

Post-Newtonian properties of EMRI with Power Law Potential

Chinmay N. Gandevikar*

BITS Pilani K.K. Birla Goa Campus, Sancoale, Goa 403726, India

Divyesh N. Solanki†

Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Surat GUJ 395007, India

Dipanjan Dey‡

International Center for Cosmology, Charusat University, Gujarat 388421, India

There are many astrophysical scenarios where extreme mass ratio inspiral (EMRI) binaries can be surrounded by matter distribution. The distribution of mass can affect the dynamical properties (e.g. orbital frequency, average energy radiation rate, etc.) of the EMRI. In this matter distribution, instead of Kepler-Newton potential, one may consider a more general form of potential i.e. power law potential. Moreover, due to the power law potential, the velocity profile of test particles does not fall as much as that predicted by Kepler-Newton potential and this feature of the velocity profile may be observationally important. In this study, we have obtained the first post-Newtonian (1PN) expressions for dynamical quantities and the average energy radiation rate from the circular orbit EMRI which is surrounded by a matter distribution. We show that the energy radiation rate and orbital frequency of EMRI can be significantly different in the presence of power law potential as compared to that in the Kepler-Newton potential, signatures of which may be observed in gravitational waves from EMRI.

Keywords : Power law potential, Gravitational energy radiation, Post-Newtonian approximation, EMRI.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a galaxy, the study of dynamics of the bodies around the central object has been of great interest for the last four decades. According to Kepler-Newton potential, the velocities of the stars about a central supermassive object in a galaxy should drop drastically with increasing radial distance. However, observations suggest otherwise. The Kepler-Newton expression (also denoted as KN potential) of gravitational potential ($\frac{Gm}{r}$) known to us can be obtained from the effective potential of the Schwarzschild spacetime at an infinite distance from the central body. However, the Schwarzschild metric is a vacuum solution of the Einstein's field equation. But at the galactic scale, due to dark matter and baryonic matter, the system is non-vacuum. Due to this limitation, the Schwarzschild metric or the KN potential cannot theoretically explain galactic dynamics.

In the late 1970s and early 1980s, it was confirmed by the extensive study reported in Sofue and Rubin in 2001 [1] that most of the stars in a galaxy rotate at nearly the same velocities. This means that the velocities of these stars are independent of their distance from the central mass. Investigation of this novel observation has been a hot topic for several decades. There have been multiple theories like modified Newtonian dynamic (MOND) [2], the existence of dark matter, modified gravity, etc. [3–5]. In 1933, Zwicky [6] suggested “missing mass” to

account for the orbital velocities of galaxies in clusters. Later, V Rubin and their group studied the possibility of dark matter around galaxies [7, 8]. In [9, 10], authors study the mass density distribution in galaxies and compare them with the observations. The density profile of dark matter famously known as the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile [11] in the galaxy justifies the nature of the curve. Dey et al. have worked on developing a general relativistic approach to understand galactic dynamics. Bertrand Spacetimes is one of the non-vacuum spacetime which can be thought to be seeded by dark matter [12–15]. In the Newtonian limit, this spacetime can effectively give the NFW dark matter density profile and hence can explain the observed behaviour of rotational velocity curves. In 1983, Milgrom suggested modifying Newtonian dynamics (MOND) which is an alternate way to justify the curves. According to the MOND, Newton's laws of gravity get modified at a large distance scale. The theoretical prediction of MOND satisfactorily fit the observed velocity profiles of stars for a large number of galaxies [16]. Later, relativistic study of MOND was done by Bekenstein [17], Moffat [18, 19] and Brownstein [20].

Logarithmic potential and appropriate power law potential can lead to the rotational velocities (matching the observations) higher than that in the case of KN potential. The velocity curve plotted using the logarithmic potential is completely flat. Recently, Munera and Delgado-Correal [21] have shown derivation of logarithmic potential as a solution of non-homogeneous Laplace equation. Power law forces are mentioned in classical books like Goldstein [22] and Danby [23]. The power law potentials (also denoted as PL potential) have been ex-

* cgandevikar@gmail.com

† divyeshsolanki98@gmail.com

‡ deydipanjan7@gmail.com

tensively studied in the non-relativistic case. In [24–26], the nature of orbits in the presence of power law potential is studied extensively.

The KN potential can be written as an approximate potential derived from the Schwarzschild solution of Einstein field equations which is only valid in vacuum region. Hence, it is not entirely applicable to study binary systems in galaxies or systems with dark matter distribution around them. Power law potential can incorporate the effect of this mass distribution and hence also can justify the behaviour of the velocity profile. In this paper, we consider an extreme mass ratio inspiral (EMRI) binary of a stellar object which is orbiting the central supermassive object in power law potential. The orbital motion makes the EMRI radiate its energy which propagates as the gravitational waves. We consider that this stellar object is in the faraway region from the central supermassive object. This region allows the usability of Post-Newtonian (PN) theory to obtain more precise expressions for the quantities that can be described for the system, e.g., orbital velocity of the object, the orbital radius, the acceleration of the object etc. Subsequently, we predict the average energy radiation rate from the system. The upcoming advanced gravitational-wave detectors like LISA may be capable of detecting the gravitational radiations from EMRI binaries [27–30].

We organize the paper in the following way. In Sec. (II), we derive the acceleration of a body up to 1PN approximation using power law potential instead of KN potential. The binary system and the PN corrected expressions for the dynamical quantities are discussed in Sec. (III). In Sec. (IV), we discuss the PN corrected average energy radiation rate. In Sec. (V), the significant dynamical variables like the velocity and the orbital frequency, and energy radiation in the case of power law potential are compared with that in the case of KN potential system. In Sec. (VI), we discuss the important results and possible future works.

II. ACCELERATION OF THE REFERENCE BODY IN THE PRESENCE OF POWER LAW POTENTIAL

The first order approximate expression for acceleration vector (a_P^j) of a body named as body-P can be written as [31],

$$\begin{aligned} a_P^j = & \partial^j U_P + \frac{1}{c^2} [(v_P^2 - 4U_P) \partial^j U_P - 4v_P^j v_P^k \partial_k U_P \\ & - 3v_P^j \dot{U}_P + \partial^j \psi_P + \frac{1}{2} \partial^j \ddot{X}_P + 4\dot{U}_P^j \\ & - 4(\partial^j U_P^k - \partial^k U_P^j) v_{P,k}] \\ & + O(c^{-4}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where U_P , U_P^j , ψ_P^j and X_P are the external scalar gravitational potential, vector gravitational potential, post-Newtonian correction to scalar gravitational potential and the super-potential respectively.

The components of the EMRI under consideration are a supermassive compact object-Q of mass M_Q and a stellar mass object-P of mass M_P (which is much less than that of body-Q). We use the following notations throughout the paper: \mathbf{y}_Q and \mathbf{y}_P are the position vectors of the bodies Q and P in the center of mass frame, r_{PQ} is the distance between the two bodies, also given as $|\mathbf{y}_P - \mathbf{y}_Q|$. \mathbf{v}_Q and \mathbf{v}_P are the absolute velocities of the bodies, \mathbf{a}_Q and \mathbf{a}_P are the absolute accelerations of the bodies, \mathbf{x}_S is the position vector of any field point ‘S’ where potential is being evaluated. The unit vector in \mathbf{x}_{SQ} direction and the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{x}_{PQ} can be written as $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{SQ} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_{SQ}}{|\mathbf{x}_{SQ}|} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{y}_Q}{|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{y}_Q|}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_{PQ}}{|\mathbf{x}_{PQ}|} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_P - \mathbf{y}_Q}{|\mathbf{y}_P - \mathbf{y}_Q|}$ respectively.

With increasing radial distance from the central supermassive object, according to Kepler’s laws, the rotational velocities are expected to fall down to nearly zero. However, in order to have higher values of rotational velocities (which is generally observed in galactic scales [1, 7, 8]), one can use specific forms of power law potential [32, 33]. A general form of the expression of the Newtonian order (i.e. 0 PN order) gravitational acceleration $\mathbf{a}_{P,N}(r)$ for the power law potential can be written as,

$$\mathbf{a}_{P,N}(r_{PQ}) = \partial^j U_P(r_{PQ}) = -\frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{(\epsilon^2 + r_{PQ}^2)^{\delta+1}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ}. \quad (2)$$

Here, M_Q^* is the constant scale mass of the potential due to body-Q, ϵ is the scale radius of the source and δ can have any real value [34]. Note that the subscript in the acceleration is “P, N” denoting acceleration of body-P at Newtonian order, which is different from “PN” which denotes the post-Newtonian corrections. Two interesting special cases are

1. The circular velocity is constant ($v_{circ} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{a}|r} = \text{const}$) i.e. $\delta = 0$ and
2. The circular velocity is inversely proportional to the square root of the radial distance ($v_{circ} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{a}|r} \propto r^{-1/2}$) i.e. $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$.

Above two cases correspond to flat and Keplerian rotation curves, respectively. The exactly flat rotation curves can be obtained at $\delta = 0$. Using Eq. (2), it can be seen that the corresponding potential is the logarithmic potential. In this study, the general power law potential is taken under consideration except for the logarithmic potential case. The potential (U_P) corresponding to the above mentioned acceleration (Eq. (2)) at point P due to super-massive body-Q [33] is

$$U_P = \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(\epsilon^2 + r_{PQ}^2)^\delta}. \quad (3)$$

Corresponding vector potential (U_P^j) [31] is accordingly obtained

$$U_P^j = \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(\epsilon^2 + r_{PQ}^2)^\delta} v_Q^j. \quad (4)$$

A. External potentials and their derivatives

In this sub-section, we derive the post-Newtonian potentials and their derivatives as introduced in Eq. (1). It is considered that the distance between the two bodies is much larger than the sizes of the two bodies. From here on, external potentials are referred to as just “potentials”.

Before introducing other external potentials and their derivatives, we introduce the following tools which are used to obtain the same

$$\partial^j r_{SQ} = n_{SQ}^j, \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_j \mathbf{x}_{SQ}^k = \delta_j^k, \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{SQ} = -\mathbf{v}_Q, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{r}_{SQ} = -\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{SQ} \cdot \mathbf{v}_Q, \quad (8)$$

$$\partial^j n_{SQ}^k = \frac{\delta^{jk}}{r_{SQ}} - \frac{n_{SQ}^j n_{SQ}^k}{r_{SQ}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\partial^j v_Q^k = 0. \quad (10)$$

The over-dot represents the time derivative of the quantity. Following are the expressions for the derivatives of the two potentials mentioned in Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) [31].

$$\dot{U}_P = \frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\delta+1}} (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ} \cdot \mathbf{v}_Q), \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U}_P^j &= \frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{2\delta(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\delta+1}} \left[2\delta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ} \cdot \mathbf{v}_Q) v_Q^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{GM_P^*}{(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^\delta} n_{PQ}^j \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\partial^j U_P = -\frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\delta+1}} n_{PQ}^j, \quad (13)$$

$$\partial^j U_P^k = -\frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\delta+1}} n_{PQ}^j v_Q^k. \quad (14)$$

Post-Newtonian correction (ψ_P) to the Newtonian Potential.

$$\psi_P = U_P \left(\frac{3}{2} v_Q^2 - U_Q \right), \quad (15)$$

where U_Q is the potential at body-Q. Spatial derivative of ψ_P is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^j \psi_P &= \partial^j \left[U_P \left(\frac{3}{2} v_Q^2 - U_Q \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{GM_Q^* r_{PQ}}{(r_{PQ}^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\delta+1}} v_Q^2 n_{PQ}^j. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Mathematically, potential is the quantity obtained from Poisson's equation using a source term. We need mass density to get the gravitational potential (U). Using this Newtonian gravitational potential as source, a higher order of potential called super-potential (X) can

be obtained. In other words super-potential is the potential generated by external potential. Here we will briefly explain the derivation:

The Poisson's equation for super-potential (X) is given as

$$\nabla^2 X = 2U. \quad (17)$$

The source term contains the potential U . The potential due to a mass- Q at a field point (\mathbf{x}') is a function of the distance between the field point and the source mass is

$$U(\mathbf{x}') = U(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}_Q). \quad (18)$$

The corresponding super-potential ($X(\mathbf{x}_S)$) at another field point (\mathbf{x}_S) due to the potential $U(\mathbf{x}')$ is the solution of the Poisson's equation, $\nabla^2 X(\mathbf{x}_S) = 2U(\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}')$. $X(\mathbf{x}_S)$ is spherically symmetric hence its dependence on vector ($\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}'$) can be simplified into the dependence over the magnitude of this vector, which we denote as ' r '. The derivative of this super-potential as required in Eq. (1) is formalized as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^j \ddot{X}(\mathbf{x}_S) &= \partial^j (\partial_{tt} X(r)) \\ &= \partial^j (X''(r) \dot{r}^2 + X'(r) \ddot{r}) \\ &= X'''(r) \dot{r}^2 \partial^j r + 2X''(r) \dot{r} \partial^j \dot{r} \\ &\quad + X''(r) \ddot{r} \partial^j r + X'(r) \partial^j \ddot{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here, the primes denote radial derivative and the over-dots are for time derivatives. The general solution to the Poisson's equation is given as

$$X(\mathbf{x}_S) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{U(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' + X_0(\mathbf{x}_S). \quad (20)$$

Here, X_0 is the constant of integral, which is the solution to the Laplace equation $\nabla^2 X_0 = 0$. As prescribed [31] (in the box 7.3) the domain of integration is truncated to spatial near zone. This changes the form of Poisson's equation to $\nabla^2 X = 2U\Theta(R - r)$, where $\Theta(R - r)$ is the Heaviside step function and R is the radius of the boundary of the near zone (value of which is immaterial and this fact will be used later).

According to our assumption, the body-Q is heavy enough compared to the body-P such that the effect due to the body-P is negligible, and we consider only the effect due to body-Q as

$$U(\mathbf{x}') \approx U(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}_Q) = \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(r_{1Q}^2 + \epsilon^2)^\delta}, \quad (21)$$

here, $r_{1Q} = |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}_Q|$.

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (20), we obtain

$$X(\mathbf{x}_S) = -\frac{GM_Q^*}{4\pi\delta} \int \frac{\Theta(R - r')}{|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}'|(r_{1Q}^2 + \epsilon^2)^\delta} d^3 x' + X_0(\mathbf{x}_S). \quad (22)$$

From here on, $r' = |\mathbf{x}'|$. If the distance between points, r_{1Q} , is very large compared to the scale length ϵ , i.e. $\epsilon \ll r_{1Q}$, we can approximate the potential as

$$U(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}_Q) \approx \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(r_{1Q})^{2\delta}}. \quad (23)$$

Therefore,

$$X(\mathbf{x}_S) = -\frac{GM_Q^*}{4\pi\delta} \int \frac{\Theta(R - r')}{|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}'|(r_{1Q})^{2\delta}} d^3x' + X_0(\mathbf{x}_S). \quad (24)$$

For the mathematical simplicity, we take $\mathbf{y}_Q = 0$.

At the end of this section, we generalize our result by introducing \mathbf{y}_Q . We set the Cartesian coordinates such that the Z-axis aligns with the position vector \mathbf{x}_S .

Therefore, $\mathbf{x}_S = r\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $\mathbf{x}_S \cdot \mathbf{x}' = rr' \cos \theta'$, and $|\mathbf{x}_S - \mathbf{x}'| = \sqrt{r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta'}$. Hence

$$X(\mathbf{x}_S) = -\frac{GM_Q^*}{4\pi\delta} \int \frac{\Theta(R - r')r'^2 \sin \theta' dr' d\theta' d\phi'}{r'^{2\delta} \sqrt{r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta'}} + X_0. \quad (25)$$

The integrand is independent of ϕ' , thus the ϕ integral will give a factor 2π . Now, we integrate θ' part first. Let us define it as $I(r, r')$.

$$I(r, r') = \int_0^\pi (r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta')^{-1/2} \sin \theta' d\theta' \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{1}{rr'} \{(r + r') - |r - r'|\} \quad (27)$$

Now we integrate over r' .

$$\begin{aligned} X(\mathbf{x}_S) &= -\frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta} \int_0^R r'^{2(1-\delta)} I(r, r') dr' + X_0 \\ &= -\frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta} \left(\int_0^r r'^{2(1-\delta)} \frac{2}{r} dr' + \int_r^R r'^{2(1-\delta)} \frac{2}{r'} dr' \right) + X_0 \\ &= \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(1-\delta)} \left(\frac{r^{2(1-\delta)}}{3-2\delta} - R^{2(1-\delta)} \right) + X_0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now, one should consider $X_0 = \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(1-\delta)} R^{2(1-\delta)}$ to get rid of the terms dependent on the boundary of near zone. One can get the following expression of the super-potential

$$X(\mathbf{x}_S) = \frac{GM_Q^*}{2\delta(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)} (r_{SQ})^{2(1-\delta)}, \quad (29)$$

where we replace \mathbf{x} by $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_Q$ to generalize the result (Eq. (28)) and we drop the assumption $\mathbf{y}_Q = 0$. Placing the body-P at point S, it can be shown that

$$\partial^j \ddot{X}_P = \frac{2GM_Q^*}{(3-2\delta)(r_{PQ})^{2\delta+1}} \left[2(\delta+1)(\mathbf{v}_Q \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ})^2 n_{PQ}^j - v_Q^2 n_{PQ}^j - 2(\mathbf{v}_Q \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ}) v_Q^j + \frac{2GM_P^*}{(r_{PQ})^{2\delta}} n_{PQ}^j \right]. \quad (30)$$

Acceleration of body-P can be obtained by substituting the expressions for the derivatives of the potentials as derived in Eq. (11), (12), (13), (14), (16), and (30) in Eq. (1). As we previously mentioned, we assume that the body-Q is much heavier than the body-P. Therefore, for mathematical simplicity, we can consider the body-Q is always stationary which implies $\mathbf{v}_Q \approx 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_Q \approx 0$. For simplicity in notations, we use $r_{PQ} = r$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ} = \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{v}_P = \mathbf{v}$, and $\frac{GM_Q^*}{2} = K_Q$. Therefore, the potential and it's differentials reduce to the expressions listed as

$$U_P = \frac{K_Q}{\delta r^{2\delta}}, \quad (31)$$

$$\partial^j U_P = \frac{-2K_Q}{r^{2\delta+1}} n^j, \quad (32)$$

$$U_P^j = \frac{K_Q v_Q^j}{\delta r^{2\delta}} = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\dot{U}_P = \frac{2K_Q(\mathbf{v}_Q \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})}{r^{2\delta+1}} = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\partial^j \psi_P = \frac{-3K_Q v_Q^2}{r^{2\delta+1}} n^j = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U}_P^j &= \frac{2K_P K_Q}{\delta r^{4\delta+1}} n^j + \frac{2K_Q(\mathbf{v}_Q \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})}{r^{2\delta+1}} v_Q^j \\ &= \frac{2K_P K_Q}{\delta r^{4\delta+1}} n^j, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\partial^j U_P^i = \frac{-2K_Q}{r^{2\delta+1}} n^j v_Q^i = 0. \quad (37)$$

One can substitute the above expressions (Eqs. (31) to (37)) along with the expression for the derivative of the

super-potential as given in Eq. (30) into Eq. (1) to obtain

$$a_P^j = -\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{r^{2\delta+1}}n^j - \frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{c^2r^{2\delta+1}}\left[-4(\mathbf{v}_P\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}})v_P^j + \left(v_P^2 - \frac{2GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{\delta r^{2\delta}}\right)n^j\right], \quad (38)$$

where the mass of the body-Q (M_Q) is related to the scale mass M_Q^* by $M_Q^* = M_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}$. In the next section, we derive the dynamical variables corresponding to the binary.

III. THE BINARY SYSTEM AND THE DYNAMICAL QUANTITIES

The EMRI under consideration comprises of a super-massive compact object (body-Q) and a stellar mass object (body-P) moving in a circular orbit around body-Q. The position \mathbf{x} of the body-P is written as

$$\mathbf{x} = r(\cos\phi\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \sin\phi\hat{\mathbf{j}}), \quad (39)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle, r is the radius. Since we don't need to work with the dynamics of any body other than body-P, we drop the subscript-“P”, i.e. the quantities r_P , \mathbf{x}_P , \mathbf{v}_P and \mathbf{a}_P will be denoted as r , \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{a} and the subscript “PQ” in the quantities that are measured with reference to body-Q are also dropped. i.e. r_{PQ} , \mathbf{x}_{PQ} and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{PQ}$ are henceforth denoted as r , \mathbf{x} , $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. In this study, we have used the following sign convention: $U(r) = +\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{\delta r^{2\delta}}$, and $a(r) = +\frac{dU}{dr}$. The differential orbit equation (for the body-P) can be written as [22, 32, 33, 35]

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = \frac{M_P}{L^2} \frac{dU(1/u)}{du}, \quad (40)$$

where $u = 1/r$, L is conserved angular momentum of the body-P. Therefore

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = \frac{GM_Q M_P}{L^2} \epsilon^{2\delta-1} u^{2\delta-1}. \quad (41)$$

For the circular orbits, the solution of the above differential orbit equation is $u = 1/p$. Therefore, we obtain

$$p^{2(\delta-1)} = \frac{GM_Q M_P}{L^2} \epsilon^{2\delta-1}. \quad (42)$$

A. Dynamical quantities at Newtonian order

Henceforth, the dynamical quantities, say F , will appear in the form of $F = F_N + \frac{1}{c^2}F_{PN}$, where F_N denotes the Newtonian order or the 0-PN term which has been derived in this sub-section (III A) and F_{PN} denotes the

the acceleration (a_P^j) as follows.

1PN correction to the quantity F which will be derived in the next sub-section (III B). For example, the Newtonian order and post-Newtonian order expression for velocity of body-P are denoted as \mathbf{v}_N and \mathbf{v}_{PN} respectively while the complete PN-corrected term is denoted as \mathbf{v} .

We derive the Kepler's third law for the power law potential by balancing the centrifugal acceleration with the radial attractive acceleration due to gravity,

$$\frac{v_N^2}{r} = \frac{GM_Q}{r^{2\delta+1}} \epsilon^{2\delta-1}. \quad (43)$$

Here, $v_N = \frac{2\pi r}{T}$ is the 0PN or the Newtonian order expression of the velocity of the body-P and T is the time period of its orbit. Therefore,

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{T^2} = \frac{GM_Q}{r^{2(\delta+1)}} \epsilon^{2\delta-1}. \quad (44)$$

The Kepler's third law in the case of power law potential becomes $T^2 \propto r^{2(\delta+1)}$. We have restricted our attention to the circular orbits, therefore $r = p$ (constant). Thus the angular velocity $\dot{\phi}_N$ becomes

$$\dot{\phi}_N = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2(\delta+1)}}}. \quad (45)$$

The 0PN velocity \mathbf{v}_N of the body-P using Eq. (43) becomes

$$\mathbf{v}_N = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\phi} \dot{\phi} = p \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2(\delta+1)}}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \quad (46)$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{n}}}{d\phi} = -\sin\phi\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \cos\phi\hat{\mathbf{j}}$.

The Newtonian order term of the acceleration is

$$\mathbf{a}_N = \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=p} = -\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta+1}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (47)$$

B. Dynamical quantities with Post-Newtonian correction

We use the 1PN corrected acceleration (38) to determine the 1PN correction in other dynamical variables, i.e. velocity, radial coordinate and angular frequency. In the case of circular orbits, $\mathbf{v}_P\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$, and the acceleration (38) reduces to

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{GM_Q\epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta+1}} \hat{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{G^2 M_Q^2 \epsilon^{2(2\delta-1)}}{\delta p^{4\delta+1}} (2-\delta) \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (48)$$

which can be written as

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_N + \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{a}_{PN}, \quad (49)$$

where the 1PN correction in the acceleration is

$$\mathbf{a}_{PN} = \frac{G^2 M_Q^2 \epsilon^{2(2\delta-1)}}{\delta p^{4\delta+1}} (2-\delta) \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (50)$$

The expression for velocity at the Newtonian order (0PN) can be given as $v_N^2 = \frac{GM_Q}{p^{2\delta}} \epsilon^{2\delta-1}$ as derived in Eq. (46). After substituting this expression, the post-Newtonian parameter (v_N^2/c^2) becomes evidently apparent. Hence we can simplify Eq. (48),

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta+1}} \left[1 - \frac{v_N^2}{c^2} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right] \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (51)$$

In Kepler-Newton (KN) case, $\delta = 1/2$, and the acceleration (\mathbf{a}_{KN}) reduces to ([36], [37])

$$\mathbf{a}_{KN} = -\frac{GM_Q}{p^2} \left[1 - 3 \frac{v_{KN}^2}{c^2} \right] \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (52)$$

where $v_{KN}^2 = \frac{GM_Q}{p}$.

Post-Newtonian corrections are only valid as long as $(\frac{v_N}{c})^2 \ll 1$ and the PN correction is much smaller than the Newtonian order part. This can be simplified to give

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta+1}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right] \hat{\mathbf{n}} &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{d\phi} + \frac{d\delta \mathbf{v}}{d\phi} \right) \dot{\phi} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{d\phi} + \frac{d\delta \mathbf{v}}{d\phi} \right) \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \\ &= -\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta+1}} \hat{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \frac{d\delta \mathbf{v}}{d\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Equating the 1-PN terms, we get

$$\frac{2-\delta}{c^2} \frac{G^2 M_Q^2 \epsilon^{2(2\delta-1)}}{\delta p^{4\delta+1}} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \frac{d\delta \mathbf{v}}{d\phi}. \quad (59)$$

the following condition

$$1 \gg \left(\frac{v_N}{c} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2-\delta}{\delta} \right) = \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{(2\delta-1)}}{p^{2\delta} c^2} \frac{2-\delta}{\delta} \implies \delta > 0. \quad (53)$$

We shall consider the cases where $\delta > 0$.

Now, we proceed to determine the PN corrections in the other dynamical variables. Let the PN corrected angular momentum per unit mass ($r^2 \dot{\phi}$) and PN corrected velocity (\mathbf{v}_P) be written as

$$r^2 \dot{\phi} = |\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}| = p \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} (1 + \delta h), \quad (54)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} + \delta \mathbf{v}, \quad (55)$$

where $p \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \delta h$ and $\delta \mathbf{v}$ are the PN correction terms. As in this paper, we consider circular orbits, for a particular orbit, the angular momentum of the body is constant. Therefore, Eq. (54) becomes [37],

$$r^2 \dot{\phi} = p \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}}, \quad (56)$$

here r and $\dot{\phi}$ are corrected up to 1-PN.

Since circular orbits are considered, the radial component of the velocity is zero. Hence the velocity can be written as $\mathbf{v} = r \dot{\phi} \hat{\phi}$. Also the relation between acceleration \mathbf{a} and the velocity \mathbf{v} can be given as:

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\phi} \dot{\phi}. \quad (57)$$

Using Eq. (55) and Eq. (51), the above equation can be re-written as,

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\delta \mathbf{v}}{d\phi} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \left[\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \right]^{3/2} \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (60)$$

$$\therefore \delta \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \left[\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \right]^{3/2} \hat{\mathbf{v}}. \quad (61)$$

Hence, the velocity \mathbf{v}_P of the body-P corrected up to

the first order Post-Newtonian term is

$$\therefore \mathbf{v}_P = \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right] \hat{\mathbf{v}}. \quad (62)$$

Substituting Eq. (62) in the following relation

$$\mathbf{v}_P = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{dt} = r \dot{\phi} \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r^2 \dot{\phi}}{r} \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (63)$$

and using Eq. (56), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right] \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r^2 \dot{\phi}}{r} \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{p}{r} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (64)$$

which implies

$$\frac{p}{r} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right]. \quad (65)$$

Therefore, the radial coordinate (r) corrected up to the first order Post-Newtonian term is

$$r = p \left[1 + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta} \right] + O(c^{-4}). \quad (66)$$

Next, we derive the expression for the angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$. Substituting Eq. (66) into Eq. (56) and simplifying for $\dot{\phi}$, we obtain

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{2GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{\delta p^{2\delta}} (2-\delta) \right]. \quad (67)$$

In the next section, we discuss the average energy radiation rate of EMRI using the expressions of PN corrected

quantities derived here.

IV. AVERAGE ENERGY RADIATION RATE FROM AN EMRI

The average energy radiation rate $\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \rangle$ from a binary is given by the following expression [31, 36, 37].

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{G}{45c^5} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 dt, \quad (68)$$

where the mass quadrupole tensor $D^{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as [36]

$$D^{\alpha\beta} = M_P (3x^\alpha x^\beta - |\mathbf{x}|^2 \delta^{\alpha\beta}). \quad (69)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{d^3}{dt^3} [M_P (3x^\alpha x^\beta - |\mathbf{x}|^2 \delta^{\alpha\beta})] \\ &= M_P \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [3\dot{x}^\alpha x^\beta + 3x^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} \delta^{\alpha\beta}] \\ &= M_P \frac{d}{dt} [3\ddot{x}^\alpha x^\beta + 6\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta + 3x^\alpha \ddot{x}^\beta - 2\dot{x}^2 \delta^{\alpha\beta} - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \delta^{\alpha\beta}] \\ &= M_P [3\ddot{x}^\alpha x^\beta + 9\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta + 9x^\alpha \ddot{x}^\beta + 3x^\alpha \ddot{x}^\beta - 6\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \delta^{\alpha\beta} - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \delta^{\alpha\beta}] \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Hence, $(\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 &= (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})(\ddot{D}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= 6M_P^2 [3\dot{x}^2 x^2 + 18(\ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}})(\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + 18(\ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}})(\ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}})^2 + 27\dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 \ddot{\mathbf{x}}^2 + 9(\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}})^2 - 12(\ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x})(\ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}})], \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

where the acceleration terms ($\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$) can be written as the gradient of the potential or the magnitude of the force corresponding to this acceleration is given as $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}} = M_P |\mathbf{a}| = \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$, where V is the potential energy. There-

fore, the acceleration of the body-P becomes

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{M_P} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{a}_{PN}. \quad (72)$$

Substituting the above expression of \mathbf{a} into Eq. (71) and after some simplification, we obtain the following expression for $(\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2$ ([36, 38]):

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 = 24 & \left[\left(r \dot{r} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + 3 \dot{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^2 + 12 r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{24 M_P}{c^2} \left[2r \left(\dot{r} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} \mathbf{x} + 3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN} \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ 9 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} (\dot{r}^2 + 2 r^2 \dot{\phi}^2) \hat{\mathbf{n}} + 3 \dot{r} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} (3 \dot{r} \mathbf{x} - r \dot{\mathbf{x}}) + 9 \dot{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \right\} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{PN} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

For circular orbits $\dot{r} = 0$, therefore Eq. (73) reduces to the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned} (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 = 24 & \left[12 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^2 r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{M_P}{c^2} \left\{ 18^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{PN} + 6r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \mathbf{v}_P \cdot \dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Here, the time derivative of the PN correction term $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN}$ of the acceleration is

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN} = \frac{d\mathbf{a}_{PN}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{a}_{PN}}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{a}_{PN}}{d\phi} \dot{\phi}. \quad (75)$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN} = \left[\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{p^{2\delta}} \right]^{5/2} \frac{(2-\delta)}{\delta p^2} \hat{\mathbf{v}} + O(c^{-2}), \quad (76)$$

where the higher order terms are ignored as they will contribute to the 2PN or higher orders, which is out of the scope of this current study. We can also write,

$$V(r) = \frac{GM_Q M_P}{2\delta r^{2\delta}} \epsilon^{2\delta-1}, \quad (77)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_P \cdot \dot{\mathbf{a}}_{PN} = \frac{G^3 M_Q^3 \epsilon^{3(2\delta-1)}}{\delta p^{2(3\delta+1)}} (2-\delta) + O(c^{-2}), \quad (78)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{PN} = \frac{G^2 M_Q^2 \epsilon^{2(2\delta-1)}}{\delta p^{4\delta+1}} (2-\delta) + O(c^{-2}). \quad (79)$$

Now substituting Eq. (66), (67), and the above expressions into Eq. (74), we obtain

$$(\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 = \frac{288 G^3 M_Q^3 M_P^2 \epsilon^{3(2\delta-1)}}{p^{2(3\delta+1)}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{2GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{\delta p^{2\delta}} (2-\delta)(3+2\delta) \right]. \quad (80)$$

The average rate of the energy radiation mentioned in Eq. (68) can be written as,

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{G}{45c^5} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2 d\phi, \quad (81)$$

where $\dot{\phi} = 2\pi/T$. In the case of circular orbits, the in-

tegrand is independent of the variable ϕ . Therefore, the above expression of the average energy radiation rate reduces to

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{G}{45c^5} (\ddot{D}^{\alpha\beta})^2. \quad (82)$$

Thus




Figure 1. Figure shows velocity of the smaller object in the extreme mass ratio binary in power law (PL) potential (Orange curve) and in Kepler-Newton (KN) potential (Blue curve) with respect to radial distance between the two bodies.

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{32G^4 M_Q^3 M_P^2 \epsilon^{3(2\delta-1)}}{5c^5 p^{2(3\delta+1)}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{2GM_Q \epsilon^{2\delta-1}}{\delta p^{2\delta}} (2-\delta)(3+2\delta) \right]. \quad (83)$$

The condition for using PN approximations to evaluate average energy radiation rate for power law potential is

$$1 \gg \frac{GM_Q}{c^2 p^{2\delta}} \frac{(2-\delta)(3+2\delta)}{\delta}. \quad (84)$$

For a given EMRI and in a range of radial distance, this condition can be narrowed down to a cut-off δ_0 below which PN approximations cannot be used to work out the average energy radiation rate. This cut-off depends on the properties of the binary under consideration.

Eq. (83) shows the energy radiation from the binaries following power law potential with the assumptions that (i) the scale length (ϵ) is very small compared to the distance between two bodies i.e. r_{PQ} , (ii) the body-Q is much heavier than the body-P, therefore the motion of the body-Q is negligible, and it can be treated as a stationary body and (iii) the body-P is moving in the circular orbit around Q.

V. COMPARISON OF POWER LAW POTENTIAL WITH THE KEPLER-NEWTON POTENTIAL

Consider an example of an EMRI system of a supermassive black hole, and a star as massive as the sun.

Let the properties of the supermassive compact object be: mass, $M_Q = 4.1 \times 10^6 M_\odot = 8.2 \times 10^{36} \text{ kg}$, the scale radius, $\epsilon = 5.8 \times 10^{-4} pc$ and the properties of the star or the stellar mass object be: mass, $M_P = 1 M_\odot = 2 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$.

In this section, we compare the velocity curves, the average energy radiation rate and the orbital frequency of the binary in the PL potential and in the KN Potential. The PN corrections are much smaller than the Newtonian values of the evaluated quantities. Hence, in the magnitude scales considered below, the graphs of the Newtonian and the PN corrected quantities will appear to overlap.




Figure 2. Figure shows variation of 1-PN corrected rate of energy radiation from an extreme mass ratio binary in power law (PL) potential (Orange curve) and in Kepler-Newton (KN) potential (Blue curve) with respect to radial distance (p) between the two bodies.




Figure 3. Figure shows the comparison of the orbital frequency of the extreme mass ratio binary in power law (PL) potential (Orange curve) and in Kepler-Newton (KN) potential (Blue curve) with respect to radial distance (p) between the two bodies.

A. Velocity profile

The comparison of the velocity curves for power law potential (Eq. (62)) and Kepler-Newton potential is

shown in this subsection. For $0 < \delta < 0.5$, the velocity falls more slowly in the case of power law (PL) potential as compared to that in KN potential case which is usually observed in astrophysical scenarios (Fig. 1(a)). In fact, for $\delta = 0.01$ i.e. ≈ 0 , the velocity curve is nearly

flat (Fig. 1(b)). For the case of $0.5 < \delta$, the velocity for PL potential drops faster with increasing radial distance (Fig. 1(c)). Therefore, $0.5 < \delta$ is astrophysically improbable.

B. Average energy radiation rate

Next, we compare the average energy radiation rate from an EMRI in PL potential and that in KN Potential.

Average energy radiation rate from a system in KN potential can be determined by substituting $\delta = 1/2$ in our final result (Eq. (83))

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle_{KNP} = -\frac{32G^4 M_Q^3 M_P^2}{5c^5 p^5} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{24GM_Q}{p} \right). \quad (85)$$

The comparisons of the two energy radiation rates, Eq. (85), and Eq. (83) with $\delta \neq 0.5$, are shown in the Fig. (2) for different δ -s.

In the EMRI considered above, we focus on the region where the radial distance $p \approx 10^{16}$ meter and the corresponding $\delta_0 \approx 0.38$. For $0 < \delta < 0.38$, the 1-PN term becomes comparable and much higher than the 0-PN (or the Newtonian) part. Therefore, the 1-PN part blows up and it looks as if the EMRI is absorbing energy instead of radiating (Fig. 2(a)). Hence, as far as the energy radiation rate is concerned, we have to limit our study to $\delta > \delta_0 := 0.38$. For $0.38 < \delta < 0.5$, the energy radiation rate is greater than that from KN potential (Fig. 2(b)). For $\delta > 0.5$, the energy radiation rate is smaller than that from KN potential (Fig. 2(c)). However, as we mentioned before, $\delta > 0.5$ is astrophysically improbable.

C. Orbital frequency

Presence of matter distribution around a binary affects the dynamics of the binary. The effect of dynamical friction as studied in [39–41] is ignored here. Let's consider a power law (where the power is δ) such that it has a small deviation (Δ) in the power from the Kepler-Newton potential ($\delta_{KN} = 1/2$) which could be because of the presence of matter distribution around the EMRI. Accordingly, from the Eq. (67), the orbital frequency $\dot{\phi}$ can be written as

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{GM_Q \epsilon^{1-2\Delta}}{p^{(1-2\Delta)}}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{2GM_Q \epsilon^{-2\Delta}}{(1/2 - \Delta)p^{(1-2\Delta)}} (3/2 + \Delta) \right]. \quad (86)$$

When $\Delta > 0$, which is also the case where we expect non-vacuum environment, the orbital frequency is increased (Fig. 3(a)). While in the case where $\Delta < 0$ we get orbital frequency lower than that of an EMRI in KN potential but this case astrophysically improbable.

From the observation data of the orbital frequency of EMRI, the value of Δ can be obtained which gives the precise power law potential which can be used to get the

matter density profile around the central supermassive compact object.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider an extreme mass ratio inspiral (EMRI) in a system where the supermassive object lies in a non vacuum region such that we can use power law potential. The main motivation to consider power law potential is to get nearly flat profile of orbital velocity which is observed in astrophysical scenarios (e.g. galactic rotation curve). We derive the 1-PN corrected dynamical variables of the orbiting stellar mass object. We consider circular orbits for the ease of calculations. Using the Newtonian gravitational potential (U) and the PN potentials (U^j , Ψ and X), we derive the acceleration of a body in the PL potential. Using this, we derive other PN corrected dynamical variables. Subsequently, we obtain the mass quadrupole tensor which is ultimately used to obtain the average energy radiation rate.

Next, we discuss an example of an EMRI. We calculate the average energy radiation rate from this EMRI in power law potential at different δ -s and compared them with that from the same EMRI at $\delta = 0.5$ i.e. the KN potential case. When δ is less than a particular cut-off δ_0 , we cannot use PN approximations because it violates the conditions that the value of 1-PN part should be much less than 0-PN part. The important results we get here are,

- The average energy radiation rate that we have derived is applicable for every EMRI which is surrounded by a matter distribution that can give power law potential in the region around the supermassive compact object. We show that the average energy radiation rate is higher in general PL potential case as compared to that in KN potential.
- We use the comparison of orbital frequency of an EMRI in PL and KN potentials to show how matter distribution (e.g. dark matter distribution) causes change in the signals. This can be used to further investigate the quantities like frequencies of the gravitational waves radiated from such an EMRI. The deviation of the observed orbital frequencies from the frequency of a similar binary in KN potential can be used to evaluate the value of δ and that can be used to find out the effective potential and subsequently, can calculate the mass density profile around the binary.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

C.N.G. would like to acknowledge the support of the International Center for Cosmology, CHARUSAT, India for funding the work.

-
- [1] Sofue, Y and Rubin, V, [Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics](#) **39**, no. 1, 137 (2001).
 - [2] Milgrom, M., [Astrophysical Journal](#), Vol. 270, p. 365-370 (1983).
 - [3] Evstigneeva, E. A., Yu.A. Nikolaev publisher, p. 180-196, (2004).
 - [4] Rodrigues, D. C., Letelier, P. S., & Shapiro, I. L., [Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](#) **2010**, no. 04, 020 (2010).
 - [5] Boris E. Meierovich, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **87**, 103510 (2013).
 - [6] Zwicky, F., [General Relativity and Gravitation](#), **41**, no. 1, pp.207-224 (2009).
 - [7] Rubin, V. C., Ford, W. K., & Thonnard, N., [Astrophysical Journal](#), **238**, p. 471-487 (1980).
 - [8] Rubin, V. C. & Ford, W. K., [Astrophysical Journal](#), **159**, p.379 (1970).
 - [9] Díaz E., Zandivarez A., Merchán M. E., et al. [Astrophys. J.](#), **629**, 158, (2005).
 - [10] Donato, F., Gentile, G., Salucci, P. [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](#), **353**, L17, (2004).
 - [11] Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M., [Astrophysical Journal](#) **462**, p. 563 (1996).
 - [12] Banik, U., Dey, D., Bhattacharya, K., et al. , [General Relativity and Gravitation](#), **49**, 116, (2017).
 - [13] Dey, D., Bhattacharya, K., & Sarkar, T., [General Relativity and Gravitation](#), **47**, 103 (2015).
 - [14] Dey, D., Bhattacharya, K., & Sarkar, T., [Physical Review D](#), **87**, no. 10, 103505 (2013).
 - [15] Dey, D., Bhattacharya, K., & Sarkar, T., [Physical Review D](#), **88**, no. 8, 083532 (2013).
 - [16] The, L. S. & White, S. D. M., [Astronomical Journal](#) **95**, p. 1642 (1988).
 - [17] Bekenstein, J. D., [Physical Review D](#), **70**, no. 8, 083509 (2004).
 - [18] J W Moffat, [Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](#), **2005**, no. 5, (2005).
 - [19] Moffat, J. W., [Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](#), **2006**, no. 03, 004 (2006).
 - [20] Brownstein, J. R. and Moffat, J. W., [The Astrophysical Journal](#), **636**, no. 2, (2006)
 - [21] Munera, H. A. & Delgado-Correal, C., [Research Notes of the AAS](#), **4**, no. 9, 151 (2020).
 - [22] Goldstein, H, [Addison-Wesley](#), (1950).
 - [23] Danby, J. M. A., [Willmann-Bell](#), 2nd ed., rev. & enl (1988). .
 - [24] Valluri, S. R. and Wiegert, P. A. and Drozd, J. and Da Silva, M., [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](#) **427**, no. 3, 2392 (2012).
 - [25] Lynden-Bell, D. and Jin, S., [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](#) **386**, no. 1, 245 (2008).
 - [26] Valluri, S. R. and Yu, P. and Smith, G. E. and Wiegert, P. A., [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](#) **358**, no. 4, 1273 (2005).
 - [27] Barack Leor, Cutler Curt, [Physical Review D](#), **69**, no. 8, 1550-2368 (2004).
 - [28] Amaro-Seoane, P., Aoudia, S., Babak, S., et al. , [Classical and Quantum Gravity](#), **29**, 124016, (2012).
 - [29] Glampedakis Kostas, [Classical and Quantum Gravity](#), **22**, no. 15, S605–S659 (2005).
 - [30] Gair, J. R., Vallisneri, M., Larson, S. L. et al., [Living Reviews in Relativity](#), **16**, no. 1, 1433-8351 (2013).
 - [31] Poisson. E. and Will, C. M., [Cambridge press](#) (2014).
 - [32] Struck, Curtis, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](#) **446**, no. 3, 3139 (2015).
 - [33] Struck, C, [The Astron. Journ.](#) **131**, no. 3, 1347 (2006).
 - [34] J. Binney and S. Tremaine, [Princeton, N.J. : Princeton University Press](#), c (1987).
 - [35] P. Bambhaniya, A. B. Joshi, D. Dey and P. S. Joshi, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **100**, no.12, 124020 (2019).
 - [36] Dionysiou D. D., [Astrophysics and Space Science](#) **125**, no. 1, pp.115-125 (1986).
 - [37] Wagoner, R. V. and Will, C. M., [Astrophysical Journal](#), vol. 210, Dec. 15, pt. 1, p. 764-775, (1976).
 - [38] Dehnen, H. and Ghaboussi, F., [Nuovo Cimento B, Serie 11](#) (ISSN 0369-3554), vol. 89 B, Oct. 11, p. 131-138, (1985).
 - [39] Bekenstein, J. D. & Zamir, R. [Astrophys. J.](#), 359, 427, (1990).
 - [40] Gómez, L. G. & Rueda, J. A. [Phys. Rev. D](#), 96, 063001, (2017).
 - [41] A. Caputo, J. Zavala and D. Blas, [Phys. Dark Univ.](#) **19**, 1-11 (2018) [arXiv:1709.03991 [astro-ph.HE]].