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This article proposes the implementation of Rydberg multi-dimensional discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW) that could ideally simulate different classes of topological insulators. Using an exchange interaction between Rydberg excited atoms in an atomic-array with dual lattice-constants, the new setup operates both coined and coin-less models of DTQW. Here, complicated coupling tessellations are performed by global laser excitation. The long-range interaction provides a new feature of designing different topologically ordered periodic boundary conditions. Limiting the Rydberg population to two excitations, coherent QW over thousand lattice sites and steps are achievable with the current technology. These features would improve the performance of this quantum machine in running the quantum search algorithm over topologically ordered databases as well as diversifying the range of topological insulators that could be simulated.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a huge effort in making quantum hardwares that outperform classical counterparts in performing certain algorithms and simulating other complicated quantum systems. Among different approaches, implementing the quantum walk (QW) [1, 2] is receiving wide interest. Unlike classical random walk, particles performing a quantum walk can take superposition of all possible paths through their environment simultaneously, leading to faster propagation and enhanced sensitivity to initial conditions [3–6]. These properties provide an appealing bases for implementation of quantum algorithms like searching [7, 8], quantum processing [9, 10] and simulation of topological insulators [11]. Improving the hardware in terms of size, coherence, dimensions, controlling elements and other features like the topologically ordered boundary-conditions, would improve the performance and diverse the applications that could run over this quantum hardware.

Quantum walk has been implemented on trapped ions [12, 13], neutral atoms [6, 20–22] and among other platforms [23]. While the ion-traps are limited to a 1D array of 50 atoms, neutral atoms are pioneer in terms of multi-dimensional trapping of a large number of identical qubits. From this perspective, trapping and controlling more qubits than any other platforms has already been demonstrated in one dimension (1D) [24, 25], 2D [26–35] and 3D [36, 37] geometries. The current advances in exciting atoms to the Rydberg level [38], provides a controllable long-range interaction for quantum technology [39–49]. Rydberg interaction has been used in different time-independent lattice Hamiltonian models leading to continuous-time quantum transport [50, 51] and simulating topological Mott insulators [52]. The continuous-time interaction in these simulators is limiting the range of programable problems. Also, exciting all the sites to the Rydberg level would cause significant de-coherence in addition to the strong interaction between atoms. To overcome this interaction, very demanding exciting and trapping lasers are required. It also puts a limit on the density of sites in the lattice.

FIG. 1. Rydberg discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW) scheme. (a) Level scheme: The walker is an nP Rydberg excitation. QW operates by exciting a neighbouring lattice to nS Rydberg state featuring resonant exchange-interaction with the walker. (b) The exchange interaction V forms a site-dependent level shift of nS Rydberg state. Using two lattice constants and tuning the exciting laser’s frequency only a desired site get in resonance with the laser and apply quantum walk. (c) Adjusting laser’s detuning to the inter- [intra-] dimer interaction, desired coupling tessellation $W_1 [W_2]$ would be formed. (e) The maximum population of the auxiliary state $|70S⟩$ over a 2π pulse is plotted as a function of interatomic distance from the walker $|70P⟩$. Laser detuning is set to $\Delta = -\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}$. Only the site at the distance $a = 3\mu m$ from the walker would get in resonance and hence goes under the quantum walk $\Omega/2\pi = 2MHz, C_3 = 8.4GHz/\mu m^3$. (f) The hopping angle $\theta$ could be controlled by manipulating the effective detuning of targeted site $\delta = \Delta + V(a_{(0,1)})$.

This paper proposes an approach that revolutionizes
the level of control over the interaction leading to Rydberg discrete-time quantum walk in multi-dimensions. Benefitting from the long-range Rydberg interaction, the scheme features QW implementation on topologically ordered (e.g. torus) periodic boundary conditions. Limited Rydberg population in this proposal would be a big step towards scalable quantum simulators. While using global lasers to switch among multiple coupling tessellations, adding local external fields provide an extra degree of control for engineering space-dependent coupling properties. Valuable features that are not realized in other neutral atom QW schemes, and which open a wide range of applications. As an example, the implementation of different classes of Floquet topological insulators with Rydberg model will be overviewed.

Topological insulators are a new class of quantum materials that are insulating in the bulk but exhibiting robust topologically protected current-carrying edge states. Topological insulator materials are challenging to synthesize, and limited in topological phases accessible with solid-state materials [59]. This has motivated the search for topological phases on the systems that simulate the same principles underlying topological insulators. Discrete-time quantum walks (DTQWs) have been proposed for making Floquet topological insulators. This periodically driven system simulates an effective (Floquet) Hamiltonian that is topologically nontrivial [60]. This system replicates the effective Hamiltonians from all universality classes of 1- to 3-D topological insulators [17, 89]. Interestingly, topological properties of Floquet topological insulators could be controlled via an external periodic drive rather than an external magnetic field.

DTQW generates topological phases that are richer than those of time-independent lattice Hamiltonians [58]. Topological edge states have been realized exclusively in photonic DTQW with limited sites (< 20) and steps (< 10) [63, 65]. Introduced Rydberg DTQW scheme could realize edge state over a thousand sites and steps in 1, 2, and 3 dimensions with the available experimental setups. The mentioned advances of the designed hardware improve the performance of quantum algorithms, e.g. torus topological periodic boundary conditions could result in a quadratic speedup of quantum-walk-based search algorithms [71, 111], and diversify the range of topological phenomena that could be simulated. This work opens up the chance of realizing topological properties in multi-dimensional QWs as well as QWs over topologically ordered surfaces.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the coined and coin-less Rydberg discrete-time quantum walk schemes are presented in 1D. Sec. III extends the model to higher dimensions and discusses the approaches for imposing periodic boundary conditions or applying quantum walk on different topological surfaces. The coherence of the proposed scheme under a wide range of imperfection sources would be evaluated in Sec. IV. The scheme’s performance in multi-dimensions are then quantified in Sec. V. At the end, applications of this model in simulating multi-dimensional topological insulators are discussed in Sec. VI.

II. RYDBERG DISCRETE-TIME QUANTUM WALK

In the coin-less DTQW different coupling tessellations must be considered, in a way that each tessellation covers all vertices with non-overlapping connections and the tessellation union covers all edges. This model is the discrete-time version of the famous Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [60]. Distinguishing even $|m, e| = |2m| \text{ and odd } |m, o| = |2m − 1|$ lattice sites in the $m^\text{th}$ sub-lattice (dimer), two types of QW operators, covers the intra-dimer $W_0 = \exp(i\theta_0 H_0)$ and inter-dimer $W_1 = \exp(i\theta_1 H_1)$ coupling tessellations with

$$H_0 = \sum_{m=1}^{N/2} (|m, e\rangle\langle m, o| + \text{h.c.})$$
$$H_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{N/2} (|m, e\rangle\langle m + 1, o| + \text{h.c.}),$$

see Fig. 1c–d.

The physical implementation of the proposed Rydberg discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW) is presented in Fig. 1. The walker is a |p⟩ = |nP_{1/2}, 3/2⟩ Rydberg excitation while other sites are in the ground state |g⟩ = |5S_{1/2}, 1/2⟩. The desired inter- and intra-dimer coupling labeled by $k \in \{0, 1\}$, could be realized by site selective excitation of ground state atom to |s⟩ = |nS_{1/2}, 1/2⟩ Rydberg level featuring exchange interaction with the walker |p⟩. The site selection is controlled by adjusting of the global laser’s detuning from |s⟩ state $\Delta_k$ under the concept of Rydberg aggregate, see below. The effective Rydberg quantum-walk is governed under the following Hamiltonian

$$H_k^{\text{Ry}} = \sum_{i<j} V(r_{ij}) |s_i p_j, p_s, s_j \rangle \langle p_s, s_i, s_j| + \text{h.c.}$$
$$+ \sum_i \Omega(|s_i\rangle \langle g| + \text{h.c.}) + \Delta_k |s_i\rangle \langle s|,$$

where $i$ sums over all the sites. The exchange interaction $V(r_{ij}) = C_3/(r_{ij})^3$ between the walker |p⟩ and auxiliary excited Rydberg state |s⟩, separated by $r_{ij}$, evolves the initial state of the two sites |p_i g_j⟩ to a superposition state $\cos \theta |p_i g_j⟩ + \sin \theta |g_i p_j⟩$ over the 2π pulse of Ω laser. The absence of self-interaction over delocalized single |s⟩ and |p⟩ excitations are justified in the App. A1.

To operate the two tessellation types of Eq. 1 under Rydberg Hamiltonian Eq. 2 with a global laser, the space-dependent nature of interaction $V(r_{ij})$ is used over a superlattice with distinct lattice-constants inside (a_0) and outside (a_1) the dimers. By adjusting the exciting laser’s detuning from the |s⟩ Rydberg state, to be opposite of the interaction of specific lattice site at distance a_k.
More enriched non-separable DTQW could be applied to 3D lattices of tetramers and octamers respectively. A 1D staggered quantum walk, would make 2D and 3D lattices of dimers: 

\[(k \in \{0,1\})\] from the walker \((\Delta_k = -C_k/a_k)\), one can choose the site pairs that get in resonance with the laser conditioned on the presence of the walker and thus undergo the quantum walk, see Fig. 1. The single non-local quantum walker \(|p\rangle\) would induce the excitation of a single nonlocal auxiliary \(|s\rangle\) Rydberg state over each 2\(\pi\) pulse operation, see App. A1. Adjusting the laser detuning at each pulse would connect the target site at \(a_0\) or \(a_1\) distance from the walker, generating the desired \(W_k = \exp(iH_k t_k)\) with \(k \in \{0,1\}\) corresponding to intra- and inter-dimer coupling tessellations. Duration of each step \(t_k\) is defined by \(t_k \Omega_{\text{eff}} dt = 2\pi\), where the effective Rabi frequency is given by \(\Omega_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{\Omega^2 + \delta^2}\) and \(\delta = \Delta_k + V(a_k)\) is the effective detuning of the targeted site at either \(a_0\) or \(a_1\).

FIG. 2. Multi-dimensional DTQW. (a) Kronecker multiplication of 1D QW leads to a 2D lattice of tetramers and could trivially be extended to a 3D lattice of octamers. (b) Extension to the 3D lattice of dimers provides a non-separable multi-dimensional Rydberg DTQW. In (b) quantization axis would alter during the operation to be along the connections.

To implement coined DTQW, the dimers would be considered as the individual units. The coin is formed by the relative population of odd and even sites in each sub-lattice (dimer), see Fig. 1.d. The coin rotation operator \(R_0 = \exp(iH_0\theta)\) is applied by population rotation in the sub-lattice basis using \(H_0\) operator of Eq. 1. The desired transition operators of coined DTQW would be realized by subsequent application of intra- and inter-dimer population swapping i.e. \(T = e^{iH_1\pi/2 R(\pi/2)} = \sum_m (|m-1,e\rangle \langle m,e| + |m+1,o\rangle \langle m,o|)\).

III. MULTI-DIMENSIONAL DTQW WITH PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The idea behind Fig. 1 is extendable to higher-dimensions by two approaches. Kronecker multiplication of 1D staggered quantum walk, would make 2D and 3D lattices of tetramers and octamers respectively. A more enriched non-separable DTQW could be applied in a multi-dimensional lattice of dimers. The angular-dependency of the exchange interaction \(V_{ij}\) provides a wider range of laser detuning, available for dynamic control over the exciting sites.

Multi-dimensional DTQW via Kronecker multiplication: Extension to higher dimensions could be realized as the combination of coin-less DTQWs along different directions. In two dimensions, this would result in a 2D lattice of tetramers as depicted in Fig. 2. The QW is performed by concatenated application of the four sets of quantum jump operators \(W_{xl} = \exp(iH_x I_x \otimes 1_y)\) and \(W_{yl} = \exp(iH_y 1_x \otimes H_y)\) where \(H_t\) (\(t \in \{0,1\}\)) in each dimension is given by Eq. 1 with distinguished odd and even sites along \(x\) and \(y\) dimension, see [31] for the expanded set of Hamiltonians. For the implementation, two lattice constants along each dimension is required to distinguish inter- and intra-cell couplings. Extension to the 3D lattice of octamers is trivial.

Multi-dimensional Rydberg DTQW in a lattice of dimers provides an enriched non-separable Hamiltonian. Fig. 2b shows the connectivity graphs over the 3D lattice with the coupling Hamiltonians presented in [32]. To realize this set of couplings, the Rydberg quantization axis must be changed to be along the exchange orientation. The quantization axis is defined by the orientation of polarized lasers. The lattice structure in this model consists of unique lattice constant along \(y\) and \(z\) dimension while containing two inter- and intra-cell lattice constants along \(x\) dimension. These coupling Hamiltonians could be used for coinless DTQW operators \(W_l = e^{iH_l \theta}\) with \(l\} \{x,y,xz,xy[0,1]\} as explained in Sec. II. Fine tuning of presented connectivities and operation fidelities in multi-dimensions are discussed in Sec. V.

Multi-dimensional coined DTQW in a lattice of dimers: The proposed system of Fig. 2b, can be used for the implementation of multi-dimensional coined DTQW, where the coin is formed by the relative population of odd and even sites in each sub-lattice. The coin rotation \(R_0 = \exp(iH_0\theta) = \cos(\theta) I_{(e,o)} + i\sin(\theta)(|e\rangle \langle o| + |o\rangle \langle e|)\) is applied by the intra-dimer population rotation. The transition operators are applied by concatenated implementation of intra- and inter-dimer population swapping i.e. \(T_{xy} = e^{iH_{xy} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\), \(T_{xyz} = e^{iH_{xyz} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\), \(T_{x} = e^{iH_{x} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\), \(T_{y} = e^{iH_{y} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\), \(T_{z} = e^{iH_{z} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\), and \(T_{xyz} = e^{iH_{xyz} \pi/2 R(\pi/2)}\). Extended forms of transition operators are presented in [33].

Topological periodic boundary conditions The long-range Rydberg interaction could be used for making different topological periodic boundary conditions. Fig. 3 shows two examples of DTQW over (a) Möbius stripe and (b) torus topological surfaces. While the torus boundary condition could be implemented by global laser over limited lattice sites, forming other topological surfaces e.g. Möbius and Kline bottle requires local laser excitations. During the boundary operation step \(W_{gb}\) (\(W_{zb}\)) with the local lasers, the pair sites with the same pentagon (hexagon) numbers would excited to \(|s\rangle\) under local lasers with detuning adjusted to their interactions. The sequence of the QW operators would be \(U = W_{gb}W_{y1}W_{gb}W_{z0}W_{z1}W_{zb}\).
FIG. 3. Rydberg DTQW over topological surfaces (a) Möbius stripe and (b) torus. To realize the boundary conditions the pair sites with the same pentagon (hexagon) number would get excited to nS state with local lasers over the boundary operation step $W_{yb}$ ($W_{xb}$). Concatenated operation of $W_{ya}W_yW_{yb}W_{xa}W_xW_{xb}$, performs the QW on the desired topological surface.

IV. DECOHERENCE IN RYDBERG DTQW

A. Non-unitary dynamics

The non-unitary dynamics of the quantum walk can be described by the projection of quantum state onto the pointer states $|x⟩|x′⟩$. In this model the pointer state projector $Π_n = |x⟩⟨x'|$ projects the walker into the $x^{th}$ site. In the presented Rydberg QW model, the evolution of quantum walker over a single step is mainly coherent. Hence, the decoherence could be applied in a discrete-time manner after each step. The effective stroboscopic non-unitary operator would be

$$ρ_{i+1} = (1 – P_s)Wρ_iW^† + P_s \sum_x Π_xWρ_iW^†Π_x^†$$

(3)

where $ρ_i = \sum_{x,x'} |x⟩⟨x'|$ is the density operator after $i^{th}$ step. The spatial de-phasing terms discussed in the next section would reduce the off-diagonal coherence terms with a probability of $P_s$ after each step and hence suppress the superposition effect. In the totally diffusive case $P_s = 1$, the absence of the interference of quantum paths would only leave a classical Gaussian probability distribution in the diagonal terms, see Fig. 4.

To define the coherence length $l_o$, one can look at the suppression of anti-diagonal terms $|ρ(x,x')| = |ρ_0(x,x')| \exp(-|x|/l_o)$ with respect to the unperturbed one $ρ_0(x,x')$. Coherence length is plotted as a function of $P_s$ in Fig. 5. The crossover between coherent and incoherent cases can also be seen in the rate of mean square displacement $⟨x^2⟩ = \sum_x p(x) x^2$. Fig. 6 shows that within the coherent period $t < 1^{-1}$ the walker propagates ballistically $ax^2$ while in the diffusive regime $t > 1^{-1}$ it propagates linearly with time. Overall, Fig. 5 shows that coherent staggered quantum walk over a lattice with $N \approx P_s^{-1}$ sites is realizable that would propagate ballistically over $i = P_s^{-1}$ steps. In the next section, $P_s$ is evaluated in the proposed scheme.

FIG. 4. Effects of de-phasing on (left column) density matrix terms $P(x,x')$, (middle column) anti-diagonal coherence $\rho(x,-x)$ and (right column) probability distribution $P(x)$ after 50 Hadamard steps $θ = π/4$ of coinless Rydberg DTQW over a 1D lattice with $N=101$ sites. The three rows from top to bottom are corresponding to $P_s = [0, 0.05, 1]$ de-phasing probability over single step.

B. Sources of errors

Laser error sources

Laser noise over the Rydberg excitation process, causes de-phasing. The laser noise $γ_{la}$ that is encountered here is what remains after laser locking in the two-photon excitation. Fig. 4h, simulates the de-phasing probability $P_s$ after one step as a function of the relative laser noise $γ_{la}$. In this figure, effects of the laser-noise over the Rydberg population rotation are quantified using master equation with $\sqrt{γ_{la}}|s⟩⟨s|$ Lindblad term. The plot considers 70S-70P interaction with $V = 2.4$ GHz over the lattice constant of 3μm, and $Ω = |V|/100$. In the recent experiments [24, 38], laser noise are suppressed below the effective bandwidth of the lock, resulting in narrow line-widths of 0.5 kHz for the two-photon Rabi frequency of $Ω/2π = 2MHz$. Thus, dephasing probability over one Hadamard step ($θ = π/4$) is $P_s ≈ 10^{-4}$.

Spontaneous scattering from the optical lattice lasers as well as Rydberg exciting lasers, destroy the coherence by projecting the quantum walker’s wave-function into a single lattice site. The new advances in clock optical lattices have suppressed the trap lasers’ scattering rate, reaching coherence times above 12s [69] making the corresponding dephasing per step negligible $P_s ≈ 10^{-8}$.
Spontaneous emission also occurs from the intermediate state $|p\rangle$, over the two-photon Rydberg excitation $|g\rangle - |s\rangle$. The two lasers $\Omega_1$, $\Omega_2$ are detuned from the intermediate level by $\Delta_p$. The dominant decay channel from $|p\rangle$ is back into the ground state $|g\rangle$. This would result in an effective Lindblad dephasing term $\sqrt{\gamma_p} |g\rangle \langle g|$, where $\gamma_p/2\pi = 1.4$MHz is decay rate of the intermediate level $|p\rangle = 6P_{3/2}$ in Rb. Over one quantum step operation time of $\frac{\Delta_p}{\Omega}$ with effective Rabi frequency of $\Omega = \frac{\Omega_1 \Omega_2}{2\Delta_p}$, the dephasing probability after one step would be $P_s = \frac{\gamma_p}{\Omega} \left( \frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_2} + \frac{\Omega_2}{\Omega_1} \right)$ [35]. Using the experiment parameters in exciting $|r\rangle = 705$ via $|p\rangle = 6P_{3/2}$ intermediate level [38] with $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = 2\pi \times (60, 40)$MHz for (420nm,1013nm) lasers and the detuning of $\Delta_p/2\pi = 600$MHz the effective Rabi frequency would be $\Omega/2\pi = 2$MHz and dephasing probability over single quantum step would be $P_s = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$.

**Lattice geometry and confinement**

Known detuning would cause a phase that gets absorbed in the definition of $|g_k\rangle$ state. However, random fluctuations of detuning $E(\hat{\delta})$ caused by spatial uncertainty and Doppler broadening leads to spatial dephasing (see Fig. 6b) and could affect the population rotation designed for the quantum jump protocol.

Confinement: Atomic motion in optical lattice causes an average uncertainty of interaction $E_V$. The atomic motion could be made negligible by sideband cooling within the optical tweezer [70, 71] and optical lattice [72] to the motional ground state. Considering a 3nm lattice constant, and the motional ground state expansion of 20nm for Rb atoms with trap frequency of $\omega_t/2\pi = 150$kHz (close to 125kHz in [70, 71]), the average relative uncertainty of interaction would be $E(\hat{\delta}) = 0.1$ for principal number $n=70$ and $\Omega/2\pi = 2$MHz. This would cause $P_s = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ de-phasing per step, see Fig. 6b.

**Doppler broadening:** Detuning error could also be caused by Doppler broadening. Using counterpropagating 1013nm and 420nm lasers for two-photon Rydberg excitation, the Doppler detuning would be $\delta_D = (k_1 - k_2) v$. Considering the sideband cooling to motional ground state, the maximum velocity in the thermal motion would be $v = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_s}{\epsilon_m}} = 18$mm/s. The random Doppler shift generates a maximum relative uncertainty of $\frac{\delta_D}{\Omega} = 0.01$ for $\Omega/2\pi = 2$MHz. Corresponding dephasing probability per step is $P_s = 3 \times 10^{-6}$, see Fig. 6b.

**Spontaneous emission**

Rydberg levels' spontaneous emission, reduces both diagonal and off-diagonal terms of density matrix alike. Hence, after the projective measurement, the spontaneous emission does not effect the coherence of the QW operation. However, it would limit the step numbers before loosing the quantum walker as discussed in Sec. V B in details.

**V. OPERATION FIDELITY IN 3D LATTICE**

The implementation of 3D QW with coupling tessellations presented in Fig. 2b, benefits from interaction angular dependency. After formulating the anisotropic exchange interaction in Sec. V A the operation fidelity of different coupling tessellations are quantified in Sec. V B. Then, the scaling of achievable step numbers for different principal numbers are discussed.
The angular dependent interaction 

The angular-dependent exchange interaction of

\[ V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_{ij}^3} \left[ f_1(\phi)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 + 2\mu_1\mu_2) + f_2(\phi)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 + \mu_1\mu_2 - \mu_1\mu_2) \right. \]

\[ \left. - f_3(\phi)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2) \right] = \frac{C_3(\phi)}{R_{ij}^3}, \]

where \( \phi \) shows inter-atomic orientation with respect to the quantization axis, defined by the propagating direction of exciting polarized lasers. Dipole operators in the spherical basis are denoted by \( \mu_{k,\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu_{k,x} \pm i\mu_{k,y}) \). The terms associated with pre-factors \( f_1(\phi) = (1 - 3\cos^2\phi)/2, f_2(\phi) = 3\sqrt{2}\sin\phi \cos\phi \) and \( f_3(\phi) = 3/2\sin^2\phi \) couple Rydberg pairs. Fig. 7 represents the angular dependent exchange interaction for two principal numbers.

B. Coupling tessellations’ fine tuning in 3D

This section defines the QW fidelity in terms of the accuracy of population transfer to the desired site and does not encounter the decoherence effects discussed in the previous section. Tuning the laser to be in resonance with the interacting sites separated by \( \mathbf{R}_{ij} \), i.e. \( \Delta = -V_{ij} \), the operation infidelity would be the sum of population leakage to unwanted sites \( k \) i.e.

\[ F_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{\Omega^2/4}{(V_{ij} - V_{ik})^2}. \]

Since the laser is oriented along \( R_{ij} \), the denominator would be \( C_3(\phi_k)/R_{ij}^3 \) with \( \phi_k \) being the angle between \( \mathbf{R}_{ij} \) and \( \mathbf{R}_{ik} \). The infidelity of desired coupling tessellations of Fig. 2b are plotted in Fig. 8a as a function of \( \Omega/\Delta \) with the analytical approach of Eq. 6 and numerical evaluation of Schrödinger equation considering 8 neighboring lattice sites at different inter-atomic orientations.

The contrast of inter- and intra-dimer lattice constants \( (a_{x0} - a_{x1})/a_{x0} \) would define the speed of operation. In general, better contrast leads to faster operation for a given fidelity, see Fig. 8b. However, at some geometries, specific lattice sites might get close to resonance with the laser. This would require slower operation as can be seen at the local minimum in the dashed line of Fig. 8 at \( a_{x1} = 2.2a_{x0} \).

Realizable QW step number scales linearly with Rydberg principal number \( n \). While Rydberg spontaneous emission does not affect the coherence, see Sec. IV B, it would limit the operation number. In this sense, faster operation at constant \( \Omega/\Delta \) would require stronger interaction \( V_{ij} = C_3/a_{ij}^3 \). While \( C_3 = 8.4(n/70)^3 \text{MHz} \mu^3 \) for Rb atoms, the minimum lattice constant is limited by the LeRoy-radius and hence scales as \( a_{\text{min}} = 950(n/70)^2 \text{nm} \). Hence, the loss over a single QW operation is scaled by \( 2\pi/(\Omega\tau) \propto n^{-1} \) where \( \tau = 450(n/70)^{-3} \mu s \) is the Rydberg quantum walker lifetime in Rb atoms at \( T = 77K \). Considering the \( W_x \) connectivity with \( F = 97\% \) operation accuracy, after \( N = 210(n/70) \) step numbers, the Poissonian probability of not losing the walker would be 40%. These numbers would be significantly enhanced by coherent fast excitation of circular states [75, 76] featuring exchange interaction and several minutes lifetime [74].

Finally, the initialization and detection must be taken into account while quantifying the device operation. After preselection, the probability of correct state initialization of more than 98% has been achieved [24]. Fluorescence detection of ground-state atoms has been realized with 98% fidelity in Rb [74] and 0.99991 fidelity in Sr [78].

VI. TOPOLOGICALLY PROTECTED EDGE STATE/ FLOQUET TOPOLOGICAL INSULATORS

Application of SSH models in making topological matters are vastly studied. The proposed discrete-time SSH model in this article could be used for making an enriched form of Floquet topological insulators and topologically protected edge state, similar to the coined DTQWs approach [17].
the QW Hamiltonians of Eq. 1, simplified to transformed Hamiltonian obtains by replacing Eq. 7 into where

\[
\tilde{H} = \sum_k E(k) n_k \sigma |k\rangle\langle k|
\]

A. Fourier transformed Hamiltonian

For the QWs on an infinitely extended lattice, or a lattice with periodic torus boundary conditions, the QW operators can be transformed into quasi-momentum space \( \tilde{H} \). The Fourier transformation of the odd \( o \) and even \( e \) sites in 1D is given by

\[
|m_o, e\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ikm} |k, e\rangle \quad (7)
\]

\[
|m_o, o\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ikm-ik} |k, o\rangle
\]

where \( |k, e\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |e\rangle \). Also \( a_1 = \frac{a_0}{a_0 + a_1} \) with \( a_0, a_1 \) being the inter- and intra-dimer lattice constants. The number of sites is chosen to take on values from the first Brillouin zone \( k = \frac{2\pi l}{N} \) with \( 1 \leq l \leq \frac{N}{2} \). The Fourier transformed Hamiltonian obtains by replacing Eq. 7 into the QW Hamiltonians of Eq. 2 simplified to

\[
\tilde{H}_0 = \sum_k \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & e^{ik_1} \\ e^{-ik_1} & 0 \end{array} \right) |k\rangle\langle k| 
\]

\[
\tilde{H}_1 = \sum_k \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & e^{-i(k+1-k_1)} \\ e^{i(k+1-k_1)} & 0 \end{array} \right) |k\rangle\langle k|
\]

with matrices being presented in the \{\( |o\rangle, |e\rangle \}\) basis.

B. Edge states in 1D

The Floquet topological phases of Rydberg coinless discrete-time quantum walk can be accessed by looking at the full-time evolution of the walk. The quantum operator in momentum basis

\[
\hat{W}_{eff} = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2} a_0 \hat{H}_0 e^{i\theta} \hat{H}_1 e^{i\frac{\theta}{2} a_0 \hat{H}_0}}
\]

can be written as \( \hat{W}_{eff} = e^{i\theta \hat{H}_0} \), where \( \theta \) is the period of applying a set of QW operators of Eq. 2. In a lattice of dimers, \( \hat{H}_{eff} \) has two bands and hence the effective Hamiltonian could be written as

\[
\hat{H}_{eff} = \sum_{k} E(k) n_k \sigma |k\rangle\langle k|
\]

where \( \sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \) is the vector of Pauli matrices operating in the odd-even basis \{\( |o\rangle, |e\rangle \}\) and the \( n_k = (n_x, n_y, n_z) \) defines the quantization axis for the spinor eigenstates at each momentum \( k \). The quantization axis of the eigen states are given by

\[
\nu = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|n|^2} (n_x \partial_k n_y - n_y \partial_k n_x) dk
\]

FIG. 8. Fidelity of quantum walk in a 3D lattice of dimers. (a) Infidelity of desired coupling tessellations of Fig. 2b are plotted as a function of \( \Omega/\Delta \) with analytical (lines) and numerical simulation (circles) considering 8 neighbouring lattice sites. (b) The required relative laser coupling \( \Omega/\Delta \) is plotted as a function of the contrast of inter- and intra-dimer lattice constants \( (a_{x,0} - a_{x,1})/a_{x,0} \) to realize connectivities with 99% fidelity. The local minimum in the dashed line is caused by specific lattice sites that gets close to resonance with the laser at \( a_{x,1} = 2.2a_{x,0} \). The lattice constants are \( a_{x,0} = a_y = a_z = 1\mu m \) in (a,b) and \( a_{x,1} = 1.5 \) in (a).
The two nonequivalent shifted time-frames $\hat{W}_{\text{eff}}, W'_{\text{eff}}$ (Eq. 12), leads to two winding numbers $\nu, \nu'$. The two invariants $\nu_0 = (\nu + \nu')/2, \nu_x = (\nu - \nu')/2$ would completely describe the topology. The phase diagram of the winding number is plotted in Fig. 9b. The band structure in Fig. 9b are made of the energy eigenvalues $E(k, \theta_0, \theta_1)$. Manipulating the hopping angles $\theta_0, \theta_1$ within the distinguished regions of Fig. 9b, would make the system to continuously transition between the band structures without closing the energy gap, i.e. without changing the topological character of the system that is the winding number in here. At the borders separating distinct topological regions, the band structure closes, see Fig. 9b.

The topological character can be revealed at the boundary between topologically distinct phases. To implement such a boundary one can apply inhomogeneous spatial hopping angle of the form

$$\theta_i(x) = \frac{\theta_{i-) + \theta_{i+}}}{2} + \frac{\theta_{i+} - \theta_{i-}}{2} \tanh(x/w)$$

where $w$ determines the spatial width of phase transition region which defines the width of the bound state. The variation of the hopping angle in the Rydberg system could be realized by different sets of exciting lasers or by applying space-dependent Stark-shift using an external field. Fig. 9d shows the walker’s wave-function after 100 steps for the cases with (without) the phase transition. The walker is initialized at $x = 0$ in both cases. In Fig. 9, the positive and negative sites have different winding numbers. This would lead to the topological phase transition and hence forms bound state at the centre.

C. Floquet Topological Insulators with multi-dimensional DTQW

Different classes of floquet topological insulators could be realized by the multi-dimensional coupling tessellations introduced in Sec. III. The $nP$ excitation propagates unidirectionally and without backscattering along the edge, that is a line/surface in 2D/3D lattice, and eventually distributes uniformly along the boundary. Unlike in 1D, symmetry is not required for the presence of topological phase in multi-dimensions. Using the operators of [84], a set of Hamiltonian $W_{\text{eff}} = T_x R_{\theta_0} T_y R_{\theta_1} T_{xy} R_{\theta_0}$ could be used for making 2D topological insulators with non-vanishing Chern numbers, see Supp and [17]. Unlike the time-independent QW in the time-dependent approach, topologically protected (TP) edge states could be formed even in the cases where the Chern number is zero for all the bands. As an example, the simple model of coined QW steps of $W_{\text{eff}} = T_y R_{\theta_1} R_{\theta_0}$, leads to a 2D topological insulator, see Supp. Here, the Chern number of both bands are zero and the presented topological invariant is the Rudner winding number [67]. Multi-dimensional topological insulators could also be formed by Rydberg discrete-time SSH model as discussed in the Supp.

A 3D topological insulators could be realized by the current proposal under a simple set of operators $W_{\text{eff}} = T_x R_{\theta_0} T_y R_{\theta_1} T_{xy}$, where the elements are defined in [84]. The topological phase diagram is plotted in Fig. 10b, where the gap between the two bands closes at $E = 0$ ($E = \pi$) at the red (blue) borders. TP edge states in 3D exist along the surfaces in spatial borders between two regions with distinct topological phases. A particle, that is prepared in the superposition of the TP edge states, propagates coherently along the spatial border. Fig. 10b demonstrate TP propagating edge modes by considering an inhomogeneous 3D coined DTQW with spatially inhomogeneous coin angles. Here, a flat surface borders is considered. The pair of coin angles inside and outside the strip belongs to different topological phases. The hopping angles are $(\theta_0, \theta_1) = (4\pi/10, \pi/10)$ inside $3 \leq x \leq 5$ and $(\theta_0, \theta_1) = (\pi/10, 4\pi/10)$ outside the stripe $x < 3$ and $x > 5$. The excitation is initialized on the borders as $\psi_0 = (|x = 3, y = 3, z = 3, o\rangle + |x = 5, y = 3, z = 3, o\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The excitation would distribute over the border surface after large step numbers ($N_{\text{step}} = 200$ in here).

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

This article [79] shows that smart Rydberg excitation in a holographically designed atomic lattice would act as a universal simulator of topological phases and boundary states by performing varieties of DTQW operators. In
the project’s outlook, the presented model could be used for the simulation of electron movement on the Fermi surfaces that are 2D manifolds fitted in the Brillouin zone of a crystal (electron movement on the Fermi surfaces that cut each other like Kline bottle surface) [30]. The other extension avenue would be obtained by adding synthetic magnetic fields to the current DTQW model. This would obtain by applying a gradient of an external electric field resulting in magnetic QW with applications in making Chern insulators [31]. The new features of the presented model in applying QW on topological surfaces provides a platform to study the performance of QW based algorithms on topologically ordered databases.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

A1: SELF-INTERACTION

Having one delocalized Rydberg excitation, the partial Rydberg population at different sites do not interact with each other. The nonlocal wave function only gives the probability of finding the single excitation at different sites.

Simulating the Schrodinger equation showed that partial population $P_{p}$ at a specific site $i$ would induce the same population of auxiliary state $P_{s} = P_{p}$ in the the resonant sites $i$ and $j$. Hence, when the single walker is not localized, the total population of the non-local auxiliary Rydberg state would add up to 1. Therefore the absence of self-interaction argument also applies to the induced single Rydberg auxiliary $|s⟩$ state.

This argument is similar to the absence of self-interaction over a Rydberg polariton excited by a single photon in an atomic ensemble. The other point of similarity is in the Rydberg population dependence. In the Rydberg electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT), the ladder two-step excitation is derived by a faint quantum and a strong classical light. The population of Rydberg level is a function of the photon number in the quantum light. Similarly in the proposed DTQW here, the maximum population of the auxiliary $|s⟩$ state excited by the strong laser shining to multiple ground state atoms is defined by the population of $|p⟩$ state that makes the strong field in resonance with the laser transition. Therefore, the argument of the self-interaction also applies to the $|s⟩$ single excitation.

Following this argument, Eq. [2] only includes the inter-excitation interaction $V_{S-P}$ and does not consider self interactions $V_{S-S}$ and $V_{P-P}$.

A2: 2D Topological insulator

Coined DTQW

The coined Rydberg DTQW operators of [34] could ideally implement topological insulators with non-
vanishing Chern numbers \cite{17}. The concatenated operators \( W_{\text{eff}} = T_x R_0 T_y R_0 T_x R_0 \) could be used for making topological insulators. To quantify the topological properties of this QW, the effective Hamiltonian in the momentum space is considered \( \tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} = e^{iH_{\text{eff}}}T \). Like in the one-dimensional case discussed above, the discrete-time quantum walk is a stroboscopic simulator of the evolution generated by \( \tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} \) at discrete-times. The effective Hamiltonian would be

\[
\tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_k E(k) n(k) \sigma |k| \langle k | \tag{15}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the vector of Pauli matrices dealing with odd and even bases and the \( n(k) \) defines the quantization axis for the spinor eigenstates at each momentum \( k \). The topological invariant in this two dimensional Brillouin zone is given by the Chern number as

\[
C = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{k} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_{k_x} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_{k_y} \mathbf{n}). \tag{16}
\]

The phase diagram of the quantum walk is plotted in Fig.\[11\]. Red and blue borders are associated with points where the energy gap closes at \( E = 0 \) and \( E = \pi \) respectively.

Like in the 1D case, TP edge states exist in 2D in spatial borders between two regions with distinct topological phases. These states are propagating uni-directionally in the bulk gaps and connect the bulk bands. A particle, that is prepared in the superposition of the TP edge states, propagates coherently along the spatial border. The chirality of the edge states is topologically protected. In another word, their directions of propagation do not change under continuous variation of the parameters of the system as long as the bulk gaps remain open. Fig.\[11\][d] demonstrate TP propagating edge modes by considering an inhomogeneous 2D coined QW with spatial dependent coin angles. Flat borders in the form of a strip geometry is considered in the 2D lattice, where the pair of coin angles inside and outside the strip belongs to different topological phases. The hopping angles are \((\theta_0, \theta_1) = (\pi/10, 4\pi/10)\) inside \( 2 \leq x \leq 4 \) and \((\theta_0, \theta_1) = (4\pi/10, \pi/10)\) outside the stripe \( x < 2 \) and \( x > 4 \). The excitation is initialized on the borders as \((|x = 2, y = 5, e| + |x = 4, y = 5, e|)/\sqrt{2}\). The excitation would distribute along the border after large step numbers \((N_{\text{step}} = 200)\) in here.

Fig.\[11\][d] discusses a simpler model of QW steps of \( W_{\text{eff}} = T_y R_0 T_x R_0 \) with operators being presented in \[84\]. In both phases of Fig.\[11\], the Chern number of both bands are zero and the presented topological invariant is the Rudner winding number \[67\].

**Coinless DTQW**

The coinless models of a 2D lattice of tetramers as shown in Fig.\[2\], could also be used for making TP edge states. Here the QWs are effectively separable in two-dimensions. Fig.\[12\][a] shows that by applying inhomogeneous hopping angles belonging to different regions of Fig.\[9\][a], along \( \hat{x} \) dimension and using open(periodic) boundaries along \( \hat{y} \) direction, one can form excitation currents solely on the borders.

Coin-less DTQW in a 2D lattice of dimers shown in Fig.\[2b\] could be used for the realization of the anomalous topological edge state \[67\]. Here the QWs in different dimensions are not separable. In an intuitive discussion, applying the operator \( W = e^{iH_{\text{x0}}/2} e^{iH_{\text{y1}}/2} e^{iH_{\text{z1}}/2} e^{iH_{\text{x0}}/2} \) (with the Hamiltonians defined in \[83\]), on the excitation initialized on the border, leads to the clockwise transportation of the walker along the boarder as depicted by green arrows in Fig.\[12c\]. This is while the initialized excitation in the bulk would go under unitary operator with no excitation transport, see red arrow in Fig.\[12b\]. The exclusive conductance on the boundary provides the desired topological insulator. One can use inhomogeneous spatial angles with different Rudner winding numbers \[67\] to design the shape of the edge state.

Topological insulators could also get implemented with 3D coinless DTQW model. For example
$W = W_{x1}W_{x2}W_{z0}W_{x0}W_{z1}$ where $W_i = \exp(iH_i \theta_i)$ with Hamiltonians defined in [33] and uniform hopping angles on an open boundary lattice, results in an insulating bulk with exclusive excitation currents on the open boundaries.

[27] A. Cooper, J. P Covey, I. S Madjarov, S. G Porsev, M. S Safronova, and M. Endres. Alkaline-earth atoms in opti-


[82] 2D DTQW in a lattice of tetramers:

$$H_{x0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_x/2} (|m_x, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, e_x| \otimes I_y + h.c.)$$

$$H_{x1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_x/2} (|m_x, e_x\rangle \langle m_x + 1, e_x| \otimes I_y + h.c.)$$

$$H_{y0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_y/2} (I_x \otimes |m_y, e_y\rangle \langle m_y, e_y| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{y1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_y/2} (I_x \otimes |m_y, e_y\rangle \langle (m_y + 1), e_y| + h.c.)$$

[83] 2D DTQW in a lattice of dimers:

$$H_{x0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{x0}} (|m_x, m_y, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{x1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{x1}} (|m_x, m_y, e_x\rangle \langle m_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xy0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xy0}} (|m_x, m_y, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xy1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xy1}} (|m_x, m_y + 1, m_y, e_x\rangle \langle m_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xz0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xz0}} (|m_x, m_y, m_z e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xz1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xz1}} (|m_x, m_y, m_z e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z e_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xy1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xy1}} (|m_x, m_y, m_z e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z e_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xyz0} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xyz0}} (|m_x, m_y, m_z e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z e_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

$$H_{xyz1} = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{xyz1}} (|m_x, m_y, m_z e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z e_x + 1, m_y, e_x| + h.c.)$$

[84] Coined DTQW operators in 3D:

$$R_\theta = e^{i\theta \sigma_x} = \cos(\theta) I_x + i \sin(\theta) (|e_x\rangle \langle e_x| + |e_y\rangle \langle e_y|)$$

$$T_x = \sum_{m_x} (|m_x - 1, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, e_x| + |m_x + 1, 0\rangle \langle m_x, 0|) \otimes I_y$$

$$T_y = \sum_{m_y} (|m_x - 1, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, e_x| + |m_x + 1, 0\rangle \langle m_x, 0|) \otimes I_x$$

$$T_z = \sum_{m_z} (|m_x - 1, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, e_x| + |m_x + 1, 0\rangle \langle m_x, 0|) \otimes I_x$$

$$T_{xy} = \sum_{m_x, m_y} (|m_x - 1, m_y + 1, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, e_x|$$

$$+ |m_x + 1, m_y, 0\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, 0|) \otimes I_y$$

$$T_{xz} = \sum_{m_x, m_z} (|m_x - 1, m_y, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, e_x|$$

$$+ |m_x + 1, m_y, 0\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, 0|) \otimes I_y$$

$$T_{xyz} = \sum_{m_x, m_y, m_z} (|m_x - 1, m_y, m_z - 1, e_x\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z, e_x|$$

$$+ |m_x + 1, m_y, m_z, 0\rangle \langle m_x, m_y, m_z, 0|).$$