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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of small amplitude time quasi-periodic solutions of the pure gravity water waves equations with constant vorticity, for a bidimensional fluid over a flat bottom delimited by a space periodic free interface. Using a Nash-Moser implicit function iterative scheme we construct traveling nonlinear waves which pass through each other slightly deforming and retaining forever a quasiperiodic structure. These solutions exist for any fixed value of depth and gravity and restricting the vorticity parameter to a Borel set of asymptotically full Lebesgue measure.
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## 1 Introduction

A problem of fundamental importance in fluid mechanics regards the search for traveling surface waves. Since the pioneering work of Stokes [33] in 1847, a huge literature has established the existence of steady traveling waves, namely solutions (either periodic or localized in space) which look stationary in a moving frame. The majority of the results concern bidimensional fluids. At the end of the section we shortly report on the vast literature on this problem.

In the recent work [7] we proved the first bifurcation result of time quasi-periodic traveling solutions of the water waves equations under the effects of gravity, constant vorticity, and exploiting the capillarity effects at the free surface. These solutions can not be reduced to steady solutions in any moving frame. For pure gravity irrotational water waves with infinite depth, quasi-periodic traveling waves has been obtained in Feola-Giuliani [16].

The goal of this paper is to prove the existence of time quasi-periodic traveling water waves, also in the physically important case of the pure gravity equations with non zero constant vorticity, for any value of the depth of the water, finite or infinite. In this work we are able to use the vorticity as a parameter: the solutions that we construct exist for any value of gravity and depth of the fluid, provided the vorticity is restricted to a Borel set of asymptotically full measure, see Theorem 1.2 We also remark that, in case of non zero vorticity, one can not expect the bifurcation of standing waves since they are not allowed by the linear theory.

It is well known that this is a subtle small divisor problem. Major difficulties are that: $(i)$ the vorticity parameter enters in the dispersion relation only at the zero order; (ii) there are resonances among the linear frequencies which can be avoided only for traveling waves; (iii) the dispersion relation of the pure gravity equations is sublinear at infinity; (iv) the nonlinear transport term is a singular perturbation of the unperturbed linear water waves vector field. Related difficulties appear in the search of pure gravity time periodic standing waves which have been constructed in the last years for irrotational fluids by Iooss, Plotnikov, Toland [31, 24, 21], extended to time quasi-periodic standing waves solutions in Baldi-Berti-Haus-Montalto [2]. In presence of surface tension, time periodic standing waves solutions were constructed by Alazard-Baldi [1], extended to time quasi-periodic solutions by Berti-Montalto [9]. We mention that also the construction of gravity steady traveling waves periodic in space presents small divisor difficulties for three dimensional fluids. These solutions, in a moving frame, look steady biperiodic waves and have been constructed for irrotational fluids by Iooss-Plotnikov [22, 23] using the speed as a bidimensional parameter (for capillary waves in [13] is not a small divisor problem).

We now recall the pure gravity water waves equations with constant vorticity.

The water waves equations. We consider the Euler equations of hydrodynamics for a 2-dimensional incompressible and inviscid fluid with constant vorticity $\gamma$, under the action of pure gravity. The fluid occupies the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\eta, \mathrm{h}}:=\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}:-\mathrm{h}<y<\eta(t, x)\}, \quad \mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{T}_{x}:=\mathbb{R} /(2 \pi \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a (possibly infinite) depth $\mathrm{h}>0$ and space periodic boundary conditions. The unknowns of the problem are the free surface $y=\eta(t, x)$ of the time dependent domain $\mathcal{D}_{\eta, \mathrm{h}}$ and the divergence free velocity field $\binom{u(t, x, y)}{v(t, x, y)}$. If the fluid has constant vorticity

$$
v_{x}-u_{y}=\gamma
$$

the velocity field is the sum of the Couette flow $\binom{-\gamma y}{0}$ (recently studied in [5], [38] and references therein), which carries all the vorticity $\gamma$ of the fluid, and an irrotational field, expressed as the gradient of a harmonic function $\Phi$, called the generalized velocity potential. Denoting $\psi(t, x):=\Phi(t, x, \eta(t, x))$ the evaluation of the generalized velocity potential at the free interface, one recovers $\Phi$ by solving the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi=0 \text { in } \mathcal{D}_{\eta, \mathrm{h}}, \quad \Phi=\psi \text { at } y=\eta(t, x), \quad \Phi_{y} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } y \rightarrow-\mathrm{h} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third condition in (1.2) means the impermeability property of the bottom $\Phi_{y}(t, x,-\mathrm{h})=0$ if $\mathrm{h}<\infty$, and $\lim _{y \rightarrow-\infty} \Phi_{y}(t, x, y)=0$, if $\mathrm{h}=+\infty$. Imposing that the fluid particles at the free surface remain on it along the evolution (kinematic boundary condition), and that the pressure of the fluid is equal to the constant atmospheric pressure at the free surface (dynamic boundary condition), the time evolution of the fluid is determined by the following system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{t}=G(\eta) \psi+\gamma \eta \eta_{x}  \tag{1.3}\\
\psi_{t}=-g \eta-\frac{\psi_{x}^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left(\eta_{x} \psi_{x}+G(\eta) \psi\right)^{2}}{2\left(1+\eta_{x}^{2}\right)}+\gamma \eta \psi_{x}+\gamma \partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta) \psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $g$ is the gravity and $G(\eta)$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator

$$
G(\eta) \psi:=G(\eta, \mathrm{~h}) \psi:=\left.\sqrt{1+\eta_{x}^{2}}\left(\partial_{\vec{n}} \Phi\right)\right|_{y=\eta(x)}=\left.\left(-\Phi_{x} \eta_{x}+\Phi_{y}\right)\right|_{y=\eta(x)} .
$$

As observed in the irrotational case by Zakharov [40], and in presence of constant vorticity by Wahlén [37], the water waves equations (1.3) are the Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t}=\nabla_{\psi} H(\eta, \psi), \quad \psi_{t}=\left(-\nabla_{\eta}+\gamma \partial_{x}^{-1} \nabla_{\psi}\right) H(\eta, \psi), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the $L^{2}$-gradient, with Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\eta, \psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\psi G(\eta) \psi+g \eta^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(-\psi_{x} \eta^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{3} \eta^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any value of the vorticity $\gamma \neq 0$, the system (1.4) is endowed with a non canonical Poisson structure, discussed in detail in Section 2 The equations (1.3) enjoy two important symmetries. First of all, they are time reversible. We say that a solution of (1.3) is reversible if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(-t,-x)=\eta(t, x), \quad \psi(-t,-x)=-\psi(t, x) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, since the bottom of the fluid domain is flat, they are invariant by space translations.
The variables $(\eta, \psi)$ of system (1.3) belong to some Sobolev space $H_{0}^{s}(\mathbb{T}) \times \dot{H}^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for some $s$ large. Here $H_{0}^{s}(\mathbb{T}), s \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the Sobolev space of functions with zero average $H_{0}^{s}(\mathbb{T}):=\{u \in$ $\left.H^{s}(\mathbb{T}): \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x) \mathrm{d} x=0\right\}$ and $\dot{H}^{s}(\mathbb{T}), s \in \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding homogeneous Sobolev space, namely the quotient space obtained by identifying the functions in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ which differ by a constant. This choice of the phase space is allowed because $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \eta(t, x) \mathrm{d} x$ is a prime integral of (1.3) and the right hand side of (1.3) depends only on $\eta$ and $\psi-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \mathrm{d} x$.

Linear water waves. Linearizing (1.3) at the equilibrium $(\eta, \psi)=(0,0)$ gives the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \eta & =G(0) \psi  \tag{1.7}\\
\partial_{t} \psi & =-g \eta+\gamma \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \psi
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $G(0)$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator at the flat surface $\eta=0$. A direct computation reveals that $G(0)$ is the Fourier multiplier operator

$$
G(0):=G(0, \mathrm{~h})=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
D \tanh (\mathrm{~h} D) & \text { if } \mathrm{h}<\infty  \tag{1.8}\\
|D| & \text { if } \mathrm{h}=+\infty,
\end{array} \quad \text { where } \quad D:=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \partial_{x}\right.
$$

with symbol, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
G_{j}(0):=G_{j}(0, \mathrm{~h})= \begin{cases}j \tanh (\mathrm{~h} j) & \text { if } \mathrm{h}<\infty  \tag{1.9}\\ |j| & \text { if } \mathrm{h}=+\infty\end{cases}
$$

As we will show in Section 2.1, all reversible solutions, i.e. satisfying (1.6), of (1.7) are the linear superposition of plane waves, traveling either to the right or to the left, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\eta(t, x)}{\psi(t, x)}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\binom{M_{n} \rho_{n} \cos \left(n x-\Omega_{n}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{n} \rho_{n} \sin \left(n x-\Omega_{n}(\gamma) t\right)}+\binom{M_{n} \rho_{-n} \cos \left(n x+\Omega_{-n}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{-n} \rho_{-n} \sin \left(n x+\Omega_{-n}(\gamma) t\right)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{n} \geqslant 0$ are arbitrary amplitudes and $M_{n}, P_{ \pm n}$ are the real coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j}:=\left(\frac{G_{j}(0)}{g+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, \quad P_{ \pm n}:=\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{M_{n}}{n} \pm M_{n}^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequencies $\Omega_{ \pm n}(\gamma)$ in 1.10 are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{j}(\gamma):=\sqrt{\left(g+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j^{2}}\right) G_{j}(0)}+\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the map $j \mapsto \Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ is not even due to the vorticity term $\gamma G_{j}(0) / j$, which is odd in $j$.
All the linear solutions (1.10) are either time periodic, quasi-periodic or almost-periodic, depending on the irrationality properties of the frequencies $\Omega_{ \pm n}(\gamma)$ and the number of non zero amplitudes $\rho_{ \pm n}$.

The problem of the existence of the traveling quasi-periodic in time water waves is formulated as follows.

Definition 1.1. (Quasi-periodic traveling wave) We say that $(\eta(t, x), \psi(t, x))$ is a time quasi-periodic traveling wave with irrational frequency vector $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\omega \cdot \ell \neq 0$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$, and "wave vectors" $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$, if there exist functions $(\breve{\eta}, \breve{\psi}): \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\eta(t, x)}{\psi(t, x)}=\binom{\breve{\eta}\left(\omega_{1} t-j_{1} x, \ldots, \omega_{\nu} t-j_{\nu} x\right)}{\breve{\psi}\left(\omega_{1} t-j_{1} x, \ldots, \omega_{\nu} t-j_{\nu} x\right)} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, if $\nu=1$, such functions are time periodic and indeed stationary in a moving frame with speed $\omega_{1} / j_{1}$. If the number of the irrational frequencies in greater or equal than 2 , the waves (1.13) cannot be reduced to steady waves by any appropriate choice of the moving frame.

We shall construct traveling quasi-periodic solutions of the nonlinear equations with a diophantine frequency vector $\omega$ belonging to an open bounded subset $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, namely, for some $v \in(0,1)$, $\tau>\nu-1$,

$$
\operatorname{DC}(v, \tau):=\left\{\omega \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu}:|\omega \cdot \ell| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}\right\}, \quad\langle\ell\rangle:=\max \{1,|\ell|\} .
$$

Regarding regularity, we will prove the existence of quasi-periodic traveling waves $(\breve{\eta}, \breve{\psi})$ belonging to some Sobolev space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\left\{\breve{f}(\varphi)=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} f_{\ell} e^{\mathrm{i} \ell \cdot \varphi}, \quad f_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\|\breve{f}\|_{s}^{2}:=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}}\left|f_{\ell}\right|^{2}\langle\ell\rangle^{2 s}<\infty\right\} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixed finitely many arbitrary distinct natural numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}^{+}:=\left\{\bar{n}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}_{\nu}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leqslant \bar{n}_{1}<\ldots<\bar{n}_{\nu} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and signs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma:=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\nu}\right\}, \quad \sigma_{a} \in\{-1,1\}, \quad a=1, \ldots, \nu \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider reversible quasi-periodic traveling wave solutions of the linear system 1.7), given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\eta(t, x)}{\psi(t, x)} & =\sum_{a \in\left\{1, \ldots, \nu: \sigma_{a}=+1\right\}}\binom{M_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{\bar{n}_{a}}} \cos \left(\bar{n}_{a} x-\Omega_{\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{\bar{n}_{a}}} \sin \left(\bar{n}_{a} x-\Omega_{\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)} \\
& +\sum_{a \in\left\{1, \ldots, \nu: \sigma_{a}=-1\right\}}\binom{M_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{-\bar{n}_{a}}} \cos \left(\bar{n}_{a} x+\Omega_{-\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{-\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{-\bar{n}_{a}}} \sin \left(\bar{n}_{a} x+\Omega_{-\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)} \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi_{ \pm \bar{n}_{a}}>0, a=1, \ldots, \nu$. The frequency vector of 1.17) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}(\gamma):=\left(\Omega_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma)\right)_{a=1, \ldots, \nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2 shows that the linear solutions (1.17) can be continued to quasi-periodic traveling wave solutions of the nonlinear water waves equations (1.3], for most values of the vorticity $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}$, with a frequency vector $\widetilde{\Omega}:=\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}\right)_{a=1, \ldots, \nu}$, close to $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma):=\left(\Omega_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma)\right)_{a=1, \ldots, \nu}$.
Theorem 1.2. (KAM for traveling gravity water waves with constant vorticity) Consider finitely many tangential sites $\mathbb{S}^{+} \subset \mathbb{N}$ as in (1.15) and signs $\Sigma$ as in 1.16. Then there exist $\bar{s}>0, \varepsilon_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that, for any $|\xi| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}^{2}, \xi:=\left(\xi_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}\right)_{a=1, \ldots, \nu} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\nu}$, the following hold:

1. there exists a Cantor-like set $\mathcal{G}_{\xi} \subset\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ with asymptotically full measure as $\xi \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0}\left|\mathcal{G}_{\xi}\right|=\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1} ;$
2. for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{\xi}$, the gravity water waves equations (1.3) have a reversible quasi-periodic traveling wave solution (according to Definition 1.1) of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\eta(t, x)}{\psi(t, x)} & =\sum_{a \in\{1, \ldots, \nu\}: \sigma_{a}=+1}\binom{M_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{\bar{n}_{a}}} \cos \left(\bar{n}_{a} x-\widetilde{\Omega}_{\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{\bar{n}_{a}}} \sin \left(\bar{n}_{a} x-\widetilde{\Omega}_{\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)} \\
& +\sum_{a \in\{1, \ldots, \nu\}: \sigma_{a}=-1}\binom{M_{\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{-\bar{n}_{a}}} \cos \left(\bar{n}_{a} x+\widetilde{\Omega}_{-\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)}{P_{-\bar{n}_{a}} \sqrt{\xi_{-\bar{n}_{a}}} \sin \left(\bar{n}_{a} x+\widetilde{\Omega}_{-\bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma) t\right)}+r(t, x) \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
r(t, x)=\breve{r}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\sigma_{1} \bar{n}_{1}}(\gamma) t-\sigma_{1} \bar{n}_{1} x, \ldots, \widetilde{\Omega}_{\sigma_{\nu} \bar{n}_{\nu}}(\gamma) t-\sigma_{\nu} \bar{n}_{\nu} x\right), \quad \breve{r} \in H^{\bar{s}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\breve{r}\|_{s}}{\sqrt{|\xi|}}=0
$$

with a Diophantine frequency vector $\widetilde{\Omega}:=\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}\right)_{a=1, \ldots, \nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, depending on $\gamma, \xi$, and satisfying $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\Omega}=\vec{\Omega}(\gamma)$. In addition these quasi-periodic solutions are linearly stable.

Let us make some comments about the result.

1) Vorticity as parameter and irrotational quasi-periodic traveling waves. We are able to use the vorticity $\gamma$ as a parameter, even though the dependence of the linear frequencies $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ in (1.12) with respect to $\gamma$ affects only the order 0 . In Section 4 we prove the non-degeneracy and the transversality of the linear frequencies $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ with respect to $\gamma$. If $\gamma_{1}<0<\gamma_{2}$ we do not know if the value $\gamma=0$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{G}_{\xi}$ for which the quasi periodic solutions (1.19) exist. Nevertheless, irrotational quasi-periodic traveling solutions for the gravity water waves equations (1.3) exist for most values of the depth $h \in\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]$, see Remark 4.6. These traveling wave solutions do not clearly reduce to the standing wave solutions constructed in [2], which are even in the space variable.
2) More general traveling solutions. The Diophantine condition (5.12) could be weakened requiring only $|\omega \cdot \ell| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ with $\ell_{1} \sigma_{1} \bar{n}_{1}+\ldots+\ell_{\nu} \sigma_{\nu} \bar{n}_{\nu}=0$. In such a case the vector $\omega$ could admit one non-trivial resonance. This is the natural minimal requirement to look for traveling solutions of the form $U(\omega t-\vec{\jmath} x)$, see Definition 3.1 and Remark 5.2. For $\nu=2$ solutions of these kind could be time periodic, with clearly a completely different shape with respect to the classical Stokes traveling waves [33].

Let us make some comments about the proof.
3) Symmetrization and reduction in order of the linearized operator. The leading order of the linearization of the water waves system (1.3) at any quasi-periodic traveling wave is given by the Hamiltonian transport operator (see 7.17)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \tilde{V} & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{V} \partial_{x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{V}(\varphi, x)$ is a small quasi-periodic traveling wave. By the almost-straightening result of Lemma 7.7 for any $(\omega, \gamma)$ satisfying suitable non-resonance conditions as in (5.13), we conjugate $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ via a symplectic transformation to a transport operator of the form

$$
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{m}_{1} \partial_{x} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~m}_{1} \partial_{x}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{y} p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} & 0 \\
0 & p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{m}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant to be determined and $p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}(\varphi, x)$ is an exponentially small function, see 7.28). For the standing waves problem in [2] we have that $m_{1}=0$ and the complete conjugation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ is proved for any $\omega$ diophantine. The almost-straightening Theorem A.2 which implies the conjugation in Lemma 7.7 is performed in the same spirit of the almost-reducibility Theorem 8.2. The KAM algebraic reduction scheme is like in [17] and in [3]. Here we do not perform the full straightening of the transport operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ (i.e. we have $\overline{\mathrm{n}}<\infty$ ) in order to formulate a simple non-resonance condition as in (5.13). The resulting almost-remainders are then considered along the Nash-Moser nonlinear iteration (the estimates obtained in the proof in [17] after finitely many iterative steps are not sufficient for our purposes).

As the almost-straightening above, we also perform in a symplectic way other steps of the reduction to constant coefficients of the lower order terms of the linearized operator. This is needed in order to prevent the appearance of unstable operators. Since Section7.4we preserve only the reversible structure.

Due to the pseudo-differential nature of the vorticity vector field in (1.3), there appear along the reduction process, since Section 7.2] smoothing tame remainders (cfr. (7.49), unlike [2].
4) Traveling waves and Melnikov non-resonance conditions. We strongly use the invariance under space translations of the Hamiltonian nonlinear water waves vector field (1.3), i.e. the "momentum conservation", in the construction of the traveling quasi-periodic waves. We list the main points in which it occurs:
(i) The Floquet exponents (5.10) of the quasi-periodic solutions (1.19) are a singular perturbation of the unperturbed linear frequencies in (1.12), with leading terms of order 1 . The Melnikov non-resonance conditions formulated in the Cantor-like set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ in (5.12)-(5.15) hold on a set of large measure only thanks to the conservation of the momentum, see Section 5.2 .
(ii) Thanks to the restriction on the Fourier indexes coming from the space translation invariance, we can impose Melnikov conditions that do not lose space derivatives, see (5.14). This simplifies considerably the reduction in decreasing orders of Section 7 and the KAM reducibility scheme of Section 8 Indeed, it is enough to reduce to constant coefficients the linearized vector operator until the order 0 (included, in order to have a sufficiently good asymptotic expansion of the perturbed frequencies to prove the inclusion Lemma [5.7). Conversely, in [2] the second order Melnikov conditions verified for the standing pure gravity waves lose several space derivatives and many more steps of regularization are needed.
(iii) The invariance by space translations in the construction of the quasiperiodic traveling waves allows to avoid resonances between the linear frequencies. For example, with infinite depth $\mathrm{h}=+\infty$, these are given by $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)=\omega_{j}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2} \operatorname{sign}(j)$. In this case there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ and $j, j^{\prime} \notin\left\{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}\right\}_{a=1, \ldots, \nu}$, with $j \neq j^{\prime}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{\nu} \ell_{a} \Omega_{\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}}(\gamma)+\Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma) \equiv 0 \quad \forall \gamma \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example if $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}$, it is sufficient to take $\ell=\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=(-1,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $j=-\sigma_{1} \bar{n}_{1}$, $j^{\prime}=-\sigma_{2} \bar{n}_{2}$. To exclude this resonance we exploit the conservation of momentum, which guarantees that resonances of the form (1.20) have to be checked only on indexes fulfilling $\sum_{a=1}^{\nu} \ell_{a} \sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}+j-j^{\prime}=0$. The indexes above violate this constraint, as $\bar{n}_{1} \neq \bar{n}_{2}$ by (1.15). Along the proof, we systematically use this kind of arguments to exclude nontrivial resonances.

Before concluding this introduction, we shortly describe the huge literature regarding time periodic traveling wave solutions, which are steady in a moving frame.
Literature about time periodic traveling wave solutions. After the pioneering work of Stokes [33], the first rigorous construction of small amplitude space periodic steady traveling waves goes back to the 1920's with the papers of Nekrasov [30], Levi-Civita [26] and Struik [34], in case of irrotational bidimensional flows under the action of pure gravity. In the presence of vorticity, Gerstner [19] in 1802 gave an explicit example of periodic traveling wave, in infinite depth, and non-zero vorticity, but it is only with Dubreil-Jacotin [15] in 1934 the first bifurcation result of periodic traveling waves with small vorticity, subsequently extended by Goyon [20] and Zeidler [41] for large vorticity. More recently we point out the works of Wahlén [36] for capillary-gravity waves and non-constant vorticity, and of Martin [28] and Walhén [37] for constant vorticity. All these results deal with 2d water waves, and can ultimately be deduced by the classical Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem from a simple eigenvalue.

We also mention that these local bifurcation results can be extended to global branches of steady traveling waves by the theory of global analytic, or topological, bifurcation. We refer to Keady-Norbury [27], Toland [35], McLeod [29] for irrotational flows and Constantin-Strauss [12] for fluids with nonconstant vorticity. We suggest the reading of [10] for further results.

We finally quote the recent numerical work of Wilkening-Zhao [39] about spatially quasi-periodic gravity-capillary 1d-water waves.

## 2 Hamiltonian structure and linearization at the origin

The Hamiltonian formulation of the water waves equations (1.3) with non-zero constant vorticity was obtained by Constantin-Ivanov-Prodanov [11] and Wahlén [37] in the case of finite depth. For irrotational flows it reduces to the classical Craig-Sulem-Zakharov formulation in [40], [14].

On the phase space $H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{T}) \times \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{T})$, endowed with the non canonical Poisson tensor

$$
J_{M}(\gamma):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{Id}  \tag{2.1}\\
-\mathrm{Id} & \gamma \partial_{x}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we consider the Hamiltonian $H$ defined in (1.5). Such Hamiltonian is well defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{T}) \times \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{T})$ since $G(\eta)[1]=0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} G(\eta) \psi \mathrm{d} x=0$. It turns out [11, 37] that equations 1.3] are the Hamiltonian system generated by $H(\eta, \psi)$ with respect to the Poisson tensor $J_{M}(\gamma)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\binom{\eta}{\psi}=J_{M}(\gamma)\binom{\nabla_{\eta} H}{\nabla_{\psi} H} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\nabla_{\eta} H, \nabla_{\psi} H\right) \in \dot{L}^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \times L_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the $L^{2}$-gradients. The non canonical Poisson tensor $J_{M}(\gamma)$ in (2.1) has to be regarded as an operator from (subspaces of) $\left(L_{0}^{2} \times \dot{L}^{2}\right)^{*}=\dot{L}^{2} \times L_{0}^{2}$ to $L_{0}^{2} \times \dot{L}^{2}$, that is

$$
J_{M}(\gamma)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \operatorname{Id}_{L_{0}^{2} \rightarrow L_{0}^{2}} \\
-\operatorname{Id}_{\dot{L}^{2} \rightarrow \dot{L}^{2}} & \gamma \partial_{x}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For sake of simplicity, throughout the paper we omit this detail, see [7] for a more precise analysis.
We describe now some symmetries of the Hamiltonian (1.5).
Reversible structure. Defining on the phase space $H_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{T}) \times \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{T})$ the involution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\binom{\eta}{\psi}:=\binom{\eta^{\vee}}{-\psi^{\vee}}, \quad \eta^{\vee}(x):=\eta(-x), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Hamiltonian (1.5) is invariant under $\mathcal{S}$, that is $H \circ \mathcal{S}=H$. Equivalently, the water waves vector field $X$ in the right hand side on (1.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \circ \mathcal{S}=-\mathcal{S} \circ X \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property follows since the Dirichlet-Neumann operator satisfies $G\left(\eta^{\vee}\right)\left[\psi^{\vee}\right]=(G(\eta)[\psi])^{\vee}$.
Translation invariance. Since the bottom of the fluid domain (1.1) is flat (or in case of infinite depth there is no bottom), the water waves equations (1.3) are invariant under space translations. Specifically, defining the translation operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\varsigma}: u(x) \mapsto u(x+\varsigma), \quad \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Hamiltonian (1.5) satisfies $H \circ \tau_{\varsigma}=H$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, the water waves vector field $X$ in the right hand side on (1.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \circ \tau_{\varsigma}=\tau_{\varsigma} \circ X, \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property follows since $\tau_{\varsigma} \circ G(\eta)=G\left(\tau_{\varsigma} \eta\right) \circ \tau_{\varsigma}$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$.
Wahlén coordinates. We introduce the Wahlén [37] coordinates $(\eta, \zeta)$ via the map

$$
\binom{\eta}{\psi}=W\binom{\eta}{\zeta}, \quad W:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right), \quad W^{-1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & 0 \\
-\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The change of coordinates $W$ maps the phase space $H_{0}^{1} \times \dot{H}^{1}$ into itself, and it conjugates the Poisson tensor $J_{M}(\gamma)$ to the canonical one

$$
W^{-1} J_{M}(\gamma)\left(W^{-1}\right)^{*}=J, \quad J:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{Id}  \tag{2.8}\\
-\mathrm{Id} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that $(\eta, \zeta)$ are Darboux coordinates. The Hamiltonian (1.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}:=H \circ W, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \mathcal{H}(\eta, \zeta):=H\left(\eta, \zeta+\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} \eta\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hamiltonian equations (2.2) (i.e. (1.3)) are transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=X_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta, \zeta), \quad X_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta, \zeta):=J\binom{\nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{H}}{\nabla_{\zeta} \mathcal{H}}(\eta, \zeta) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8), the symplectic form of (2.10) is the standard one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}\left(\binom{\eta_{1}}{\zeta_{1}},\binom{\eta_{2}}{\zeta_{2}}\right):=\left(J^{-1}\binom{\eta_{1}}{\zeta_{1}},\binom{\eta_{2}}{\zeta_{2}}\right)_{L^{2}}=\left(-\zeta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(\eta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -\mathrm{Id} \\ \mathrm{Id} & 0\end{array}\right)$ is regarded as a map from $L_{0}^{2} \times \dot{L}^{2}$ into $\dot{L}^{2} \times L_{0}^{2}$.
The transformation $W$ defined in (2.7) is reversibility preserving, namely it commutes with the involution $\mathcal{S}$ in (2.3) (see Definition 3.19 below), and thus also the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in 2.9 is invariant under the involution $\mathcal{S}$. For this reason we look for solutions $(\eta(t, x), \zeta(t, x))$ of (2.10) that are reversible, i.e., see (1.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\eta}{\zeta}(-t)=\mathcal{S}\binom{\eta}{\zeta}(t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding solutions $(\eta(t, x), \psi(t, x))$ of (1.3) induced by (2.7) are reversible as well.
We finally note that the transformation $W$ defined in (2.7) commutes with the translation operator $\tau_{\varsigma}$, therefore the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in (2.9) is invariant under $\tau_{\varsigma}$.

### 2.1 Linearization at the equilibrium

We now prove that the reversible solutions of the linear system (1.7) have the form 1.10; we proceed in a similar way as in [7], Section 2.1, and we refer to it for details. The linear system (1.7) is Hamiltonian and it is generated by the quadratic Hamiltonian

$$
H_{L}(\eta, \psi):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\psi G(0) \psi+g \eta^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{L}\binom{\eta}{\psi},\binom{\eta}{\psi}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

Thus, recalling (2.2), the linear system (1.7) is

$$
\partial_{t}\binom{\eta}{\psi}=J_{M}(\gamma) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{L}\binom{\eta}{\psi}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{L}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g & 0  \tag{2.13}\\
0 & G(0)
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the Wahlén coordinates (2.7), system (2.13) is transformed into the linear Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t}\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=J \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W}\binom{\eta}{\zeta} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W}:=W^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{L} W=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \\
\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & G(0)
\end{array}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

generated by the quadratic Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{L}(\eta, \zeta):=\left(H_{L} \circ W\right)(\eta, \zeta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_{W}\binom{\eta}{\zeta},\binom{\eta}{\zeta}\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us diagonalize (2.14). We first conjugate (2.14) under the symplectic transformation (with respect to the standard symplectic form $\mathcal{W}$ in (2.11) of the phase space

$$
\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=\mathcal{M}\binom{u}{v}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the diagonal matrix of self-adjoint Fourier multipliers

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M(D) & 0  \tag{2.16}\\
0 & M(D)^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad M(D):=\left(\frac{G(0)}{g-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

with the real valued symbol $M_{j}$ defined in (1.11). The map $\mathcal{M}$ is reversibility preserving.
Remark 2.1. $M(D)^{-1}$ denotes the Fourier multiplier operator in $\dot{H}^{1}$ defined as $M(D)^{-1}[\zeta]:=\left[\sum_{j \neq 0} M_{j}^{-1} \zeta_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}\right]$, $\zeta(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}$ where [ $\zeta$ ] is the element in $\dot{H}^{1}$ with representative $\zeta(x)$.

By a direct computation, the Hamiltonian system (2.14) assumes the symmetric form

$$
\partial_{t}\binom{u}{v}=J \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{S}\binom{u}{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{S}:=\mathcal{M}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} \mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega(\gamma, D) & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \\
\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & \omega(\gamma, D)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\gamma, D):=\sqrt{g G(0)-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce complex coordinates by the transformation

$$
\binom{u}{v}=\mathcal{C}\binom{z}{z}, \quad \mathcal{C}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & \mathrm{Id}  \tag{2.19}\\
-\mathrm{i} & \mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{C}^{-1}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & \mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{Id} & -\mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In these variables, the Hamiltonian system (2.17) becomes the diagonal system

$$
\partial_{t}\binom{z}{\bar{z}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathrm{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{D}\binom{z}{\bar{z}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{D}:=\mathcal{C}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{S} \mathcal{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega(\gamma, D) & 0 \\
0 & \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, D)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\gamma, D):=\omega(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{i} \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ defined in (1.12) and $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, D)$ is defined by

$$
\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, D) z:=\overline{\Omega(\gamma, D) \bar{z}}, \quad \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, D)=\omega(\gamma, D)-\mathrm{i} \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) .
$$

Note that $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, D)$ is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $\left\{\Omega_{-j}(\gamma)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$.
We regard the system (2.20) in $\dot{H}^{1} \times \dot{H}^{1}$. The diagonal system amounts to the scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} z=-\mathrm{i} \Omega(\gamma, D) z, \quad z(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} z_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, written in the exponential Fourier basis, is an infinite collections of decoupled harmonic oscillators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{j}=-\mathrm{i} \Omega_{j}(\gamma) z_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, in these complex coordinates, the involution $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (2.3) reads as the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z(x)}{z(x)}\right) \mapsto\binom{\overline{z(-x)}}{z(-x)}, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas, in the Fourier coordinates introduced in (2.22), it amounts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j} \mapsto \overline{z_{j}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.23) and (2.25) any reversible solution (which is characterized as in (2.12) of (2.22) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t, x):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \rho_{j} e^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\Omega_{j}(\gamma) t-j x\right)} \quad \text { with } \quad \rho_{j} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us see the form of these solutions back in the original variables $(\eta, \psi)$. First, by (2.16), (2.19),

$$
\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=\mathcal{M C}\binom{z}{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M(D) & M(D)  \tag{2.27}\\
-\mathrm{i} M(D)^{-1} & \mathrm{i} M(D)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{z}{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{M(D)(z+\bar{z})}{-\mathrm{i} M(D)^{-1}(z-\bar{z})}
$$

and the solutions (2.26) assume the form

$$
\binom{\eta(t, x)}{\zeta(t, x)}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\binom{M_{n} \rho_{n} \cos \left(n x-\Omega_{n}(\gamma) t\right)}{M_{n}^{-1} \rho_{n} \sin \left(n x-\Omega_{n}(\gamma) t\right)}+\binom{M_{n} \rho_{-n} \cos \left(n x+\Omega_{-n}(\gamma) t\right)}{-M_{n}^{-1} \rho_{-n} \sin \left(n x+\Omega_{-n}(\gamma) t\right)} .
$$

Back to the variables $(\eta, \psi)$ with the change of coordinates (2.7) one obtains formula 1.10).
Decomposition of the phase space in Lagrangian subspaces invariant under (2.14). We express the Fourier coefficients $z_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ in (2.22) as

$$
z_{j}=\frac{\alpha_{j}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{j}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

In the new coordinates $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$, we write (2.27) as (recall that $M_{j}=M_{-j}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\eta(x)}{\zeta(x)}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}\binom{M_{j}\left(\alpha_{j} \cos (j x)-\beta_{j} \sin (j x)\right)}{M_{j}^{-1}\left(\beta_{j} \cos (j x)+\alpha_{j} \sin (j x)\right)} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{j} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(M_{j}^{-1}(\eta, \cos (j x))_{L^{2}}+M_{j}(\zeta, \sin (j x))_{L^{2}}\right), \\
\beta_{j} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(M_{j}(\zeta, \cos (j x))_{L^{2}}-M_{j}^{-1}(\eta, \sin (j x))_{L^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The symplectic form (2.11) then becomes $2 \pi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \mathrm{d} \alpha_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta_{j}$. Each 2-dimensional subspace in the sum (2.28), spanned by $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is therefore a symplectic subspace. The quadratic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{L}$ in 2.15) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\Omega_{j}(\gamma)}{2}\left(\alpha_{j}^{2}+\beta_{j}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.28), the involution $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (2.3) reads $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right) \mapsto\left(\alpha_{j},-\beta_{j}\right), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
We may also enumerate the independent variables $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$ as $\left(\alpha_{-n}, \beta_{-n}, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the phase space $\mathfrak{H}:=L_{0}^{2} \times \dot{L}^{2}$ of (2.10) decomposes as the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{n,+} \oplus V_{n,-} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

of 2-dimensional Lagrangian symplectic subspaces

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{n,+}:=\left\{\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=\binom{M_{n}\left(\alpha_{n} \cos (n x)-\beta_{n} \sin (n x)\right)}{M_{n}^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} \cos (n x)+\alpha_{n} \sin (n x)\right)},\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\},  \tag{2.31}\\
& V_{n,-}:=\left\{\binom{\eta}{\zeta}=\binom{M_{n}\left(\alpha_{-n} \cos (n x)+\beta_{-n} \sin (n x)\right)}{M_{n}^{-1}\left(\beta_{-n} \cos (n x)-\alpha_{-n} \sin (n x)\right)},\left(\alpha_{-n}, \beta_{-n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}, \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which are invariant for the linear Hamiltonian system (2.14), namely $J \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W}: V_{n, \sigma} \mapsto V_{n, \sigma}$. Note that the involution $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (2.3) and the translation operator $\tau_{\varsigma}$ in (2.5) leave the subspaces $V_{n, \sigma}, \sigma \in\{ \pm\}$, invariant.

### 2.2 Tangential and normal subspaces of the phase space

We split the phase space $\mathfrak{H}$ in (2.30) into a direct sum of tangential and normal Lagrangian subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$. Note that the main part of the solutions (1.19) that we shall obtain in Theorem 1.2 is the component in the tangential subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$, whereas the component in the normal subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}$ is much smaller.

Recalling the definition of the sets $\mathbb{S}^{+}$and $\Sigma$ defined in (1.15) respectively (1.16), we split

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}=\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ is the finite dimensional tangential subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}:=\sum_{a=1}^{\nu} V_{\bar{n}_{a}, \sigma_{a}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}$is the normal subspace defined as its symplectic orthogonal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}:=\sum_{a=1}^{\nu} V_{\bar{n}_{a},-\sigma_{a}} \oplus \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbb{S}^{+}}\left(V_{n,+} \oplus V_{n,-}\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}$are Lagrangian. We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}$ the symplectic projections on the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$, respectively. The restricted symplectic form $\left.\mathcal{W}\right|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}}$ is represented by the symplectic structure

$$
J_{\angle}^{-1}: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}, \quad J_{\angle}^{-1}:=\Pi_{\angle}^{L^{2}} J_{\mid \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}}^{-1}
$$

where $\Pi_{L}^{L^{2}}$ is the $L^{2}$-projector on the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp}$. Its associated Poisson tensor is

$$
J_{\angle: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}}^{<} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}, \quad J_{\angle}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<} J_{\mid \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}} .
$$

By Lemma 2.6 in [7], we have $J_{\angle}^{-1} J_{\angle}=J_{\angle} J_{\angle}^{-1}=\mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}}$.

Action-angle coordinates. We introduce action-angle coordinates on the tangential subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}+, \Sigma}^{\top}$ defined in (2.34). Given the sets $\mathbb{S}^{+}$and $\Sigma$ defined respectively in 1.15) and (1.16) we define the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}:=\left\{\bar{\jmath}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\jmath}_{\nu}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \bar{\jmath}_{a}:=\sigma_{a} \bar{n}_{a}, \quad a=1, \ldots, \nu \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the action-angle coordinates $\left(\theta_{j}, I_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{S}}$, by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}\left(I_{j}+\xi_{j}\right)} \cos \left(\theta_{j}\right), \beta_{j}=-\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}\left(I_{j}+\xi_{j}\right)} \sin \left(\theta_{j}\right), \quad \xi_{j}>0,\left|I_{j}\right|<\xi_{j}, \forall j \in \mathbb{S} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.33)-(2.35), we represent any function of the phase space $\mathfrak{H}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\theta, I, w) & :=v^{\boldsymbol{\top}}(\theta, I)+w \\
& :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}}\left[\binom{M_{j} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)}{-M_{j}^{-1} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)} \cos (j x)+\binom{M_{j} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)}{M_{j}^{-1} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)} \sin (j x)\right]+w \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}}\left[\binom{M_{j} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}-j x\right)}{-M_{j}^{-1} \sqrt{I_{j}+\xi_{j}} \sin \left(\theta_{j}-j x\right)}\right]+w \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta:=\left(\theta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}, I:=\left(I_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$.
In view of (2.38), the involution $\mathcal{S}$ in (2.3) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}:(\theta, I, w) \mapsto(-\theta, I, \mathcal{S} w) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the translation operator $\tau_{\varsigma}$ in (2.5) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}:(\theta, I, w) \mapsto\left(\theta-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, I, \tau_{\varsigma} w\right), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\jmath}:=(j)_{j \in \mathbb{S}}=\left(\bar{\jmath}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\jmath}_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the symplectic 2-form (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=\left.\sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} I_{j}\right) \oplus \mathcal{W}\right|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that $\mathcal{W}$ is exact, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=d \Lambda, \quad \text { where } \quad \Lambda_{(\theta, I, w)}[\hat{\theta}, \widehat{I}, \widehat{w}]:=-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}} I_{j} \hat{\theta}_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{\angle}^{-1} w, \widehat{w}\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the associated Liouville 1-form. Finally, given a Hamiltonian $K: \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the associated Hamiltonian vector field (with respect to the symplectic form (2.42) is

$$
X_{K}:=\left(\partial_{I} K,-\partial_{\theta} K, J_{\angle} \nabla_{w} K\right)=\left(\partial_{I} K,-\partial_{\theta} K, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma} J \nabla_{w} K\right),
$$

where $\nabla_{w} K$ denotes the $L^{2}$ gradient of $K$ with respect to $w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$.
Tangential and normal subspaces in complex variables. Each 2-dimensional symplectic subspace $V_{n, \sigma}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma= \pm 1$, defined in (2.31)-2.32) is isomorphic, through the linear map $\mathcal{M C}$ defined in (2.27), to the complex subspace

$$
\mathbf{H}_{j}:=\left\{\left(\frac{z_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}}{z_{j} e^{-\mathrm{i} j x}}\right), z_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad j=n \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Denoting by $\Pi_{j}$ the $L^{2}$-projection on $\mathbf{H}_{j}$, we have that $\Pi_{V_{n, \sigma}}=\mathcal{M C} \Pi_{j}(\mathcal{M C})^{-1}$. Thus $\mathcal{M C}$ is an isomorphism between the tangential subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ defined in (2.34) and

$$
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}:=\left\{\binom{z}{\bar{z}}: z(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}} z_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}\right\}
$$

and between the normal subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$, defined in (2.35) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}:=\left\{\binom{z}{\bar{z}}: z(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}} z_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x} \in L^{2}\right\}, \quad \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}:=\mathbb{Z} \backslash(\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\}) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}}^{\top}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$, the $L^{2}$-orthogonal projections on the subspaces $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}=\mathcal{M C} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}}^{\top}(\mathcal{M C})^{-1}, \quad \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}=\mathcal{M C} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}(\mathcal{M C})^{-1} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this analysis, it follows that (cfr. Lemma 2.9 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{\top}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} w\right)_{L^{2}}=0, \quad \forall v^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}, \forall w \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. For $a \lesssim_{s} b$ means that $a \leqslant C(s) b$ for some positive constant $C(s)$. We denote $\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$.

## 3 Functional setting

In this section we report basic notation, definitions, and results used along the paper, concerning traveling waves, pseudo-differential operators, tame operators, and the algebraic properties of Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving operators.

We consider functions $u(\varphi, x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ depending on the space variable $x \in \mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{x}$ and the angles $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}=\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{\nu}$ (so that $\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}=\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}_{x}$ ) which we expand in Fourier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi, x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{j}(\varphi) e^{\mathrm{i} j x}=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider real valued functions $u(\varphi, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, as well as vector valued functions $u(\varphi, x) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ (or $u(\varphi, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ). When no confusion appears, we denote simply by $L^{2}, L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right), L_{x}^{2}:=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}\right)$, $L_{\varphi}^{2}:=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}\right)$ either the spaces of real/complex valued, scalar/vector valued, $L^{2}$-functions.

Quasi-periodic traveling waves. We first provide the following definition:
Definition 3.1. (Quasi-periodic traveling waves) Let $\vec{\jmath}:=\left(\bar{\jmath}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\jmath}_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ be the vector defined in (2.41). A function $u(\varphi, x)$ is called a quasi-periodic traveling wave if it has the form $u(\varphi, x)=U(\varphi-\overrightarrow{\jmath x})$ where $U: \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{K}, K \in \mathbb{N}$, is a $(2 \pi)^{\nu}$-periodic function.

Comparing with Definition 1.1, we find convenient to call quasi-periodic traveling wave both the function $u(\varphi, x)=U(\varphi-\overrightarrow{\jmath x})$ and the function of time $u(\omega t, x)=U(\omega t-\overrightarrow{\jmath x})$.

Quasi-periodic traveling waves are characterized by the relation $u(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, \cdot)=\tau_{\varsigma} u$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tau_{\varsigma}$ is the translation operator in (2.5).

Product and composition of quasi-periodic traveling waves are quasi-periodic traveling waves. Expanded in Fourier series as in (3.1), a quasi-periodic traveling wave has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi, x)=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, j+\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell=0} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely, comparing with Definition 3.1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varphi, x)=U(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} x), \quad U(\psi)=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} U_{\ell} e^{\mathrm{i} \ell \cdot \psi}, \quad U_{\ell}=u_{\ell,-\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quasi-periodic traveling waves $u(\varphi, x)=U(\varphi-\overrightarrow{\jmath x})$ where $U(\cdot)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}, \mathbb{C}^{K}\right)$ in (with values in $\mathbb{C}^{K}, K \in \mathbb{N}$ ), form a subspace of the Sobolev space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right)=\left\{u=\sum_{(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu+1}} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)}:\|u\|_{s}^{2}:=\sum_{(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu+1}}\left|u_{\ell, j}\right|^{2}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}<\infty\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\ell, j\rangle:=\max \{1,|\ell|,|j|\}$. Note the equivalence of the norms $\|u\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}_{x}\right)} \simeq_{s}\|U\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}\right)}$.
For $s \geqslant s_{0}:=\left[\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right]+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right) \subset C\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right)$, and $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right)$ is an algebra. Along the paper we denote by $\left\|\|_{s}\right.$ both the Sobolev norms in (1.14) and (3.4).

For $K \geqslant 1$ we define the smoothing operator $\Pi_{K}$ on the quasi-periodic traveling waves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{K}: u=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, j+\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell=0} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \mapsto \Pi_{K} u=\sum_{\langle\ell\rangle \leqslant K, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, j+\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell=0} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Pi_{K}^{\perp}:=\mathrm{Id}-\Pi_{K}$. Writing a traveling wave as in (3.3), the projector $\Pi_{K}$ in (3.5) is equal to

$$
\left(\Pi_{K} u\right)(\varphi, x)=U_{K}(\varphi-\overrightarrow{\jmath x}), \quad U_{K}(\psi):=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu},\langle\ell\rangle \leqslant K} U_{\ell} e^{\mathrm{i} \ell \cdot \psi}
$$

For a function $u(\varphi, x)$ we define the averages

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u\rangle_{\varphi, x}:=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\nu+1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}} u(\varphi, x) \mathrm{d} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x, \quad\langle u\rangle_{\varphi}(x):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}} u(\varphi, x) \mathrm{d} \varphi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we note that, if $u(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave then $\langle u\rangle_{\varphi}=\langle u\rangle_{\varphi, x}$.
Whitney-Sobolev functions. Along the paper we consider families of Sobolev functions $\lambda \mapsto u(\lambda) \in$ $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right)$ and $\lambda \mapsto U(\lambda) \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}\right)$ which are $k_{0}$-times differentiable in the sense of Whitney with respect to the parameter $\lambda:=(\omega, \gamma) \in F \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ where $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$ is a closed set. We refer to Definition 2.1 in [2], for the definition of a Whitney-Sobolev function $u: F \rightarrow H^{s}$ where $H^{s}$ may be either the Hilbert space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}\right)$ or $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu}\right)$. Here we mention that, given $v \in(0,1)$, we can identify a Whitney-Sobolev function $u: F \rightarrow H^{s}$ with $k_{0}$ derivatives with the equivalence class of functions $f \in W^{k_{0}, \infty, v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}, H^{s}\right) / \sim$ with respect to the equivalence relation $f \sim g$ when $\partial_{\lambda}^{j} f(\lambda)=\partial_{\lambda}^{j} g(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in F,|j| \leqslant k_{0}-1$, with equivalence of the norms

$$
\|u\|_{s, F}^{k_{0}, v} \sim_{\nu, k_{0}}\|u\|_{W^{k_{0}, \infty, v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}, H^{s}\right)}:=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant k_{0}} v^{|\alpha|}\left\|\partial_{\lambda}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}, H^{s}\right)}
$$

The key result is the Whitney extension theorem, which associates to a Whitney-Sobolev function $u$ : $F \rightarrow H^{s}$ with $k_{0}$-derivatives a function $\widetilde{u}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \rightarrow H^{s}, \widetilde{u}$ in $W^{k_{0}, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}, H^{s}\right)$ (independently of the target Sobolev space $H^{s}$ ) with an equivalent norm. For sake of simplicity we often denote $\left\|\|_{s, F}^{k_{0}, v}=\right.$ $\left\|\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\right.$.

Thanks to this equivalence, all the tame estimates which hold for Sobolev spaces carry over for Whitney-Sobolev functions. For example the following classical tame estimate for the product holds: (see e.g. Lemma 2.4 in [2]): for all $s \geqslant s_{0}>(\nu+1) / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u v\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(s, k_{0}\right)\|u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\|v\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}+C\left(s_{0}, k_{0}\right)\|u\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}\|v\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the following estimates hold for the smoothing operators defined in (3.5): for any quasi-periodic traveling wave $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{K} u\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant K^{\alpha}\|u\|_{s-\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant s, \quad\left\|\Pi_{K}^{\perp} u\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant K^{-\alpha}\|u\|_{s+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}, \alpha \geqslant 0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also state a standard Moser tame estimate for the nonlinear composition operator, see e.g. Lemma 2.6 in [2], $u(\varphi, x) \mapsto \mathrm{f}(u)(\varphi, x):=f(\varphi, x, u(\varphi, x))$. Since the variables $(\varphi, x)=: y$ have the same role, we state it for a generic Sobolev space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$.

Lemma 3.2. (Composition operator) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. If $u(\lambda) \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ is a family of Sobolev functions satisfying $\|u\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant 1$, then, for all $s \geqslant s_{0}:=(d+1) / 2$,

$$
\|\mathrm{f}(u)\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(s, k_{0}, f\right)\left(1+\|u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\right) .
$$

If $f(\varphi, x, 0)=0$ then $\|\mathrm{f}(u)\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(s, k_{0}, f\right)\|u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$.
Constant transport equation on quasi-periodic traveling waves. Let $\mathrm{m}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(\omega, \gamma) \mapsto \mathrm{m}(\omega, \gamma)$ be a real function. For any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in
$\operatorname{TC}(\mathrm{m} ; v, \tau):=\left\{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:|\omega \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m} j| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu+1} \backslash\{0\}\right.$, with $\left.\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0\right\}$,
then, for a quasi-periodic traveling wave $u(\varphi, x)$ with zero average with respect to $\varphi$ (and therefore with respect to $(\varphi, x)$ ), the transport equation $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right) v=u$ has the quasi-periodic traveling wave solution (see (3.2))

$$
\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right)^{-1} u:=\sum_{\substack{(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \nu+1 \backslash\{0\} \\ j \cdot \ell+j=0}} \frac{u_{\ell, j}}{\mathrm{i}(\omega \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m} j)} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)}
$$

For any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, we define its extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1} u(\varphi, x):=\sum_{\substack{(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu+1} \\ j, \ell+j=0}} \frac{\chi\left((\omega \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m} j) v^{-1}\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}\right)}{\mathrm{i}(\omega \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m} j)} u_{\ell, j} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is an even positive $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ cut-off function such that

$$
\chi(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if }|\xi| \leqslant \frac{1}{3}  \tag{3.10}\\
1 & \text { if }|\xi| \geqslant \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}, \quad \partial_{\xi} \chi(\xi)>0 \quad \forall \xi \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)\right.
$$

Note that $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right)_{\text {ext }}^{-1} u=\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right)^{-1} u$ for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \operatorname{TC}(\mathrm{m} ; v, \tau)$.
If $|\mathrm{m}|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C$ then the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1} u\right\|_{s, \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(k_{0}\right) v^{-1}\|u\|_{s+\mu, \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad \mu:=k_{0}+\tau\left(k_{0}+1\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore one has the estimate, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1}-\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{2} \partial_{x}\right)_{\text {ext }}^{-1}\right) u\right\|_{s} \leqslant C v^{-2}\left|\mathrm{~m}_{1}-\mathrm{m}_{2}\right|\|u\|_{s+2 \tau+1} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Linear operators. We consider $\varphi$-dependent families of linear operators $A: \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \mapsto \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}\right)\right), \varphi \mapsto$ $A(\varphi)$, acting on subspaces of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}\right)$. We also regard $A$ as an operator (which for simplicity we denote by $A$ as well) that acts on functions $u(\varphi, x)$ of space and time, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(\varphi, x):=(A(\varphi) u(\varphi, \cdot))(x) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of an operator $A$ as in (3.13) on a scalar function $u(\varphi, x) \in L^{2}$ expanded as in (3.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u(\varphi, x)=\sum_{j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\varphi) u_{j^{\prime}}(\varphi) e^{\mathrm{i} j x}=\sum_{j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell, \ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) u_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} e^{\mathrm{i}(\ell \cdot \varphi+j x)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We identify an operator $A$ with its matrix $\left(A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)\right)_{j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell, \ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}}$, which is Töplitz with respect to the index $\ell$. In this paper we always consider Töplitz operators as in 3.13), 3.14).
Real operators. A linear operator $A$ is real if $A=\bar{A}$, where $\bar{A}$ is defined by $\bar{A}(u):=\overline{A(\bar{u})}$. We represent a real operator acting on $(\eta, \zeta)$ belonging to (a subspace of) $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ by a matrix

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{3.15}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A, B, C, D$ are real operators acting on the scalar valued components $\eta, \zeta \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
The change of coordinates (2.19) transforms a real operator $\mathcal{R}$ into a complex one acting on the variables $(z, \bar{z})$, given by the matrix

$$
\mathbf{R}:=\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{R C}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{R}_{1} & \mathcal{R}_{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{1}:=\{(A+D)-\mathrm{i}(B-C)\} / 2 \\
& \mathcal{R}_{2}:=\{(A-D)+\mathrm{i}(B+C)\} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

We call real a matrix operator acting on the complex variables $(z, \bar{z})$ of the form 3.16. We shall also consider real operators $\mathbf{R}$ of the form (3.16) acting on subspaces of $L^{2}$.
Lie expansion. Let $X(\varphi)$ be a linear operator with associated flow $\Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)$ defined by

$$
\partial_{\tau} \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)=X(\varphi) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi), \quad \Phi^{0}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Id}, \quad \tau \in[0,1]
$$

Let $\Phi(\varphi):=\Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)_{\mid \tau=1}$ denote the time-1 flow. Given a linear operator $A(\varphi)$, the conjugated operator $A^{+}(\varphi):=\Phi(\varphi)^{-1} A(\varphi) \Phi(\varphi)$ admits the Lie expansion, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A^{+}(\varphi)=\sum_{m=0}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!} \operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}^{m}(A(\varphi))+R_{M}(\varphi)  \tag{3.17}\\
R_{M}(\varphi)=\frac{(-1)^{M+1}}{M!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{M}\left(\Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)\right)^{-1} \operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}^{M+1}(A(\varphi)) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}(A(\varphi)):=[X(\varphi), A(\varphi)]=X(\varphi) A(\varphi)-A(\varphi) X(\varphi)$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}^{0}:=\mathrm{Id}$.
In particular, for $A=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}$, since $\left[X(\varphi), \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}\right]=-\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} X\right)(\varphi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\varphi)^{-1} \circ \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(\varphi)= & \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} \operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}^{m-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} X(\varphi)\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& +\frac{(-1)^{M}}{M!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{M}\left(\Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)\right)^{-1} \operatorname{ad}_{X(\varphi)}^{M}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} X(\varphi)\right) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

For matrices of operators $\mathbf{X}(\varphi)$ and $\mathbf{A}(\varphi)$ as in (3.16), the same formula 3.17) holds.

### 3.1 Pseudodifferential calculus

In this section we report fundamental notions of pseudodifferential calculus, following [9].
Definition 3.3. ( $\Psi \mathbf{D O})$ A pseudodifferential symbol $a(x, j)$ of order $m$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of a function $a(x, \xi)$ which is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-smooth on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, 2 \pi$-periodic in $x$, and satisfies, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x, \xi)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\beta}$. We denote by $S^{m}$ the class of symbols of order $m$ and $S^{-\infty}:=$ $\cap_{m \geqslant 0} S^{m}$. To a symbol $a(x, \xi)$ in $S^{m}$ we associate its quantization acting on a $2 \pi$-periodic function $u(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}$ as

$$
[\operatorname{Op}(a) u](x):=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a(x, j) u_{j} e^{\mathrm{i} j x}
$$

We denote by OPS $S^{m}$ the set of pseudodifferential operators of order $m$ and OPS $S^{-\infty}:=\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{OP} S^{m}$. For a matrix of pseudodifferential operators

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2}  \tag{3.19}\\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{i} \in \mathrm{OPS}^{m}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4
$$

we say that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{OP} S^{m}$.
When the symbol $a(x)$ is independent of $\xi$, the operator $\operatorname{Op}(a)$ is the multiplication operator by the function $a(x)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Op}(a): u(x) \mapsto a(x) u(x)$. In such a case we also denote $\mathrm{Op}(a)=a(x)$.

We shall use the following notation, used also in [1, 9, 2]. For any $m \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, we set

$$
|D|^{m}:=\operatorname{Op}\left(\chi(\xi)|\xi|^{m}\right),
$$

where $\chi$ is an even, positive $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ cut-off satisfying (3.10). We also identify the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H}$, acting on the $2 \pi$-periodic functions, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}\left(e^{\mathrm{i} j x}\right):=-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{sign}(j) e^{\mathrm{i} j x} \quad \forall j \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{H}(1):=0, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Fourier multiplier $\mathrm{Op}(-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{sign}(\xi) \chi(\xi))$. Similarly we regard the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{-1}\left[e^{\mathrm{i} j x}\right]:=-\mathrm{i} j^{-1} e^{\mathrm{i} j x} \quad \forall j \neq 0, \quad \partial_{x}^{-1}[1]:=0, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the Fourier multiplier $\partial_{x}^{-1}=\mathrm{Op}\left(-\mathrm{i} \chi(\xi) \xi^{-1}\right)$ and the projector $\pi_{0}$, defined on the $2 \pi$-periodic functions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{0} u:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x) d x \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Fourier multiplier $\operatorname{Op}(1-\chi(\xi))$. Finally we define, for any $m \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\langle D\rangle^{m}:=\pi_{0}+|D|^{m}:=\operatorname{Op}\left((1-\chi(\xi))+\chi(\xi)|\xi|^{m}\right) .
$$

Along the paper we consider families of pseudodifferential operators with a symbol $a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi)$ which is $k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to a parameter $\lambda:=(\omega, \gamma)$ in an open subset $\Lambda_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. Note that $\partial_{\lambda}^{k} A=\operatorname{Op}\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} a\right)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu+1}$.

We recall the pseudodifferential norm introduced in Definition 2.11 in [9].
Definition 3.4. (Weighted $\Psi \mathbf{D O}$ norm) Let $A(\lambda):=a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, D) \in O P S^{m}$ be a family of pseudodifferential operators with $\operatorname{symbol} a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{m}, m \in \mathbb{R}$, which are $k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$. For $v \in(0,1), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, s \geqslant 0$, we define

$$
\|A\|_{m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}:=\sum_{|k| \leqslant k_{0}} v^{|k|} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}}\left\|\partial_{\lambda}^{k} A(\lambda)\right\|_{m, s, \alpha}
$$

where $\|A(\lambda)\|_{m, s, \alpha}:=\max _{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)\right\|_{s}\langle\xi\rangle^{-m+\beta}$. For a matrix of pseudodifferential operators $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{OP} S^{m}$ as in (3.19), we define $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}:=\max _{i=1, \ldots, 4}\left\|A_{i}\right\|_{m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}$.

Given a function $a(\lambda ; \varphi, x) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ which is $k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to $\lambda$, the weighted norm of the corresponding multiplication operator is $\|\operatorname{Op}(a)\|_{0, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}=\|a\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Composition of pseudodifferential operators. If $\operatorname{Op}(a), \operatorname{Op}(b)$ are pseudodifferential operators with symbols $a \in S^{m}, b \in S^{m^{\prime}}, m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$, then the composition operator $\operatorname{Op}(a) \mathrm{Op}(b)$ is a pseudodifferential operator $\operatorname{Op}(a \# b)$ with symbol $a \# b \in S^{m+m^{\prime}}$. It admits the asymptotic expansion: for any $N \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \# b)(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi)=\sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}^{\beta} \beta!} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \partial_{x}^{\beta} b(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi)+\left(r_{N}(a, b)\right)(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{N}(a, b) \in S^{m+m^{\prime}-N}$. The following result is proved in Lemma 2.13 in [9].
Lemma 3.5. (Composition) Let $A=a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, D), B=b(\lambda ; \varphi, x, D)$ be pseudodifferential operators with symbols $a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{m}, b(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{m^{\prime}}, m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $A \circ B \in \mathrm{OP}^{m+m^{\prime}}$ satisfies, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|A B\|_{m+m^{\prime}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} & \lesssim m, \alpha, k_{0} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*} \quad C(s)\|A\|_{m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}\|B\|_{m^{\prime}, s_{0}+|m|+\alpha, \alpha}^{k_{0}} .
$$

Moreover, for any integer $N \geqslant 1$, the remainder $R_{N}:=\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{N}\right)$ in 3.23) satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\left\|\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{N}(a, b)\right)\right\|_{m+m^{\prime}-N, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim m, N, \alpha, k_{0} & C(s)\|A\|_{m, s, N+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}\|B\|_{m^{\prime}, s_{0}+|m|+2 N+\alpha, N+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}  \tag{3.25}\\
& +C\left(s_{0}\right)\|A\|_{m, s_{0}, N+\alpha}^{k_{0},}\|B\|_{m^{\prime}, s+|m|+2 N+\alpha, N+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}
\end{array}
$$

Both (3.24)-(3.25) hold with the constant $C\left(s_{0}\right)$ interchanged with $C(s)$.
The commutator between two pseudodifferential operators $\mathrm{Op}(a) \in \mathrm{OP} S^{m}$ and $\mathrm{Op}(b) \in \mathrm{OP} S^{m^{\prime}}$ is a pseudodifferential operator in $\mathrm{OP} S^{m+m^{\prime}-1}$ with symbol $a \star b \in S^{m+m^{\prime}-1}$, namely $[\mathrm{Op}(a), \mathrm{Op}(b)]=$ $\mathrm{Op}(a \star b)$, that admits, by (3.23), the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \star b=-\mathrm{i}\{a, b\}+\widetilde{r}_{2}(a, b), \quad \widetilde{r}_{2}(a, b):=r_{2}(a, b)-r_{2}(b, a) \in S^{m+m^{\prime}-2},  \tag{3.26}\\
& \text { where } \quad\{a, b\}:=\partial_{\xi} a \partial_{x} b-\partial_{x} a \partial_{\xi} b,
\end{align*}
$$

is the Poisson bracket between $a(x, \xi)$ and $b(x, \xi)$. As a corollary of Lemma 3.5we have:
Lemma 3.6. (Commutator) Let $A=\operatorname{Op}(a)$ and $B=\operatorname{Op}(b)$ be pseudodifferential operators with symbols $a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{m}, b(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{m}, m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the commutator $[A, B]:=A B-B A \in$ OPS ${ }^{m+m^{\prime}-1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|[A, B]\|_{m+m^{\prime}-1, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{m, m^{\prime}, \alpha, k_{0}} C(s)\|A\|_{m, s+\left|m^{\prime}\right|+\alpha+2, \alpha+1}^{k_{0}, v}\|B\|_{m^{\prime}, s_{0}+|m|+\alpha+2, \alpha+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
+C\left(s_{0}\right)\|A\|_{m, s_{0}+\left|m^{\prime}\right|+\alpha+2, \alpha+1}^{k_{0}, v}\|B\|_{m^{\prime}, s+|m|+\alpha+2, \alpha+1}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{3.27}
\end{array}
$$

Finally we consider the exponential of a pseudodifferential operator of order 0 . The following lemma follows as in Lemma 2.12 of [8] (or Lemma 2.17 in [9]).

Lemma 3.7. (Exponential map) If $A:=\operatorname{Op}(a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, \xi))$ is in $O P S^{0}$, then $e^{A}$ is in $O P S^{0}$ and for any $s \geqslant s_{0}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, there is a constant $C(s, \alpha)>0$ so that

$$
\left\|e^{A}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{0, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant\|A\|_{0, s+\alpha, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \exp \left(C(s, \alpha)\|A\|_{0, s_{0}+\alpha, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}\right) .
$$

The same holds for a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ of the form (3.19) in OP $S^{0}$.

Egorov Theorem. Consider the family of $\varphi$-dependent diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{T}_{x}$ defined by $y=x+$ $\beta(\varphi, x)$, with inverse $x=y+\breve{\beta}(\varphi, y)$, where $\beta(\varphi, x)$ is a small smooth function, and the induced operators $(\mathcal{B} u)(\varphi, x):=u(\varphi, x+\beta(\varphi, x))$ and $\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} u\right)(\varphi, y):=u(\varphi, y+\breve{\beta}(\varphi, y))$.
Lemma 3.8. (Composition) Let $\|\beta\|_{2 s_{0}+k_{0}+2}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \delta\left(s_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ small enough. Then the composition operator $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the tame estimates, for any $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{B} u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, k_{0}}\|u\|_{s+k_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}+\|\beta\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\|u\|_{s_{0}+k_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the function $\breve{\beta}$ defined by the inverse diffeomorphism satisfies $\|\breve{\beta}\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, k_{0}}\|\beta\|_{s+k_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}$.
The following result is a small variation of Proposition 2.28 of [8].
Proposition 3.9. (Egorov) Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, S>s_{0}$ and assume that $\partial_{\lambda}^{k} \beta(\lambda ; \cdot, \cdot)$ are $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ for all $|k| \leqslant$ $k_{0}$. There exist constants $\sigma_{N}, \sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)>0, \delta=\delta\left(S, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}, k_{0}\right) \in(0,1)$ such that, if $\|\beta\|_{s_{0}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}} \leqslant \delta$, then the conjugated operator $\mathcal{B}^{-1} \circ \partial_{x}^{m} \circ \mathcal{B}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a pseudodifferential operator of order $m$ with an expansion of the form

$$
\mathcal{B}^{-1} \circ \partial_{x}^{m} \circ \mathcal{B}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{m-i}(\lambda ; \varphi, y) \partial_{y}^{m-i}+\mathcal{R}_{N}(\varphi)
$$

with the following properties:

1. The principal symbol $p_{m}(\lambda ; \varphi, y)=\left.\left(\left[1+\beta_{x}(\lambda ; \varphi, x)\right]^{m}\right)\right|_{x=y+\breve{\beta}(\lambda ; \varphi, y)}$. For any $s \geqslant s_{0}$ and $i=$ $1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{m}-1\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v},\left\|p_{m-i}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, N}\|\beta\|_{s+\sigma_{N}}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2}+\mathrm{q}_{0} \leqslant N+1-k_{0}-m$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathcal{R}_{N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathcal{R}_{N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim S, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}\|\beta\|_{s+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Let $s_{0}<s_{1}$ and assume that $\left\|\beta_{j}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)} \leqslant \delta, j=1,2$. Then $\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{m-i}\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N}\left\|\Delta_{12} \beta\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}}$, $i=0, \ldots, N$, and, for any $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2}+\mathrm{q}_{0} \leqslant N-m$,

$$
\left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \Delta_{12} \mathcal{R}_{N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N, n_{1}, n_{2}}\left\|\Delta_{12} \beta\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}
$$

Finally, if $\beta(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave, then $\mathcal{B}$ is momentum preserving (we refer to Definition 3.22), as well as the conjugated operator $\mathcal{B}^{-1} \circ \partial_{x}^{m} \circ \mathcal{B}$, and each function $p_{m-i}, i=0, \ldots, N$, is a quasi-periodic traveling wave.

Dirichlet-Neumann operator. We finally remind the following decomposition of the Dirichlet-Neumann operator proved in [9], in the case of infinite depth, and in [2], for finite depth.

Lemma 3.10. (Dirichlet-Neumann) Assume that $\partial_{\lambda}^{k} \eta(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot)$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}_{x}\right)$ for all $|k| \leqslant k_{0}$. There exists $\delta\left(s_{0}, k_{0}\right)>0$ such that, if $\|\eta\|_{2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \delta\left(s_{0}, k_{0}\right)$, then the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(\eta)=$ $G(\eta, \mathrm{~h})$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\eta, \mathrm{~h})=G(0, \mathrm{~h})+\mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta):=\mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta, \mathrm{~h}) \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\infty}$ satisfies, for all $m, s, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the estimate

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta)\right\|_{-m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0, v}} \leqslant C\left(s, m, \alpha, k_{0}\right)\|\eta\|_{s+s_{0}+2 k_{0}+m+\alpha+3}^{k_{0}, v}
$$

## $3.2 \mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame and $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame operators

Tame and modulo tame operators were introduced in [9]. Let $A:=A(\lambda)$ be a linear operator as in (3.13), $k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to the parameter $\lambda$ in an open set $\Lambda_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$.

Definition 3.11. ( $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\sigma$-tame) Let $\sigma \geqslant 0$. A linear operator $A:=A(\lambda)$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\sigma$-tame if there exists a non-decreasing function $\left[s_{0}, S\right] \rightarrow[0,+\infty), s \mapsto \mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)$, with possibly $S=+\infty$, such that, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$ and $u \in H^{s+\sigma}$,

$$
\sup _{|k| \leqslant k_{0}} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} v^{|k|}\left\|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} A(\lambda)\right) u\right\|_{s} \leqslant \mathfrak{M}_{A}\left(s_{0}\right)\|u\|_{s+\sigma}+\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)\|u\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}
$$

We say that $\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)$ is a tame constant of the operator $A$. The constant $\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)=\mathfrak{M}_{A}\left(k_{0}, \sigma, s\right)$ may also depend on $k_{0}, \sigma$ but we shall often omit to write them. When the "loss of derivatives" $\sigma$ is zero, we simply write $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame instead of $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-0$-tame. For a matrix operator as in (3.16), we denote the tame constant $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{R}}(s):=\max \left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}_{2}}(s)\right\}$.

The class of $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\sigma$-tame operators is closed under composition, see Lemma 2.20 in [9].
Lemma 3.12. (Composition) Let $A, B$ be respectively $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\sigma_{A}$-tame and $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$ - $\sigma_{B}$-tame operators with tame constants respectively $\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{B}(s)$. Then the composed operator $A \circ B$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(\sigma_{A}+\sigma_{B}\right)$ tame with a tame constant

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{A B}(s) \leqslant C\left(k_{0}\right)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{B}\left(s_{0}+\sigma_{A}\right)+\mathfrak{M}_{A}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{B}\left(s+\sigma_{A}\right)\right) .
$$

It is proved in Lemma 2.22 in [9] that the action of a $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\sigma$-tame operator $A(\lambda)$ on a Sobolev function $u=u(\lambda) \in H^{s+\sigma}$ is bounded by $\|A u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{k_{0}} \mathfrak{M}_{A}\left(s_{0}\right)\|u\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s)\|u\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}$.

Pseudodifferential operators are tame operators. We use in particular the following lemma which is Lemma 2.21 in [9].

Lemma 3.13. Let $A=a(\lambda ; \varphi, x, D) \in \mathrm{OP} S^{0}$ be a family of pseudodifferential operators satisfying $\|A\|_{0, s, 0}^{k_{0}, v}<\infty$ for $s \geqslant s_{0}$. Then $A$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying $\mathfrak{M}_{A}(s) \leqslant C(s)\|A\|_{0, s, 0}^{k_{0}, v}$, for any $s \geqslant s_{0}$.

In view of the KAM reducibility scheme of Section 8 we also consider the notion of $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ -modulo-tame operator. We first recall that, given a linear operator $A$ acting as in 3.14), the majorant operator $|A|$ is defined to have the matrix elements $\left(\left|A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)_{\ell, \ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Definition 3.14. ( $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame) A linear operator $A=A(\lambda)$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame if there exists a non-decreasing function $\left[s_{0}, S\right] \rightarrow[0,+\infty], s \mapsto \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)$, such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu+1},|k| \leqslant k_{0}$, the majorant operator $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k} A\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$ satisfies, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$ and $u \in H^{s}$,

$$
\sup _{|k| \leqslant k_{0}} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} v^{|k|}\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k} A\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} u\right\|_{s} \leqslant \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\sharp}}^{\sharp} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(s_{0}\right)\|u\|_{s}+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)\|u\|_{s_{0}} .
$$

For a matrix as in (3.16), we denote $\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{R}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s):=\max \left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{R}_{1}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{R}_{2}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)\right\}$.
Given a linear operator $A$ acting as in (3.14), we define the operator $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A, \mathrm{~b} \in \mathbb{R}$, whose matrix elements are $\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)$. From Lemma A.5-(iv) in [18], we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15. (Sum and composition) Let $A, B,\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A,\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}$ B be $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame operators. Then $A+B, A \circ B$ and $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}(A B)$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}(A+B)\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \leqslant \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \\
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right)+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s)\right) \\
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}(A B)\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{\mathrm{b}, k_{0}} \\
& \left(\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right)+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{b} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}}\left(s_{0}\right)+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{b} B\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.22 in [8], we deduce the following lemma.
Lemma 3.16. (Exponential) Let $A,\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}$ A be $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame and assume $\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\sharp}}^{{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}}{ }_{A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant$ 1. Then $e^{ \pm A}-\mathrm{Id}$ and $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}\left(e^{ \pm A}-\mathrm{Id}\right)$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(e^{ \pm A}-\mathrm{Id}\right)\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}} \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\sharp}}^{\frac{1}{4}_{4}}{ }_{A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \\
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\dagger}\left(e^{ \pm A}-\mathrm{Id}\right)\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}, \mathrm{~b}} \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left(s_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a linear operator $A$ acting as in (3.14), we define the smoothed operator $\Pi_{N} A, N \in \mathbb{N}$ whose matrix elements are

$$
\left(\Pi_{N} A\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right):= \begin{cases}A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) & \text { if }\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle \leqslant N  \tag{3.32}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We also denote $\Pi_{N}^{\perp}:=\mathrm{Id}-\Pi_{N}$. Arguing as in Lemma 2.27 in [9], we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \Pi_{N}^{\perp} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \leqslant N^{-\mathrm{b}} \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\triangleright} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \Pi_{N}^{\perp} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \leqslant \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} A\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next lemma we provide a sufficient condition for an operator to be $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame.
Lemma 3.17. Let the operators $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$, with $m=1, . ., \nu$, be $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}(s):= \max \left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s),\right. \\
&\left.\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} R,\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s): m=1, \ldots, \nu\right\}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b}):= \max \left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi m}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi m}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s): m=1, \ldots, \nu\right\}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b}):=\max \{\tilde{\mathbb{M}}(s), \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b})\} . \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $R$ and $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} R$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame, with

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\triangleright} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{s_{0}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b}) .
$$

Proof. The matrix elements of $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} R, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$ are given, for any $\ell, \ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $\langle j\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{i}\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} R_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)$. From Definition 3.11 with $\sigma=0$, we have, for any $|k| \leqslant k_{0}$, $\ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
v^{2|k|} \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\left|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2\left(\mathfrak{M}_{R}(s)\right)^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 s_{0}}+2\left(\mathfrak{M}_{R}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 s}
$$

Using the inequality $\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2\left(s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)}\left\langle j-j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2} \lesssim_{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} 1+\left|\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right|^{2\left(s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)}+\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right|^{2\left(s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)}\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|^{2}$, we therefore obtain, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, recalling (3.34),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{2|k|} \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\langle j\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2\left(s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)}\left\langle j-j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \lesssim_{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b}))^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 s_{0}}+\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)\right)^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$ and any $|k| \leqslant k_{0}$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we finally deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} h\right\|_{s}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\left(\sum_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\langle j\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) \| h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\left(\sum_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\left\langle j \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}}\left\langle j-j^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) \| h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left.\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0}\left\langle j-j^{\prime}\right\rangle}\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim_{s_{0}} \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\langle j\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2\left(s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)}\left\langle j-j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\left(\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right|^{2} \\
& \lesssim_{s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}} v^{-2|k|} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\left|h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\left((\widetilde{M}(s, \mathrm{~b}))^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2 s_{0}}+\left(\widetilde{M}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)\right)^{2}\left\langle\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{s s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} R$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame, with $\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} R\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \lesssim_{s_{0}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(s, \mathrm{~b})$.

### 3.3 Hamiltonian, Reversible and Momentum preserving operators

Along the paper we exploit in a crucial way several algebraic properties of the water waves equations: the Hamiltonian and the reversible structure as well as the invariance under space translations. We characterize these properties following [7].

Definition 3.18. (Hamiltonian and Symplectic operators) A matrix operator $\mathcal{R}$ as in (3.15) is

1. Hamiltonian if the matrix $J^{-1} \mathcal{R}$ is self-adjoint, namely $B^{*}=B, C^{*}=C, A^{*}=-D$ and $A, B, C, D$ are real;
2. symplectic if $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R} u, \mathcal{R} v)=\mathcal{W}(u, v)$ for any $u, v \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}_{x}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, where the symplectic 2 -form $\mathcal{W}$ is defined in (2.11).

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an involution as in (2.3) acting on the real variables $(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, or as in (2.39) acting on the action-angle-normal variables $(\theta, I, w)$, or as in (2.24) acting in the $(z, \bar{z})$ complex variables introduced in (2.19.

Definition 3.19. (Reversible and reversibility preserving operators) A $\varphi$-dependent family of operators $\mathcal{R}(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$, is reversible if $\mathcal{R}(-\varphi) \circ \mathcal{S}=-\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$. It is reversibility preserving if $\mathcal{R}(-\varphi) \circ \mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$.

Since in the complex coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$ the involution $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (2.3) reads as in (2.24), an operator $\mathbf{R}(\varphi)$ as in 3.16 is reversible, respectively anti-reversible, if, for any $i=1,2$,

$$
\mathcal{R}_{i}(-\varphi) \circ \mathcal{S}=-\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{R}_{i}(\varphi), \quad \text { resp. } \mathcal{R}_{i}(-\varphi) \circ \mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{R}_{i}(\varphi),
$$

where, with a small abuse of notation, we still denote $(\mathcal{S} u)(x)=\overline{u(-x)}$. Moreover, recalling that in the Fourier coordinates such involution reads as in 2.25), we obtain the following lemma (cfr. Lemmata 3.18 and 3.19 of [7]).
Lemma 3.20. A $\varphi$-dependent family of operators $\mathbf{R}(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$, as in (3.16) is reversible if, for any $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(-\varphi)=-\overline{\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\varphi)} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \text {, i.e. }\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)=-\overline{\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)} \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} ; \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is reversibility preserving if, for any $i=1,2$,

$$
\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(-\varphi)=\overline{\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\varphi)} \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \text {, i.e. }\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)=\overline{\left(\mathcal{R}_{i}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)} \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} .
$$

A pseudodifferential operator $\operatorname{Op}(a(\varphi, x, \xi))$ is reversible, respectively reversibility preserving, if and only if its symbol satisfies $a(-\varphi,-x, \xi)=-\overline{a(\varphi, x, \xi)}$, resp. $a(-\varphi,-x, \xi)=\overline{a(\varphi, x, \xi)}$.

Note that the composition of a reversible operator with a reversibility preserving operator is reversible. The flow generated by a reversibility preserving operator is reversibility preserving. If $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ is reversibility preserving, then $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathcal{R}\right)(\varphi)$ is reversible.

We shall say that a linear operator of the form $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A(\varphi)$ is reversible if $A(\varphi)$ is reversible. Conjugating the linear operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A(\varphi)$ by a family of invertible linear maps $\Phi(\varphi)$, we get the transformed operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{-1}(\varphi) \circ\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A(\varphi)\right) \circ \Phi(\varphi)=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A_{+}(\varphi) \\
& A_{+}(\varphi):=\Phi^{-1}(\varphi)\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \Phi(\varphi)\right)+\Phi^{-1}(\varphi) A(\varphi) \Phi(\varphi) \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

The conjugation of a reversible operator with a reversibility preserving operator is reversible.
A function $u(\varphi, \cdot)$ is called reversible if $\mathcal{S} u(\varphi, \cdot)=u(-\varphi, \cdot)$ and antireversible if $-\mathcal{S} u(\varphi, \cdot)=$ $u(-\varphi, \cdot)$. The same definition holds in the action-angle-normal variables $(\theta, I, w)$ with the involution $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}$ defined in (2.39) and in the $(z, \bar{z})$ complex variables with the involution in (2.24).

A reversibility preserving operator maps reversible, respectively anti-reversible, functions into reversible, respectively anti-reversible, functions, see Lemma 3.22 in [7].

We also remark that, if $X$ is a reversible vector field, according to 2.4 , and $u(\varphi, x)$ is a reversible quasi-periodic function, then the linearized operator $\mathrm{d}_{u} X(u(\varphi, \cdot))$ is reversible, according to Definition 3.19 (see e.g. Lemma 3.22 in [7]).

Finally we recall that the projections $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}$ of Section 2.2 are reversibility preserving.
Lemma 3.21. (Lemma 3.23 in [7]) The projections $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}$defined in Section[2.2] commute with the involution $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (2.3), i.e. are reversibility preserving. The orthogonal projectors $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$ commute with the involution in (2.24), i.e. are reversibility preserving.

Next we define momentum preserving operators.
Definition 3.22. (Momentum preserving operators) A $\varphi$-dependent family of linear operators $A(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$, is momentum preserving if

$$
A(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma) \circ \tau_{\varsigma}=\tau_{\varsigma} \circ A(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}, \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where the translation operator $\tau_{\varsigma}$ is defined in (2.5). A linear matrix operator $\mathbf{A}(\varphi)$ of the form (3.15) or (3.16) is momentum preserving if each of its components is momentum preserving.

If $X$ is a vector field translation invariant, i.e. (2.6) holds, and $u$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave, then the linearized operator $\mathrm{d}_{u} X(u(\varphi, \cdot))$ is momentum preserving.

Momentum preserving operators are closed under several operations (cfr. Lemma 3.25 in [7]):
Lemma 3.23. Let $A(\varphi), B(\varphi)$ be momentum preserving operators. Then the composition $A(\varphi) \circ B(\varphi)$ and the adjoint $(A(\varphi))^{*}$ are momentum preserving. If $A(\varphi)$ is invertible, then $A(\varphi)^{-1}$ is momentum preserving. Assume that $\partial_{t} \Phi^{t}(\varphi)=A(\varphi) \Phi^{t}(\varphi), \Phi^{0}(\varphi)=\mathrm{Id}$, has a unique propagator $\Phi^{t}(\varphi), t \in[0,1]$. Then $\Phi^{t}(\varphi)$ is momentum preserving.

We shall say that a linear operator of the form $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A(\varphi)$ is momentum preserving if $A(\varphi)$ is momentum preserving. In particular, conjugating a momentum preserving operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A(\varphi)$ by a family of invertible linear momentum preserving maps $\Phi(\varphi)$, we obtain the transformed operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A_{+}(\varphi)$ in 3.36 which is momentum preserving.

Given a momentum preserving linear operator $A(\varphi)$ and a quasi-periodic traveling wave $u$, according to Definition 3.1 then $A(\varphi) u$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave.

The characterizations of the momentum preserving property, in Fourier space and for a pseudodifferential operator, is given below (see Lemmata 3.28 and 3.29 in [7]).

Lemma 3.24. Let $\varphi$-dependent family of operators $A(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$, is momentum preserving if and only if the matrix elements of $A(\varphi)$, defined by (3.14), fulfill

$$
A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell) \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, \quad j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

A pseudodifferential operator $\operatorname{Op}(a(\varphi, x, \xi))$ is momentum preserving if and only if its symbol satisfies $a(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, x, \xi)=a(\varphi, x+\varsigma, \xi)$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

We finally note that the symplectic projections $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$, are momentum preserving.
Lemma 3.25. (Lemma 3.31 in [7]) The symplectic projections $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma^{\prime}}^{\top}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma^{\prime}}^{<}$, the $L^{2}$-projections $\Pi_{\angle}^{L^{2}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$ defined in Section 2.2 commute with the translation operators $\tau_{\varsigma}$ defined in (2.5), i.e. are momentum preserving.

Quasi-periodic traveling waves in action-angle-normal coordinates. We now discuss how the momentum preserving condition reads in the coordinates $(\theta, I, w)$ introduced in (2.38). Recalling (2.40), if $u(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave with action-angle-normal components $(\theta(\varphi), I(\varphi), w(\varphi, x))$, the condition $\tau_{\varsigma} u=u(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, \cdot)$ becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta(\varphi)-\overrightarrow{\jmath \varsigma} \\
I(\varphi) \\
\tau_{\varsigma} w(\varphi, \cdot)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta(\varphi-\overrightarrow{\jmath \varsigma}) \\
I(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma) \\
w(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, \cdot)
\end{array}\right), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}
$$

As we look for $\theta(\varphi)$ of the form $\theta(\varphi)=\varphi+\Theta(\varphi)$, with a $(2 \pi)^{\nu}$-periodic function $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, $\varphi \mapsto \Theta(\varphi)$, the traveling wave condition becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Theta(\varphi)  \tag{3.37}\\
I(\varphi) \\
\tau_{\varsigma} w(\varphi, \cdot)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Theta(\varphi-\vec{\jmath}) \\
I(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma) \\
w(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma, \cdot)
\end{array}\right), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Definition 3.26. (Traveling wave variation) We call a traveling wave variation $g(\varphi)=\left(g_{1}(\varphi), g_{2}(\varphi), g_{3}(\varphi, \cdot)\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<}$a function satisfying (3.37, i.e. $g_{1}(\varphi)=g_{1}(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma), g_{2}(\varphi)=g_{2}(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma), \tau_{\varsigma} g_{3}(\varphi)=$
$g_{3}(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma)$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, or equivalently $D \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma} g(\varphi)=g(\varphi-\vec{\jmath})$ for any $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, where $D \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}$ is the differential of $\vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}$, namely

$$
D \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Theta \\
I \\
w
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Theta \\
I \\
\tau_{\varsigma} w
\end{array}\right), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

According to Definition 3.22 a linear operator acting in $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$ is momentum preserving if

$$
A(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma) \circ D \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}=D \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma} \circ A(\varphi), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

If $A(\varphi)$ is a momentum preserving linear operator acting on $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$ is a traveling wave variation, then $A(\varphi) g(\varphi)$ is a traveling wave variation.

## 4 Transversality of linear frequencies

In this section we extend the KAM theory approach used in [4, 9, 2, 7] in order to deal with the linear frequencies $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ defined in (1.12), of the pure gravity water waves with constant vorticity. We use the vorticity as a parameter. In the proof of the key transversality Proposition 4.5 it is necessary to exploit the momentum condition for avoiding resonances. We shall also exploit that the tangential sites $\mathbb{S}:=\left\{\bar{\jmath}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\jmath}_{\nu}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ defined in (2.36), have all distinct modulus $\left|\bar{J}_{a}\right|=\bar{n}_{a}$, see assumption (1.15).

We first introduce the following definition of non-degenerate function.
Definition 4.1. A function $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right):\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is non-degenerate if, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$, the scalar function $f \cdot c$ is not identically zero on the whole interval $\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.

From a geometric point of view, the function $f$ is non-degenerate if and only if the image curve $f\left(\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is not contained in any hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

We shall use in the sequel that the maps $\gamma \mapsto \Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ are analytic in $\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, we decompose the linear frequencies $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{j}(\gamma)=\omega_{j}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j}, \quad \omega_{j}(\gamma):=\sqrt{g G_{j}(0)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j}\right)^{2}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{j}(0)$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator defined in 1.9. Note that $j \mapsto \omega_{j}(\gamma)$ is even in $j$, whereas the component due to the vorticity $j \mapsto \gamma \frac{G_{j}(0)}{j}$ is odd.
Lemma 4.2. (Non-degeneracy-I) The following frequency vectors are non-degenerate:

1. $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma):=\left(\Omega_{j}(\gamma)\right)_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$;
2. $(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$;
3. $\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \Omega_{j}(\gamma)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash(\{0\} \cup \mathbb{S} \cup(-\mathbb{S}))$;
4. $\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \Omega_{j}(\gamma), \Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+2}$, for any $j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash(\{0\} \cup \mathbb{S} \cup(-\mathbb{S}))$ and $|j| \neq\left|j^{\prime}\right|$.

Proof. We first compute the jets of the functions $\gamma \mapsto \Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ at $\gamma=0$. Using that $G_{j}(0)=G_{|j|}(0)>0$, see (1.9), we write (4.1) as

$$
\Omega_{j}(\gamma)=\sqrt{g G_{|j|}(0)}\left(\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2} \mathrm{c}_{j}^{2}}+\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(j) \mathrm{c}_{j}\right), \quad \mathrm{c}_{j}:=\frac{1}{2|j|} \sqrt{\frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{g}}
$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Each function $\gamma \mapsto \sqrt{1+\gamma^{2} \mathrm{c}_{j}^{2}}+\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(j) \mathrm{c}_{j}$ is real analytic on the whole real line $\mathbb{R}$, and in a neighborhood of $\gamma=0$, it admits the power series expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{j}(\gamma) & =\sqrt{g G_{|j|}(0)}\left(1+\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n}\left(\gamma^{2} \mathrm{c}_{j}^{2}\right)^{n}+\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(j) \mathrm{c}_{j}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{g G_{|j|}(0)}+\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(j)}{2} \frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|} \gamma+\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{n}}{g^{n-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2 n}} \frac{\left(G_{|j|}(0)\right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{|j|^{2 n}} \gamma^{2 n} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{n}:=\binom{1 / 2}{n} \neq 0$ for any $n \geqslant 1$ are binomial coefficients. From (4.2), we deduce that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, for any $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\gamma}^{2 n} \Omega_{j}(0)=b_{2 n} g_{j}\left(\frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|^{2}}\right)^{n} \quad \text { with } \quad g_{j}:=\sqrt{g G_{|j|}(0)}>0, b_{2 n}:=\frac{(2 n)!a_{n}}{g^{n} 2^{2 n}} \neq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that, for any $N$ and integers $1 \leqslant\left|j_{1}\right|<\left|j_{2}\right|<\ldots<\left|j_{N}\right|$, the function $\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \ni \gamma \mapsto$ $\left(\Omega_{j_{1}}(\gamma), \ldots, \Omega_{j_{N}}(\gamma)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is non-degenerate according to Definition 4.1 Suppose, by contradiction, that $\left(\Omega_{j_{1}}(\gamma), \ldots, \Omega_{j_{N}}(\gamma)\right)$ is degenerate, i.e. there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \Omega_{j_{1}}(\gamma)+\ldots+c_{N} \Omega_{j_{N}}(\gamma)=0 \quad \forall \gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, by analyticity, it is identically zero for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating (4.4) we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{j_{1}}\right)(\gamma)+\ldots+c_{N}\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{j_{N}}\right)(\gamma)=0 \\
\ldots \\
c_{1}\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 N} \Omega_{j_{1}}\right)(\gamma)+\ldots+c_{N}\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 N} \Omega_{j_{N}}\right)(\gamma)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

As a consequence the $N \times N$ matrix

$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{j_{1}}\right)(\gamma) & \cdots & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{j_{N}}\right)(\gamma)  \tag{4.5}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 N} \Omega_{j_{1}}\right)(\gamma) & \cdots & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 N} \Omega_{j_{N}}\right)(\gamma)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is singular for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(\gamma)=0 \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, at $\gamma=0$ we have $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(0)=0$. On the other hand, by 4.3) and the multi-linearity of the determinant, we compute

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(0)=b_{2} \ldots b_{2 N} \prod_{a=1}^{N} g_{j_{a}} f\left(j_{a}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
f\left(j_{1}\right) & \cdots & f\left(j_{N}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f\left(j_{1}\right)^{N-1} & \cdots & f\left(j_{N}\right)^{N-1}
\end{array}\right), f(j):=\frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|^{2}}
$$

This is a Vandermonde determinant, which is therefore given by

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(0)=b_{2} \ldots b_{2 N} \prod_{a=1}^{N} g_{j_{a}} f\left(j_{a}\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant p<q \leqslant N}\left(f\left(j_{q}\right)-f\left(j_{p}\right)\right) .
$$

Note that the function $f(j)=|j|^{-2} G_{|j|}(0)>0$ is even in $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. We claim that the function $f(j)$ is monotone for any $j>0$, from which, together with (4.3) and the assumption $1 \leqslant\left|j_{1}\right|<\ldots<\left|j_{N}\right|$, we obtain $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(0) \neq 0$, in contradiction with (4.6).

We now prove the monotonicity of the function $f:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$,

$$
f(y):=y^{-2} G_{y}(0) \stackrel{\perp 1.9}{=} \begin{cases}y^{-1} \tanh (\mathrm{~h} y) & \text { if } \mathrm{h}<+\infty \\ y^{-1} & \text { if } \mathrm{h}=+\infty\end{cases}
$$

For $\mathrm{h}=+\infty$ the function $f(y)=y^{-1}$ is trivially monotone decreasing. We then consider the case $\mathrm{h}<+\infty$, when $f(y)=y^{-1} \tanh (\mathrm{~h} y)$. We compute

$$
\partial_{y} f(y)=y^{-2}\left(-\tanh (\mathrm{h} y)+\mathrm{h} y\left(1-\tanh ^{2}(\mathrm{~h} y)\right)\right)=y^{-2} g(\mathrm{~h} y),
$$

where $g(x):=-\tanh (x)+x\left(1-\tanh ^{2}(x)\right)$. Then $\partial_{y} f(y)<0$ for any $y>0$ if and only if $g(x)<0$ for any $x>0$. We note that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} g(x)=-1$ and $g(x)$ is monotone decreasing for $x>0$ because $\partial_{x} g(x)=-2 x \tanh (x)\left(1-\tanh ^{2}(x)\right)<0, \forall x>0$.

We have proved items 1,3,4 of the Lemma. We show now item 2, proving that the function $\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \ni$ $\gamma \mapsto\left(1, \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(\gamma), \ldots, \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{\nu}}(\gamma)\right)$ is non-degenerate according to Definition4.1 By contradiction, suppose that there exists $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}+c_{1} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(\gamma)+\ldots+c_{\nu} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{\nu}}(\gamma)=0 \quad \forall \gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, by analyticity, for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating (4.7) with respect to $\gamma$ we find that the $(\nu+1) \times$ $(\nu+1)$-matrix

$$
\mathcal{B}(\gamma):=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \Omega_{\bar{J}_{1}}(\gamma) & \cdots & \Omega{\overline{\bar{J}_{\nu}}}_{\nu}(\gamma)  \tag{4.8}\\
0 & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}\right)(\gamma) & \cdots & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{\nu}}\right)(\gamma) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 \nu} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}\right)(\gamma) & \cdots & \left(\partial_{\gamma}^{2 \nu} \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{\nu}}\right)(\gamma)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is singular for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and so $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{B}(\gamma)=0$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. By the structure of the matrix 4.8), we get that $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{B}(\gamma)=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(\gamma)$, where the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)$ is given in (4.5), with $N=\nu$ and $j_{p}=\bar{J}_{p}$ for any $p=1, \ldots, \nu$. We have already proved that $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}(0) \neq 0$ and this gives the claimed contradiction.

Note that in items 3 and 4 of Lemma 4.2 we require that $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ do not belong to $\{0\} \cup \mathbb{S} \cup(-\mathbb{S})$. In order to deal in Proposition 4.5 when $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ belong to $-\mathbb{S}$, we need also the following lemma. It is actually a direct consequence of the proof of Lemma 4.2 noting that $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\omega_{j}(\gamma)$ is linear in $\gamma$ (cfr. (4.1)) and its derivatives of order higher than two identically vanish.

Lemma 4.3. (Non-degeneracy-II) Let $\vec{\omega}(\gamma):=\left(\omega_{\bar{J}_{1}}(\gamma), \ldots, \omega_{\bar{J}_{\nu}}(\gamma)\right)$. The following vectors are nondegenerate:

1. $(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+1}$;
2. $\left(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \omega_{j}(\gamma), \gamma\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu+2}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash(\{0\} \cup \mathbb{S} \cup(-\mathbb{S}))$.

For later use, we provide the following asymptotic estimate of the linear frequencies.
Lemma 4.4. (Asymptotics) For any $j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}(\gamma)=\sqrt{g}|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{c_{j}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{g}|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, there exists a constant $C_{n, \mathrm{~h}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} \\ \gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n} c_{j}(\gamma)\right| \leqslant C_{n, \mathrm{~h}} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4.1), we deduce (4.9) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}(\gamma):=\frac{g|j|\left(\frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|}\right)^{2}}{1+\sqrt{\frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|}+\frac{1}{g|j|}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{G_{|j|}(0)}{|j|}\right)^{2}}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$


The next proposition is the main result of the section. We remind that $\vec{\jmath}=\left(\bar{\jmath}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\jmath}_{\nu}\right)$ denotes the vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ of tangential sites, cfr. (2.41) and (2.36). We also recall that $\mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}=\mathbb{Z} \backslash(\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\})$.

Proposition 4.5. (Transversality) There exist $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho_{0}>0$ such that, for any $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, the following hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n} \vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant \rho_{0}\langle\ell\rangle, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} ;  \tag{4.12}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\Omega_{j}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \rho_{0}\langle\ell\rangle \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.13}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \rho_{0}\langle\ell\rangle \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j) ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.14}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\Omega_{j}(\gamma)+\Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \rho_{0}\langle\ell\rangle \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We call $\rho_{0}$ the amount of non-degeneracy and $m_{0}$ the index of non-degeneracy.
Proof. We prove separately (4.12)-4.15). We set for brevity $\Gamma:=\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.
Proof of (4.12). By contradiction, assume that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\gamma_{m} \in \Gamma$ and $\ell_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n} \vec{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{m}\right) \cdot \frac{\ell_{m}}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle}\right|<\frac{1}{\langle m\rangle}, \quad \forall 0 \leqslant n \leqslant m . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequences $\left(\gamma_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ and $\left(\ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ are both bounded. By compactness, up to subsequences $\gamma_{m} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ and $\ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle \rightarrow \bar{c} \neq 0$. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, passing to the limit for $m \rightarrow+\infty$ in (4.16), we get $\partial_{\gamma}^{n} \vec{\Omega}(\bar{\gamma}) \cdot \bar{c}=0$. By the analyticity of $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma)$, we deduce that the function $\gamma \mapsto \vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{c}$ is identically zero on $\Gamma$, which contradicts Lemma4.2-1, since $\bar{c} \neq 0$.
Proof of (4.13). By contradiction, assume that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\gamma_{m} \in \Gamma, \ell_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ and $j_{m} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$, such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n \leqslant m$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\ell_{m}}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle}+\frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle} \Omega_{j_{m}}(\gamma)\right)_{\mid \gamma=\gamma_{m}}\right|<\frac{1}{\langle m\rangle}  \tag{4.17}\\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}+j_{m}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Up to subsequences $\gamma_{m} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ and $\ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle \rightarrow \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$.
STEP 1 . We consider first the case when the sequence $\left(\ell_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ is bounded. Up to subsequences, we have definitively that $\ell_{m}=\bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$. Moreover, since $j_{m}$ and $\ell_{m}$ satisfy the momentum restriction $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}+j_{m}=0$ also the sequence $\left(j_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and, up to subsequences, definitively $j_{m}=\bar{\jmath} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in 4.17) we obtain

$$
\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}+\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma)\right)_{\mid \gamma=\bar{\gamma}}=0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad \vec{\jmath} \cdot \bar{\ell}+\bar{\jmath}=0 .
$$

By analyticity this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}+\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma)=0, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad \vec{\jmath} \cdot \bar{\ell}+\bar{\jmath}=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases:

- Let $\bar{\jmath} \notin-\mathbb{S}$. By 4.18 the vector $\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma)\right)$ is degenerate according to Definition 4.1 with $c:=(\bar{\ell}, 1) \neq 0$. This contradicts Lemma4.2-3.
- Let $\bar{\jmath} \in-\mathbb{S}$. With no loss of generality suppose $\bar{\jmath}=-\bar{\jmath}_{1}$. Then, denoting $\bar{\ell}=\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\ell}_{\nu}\right)$, and (4.18) reads, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}+1\right) \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(\gamma)+\sum_{a=2}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}-1\right) \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}+\sum_{a=2}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}\right)=0 .
$$

By Lemma 4.3-1 the vector $(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \gamma)$ is non-degenerate. Therefore $\bar{\ell}_{1}=-1$ and $\bar{\ell}_{a}=0$ for any $a=2, \ldots, \nu$, and $-2 \frac{G_{\bar{J}_{1}}(0)}{\bar{J}_{1}}=0$, which is a contradiction.
Step 2. We consider now the case when the sequence $\left(\ell_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded. Up to subsequences $\ell_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle=: \bar{c} \neq 0$. By 4.1, Lemma 4.4, (1.9), and since the momentum condition implies $\left|j_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left|\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C\left|\ell_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we deduce, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\partial_{\gamma}^{n} \frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle} \Omega_{j_{m}}\left(\gamma_{m}\right)=\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle} \sqrt{g}\left|j_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{c_{j_{m}}(\gamma)}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle \sqrt{g}\left|j_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{\gamma}{2\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle} \frac{G_{j_{m}}(0)}{j_{m}}\right)_{\mid \gamma=\gamma_{m}} \rightarrow 0
$$

for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore 4.17) becomes, in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty, \partial_{\gamma}^{n} \vec{\Omega}(\bar{\gamma}) \cdot \bar{c}=0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. By analyticity, this implies $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{c}=0$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, contradicting the non-degeneracy of $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma)$ in Lemma 4.2- 1 , since $\bar{c} \neq 0$.

Proof of (4.14). We assume $j_{m} \neq j_{m}^{\prime}$ because the case $j_{m}=j_{m}^{\prime}$ is included in (4.12). By contradiction, we assume that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\gamma_{m} \in \Gamma, \ell_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ and $j_{m}, j_{m}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\left(\ell_{m}, j_{m}, j_{m}^{\prime}\right) \neq$ $\left(0, j_{m}, j_{m}\right)$, such that, for any $0 \leqslant n \leqslant m$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\ell_{m}}{\left\langle\left\langle m_{m}\right\rangle\right.}+\frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle}\left(\Omega_{j_{m}}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)\right)_{\mid \gamma=\gamma_{m}}\right|<\frac{1}{\langle m\rangle}  \tag{4.19}\\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}+j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have that $\ell_{m} \neq 0$, otherwise, by the momentum condition $j_{m}=j_{m}^{\prime}$. Up to subsequences $\gamma_{m} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ and $\ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle \rightarrow \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$.
Step 1. We start with the case when $\left(\ell_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ is bounded. Up to subsequences, we have definitively that $\ell_{m}=\bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$. The sequences $\left(j_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ may be bounded or unbounded. Up to subsequences, we consider the different cases:

Case (a). $\left|j_{m}\right|,\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have that $j_{m} \cdot j_{m}^{\prime}>0$, because, otherwise, $\left|j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}\right|=\left|j_{m}\right|+\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ contradicting that $\left|j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}\right| \leqslant C$. Recalling (1.9) we have, for any $j \cdot j^{\prime}>0$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{G_{j}(0)}{j}-\frac{G_{j^{\prime}}(0)}{j^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant\left|\operatorname{sgn}(j)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right|+\frac{2 e^{-2 \mathrm{~h}|j|}}{1+e^{-2 \mathrm{~h}|j|}}+\frac{2 e^{-2 \mathrm{~h}\left|j^{\prime}\right|}}{1+e^{-2 \mathrm{~h}\left|j^{\prime}\right|}} \leqslant C_{\mathrm{h}}\left(\frac{1}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by the momentum condition $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}+j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}=0$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sqrt{\left|j_{m}\right|}-\sqrt{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}\right|=\frac{\left|\left|j_{m}\right|-\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|\right|}{\sqrt{\left|j_{m}\right|}+\sqrt{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}} \leqslant \frac{\left|j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|j_{m}\right|}+\sqrt{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}} \leqslant \frac{C\left|\ell_{m}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|j_{m}\right|}+\sqrt{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1), Lemma 4.4, $j_{m} \cdot j_{m}^{\prime}>0$, (4.20), (4.21), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\Omega_{j_{m}}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right) & =\sqrt{g} \partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\sqrt{\left|j_{m}\right|}-\sqrt{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|}\right) \\
& +\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\frac{c_{j_{m}}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{g}\left|j_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{c_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{g}\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{G_{j_{m}}(0)}{j_{m}}-\frac{G_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(0)}{j_{m}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $m \rightarrow+\infty$. Passing to the limit in 4.19), we obtain $\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\{\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}\}_{\mid \gamma=\bar{\gamma}}=0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Hence the analytic function $\gamma \mapsto \vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}$ is identically zero, contradicting Lemma4.2- 1 , since $\bar{\ell} \neq 0$.

Case (b). $\left(j_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ (or viceversa): this case is excluded by the momentum condition $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell_{m}+j_{m}-j_{m}^{\prime}=0$ in 4.19) and since $\left(\ell_{m}\right)$ is bounded.

Case (c). Both $\left(j_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}},\left(j_{m}^{\prime}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded: we have definitively that $j_{m}=\bar{\jmath}$ and $j_{m}^{\prime}=\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}$, with $\bar{\jmath}, \bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$ and, since $j_{m} \neq j_{m}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\jmath} \neq \bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (4.19) becomes, in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}+\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma)-\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)_{\mid \gamma=\bar{\gamma}}=0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad \vec{\jmath} \cdot \bar{\ell}+\bar{\jmath}-\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}=0 .
$$

By analyticity, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}+\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma)-\Omega_{\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}}(\gamma)=0 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad \vec{\jmath} \cdot \bar{\ell}+\bar{\jmath}-\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}=0 . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish several cases:

- Let $\bar{\jmath}, \bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \notin-\mathbb{S}$ and $|\bar{\jmath}| \neq\left|\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}\right|$. By $\underline{4.23)}$ the vector $\left(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}}(\gamma), \Omega_{\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$ is degenerate with $c:=$ $(\bar{\ell}, 1,-1) \neq 0$, contradicting Lemma 4.2-4.
- Let $\bar{\jmath}, \bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \notin-\mathbb{S}$ and $\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}=-\bar{\jmath}$. In view of (4.1), the first equation in (4.23) becomes

$$
\vec{\omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{\ell}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}+2 \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}}\right)=0 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

By Lemma 4.3-1 the vector $(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \gamma)$ is non-degenerate, thus $\bar{\ell}=0$ and $2 \frac{G_{\bar{J}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}}=0$, which is a contradiction.

- Let $\bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \notin-\mathbb{S}$ and $\bar{\jmath} \in-\mathbb{S}$. With no loss of generality suppose $\bar{\jmath}=-\bar{\jmath}_{1}$. In view of (4.1), the first equation in (4.23) implies that, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}+1\right) \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(\gamma)+\sum_{a=2}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(\gamma)-\omega_{\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}-1\right) \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}+\sum_{a=2}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}-\frac{G_{\overline{\bar{J}}^{\prime}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}}\right)=0 .
$$

By Lemma 4.3-2 the vector $\left(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \omega_{\bar{j}^{\prime}}(\gamma), \gamma\right)$ is non-degenerate, which is a contradiction.

- Last, let $\bar{\jmath}, \bar{\jmath}^{\prime} \in-\mathbb{S}$ and $\bar{\jmath} \neq \bar{\jmath}^{\prime}$, by (4.22). With no loss of generality suppose $\bar{\jmath}=-\bar{\jmath}_{1}$ and $\bar{\jmath}^{\prime}=-\bar{\jmath}_{2}$. Then the first equation in (4.23) reads, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bar{\ell}_{1}+1\right) \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(\gamma)+\left(\bar{\ell}_{2}-1\right) \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{2}}+\sum_{a=3}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \omega_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(\gamma) \\
& \quad+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\left(\bar{\ell}_{1}-1\right) \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{1}}+\left(\bar{\ell}_{2}+1\right) \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{2}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{2}}+\sum_{a=3}^{\nu} \bar{\ell}_{a} \frac{G_{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}(0)}{\bar{\jmath}_{a}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the vector $(\vec{\omega}(\gamma), \gamma)$ is non-degenerate by Lemma4.3-1, it implies $\bar{\ell}_{1}=-1, \bar{\ell}_{2}=1, \bar{\ell}_{3}=$ $\ldots=\bar{\ell}_{\nu}=0$. Inserting these values in the momentum condition in 4.23) we obtain $-2 \bar{\jmath}_{1}+2 \bar{\jmath}_{2}=$ 0 . This contradicts $\bar{\jmath} \neq \bar{\jmath}^{\prime}$.

Step 2 . We finally consider the case when $\left(\ell_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unbounded. Up to subsequences $\ell_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{m} /\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle=: \bar{c} \neq 0$. By (4.1), Lemma4.4 (4.20), we have, for any $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\gamma}^{n} \frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle}\left(\Omega_{j_{m}}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)_{\mid \gamma=\gamma_{m}}= \partial_{\gamma}^{n} \\
&\left(\frac{1}{\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle \sqrt{g}}\left(\frac{c_{j_{m}}(\gamma)}{\left|j_{m}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{c_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(\gamma)}{\left|j_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\gamma}{2\left\langle\ell_{m}\right\rangle}\left(\frac{G_{j_{m}}(0)}{j_{m}}-\frac{G_{j_{m}^{\prime}}(0)}{j_{m}^{\prime}}\right)_{\mid \gamma=\gamma_{m}}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for any $n \geqslant 1$, taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in 4.19) we get $\partial_{\gamma}^{n}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{c})_{\mid \gamma=\bar{\gamma}}=0$. By analyticity this implies $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot \bar{c}=\bar{d}$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, contradicting Lemma4.2-2, since $\bar{c} \neq 0$.
Proof of (4.15). It follows as (4.14) and we omit it.
Remark 4.6. For the irrotational gravity water waves equations (1.3) with $\gamma=0$, quasi-periodic traveling waves solutions exist for most values of the depth $\mathrm{h} \in\left[\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{~h}_{2}\right]$. In detail, the non-degeneracy of the linear frequencies with respect to the parameter h as in Lemma 4.2 is proved precisely in Lemma 3.2 in [2], whereas the transversality properties hold by restricting the bounds in Lemma 3.4 in [2] to the Fourier sites satisfying the momentum conditions. We are not able to use $h$ as a parameter for any value of $\gamma \neq 0$ (in this case we do not know if the non-degeneracy properties of Lemma 4.2 hold with respect to h ).

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Under the rescaling $(\eta, \zeta) \mapsto(\varepsilon \eta, \varepsilon \zeta)$, the Hamiltonian system (2.10) transforms into the Hamiltonian system generated by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \zeta):=\varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon \eta, \varepsilon \zeta)=\mathcal{H}_{L}(\eta, \zeta)+\varepsilon P_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \zeta)
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the water waves Hamiltonian (2.9) expressed in the Wahlén coordinates (2.7), $\mathcal{H}_{L}$ is defined in (2.15) and, denoting $\mathcal{H} \geqslant 3:=\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}_{L}$ the cubic part of the Hamiltonian,

$$
P_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \zeta):=\varepsilon^{-3} \mathcal{H}_{\geqslant 3}(\varepsilon \eta, \varepsilon \zeta) .
$$

We now study the Hamiltonian system generated by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}(\eta, \zeta)$, in the action-angle and normal coordinates $(\theta, I, w)$ defined in Section 2.2 Thus we consider the Hamiltonian $H_{\varepsilon}(\theta, I, w)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\varepsilon}:=\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} \circ A=\varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{H} \circ \varepsilon A \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the map defined in (2.38). The associated symplectic form is given in (2.42).
By (2.46) (see also (2.29), (2.37), in the variables $(\theta, I, w)$ the quadratic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{L}$ defined in (2.15) simply reads, up to a constant,

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\mathcal{H}_{L} \circ A=\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \cdot I+\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} w, w\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

where $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ is defined in (1.18) and $\Omega_{W}$ in (2.14). Thus the Hamiltonian $H_{\varepsilon}$ in (5.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{N}+\varepsilon P \quad \text { with } \quad P:=P_{\varepsilon} \circ A \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look for an embedded invariant torus

$$
i: \mathbb{T}^{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}, \quad \varphi \mapsto i(\varphi):=(\theta(\varphi), I(\varphi), w(\varphi)),
$$

of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{\varepsilon}}:=\left(\partial_{I} H_{\varepsilon},-\partial_{\theta} H_{\varepsilon}, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma} J \nabla_{w} H_{\varepsilon}\right)$ filled by quasi-periodic solutions with frequency vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$.

### 5.1 Nash-Moser theorem of hypothetical conjugation

Instead of looking directly for quasi-periodic solutions of $X_{H_{\varepsilon}}$ we look for quasi-periodic solutions of the family of modified Hamiltonians, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ are additional parameters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}:=\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}+\varepsilon P, \quad \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}:=\alpha \cdot I+\frac{1}{2}\left(w, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} w\right)_{L^{2}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the nonlinear operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}(i, \alpha) & :=\mathcal{F}(\omega, \gamma, \varepsilon ; i, \alpha):=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} i(\varphi)-X_{H_{\alpha}}(i(\varphi)) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \theta(\varphi) & -\alpha-\varepsilon \partial_{I} P(i(\varphi)) \\
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} I(\varphi) & +\varepsilon \partial_{\theta} P(i(\varphi)) \\
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} w(\varphi) & -\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle} J\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} w(\varphi)+\varepsilon \nabla_{w} P(i(\varphi))\right)
\end{array}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathcal{F}(i, \alpha)=0$, then the embedding $\varphi \mapsto i(\varphi)$ is an invariant torus for the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{\alpha}}$, filled with quasi-periodic solutions with frequency $\omega$.

Each Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha}$ in (5.3) is invariant under the involution $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}$ and the translations $\vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}, \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, defined respectively in (2.39) and in 2.40):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha} \circ \overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}=H_{\alpha}, \quad H_{\alpha} \circ \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}=H_{\alpha}, \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look for a reversible traveling torus embedding $i(\varphi)=(\theta(\varphi), I(\varphi), w(\varphi))$, namely satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} i(\varphi)=i(-\varphi), \quad \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma} i(\varphi)=i(\varphi-\vec{\jmath} \varsigma), \quad \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by (5.4) and (5.5), the operator $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, \alpha)$ maps a reversible, respectively traveling, wave into an anti-reversible, respectively traveling, wave variation, according to Definition 3.26

The norm of the periodic components of the embedded torus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{I}(\varphi):=i(\varphi)-(\varphi, 0,0):=(\Theta(\varphi), I(\varphi), w(\varphi)), \quad \Theta(\varphi):=\theta(\varphi)-\varphi, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $\|\Im\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}:=\|\Theta\|_{H_{\varphi}^{s}}^{k_{0}, v}+\|I\|_{H_{\varphi}^{s}}^{k_{0}, v}+\|w\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$, where

$$
k_{0}:=m_{0}+2
$$

and $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ is the index of non-degeneracy provided by Proposition 4.5, which only depends on the linear unperturbed frequencies. We will often omit to write the dependence of the various constants with respect to $k_{0}$, which is considered as an absolute constant. We look for quasi-periodic solutions of frequency $\omega$ belonging to a $\delta$-neighbourhood (independent of $\varepsilon$ )

$$
\Omega:=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}: \operatorname{dist}\left(\omega, \vec{\Omega}\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]\right)<\delta\right\}, \quad \delta>0
$$

of the curve $\vec{\Omega}\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ defined by (1.18).
The next theorem, whose proof is based on an implicit function iterative scheme of Nash-Moser type, provides, for $\varepsilon$ small enough, a solution $\left(i_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty}\right)(\omega, \gamma ; \varepsilon)$ of the nonlinear operator $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, \omega, \gamma ; i, \alpha)=0$ for all the values of $(\omega, \gamma)$ in the Cantor like set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ below.

Theorem 5.1. (Theorem of hypothetical conjugation) There exist positive constants $\mathrm{a}_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, C$ depending on $\mathbb{S}, k_{0}$ and $\tau \geqslant 1$ such that, for all $v=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{a} \in\left(0, \mathrm{a}_{0}\right)$ and for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, there exist

1. a $k_{0}$-times differentiable function of the form $\alpha_{\infty}: \Omega \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\infty}(\omega, \gamma):=\omega+r_{\varepsilon}(\omega, \gamma) \quad \text { with } \quad\left|r_{\varepsilon}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. a family of embedded reversible traveling tori $i_{\infty}(\varphi)$ (cfr. (5.6), defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Omega \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|i_{\infty}(\varphi)-(\varphi, 0,0)\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. a sequence of $k_{0}$-times differentiable functions $\mu_{j}^{\infty}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}=\mathbb{Z} \backslash(\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\})$, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)=\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma) j+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma) \Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma) \operatorname{sgn}(j)+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ defined in (1.12), satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon,\left|\mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}-1\right|^{k_{0}, v}+\left|\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1}, \quad \sup _{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}}|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-3} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, for all $(\omega, \gamma)$ in the Cantor-like set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}:= & \left\{(\omega, \gamma) \in \Omega \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:|\omega \cdot \ell| \geqslant 8 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\},\right.  \tag{5.12}\\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma) j\right| \geqslant 8 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0 ;  \tag{5.13}\\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0 ; \\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau},  \tag{5.14}\\
& \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j) \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0, \\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau},  \tag{5.15}\\
& \left.\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

the function $i_{\infty}(\varphi):=i_{\infty}(\omega, \gamma, \varepsilon ; \varphi)$ is a solution of $\mathcal{F}\left(\omega, \gamma, \varepsilon ;\left(i_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty}\right)(\omega, \gamma)\right)=0$. As a consequence, the embedded torus $\varphi \mapsto i_{\infty}(\varphi)$ is invariant for the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{\alpha_{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)}}$ as it is filled by quasi-periodic reversible traveling wave solutions with frequency $\omega$.

Note that the Cantor-like set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ in (5.12)-(5.15) is defined in terms of the functions $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)$ and the "final" perturbed normal frequencies $\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma), j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$, which are defined for all the values of the parameters $(\omega, \gamma)$. This formulation completely decouples the Nash-Moser implicit function theorem construction of $\left(\alpha_{\infty}, i_{\infty}\right)(\omega, \gamma)$ (in Sections 6,9 from the discussion about the measure of the parameters where all the required "non-resonance" conditions are verified (Section 5.2). This approach simplifies considerably the presentation because the measure estimates required to build $\left(i_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty}\right)(\omega, \gamma)$ are not verified at each step along the Nash-Moser iteration (the set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ in (5.12)- (5.15) could be empty, in such a case the functions $\left(\alpha_{\infty}, i_{\infty}\right)(\omega, \gamma)$ constructed in Theorem 5.1 are obtained by just finitely many sums). In order to define the extended functions $\left(i_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty}\right)$ for all the values of $(\omega, \gamma)$, preserving the weighted norm $\left\|\|^{k_{0}, v}\right.$, we use the Whitney extension theory reported in Section 3$]$

We also remind that the conditions on the indexes in 5.12 - 5.15 (where $\vec{\jmath} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ is the vector in (2.41)) are due to the fact that we look for traveling wave solutions. These restrictions are essential to prove the measure estimates of the next section.
Remark 5.2. The Diophantine condition (5.12) could be weakened requiring only $|\omega \cdot \ell| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}$ for any $\ell \cdot \vec{\jmath}=0$. In such a case the vector $\omega$ could admit one non-trivial resonance, i.e. $\bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\omega \cdot \bar{\ell}=0$, thus the orbit $\{\omega t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ would densely fill a $(\nu-1)$-dimensional torus, orthogonal to $\bar{\ell}$. In any case $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \bar{\ell} \neq 0$ (otherwise $|\omega \cdot \bar{\ell}| \geqslant v\langle\bar{\ell}\rangle^{-\tau}>0$, contradicting that $\omega \cdot \bar{\ell}=0$ ) and then the closure of the set $\{\omega t-\vec{\jmath} x\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}}$ is dense in $\mathbb{T}^{\nu}$. This is the natural minimal requirement to look for traveling quasi-periodic solutions $U(\omega t-\vec{\jmath} x)$ (Definition 3.1).

The next goal is to deduce Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 5.1 .

### 5.2 Measure estimates: proof of Theorem 1.2

We now want to prove the existence of quasi-periodic solutions of the original Hamiltonian system $H_{\varepsilon}$ in (5.2), which is equivalent after a rescaling to (2.10), and not of just of the Hamiltonian system generated by the modified Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha_{\infty}}$. We proceed as follows. By (5.8), the function $\alpha_{\infty}(\cdot, \gamma)$ from $\Omega$ into its image $\alpha_{\infty}(\Omega, \gamma)$ is invertible and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta=\alpha_{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)=\omega+r_{\varepsilon}(\omega, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow \\
& \omega=\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\beta, \gamma)=\beta+\breve{r}_{\varepsilon}(\beta, \gamma), \quad\left|\breve{r}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1} . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for any $\beta \in \alpha_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}\right)$, Theorem5.1 proves the existence of an embedded invariant torus filled by quasi-periodic solutions with Diophantine frequency $\omega=\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\beta, \gamma)$ for the Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\beta}=\beta \cdot I+\frac{1}{2}\left(w, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{W} w\right)_{L^{2}}+\varepsilon P
$$

Consider the curve of the unperturbed tangential frequency vector $\vec{\Omega}(\gamma)$ in 1.18). In Theorem 5.3 below we prove that for "most" values of $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ the vector $\left(\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \gamma), \gamma\right)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$, obtaining an embedded torus for the Hamiltonian $H_{\varepsilon}$ in (5.1), filled by quasi-periodic solutions with Diophantine frequency vector $\omega=\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \gamma)$, denoted $\widetilde{\Omega}$ in Theorem 1.2 Thus $\varepsilon A\left(i_{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega} t)\right)$, where $A$ is defined in (2.38), is a quasi-periodic traveling wave solution of the water waves equations (2.10) written in the Wahlén variables. Finally, going back to the original Zakharov variables via (2.7) we obtain solutions of (1.3). This proves Theorem 1.2 together with the following measure estimates.

Theorem 5.3. (Measure estimates) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}}, \quad 0<\mathrm{a}<\min \left\{\mathrm{a}_{0}, 1 /\left(4 m_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \tau>m_{0}\left(2 m_{0} \nu+\nu+2\right), \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0}$ is the index of non-degeneracy given in Proposition 4.5 and $k_{0}:=m_{0}+2$. Then, for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ small enough, the measure of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:\left(\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \gamma), \gamma\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}\right\} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $\left|\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
The rest of this section is devoted to prove Theorem[5.3. By (5.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma):=\alpha_{\infty}^{-1}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \gamma)=\vec{\Omega}(\gamma)+\vec{r}_{\varepsilon} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{r}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma):=\breve{r}_{\varepsilon}(\vec{\Omega}(\gamma), \gamma)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{k} \vec{r}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-(1+k)}, \quad \forall|k| \leqslant k_{0}, \text { uniformly on }\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote, with a small abuse of notation, for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma):=\mu_{j}^{\infty}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma), \gamma\right):=\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma) j+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(\gamma) \Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma) \operatorname{sgn}(j)+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma):=\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma), \gamma\right), \mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(\gamma):=\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma), \gamma\right), \mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma):=\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma), \gamma\right)$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma):=$ $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma), \gamma\right)$. By (5.11) and (5.20) we get the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\partial_{\gamma}^{k} \mathrm{~m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-k},\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{k}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(\gamma)-1\right)\right|+\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{k} \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-k-1},  \tag{5.22}\\
& \sup _{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}}|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{k} \mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-3-k}, \quad \forall 0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_{0} . \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling (5.12)-(5.15), the Cantor set in (5.18) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}:= & \left\{\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant 8 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} ;\right. \\
& \left|\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant 8 v\langle\ell\rangle{ }^{-\tau}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} ; \\
& \left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v \left\lvert\, j j^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}\right., \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0 ; \\
& \left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \\
& \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j) \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0 ; \\
& \left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \\
& \left.\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate the measure of the complementary set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{c} & :=\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \backslash \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}  \tag{5.24}\\
& =\left(\bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(0)} \cup R_{\ell}^{(T)}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} \\
j \cdot \ell+j=0}} R_{\ell, j}^{(I)}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\substack{\left.\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j), j \neq j^{\prime} \\
j \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, j \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0}} Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the "nearly-resonant sets" are, recalling the notation $\Gamma=\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\ell}^{(0)} & :=R_{\ell}^{(0)}(v, \tau)  \tag{5.25}\\
R_{\ell}^{(T)} & :=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma:\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell\right|<8 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}\right\}, \\
R_{\ell, j}^{(I)}(v, \tau) & :=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma:\left|\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right|<8 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}\right\},  \tag{5.26}\\
R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)} & :=R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau)  \tag{5.27}\\
Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)} & :=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma:\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right|<4 v|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}\right\},  \tag{5.28}\\
(I I) & =\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma:\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right|<4 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}\right\}, \\
& :=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma:\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right|<\frac{4 v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in the third union in (5.24) we may require $j \neq j^{\prime}$ because $R_{\ell, j, j}^{(I I)} \subset R_{\ell}^{(0)}$. In the sequel we shall always suppose the momentum conditions on the indexes $\ell, j, j^{\prime}$ written in (5.24). Some of the above sets are empty.
Lemma 5.4. For $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ small enough, if $Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)} \neq \varnothing$ then $|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle$.
Proof. If $Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)} \neq \varnothing$ then there exists $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right|<\frac{4 v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}}+C|\ell| . \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.21) we have
$\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)=\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma)\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\left(\Omega_{j}(\gamma)+\Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)-\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma)\left(\operatorname{sgn}(j)+\operatorname{sgn}\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right)+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mathfrak{r}_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)$.
Then, by (5.22)-(5.23) with $k=0$, Lemma 4.4 and the momentum condition $j+j^{\prime}=-\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell$, we deduce, for $\varepsilon$ small enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant-C \varepsilon|\ell|+\left.\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}| | j\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\rvert\,-C^{\prime}-C \varepsilon v^{-3} . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.29) and (5.30), we deduce $\left||j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle$, for $\varepsilon$ small enough.

In order to estimate the measure of the sets $\sqrt{5.25)}$-(5.28), the key point is to prove that the perturbed frequencies satisfy transversality properties similar to the ones 4.12)-4.15) satisfied by the unperturbed frequencies. By Proposition (4.5, (5.19), and the estimates (5.20), (5.22)-(5.23) we deduce the following lemma (cfr. Lemma 5.5 in [7]).

Lemma 5.5. (Perturbed transversality) For $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ small enough and for all $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n} \vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\langle\ell\rangle, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} ;  \tag{5.31}\\
& \max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\langle\ell\rangle, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\langle\ell\rangle, \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j=0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\langle\ell\rangle \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j) ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{0 \leqslant n \leqslant m_{0}}\left|\partial_{\gamma}^{n}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\langle\ell\rangle \\
\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The transversality estimates (5.31)-(5.32) and an application of Rüssmann Theorem 17.1 in [32], directly imply the following bounds for the sets in (5.24) (cfr. Lemma 5.6 in [7]).

Lemma 5.6. (Estimates of the resonant sets) The measure of the sets (5.24)- (5.28) satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{\ell}^{(0)}\right|,\left|R_{\ell}^{(T)}\right| & \lesssim\left(v\langle\ell\rangle^{-(\tau+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}}, \quad\left|R_{\ell, j}^{(I)}\right| \lesssim\left(v|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\ell\rangle^{-(\tau+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \\
\mid R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)} & \lesssim\left(v\langle\ell\rangle^{-(\tau+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}}, \quad\left|Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I}\right| \lesssim\left(v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\langle\ell\rangle^{-(\tau+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now estimate the measure of all the sets in (5.24). By Lemma 5.6, and the choice of $\tau$ in 5.17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(0)} \cup R_{\ell}^{(T)}\right| \leqslant \sum_{\ell \neq 0}\left|R_{\ell}^{(0)}\right|+\left|R_{\ell}^{(T)}\right| \lesssim \sum_{\ell \neq 0}\left(\frac{v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim v^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}}  \tag{5.33}\\
& \left|\bigcup_{\ell \neq 0, j=-\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell} R_{\ell, j}^{(I)}\right| \leqslant \sum_{\ell \neq 0}\left|R_{\ell,-\vec{j} \cdot \ell}^{(I)}\right| \lesssim \sum_{\ell}\left(\frac{v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim v^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

and using also Lemma 5.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bigcup_{\substack{\ell, j, j^{\prime} \in S \mathbb{} \\ \bar{j} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0}} Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right| \leqslant \sum_{\substack{\ell,|j| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle^{2}, j^{\prime}=-\bar{j} \cdot \ell-j}}\left|Q_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right| \lesssim \sum_{\ell,|j| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle^{2}}\left(\frac{v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim v^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are left with estimating the measure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{\substack{\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j), j \neq j^{\prime} \\ \bar{j} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}=\left(\bigcup_{\substack{j \neq j^{\prime}, j \cdot j^{\prime}<0 \\ j \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\substack{j \neq j^{\prime}, j \cdot j^{\prime}>0 \\ j \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right):=I_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2} \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first estimate the measure of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$. For $j \cdot j^{\prime}<0$, the momentum condition reads $j-j^{\prime}=\operatorname{sgn}(j)(|j|+$ $\left.\left|j^{\prime}\right|\right)=-\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell$, thus $|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle$. Hence, by Lemma 5.6 and the choice of $\tau$ in (5.17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{\ell,|j| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle, j^{\prime}=j+\vec{j} \cdot \ell}\left|R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}\right| \lesssim \sum_{\ell,|j| \leqslant C\langle\ell\rangle}\left(\frac{v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim v^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we estimate the measure of $I_{2}$ in (5.36). The key step is given in the next lemma. Remind the definition of the sets $R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}$ and $R_{\ell}^{(T)}$ in 5.26) and (5.27).
Lemma 5.7. Let $v_{0} \geqslant v$ and $\tau \geqslant \tau_{0} \geqslant 1$. There is a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that, for $\varepsilon$ small enough, for any $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0, j \cdot j^{\prime}>0$,

$$
\min \left\{|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right|\right\} \geqslant C_{1} v_{0}^{-2}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau) \subset \bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(T)}\left(v_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. If $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \backslash \bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(T)}\left(v_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\gamma) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant 8 v_{0}\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau_{0}}, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (5.21), the momentum condition $j-j^{\prime}=-\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell$, (5.22), (5.23), Lemma 4.4, the condition $j \cdot j^{\prime}>0$, 4.20, and (5.38), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)\right|-\left|\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\right|\left|\Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\Omega_{j^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right|-\left|\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mathfrak{r}_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \\
& \geqslant\left|\left(\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty} \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right|-\left.\left(1-C \varepsilon v^{-1}\right)| | j\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\lvert\,-C\left(\frac{1}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)-C \frac{\varepsilon}{v^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right. \\
& \geqslant \frac{8 v_{0}}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau_{0}}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}-C\left(\frac{1}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \geqslant \frac{8 v_{0}}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau_{0}}}-C\left(\frac{\langle\ell\rangle}{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{\langle\ell\rangle}{\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{4 v_{0}}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right|>C_{1} v_{0}^{-2}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}$, for $C_{1}>C^{2} / 64$. Since $v_{0} \geqslant v$ and $\tau \geqslant \tau_{0}$ we deduce that

$$
\left|\vec{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{\infty}(\gamma)-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{\infty}(\gamma)\right| \geqslant 4 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}
$$

namely that $\gamma \notin R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau)$.
Note that the set of indexes $\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0$ and $\min \left\{|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right|\right\}<C_{1} v_{0}^{-2}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}$ is included, for $v_{0}$ small enough, into the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\ell}:=\left\{\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right): \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0,|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right| \leqslant v_{0}^{-3}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}\right\} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\max \left\{|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right|\right\} \leqslant \min \left\{|j|,\left|j^{\prime}\right|\right\}+\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|<C_{1} v_{0}^{-2}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}+C\langle\ell\rangle \leqslant v_{0}^{-3}\langle\ell\rangle^{2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}$. As a consequence, by Lemma5.7 we deduce that

$$
\mathrm{I}_{2}=\bigcup_{\substack{j \neq j^{\prime}, j \cdot j^{\prime}>0 \\ j \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau) \subset\left(\bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(T)}\left(v_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)\right) \bigcup\left(\bigcup_{\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\ell}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau)\right)
$$

Lemma 5.8. Let $\tau_{0}:=m_{0} \nu$ and $v_{0}=v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{2}\right| \leqslant C v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}^{2}}} \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (5.33) (applied with $v_{0}, \tau_{0}$ instead of $v, \tau$ ), and $\tau_{0}=m_{0} \nu$, the measure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bigcup_{\ell \neq 0} R_{\ell}^{(T)}\left(v_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)\right| \lesssim v_{0}^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}^{2}}} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, recalling (5.39),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bigcup_{\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\ell}} R_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}^{(I I)}(v, \tau)\right| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\ell \mathbb{K}^{\nu} \\|j| \leqslant C_{1} v_{0}^{-3}\langle\ell\rangle^{2}\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}}\left(\frac{v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} \lesssim \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} \frac{v^{\frac{1}{m_{0}}} v_{0}^{-3}}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\frac{\tau+1}{m_{0}}-2\left(\tau_{0}+1\right)}} \leqslant C v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}}} \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the choice of $\tau$ in (5.17) and $v_{0}$. The bound (5.40) follows by (5.41) and (5.42).
Proof of Theorem 5.3 completed. By (5.24), (5.33), (5.34), (5.35), (5.36), (5.37) and (5.40) we deduce that

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right| \leqslant C v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}^{2}}}
$$

For $v=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}}$ as in (5.17), we get $\left|\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\right| \geqslant \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}-C \varepsilon^{\mathrm{a} / 4 m_{0}^{2}}$. The proof of Theorem 5.3 is concluded.
Remark 5.9. We have actually imposed in Lemma 5.8 the stronger non-resonance condition (5.13) with $v_{0}=v^{\frac{1}{4 m_{0}}}>v$. Since it has no significant importance for Lemma 7.7] we keep $v$.

## 6 Approximate inverse

In order to implement a convergent Nash-Moser scheme that leads to a solution of $\mathcal{F}(i, \alpha)=0$, where $\mathcal{F}(i, \alpha)$ is the nonlinear operator defined in (5.4), we construct an almost approximate right inverse of the linearized operator

$$
\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)[\hat{\imath}, \widehat{\alpha}]=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \widehat{\imath}-\mathrm{d}_{i} X_{H_{\alpha}}\left(i_{0}(\varphi)\right)[\hat{\imath}]-(\widehat{\alpha}, 0,0) .
$$

Note that $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}\right)$ is independent of $\alpha_{0}$. We assume that the torus $i_{0}(\varphi)=\left(\theta_{0}(\varphi), I_{0}(\varphi), w_{0}(\varphi)\right)$ is reversible and traveling, according to (5.6).

In the sequel we shall assume the smallness condition, for some $\mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{k}(\tau, \nu)>0, \varepsilon v^{-\mathrm{k}} \ll 1$.
We closely follow the strategy presented in [6] and implemented for the water waves equations in [9, 2, 7]. As shown in [7] this construction preserves the momentum preserving properties needed for the search of traveling waves and the estimates are very similar. Thus we are short.

First of all, we state tame estimates for the composition operator induced by the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{P}=\left(\partial_{I} P,-\partial_{\theta} P, \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{<} J \nabla_{w} P\right)$ in (5.4) (see Lemma 6.1 of [7]).
Lemma 6.1. (Estimates of the perturbation $P$ ) Let $\mathfrak{I}(\varphi)$ in (5.7) satisfy $\|\mathfrak{I}\|_{3 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+5}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant 1$. Then, for any $s \geqslant s_{0},\left\|X_{P}(i)\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} 1+\|\Im\|_{s+2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+3}^{k_{0}, 3}$, and, for all $\widehat{\imath}:=(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{I}, \widehat{w})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathrm{d}_{i} X_{P}(i)[\hat{\imath}]\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s}\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\|\Im\|_{s+2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+4}^{k_{0}, v}\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
&\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{i}^{2} X_{P}(i)[\hat{\imath}, \hat{\imath}]\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s}\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v}\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\|\Im\|_{s+2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+5}^{k_{0}, v}\left(\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along this section, we assume the following hypothesis, which is verified by the approximate solutions obtained at each step of the Nash-Moser Theorem 9.1.

- ANSATZ. The map $(\omega, \gamma) \mapsto \Im_{0}(\omega, \gamma)=i_{0}(\varphi ; \omega, \gamma)-(\varphi, 0,0)$ is $k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to the parameters $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and, for some $\mu:=\mu(\tau, \nu)>0, v \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|\alpha_{0}-\omega\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first modify the approximate torus $i_{0}(\varphi)$ to obtain a nearby isotropic torus $i_{\delta}(\varphi)$, namely such that the pull-back 1-form $i_{\delta}^{*} \Lambda$ is closed, where $\Lambda$ is the Liouville 1 -form defined in (2.43). Consider the pull-back 1-form

$$
i_{0}^{*} \Lambda=\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} a_{k}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \varphi_{k}, \quad a_{k}(\varphi):=-\left(\left[\partial_{\varphi} \theta_{0}(\varphi)\right]^{\top} I_{0}(\varphi)\right)_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{\angle}^{-1} w_{0}(\varphi), \partial_{\varphi_{k}} w_{0}(\varphi)\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

and define $A_{k j}(\varphi):=\partial_{\varphi_{k}} a_{j}(\varphi)-\partial_{\varphi_{j}} a_{k}(\varphi)$. The next Lemma follows as in Lemma 5.3 in [2] and Lemma 6.2 in [7]. Let $Z(\varphi):=\mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)(\varphi)=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} i_{0}(\varphi)-X_{H_{\alpha_{0}}}\left(i_{0}(\varphi)\right)$.
Lemma 6.2. (Isotropic torus) The torus $i_{\delta}(\varphi):=\left(\theta_{0}(\varphi), I_{\delta}(\varphi), w_{0}(\varphi)\right)$, defined by

$$
I_{\delta}(\varphi):=I_{0}(\varphi)+\left[\partial_{\varphi} \theta_{0}(\varphi)\right]^{-\top} \rho(\varphi), \quad \rho=\left(\rho_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, \nu}, \quad \rho_{j}(\varphi):=\Delta_{\varphi}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \partial_{\varphi_{k}} A_{k j}(\varphi)
$$

is isotropic. Moreover, there is $\sigma:=\sigma(\nu, \tau)$ such that, for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|I_{\delta}-I_{0}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}  \tag{6.2}\\
& \lesssim s\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad\left\|I_{\delta}-I_{0}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} v^{-1}\left(\|Z\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|Z\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
&\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(i_{\delta}, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim s\|Z\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|Z\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{i}\left(i_{\delta}\right)[\hat{\imath}]\right\|_{s_{1}}{\lesssim s_{1}}^{\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s_{1}+1}},
\end{align*}
$$

for $s_{1} \leqslant s_{0}+\mu$ (cfr. (6.1)). Furthermore $i_{\delta}(\varphi)$ is a reversible and traveling torus, cfr. (5.6).
We first find an approximate inverse of the linearized operator $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{\delta}\right)$. We introduce the symplectic diffeomorphism $G_{\delta}:(\phi, y, \mathrm{w}) \rightarrow(\theta, I, w)$ of the phase space $\mathbb{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta  \tag{6.4}\\
I \\
w
\end{array}\right):=G_{\delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\phi \\
y \\
\mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{0}(\phi) \\
I_{\delta}(\phi)+\left[\partial_{\phi} \theta_{0}(\phi)\right]^{-\top} y+\left[\left(\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{w}_{0}\right)\left(\theta_{0}(\phi)\right)\right]^{\top} J_{\angle}^{-1}{ }_{\mathrm{W}} \\
w_{0}(\phi)+\mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\widetilde{w}_{0}(\theta):=w_{0}\left(\theta_{0}^{-1}(\theta)\right)$. It is proved in Lemma 2 of [6] that $G_{\delta}$ is symplectic, because the torus $i_{\delta}$ is isotropic (Lemma 6.2). In the new coordinates, $i_{\delta}$ is the trivial embedded torus $(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})=(\phi, 0,0)$. Moreover the diffeomorphism $G_{\delta}$ in (6.4) is reversibility and momentum preserving, in the sense that (Lemma 6.3 in [7]) $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \circ G_{\delta}=G_{\delta} \circ \overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}, \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma} \circ G_{\delta}=G_{\delta} \circ \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}, \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}$ are defined respectively in 2.39, 2.40.

Under the symplectic diffeomorphism $G_{\delta}$, the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{\alpha}}$ changes into

$$
X_{K_{\alpha}}=\left(D G_{\delta}\right)^{-1} X_{H_{\alpha}} \circ G_{\delta} \quad \text { where } \quad K_{\alpha}:=H_{\alpha} \circ G_{\delta}
$$

is reversible and momentum preserving, in the sense that $K_{\alpha} \circ \overrightarrow{\mathcal{S}}=K_{\alpha}, K_{\alpha} \circ \vec{\tau}_{\varsigma}=K_{\alpha}, \forall \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$.
The Taylor expansion of $K_{\alpha}$ at the trivial torus $(\phi, 0,0)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\alpha}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})= & K_{00}(\phi, \alpha)+K_{10}(\phi, \alpha) \cdot y+\left(K_{01}(\phi, \alpha), \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} K_{20}(\phi) y \cdot y \\
& +\left(K_{11}(\phi) y, \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{02}(\phi) \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}+K_{\geqslant 3}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w}) \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{\geqslant 3}$ collects all terms at least cubic in the variables $(y, w)$. Here $K_{00} \in \mathbb{R}, K_{10} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, K_{01} \in$ $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$, whereas $K_{20}$ is a $\nu \times \nu$ symmetric matrix, $K_{11} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}\right)$ and $K_{02}$ is a self-adjoint operator acting on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$.

The Hamilton equations associated to (6.5) are

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi}= & K_{10}(\phi, \alpha)+K_{20}(\phi) y+\left[K_{11}(\phi)\right]^{\top} \mathrm{w}+\partial_{y} K_{\geqslant 3}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})  \tag{6.6}\\
\dot{y}= & -\partial_{\phi} K_{00}(\phi, \alpha)-\left[\partial_{\phi} K_{10}(\phi, \alpha)\right]^{\top} y-\left[\partial_{\phi} K_{01}(\phi, \alpha)\right]^{\top} \mathrm{w} \\
& -\partial_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{2} K_{20}(\phi) y \cdot y+\left(K_{11}(\phi) y, \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{02}(\phi) \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}+K_{\geqslant 3}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})\right) \\
\dot{\mathrm{w}}= & J_{\angle}\left(K_{01}(\phi, \alpha)+K_{11}(\phi) y+K_{02}(\phi) \mathrm{w}+\nabla_{\mathrm{w}} K_{\geqslant 3}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})\right),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\partial_{\phi} K_{10}^{\top}$ is the $\nu \times \nu$ transposed matrix and $\partial_{\phi} K_{01}^{\top}, K_{11}^{\top}: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ are defined by the duality relation $\left(\partial_{\phi} K_{01}[\hat{\phi}], \mathrm{w}\right)_{L^{2}}=\hat{\phi} \cdot\left[\partial_{\phi} K_{01}\right]^{\top}$ w for any $\hat{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \mathrm{w} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp}$.

The terms $K_{00}, K_{01}, K_{10}-\omega$ in the Taylor expansion (6.5) vanish at an exact solution: indeed, arguing as in Lemma 5.4 in [2], there is $\sigma:=\sigma(\nu, \tau)>0$, such that, for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{\phi} K_{00}\left(\cdot, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|K_{10}\left(\cdot, \alpha_{0}\right)-\omega\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|K_{01}\left(\cdot, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s}\|Z\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|Z\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the linear change of variables

$$
D G_{\delta}(\varphi, 0,0)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\phi} \\
\widehat{y} \\
\hat{\mathrm{w}}
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{\phi} \theta_{0}(\varphi) & 0 & 0 \\
\partial_{\phi} I_{\delta}(\varphi) & {\left[\partial_{\phi} \theta_{0}(\varphi)\right]^{-\top}} & {\left[\left(\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{w}_{0}\right)\left(\theta_{0}(\varphi)\right)\right]^{\top} J_{\angle}^{-1}} \\
\partial_{\phi} w_{0}(\varphi) & 0 & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\phi} \\
\widehat{y} \\
\hat{\mathrm{w}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

the linearized operator $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{\delta}\right)$ is approximately transformed into the one obtained when one linearizes the Hamiltonian system (6.6) at $(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})=(\varphi, 0,0)$, differentiating also in $\alpha$ at $\alpha_{0}$ and changing $\partial_{t} \leadsto$ $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}$, namely

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\phi}  \tag{6.8}\\
\widehat{y} \\
\widehat{\mathrm{w}} \\
\hat{\alpha}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \hat{\phi}-\partial_{\phi} K_{10}(\varphi)[\hat{\phi}]-\partial_{\alpha} K_{10}(\varphi)[\hat{\alpha}]-K_{20}(\varphi) \hat{y}-\left[K_{11}(\varphi)\right]^{\top} \widehat{\mathrm{w}} \\
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \hat{y}+\partial_{\phi \phi} K_{00}(\varphi)[\hat{\phi}]+\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\phi} K_{00}(\varphi)[\widehat{\alpha}]+\left[\partial_{\phi} K_{10}(\varphi)\right]^{\top} \widehat{y}+\left[\partial_{\phi} K_{01}(\varphi)\right]^{\top} \widehat{\mathrm{w}} \\
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \hat{\mathrm{w}}-J_{\angle}\left(\partial_{\phi} K_{01}(\varphi)[\hat{\phi}]+\partial_{\alpha} K_{01}(\varphi)[\hat{\alpha}]+K_{11}(\varphi) \widehat{y}+K_{02}(\varphi) \widehat{\mathrm{w}}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In order to construct an "almost approximate" inverse of 6.8), we need that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}:=\left.\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}-J K_{02}(\varphi)\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is "almost invertible" (on traveling waves) up to remainders of size $O\left(N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\right)$, where, for $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{n}}:=K_{\mathrm{n}}^{p}, \quad K_{\mathrm{n}}:=K_{0}^{\chi^{\mathrm{n}}}, \quad \chi=3 / 2 . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\left(K_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0}$ is the scale used in the nonlinear Nash-Moser iteration of Section 9 and $\left(N_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0}$ is the one in Lemma 7.7 and Theorem8.2 Let $H_{L}^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right):=H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right) \cap \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}$.
(AI) Almost invertibility of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ : There exist positive real numbers $\sigma, \mu(\mathrm{b}), \mathrm{a}, p, K_{0}$ and a subset $\Lambda_{o} \subset \operatorname{DC}(v, \tau) \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ such that, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{o}$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ may be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}=\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{<}+\mathcal{R}_{\omega}+\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for any traveling wave function $g \in H_{L}^{s+\sigma}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{o}$, there is a traveling wave solution $h \in H_{\angle}^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{<} h=g$ satisfying, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\sigma$,

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{<}\right)^{-1} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} v^{-1}\left(\|g\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|g\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) .
$$

In addition, if $g$ is anti-reversible, then $h$ is reversible. Moreover, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\sigma$, for any traveling wave $h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$, the operators $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}, \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp}$ satisfy the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathcal{R}_{\omega} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S^{s^{-3}} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left(\|h\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right),  \tag{6.12}\\
& \left\|\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp} h\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} K_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}}\left(\|h\|_{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \forall \mathrm{b}>0  \tag{6.13}\\
& \left\|\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S_{S h\left\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\right\| h\left\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right\| \mathfrak{I}_{0} \|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}} \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

This assumption shall be verified by Theorem 8.9 at each step of the Nash-Moser iteration.
In order to find an almost approximate inverse of the linear operator in (6.8) (and so of $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{\delta}\right)$ ), it is sufficient to invert the operator

$$
\mathbb{D}[\hat{\phi}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{\mathrm{w}}, \widehat{\alpha}]:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \hat{\phi}-\partial_{\alpha} K_{10}(\varphi)[\widehat{\alpha}]-K_{20}(\varphi) \widehat{y}-K_{11}^{\top}(\varphi) \widehat{\mathrm{w}} \\
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \widehat{y}+\partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\phi} K_{00}(\varphi)[\hat{\alpha}] \\
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{<\hat{\mathrm{w}}}-J_{\angle}\left(\partial_{\alpha} K_{01}(\varphi)[\hat{\alpha}]+K_{11}(\varphi) \widehat{y}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

obtained neglecting in 6.8) the terms $\partial_{\phi} K_{10}, \partial_{\phi \phi} K_{00}, \partial_{\phi} K_{00}, \partial_{\phi} K_{01}$ (they vanish at an exact solution by (6.7) and the small remainders $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}, \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp}$ appearing in (6.11).

As in section 6 of [7] we have the following result, where we denote $\|(\phi, y, \mathrm{w}, \alpha)\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}:=\max \left\{\|(\phi, y, \mathrm{w})\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v},|\alpha|^{k_{0}, v}\right\}$ (see [7] Proposition 6.5]):
Proposition 6.3. Assume (6.1) (with $\mu=\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma$ ) and (AI). Then, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{o}$, for any antireversible traveling wave variation $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$, there exists a unique solution $\mathbb{D}^{-1} g:=(\hat{\phi}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{\mathrm{w}}, \widehat{\alpha})$ of $\mathbb{D}(\hat{\phi}, \widehat{y}, \hat{\mathrm{w}}, \widehat{\alpha})=g$ where $(\hat{\phi}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{\mathrm{w}})$ is a reversible traveling wave variation. Moreover, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant$ $S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\sigma,\left\|\mathbb{D}^{-1} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} v^{-1}\left(\|g\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\|g\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right)$.

Finally we conclude that the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}_{0}:=\mathbf{T}_{0}\left(i_{0}\right):=\left(D \widetilde{G}_{\delta}\right)(\varphi, 0,0) \circ \mathbb{D}^{-1} \circ\left(D G_{\delta}\right)(\varphi, 0,0)^{-1} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an almost approximate right inverse for $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}\right)$, where $\widetilde{G}_{\delta}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w}, \alpha):=\left(G_{\delta}(\phi, y, \mathrm{w}), \alpha\right)$ is the identity on the $\alpha$-component. Arguing exactly as in Theorem 6.6 in [7] we deduce the following.
Theorem 6.4. (Almost approximate inverse) Assume (AI). Then there is $\bar{\sigma}:=\bar{\sigma}\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$ such that, if (6.1) holds with $\mu=\mu(\mathrm{b})+\bar{\sigma}$, then, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{o}$ and for any anti-reversible traveling wave variation $g:=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$, the operator $\mathbf{T}_{0}$ defined in satisfies, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\bar{\sigma}$,

$$
\left\|\mathbf{T}_{0} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} v^{-1}\left(\|g\|_{s+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathbf{b})+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\|g\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) .
$$

Moreover, the first three components of $\mathbf{T}_{0} g$ form a reversible traveling wave variation. Finally, $\mathbf{T}_{0}$ is an almost approximate right inverse of $\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}\right)$, namely

$$
\mathrm{d}_{i, \alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}\right) \circ \mathbf{T}_{0}-\mathrm{Id}=\mathcal{P}\left(i_{0}\right)+\mathcal{P}_{\omega}\left(i_{0}\right)+\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{\perp}\left(i_{0}\right)
$$

where, for any traveling wave variation $g$, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\bar{\sigma}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\mathcal{P} g\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} v^{-1}\left(\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\|g\|_{s+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right.  \tag{6.16}\\
& \left.+\left(\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(i_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)\right\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right)\|g\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \\
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\omega} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} \varepsilon v^{-4} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left(\|g\|_{s+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\|g\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right),  \tag{6.17}\\
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{\perp} g\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S, b v^{-1} K_{\mathrm{n}}^{-b}\left(\|g\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}+b}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+b+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\|g\|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \quad \forall b>0,  \tag{6.18}\\
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\omega}^{\perp} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} v^{-1}\left(\|g\|_{s+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\| \|_{s_{0}+\bar{\sigma}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

## 7 The linearized operator in the normal subspace

We now write an explicit expression of the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ defined in (6.9). As in Lemma 7.1 in [7], since the diffeomorphism $G_{\delta}$ in $\sqrt{6.4}$ is just a translation along the infinite dimensional normal variable $w$, we have the following structural result.
Lemma 7.1. The Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ defined in (6.9), acting on the normal subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp}$, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}=\left.\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}(\mathcal{L}-\varepsilon J R)\right|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

1. $\mathcal{L}$ is the Hamiltonian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}-J \partial_{u} \nabla_{u} \mathcal{H}\left(T_{\delta}(\varphi)\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the water waves Hamiltonian in the Wahlén variables defined in (2.9), evaluated at the reversible traveling wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\delta}(\phi):=\varepsilon A\left(i_{\delta}(\phi)\right)=\varepsilon A\left(\theta_{0}(\phi), I_{\delta}(\phi), w_{0}(\phi)\right)=\varepsilon v^{\top}\left(\theta_{0}(\phi), I_{\delta}(\phi)\right)+\varepsilon w_{0}(\phi), \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the torus $i_{\delta}(\varphi):=\left(\theta_{0}(\varphi), I_{\delta}(\varphi), w_{0}(\varphi)\right)$ is defined in Lemma 6.2 and $A(\theta, I, w), v^{\top}(\theta, I)$ in 2.38; 2. $R(\phi)$ has the 'finite rank" form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\phi)[h]=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\left(h, g_{j}\right)_{L^{2}} \chi_{j}, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{L}, \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for functions $g_{j}, \chi_{j} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$ which satisfy, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$, for all $j=1, \ldots, \nu$, for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\chi_{j}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} 1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{\delta}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v},  \tag{7.5}\\
\left\|\mathrm{~d}_{i} g_{j}[\hat{\imath}]\right\|_{s}+\left\|\mathrm{d}_{i} \chi_{j}[\hat{\imath}]\right\|_{s} \lesssim s\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s+\sigma}+\|\hat{\imath}\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{\delta}\right\|_{s+\sigma} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ is reversible and momentum preserving.
In order to compute $d X$ we use the "shape derivative" formula, see e.g. [25],

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(\eta)[\hat{\eta}] \psi:=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}(G(\eta+\epsilon \hat{\eta}) \psi-G(\eta) \psi)=-G(\eta)(B \widehat{\eta})-\partial_{x}(V \hat{\eta}), \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\eta, \psi):=\frac{G(\eta) \psi+\eta_{x} \psi_{x}}{1+\eta_{x}^{2}}, \quad V(\eta, \psi):=\psi_{x}-B(\eta, \psi) \eta_{x} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, recalling (2.9), (2.7), (1.5) and (7.6) the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in (7.2) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} & +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \tilde{V}+G(\eta) B & -G(\eta) \\
g+B \widetilde{V}_{x}+B G(\eta) B & \tilde{V} \partial_{x}-B G(\eta)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{7.8}\\
& +\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-G(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & 0 \\
\partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta) B-B G(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1}-\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta)
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}:=V-\gamma \eta \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $B:=B(\eta, \psi), V:=V(\eta, \psi)$ in (7.8)-7.9) are evaluated at the reversible traveling wave $(\eta, \psi):=W T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ where $T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ is defined in (7.3).
Notation. In (7.8) and hereafter the function $B$ is identified with the corresponding multiplication operators $h \mapsto B h$, and, where there is no parenthesis, composition of operators is understood. For example $B G(\eta) B$ means $B \circ G(\eta) \circ B$.

Remark 7.2. We consider the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in (7.8) acting on (a dense subspace of) the whole $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. In particular we extend the operator $\partial_{x}^{-1}$ to act on the whole $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ as in (3.21).

The following algebraic properties are a direct consequence of the reversible and space-invariance properties of the water waves equations explained in Section 2 and the fact that the approximate solution $(\eta, \zeta)=T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ is a reversible traveling wave (cfr. Lemma 7.3 in [7]).

Lemma 7.3. The functions $(\eta, \zeta)=T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ and $B, \tilde{V}$ defined in (7.7), 7.9) are quasi-periodic traveling waves. The functions $(\eta, \zeta)=T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ are $(\operatorname{even}(\varphi, x), \operatorname{odd}(\varphi, x)), B$ is odd $(\varphi, x)$ and $\tilde{V}$ is even $(\varphi, x)$. The Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{L}$ is reversible and momentum preserving.

For the sequel we will always assume the following ansatz (satisfied by the approximate solutions obtained along the nonlinear Nash-Moser iteration of Section 9 : for some constants $\mu_{0}:=\mu_{0}(\tau, \nu)>0$, $v \in(0,1)$, (cfr. Lemma6.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu_{0}}^{k_{0}, v},\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{\delta}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant 1 \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate the variation of the eigenvalues with respect to the approximate invariant torus, we need also to estimate the variation with respect to the torus $i(\varphi)$ in another low norm $\left\|\|_{s_{1}}\right.$ for all Sobolev indexes $s_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}+\sigma_{0} \leqslant s_{0}+\mu_{0}, \quad \text { for some } \sigma_{0}:=\sigma_{0}(\tau, \nu)>0 \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (7.10), we have $\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{0}}^{k_{0}, v},\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{\delta}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant 1$. The constants $\mu_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ represent the loss of derivatives accumulated along the reduction procedure of the next sections. What is important is that they are independent of the Sobolev index $s$. In the following sections we shall denote by $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>$ $0, \sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, \tau, \nu, k_{0}\right), \sigma_{M}:=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0, \aleph_{M}(\alpha)$ constants (which possibly increase from lemma to lemma) representing losses of derivatives along the finitely many steps of the reduction procedure.
Remark 7.4. In the next sections $\mu_{0}:=\mu_{0}(\tau, \nu, M, \alpha)>0$ will depend also on indexes $M, \alpha$, whose maximal values will be fixed depending only on $\tau$ and $\nu$ (and $k_{0}$ which is however considered an absolute constant along the paper). In particular $M$ is fixed in (8.5), whereas the maximal value of $\alpha$ depends on $M$, as explained in Remark 7.16
Remark 7.5. Starting from Section 7.2, we introduce in the estimates upper bounds on the regularity $s \geqslant s_{0}$. We shall control the terms in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}$ with $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$, where $\sigma$ denotes a loss of derivatives of the finitely many steps of the reduction (possibly increasing along the steps), whereas $S>s_{0}+k_{0}$ is any finite Sobolev index. The index $S$ has to be taken finite in view of Lemma 7.7.7(see also Appendix A. The largest regularity index $S$ will be fixed in 9.2). In particular, it is compatible with the condition 7.11, namely $s_{1}+\sigma_{0} \leqslant s_{0}+\mu_{0}<S$.

As a consequence of Moser composition Lemma 3.2 and 6.2), the Sobolev norm of the function $u=T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ defined in 7.3) satisfies for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$

$$
\|u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}=\|\eta\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\|\zeta\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \varepsilon C(s)\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\right)
$$

(the map $A$ defined in (2.38) is smooth). Similarly, using (6.3),

$$
\left\|\Delta_{12} u\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon\left\|i_{2}-i_{1}\right\|_{s_{1}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta_{12} u:=u\left(i_{2}\right)-u\left(i_{1}\right)
$$

We finally recall that $\mathfrak{I}_{0}=\mathfrak{I}_{0}(\omega, \gamma)$ is defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and that the functions $B, \tilde{V}$ and $c$ appearing in $\mathcal{L}$ in (7.8) are $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ in $(\varphi, x)$, as $u=(\eta, \zeta)=T_{\delta}(\varphi)$ is.

In Sections 7.17.6 we are going to make several transformations, whose aim is to conjugate the operator $\mathcal{L}$ in to a constant coefficients Fourier multiplier, up to a pseudo-differential operator of order $-1 / 2$ plus a remainder that satisfies tame estimates, see $\mathcal{L}_{8}$ in 7.130). Finally, in Section 7.7 we shall conjugate the restricted operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ in (7.1).

### 7.1 Linearized good unknown of Alinhac

The first step is to conjugate the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ in 7.8 by the symplectic (Definition 3.18) multiplication matrix operator

$$
\mathcal{Z}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Id } & 0 \\
B & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{Z}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & 0 \\
-B & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right)
$$

obtaining

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}:=\mathcal{Z}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{Z}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \tilde{V} & -G(\eta)  \tag{7.12}\\
a & \widetilde{V} \partial_{x}
\end{array}\right)-\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & 0 \\
\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & \partial_{x}^{-1} G(\eta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a$ is the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
a:=g+\tilde{V} B_{x}+\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\mathcal{Z}$ amounts to introduce, as in [25] and [9, 2], a linearized version of the "good unknown of Alinhac".

Lemma 7.6. The maps $\mathcal{Z}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with tame constants satisfying, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>$ 0 , for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Z}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\left(\mathcal{Z}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right) *}(s) \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) .
$$

The function a in (7.13) is a quasi-periodic traveling wave even $(\varphi, x)$. There is $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$ such that, for all $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a-g\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\|\tilde{V}\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\|B\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $s_{1}$ as in 7.11,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12} a\right\|_{s_{1}}+\left\|\Delta_{12} \tilde{V}\right\|_{s_{1}}+\left\|\Delta_{12} B\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}  \tag{7.15}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{ \pm 1}\right) h\right\|_{s_{1}},\left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*} h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}\|h\|_{s_{1}} \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ is Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving.
Proof. By the expressions of $B, \tilde{V}, a$ in (7.7), (7.9, (7.13) the composition estimates of Lemma 3.2 (3.7) and the bounds for the Dirichlet-Neumann operator in Lemma3.10 Since $B$ is an odd $(\varphi, x)$ quasiperiodic traveling wave, the matrix operator $\mathcal{Z}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving (Definitions 3.19 and 3.22 .

### 7.2 Almost-straightening of the first order transport operator

We now write the operator $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ in (7.12) as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \tilde{V} & 0  \tag{7.17}\\
0 & \tilde{V} \partial_{x}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -G(0) \\
a-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)
\end{array}\right)+\mathbf{R}_{1},
$$

where, using the decomposition (3.31) of the Dirichlet-Neumann operator,

$$
\mathbf{R}_{1}:=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & \mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta)  \tag{7.18}\\
\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta) \partial_{x}^{-1} & \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{G}(\eta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a small remainder in $\mathrm{OPS}^{-\infty}$. The aim of this section is to conjugate the variable coefficients quasiperiodic transport operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \tilde{V} & 0  \tag{7.19}\\
0 & \tilde{V} \partial_{x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

to a constant coefficients transport operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}$, up to an exponentially small remainder, see (7.27)-(7.28), where $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and the scale $\left(N_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{o}}}$ is defined, for $N_{0}>1$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{n}}:=N_{0}^{\chi^{\mathrm{n}}}, \quad \chi=3 / 2, \quad N_{-1}:=1 \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such small remainder is left because we assume only finitely many non-resonance conditions, see 7.25). This enables to deduce Lemma 7.9 , and then to formulate the non-resonance condition (5.13), stated in terms of the "final" function $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\infty}(\omega, \gamma)$, which implies 7.25 ) at any step of the nonlinear Nash-Moser iteration of Section 9

In the next lemma we conjugate $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ by a symplectic (Definition 3.18) transformation

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1+\beta_{x}(\varphi, x)\right) \circ \mathcal{B} & 0  \tag{7.21}\\
0 & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{E}^{-1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{B}^{-1} \circ\left(1+\beta_{x}(\varphi, x)\right)^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{B}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the composition operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{B} u)(\varphi, x):=u(\varphi, x+\beta(\varphi, x)) \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is induced by a $\varphi$-dependent diffeomorphism $y=x+\beta(\varphi, x)$ of the torus $\mathbb{T}_{x}$, for some small quasiperiodic traveling wave $\beta: \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, odd $(\varphi, x)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}:=[\mathrm{a}]+2 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathrm{a}:=3\left(\tau_{1}+1\right) \geqslant 1, \quad \tau_{1}:=k_{0}+\left(k_{0}+1\right) \tau . \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.7. (Almost-Straightening of the transport operator) There exists $\tau_{2}(\tau, \nu)>\tau_{1}(\tau, \nu)+1+\mathrm{a}$ such that, for all $S>s_{0}+k_{0}$, there are $N_{0}:=N_{0}(S, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta:=\delta(S, \mathrm{~b}) \in(0,1)$ such that, if $N_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \varepsilon v^{-1}<\delta$ the following holds true. For any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ :

1. There exist a constant $\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathrm{m}_{1,0}=0$, defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times$ $\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, and a quasi-periodic traveling wave $\beta(\varphi, x):=\beta_{\overline{\mathbf{n}}}(\varphi, x)$, odd $(\varphi, x)$, satisfying, for some $\sigma=$ $\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$, the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad\|\beta\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \quad \forall s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S, \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $\bar{n}$;
2. For any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau) & :=\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, 2 v, \tau\right) \\
& :=\left\{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant 2 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau} \forall 0<|\ell| \leqslant N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right\} \tag{7.25}
\end{align*}
$$

the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ in (7.19) is conjugated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}} \mathcal{E}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}+\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp} \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{y} p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} & 0  \tag{7.27}\\
0 & p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the real, quasi-periodic traveling wave function $p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}(\varphi, y)$, even $(\varphi, y)$, satisfies, for some $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>$ 0 and for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, \mathrm{~b}} \varepsilon N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The operators $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm}$are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(k_{0}+1\right)$-tame, the operators $\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id},\left(\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right) *$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(k_{0}+2\right)$-tame with tame constants satisfying, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}}(s) \lesssim_{S} 1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}, \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{\left(\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)} *(s) \lesssim_{S} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in (7.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right| \lesssim \varepsilon\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}, \quad\left\|\Delta_{12} \beta\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}  \tag{7.30}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12}(\mathcal{A}) h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}\|h\|_{s_{1}+\sigma+\mathrm{b}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \in\left\{\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1},\left(\mathcal{E}^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*}\right\} \tag{7.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We apply Theorem A.2 and Corollary A.4 to the transport operator $X_{0}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\tilde{V} \partial_{x}$, which has the form (A.1) with $p_{0}=\tilde{V}$. By (7.14) and (7.10), the smallness conditions (A.3) and (A.10) hold for $N_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \varepsilon v^{-1}$ sufficiently small. Therefore there exist a constant $\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \in \mathbb{R}$ and a quasi-periodic traveling wave $\beta(\varphi, x):=\beta_{\bar{n}}(\varphi, x), \operatorname{odd}(\varphi, x)$, such that, for any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in $\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau) \subseteq \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v, \mathrm{~T}} \subseteq \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{v, \mathrm{~T}}$ (see Corollary A.3) we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\tilde{V} \partial_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}+p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}(\varphi, y)\right) \partial_{y}
$$

where the function $p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ satisfies (7.28) by (A.5) and (7.14). The estimates (A.6), A.15), (7.14) imply (7.24) and (7.29). The conjugated operator of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ in (7.19) is

$$
\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}} \mathcal{E}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}+p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \partial_{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+A_{1}=\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\partial_{x} \widetilde{V}\right)\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ is Hamiltonian (Definition 3.18, and the map $\mathcal{E}$ is symplectic, we have that $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{TR}} \mathcal{E}$ is Hamiltonian as well. In particular $A_{1}=$ $-\left(\left(\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}+p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \partial_{y}\right)^{*}=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}+\partial_{y} p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$. This proves (7.26)-(7.27). The estimates (7.30)-(7.31) follow by (A.11- A.12), the bound for $\left\|\Delta_{12} \beta_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right\|_{s_{1}}$ in Corollary A.4 and 7.15)-7.16.

Remark 7.8. Actually, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$ in 7.25), Theorem A. 2 and Corollary A. 3 would imply also the conjugation of $\mathcal{L}_{\text {TR }}$ to the operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+m_{1, \bar{n}+1} \partial_{y}$ for some $m_{1, \bar{n}+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, up to a remainder $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}=O\left(\varepsilon N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-\mathrm{a}}\right)$. For simplicity we stated only the conjugation in (7.26). We shall use the non-resonance condition in 7.25) also later in Sections 7.5, 7.6.

The next lemma is needed in order to prove the inclusion of the Cantor sets associated to two nearby approximate solutions.
Lemma 7.9. Let $i_{1}, i_{2}$ be close enough and $0<2 v-\rho<2 v<1$. Then

$$
\varepsilon C\left(s_{1}\right) N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\tau+1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma} \leqslant \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)\left(i_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v-\rho, \tau)\left(i_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. For any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)\left(i_{1}\right)$, using also (7.30), we have, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\},|\ell| \leqslant N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(i_{2}\right) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| & \geqslant\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(i_{1}\right) \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right|-C\left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right||\ell| \\
& \geqslant \frac{2 v}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}}-C\left(s_{1}\right) \varepsilon N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma} \geqslant \frac{2 v-\rho}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v-\rho, \tau)\left(i_{2}\right)$.
We now conjugate the whole operator $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ in (7.17)-(7.18) by the operator $\mathcal{E}$ in (7.21).
We first compute the conjugation of the matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -G(0) \\
a-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{E} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B} & -\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B} \\
\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(a-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right)\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The multiplication operator for $a(\varphi, x)$ is transformed into the multiplication operator for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{-1} a\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(a\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right) . \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(0)$ in (1.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0)=G(0, \mathrm{~h})=\partial_{x} \mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h}), \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform defined in (3.20) and

$$
T(\mathrm{~h}):= \begin{cases}\tanh (\mathrm{h}|D|)=\operatorname{Id}+\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right) & \text { if } \mathrm{h}<+\infty, \quad r_{\mathrm{h}}(\xi):=-\frac{2}{1+e^{2 \mathrm{~h}|\xi| x(\xi)}} \in S^{-\infty},  \tag{7.34}\\ \operatorname{Id} & \text { if } \mathrm{h}=\infty .\end{cases}
$$

We have the conjugation formula (see formula (7.42) in [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\} G(0)+\mathcal{R}_{1} \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{1}:=\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\} \partial_{y}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathcal{B}-\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right)+\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{H B}-\mathcal{H}\right)\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} T(\mathrm{~h}) \mathcal{B}\right)\right) .
$$

The operator $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ is in $\mathrm{OPS}^{-\infty}$ because both $\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathcal{B}-\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{B}-\mathcal{H}$ are in $\mathrm{OP} S^{-\infty}$ and there is $\sigma>0$ such that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{H B}-\mathcal{H}\right\|_{-m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{m, s, \alpha, k_{0}}\|\beta\|_{s+m+\alpha+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}  \tag{7.36}\\
& \left\|\mathcal{B}^{-1} \operatorname{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathcal{B}-\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right\|_{-m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{m, s, \alpha, k_{0}}\|\beta\|_{s+m+\alpha+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}
\end{align*}
$$

The first estimate is given in Lemmata 2.36 and 2.32 in [9], whereas the second one follows by that fact that $r_{\mathrm{h}} \in S^{-\infty}$ (see (7.34)), Lemma 2.18 in [2] and Lemmata 2.34 and 2.32 in [9]. Therefore by (7.35) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\right\} \mathcal{B}^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}=G(0)+\mathcal{R}_{B}, \tag{7.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{B}:=\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\right\} \mathcal{R}_{1} \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we transform $G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}$. By $(\underline{7.33})$ and using the identities $\mathcal{H} \partial_{x} \partial_{x}^{-1}=\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h})=\partial_{y}^{-1} G(0)$ on the periodic functions, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}=G(0) \partial_{y}^{-1}+\mathcal{R}_{A}  \tag{7.39}\\
& \mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}=\partial_{y}^{-1} G(0)+\mathcal{R}_{D}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}_{D} & =\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{H B}-\mathcal{H}\right)\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} T(\mathrm{~h}) \mathcal{B}\right)+\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathcal{B}-\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right) \\
\mathcal{R}_{A} & =\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\right\}\left[\mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h}),\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\}-1\right]  \tag{7.40}\\
& +\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\right\} \mathcal{R}_{D}\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{R}_{D}$ is in $\mathrm{OPS}^{-\infty}$ by (7.36, 7.34). Also $\mathcal{R}_{A}$ is in OPS $S^{-\infty}$ using that, by Lemma 2.35 of [9] and (7.34), there is $\sigma>0$ such that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, s \geqslant s_{0}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|[\mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h}), \widetilde{a}]\|_{-m, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{m, s, \alpha, k_{0}}\|\widetilde{a}\|_{s+m+\alpha+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we conjugate $\partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}$. By the Egorov Proposition 3.9 applied to $\partial_{x}^{-1}$, we have that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1} \mathcal{B}\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\}=\partial_{y}^{-1}+P_{-2, N}^{(1)}(\varphi, x, D)+\mathrm{R}_{N} \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{-2, N}^{(1)}(\varphi, x, D) \in \mathrm{OP} S^{-2}$ is given by

$$
P_{-2, N}^{(1)}(\varphi, x, D):=\left[\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)^{-1}\right\}, \partial_{y}^{-1}\right]\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{-1-j} \partial_{y}^{-1-j}\left\{\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right\}
$$

with functions $p_{-1-j}(\lambda ; \varphi, y), j=0, \ldots, N$, satisfying (3.29) and $\mathrm{R}_{N}$ is a regularizing operator satisfying the estimate (3.30) So, using (7.39) and (7.42), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B} & =\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \mathcal{B}\right)\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}\right) \\
& =\partial_{y}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{y}^{-1}+P_{-2, N}^{(2)}+\mathrm{R}_{2, N} \tag{7.43}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-2, N}^{(2)}:=\partial_{y}^{-1} G(0) P_{-2, N}^{(1)}(\varphi, x, D) \in \mathrm{OP}^{-2} \tag{7.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{R}_{2, N}$ is the regularizing operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2, N}:=\mathcal{R}_{D}\left(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \partial_{x}^{-1}\left(1+\beta_{x}\right) \mathcal{B}\right)+G(0) \partial_{y}^{-1} \mathrm{R}_{N} \tag{7.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In conclusion, by Lemma 7.7 (7.32, 7.37, (7.39) and 7.43) we obtain the following lemma, which summarizes the main result of this section.

Lemma 7.10. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ in (7.17) is conjugated to the real, Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{2}:=\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{1} \mathcal{E}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{y}+ & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{y}^{-1} & -G(0) \\
a_{1}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{y}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{y}^{-1} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{y}^{-1} G(0)
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} P_{-2, N}^{(2)} & 0
\end{array}\right)+\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}+\mathbf{T}_{2, N}+\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}, \tag{7.46}
\end{align*}
$$

defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, where:

1. The constant $\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon$, independently on $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$;
2. The real quasi-periodic traveling wave $a_{1}:=\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(a\left(1+\beta_{x}\right)\right)$, even $(\varphi, x)$, satisfies, for some $\sigma:=$ $\sigma\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{1}-g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The operator $P_{-2, N}^{(2)}$ is a pseudodifferential operator in $\mathrm{OP} S^{-2}$, reversibility and momentum preserving, and satisfies, for some $\sigma_{N}:=\sigma_{N}(\tau, \nu, N)>0$, for finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$ (fixed in Remark 7.16) and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}-\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{-2, N}^{(2)}\right\|_{-2, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+2$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q}\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}(\varphi)+\mathbf{T}_{2, N}(\varphi)\right)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for some $\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=$ $\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0$ and for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}(\varphi)+\mathbf{T}_{2, N}(\varphi)\right)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim_{S, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The operator $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}$ is defined in (7.27) and the function $p_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ satisfies (7.28;
6. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in (7.11), finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$, $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\mathrm{q}_{0}+1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right| \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma},\left\|\Delta_{12} a_{1}\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}  \tag{7.50}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12} P_{-2, N}^{(2)}\right\|_{-2, s_{1}, \alpha} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}+\alpha} \\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \Delta_{12}\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}(\varphi)+\mathbf{T}_{2, N}(\varphi)\right)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)} . \tag{7.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Item 1 follows by Lemma 7.7 The function $a_{1}$ satisfies 7.47) by 7.13), (3.7), 7.14), 7.29, (7.24). The estimate (7.48) follows by (7.44), Proposition 3.9 and Lemmata 3.5, 3.6, 3.8, 7.7 The operators $\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{2, N}$ in (7.46) are

$$
\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi}:=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{R}_{A} & \mathcal{R}_{B} \\
0 & \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{R}_{D}
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{E}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{1} \mathcal{E}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{2, N}:=-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
R_{2, N} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{B}, \mathcal{R}_{A}, \mathcal{R}_{D}$, are defined in (7.38), (7.40), and $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2, N}$ in (7.18), (7.45). Thus the estimate (7.49) holds by Lemmata 3.12, 3.13, 7.7, (7.36), 7.41, Proposition 3.9 Lemma 3.10, 7.24) and Lemmata 2.34, 2.32 in [9]. The estimates 7.50-(7.51) are proved similarly.

### 7.3 Symmetrization of the order $1 / 2$

The goal of this section is to symmetrize the order $1 / 2$ of the quasi-periodic Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ in (7.46). From now on, we neglect the contribution of the operator $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}$, which will be conjugated in Section 7.7 For simplicity of notation we denote such operator $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ as well.

Step 1: We first conjugate the operator $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ in (7.46), where we relabel the space variable $y \leadsto x$, by the real, symplectic, reversibility preserving and momentum preserving transformation

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & \Lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \Lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda \in$ OP $S^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is the Fourier multiplier

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \pi_{0}+M(D), \quad \text { with inverse } \quad \Lambda^{-1}:=\sqrt{g} \pi_{0}+M(D)^{-1} \in \mathrm{OP}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \tag{7.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\pi_{0}$ defined in (3.22) and (cfr. (2.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(D):=G(0)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(g-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \in \mathrm{OP}^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{7.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the identities $\Lambda^{-1} G(0) \Lambda^{-1}=\omega(\gamma, D)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(g-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right) \Lambda=\Lambda^{-1} G(0) \Lambda^{-1}+\pi_{0}=\omega(\gamma, D)+\pi_{0} \tag{7.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(\gamma, D) \in \mathrm{OP} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined in (2.18).
By (7.46) we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{3}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}= & \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\Lambda^{-1} G(0) \Lambda^{-1} \\
\Lambda\left(a_{1}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right) \Lambda & -\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \Lambda P_{-2, N}^{(2)} \Lambda & 0
\end{array}\right)+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}+\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{2, N} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \tag{7.55}
\end{align*}
$$

By (7.54), (7.52) and (7.53), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda\left(a_{1}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right) \Lambda=\Lambda\left(g-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}\right) \Lambda+\Lambda\left(a_{1}-g\right) \Lambda  \tag{7.56}\\
& \quad=\omega(\gamma, D)+\left(a_{1}-g\right) \Lambda^{2}+\left[\Lambda, a_{1}\right] \Lambda+\pi_{0} \\
& \quad=\left(1+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g}\right) \omega(\gamma, D)+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g}\left(g \Lambda^{2}-\omega(\gamma, D)\right)+\left[\Lambda, a_{1}\right] \Lambda+\pi_{0} \\
& \quad=a_{2}^{2} \omega(\gamma, D)+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} M(D)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}+\left[\Lambda, a_{1}\right] \Lambda+\pi_{0}+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g} \pi_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ is the real quasi-periodic traveling wave function (with $a_{1}$ defined in Lemma7.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}:=\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}}{g}}=\sqrt{1+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g}}, \quad \operatorname{even}(\varphi, x) . \tag{7.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (7.55), (7.54), (7.56) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{3} & =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -\omega(\gamma, D) \\
a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D) a_{2} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\pi_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{7.58}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
C_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)+\mathbf{R}_{3}^{\Psi}+\mathbf{T}_{3, N},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}:=a_{2}\left[a_{2}, \omega(\gamma, D)\right]+\frac{a_{1}-g}{g}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} M(D)^{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}+\left[\Lambda, a_{1}\right] \Lambda-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \Lambda P_{-2, N}^{(2)} \Lambda \tag{7.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in $\mathrm{OP} S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$
\mathbf{R}_{3}^{\Psi}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{2}^{\Psi} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{7.60}\\
\left(\frac{a_{1}}{g}-1\right) \pi_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{T}_{3, N}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{2, N} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_{3}$ in $(7.58$ is Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving.
Step 2: We now conjugate the operator $\mathcal{L}_{3}$ in $(7.58$ with the symplectic matrix of multiplication operators

$$
\mathcal{Q}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q & 0 \\
0 & q^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{Q}^{-1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $q$ is a real function, close to 1 , to be determined, see (7.64). We have that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{4}:=\mathcal{Q}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{3} \mathcal{Q}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{7.61}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{3}^{\Psi}+\mathbf{T}_{3, N}\right) \mathcal{Q}
$$

where (actually $D=-A^{*}$, see Definition 3.18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A:=-\frac{\gamma}{2} q^{-1} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} q+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} q^{-1} q_{x}+q^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} q\right)  \tag{7.62}\\
& B:=-q^{-1} \omega(\gamma, D) q^{-1} \\
& C:=q a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D) a_{2} q+q \pi_{0} q+q C_{3} q \\
& D:=-\frac{\gamma}{2} q \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0) q^{-1}-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} q^{-1} q_{x}-q^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} q\right) \tag{7.63}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose the function $q$ so that the coefficients of the highest order terms of the off-diagonal self-adjoint operators $B$ and $C$ satisfy $q^{-1}=q a_{2}$, namely as the real quasi-periodic traveling wave, even $(\varphi, x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\varphi, x):=a_{2}(\varphi, x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{Q}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving.
In view of (7.62)-(7.63) and (7.64) the operator $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ in (7.61) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{4}= & \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1} & -a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(\gamma, D) a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(\gamma, D) a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{7.65}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\pi_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{3} & 0 \\
C_{4} & -a_{3}
\end{array}\right)+\mathbf{R}_{4}^{\Psi}+\mathbf{T}_{4, N},
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{3}$ is the real quasi-periodic traveling wave function, $\operatorname{odd}(\varphi, x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} q^{-1} q_{x}+q^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} q\right), \quad C_{4}:=q C_{3} q \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{R}_{4}^{\Psi}, \mathbf{T}_{4, N}$ are the smoothing remainders (recall that $G(0) \partial_{x}^{-1}=\mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h})$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}_{4}^{\Psi}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\gamma}{2} q^{-1}[\mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h}), q-1] & 0 \\
q \pi_{0} q-\pi_{0} & -\frac{\gamma}{2}[q-1, \mathcal{H} T(\mathrm{~h})] q^{-1}
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{3}^{\Psi} \mathcal{Q} \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\infty} \\
& \mathbf{T}_{4, N}:=\mathcal{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{3, N} \mathcal{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ in (7.65) is Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving.
Step 3: We finally move in complex coordinates, conjugating the operator $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ in 7.65) via the transformation $\mathcal{C}$ defined in (2.19). The main result of this section is the following lemma.

Lemma 7.11. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{5} & :=(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Q C})^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Q C}} \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2}(\varphi, x) \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+a_{4} \mathcal{H}+\mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{R}_{5}^{(0, o)}+\mathbf{T}_{5, N} \tag{7.68}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

1. The real quasi-periodic traveling wave $a_{2}(\varphi, x)$ defined in 7.57), even $(\varphi, x)$, satisfies, for some $\sigma=\sigma\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>$ and for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{2}-1\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)$ is the matrix of Fourier multipliers (see (2.20), (2.21))

$$
\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega(\gamma, D) & \frac{0}{0} \tag{7.70}
\end{array}\right), \quad \Omega(\gamma, D)=\omega(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{i} \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)
$$

3. The operator

$$
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi_{0} & \pi_{0} \\
-\pi_{0} & -\pi_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

4. The real quasi-periodic traveling wave $a_{4}(\varphi, x):=\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(a_{2}(\varphi, x)-1\right)$, even $(\varphi, x)$, satisfies, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{4}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. $\mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)} \in \mathrm{OPS} S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{5}^{(0, o)} \in \mathrm{OP} S^{0}$ are pseudodifferential operators of the form

$$
\mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{5}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D) & \frac{0}{r_{5}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{R}_{5}^{(0, o)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{0}{r_{5}^{(o)}(\varphi, x, D)} & r_{5}^{(o)}(\varphi, x, D) \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

reversibility and momentum preserving, satisfying, for some $\sigma_{N}:=\sigma(\tau, \nu, N)>0$, for finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$ (fixed in Remark7.16), and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}-3 \alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathbf{R}_{5}^{(0, o)}\right\|_{0, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}+3 \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{5, N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for some $\left.\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)\right\rangle$ 0 and for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{T}_{5, N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim S, N, \mathrm{q}_{0} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. The operators $\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}, \mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}-\operatorname{Id},\left(\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)^{*}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with tame constants satisfying, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}}(s) \lesssim S 1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{\left(\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)^{*}}(s) \lesssim S \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in 7.11), finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$, $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\mathrm{q}_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12}(\mathcal{A}) h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}\|h\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}, \quad \mathcal{A} \in\left\{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}=\left(\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*}\right\}  \tag{7.75}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12} a_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma},\left\|\Delta_{12} a_{4}\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma} \\
& \left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s_{1}, \alpha}+\left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{5}^{(0, o)}\right\|_{0, s_{1}, \alpha} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}+2 \alpha} \\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{T}_{5, N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(H^{\left.s_{1}\right)}\right.}} \lesssim_{s_{1}, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)} \tag{7.76}
\end{align*}
$$

The real operator $\mathcal{L}_{5}$ is Hamiltonian, reversible and momentum preserving.
Proof. By the expression of $\mathcal{L}_{4}$ in (7.65) and (3.16) we obtain that $\mathcal{L}_{5}$ has the form (7.68) with

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{5}^{(d)}:=\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(a_{2}-1\right) \mathcal{H}(T(\mathrm{~h})-1)+\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{1}{2} C_{4}+a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\omega(\gamma, D), a_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right) \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{7.77}\\
& r_{5}^{(o)}:=a_{3}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} C_{4} \in \mathrm{OPS}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $C_{4}$ given in (7.66) and $\mathbf{T}_{5, N}:=\mathcal{C}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{4}^{\Psi}+\mathbf{T}_{4, N}\right) \mathcal{C}$. The function $q$ defined in (7.64), with $a_{2}$ in (7.57), satisfies, by (7.47) and Lemma 3.2 for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q^{ \pm 1}-1\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates (7.69) and (7.71) follows by (7.57) and (7.78). The estimate (7.72) follows by (7.77), (7.69), 7.64, (7.59, 7.57, 7.47), 7.48, 7.66, 7.52), 2.16, Lemma 7.10 The estimate 7.73) follows by (7.67, (7.60, (7.41), 7.49, (7.47) Lemmata 3.12, 3.13, (7.78). The estimates (7.74) follow by Lemmata 3.13 and 7.78 . The estimates 7.75 - 7.76 are proved similarly.

### 7.4 Symmetrization up to smoothing remainders

The goal of this section is to transform the operator $\mathcal{L}_{5}$ in 7.68 into the operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}$ in 7.81 which is block diagonal up to a regularizing remainder. From this step we do not preserve any further the Hamiltonian structure, but only the reversible and momentum preserving one (it is sufficient for proving Theorem5.1).

Lemma 7.12. Fix $\mathfrak{m}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. There exist real, reversibility and momentum preserving operator matrices $\left\{\mathbf{X}_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\mathrm{m}}$ of the form

$$
\mathbf{X}_{k}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{0}{\chi_{k}(\varphi, x, D)} & \chi_{k}(\varphi, x, D)  \tag{7.79}\\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \chi_{k}(\varphi, x, \xi) \in S^{-\frac{k}{2}}
$$

such that, conjugating the operator $\mathcal{L}_{5}$ in (7.68) via the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}:=e^{\mathbf{X}_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ e^{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}}} \tag{7.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{6} & :=\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m})}:=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{5} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}} \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+a_{4} \mathcal{H}+\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}+\mathbf{T}_{6, N} \tag{7.81}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

1. $\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ is a block-diagonal operator

$$
\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}:=\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{6}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D) & 0 \\
0 & r_{6}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{OP}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{m}{2}, o\right)}$ is a smoothing off diagonal remainder

$$
\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}:=\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{0}{r_{6}^{(o)}(\varphi, x, D)} & r_{6}^{(o)}(\varphi, x, D)  \tag{7.82}\\
0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}}
$$

satisfying for finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ (fixed in Remark 7.16), for some $\sigma_{N}:=\sigma_{N}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu, N\right)>0$, $\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}-\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, \mathfrak{m}, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both $\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}, o\right)}$ are reversible and momentum preserving;
2. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{6, N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for some $\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=\sigma_{N}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)-\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{6, N}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim_{S, \mathfrak{m}, N, \mathbf{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The conjugation map $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in 7.80 satisfies, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}-\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{0, s, 0}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)^{*}\right\|_{0, s, 0}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, \mathfrak{m}, N} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in (7.11), finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(\mathfrak{m})$, $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant N-\mathrm{q}_{0}+\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s_{1}, \alpha}+\left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, s_{1}, \alpha} \lesssim_{s_{1}, \mathfrak{m}, N, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)},  \tag{7.86}\\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{q}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{T}_{6, N}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, \mathfrak{m}, N, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)} \\
& \left\|\Delta_{12} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{ \pm 1}\right\|_{0, s_{1}, 0}+\left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*}\right\|_{0, s_{1}, 0} \lesssim_{s_{1}, \mathfrak{m}, N} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{N}+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(0)} \tag{7.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof is inductive. The operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(0)}:=\mathcal{L}_{5}$ satisfies (7.83)-7.84 with $\aleph_{0}(\alpha):=3 \alpha$, by (7.72)-(7.731). Suppose we have done already $\mathfrak{m}$ steps obtaining an operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m})}$ as in 7.81) with $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}:=\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, o\right)}:=\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}$ and the remainder $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{5, N} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, instead of $\mathbf{T}_{6, N}$. We now show how to define $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m}+1)}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\varphi, x, \xi):=-\left(2 \mathrm{i} a_{2}(\varphi, x) \omega(\gamma, \xi)\right)^{-1} r_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{(o)}(\varphi, x, \xi) \chi(\xi) \in S^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is the cut-off function defined in (3.10) and $\omega(\gamma, \xi)$ is the symbol (cfr. (2.18)

$$
\omega(\gamma, \xi):=\sqrt{G(0 ; \xi)\left(g+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \frac{G(0 ; \xi)}{\xi^{2}}\right)} \in S^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad G(0 ; \xi):= \begin{cases}\chi(\xi)|\xi| \tanh (\mathrm{h}|\xi|), & \mathrm{h}<+\infty \\ \chi(\xi)|\xi|, & \mathrm{h}=+\infty\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$ in (7.88) is well defined because $\omega(\gamma, \xi)$ is positive on the support of $\chi(\xi)$ and $a_{2}(\varphi, x)$ is close to 1 . We conjugate the operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m})}$ in (7.81) by the flow generated by $\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$ of the form (7.79) with $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\varphi, x, \xi)$ defined in (7.88). By (7.83) and (7.70), for suitable constants $\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\alpha)>\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)$, for finitely many $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{N}-\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right\|_{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0},} \lesssim_{s, \mathfrak{m}, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-1}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{N}+\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\alpha)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemmata 3.73 .5 and the induction assumption 7.85) for $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the conjugation map $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}:=\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}} e^{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}}$ is well defined and satisfies estimate (7.85) with $\mathfrak{m}+1$. By the Lie expansion (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m}+1)} & :=e^{-\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}} \mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m})} e^{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}}  \tag{7.90}\\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+a_{4} \mathcal{H}+\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)} \\
& -\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)\right]+\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{5, N} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1} \\
& -\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+a_{4} \mathcal{H}+\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau}  \tag{7.91}\\
& -\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}}\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, \mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau  \tag{7.92}\\
& +\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau) e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}}\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1},\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)\right]\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{7.93}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (7.79), (7.70) and 7.82), we have that

$$
-\left[\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, \mathfrak{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)\right]+\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}, o\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & Z_{\mathfrak{m}+1} \\
Z_{\mathfrak{m}+1} & 0
\end{array}\right)=: \mathbf{Z}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}
$$

where, denoting for brevity $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}:=\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\varphi, x, \xi)$, it results

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mathfrak{m}+1}= & \mathrm{i}\left(\operatorname{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right) a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D)+a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D) \operatorname{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right)\right) \\
& +\left[\operatorname{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right),-\mathfrak{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+a_{2} \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)\right]+\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{(o)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.23), (3.25) and since $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1} \in S^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}$ by (7.88), we have that

$$
\operatorname{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right) a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D)+a_{2} \omega(\gamma, D) \operatorname{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right)=\operatorname{Op}\left(2 a_{2} \omega(\gamma, \xi) \chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right)+\mathrm{r}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}
$$

where $r_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$ is in OP $S^{-\frac{m}{2}-1}$. By (7.88) and (7.4)

$$
Z_{\mathfrak{m}+1}=\mathrm{ir}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}+\left[\mathrm{Op}\left(\chi_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\right),-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+a_{2} \frac{\gamma}{2} \partial_{x}^{-1} G(0)\right]+\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{(o)}(1-\chi(\xi))\right) \in \operatorname{OP} S^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The remaining pseudodifferential operators in 7.91-7.93) have order OP $S^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}+1}{2}}$. Therefore the operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m}+1)}$ in (7.90) has the form (7.81) at $\mathfrak{m}+1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}+1}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}+1}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}+1}{2}, o\right)}:=\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}+7.91\right)+(7.92)+\text { (7.93) } \tag{7.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a smoothing remainder $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{5, N} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$. By Lemmata 3.5, 3.6, 7.83), 7.89, 7.71, we conclude that $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}+1}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}+1}^{\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{m}+1}{2}, o\right)}$ satisfy $[7.83)$ at order $\mathfrak{m}+1$ for suitable constants $\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}+1}(\alpha)>\aleph_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha)$. Moreover the operator $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{5, N} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$ satisfies (7.84) at order $\mathfrak{m}+1$ by Lemmata 3.12, 3.13 and (7.73), 7.85). Estimates (7.86-(7.87) follow similarly. By 7.88, Lemmata 3.20, 3.24 and the induction assumption that $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}}^{\left(-\frac{m}{2}, o\right)}$ is reversible and momentum preserving, we get that $\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving, and so are $e^{ \pm \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{m}+1}}$. We deduce that $\mathcal{L}_{6}^{(\mathfrak{m}+1)}$ is reversible and momentum preserving, in particular $\mathbf{R}_{6, \mathfrak{m}+1}^{\left(-\frac{m+1}{2}, o\right)}$ in 7.94).
Remark 7.13. The number of regularizing iterations $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ will be fixed by the KAM reduction scheme in Section 8 , more precisely we take $\mathfrak{m}=2 M$ with $M$ in 8.5). Note that it is independent of the Sobolev index $s$.

So far the operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}$ of Lemma 7.12 depends on two indexes $\mathfrak{m}, N$ which provide respectively the order of the regularizing off-diagonal remainder $\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{m}{2}, o\right)}$ and of the smoothing tame operator $\mathbf{T}_{6, N}$. From now on we fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}:=2 M, M \in \mathbb{N}, \quad N=M \tag{7.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.5 Reduction of the order $1 / 2$

The goal of this section is to transform the operator $\mathcal{L}_{6}$ in (7.81) with $\mathfrak{m}:=2 M, N=M$ (cfr. (7.95)), into the operator $\mathcal{L}_{7}$ in 7.109 whose coefficient in front of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)$ is constant. First we rewrite

$$
\mathcal{L}_{6}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{6} & \frac{0}{P_{6}}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+\mathbf{R}_{6}^{(-M, o)}+\mathbf{T}_{6, M}
$$

having denoted

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{6}:=P_{6}(\varphi, x, D):=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} a_{2}(\varphi, x) \Omega(\gamma, D)+a_{4} \mathcal{H}+r_{6}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D) \tag{7.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conjugate $\mathcal{L}_{6}$ through the real operator

$$
\Phi(\varphi):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi(\varphi) & 0  \tag{7.97}\\
0 & \bar{\Phi}(\varphi)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Phi(\varphi):=\left.\Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)\right|_{\tau=1}$ is the time 1-flow of the PDE

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{\tau} \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi)=\mathrm{i} A(\varphi) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi),  \tag{7.98}\\
\Phi^{0}(\varphi)=\mathrm{Id},
\end{array} \quad A(\varphi):=b(\varphi, x)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.
$$

and $b(\varphi, x)$ is a real quasi-periodic traveling wave, odd $(\varphi, x)$, chosen later, see (7.106). Thus ib( $\varphi, x)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving as well as $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\varphi)$. Moreover $\Phi \pi_{0}=\pi_{0}=\Phi^{-1} \pi_{0}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1} \Pi_{0} \Phi=\Pi_{0} \Phi \tag{7.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lie expansion (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{-1} P_{6} \Phi & =P_{6}-\mathrm{i}\left[A, P_{6}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[A,\left[A, P_{6}\right]\right]+\sum_{n=3}^{2 M+1} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{n}\left(P_{6}\right)+T_{M}  \tag{7.100}\\
T_{M} & :=\frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{2 M+2}}{(2 M+1)!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{2 M+1} \Phi^{-\tau}(\varphi) \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{2 M+2}\left(P_{6}\right) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

and, by (3.18,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{-1} \circ \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \circ \Phi & =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{i}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[A, \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A\right]-\sum_{n=3}^{2 M+1} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{n-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A(\varphi)\right)+T_{M}^{\prime} \\
T_{M}^{\prime} & :=-\frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{2 M+2}}{(2 M+1)!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{2 M+1} \Phi^{-\tau}(\varphi) \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{2 M+1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A(\varphi)\right) \Phi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{7.101}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{2 M+2}\left(P_{6}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{2 M+1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A(\varphi)\right)$ are in $\mathrm{OPS}^{-M}$. We now determine the pseudodifferential term of order $1 / 2$ in $7.100-(7.101)$. We use the expansion of the linear dispersion operator $\Omega(\gamma, D)$, defined by 4.1], (1.9), and, since $j \rightarrow c_{j}(\gamma) \in S^{0}$ (see 4.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\gamma, D)=\sqrt{g}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{H}+r_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma, D), \quad r_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma, D) \in \mathrm{OP}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform in (3.20). By (7.96), that $A=b|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, (3.26), (7.102) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[A, P_{6}\right] } & =\left[b|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{~m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g} a_{2}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(a_{4}-\frac{\gamma}{2} a_{2}\right) \mathcal{H}+r_{6}^{(d)}(x, D)+\mathrm{i} a_{2} r_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma, D)\right] \\
& =-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} b_{x}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}\left(b_{x} a_{2}-\left(a_{2}\right)_{x} b\right) \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{b,-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{7.103}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{b,-\frac{1}{2}} \in S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is small with $b$. As a consequence, the contribution at order $\frac{1}{2}$ of the operator $\mathrm{i} \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A+P_{6}-\mathrm{i}\left[A, P_{6}\right]$ is $\mathrm{i}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} b_{x}+\sqrt{g} a_{2}\right)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We choose $b(\varphi, x)$ as the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}\right) b+\sqrt{g} \Pi_{N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}} a_{2}=\sqrt{g} \mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the average (see (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}:=\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x} \tag{7.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $b(\varphi, x)$ to be the real, odd $(\varphi, x)$, quasi-periodic traveling wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\varphi, x):=-\sqrt{g}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}} a_{2}(\varphi, x)-\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{7.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

recall (3.9). Note that $b(\varphi, x)$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ are defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and that, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$ defined in $(7.25)^{2}$, it solves (7.104).

We deduce by 7.100, 7.101, (7.96), 7.103)-7.106), that, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \operatorname{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{7} & :=\Phi^{-1}(\varphi)\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+P_{6}\right) \Phi(\varphi) \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{im}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega(\gamma, D)+a_{5} \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{7}^{(d)}\right)+T_{M}+T_{M}^{\prime}+\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}\left(\Pi_{N_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp} a_{2}\right)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{5}(\varphi, x)$ is the real function (using that $a_{4}=\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(a_{2}-1\right)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{5}:= & \frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right)-\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}\left(b_{x} a_{2}-\left(a_{2}\right)_{x} b\right)  \tag{7.107}\\
& +\frac{\mathrm{m}_{1, \bar{\pi}}}{4}\left(b_{x x} b-b_{x}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(b\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b\right)_{x}-\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b\right) b_{x}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Op}\left(r_{7}^{(d)}\right):=\mathrm{Op}\left(-\mathrm{i} r_{b,-\frac{1}{2}}+\mathrm{i}\left(a_{2}-\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) r_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma, D)+r_{6}^{(d)}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[b|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{i} \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}\left(b_{x} a_{2}-\left(a_{2}\right)_{x} b\right) \mathcal{H}-\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{b,-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Op}\left(\widetilde{r}_{2}\left(b|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}},\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} b_{x}+\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b\right)|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=3}^{2 M+1} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{n}\left(P_{6}\right)-\sum_{n=3}^{2 M+1} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{A(\varphi)}^{n-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} A(\varphi)\right) \in \mathrm{OPS}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.108}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\widetilde{r}_{2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined in 3.26. In conclusion we have the following lemma.
Lemma 7.14. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Let $b(\varphi, x)$ be the quasi-periodic traveling wave function $\operatorname{odd}(\varphi, x)$, defined in (7.106). Then, for any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, conjugating $\mathcal{L}_{6}$ in 7.81) via the invertible, real, reversibility and momentum preserving map $\Phi$ defined in (7.97)-(7.98), we obtain, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$, the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{7} & :=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{6} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{im}_{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)+a_{5} \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+\mathbf{R}_{7}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{T}_{7, M}+\mathbf{Q}_{7}^{\perp} \tag{7.109}
\end{align*}
$$

defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, where:

1. The real constant $\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ defined in (7.105) satisfies $\left|\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}$;
2. The real, quasi-periodic traveling wave function $a_{5}(\varphi, x)$ defined in (7.107), even $(\varphi, x)$, satisfies, for some $\sigma=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{5}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \quad\left|\left\langle a_{5}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{7.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $\mathbf{R}_{7}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ is the block-diagonal operator

$$
\mathbf{R}_{7}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{7}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D) & 0 \\
0 & r_{7}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{OPS}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

with $r_{7}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)$ defined in (7.108), that satisfies for finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$ (fixed in Remark 7.16), for some $\sigma_{M}(\alpha):=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu, \alpha\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{R}_{7}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, \alpha}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, M, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}(\alpha)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant M-\frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{7, M}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for some $\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{7, M}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim_{S, M, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The operator $\mathbf{Q}_{7}^{\perp}$ is

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{7}^{\perp}:=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}\left(\Pi_{N_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp} a_{2}\right)|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{7.113}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{2}(\varphi, x)$ is defined in (7.57) and satisfies (7.69);
6. The operators $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id},\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)^{*}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{0}+1\right)$-tame, with tame constants satisfying, for some $\sigma>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)}(s) \lesssim_{S} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in (7.11), finitely many $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$, $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant M-\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{q}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12} a_{5}\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma},\left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}  \tag{7.115}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{7}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s_{1}, \alpha} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M, \alpha} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}(\alpha)},  \tag{7.116}\\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{q}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{T}_{7, M}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}  \tag{7.117}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12}(\mathcal{A}) h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}\|h\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}, \quad \mathcal{A} \in\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1},\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*}\right\} \tag{7.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The estimate $\left|\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{\rho}}-1\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}$ follows by (7.105) and (7.69). The function $b(\varphi, x)$ defined in (7.106) satisfies, by (3.11) and 7.69,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\sigma>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$. The estimate (7.110) is deduced by 7.107, $\left|\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim$ $\varepsilon v^{-1}$, 7.119), (7.69), (7.10). The estimate (7.111) follows by (7.108), 7.96), Lemmata 3.5 3.6 3.8 and (7.119), (7.83), (7.69), (7.71). The smoothing term $\mathrm{T}_{7, M}$ in 7.109) is, using also 7.99,

$$
\mathbf{T}_{7, M}:=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{6, M} \boldsymbol{\Phi}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}-\mathrm{Id})+\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{6}^{(-M, o)} \boldsymbol{\Phi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{M}+T_{M}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & \overline{T_{M}}+\overline{T_{M}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $T_{M}$ and $T_{M}^{\prime}$ defined in (7.100, 7.101). The estimate 7.112) follows by 7.96, Lemmata 3.12 3.13 the tame estimates of $\Phi$ in Proposition 2.37 in [2], and 7.71), 7.119, (7.114), (7.84). The estimate (7.114) follows by Lemma 2.38 in [2] and 7.119). The estimates 7.115], 7.116, 7.117, 7.118) are proved in the same fashion, using also 3.12).

### 7.6 Reduction of the order 0

The goal of this section is to transform the operator $\mathcal{L}_{7}$ in (7.109) into the operator $\mathcal{L}_{8}$ in (7.130) whose coefficient in front of the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H}$ is a real constant. From now on, we neglect the contribution of $\mathrm{Q}_{7}^{\perp}$ in (7.109) which will be conjugated in Section 7.7 For simplicity of notation we denote such operator $\mathcal{L}_{7}$ as well. We first write

$$
\mathcal{L}_{7}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{7} & \frac{0}{P_{7}}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+\mathbf{T}_{7, M}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{7}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\operatorname{im}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega(\gamma, D)+a_{5}(\varphi, x) \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{7}^{(d)}\right) . \tag{7.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conjugate $\mathcal{L}_{7}$ through the time-1 flow $\Psi(\varphi):=\left.\Psi^{\tau}(\varphi)\right|_{\tau=1}$ generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau} \Psi^{\tau}(\varphi)=B(\varphi) \Psi^{\tau}(\varphi), \Psi^{0}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Id}, \quad B(\varphi):=b_{1}(\varphi, x) \mathcal{H} \tag{7.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}(\varphi, x)$ is a real quasi-periodic traveling wave odd $(\varphi, x)$ chosen later (see 7.128) and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform in (3.20). Thus by Lemmata 3.20, 3.24 the operator $b_{1}(\varphi, x) \mathcal{H}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving and so is its flow $\Psi^{\tau}(\varphi)$. Note that, since $\mathcal{H}(1)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\varphi) \pi_{0}=\pi_{0}=\Psi^{-1}(\varphi) \pi_{0} \tag{7.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lie expansion in (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{-1} P_{7} \Psi & =P_{7}-\left[B, P_{7}\right]+\sum_{n=2}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{n}\left(P_{7}\right)+L_{M}  \tag{7.123}\\
L_{M} & :=\frac{(-1)^{M+1}}{M!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{M} \Psi^{-\tau}(\varphi) \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{M+1}\left(P_{7}\right) \Psi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

and, by 3.18,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{-1} \circ \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \circ \Psi & =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B(\varphi)\right)-\sum_{n=2}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{n-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B(\varphi)\right)+L_{M}^{\prime}  \tag{7.124}\\
L_{M}^{\prime} & :=\frac{(-1)^{M}}{M!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{M} \Psi^{-\tau}(\varphi) \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{M}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B(\varphi)\right) \Psi^{\tau}(\varphi) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

The number $M$ will be fixed in (8.5). The contributions at order 0 come from $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B\right)+P_{7}-\left[B, P_{7}\right]$. Since $B=b_{1} \mathcal{H}$, by (7.120, (3.26) and 7.102 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B, P_{7}\right]=-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(b_{1}\right)_{x} \mathcal{H}+\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{b_{1},-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{7.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{b_{1},-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \in \operatorname{OPS} S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is small with $b_{1}$. As a consequence, the 0 order term of the operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B+P_{7}-\left[B, P_{7}\right]$ is $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(b_{1}\right)_{x}+a_{5}\right) \mathcal{H}$. We choose $b_{1}$ as the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} b_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}\right) b_{1}+\Pi_{N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}} a_{5}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \tag{7.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0}$ is the average (see (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{0}:=\left\langle a_{5}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x} . \tag{7.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $b_{1}(\varphi, x)$ to be the real, $\operatorname{odd}(\varphi, x)$, quasi-periodic traveling wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(\varphi, x):=-\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}} a_{5}(\varphi, x)-\mathrm{m}_{0}\right), \tag{7.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

recall (3.9). Note that $b_{1}(\varphi, x)$ is defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and that, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in$ $\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$ defined in (7.25), it solves (7.126).

We deduce by (7.123)-(7.124) and (7.125), (7.128), that, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{8} & :=\Psi^{-1}(\varphi)\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+P_{7}\right) \Psi(\varphi) \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{im}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{Op}\left(r_{8}^{(d)}\right)+L_{M}+L_{M}^{\prime}+\left(\Pi_{N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}}^{\perp} a_{5}\right) \mathcal{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Op}\left(r_{8}^{(d)}\right) & :=\operatorname{Op}\left(-r_{b_{1},-\frac{1}{2}}+r_{7}^{(d)}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=2}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{n}\left(P_{7}\right)-\sum_{n=2}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \operatorname{ad}_{B(\varphi)}^{n-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} B(\varphi)\right) \in \operatorname{OP}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.129}
\end{align*}
$$

In conclusion we have the following lemma.
Lemma 7.15. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Let $b_{1}$ be the quasi-periodic traveling wave defined in (7.128). Then, for any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, conjugating the operator $\mathcal{L}_{7}$ in 7.109 via the invertible, real, reversibility and momentum preserving map $\Psi(\varphi)$ (cfr. (7.121) , we obtain, for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$, the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{8} & :=\Psi^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{7} \Psi \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \partial_{x}+\mathrm{im}_{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\gamma, D)+\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathcal{H}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}+\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{T}_{8, M}+\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp} \tag{7.130}
\end{align*}
$$

defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, where

1. The constant $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ defined in (7.127) satisfies $\left|\mathrm{m}_{0}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}$;
2. $\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$ is the block-diagonal operator

$$
\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{8}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D) & 0 \\
0 & r_{8}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{OP}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

with $r_{8}^{(d)}(\varphi, x, D)$ defined in 7.129) that satisfies, for some $\sigma_{M}:=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant$ $s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, 1}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s, M} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. For any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$ with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant M-\frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{8, M}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with a tame constant satisfying, for some $\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right):=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{T}_{8, M}(\varphi)\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}}(s) \lesssim S, M, \mathrm{q}_{0} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The operator $\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}$ is

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}:=\left(\Pi_{N_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp} a_{5}\right) \mathcal{H}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{7.133}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{5}(\varphi, x)$ is defined in 7.107) and satisfies 7.110;
5. The operators $\Psi^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id},\left(\Psi^{ \pm 1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)^{*}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame, with tame constants satisfying, for some $\sigma:=$ $\sigma\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\Psi \pm 1-\mathrm{Id}}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{(\Psi \pm 1-\mathrm{Id})} *(s) \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) ; \tag{7.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Furthermore, for any $s_{1}$ as in (7.11), $q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant M-\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{q}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s_{1}, 1} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}},\left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right| \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\sigma},  \tag{7.135}\\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{T}_{8, M}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}  \tag{7.136}\\
& \left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\Psi^{ \pm 1}\right) h\right\|_{s_{1}}+\left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\Psi^{ \pm 1}\right)^{*} h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma}\|h\|_{s_{1}+\sigma} \tag{7.137}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The function $b_{1}(\varphi, x)$ defined in 7.128, satisfies, by 7.110, 3.11, for some $\sigma>0$ and for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{1}\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \tag{7.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate for $m_{0}$ follows by (7.127) and 7.110). The estimate 7.131 follows by 7.129, 7.120, Lemmata 3.5 3.6 and 7.110, (7.111, (7.138). Using (7.122), the smoothing term $\mathbf{T}_{8, M}$ in 7.130) is

$$
\mathbf{T}_{8, M}:=\Psi^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{7, M} \Psi+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{0}(\Psi-\mathrm{Id})+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{M}+L_{M}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & \overline{L_{M}}+\overline{L_{M}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $L_{M}$ and $L_{M}^{\prime}$ introduced in 7.123, (7.124). The estimate 7.132) follows by Lemmata 3.12, 3.13 3.7 (7.120), 7.110, (7.112), 7.138), 7.134). The estimate (7.134) follows by Lemmata 3.7 3.13 and 7.138. The estimates 7.135, 7.136, 7.137) are proved in the same fashion.

Remark 7.16. In Proposition 7.20 we shall estimate $\left\|\left[\partial_{x}, \mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right]\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, 0}^{k_{0}, ~ u s i n g ~(7.131) ~ a n d ~(3.27) . ~ I n ~}$ order to control $\left\|\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, s, 1}^{k_{0}, v}$ we used the estimates (7.111) for finitely many $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \alpha \leqslant \alpha(M)$, depending on $M$, as well similar estimates for $\mathbf{R}_{6}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}, \mathbf{R}_{5}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}$, etc. In Proposition 7.20 we shall use (7.135)-(7.136) only for $s_{1}=s_{0}$.

### 7.7 Conclusion: reduction of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$

By Sections 7.1|7.6, the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ in 7.8 is conjugated, under the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}:=\mathcal{Z E} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Q C} \Phi_{2 M} \Phi \Psi \tag{7.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau), \overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, into the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}^{-1} \mathcal{L W}=\mathcal{L}_{8}-\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}+\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp}+\mathbf{Q}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} \tag{7.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{8}$ is defined in 7.130, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp}:=\left(\widetilde{\left.\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2 M} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \Psi\right)^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp} \widetilde{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2 M} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \Psi, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp}:=\Psi^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{7}^{\perp} \Psi+\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}, ., ~}\right. \tag{7.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\perp}, \mathbf{Q}_{7}^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}$ defined respectively in (7.27, (7.113) and 7.133); these operators are exponentially small, and will contribute to the remainders estimated in Lemma7.19. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{8}$ is defined for any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.

Now we deduce a similar conjugation result for the projected operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ in 6.9), i.e. (7.1), which acts in the normal subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}$. We first introduce some notation. We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\prime}$ the projections on the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}$ defined in Section 2.2. In view of Remark 7.2] we denote, with a small abuse of notation, $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\tau}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}+\pi_{0}$, so that $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}+\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\angle}=\operatorname{Id}$ on the whole $L^{2} \times L^{2}$. We remind that $\mathbb{S}_{0}=\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\}$, where $\mathbb{S}$ is the set defined in (2.36). We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}}^{\top}+\pi_{0}$, where $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}}^{\top}$ is defined below (2.44). We have $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}+\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}=I d$. Arguing as in Lemma 7.15 in [7] we have the following.
Lemma 7.17. Let $M>0$. There is $\sigma_{M}>0$ (depending also on $k_{0}, \tau, \nu$ ) such that, assuming (7.10) with $\mu_{0} \geqslant \sigma_{M}$, the following holds: the map $\mathcal{W}$ defined in (7.139) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) \tag{7.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) h\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S, M} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(\|h\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}^{\perp}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\llcorner } \mathcal{W} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \tag{7.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invertible and, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{ \pm 1} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S, M}\|h\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\Im_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0},}  \tag{7.145}\\
\left\|\Delta_{12}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{ \pm 1} h\right\|_{s_{1}} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}}\|h\|_{s_{1}+\sigma_{M}}
\end{array}
$$

The operator $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ maps (anti)-reversible, respectively traveling, waves, into (anti)-reversible, respectively traveling, waves.

For any $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau), \overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ in (6.9) (i.e. (7.1) is conjugated under the $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\perp}:=\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\omega} \mathcal{W}^{\perp}=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}\left(\mathcal{L}_{8}-\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}\right) \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}+\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \bar{n}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \bar{n}}+\mathcal{R}^{f} \tag{7.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \mathbf{Q}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \tag{7.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{R}^{f}$ is, by 7.144, (7.140), 7.142) and 2.45),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}^{f} & :=\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp} \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{8}-\mathbf{Q}_{8}^{\perp}+\mathbf{P}_{\bar{n}}^{\perp}+\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{n}}^{\perp}\right) \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \\
& -\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp} \mathcal{L} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top} \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon) \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}-\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1} \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\perp} J R \mathcal{W}^{\perp} \tag{7.148}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 7.18. The operator $\mathcal{R}^{f}$ in 7.148) has the finite rank form 7.4), 7.5). Moreover, let $\mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $M \geqslant \frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$. There exists $\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)>0$ (depending also on $\left.k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)$ such that, for any $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $n_{1}+n_{2} \leqslant M-\frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, and any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, the operator $\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathcal{R}^{f}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame, with a tame constant satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathcal{R}^{f}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}(s) \lesssim S, M, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \forall s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right),  \tag{7.149}\\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{n_{1}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \Delta_{12} \mathcal{R}^{f}\langle D\rangle^{n_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{1}}\right)} \lesssim_{s_{1}, M, \mathrm{q}_{0}} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)} \tag{7.150}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $s_{1}$ as in 7.11).
Proof. The first two terms in (7.148) have the finite rank form (7.4) because of the presence of the finite dimensional projectors $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}^{+}, \Sigma}^{\top}$. In the last term, the operator $R$ has the finite rank form (7.4). The estimate (7.149) follows by (7.148), (7.139), (7.144), (7.130), (7.4), (3.7) and 7.143), (7.145), 7.131), (7.132), 7.5). The estimate 7.150) follows similarly.

Lemma 7.19. The operators $\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ defined in 7.147, (7.141) satisfy, for some $\sigma_{M}=\sigma_{M}\left(k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)>$ 0 , for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S \varepsilon N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left(\|h\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \quad \forall s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\sigma_{M},  \tag{7.151}\\
& \left\|\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} h\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim S \varepsilon v^{-2} N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-\mathrm{b}}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{J}_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\sigma_{M}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right)\|h\|_{s_{0}+\frac{1}{2}}^{k_{0}, v}, \forall \mathrm{~b}>0,  \tag{7.152}\\
& \left\|\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \bar{\pi}} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S} \varepsilon v^{-2}\left(\|h\|_{s+\frac{1}{2}}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\sigma_{M}}^{k_{0}, v}\|h\|_{s_{0}+\frac{1}{2}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.153}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The estimates (7.151), 7.152), 7.153) follow from 7.147, 7.141, 7.27, 7.113), 7.133), using the estimates (7.28), 7.69), (7.110), (3.8), (7.145), (7.134), 7.114), (7.85), (7.74).

The next proposition summarizes the main result of this section.
Proposition 7.20. (Reduction of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ up to smoothing operators) For any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in$ $\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau)$ (cfr. (7.25), the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ in (6.9) (i.e. (7.1)) is conjugated as in (7.146) to the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator $\mathcal{L}_{\perp}$. For all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ the extended operator defined by the right hand side in 7.146, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\perp}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\perp}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}+\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{7.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\perp}$ denotes the identity map of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$ (cfr. (2.44)) and:

1. $\mathbf{D}_{\perp}$ is the diagonal operator

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\perp}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{D}_{\perp} & 0 \\
0 & -\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\perp}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{D}_{\perp}:=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}} \mu_{j}, \quad \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}:=\mathbb{Z} \backslash(\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\})
$$

with eigenvalues $\mu_{j}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} j+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{0} \operatorname{sgn}(j) \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\Omega_{j}(\gamma)$ is the dispersion relation (1.12) and the real constants $m_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}$, defined respectively in Lemma 7.7 7.105, 7.127, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad\left|\mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right|^{k_{0}, v}+\left|\mathrm{m}_{0}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{7.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, for some $\sigma>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right| \lesssim \varepsilon\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}, \quad\left|\Delta_{12 \mathrm{~m}_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right|+\left|\Delta_{12} \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right| \lesssim \varepsilon v^{-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\sigma} \tag{7.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For any $\mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, M>\frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2}$, there is a constant $\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)>0$ (depending also on $\left.k_{0}, \tau, \nu\right)$ such that, assuming (7.10) with $\mu_{0} \geqslant \aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right), \mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$, the operators $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame with tame constants satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \lesssim S, M, \mathrm{q}_{0} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\aleph\left(M, \mathbf{q}_{0}\right)}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{7.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant \mathrm{q}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}+\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{q}} \Delta_{12}\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \lesssim_{M} \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)} . \tag{7.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}:=\mathbf{R}_{\perp}(\varphi)$ is real, reversible and momentum preserving.
3. The remainders $\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ are defined in (7.147) and satisfy the estimates (7.151)-(7.153).

Proof. By (7.146) and (7.130) we deduce (7.154) with $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}:=\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}\left(\mathbf{R}_{8}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, d\right)}+\mathbf{T}_{8, M}\right) \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}+\mathcal{R}^{f}$. The estimates (7.155)-(7.156) follow by Lemmata 7.11, 7.14 7.15 The estimate 7.157) follows by Lemmata 3.5 3.6, 3.13, 7.131) and 7.132), 7.149), choosing $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(1,2),(2,1)$. The estimate 7.158) follows similarly.

## 8 Almost-diagonalization and invertibility of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$

In this section we diagonalize the operator $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\perp}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}(\varphi)$ obtained neglecting from $\mathcal{L}_{\perp}$ in (7.154) the remainders $\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \bar{n}}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \bar{n}}$. We implement a KAM iterative scheme. As starting point, we consider the real, reversible and momentum preserving operator, acting in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}_{0}:=\mathbf{L}_{0}(i):=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{0}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, with diagonal part (with respect to the exponential basis)

$$
\mathbf{D}_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{D}_{0} & 0  \tag{8.2}\\
0 & -\overline{\mathcal{D}_{0}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{D}_{0}:=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}} \mu_{j}^{(0)}, \quad \mu_{j}^{(0)}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} j+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{0} \operatorname{sgn}(j)
$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}=\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{S}_{0}, \mathbb{S}_{0}=\mathbb{S} \cup\{0\}$, the real constants $\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{~m}_{0}$ satisfy (7.155)-(7.156) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}:=\mathbf{R}_{\perp}:=\left(\frac{R_{\perp}^{(0, d)}}{R_{\perp}^{(0, o)}} \frac{R_{\perp}^{(0, o)}}{R_{\perp}^{(0, d)}}\right), \quad R_{\perp}^{(0, d)}: H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, R_{\perp}^{(0, o)}: H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a real, reversible, momentum preserving operator satisfying 7.157, (7.158). We denote $H_{ \pm \mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}=$ $\left\{h(x)=\sum_{j \notin \pm \mathbb{S}_{0}} h_{j} e^{ \pm \mathrm{i} j x} \in L^{2}\right\}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{D}_{0}}: H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}_{0}}=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in-\mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}}\left(\mu_{-j}^{(0)}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 7.20 implies that the operator $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}$ satisfies the estimates of Lemma 8.1 below by fixing the constant $M$ large enough (which means performing sufficiently many regularizing steps in Section 7.4, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(k_{0}+s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\right]+1 \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where b is defined in (7.23). We also set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{b}):=\aleph\left(M, s_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\aleph\left(M, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$ is given in Proposition 7.20, with $\mathrm{q}_{0}=s_{0}+\mathrm{b}$.

Lemma 8.1. (Smallness of $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}$ ) Assume (7.10) with $\mu_{0} \geqslant \mu(\mathrm{~b})$. Then the operators $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$, and $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}},\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\partial_{\varphi_{m}}^{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}, m=1, \ldots, \nu$, are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}$-tame. Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{M}_{0}(s):=\max \left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s),\right. \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

we have, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b}):=\max \left\{\mathbb{M}_{0}(s), \mathbb{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b})\right\} \leqslant C(S) \frac{\varepsilon}{v^{3}}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \mathfrak{M}_{0}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \leqslant C(S) \frac{\varepsilon}{v^{3}} . \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for all $\mathrm{q} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu}$, with $|\mathrm{q}| \leqslant s_{0}+\mathrm{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\varphi}^{q} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)},\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_{12}\left[\partial_{\varphi}^{q} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(0)}, \partial_{x}\right]\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \leqslant C(S) \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recalling (8.7), 8.8), the bounds (8.9)-8.10) follow by 7.157, 8.5), 8.6, (7.158).
We perform the almost-reducibility of $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ along the scale $\left(N_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$, defined in 7.20).
Theorem 8.2. (Almost-diagonalization of $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ : KAM iteration) There exists $\tau_{2}(\tau, \nu)>\tau_{1}(\tau, \nu)+1+\mathbf{a}$ (with $\tau_{1}$, a defined in (7.23) such that, for all $S>s_{0}$, there is $N_{0}:=N_{0}(S, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \mathfrak{M}_{0}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) v^{-1} \leqslant 1 \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for all $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ :
$(\mathrm{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}}$ There exists a real, reversible and momentum preserving operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})} \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{n}} & 0 \\
0 & -\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{n}}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathrm{~S}_{0}^{c}} \mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})} \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

defined for all $(\omega, \gamma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, where $\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ are $k_{0}$-times differentiable real functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\omega, \gamma):=\mu_{j}^{(0)}(\omega, \gamma)+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\omega, \gamma), \quad \mu_{j}^{(0)}=\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} j+\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{j}(\gamma)-\mathrm{m}_{0} \operatorname{sgn}(j) \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(0)}=0$ and, for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(S, \mathrm{~b}) \varepsilon v^{-3}, \quad|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(S, \mathrm{~b}) \varepsilon v^{-3} N_{\mathrm{n}-2}^{-\mathrm{a}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c} \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}:=\left(\frac{R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, d)}}{R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, o)}} \frac{R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, o)}}{R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, d)}}\right), \quad R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, d)}: H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, R_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}, o)}: H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with a modulo-tame constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s):=\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}):=\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s), ., ~ ., ~} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy, for some constant $C_{*}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)>0$, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s) \leqslant C_{*}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b}) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}) \leqslant C_{*}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b}) N_{\mathrm{n}-1} . \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the sets $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}=\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}(i)$ by $\Lambda_{0}^{v}:=\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and, for $\mathrm{n}=1, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}:= & \left\{\lambda=(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{v}:\right. \\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}-\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}\right| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau} \\
& \forall|\ell| \leqslant N_{\mathrm{n}-1}, j, j^{\prime} \notin \mathbb{S}_{0},\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j), \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j-j^{\prime}=0,  \tag{8.18}\\
& \left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}+\mu_{j^{\prime}}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}\right| \geqslant v\left(|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left|j^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau} \\
& \left.\forall|\ell| \leqslant N_{\mathrm{n}-1}, j, j^{\prime} \notin \mathbb{S}_{0} \text { with } \vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell+j+j^{\prime}=0\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$ there exists a real, reversibility and momentum preserving map, defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, of the form

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=e^{\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}:=\left(\frac{X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(d)}}{X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(o)}} \frac{X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(o)}}{X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(d)}}\right), \quad X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(d)}: H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, X_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{(o)}: H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}
$$

such that, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}$, the following conjugation formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1},\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$, are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with modulo tame constants satisfying, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \leqslant C\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) v^{-1} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\tau_{1}} N_{\mathrm{n}-2}^{-\mathrm{a}} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b}),  \tag{8.20}\\
& \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle \mathrm{b} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \leqslant C\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) v^{-1} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\tau_{1}} N_{\mathrm{n}-2} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b}) .
\end{align*}
$$

(S2) ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ Let $i_{1}(\omega, \gamma), i_{2}(\omega, \gamma)$ such that $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(i_{1}\right), \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(i_{2}\right)$ satisfy 8.9), 8.10). Then, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \lesssim S, \mathrm{~b} \varepsilon v^{-3} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})}  \tag{8.21}\\
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \lesssim S, \mathrm{~b} \varepsilon v^{-3} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})} \tag{8.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$, for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta_{12}\left(\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}, \\
& |j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right| \leqslant C(S, \mathrm{~b}) \varepsilon v^{-3}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\mathbf{S 3})_{\mathrm{n}}$ Let $i_{1}, i_{2}$ be like in $(\mathbf{S} 2)_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $0<\rho<v / 2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon v^{-3} C(S) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\tau+1}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})} \leqslant \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}\left(i_{1}\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v-\rho}\left(i_{2}\right) . \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.2 implies also that the invertible operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}_{0}:=\mathbb{1}_{\perp}, \quad \mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{0} \circ \ldots \circ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 1 \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

has almost diagonalized $\mathbf{L}_{0}$. We have indeed the following corollary.

Theorem 8.3. (Almost-diagonalization of $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ ) Assume (7.10) with $\mu_{0} \geqslant \mu(\mathrm{~b})$. For all $S>s_{0}$, there exist $N_{0}=N_{0}(S, \mathrm{~b})>0$ and $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}(S)>0$ such that, if the smallness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \varepsilon v^{-4} \leqslant \delta_{0} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\tau_{2}=\tau_{2}(\tau, \nu)$ is defined in Theorem 8.2 then, for all $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ andfor all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ the operator $\mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ in 8.24$)$ is well-defined, the operators $\mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{ \pm 1}-\mathbb{1}_{\perp}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with modulo-tame constants satisfying, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{ }^{ \pm 1}-\mathbb{1}_{\perp}\right)\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \lesssim_{S} \varepsilon v^{-4} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})}^{k_{0}, v}\right), \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}$ is given by (7.23). Moreover $\mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}$ are real, reversibility and momentum preserving. The operator $\mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}$, defined in (8.12) with $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ is real, reversible and momentum preserving. The operator $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\bar{n})}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with a modulo-tame constant satisfying, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim S \varepsilon v^{-3} N_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left(1+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for all $(\omega, \gamma)$ in $\Lambda_{\bar{n}}^{v}=\Lambda \frac{v}{n}(i)=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\bar{n}} \Lambda_{n}^{v}$, where the sets $\Lambda_{n}^{v}$ are defined in (8.18), the conjugation formula $\mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{0} \mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ holds.

## Proof of Theorem 8.2

The proof of Theorem 8.2 is inductive. We first show that $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}}-(\mathbf{S} 3)_{\mathrm{n}}$ hold when $\mathrm{n}=0$.
Proof of $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{0}-(\mathbf{S 3})_{0} . \quad$ Properties (8.12)- (8.13), (8.15) for $\mathrm{n}=0$ hold by (8.1), (8.2), (8.3) with $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(0)}=$ 0 . Moreover, by Lemma 3.17 we deduce that, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$, we have $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{\sharp}(s), \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}) \lesssim_{s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}}$ $\mathfrak{M}_{0}(s, \mathrm{~b})$ and 8.17) for $\mathrm{n}=0$ holds. The estimates 8.21), 8.22) at $\mathrm{n}=0$ follows similarly by 8.10). Finally $(\mathbf{S 3})_{0}$ is trivial since $\Lambda_{0}^{v}\left(i_{1}\right)=\Lambda_{0}^{v-\rho}\left(i_{2}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.

The reducibility step. We now describe the generic inductive step, showing how to transform $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ into $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ by the conjugation with $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}}$. For sake of simplicity, we drop the index n and we write + instead of $\mathrm{n}+1$, so that we write $\mathbf{L}:=\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathbf{L}_{+}:=\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathbf{R}_{\perp}:=\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n})}, \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(+)}:=\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}, N:=N_{\mathrm{n}}$, etc. We conjugate $\mathbf{L}$ in 8.12) by a transformation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Phi}:=e^{\mathbf{X}}, \quad \mathbf{X}:=\left(\frac{X^{(d)}}{X^{(o)}} \frac{X^{(o)}}{X^{(d)}}\right), X^{(d)}: H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}, X^{(o)}: H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp} \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}$ is a bounded linear operator, chosen below in 8.33), 8.34). By the Lie expansions (3.17)-(3.18) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{L}_{+}:=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\Phi} & =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}+\left(\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X}\right)-\mathrm{i}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{D}]+\Pi_{N} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right)+\Pi_{N}^{\perp} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}  \tag{8.29}\\
& -\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}^{\prime}}\left[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}} \mathrm{d} \tau-\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau) e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{X},\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X}\right)-\mathrm{i}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{D}]\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}} \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Pi_{N}$ is defined in (3.32) and $\Pi_{N}^{\perp}:=\mathrm{Id}-\Pi_{N}$. We want to solve the homological equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X}-\mathrm{i}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{D}]+\Pi_{N} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}=\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right] \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right]:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right]} & 0  \tag{8.31}\\
0 & {\left[R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right]}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left[R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right]:=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j}(0)
$$

By (8.12), 8.15) and (8.28), the homological equation (8.30) is equivalent to the two scalar homological equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} X^{(d)}-\mathrm{i}\left(X^{(d)} \mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D} X^{(d)}\right)+\Pi_{N} R_{\perp}^{(d)}=\left[R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right] \\
& \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} X^{(o)}+\mathrm{i}\left(X^{(o)} \overline{\mathcal{D}}+\mathcal{D} X^{(o)}\right)+\Pi_{N} R_{\perp}^{(o)}=0 \tag{8.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling (8.12) and since $\overline{\mathcal{D}}=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in-\mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}}\left(\mu_{-j}\right)$, acting in $H_{-\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$ (see (8.4) the solutions of (8.32) are, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}$ (see 8.18) with $\mathrm{n} \leadsto \mathrm{n}+1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(X^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell):= \begin{cases}-\frac{\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)}{\mathrm{i}\left(\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}-\mu_{j^{\prime}}\right)} & \text { if }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\ell, j, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(0, j, j), j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\langle\ell\rangle \leqslant N \\
\ell \cdot \vec{\jmath}+j-j^{\prime}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}  \tag{8.33}\\
& \left(X^{(o)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell):= \begin{cases}-\frac{\left(R_{\perp}^{(o)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)}{\mathrm{i}\left(\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}+\mu_{-j^{\prime}}\right)} & \text { if }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} j,-j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c},\langle\ell\rangle \leqslant N \\
\ell \cdot \vec{\jmath}+j-j^{\prime}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \tag{8.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, since $-j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$, we can apply the bounds for $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}$.
Lemma 8.4. (Homological equations) The real operator $\mathbf{X}$ defined in 8.28), 8.33, 8.34), (which for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}$ solves the homological equation (8.30) admits an extension to the whole parameter space $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. Such extended operator is $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame satisfying, for all $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant$ $S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{X}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}} N^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}(s), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{b}} \mathbf{X}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}} N^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{\sharp}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}), \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}:=\tau\left(k_{0}+1\right)+k_{0}$. For all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}$,
$\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{X}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \lesssim$
$N^{2 \tau+1} v^{-1}\left(\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\left(i_{2}\right)\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})}+\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}\right)$,
$\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{X}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)} \lesssim$
$N^{2 \tau+1} v^{-1}\left(\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\left(i_{2}\right)\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}\left\|i_{1}-i_{2}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})}+\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}\right)$.

The operator $\mathbf{X}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving.
Proof. We prove that (8.35) holds for $X^{(d)}$. The proof for $X^{(o)}$ holds analogously. First, we extend the solution in 8.33) to all $\lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ by setting (without any further relabeling) $\left(X^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)=$ i $g_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}(\lambda)\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)$, where

$$
g_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}(\lambda):=\frac{\chi\left(f(\lambda) \rho^{-1}\right)}{f(\lambda)}, \quad f(\lambda):=\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}-\mu_{j^{\prime}}, \quad \rho:=v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}
$$

and $\chi$ is the cut-off function (3.10). By 8.13), 8.14), 7.155), 8.18), Lemma 4.4 together with (3.10), we deduce that, for any $k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\nu},\left|k_{1}\right| \leqslant k_{0}$,

$$
\sup _{\left|k_{1}\right| \leqslant k_{0}}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k_{1}} g_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}\right| \lesssim_{k_{0}}\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1-\left|k_{1}\right|}, \quad \tau_{1}=\tau\left(k_{0}+1\right)+k_{0}
$$

and we deduce, for all $0 \leqslant|k| \leqslant k_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(X^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)\right| & \lesssim_{k_{0}} \sum_{k_{1}+k_{2}=k}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k_{1}} g_{\ell, j, j^{\prime}}(\lambda)\right|\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k_{2}}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)\right| \\
& \lesssim_{k_{0}}\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1-|k|} \sum_{\left|k_{2}\right| \leqslant|k|} v^{\left|k_{2}\right|}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k_{2}}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)\right| . \tag{8.38}
\end{align*}
$$

By (8.33) we have that $\left(X^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}(\ell)=0$ for all $\langle\ell\rangle>N$. Therefore, for all $|k| \leqslant k_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \partial_{\lambda}^{k} X^{(d)}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} h\right\|_{s}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\left(\sum_{\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle \leqslant N, j^{\prime}}\left|\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}\langle j\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(X^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) \| h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \stackrel{\text { 8.38] }}{\lesssim k_{0}} N^{2 \tau_{1}} v^{-2(1+|k|)} \sum_{\left|k_{2}\right| \leqslant|k|} v^{2\left|k_{2}\right|} \sum_{\ell, j}\langle\ell, j\rangle^{2 s}\left(\sum_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} \left\lvert\,\left\langle\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}\langle j\rangle^{\left.\left.\frac{1}{4}\left\langle j^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{\lambda}^{k_{2}}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right) \| h_{\ell^{\prime}, j^{\prime}} \right\rvert\,\right)^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \lesssim k_{0} N^{2 \tau_{1}} v^{-2(1+|k|)} \sum_{\left|k_{2}\right| \leqslant|k|} v^{2\left|k_{2}\right|}\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \partial_{\lambda}^{k_{2}} R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}|h|\right\|_{s}^{2} \\
& \stackrel{\text { 3.14 }}{\sum_{k_{0}}} N^{2 \tau_{1}} v^{-2(1+|k|)}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b})^{2}\|h\|_{s_{0}}^{2}+\mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)^{2}\|h\|_{s}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by Definition 3.14, we conclude that $\mathfrak{M}_{\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} X^{(d)}\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}(s) \lesssim_{k_{0}} N^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b})$. The analogous estimates for $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} X^{(o)}, X^{(d)}, X^{(o)}$ and 8.36, 8.37) follow similarly. By induction, the operator $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}$ is reversible and momentum preserving. Therefore, by 8.28, 8.33), 8.34 and Lemmata 3.20, 3.24, it follows that $\mathbf{X}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving.

By 8.29, (8.30), for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n+1}^{v}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}_{+}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{+}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(+)} \tag{8.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{D}_{+}:=\mathbf{D}-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right] \\
& \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(+)}:=\Pi_{N}^{\perp} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}-\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{X}} \mathrm{d} \tau+\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau) e^{-\tau \mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbf{X}, \Pi_{N} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}-\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right]\right] e^{\tau \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{8.40}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of (8.39)-8.40) define an extension of $\mathbf{L}_{+}$to the whole parameter space $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, since $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ are defined on $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.

The new operator $\mathbf{L}_{+}$in (8.39) has the same form of $\mathbf{L}$ in (8.12) with the non-diagonal remainder $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(+)}$which is the sum of a term $\Pi_{N}^{\perp} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}$ supported on high frequencies and a quadratic function of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}$. The new normal form $\mathbf{D}_{+}$is diagonal:

Lemma 8.5. (New diagonal part) For all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, the new normal form is

$$
\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{+}=\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}+\left[\mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right]=\mathrm{i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{D}_{+} & 0 \\
0 & -\overline{\mathcal{D}_{+}}
\end{array}\right), \mathcal{D}_{+}:=\operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}} \mu_{j}^{(+)}, \mu_{j}^{(+)}:=\mu_{j}+\mathrm{r}_{j} \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where each $\mathrm{r}_{j}$ satisfies, on $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|r_{j}\right|^{k_{0}, v}=|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mu_{j}^{(+)}-\mu_{j}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim \mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right) . \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, given tori $i_{1}(\omega, \gamma)$, $i_{2}(\omega, \gamma)$, we have $|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|r_{j}\left(i_{1}\right)-r_{j}\left(i_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\Delta_{12} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{s_{0}}\right)}$.

Proof. Recalling (8.31), we have that $\mathrm{r}_{j}:=-\mathrm{i}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j}(0)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$. By the reversibility of $R_{\perp}^{(d)}$ and (3.35) we deduce that $\mathrm{r}_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Recalling the definition of $\mathfrak{M} \nexists\left(s_{0}\right)$ in 8.16) (with $s=s_{0}$ ) and Definition 3.14 we have, for all $0 \leqslant|k| \leqslant k_{0},\left\|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k} R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right|\langle D\rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} h\right\|_{s_{0}} \leqslant 2 v^{-|k|} \mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right)\|h\|_{s_{0}}$, and therefore $|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{k}\left(R_{\perp}^{(d)}\right)_{j}^{j}(0)\right| \lesssim v^{-|k|} \mathfrak{M}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right)$. Hence (8.41) follows. The last bound for $|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|r_{j}\left(i_{1}\right)-r_{j}\left(i_{2}\right)\right|$ follows analogously.

The iterative step. Assume that the statements $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{n}-(S 3)_{n}$ are true. We now prove $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{n+1^{-}}-(S 3)_{n+1}$. For simplicity (as in other parts of the paper) we omit to write the dependence on $k_{0}$, which is considered as a fixed constant.
Proof of $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. The real operator $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ defined in Lemma8.4is defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ and, by 8.35), 8.17], satisfies the estimates (8.20) at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$. The flow maps $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}}^{ \pm 1}=e^{ \pm \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ are well defined by Lemma3.16, By (8.39), for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}$, the conjugation formula 8.19) holds at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$. The operator $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is reversibility and momentum preserving, and so are the operators $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}}^{ \pm 1}=e^{ \pm \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}}$. By Lemma8.5, the operator $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues $\mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mu_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=$ $\mu_{j}^{(0)}+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}$ with $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}:=\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ satisfying, using also 8.17), 8.14) at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$. The next lemma provides the estimates of the remainder $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(+)}$defined in (8.40).
Lemma 8.6. The operators $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}$ and $\left\langle\partial_{\varphi}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}$ are $\mathcal{D}^{k_{0}}-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$-modulo-tame with modulo-tame constants satisfying, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathrm{b})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\sharp}(s) \lesssim_{s} N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b})+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s) \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right),  \tag{8.42}\\
& \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}) \lesssim_{s, \mathrm{~b}} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b})+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s, \mathrm{~b}) \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}\right)+\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}\left(s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\sharp}(s)\right) . \tag{8.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The estimates (8.42), (8.43) follow by (8.40, Lemmata 3.15, 3.16, (3.33) and 8.35), 8.17, (7.23), (7.20), 8.11).

Lemma 8.7. Estimates 8.17) holds at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$.
Proof. It follows by 8.42, 8.43, 8.17) at the step n, 7.23, the smallness condition 8.11) with $N_{0}=$ $N_{0}\left(S, s_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right)>0$ large enough and taking $\tau_{2}>\tau_{1}+1+\mathrm{a}$.

Finally $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(n+1)}$ is real, reversible and momentum preserving as $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(n)}$, since $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is real, reversibility and momentum preserving. This concludes the proof of $(\mathbf{S 1})_{n+1}$.
Proof of ( $\mathbf{S 2})_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. It follows by similar arguments and we omit it.
Proof of $(\mathbf{S 3})_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. Use (8.13), (7.155)-(7.156), $(\mathbf{S 2})_{\mathrm{n}}$, and the momentum conditions in 8.18).

## Almost invertibility of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$

By (7.154, (8.1), (7.146) and Theorem 8.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}=\mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}+\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1}+\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \mathbf{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ is defined in (8.12) with $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ satisfy the estimates in Lemma 7.19 By (7.145) and 8.26), we have, for some $\sigma:=\sigma\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$, for any $s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S-\mu(\mathbf{b})-\sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{ \pm 1} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{S}\|h\|_{s+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}+\left\|\mathfrak{I}_{0}\right\|_{s+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v}\|h\|_{s_{0}+\sigma}^{k_{0}, v} . \tag{8.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to verify the almost invertibility assumption (AI) of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ in Section6 we decompose the operator $\mathrm{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ in 8.12) (with $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ instead of n ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\leq}+\mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}+\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})} \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}$ satisfies 8.27), whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{<}:=\Pi_{K_{\bar{n}}}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \Pi_{K_{\bar{n}}}+\Pi_{K_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}:=\Pi_{K_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\perp}+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \Pi_{K_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}}^{\perp}-\Pi_{K_{\bar{n}}}^{\perp} \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the smoothing operator $\Pi_{K}$ on the traveling waves is defined in (3.5), and $\Pi_{K}^{\perp}:=\mathrm{Id}-\Pi_{K}$. The constants $K_{\mathrm{n}}$ in (8.47) are $K_{\mathrm{n}}:=K_{0}^{\chi^{\mathrm{n}}}, \chi=3 / 2$ (cfr. (6.10), and $K_{0}$ will be fixed in (9.4).

Lemma 8.8. (First order Melnikov non-resonance conditions) For all $\lambda=(\omega, \gamma)$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v, I}:=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]:\left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mu_{j}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}\right| \geqslant v \frac{|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\langle\ell\rangle^{\tau}}, \forall|\ell| \leqslant K_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}, j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}, j+\vec{\jmath} \cdot \ell=0\right\} \tag{8.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the subspace of the traveling waves $\tau_{\varsigma} g(\varphi)=g(\varphi-\vec{\jmath}), \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $g(\varphi, \cdot) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$, the operator $\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\leq}$in 8.47) is invertible and there exists an extension of the inverse operator (that we denote in the same way) to the whole $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathbf{n}}}^{<}\right)^{-1} g\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{k_{0}} v^{-1}\|g\|_{s+\tau_{1}}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad \tau_{1}=k_{0}+\tau\left(k_{0}+1\right) \tag{8.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\left(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{\leq}\right)^{-1} g$ is a traveling wave.
Proof. The estimate 8.49) follows arguing as in Lemma 8.4
Standard smoothing properties imply that the operator $\mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\bar{n})}$ in 8.47) satisfies, for any traveling wave $h \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}^{\perp}$, for all $b>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})} h\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim K_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-b}\|h\|_{s_{0}+b+1}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad\left\|\mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})} h\right\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim\|h\|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{8.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the decompositions 8.44, 8.46, Theorem 8.3 (note that (6.1) and Lemma 6.2 imply 8.10 ), Proposition 7.20 the fact that $\mathbf{W}_{\bar{n}}$ maps (anti)-reversible, respectively traveling, waves, into (anti)-reversible, respectively traveling, waves (Lemma 7.17) and estimates (8.45), 8.49, 8.50, (3.8) we deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 8.9. (Almost invertibility of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ ) Assume (6.1). Let $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ as in (7.23) and $M$ as in 8.5). Let $S>s_{0}+k_{0}$ and assume the smallness condition 8.25). Then the almost invertibility assumption (AI) in Section holds with $\Lambda_{o}$ replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v}:=\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v}(i):=\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v} \cap \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v, I} \cap \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}(2 v, \tau) \tag{8.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (8.18), 8.48), (7.25) and, with $\mu(\mathrm{b})$ defined in (8.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\omega}^{<}:=\mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{<} \mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}, & \mathcal{R}_{\omega}:=\mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{R}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})} \mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}+\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \mathbf{P}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1} \\
& \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp}:=\mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{Q}_{\perp}^{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})} \mathbf{W}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1}+\mathcal{W}^{\perp} \mathbf{Q}_{\perp, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\perp}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}, \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{\perp}$ satisfy (6.12), (6.13), (6.14).

## 9 Proof of Theorem 5.1

Theorem 5.1 is a consequence of Theorem 9.1 below. In turn Theorem 9.1 is deduced, in a by now standard way, from the almost invertibility result of $\mathcal{L}_{\omega}$ of Theorem 8.9] as in [9, 2, 7]. Remark that the estimates 6.16), 6.17], 6.18), 6.19) coincide with (5.49)-(5.52) in [2] with $M=1 / 2$. Therefore this section shall be short.

We consider the finite dimensional subspaces of traveling wave variations

$$
E_{\mathrm{n}}:=\left\{\mathfrak{I}(\varphi)=(\Theta, I, w)(\varphi) \text { such that 3.37) holds : } \Theta=\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} \Theta, I=\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} I, w=\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} w\right\}
$$

where $\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} w:=\Pi_{K_{\mathrm{n}}} w$ are defined as in (3.5) with $K_{\mathrm{n}}$ in (6.10), and we denote with the same symbol $\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} g(\varphi):=\sum_{|\ell| \leqslant K_{\mathrm{n}}} g_{\ell} e^{\mathrm{i} \ell \cdot \varphi}$. Note that the projector $\Pi_{\mathrm{n}}$ maps (anti)-reversible traveling variations into (anti)-reversible traveling variations.

In view of the Nash-Moser Theorem 9.1 we introduce the constants

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{1}:=\max \left\{6 \sigma_{1}+13, \chi\left(p(\tau+1)+\mu(\mathrm{b})+2 \sigma_{1}\right)+1\right\}, \quad \mathrm{a}_{2}:=\chi^{-1} \mathrm{a}_{1}-\mu(\mathrm{b})-2 \sigma_{1},  \tag{9.1}\\
& \mu_{1}:=3\left(\mu(\mathrm{~b})+2 \sigma_{1}\right)+1, \quad \mathrm{~b}_{1}:=\mathrm{a}_{1}+2 \mu(\mathrm{~b})+4 \sigma_{1}+3+\chi^{-1} \mu_{1}, \quad \chi=3 / 2 \\
& \sigma_{1}:=\max \left\{\bar{\sigma}, 2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+5\right\}, \quad S-\mu(\mathrm{b})-\bar{\sigma}=s_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{1}, \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\sigma}=\bar{\sigma}\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)>0$ is defined by Theorem6.4 $2 s_{0}+2 k_{0}+5$ is the largest loss of regularity in the estimates of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{P}$ in Lemma6.1 $\mu(\mathrm{b})$ is defined in 8.6), and $\mathrm{b}=[\mathrm{a}]+2$ is defined in (7.23). The exponent $p$ in (6.10) is required to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \mathrm{a}>\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{a}_{1}+\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{1} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7.23), and the definition of $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ in (9.1), there exists $p=p\left(\tau, \nu, k_{0}\right)$ such that 9.3) holds, for example we fix $p:=\frac{3\left(\mu(\mathrm{~b})+4 \sigma_{1}+1\right)}{\mathrm{a}}$.

Given a function $W=(\mathfrak{I}, \beta)$ where $\mathfrak{I}$ is the periodic component of a torus as in (5.7) and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, we denote $\|W\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}:=\|\Im\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+|\beta|^{k_{0}, v}$.
Theorem 9.1. (Nash-Moser) There exist $\delta_{0}, C_{*}>0$ such that, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \varepsilon v^{-4}<\delta_{0}, \tau_{3}:=\max \left\{p \tau_{2}, 2 \sigma_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{1}+4\right\}, K_{0}:=v^{-1}, v:=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}}, 0<\mathrm{a}<\left(4+\tau_{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{2}=\tau_{2}(\tau, \nu)$ is given by Theorem 8.2 then, for all $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0$ :
$(\mathcal{P} 1)_{\mathrm{n}}$ There exists a $k_{0}$-times differentiable function $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow E_{\mathrm{n}-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \lambda=(\omega, \gamma) \mapsto$ $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}(\lambda):=\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{\mathrm{n}}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{\mathrm{n}}-\omega\right)$, for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$, and $\widetilde{W}_{0}:=0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon v^{-1} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}:=U_{0}+\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where $U_{0}:=(\varphi, 0,0, \omega)$. The difference $\widetilde{H}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}-\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$, for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{H}_{1}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon v^{-1}, \quad\left\|\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon v^{-1} K_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}_{2}}, \forall \mathrm{n} \geqslant 2 \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The torus embedding $\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}}:=(\varphi, 0,0)+\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is reversible and traveling, i.e. (5.6) holds.
$(\mathcal{P} 2)_{\mathrm{n}}$ We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{0}:=\Omega \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right], \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}+1}:=\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}} \cap \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}\left(\tilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geqslant 0, \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{v}\left(\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is defined in (8.51). Then, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$, setting $K_{-1}:=1$, we have

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\tilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\|_{s_{0}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon K_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}_{1}}
$$

$(\mathcal{P} 3)_{\mathrm{n}}$ (High NORMS) For all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$, we have $\left\|\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon v^{-1} K_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mu_{1}}$.
Proof. The inductive proof follows exactly as in [9, 2]. The verification that each approximate torus $\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is reversible and traveling is given in [7].

Theorem 5.1 is a by now standard corollary of Theorem 9.1, as in [9, 2, 7]. Let $v=\varepsilon^{\text {a }}$, with $0<\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{a}_{0}:=1 /\left(4+\tau_{3}\right)$. Then, the smallness condition in 9.4) is verified for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ small enough and Theorem 9.1 holds. By (9.6), the sequence of functions $\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}-(\varphi, 0,0, \omega)=\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{\mathrm{n}}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{\mathrm{n}}-\omega\right)$ converges to a function $W_{\infty}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right] \rightarrow H_{\varphi}^{s_{0}} \times H_{\varphi}^{s_{0}} \times H^{s_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$, and we define

$$
U_{\infty}:=\left(i_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty}\right):=(\varphi, 0,0, \omega)+W_{\infty}
$$

The torus $i_{\infty}$ is reversible and traveling, i.e. (5.6) holds. By (9.5), (9.6), we also deduce the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{\infty}-U_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{*} \varepsilon v^{-1}, \quad\left\|U_{\infty}-\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{0}+\mu(\mathrm{b})+\sigma_{1}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-1} K_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{a}_{2}}, \forall \mathrm{n} \geqslant 1 . \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular (5.8)-(5.9) hold. By Theorem $9.1-(\mathcal{P} 2)_{\mathrm{n}}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{F}\left(\lambda ; U_{\infty}(\lambda)\right)=0$ for any $\lambda$ in the set
$\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathcal{G}_{0} \cap \bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}\left(\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \stackrel{8.51}{=} \mathcal{G}_{0} \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v}\left(\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v, I}\left(\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \mathrm{TC}_{\mathrm{n}}(2 v, \tau)\left(\widetilde{\imath}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right]$,
where $\mathcal{G}_{0}:=\Omega \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. To conclude the proof of Theorem $5.1 \mathrm{it} \mathrm{remains} \mathrm{only} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{define} \mathrm{the} \mu_{j}^{\infty}$ in (5.10) and prove that the set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ in (5.12)-(5.15) is contained in $\cap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$. We first define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\infty}:=\mathcal{G}_{0} \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{2 v}\left(i_{\infty}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{2 v, I}\left(i_{\infty}\right)\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1} \operatorname{TC}_{\mathrm{n}}(4 v, \tau)\left(i_{\infty}\right)\right] . \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that the approximate solution $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is exponentially close to the limit $U_{\infty}$ according to 9.8 , and relying on the inclusion properties of the set of non-resonant parameters stated precisely in Lemmata 7.9 and 8.23], one directly deduces the following lemma (cfr. e.g. Lemma 8.6 in [9]).

Lemma 9.2. $\mathcal{G}_{\infty} \subseteq \cap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are defined in 9.7).
Then we define the $\mu_{j}^{\infty}$ in (5.10) with $\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\left(i_{\infty}\right), \mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}=\mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(i_{\infty}\right), \mathrm{m}_{0}^{\infty}=\mathrm{m}_{0}\left(i_{\infty}\right)$, and $\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{m}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathrm{~m}_{0}$ are provided in Proposition 7.20, By 8.14), the sequence $\left(\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(i_{\infty}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ given by Theorem 8.2$(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}}$ (evaluated at $i=i_{\infty}$ ), is a Cauchy sequence in $|\cdot|^{k_{0}, v}$. Then we define $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}:=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(i_{\infty}\right)$, for any $j \in \mathbb{S}_{0}^{c}$, which satisfies $|j|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{\infty}-\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(i_{\infty}\right)\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C \varepsilon v^{-3} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}$ for any $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0$. Then, recalling $\mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(0)}\left(i_{\infty}\right)=0$ and (7.155), the estimates (5.11) hold (here $C=C(S)$ with $S$ fixed in (9.21). Finally one checks (see e.g. Lemma 8.7 in [9]) that the Cantor set $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v}$ in (5.12)-(5.15) satisfies $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$, with $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}$ defined in 9.9), and Lemma 9.2 implies that $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{v} \subseteq \cap_{\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem5.1

## A Almost straightening of a transport operator

The main results of this appendix are Theorem A. 2 and Corollary A. 4 The goal is to almost-straighten a linear quasi-periodic transport operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+p_{0}(\varphi, x) \partial_{x} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to a constant coefficient one $\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+m_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}$, up to a small term $p_{\mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}$, see A.4) and A.5). We follow the scheme of Section 4 in [3].

We first introduce the following weighted-graded Sobolev norm: for any $u=u(\lambda) \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\nu+1}\right)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}, k_{0}$-times differentiable with respect to $\lambda=(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, we define the weighted graded Sobolev norm

$$
|u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}:=\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^{\nu+1} \\ 0 \leqslant|k| \leqslant k_{0}}} v^{|k|} \sup _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]}\left\|\partial_{\lambda}^{k} u(\lambda)\right\|_{s-|k|}
$$

This norm satisfies usual tame and interpolation estimates. The main reason to use this norm is the estimate (A.2) for the composition operator where there is no loss of $k_{0}$-derivatives on the highest norm $|u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$, unlike the corresponding estimate $(3.28)$ for the $\left\|\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\right.$. This is used in a crucial way to prove A.25) and then deduce the a-priori bound A.5) for the divergence of the high norms of the functions $p_{\mathrm{n}}$. In the following we consider

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{0}:=s_{0}+k_{0}>\frac{1}{2}(\nu+1)+k_{0} .
$$

We report the following estimates which can be proved by adapting the arguments of [9].

## Lemma A.1. The following hold:

(i) For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant\|u\|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant|u|_{s+k_{0}}^{k_{0},}$.
(ii) For any $s \geqslant \mathfrak{s}_{0}$, we have $|u v|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s)|u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}|v|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}+C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)|u|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}|v|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$. The tame constant $C(s):=C\left(s, k_{0}\right)$ is monotone in $s \geqslant \mathfrak{s}_{0}$.
(iii) For $N \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$ we have $\left|\Pi_{N} u\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant N^{\alpha}|u|_{s-\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}$ and $\left|\Pi_{N}^{\perp} u\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant N^{-\alpha}|u|_{s+\alpha}^{k_{0}, v}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iv) Let $|\beta|_{2 \mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \delta\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)$ small enough. Then the composition operator $\mathcal{B}$ defined as in (7.22) satisfies the tame estimate, for any $s \geqslant \mathfrak{s}_{0}+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{B} u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s)\left(|u|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+|\beta|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}|u|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}\right) . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tame constant $C(s):=C\left(s, k_{0}\right)$ is monotone in $s \geqslant \mathfrak{s}_{0}$.
Moreover the diffeomorphism $x \mapsto x+\beta(\varphi, x)$ is invertible and the inverse diffeomorphism $y \mapsto$ $y+\breve{\beta}(\varphi, y)$ satisfies, for any $s \geqslant \mathfrak{s}_{0},|\breve{\beta}|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s)|\beta|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$.
(v) For any $\epsilon>0, a_{0}, b_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $p, q>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}=C_{\epsilon}(p, q)>0$, with $C_{1}<1$, such that

$$
|u|_{a_{0}+p}^{k_{0}, v}|v|_{b_{0}+q}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \epsilon|u|_{a_{0}+p+q}^{k_{0}, v}|v|_{b_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}+C_{\epsilon}|u|_{a_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}|v|_{b_{0}+p+q}^{k_{0}, v}
$$

We now state the almost straightening result of the quasi-periodic transport operator. Remind that $N_{\mathrm{n}}:=N_{0}^{\chi^{\mathrm{n}}}, \chi=3 / 2, N_{-1}:=1$, see (7.20).

Theorem A. 2 (Almost straightening). Consider the quasi-periodic transport operator $X_{0}$ in A.1) where $p_{0}(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave, even $(\varphi, x)$, defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. For any $S>\mathfrak{s}_{0}$, there exist $\tau_{2}>\tau_{1}+1+\mathrm{a}, \delta:=\delta\left(S, \mathfrak{s}_{0}, k_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \in(0,1)$ and $N_{0}:=N_{0}\left(S, \mathfrak{s}_{0}, k_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $\tau_{1}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ defined in (7.23) ) such that, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}^{\tau_{2}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{2 \mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}+1}^{k_{0}} v^{-1} \leqslant \delta, \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, for any $\mathrm{n}=0, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$, the following holds true:
$(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}}$ There exists a linear quasi-periodic transport operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{n}}:=\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left(\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)\right) \partial_{x} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, where $p_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave function, even $(\varphi, x)$, such that, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s, \mathrm{~b}) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s, \mathrm{~b}) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C(s, \mathrm{~b}) \geqslant 1$ monotone in $s \in\left[\mathfrak{s}_{0}, S\right]$, and $\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ is a real constant satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant 2\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}, \quad\left|\mathrm{~m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}-1}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) N_{\mathrm{n}-2}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}, \forall \mathrm{n} \geqslant 2 . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Lambda_{0}^{T}:=\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, and, for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{T}} & :=\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{v, \mathrm{~T}}\left(p_{0}\right)  \tag{A.7}\\
& :=\left\{(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mathrm{T}}:\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}-1} \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau} \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \backslash\{0\},|\ell| \leqslant N_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 1$, there exists a quasi-periodic traveling wave function $g_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)$, odd $(\varphi, x)$, defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, fulfilling for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\tau_{1}} v^{-1}\left|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}-1}} p_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C(s) \geqslant 1$ monotone in $s \in\left[\mathfrak{s}_{0}, S\right]$, such that, defining the composition operators

$$
\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}-1} u\right)(\varphi, x):=u\left(\varphi, x+g_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)\right), \quad\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-1} u\right)(\varphi, y):=u\left(\varphi, y+\breve{g}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, y)\right),
$$

where $x=y+\breve{g}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, y)$ is the inverse diffeomorphism of $y=x+g_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)$, the following conjugation formula holds: for any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in the set $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ (cfr. A.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-1} X_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\mathbf{S 2})_{\mathrm{n}}$ Let $\Delta_{12} p_{0}:=p_{0,1}-p_{0,2}$. For any $s_{1} \in\left[s_{0}+1, S\right]$, there exist $C\left(s_{1}\right)>0$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right) \in(0,1)$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \sup _{(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]}\left(\left\|p_{0,1}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}}+\left\|p_{0,2}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}}\right) v^{-1} \leqslant \delta^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right), \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Delta_{12} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{1}-1} \leqslant C\left(s_{1}\right) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}}, \quad\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}} \leqslant C\left(s_{1}\right) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}}  \tag{A.11}\\
& \left|\Delta_{12}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)\right| \leqslant\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s_{0}}, \quad\left|\Delta_{12 \mathrm{~m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}}\right| \leqslant C\left(s_{1}\right)\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}} . \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover for any $s \geqslant s_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{12} g_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s} \lesssim_{s} v^{-1}\left(\left\|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}} \Delta_{12} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{s+\tau}+v^{-1}\left|\Delta_{12 \mathrm{~m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}}\right|\left\|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}} p_{\mathrm{n}, 2}\right\|_{s+2 \tau+1}\right) . \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce the following corollaries.
Corollary A.3. For any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have the inclusion $\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, 2 v, \tau\right) \subset \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v, \mathrm{~T}}$ where the set $\mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, 2 v, \tau\right)$ is defined in 7.25).
Proof. When $\overline{\mathrm{n}}=0$, by definition we have $\mathrm{TC}_{1}(2 v, \tau) \subset \Lambda_{1}^{v, \mathrm{~T}}$. Let $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, 2 v, \tau\right)$. For any $k=0, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}-1$ we have, by A.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}-\mathrm{m}_{1, k}\right| \lesssim_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}} N_{k-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7.25) and A.14, for all $0<|\ell| \leqslant N_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, k} \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right| & \geqslant\left|\left(\omega-\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}} \vec{\jmath}\right) \cdot \ell\right|-\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}-\mathrm{m}_{1, k}\right||\vec{\jmath}||\ell| \\
& \geqslant 2 v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}-C N_{k-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}|\ell| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $C N_{k}^{\tau+1} N_{k-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0} v} v^{-1}<1$, which is satisfied by (A.3) and (7.23). Thus, recalling (A.7), we have proved that $(\omega, \gamma) \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}^{v, \mathrm{~T}}$.

Corollary A.4. For any $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathrm{TC}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1, \overline{\mathrm{n}}}, 2 v, \tau\right)$ we have the conjugation formula

$$
X_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}^{-1} X_{0} \mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathcal{B}_{0}:=\mathrm{Id}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}:=\mathcal{G}_{0} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}, \overline{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 1
$$

and $X_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ is given in (A.4) with $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$. Moreover, when $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 1$, for any $\mathrm{n}=1, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$, each $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the composition operator induced by the diffeomorphism of the torus $x \mapsto x+\beta_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x),\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{n}} u\right)(\varphi, x)=$ $u\left(\varphi, x+\beta_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)\right)$, where the function $\beta_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave, odd $(\varphi, x)$, satisfying, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$, for some constant $\underline{C}(S) \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \underline{C}(S) v^{-1} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $p_{0,1}, p_{0,2}$ fulfilling (A.10), we have $\left\|\Delta_{12} \beta_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqslant \bar{C}(S) v^{-1} N_{0}^{\tau}\left\|\Delta_{12} p_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}+\mathrm{b}}$.
Proof. Let $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 1$ and we argue by induction on $\mathrm{n}=1, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$. For $\mathrm{n}=1$ we have that $\beta_{1}=g_{0}$. Hence, using (A.8), we get, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(S) v^{-1} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves A.15) for $\mathrm{n}=1$ and provided $\underline{C}(S) \geqslant C(S)$. If $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 2$, for $\mathrm{n}=2, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ the operator $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is the composition operator induced by the diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)=\beta_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)+g_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\varphi, x+\beta_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)\right)=\beta_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\varphi, x)+\left\{\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{n}-1} g_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right\}(\varphi, x) \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{0}(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave odd $(\varphi, x)$ each $\beta_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ is a quasi-periodic traveling wave odd $(\varphi, x)$. We now assume by induction that A.15) up to $\mathrm{n}-1$. We first prove that, for any $k=2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, we have, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\beta_{k}-\beta_{k-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \stackrel{\text { A.17 }}{=}\left|\mathcal{B}_{k-1} g_{k-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \stackrel{\stackrel{A .2]}{\lessgtr}}{\lessgtr} C(s)\left(\left|g_{k-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|\beta_{k-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{k-1}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +C(S, \mathrm{~b}) \underline{C}(S) v^{-2} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}} N_{k-1}^{\tau_{1}} N_{k-2}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& \stackrel{\boxed{\mathrm{~A} .3}}{\leqslant} C(S, \mathrm{~b})(1+\underline{C}(S)) v^{-1} N_{k-1}^{\tau_{1}} N_{k-2}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} . \tag{A.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A.18) and A.16), we derive, for any $\mathrm{n}=2, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ and setting $b:=\mathrm{a}-\frac{1}{2} \tau_{1} \geqslant 1$ (see (7.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} & \leqslant \sum_{k=2}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|\beta_{k}-\beta_{k-1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|\beta_{1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& \leqslant\left(C(S, \mathrm{~b})(1+\underline{C}(S)) N_{0}^{-b}+C(S)\right) v^{-1} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& \leqslant \underline{C}(S) v^{-1} N_{0}^{\tau_{1}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $C(S, \mathrm{~b}) N_{0}^{-b} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\underline{C}(S):=1+2 C(S)$. This proves A.15) at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$.
The estimate for $\Delta_{12} \beta_{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}$ follows similarly by (A.11)-A.13), A.5], A.10).
Remark A.5. If the function $p_{0}(\varphi, x)$ in A.1 is not a quasi-periodic traveling wave $p_{0}(\varphi, x)$, the same kind of conjugation result holds requiring in A.7) the non resonance conditions

$$
\left|\omega \cdot \ell+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}-1} j\right| \geqslant v\langle\ell\rangle^{-\tau}, \forall(\ell, j) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{Z}\right) \backslash\{0\},|(\ell, j)| \leqslant N_{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

Proof of Theorem A.2 The proof is inductive. In Lemma A.6 we prove that the norms $\left|p_{n}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}$ satisfy inequalities typical of a Nash-Moser iterative scheme, which converges under the smallness low norm condition (A.3).
The step $\mathrm{n}=0$. The items $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathbf{0}},(\mathbf{S 2})_{\mathbf{0}}$, hold with $\mathrm{m}_{1,0}:=0$ (the estimates A.6), A.5) are trivial, as well as A.11- A.12).
The reducibility step. We now describe the generic inductive step, showing how to transform $X_{\mathrm{n}}$ in A.4 into $X_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ by conjugating with the composition operator $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ induced by a diffeomorphism $x+g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ for a periodic function $g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ (defined in A.20). A direct computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1} X_{\mathrm{n}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} g_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left(1+\left(g_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{x}\right)\right)\right\} \partial_{y} \\
& =\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{y}+\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}\left(\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}\right) g_{\mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}\left(g_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{x}\right)\right\} \partial_{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ as the solution of the homological equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}\right) g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)+\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}} p_{\mathrm{n}}=\left\langle p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x} \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x}$ is the average of $p_{\mathrm{n}}$ defined as in (3.6). So we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x):=-\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}} p_{\mathrm{n}}-\left\langle p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x}\right) \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi}+\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \partial_{x}\right)_{\text {ext }}^{-1}$ is introduced in 3.9). The function $g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ is defined for all parameters $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$, it is a quasi-periodic traveling wave, odd $(\varphi, x)$, fulfills A.8) at the step n (by (3.11), and for any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in the set $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{T}}$ defined in (A.7), it solves the homological equation A.19. By (A.8) at the step $n,\left(\mathrm{~A} .5\right.$, $\mathrm{A.3}, \mathrm{a} \geqslant \chi \tau_{1}+3$ (see (7.23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{2 \mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{2 \mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}+1}^{k_{0}, v} v^{-1}<\delta\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}\right) \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $N_{0}$ is large enough. By LemmaA.1-4 the diffeomorphism $y=x+g_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, x)$ is invertible and its inverse $x=y+\breve{g}_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi, y)$ (which induces the operator $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}$ ) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\breve{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C(s)\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $(\omega, \gamma)$ in $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{T}}$, the operator $X_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1} X_{\mathrm{n}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ takes the form A.4) at step $\mathrm{n}+1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}+1}:=\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}+\left\langle p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\varphi, y):=\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}\left(g_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{x}\right)\right\}(\varphi, y) \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This verifies A.9) at step $n+1$. Note that the constant $m_{1, n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function $p_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\varphi, y)$ in A.23) are defined for all $(\omega, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times\left[\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right]$.

In order to prove the inductive estimates A.5], we first show the following iterative estimates of Nash-Moser type.
Lemma A.6. The function $p_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ defined in A.23) satisfies, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left|p_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{1}(s)\left(N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} v^{-1}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}, v}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \\
\left|p_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant C_{2}(s, \mathrm{~b})\left(\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} v^{-1}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}, v}^{k_{0}, v}\right. \tag{A.25}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the positive constants $C_{1}(s), C_{2}(s, \mathfrak{b})$ are monotone in $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$.
Proof. We first show the estimate A.24. We write $p_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ in A.23) as $p_{\mathrm{n}+1}:=\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1} F_{\mathrm{n}}$ with $F_{\mathrm{n}}:=$ $\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}+p_{\mathrm{n}}\left(g_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{x}$. By Lemma A.1-item 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant\left|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+C(s)\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}\right)\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v} \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A.2), A.22], A.26), A.8) at step n, Lemma A. 1 and A.21), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} \lesssim_{s}\left|F_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|F_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& \lesssim_{s}\left|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& +\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left(\left|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+2}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \\
& \lesssim_{s}\left|\Pi_{N_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\perp} p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v}+\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+1}^{k_{0}, v}+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} v^{-1}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s-1}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+1}^{k_{0}, v} \\
& \lesssim s N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}+N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} v^{-1}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}^{k_{0}, v}, \tag{A.27}
\end{align*}
$$

which is A.24. The estimate A.25) follows as for A.27) (with $s \leadsto s+$ b).
As a corollary of the previous lemma we deduce the following lemma.
Lemma A.7. The estimates A.5-A.6 hold at the step $\mathrm{n}+1$.
Proof. By A.24) and A.5) we have, for any $\mathfrak{s}_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right|_{s}^{k_{0}, v} & \leqslant C_{1}(S) C(s, \mathrm{~b})\left(N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}+C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) v^{-1} N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-2 \mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}\right) \\
& \leqslant C(s, \mathrm{~b}) N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{s+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v}
\end{aligned}
$$

asking that $C_{1}(S) N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{b}} N_{\mathrm{n}-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{a}}$ and $C_{1}(S) C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) v^{-1} N_{\mathrm{n}}^{\tau_{1}+1} N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-2 \mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} N_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{a}}$, which both follow by (7.23), the smallness assumption A.3) and taking $N_{0}:=N_{0}(S)>0$ sufficiently large. This proves the first estimate of (A.5) at step $n+1$. The second follows in a similar way, eventually increasing $N_{0}$.

Finally we have, by A.23) and the first inequality in A.5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{m}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right|^{k_{0}, v}=\left|\left\langle p_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle_{\varphi, x}\right|^{k_{0}, v} \leqslant\left.\left|p_{\mathrm{n}}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}}\right|_{0}, v \quad \leqslant C\left(\mathfrak{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}\right) N_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{-\mathrm{a}}\left|p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{s}_{0}+\mathrm{b}}^{k_{0},}, \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

proving the second estimate (A.6) at step $n+1$. Writing $m_{1, n+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(m_{1, j+1}-m_{1, j}\right)$ and recalling that $\mathrm{m}_{1,0}=0$, we deduce by A.28) the first estimate A.6) at step $\mathrm{n}+1$.

The proof of $(\mathbf{S} 1)_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ is complete. The item $(\mathbf{S} 2)_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ follows by similar inductive arguments. The proof of Theorem A. 2 is concluded.
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