assertion is false (see e.g. the algebra $Q_{2}(\eta)$ constructed in [5, Section 5.3]). We say that $\mathcal{V}=(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *)) \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$ is symmetric if every permutation $\sigma$ of the set $\mathcal{A}$ extends to a unique automorphism $f_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H o m}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $\mathcal{V}:=(R, V, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *)) \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$, then
(1) $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \otimes R:=\left(\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} R, \bar{V} \otimes_{\hat{D}} R, \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\hat{D}} 1,\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\hat{D}} 1, \bar{\star}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$,
(2) $R$ is isomorphic to a factor of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} R$,
(3) $V$ is isomorphic to a factor of $\bar{V} \otimes_{\hat{D}} R$.

Remark 3.9. Note that, with the notation of Corollaries 3.7 and 3.8, $f_{\sigma} \otimes i d_{R}$ is an automorphism of $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \otimes R$.

## Questions

(1) Can we define a variety of axial algebras corresponding to the fusion law $(\mathcal{S}, *)$ ?
(2) Is it true that any ideal $I$ of $\hat{R}$ containing $I_{0}$ defines an axial algebra?

## 4. 2-Generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$

In this section we keep the notation of Section 3, with $k=n=2,(\mathcal{S}, *)$ equal to the Monster fusion law $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$, and $L$ an ideal of $D$ containing $2 t_{1}-1$, so that (the class of) 2 is invertible in $\hat{D}$. In order to simplify notation we'll also identify $\alpha_{1}$ with $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{2}$ with $\beta$.

Let $\mathcal{V}=(V, R, \mathcal{A},(\mathcal{S}, *)) \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{+}:=\{1,0, \alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{-}:=\{\beta\}$. The partition $\left\{\mathcal{S}^{+}, \mathcal{S}^{-}\right\}$of $\mathcal{S}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading on $\mathcal{S}$ which, on turn, induces, a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading $\left\{V_{+}^{a}, V_{-}^{a}\right\}$ on $V$ where $V_{+}^{a}:=V_{1}^{a}+V_{0}^{a}+V_{\alpha}^{a}$ and $V_{-}^{a}=V_{\beta}^{a}$. It follows
that, if $\tau_{a}$ is the map from $R \cup V$ to $R \cup V$ such that $\tau_{\left.a\right|_{V}}$ inverts $V_{\beta}^{a}$ and leaves invariant the elements of $V_{+}^{a}$ and $\tau_{\left.a\right|_{R}}$ is the identity, then $\tau_{a}$ is an involutory automorphism of $\mathcal{V}$ (see [7, Proposition 3.4]). The map $\tau_{a}$ is called the Miyamoto involution associated to the axis $a$. By definition of $\tau_{a}$, the element $a v-\beta v$ of $V$ is $\tau_{a}$-invariant and, since $a$ lies in $V_{+}^{a} \leq C_{V}\left(\tau_{a}\right)$, also $a v-\beta(a+v)$ is $\tau_{a}$-invariant. In particular, by symmetry,
Lemma 4.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be axes of $V$. Then $a b-\beta(a+b)$ is fixed by the 2-generated group $\left\langle\tau_{a}, \tau_{b}\right\rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{A}:=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}\right\}$ and, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\tau_{i}$ be the Miyamoto involutions associated to $a_{i}$. Set $\rho:=\tau_{0} \tau_{1}$, and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{2 i}:=a_{0}^{\rho^{i}}$ and $a_{2 i+1}:=a_{1}^{\rho^{i}}$. Since $\rho$ is an automorphism of $V$, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{j}$ is an axis. Denote by $\tau_{j}:=\tau_{a_{j}}$ the corresponding Miyamoto involution.

Lemma 4.2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $i \equiv j \bmod n$ we have

$$
a_{i} a_{i+n}-\beta\left(a_{i}+a_{i+n}\right)=a_{j} a_{j+n}-\beta\left(a_{j}+a_{j+n}\right)
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r, n}:=a_{r} a_{r+n}-\beta\left(a_{r}+a_{r+n}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\lambda_{a}$ be as in Proposition 2.4.
Lemma 4.3. For $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ we have

$$
a_{0} s_{0, i}=(\alpha-\beta) s_{0, i}+\left[(1-\alpha) \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right)+\beta(\alpha-\beta-1)\right] a_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \beta(\alpha-\beta)\left(a_{i}+a_{-i}\right)
$$

Proof. This is [16, Lemma 3.1].
For $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right) a_{0}+u_{i}+v_{i}+w_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the decomposition of $a_{i}$ into $a d_{a_{0}}$-eigenvectors, where $u_{i}$ is a 0 -eigenvector, $v_{i}$ is an $\alpha$-eigenvector and $w_{i}$ is a $\beta$-eigenvector.
Lemma 4.4. With the above notation,
(1) $u_{i}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left(\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right)-\beta-\alpha \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right) a_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\left(a_{i}+a_{-i}\right)-s_{0, i}\right)$;
(2) $v_{i}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left(\beta-\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right)\right) a_{0}+\frac{\beta}{2}\left(a_{i}+a_{-i}\right)+s_{0, i}\right)$;
(3) $w_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}-a_{-i}\right)$.

Proof. (3) follows from the definitions of $\tau_{0}$ and $a_{i}$, (2) is just a rearranging of $a_{0} a_{i}=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right) a_{0}+\alpha v_{i}+\beta w_{i}$ using Equation (11), and (1) follows rearranging Equation (12).

For $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, set

$$
P_{i j}:=u_{i} u_{j}+u_{i} v_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{i j}:=u_{i} v_{j}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} s_{0, i} s_{0, j}
$$

Lemma 4.5. For $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0, i} \cdot s_{0, j}=\alpha\left(a_{0} P_{i j}-\alpha Q_{i j}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are a 0 -eigenvector and an $\alpha$-eigenvector for $\mathrm{ad}_{a_{0}}$, respectively, by the fusion rule, we have $a_{0} P_{i j}=\alpha\left(u_{i} \cdot v_{j}\right)$ and the result follows.

From now on we assume $\mathcal{V}=\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Let $f$ be the automorphism of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ induced by the permutation that swaps $a_{0}$ with $a_{1}$ as defined in Corollary 3.7. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}:=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by Lemma 3.1 for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{-i}\right)=\lambda_{i}, \quad \lambda_{a_{1}}\left(a_{0}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{f}, \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{a_{1}}\left(a_{-1}\right)=\lambda_{2}^{f}
$$

Set $T_{0}:=\left\langle\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}\right\rangle$ and $T:=\left\langle\tau_{0}, f\right\rangle$.
Lemma 4.6. The groups $T_{0}$ and $T$ are dihedral groups, $T_{0}$ is a normal subgroup of $T$ such that $\left|T: T_{0}\right| \leq 2$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\left\{s_{0, n}, \ldots, s_{n-1, n}\right\}$ is invariant under the action of $T$. In particular, if $K_{n}$ is the kernel of this action, we have
(1) $K_{1}=T$;
(2) $K_{2}=T_{0}$, in particular $s_{0,2}^{f}=s_{1,2}$;
(3) $T / K_{3}$ induces the full permutation group on the set $\left\{s_{0,3}, s_{1,3}, s_{2,3}\right\}$ with point stabilisers generated by $\tau_{0} K_{3}, \tau_{1} K_{3}$ and $f K_{3}$, respectively. In particular $s_{0,3}^{f}=s_{1,3}$ and $s_{0,3}^{\tau_{1}}=s_{2,3}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions.
Lemma 4.7. In the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha-2 \beta) a_{0} s_{1,2}= \\
& \quad \beta^{2}(\alpha-\beta)\left(a_{-2}+a_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left[-2 \alpha \beta \lambda_{1}+2 \beta(1-\alpha) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\frac{\beta}{2}\left(4 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \beta-\alpha+4 \beta^{2}-2 \beta\right)\right]\left(a_{1}+a_{-1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(\alpha-\beta)}\left[\left(6 \alpha^{2}-8 \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+4 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}\right. \\
& \quad+2\left(-2 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \beta+\alpha\right)(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{1}-4 \beta(\alpha-1)(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{1}^{f}-\alpha \beta(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\left(4 \alpha^{2} \beta-2 \alpha \beta+2 \beta^{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta)\right] a_{0} \\
& \quad+\left[-4 \alpha \lambda_{1}-4(\alpha-1) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(4 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \beta-\alpha+4 \beta^{2}-2 \beta\right)\right] s_{0,1} \\
& \quad+2 \beta(\alpha-\beta) s_{0,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4(\alpha-2 \beta) s_{0,1} \cdot s_{0,1}= \\
& \quad \beta(\alpha-\beta)^{2}(\alpha-4 \beta)\left(a_{-2}+a_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left[4 \alpha \beta(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{1}+2\left(-\alpha^{3}+5 \alpha^{2} \beta+\alpha^{2}-4 \alpha \beta^{2}-5 \alpha \beta+4 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{f}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \beta\left(-10 \alpha^{2} \beta-\alpha^{2}+14 \alpha \beta^{2}+7 \alpha \beta-4 \beta^{3}-6 \beta^{2}\right)\right]\left(a_{-1}+a_{1}\right) \\
& +2
\end{aligned} \quad\left[2\left(-3 \alpha^{2}+4 \alpha \beta+\alpha-2 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha(1-\alpha) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f} .\right.
$$

Proof. By the fusion law,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}\left(u_{1} \cdot u_{1}-v_{1} \cdot v_{1}+\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{1}\right) a_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in the left side of (15) the values for $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ given in Lemma 4.4 we get the first equality. The expression for $(\alpha-2 \beta) a_{)} s_{1,2}$ allows us to write explicitly as a linear combination of $a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, s_{1,2}$ the vector

$$
(\alpha-2 \beta)\left(a_{0} P_{11}-\alpha Q_{11}\right)
$$

Thus, the second equality then follows from Equation (13) in Lemma 4.5
Lemma 4.8. In the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, the following equalities hold:
(1) $\beta(\alpha-\beta)^{2}(\alpha-4 \beta)\left(a_{3}-a_{-2}\right)=c$,
(2) $\beta(\alpha-\beta)^{2}(\alpha-4 \beta)\left(a_{4}-a_{-1}\right)=-c^{\tau_{1}}$,
where
$c=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha-\beta)\left[4 \alpha \beta \lambda_{1}-2(\alpha-1)(\alpha-4 \beta) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\beta\left(-\alpha^{2}-5 \alpha \beta-\alpha+6 \beta\right)\right] a_{-1} \\
& +\left[4\left(-3 \alpha^{2}+4 \alpha \beta+\alpha-2 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}-4 \alpha(\alpha-1) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}\right. \\
& +\left(6 \alpha^{3}+6 \alpha^{2} \beta-2 \alpha^{2}-16 \alpha \beta^{2}-2 \alpha \beta+8 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}+4 \alpha \beta(\alpha-1) \lambda_{1}^{f}+2 \alpha \beta(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{2} \\
& \left.+\beta(\alpha-\beta)\left(-2 \alpha^{2}-8 \alpha \beta+\alpha+4 \beta^{2}+2 \beta\right)\right] a_{0} \\
& +\left[4 \alpha(\alpha-1) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}+4\left(13 \alpha^{2}-4 \alpha \beta-\alpha+2 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{f^{2}}-4 \alpha \beta(\beta-1) \lambda_{1}\right. \\
& +\left(-6 \alpha^{3}-6 \alpha^{2} \beta+2 \alpha^{2}+16 \alpha \beta^{2}+2 \alpha \beta-8 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{f}-2 \alpha \beta(\alpha-\beta) \lambda_{2}^{f} \\
& \left.+\beta(\alpha-\beta)\left(2 \alpha^{2}+8 \alpha \beta-\alpha-4 \beta^{2}-2 \beta\right)\right] a_{1} \\
& +(\alpha-\beta)\left[2(\alpha-1)(\alpha-4 \beta) \lambda_{1}-4 \alpha \beta \lambda_{1}^{f}+\beta\left(\alpha^{2}+5 \alpha \beta+\alpha-6 \beta\right)\right] a_{2} \\
& +(\alpha-\beta)\left[4 \alpha(\alpha-2 \beta+1)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}^{f}\right)\right] s_{0,1} \\
& -4 \beta(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\left(s_{0,2}-s_{1,2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $s_{0,1} s_{0,1}$ is invariant under $f$, we have $4(\alpha-2 \beta)\left[s_{0,1} s_{0,1}-\left(s_{0,1} s_{0,1}\right)^{f}\right]=$ 0 . Then, equality (1) follows from the expression for $4(\alpha-2 \beta) s_{0,1} s_{0,1}$ given in Lemma 4.7. By applying $\tau_{1}$ to equation in (1) we get (2).

From the formulae in Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, it is clear that we have different pictures according whether $\alpha-2 \beta$ and $\alpha-4 \beta$ are invertible in $\bar{R}$ or not. Since we are most concerned with algebras over a field, later we will also assume that $\alpha-2 \beta$ and $\alpha-4 \beta$ are either invertible or zero. Thus we deal separately with the following three cases:
(1) The generic case: the ideal $L$ contains the elements $t_{h}$ for $h \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h \geq 3$, $2 t_{1}-1,\left(x_{1}-2 x_{2}\right) t_{2}-1$, and $\left(x_{1}-4 x_{2}\right) t_{3}-1$. In this case we set $\mathcal{O}_{g}:=\mathcal{O}_{L}$.
(2) The $\alpha=2 \beta$ case: the ideal $L$ contains the elements $t_{h}$ for $h \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h \geq 1$, $2 t_{1}-1$, and $x_{1}-2 x_{2}$. In this case we set $\mathcal{O}_{2 \beta}:=\mathcal{O}_{L}$.
(3) The $\alpha=4 \beta$ case: the ideal $L$ contains the elements $t_{h}$ for $h \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h \geq 1$, $2 t_{1}-1$, and $x_{1}-4 x_{2}$. In this case we set $\mathcal{O}_{4 \beta}:=\mathcal{O}_{L}$.
In [3] the case $\alpha=2 \beta$ is considered in details: in particular it is shown that every 2 -generated primitive axial algebra in $\mathcal{O}_{2 \beta}$ is at most 8 dimensional and this
bound is attained. The following result, which can be compared to Theorem 3.7 in [16], is a consequence of the resurrection principle [11, Lemma 1.7].
Proposition 4.9. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}=(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ be the universal object in the cathegory $\mathcal{O}_{g}$. Then $\bar{V}$ is linearly spanned by the set $\left\{a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, s_{1,2}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $U$ be the linear span in $\bar{V}$ of the set

$$
B:=\left\{a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, s_{1,2}\right\}
$$

with coefficients in $\bar{R}$. From Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, since $\alpha-2 \beta$ and $\alpha-4 \beta$ are invertible in $\bar{R}$, we get that

$$
a_{0} \cdot s_{1,2}, a_{3} \in U
$$

The set $B$ is clearly invariant under the action of $\tau_{0}$ and since $a_{-2}^{f}=a_{3}, U$ is also invariant under $f$. By Equation (11), $a_{0} a_{1}$ and $a_{0} a_{2}$ are contained in $U$; by applying alternatively $\tau_{0}$ and $f$ we get that $U$ contains all the products $a_{i} a_{j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, since by Lemma 4.3, for $i \in\{1,2\}, a_{0} s_{0, i} \in U$, and by Lemma 4.7, $a_{0} s_{1,2} \in U$, we get that $U$ contains all the products $a_{j} s_{0, i}$ and $a_{j} s_{1,2}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in\{1,2\}$.

It follows that, for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$, the expression on the righthand side of Equation (13) is contained in $U$, whence $s_{0, i} \cdot s_{0, j}$ is contained in $U$. As $U$ is invariant under $f$, we have also $s_{1,2} \cdot s_{1,2} \in U$. Finally, with a similar argument, using the identity

$$
a_{0} \cdot\left(u_{i} \cdot u_{-1}+u_{i} \cdot v_{-1}\right)=\alpha\left(u_{i} \cdot v_{-1}\right),
$$

we can express $s_{0, i} \cdot s_{1,2}$ as linear combination of elements of $B$. Hence $U$ is a subalgebra of $\bar{V}$, and since $\bar{V}$ is generated by $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$, we get that $U=\bar{V}$.

Remark 4.10. Note that the above proof gives an explicit way to compute the structure constants of the algebra $\bar{V}$ relative to the generating set $B$. This has been done with the use of GAP [6]. The explicit expressions however are far too long to be written explicitly here.
Corollary 4.11. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}=(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ be the universal object in the cathegory $\mathcal{O}_{g}$. Then, $\bar{R}$ is generated as a $\hat{D}$-algebra by $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}^{f}$, and $\lambda_{2}^{f}$.

Proof. Since, for every $v \in \bar{V}, \lambda_{a_{1}}(v)=\left(\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(v^{f}\right)\right)^{f}, \lambda_{a_{0}}$ is a linear function, and $\bar{R}=\bar{R}^{f}$, by Proposition 4.9 we just need to show that, for every $v \in$ $\left\{a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, s_{1,2}\right\}, \lambda_{a_{0}}(v)$ can be written as a linear combination, with coefficients in $\hat{D}$, of products of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}^{f}$, and $\lambda_{2}^{f}$. By definition we have

$$
\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{0}\right)=1, \quad \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2}
$$

Since $\tau_{0}$ is an $\bar{R}$-automorphism of $\bar{V}$ fixing $a_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{-1}\right)=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{\tau_{0}}\right)=\lambda_{1} \\
& \lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{-2}\right)=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(\left(a_{2}\right)^{\tau_{0}}\right)=\lambda_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(s_{0,1}\right)=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{0} a_{1}-\beta a_{0}-\beta a_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}-\beta-\beta \lambda_{1} \\
\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(s_{0,2}\right)=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a a_{2}-\beta a-\beta a_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2}-\beta-\beta \lambda_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, by the fusion law, $u_{1} u_{1}+u_{1} v_{1}$ is a sum of a 0 and an $\alpha$-eigenvector for $\operatorname{ad}_{a_{0}}$, whence $\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(u_{1} u_{1}+u_{1} v_{1}\right)=0$. By Lemma 4.3, we can compute $u_{1} u_{1}+u_{1} v_{1}$ and find

$$
u_{1} u_{1}+u_{1} v_{1}=w+\frac{(\alpha-\beta)}{2 \alpha} s_{1,2},
$$

with $w \in\left\langle a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}\right\rangle$. So, we can express $\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(s_{1,2}\right)$ and we obtain

$$
\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(s_{1,2}\right)=\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha-\beta} \lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha-\beta} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}+(1-2 \beta) \lambda_{1}+\beta \lambda_{2}-\beta
$$

We conclude this section with a similar result for symmetric algebras over a field in the case $\alpha=4 \beta$. Note that, in this case, since we are assuming $\alpha \neq \beta$, we also assume that the field is of characteristic other than 3.

Proposition 4.12. Let $V$ be a primitive symmetric axial algebra of Monster type $(4 \beta, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic greater than 3 , generated by two axes $\bar{a}_{0}$ and $\bar{a}_{1}$. Then $V$ has dimension at most 8 , unless $2 \beta-1=0$ and

$$
\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}^{\tau_{\bar{a}_{1}}}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}^{\tau_{\bar{T}_{0}}}\right)=1
$$

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}=(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ be the universal object in the cathegory $\mathcal{O}_{4 \beta}$. Since $\alpha-2 \beta=2 \beta$ is invertible in $\bar{R}$, Lemma 4.7 yields that, $a_{0} \cdot s_{1,2}$ is contained in $\left\langle a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2}, s_{1,2}\right\rangle$. Since $\alpha=4 \beta$, Equation (1) in Lemma 4.8 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[\left(48 \beta^{3}\right) \lambda_{1}-\left(108 \beta^{4}-6 \beta^{3}\right)\right] a_{-1} } \\
& +\left[\left(-128 \beta^{2}+8 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(-64 \beta^{2}+16 \beta\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(416 \beta^{3}-32 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(16 \beta^{3}-16 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(24 \beta^{3}\right) \lambda_{2}+\left(-180 \beta^{4}+18 \beta^{3}\right)\right] a_{0} \\
& +\left[\left(64 \beta^{2}-16 \beta\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(128 \beta^{2}-8 \beta\right) \lambda_{1}^{f^{2}}+\left(-16 \beta^{3}+16 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}\right.  \tag{16}\\
& \left.+\left(-416 \beta^{3}+32 \beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(-24 \beta^{3}\right) \lambda_{2}^{f}+\left(180 \beta^{4}-18 \beta^{3}\right)\right] a_{1} \\
& +\left[\left(-48 \beta^{3}\right) \lambda_{1}^{f}+\left(108 \beta^{4}-6 \beta^{3}\right)\right] a_{2} \\
& +48 \beta^{2}(2 \beta+1)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}^{f}\right) s_{0,1} \\
& -36 \beta^{3}\left(s_{0,2}-s_{1,2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Corollary 3.8, $V$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{V} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$, via a homomorphism $\phi_{V}$ mapping $a_{i}$ to $\bar{a}_{i}$, for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$ via $\phi_{R}$. We use the bar notation to denote the images of the elements of $\bar{V} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$ via $\phi_{V}$, while we identify the image under $\phi_{R}$ of an element of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$ with the element itself. When we apply $\phi_{V}$ to the relation (16) we get a similar relation in $V$. If the coefficient of $a_{2}$ is not zero in $\mathbb{F}$, then we get $\bar{a}_{2} \in U_{0}:=\left\langle\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}, \bar{s}_{1,2}\right\rangle$. Since $V$ is symmetric, $f$ induces an automorphism $\bar{f}$ of $V$ and $U_{0}$ is $\bar{f}$ invariant. Since $U_{0}$ is also $\tau_{\bar{a}_{0}}$-invariant, we get also $\bar{a}_{-2} \in U_{0}$. More generally, by applying alternatively $\tau_{\bar{a}_{0}}$ and $\bar{f}$ we get that $\bar{a}_{i} \in U_{0}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The argument used in the proof of Proposition 4.9 yields $V=U_{0}$. If the coefficient of $\bar{a}_{2}$ in the Equation (16) is zero in $\mathbb{F}$, then we may consider the coefficient of $\bar{a}_{-1}$ and if it is not zero we deduce as above that $\bar{a}_{-1} \in\left\langle\bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}, \bar{s}_{1,2}\right\rangle$. By proceeding as in the previous case, we
get $V=\left\langle\bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}, \bar{s}_{1,2}\right\rangle$. If the coefficients of $\bar{a}_{2}$ and $\bar{a}_{-1}$ are both zero, then we get

$$
\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right)=\frac{18 \beta-1}{8} .
$$

As above, if the coefficient of $\bar{a}_{0}$ (or the coefficient of $\bar{a}_{1}$ ) is not zero, we can express $\bar{a}_{0}$ (or $\bar{a}_{1}$ respectively) as a linear combination of $\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}-\bar{s}_{1,2}\left(\bar{a}_{0}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}-\right.$ $\bar{s}_{1,2}$ respectively). In both cases, it follows that $V=\left\langle\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}, \bar{s}_{1,2}\right\rangle$. If also the coefficients of $\bar{a}_{0}$ and $\bar{a}_{1}$ are both zero, then we get

$$
\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{-1}\right)=\frac{480 \beta^{3}-228 \beta^{2}+28 \beta-1}{64 \beta^{2}}
$$

and Equation (16) becomes

$$
0=36 \beta^{2}\left(\bar{s}_{0,2}-\bar{s}_{1,2}\right)
$$

Hence, since $\mathbb{F}$ has caracteristic greater than $3, \bar{s}_{0,2}=\bar{s}_{1,2}$ and the identity $\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{s}_{0,2}\right)=$ $\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{s}_{1,2}\right)$ gives that $\beta$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \beta-1)^{2}(12 \beta-1)(14 \beta-1)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on assume $\beta \in\left\{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{14}\right\} \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, in particular $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic other than 5. Set $U_{1}:=\left\langle\bar{a}_{-3}, \bar{a}_{-2}, \bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}, \bar{s}_{0,3}\right\rangle$. From the identity $\bar{a}_{0}\left(\bar{u}_{1} \bar{u}_{2}-\bar{v}_{1} \bar{v}_{2}+\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{v}_{1} \bar{v}_{2}\right) \bar{a}_{0}\right)=0$ we can express $\bar{a}_{0}\left(\bar{s}_{1,3}+\bar{s}_{3,2}\right)$ as a linear combination of $\bar{a}_{-3}, \bar{a}_{-2}, \bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}, \bar{s}_{0,1}$, and $\bar{s}_{0,2}$ and then, by Lemma4.5 we get that $\bar{s}_{0,1} \bar{s}_{0,2} \in U_{1}$. Then, from the identity $\bar{s}_{0,1} \bar{s}_{0,2}-\left(\bar{s}_{0,1} \bar{s}_{0,2}\right)^{f}=0$, we derive that $\bar{s}_{1,3} \in U_{1}$, whence also $\bar{s}_{2,3}=\left(\bar{s}_{1,3}\right)^{\tau \bar{a}_{0}} \in U_{1}$. From the identity $\bar{s}_{2,3}-\left(\bar{s}_{2,3}\right)^{f}=0$ we then get $\bar{a}_{4} \in U_{1}$. It follows that $U_{1}$ is invariant under $f$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}_{0}}$, hence $\bar{a}_{ \pm i} \in U_{1}$ for $i \geq 4$. Since $U_{1}$ is also ad $\bar{a}_{0}$-invariant, it follows that $U_{1}$ contains $\bar{s}_{r, n}$ for every $n \geq 1$, $r \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Thus $U_{1}$ is a subalgebra of $V$, whence $V=U_{1}$. From the identity $\bar{a}_{0}\left(\bar{v}_{1} \bar{v}_{2}-\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{v}_{1} \bar{v}_{2}\right) \bar{a}_{0}\right)=0$ we get $\bar{s}_{0,3} \in\left\langle\bar{a}_{-3}, \bar{a}_{-2}, \bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}\right\rangle$. Finally, from the identity $\bar{a}_{5}^{2}-\bar{a}_{5}=0$ we get $\bar{a}_{-3} \in\left\langle\bar{a}_{-2}, \bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}\right\rangle$, that is $V$ has dimension at most 8 .

## 5. The generic case

Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}=(\bar{R}, \bar{V}, \overline{\mathcal{A}},(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \bar{\star}))$ be the universal object in the cathegory $\mathcal{O}_{g}$. Note that in this case we have

$$
\hat{D}=\mathbb{Z}\left[1 / 2, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2}^{-1},\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1},\left(x_{1}-2 x_{2}\right)^{-1},\left(x_{1}-4 x_{2}\right)^{-1}\right] .
$$

By Corollary 4.11, $\bar{R}=\hat{D}\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{f}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{f}\right]$. The elements $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{f}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{f}$ are not necessarily indeterminates on $\hat{D}$, as they have to satisfy various relations imposed by the definition of $\bar{R}$. In particular, since by Lemma 4.6, $s_{2,3}-s_{2,3}^{f}=0$, in the ring $\bar{R}$ the following relations hold
(1) $\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(s_{2,3}-\left(s_{2,3}\right)^{f}\right)=0$,
(2) $\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(\left(s_{2,3}-\left(s_{2,3}\right)^{f}\right)^{\tau_{1}}\right)=0$,
(3) $\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(a_{3} a_{3}-a_{3}\right)=0$,
(4) $\lambda_{a_{1}}\left(s_{2,3}-\left(s_{2,3}\right)^{f}\right)=0$.

By Remark 4.10, the four expressions on the left hand side of the above identities can be computed explicitly and produce respectively four polynomials $p_{i}(x, y, z, t)$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ in $\hat{D}[x, y, z, t]$ (with $x, y, z, t$ indeterminates on $\hat{D}$ ), that simultaneously annihilate on the quadruple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{f}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{f}\right)$. Define also, for $i \in\{1,2\}$,
$q_{i}(x, z):=p_{i}(x, x, z, z)$. The polynomials $p_{i}$ 's and $q_{i}$ 's are too long to be displayed here but can be computed using [1] or [6].

Suppose $V$ is a primitive axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of odd characteristic, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha \notin\{2 \beta, 4 \beta\}$, generated by two axes $\bar{a}_{0}$ and $\bar{a}_{1}$. Then, by Corollary $3.8, V$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{V} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$. We denote the images of an element $\delta$ of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\hat{D}} \mathbb{F}$ in $\mathbb{F}$ by $\bar{\delta}$ and by $\bar{p}_{i}$ and $\bar{q}_{i}$ the polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x, y, z, t]$ and $\mathbb{F}[x, z]$ corresponding to $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$, respectively. Set

$$
T:=\left\{\bar{p}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2}, \bar{p}_{3}, \bar{p}_{4}\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{s}:=\left\{\bar{p}_{1}(x, z), \bar{p}_{2}(x, z)\right\} .
$$

Moreover, for $P \in\left\{T, T_{s}\right\}$, denote by $Z(P)$ the set of common zeroes of all the elements of $P$ in $\mathbb{F}^{4}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{2}$ respectively. It is clear from the definition that the $\bar{p}_{i}$ 's have the coefficients in the field $\mathbb{F}_{0}(\alpha, \beta)$. By Proposition 4.9 and Corollary 4.11 the algebra $V$ is completely determined, up to homomorphic images, by the quadruple

$$
\left(\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Furthermore, this quadruple is the homomorphic image in $\mathbb{F}^{4}$ of the quadruple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{f}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}^{f}\right)$ and so it is a common zero of the elements of $T$.

If, in addition, the algebra $V$ is symmetric, then

$$
\left.\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right)=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right) \text { and } \lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right),=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{-1}\right)\right)
$$

and the pair $\left(\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right)\right)$ is a common zero of the elements of the set $T_{s}$.
We thus have proved Theorem 1.3
Computing the resultant of the polynomials $\bar{p}_{1}(x, z)$ and $\bar{p}_{2}(x, z)$ with respect to $z$ one obtains a polynomial in $x$ of degree 10 , which is the product of the five linear factors

$$
x, x-1,2 x-\alpha, 2 x-\beta, 4(2 \alpha-1) x-\left(3 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha \beta-\alpha-2 \beta\right)
$$

and a factor of degree at most 5 . This last factor has degree 5 and is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, for certain values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, this factor can be reducible: for example, it even completely splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)[x]$ when $\alpha=2 \beta$ (see [3), or in the Norton-Sakuma case, when $(\alpha, \beta)=(1 / 4,1 / 32)$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.6 below).

Fixed a filed $\mathbb{F}$, in order to classify primitive generic axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over $\mathbb{F}$ generated by two axes $\bar{a}_{0}$ and $\bar{a}_{1}$ we can proceed as follows. We first find all the zeroes of the set $T_{s}$ and classify all symmetric algebras. Then we observe that, the even subalgebra $\left\langle\left\langle\bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and the odd subalgebra $\left\langle\left\langle\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ are symmetric, since the automorphisms $\tau_{\bar{a}_{0}}$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}_{1}}$ respectively, swap the generating axes. Hence, from the classification of the symmetric case, we know all possible values for the pairs

$$
\left(\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{-1}\right)\right)
$$

and we can look for common zeros $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ of the set $T$ with those prescribed values for $\left(x_{0}, z_{0}\right)$.

Using this method, we now classify 2 -generated primitive axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 5.1. If $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$, the set $Z\left(T_{s}\right)$ consists exactly of the 5 points

$$
(1,1),(0,1),\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right),
$$

and

$$
(q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta)), \text { with } q(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\left(3 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha \beta-\alpha-2 \beta\right)}{4(2 \alpha-1)}
$$

Proof. The system can be solved in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ using [1] giving the five solutions of the statement.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\left(x_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in Z\left(T_{s}\right)$. Then $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in Z(T)$ if and only if

$$
\left(y_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\left(x_{0}, z_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. This have been checked using [1].
Lemma 5.3. The algebras $3 C(\alpha), 3 C(\beta)$, and $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ are simple.

Proof. The claim follows from [9, Theorem 4.11 and Corollary 4.6]. For algebras $3 C(\alpha)$ and $3 C(\beta)$ it is proved in [8, Example 3.4]. The algebra $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ is the same as the algebra $3 A_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ defined by Reheren in [16]. By [16, Lemma 8.2], it admits a Frobenius form wich is non degenerate over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ and such that all the generating axes are non-singular with respect to this form. Hence, by Theorem 4.11 in [9, every non trivial ideal contains at least one of the generating axes. Then, Corollary 4.6 in 9 yields that the algebra is simple.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It is straightforward to check that the algebras $1 A, 2 B$, $3 C(\alpha), 3 C(\beta)$, and $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ are 2 -generated symmetric axial algebras of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ and their corresponding values of $\left(\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right), \lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right)\right)$ are respectively

$$
(1,1),(0,1),\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right), \text { and }(q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta))
$$

where $q(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined in Lemma 5.1. Let $V$ be an axial algebra of Monster type $(\alpha, \beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ generated by the two axes $\bar{a}_{0}$ and $\bar{a}_{1}$. Set

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{1}:=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right), \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}:=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right), \bar{\lambda}_{2}:=\lambda_{a_{0}}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right), \quad \text { and } \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}:=\lambda_{\bar{a}_{1}}\left(\bar{a}_{-1}\right)
$$

By Theorem 1.3, $V$ is determined, up to homomorphic images, by the quadruple $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, which must be in $Z(T)$. By Lemma 5.2 we get the five quadruples

$$
(1,1,1,1),(0,1,0,1),\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right),\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1, \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)
$$

and

$$
(q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta))
$$

By Corollary 3.8 and Proposition 4.9, $V$ is linearly generated on $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ by the set $\bar{a}_{-2}, \bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}$, and $\bar{s}_{1,2}$. Define

$$
\bar{d}_{0}:=\bar{s}_{2,3}-\bar{s}_{1,3}^{\tau_{0}}, \quad \bar{d}_{1}:=\bar{d}_{0}^{f}, \quad \bar{d}_{2}:=\bar{d}_{0}^{\tau_{1}}
$$

and, for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$,

$$
\bar{D}_{i}:=\bar{d}_{i}^{\tau_{0}}-\bar{d}_{i}
$$

By Lemma 4.6, all vectors $\bar{d}_{i}, \bar{D}_{i}$ for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ are zero. For all the admissible values of ( $\left.\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, the coefficient of $\bar{a}_{-2}$ in $D_{0}$ is non zero, hence we can express $\bar{a}_{-2}$ as a linear combination of $\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{s}_{0,1}, \bar{s}_{0,2}$, and $\bar{s}_{1,2}$. Similarly, from identity $\bar{d}_{0}=0$ we can express $\bar{s}_{1,2}$ as a linear combination of $\bar{a}_{-1}, \bar{a}_{0}, \bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}$, $\bar{s}_{0,1}$, and $\bar{s}_{0,2}$.

For $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in

$$
\left\{\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right),(q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta))\right\},
$$

from identity $\bar{d}_{2}=0$ we get $\bar{a}_{-1}=\bar{a}_{2}$ and consequently $\bar{s}_{0,2}=\bar{s}_{0,1}$. Thus in this two cases the dimension of $V$ is at most 4 . Moreover, if $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right)$, then from the identity $\bar{s}_{0,2} \bar{s}_{0,1}-\bar{s}_{0,1} \bar{s}_{0,1}=0$ we get $\bar{s}_{0,1}=-\frac{\beta}{2}\left(\bar{a}_{0}+\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{a}_{2}\right)$ and hence the dimension is at most 3 .

For $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in

$$
\left\{(1,1,1,1),(0,1,0,1),\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1, \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)\right\}
$$

from the identity $\bar{D}_{2}=0$ we get $\bar{a}_{-1}=\bar{a}_{1}$. Then, from the identity $\bar{d}_{2}=0$ we deduce $\bar{a}_{2}=\bar{a}_{0}$ and hence $\bar{s}_{0,2}=(1-2 \beta) \bar{a}_{0}$. Hence in this cases $V$ has dimension at most 3 . Suppose $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=(0,1,0,1)$. Then, from the identity $\bar{s}_{0,1} \bar{s}_{0,2}+(2 \beta-1) \bar{a}_{0}$ we get $\bar{s}_{0,1}=-\beta\left(\bar{a}_{0}+\bar{a}_{1}\right)$ and so $\bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1}=0$. Hence in this case $V$ is isomorphic to the algebra $2 B$. Finally, suppose $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=(1,1,1,1)$. From the identity $\bar{s}_{0,2}-\bar{s}_{1,2}^{f}=0$ we get $\bar{a}_{0}=\bar{a}_{1}$, that is $V$ is the algebra $1 A$.

Thus, for each $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in the set

$$
\left\{\left(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}\right),(q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta), q(\alpha, \beta)),\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1, \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)\right\},
$$

we get that $V$ satisfies the same multiplication table as the algebras $3 C(\beta), 3 C(\alpha)$ and $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ respectively and has at most the same dimension. Therefore, to conclude the proof, we need only to show that the algebras $3 C(\alpha), 3 C(\beta)$ and $3 A(\alpha, \beta)$ are simple. This follows from Lemma 5.3.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we can prove now Theorem 1.6 ,
Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero. Then $\mathbb{F}$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$. The resultant with respect to $z$ of the polynomials in $T_{s}$ has degree 9 and splits in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as the product of a constant and the linear factors

$$
x, x-1, x-\frac{1}{8},\left(x-\frac{1}{64}\right)^{2}, x-\frac{13}{2^{8}}, x-\frac{1}{32}, x-\frac{3}{2^{7}}, x-\frac{5}{2^{8}} .
$$

In $\mathbb{Q}^{4}$, the set $Z(T)$ consists of the 9 points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,1,1,1),(0,0,1,1),\left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, 1,1\right),\left(\frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}\right),\left(\frac{13}{2^{8}}, \frac{13}{2^{8}}, \frac{13}{2^{8}}, \frac{13}{2^{8}}\right), \\
& \left(\frac{1}{32}, \frac{1}{32}, 0,0\right),\left(\frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right),\left(\frac{3}{2^{7}}, \frac{3}{2^{7}}, \frac{3}{2^{7}}, \frac{3}{2^{7}}\right),\left(\frac{5}{2^{8}}, \frac{5}{2^{8}}, \frac{13}{2^{8}}, \frac{13}{2^{8}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [11, 7, each quadruple of the above list corresponds to a Norton-Sakuma algebra. By Corollary 4.13 in [9], every Norton-Sakuma algebra is simple, provieded it is not of type $2 B$. Hence, the thesis follows from Theorem 1.3, once we prove that in each case the dimension of $V$ is at most equal to the dimension of the corresponding Norton-Sakuma algebra. This can be done, by Remark 4.10, using [6].
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