Light-Cone Gauge in Non-Relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ String Theory

ANDREA FONTANELLA¹ AND JUAN MIGUEL NIETO GARCÍA²

¹Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, IRIS Gebäude, Zum Groβen Windkanal 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany

> ²Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK.

Abstract

We discuss the non-relativistic limit of string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ for different choices of embedding coordinates. We show that, if we consider Cartesian coordinates, the action of fluctuations around the twisted BMN-like string found in arXiv:2109.13240 in uniform light-cone gauge becomes the one of free fields at large string tension and large AdS_5 radius.

¹afontanella@perimeterinstitute.ca

Current affiliation: Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada. ²juan.miguel.nieto.garcia@desy.de

Current affiliation: II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany.

Contents

Introduction		1
1	Non-relativistic limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory 1.1 Change of coordinates	4 7
2	Uniform light-cone gauge in the large R and T limit 2.1 The expansion	8 12 16
3	Conclusions	17
Aj	ppendices	19
Α	String Newton-Cartan dataA.1 $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ algebra contractionA.2Cartesian global coordinatesA.3Polar global coordinatesA.4GGK coordinates	 19 20 21 22 24
в	Cubic and Quartic Lagrangians	25

Introduction

Recently, we experienced in the community of theoretical high-energy physics a growing interest regarding the topic of non-relativistic string theory. The first theory of nonrelativistic strings was formulated in flat spacetime [1] and later it was also constructed in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [2], but it is only recently that we gained a better understanding of these type of theories. In [3, 4] it was found that non-relativistic string theories (in flat or curved backgrounds) are theories secretly defined on a manifold which is *String Newton-Cartan*. This is quite different from the usual relativistic string theory, where the string is free to move on a Riemannian manifold. These articles also discuss T-duality and symmetries for non-relativistic string theories¹. The approach used in [3,4] consists in expanding the relativistic vielbein at large parameter ω , which plays the stringy analogue role of the speed of light, and extract the String Newton-Cartan geometry data as coefficients of the expansion. Before taking ω to infinity, this procedure requires us to couple the relativistic action to a closed critical B-field, in order to trade a divergent term in the metric for additional non-dynamical fields, which can be interpreted as Lagrange multipliers.

¹In these articles, only closed strings have been considered. For recent work on non-relativistic *open* strings, see [5].

Alternative approaches to the limit procedure have been proposed. One of them, called the *null-reduction* approach, consists in obtaining a Polyakov-type action on a torsional Newton-Cartan geometry (of a particle type) from the reduction of a Riemannian background with a null isometry [6]. Another approach, called the *expansion* approach and proposed in [7], consists in expanding the relativistic action in a large parameter ω and study the equations of motion up to a certain order in ω , without having to take a limit on ω , and therefore without having to introduce a critical B-field. The idea behind the expansion approach is reminiscent of the Lie algebra expansion method applied to coset string sigma models [8], where in the latter one it is required a concrete target space isometry algebra in order to apply the expansion on it. In this article, we shall take the limit point of view.

Non-relativistic string theories have many differences with their relativistic counterparts. Here we highlight two of them. First, non-relativistic string theories cannot be consistently defined on a Lorentzian background. Despite that, when they are defined on a String Newton-Cartan background, but with a world-sheet that remains relativistic, these theories are free of Weyl anomalies [9] and they can be regarded as a consistent string theories, independent of their parental relativistic ones, to be studied on their own. Second, these theories require having a winding mode to be consistent. As it was pointed out in flat space, in order to obtain a non-empty spectrum in a non-relativistic string theory, one needs to impose that the string wraps around the longitudinal spatial direction of the background geometry. This happens also for non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory, as it was found to be a consistency condition imposed by the Lagrange multipliers' equations of motion [10, 11].

In view of those characteristics, one could ask what could be the non-relativistic version of the AdS/CFT duality. So far, such duality has been largely studied in the relativistic case, where the most famous version is the one that relates the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ relativistic string theory and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in 4d [12]. To begin the program of testing a non-relativistic version of the duality, in the string theory side one needs to compute the spectrum of the string excitations. This has been done with great success in relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory, where integrability in the planar limit has played an important role in terms of making computations manageable [13].

Progress towards understanding classical integrability in the non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string action has recently been made.² A coset description of the String Newton-Cartan $AdS_5 \times S^5$ manifold was found in [15,16], which made possible to formulate a non-relativistic

²Another instance where integrability appears manifestly is by taking a further non-relativistic limit by sending the world-sheet speed of light to infinity. In this way, one ends up with a theory which has a non-relativistic world-sheet and target space geometry. When this is applied to $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory, the double scaled theory is called Spin Matrix theory, which in its simplest case is the Landau-Liftshitz theory [6], and it is known to be integrable. A generalisation to other values of the charges has been studied in [14].

version of the Metsaev-Tseytlin coset action. Thanks to this formalism, it was possible to find a Lax pair [15], as a first step towards proving classical integrability. The spectral curve associated with such Lax pair was studied in [17] and the non-standard result obtained indicates that the usual notion of spectral curve should be generalised to take into account the non-diagonalisability of the monodromy matrix in the context of non semi-simple isometry algebras, which is the case when taking the non-relativistic limit.

The spirit of this article is to start applying ideas developed in relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory [18, 19], which are useful in order to test the AdS/CFT duality, also to the non-relativistic theory. In order to find the full non-relativistic spectrum of string excitations, one possible way is to find the S-matrix of the theory and then apply Bethe ansatz techniques. To determine the S-matrix, first we need to fix a gauge in such a way that we get rid of the redundant string degrees of freedom. In the context of relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory, the *light-cone gauge* is particularly useful, since in this gauge one can implement all Virasoro constraints directly in the action, having no ghosts to deal with, and in the large string tension limit the action expands perturbatively around the BMN vacuum as a 2d free massive scalar fields at quadratic level. This is particularly convenient for quantisation and for computing the S-matrix governing scattering of string excitations [13, 18, 19].

At the moment, the spectral problem of non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory has been studied only in the *static gauge*, see e.g. [20,21]. In the static gauge, the action of quadratic fluctuations describes 3 massive and 5 massless free scalar fields propagating in AdS₂. Although the dynamics of these fields is "free", there is still an important complication due to the fact that they propagate in a curved 2d spacetime (i.e. AdS₂), and finding the S-matrix of scalar fields moving in AdS₂ is notoriously difficult, see e.g. [22]. Moreover, a perturbative expansion in large AdS₂ radius would produce untamed terms at the asymptotic infinities, preventing us from defining asymptotic scattering states, as one would usually do in flat spacetime. This is something that usually does not happen in light-cone gauge, as typically the action expands about free scalar fields in 2d flat spacetime with tamed correction terms, such that, in general, asymptotic scattering states are well-defined.

With that goal in mind, in this article we plan to tackle the following two points:

Non-relativistic limit in different coordinates. We address the derivation of the non-relativistic AdS₅×S⁵ string theory starting from different choices of embedding coordinates. The idea is to start with the relativistic string action written in different set of coordinates, which are all equivalent. This raises the question of how to take a sensible non-relativistic limit in all these different coordinates. We show that the rescaling of the coordinates can be fixed by the İnönü-Wigner contraction of the AdS₅×S⁵ isometry algebra, in particular via the choice of coset representative defining the specific set of coordinates considered. As we shall see, non-relativistic

theories derived from different set of coordinates lead to different sets of String Newton-Cartan data. We comment whether these sets are equivalent by means of gauge transformations.

• Semi-classical expansion. We show that the Cartesian coordinates analogue of the non-relativistic theory proposed in [2], after fixing uniform light-cone gauge³ and when the vacuum is the twisted periodic BMN-like string found in [10], admits a perturbative expansion around free fields in large string tension and large AdS₅ radius.

This article is organised as follows. In section 1 we introduce the notion of nonrelativistic string theory based on a general String Newton-Cartan geometry. The idea of the derivation may be regarded as quite general, although in some points our discussion will be closely referring to the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In this section, we also discuss what happens when one takes different sets of coordinates for the initial relativistic theory, and we shall discuss that in general one lands on theories with different String Newton-Cartan data. In section 2 we fix uniform light-cone gauge for the bosonic sector of non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory, and we show that the action expands in large string tension and large AdS_5 radius around the twisted periodic BMN-like vacuum as a free fields action in Mink₂. In section 3 we will summarise our results and give future prospects. This article closes with two appendices. In Appendix A we define the algebra contraction, the sets of coordinates relevant in this article (Cartesian, polar and GGK) and we write down the String Newton-Cartan data for all of them. In Appendix B we list the cubic and quartic interactions obtained from the expansion of the action in the large string tension and radius limits.

1 Non-relativistic limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory

Our starting point is to consider the usual relativistic string action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. We shall focus only on the bosonic sector. The relativistic action is then

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \left(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu b_{\mu\nu} \right), \qquad (1.1)$$

where T is the string tension, $\sigma^{\alpha} = (\tau, \sigma)$, with $\alpha = 0, 1$, are the string world-sheet coordinates, $\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}$ is the Weyl invariant combination of the inverse worldsheet metric $h^{\alpha\beta}$ and $h = \det(h_{\alpha\beta})$. $X^{\mu} = (t, z_i, \phi, y_i)$, where i = 1, ..., 4, are the string

³We point out that the Hamiltonian formulation for actions based on String Newton-Cartan backgrounds has been studied in [23]. Moreover, light-cone gauge has been fixed for a theory obtained from rescaling t in AdS₅ and ϕ in S⁵ [24], which however does not single out an AdS₂ subspace inside AdS₅ as in [2] and in our case.

embedding coordinates, which are bosonic fields depending on (τ, σ) . $b_{\mu\nu}$ is a *closed* Kalb-Ramond field (db = 0), which is left generic for the moment, and it will be fine-tuned to a critical value when taking the non-relativistic limit. $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the AdS₅×S⁵ metric, which we choose to describe using Cartesian global coordinates,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = -\left(\frac{1+\frac{z^2}{4R^2}}{1-\frac{z^2}{4R^2}}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{z^2}{4R^2})^2} \mathrm{d}z_i \mathrm{d}z_i + \left(\frac{1-\frac{y^2}{4R^2}}{1+\frac{y^2}{4R^2}}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\phi^2 + \frac{1}{(1+\frac{y^2}{4R^2})^2} \mathrm{d}y_i \mathrm{d}y_i ,$$
(1.2)

where R is the common radius of AdS_5 and S^5 . We use the short-hand notation $z^2 \equiv z_i z^i$, where $z^i = z_i$, and the same for the y coordinates. In the limit $R \to \infty$ the action (1.1) describes strings moving in flat space.

This relativistic action has a $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ global symmetry algebra, and the spacetime is described by a Riemannian metric which has local Lorentz invariance. To obtain the analogue in Cartesian coordinates of the non-relativistic $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ action found in [2], we need to contract $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ as described in Appendix A, which takes the $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ algebra to the 5d Newton-Hooke algebra.

Rescaling the generators of $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ with a parameter ω induces a dual rescaling of coordinates, via the coset representative of Cartesian coordinates given in eqn. (A.7). The induced rescaling of coordinates is the following

$$t \to t$$
, $z_1 \to z_1$, $z_m \to \frac{1}{\omega} z_m$, $\phi \to \frac{1}{\omega} \phi$, $y_i \to \frac{1}{\omega} y_i$, (1.3)

where m = 2, 3, 4. It is also convenient to rescale the string tension as follows

$$T \to \omega^2 T$$
, (1.4)

and absorb this ω^2 factor inside the spacetime metric, namely

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv \omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{E}_{\mu}{}^{\hat{A}} \hat{E}_{\nu}{}^{\hat{B}} \hat{\eta}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} , \qquad (1.5)$$

where $\hat{\eta}_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, ..., 1)$. The coordinate rescaling implies that the relativistic vielbein expands as

$$\hat{E}_{\mu}{}^{A} = \omega \tau_{\mu}{}^{A} + \frac{1}{\omega} m_{\mu}{}^{A} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2}) , \qquad \qquad \hat{E}_{\mu}{}^{a} = e_{\mu}{}^{a} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-1}) , \qquad (1.6)$$

where $\hat{A} = 0, ..., d - 1$ is decomposed into A = 0, 1, which are the *longitudinal* directions in tangent space, and into a = 2, ..., d - 1, which are the *transverse* directions in tangent

space. In our case, it turns out to be^4

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mu}{}^{A} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(-\frac{1+\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}{1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}, \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}, 0, ..., 0\right), \\ m_{\mu}{}^{A} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(-\frac{z_{m}z_{m}}{2R^{2}(1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2})}, \frac{z_{m}z_{m}}{4R^{2}(1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2})}, 0, ..., 0\right), \\ e_{\mu}{}^{a} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(0, 0, \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}, \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}, \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z_{1}}{2R}\right)^{2}}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.7)$$

Substituting the above expansion inside the relativistic action, we get

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \, \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \left(\omega^2 \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \tau_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu H_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu B_{\mu\nu} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2}) \,,$$
(1.8)

where we introduced

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} \equiv \tau_{\mu}{}^{A}\tau_{\nu}{}^{B}\tilde{\eta}_{AB} , \qquad \qquad H_{\mu\nu} \equiv e_{\mu}{}^{a}e_{\nu}{}^{b}\tilde{\delta}_{ab} + \left(\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}m_{\nu}{}^{B} + \tau_{\nu}{}^{A}m_{\mu}{}^{B}\right)\tilde{\eta}_{AB} , \qquad (1.9)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 0, ..., 0), \ \tilde{\delta}_{ab} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, ..., 1). \ \tau_{\mu\nu}$ is called the *longitudinal* metric, while $H_{\mu\nu}$ is the *boost invariant* two-tensor [3].

In the contraction limit where $\omega \to \infty$, the term in the action containing $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ diverges. This is cured by fine-tuning the closed B-field to a critical value,

$$B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \omega^2 b_{\mu\nu} = \omega^2 \tau_{\mu}{}^A \tau_{\nu}{}^B \varepsilon_{AB} , \qquad (1.10)$$

where we have included in $B_{\mu\nu}$ the ω^2 factor from the string tension redefinition. The convention used for the Levi-Civita symbol is $\varepsilon^{01} = +1$ both for $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ and ε^{AB} . By doing this, we get

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \, \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu H_{\mu\nu} + S_{div} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2}) \,, \qquad (1.11)$$

where now the divergent part nicely recasts into a Lorentz square

$$S_{div} = -\frac{\omega^2 T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \, \gamma^{00} \mathcal{F}^A \mathcal{F}^B \eta_{AB} \,, \qquad (1.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}^{A} = \tau_{\mu}{}^{A}\partial_{0}X^{\mu} - \frac{1}{\gamma_{11}}\varepsilon^{AB}\eta_{BC}\tau_{\mu}{}^{C}\partial_{1}X^{\mu} - \frac{\gamma_{01}}{\gamma_{11}}\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}\partial_{1}X^{\mu} .$$
(1.13)

The divergent action written in the form (1.12) is suitable to be rewritten in terms of

⁴In this article we trade some abuse of notation for some convenience by writing $\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}$ and $e_{\mu}{}^{a}$ as 10×10 matrices, although they are 10 × 2 and 10 × 8 matrices respectively.

Lagrange multipliers λ_A as

$$S_{div} = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \left(\lambda_A \mathcal{F}^A + \frac{1}{4\omega^2 \gamma^{00}} \lambda_A \lambda^A \right) , \qquad (1.14)$$

which is equivalent to (1.12) by using the equations of motion for λ_A . In this way the divergent action is just the sum of a finite and a subleading term in the limit $\omega \to \infty$.

Finally, we take the limit $\omega \to \infty$ and we remain with the non-relativistic action

$$S^{NR} = \lim_{\omega \to \infty} S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \left(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu H_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_A \mathcal{F}^A \right) \,. \tag{1.15}$$

At this stage, one may want to integrate out the Lagrange multipliers. By imposing the equations of motion for the Lagrange multipliers one gets that $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is solved in terms of $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ as follows

$$h_{\alpha\beta} \sim \tau_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \tau_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu}, \qquad (1.16)$$

where ~ means that $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is identified with the pull-back of the $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ metric up to a conformal factor. This implies that $\gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-\tau}\tau^{\alpha\beta}$, and the action (1.15) turns into the Nambu-Goto form

$$S_{\rm NG}^{NR} = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \, \sqrt{-\tau} \tau^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu H_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{1.17}$$

Since in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ the $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ metric describes an AdS_2 geometry, in [2] the authors imposed static gauge in order to obtain a 2d theory of free massive and massless bosons propagating in AdS_2 . However, we will not follow this approach here.

The non-relativistic action (1.15) describes strings propagating in a background which is not Riemannian, but String Newton-Cartan instead. String Newton-Cartan geometry is specified by the set of vielbein $\{\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}, m_{\mu}{}^{A}, e_{\mu}{}^{a}\}$, and their local symmetry is the *String Newton-Cartan algebra*⁵ as amply discussed in [4]. It is interesting to study physical properties of this theory as a theory standing on its own, regardless of the limiting procedure to derive it, and in section 2 we discuss light-cone gauge fixing for the non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ action in the large string tension limit.

1.1 Change of coordinates

The non-relativistic action shown in the previous section was obtained in a particular choice of coordinates, namely the Cartesian. The procedure was to fix our set of coordinates for the relativistic action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$, apply the rescaling rules of coordinates induced by the contraction of $\mathfrak{so}(4, 2)$ to the 5d Newton-Hooke algebra which singles out an AdS_2 subspace inside AdS_5 , and read off the String Newton-Cartan data from the expansion of the relativistic vielbein. It is natural to wonder what happens if one derives

⁵The String Newton-Cartan algebra is a particular non-central extension of the string Galilei algebra.

the non-relativistic action in a different set of coordinates. In general, different sets of coordinates give rise to inequivalent non-relativistic actions.

The way to verify whether two non-relativistic actions written in different coordinates are equivalent, is to check if the two set of SNC data $\{\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}, m_{\mu}{}^{A}, e_{\mu}{}^{a}\}$ belong to the same equivalence class. We say that two sets of SNC data are equivalent if they are related by an SNC gauge transformation. The infinitesimal SNC gauge transformations are

$$\delta \tau_{\mu}{}^{A} = \Lambda \varepsilon^{A}{}_{B} \tau_{\mu}{}^{B} , \qquad (1.18)$$

$$\delta e_{\mu}{}^{a} = -\Lambda_{A}{}^{a}\tau_{\mu}{}^{A} + \Lambda^{a}{}_{b}e_{\mu}{}^{b} , \qquad (1.19)$$

$$\delta m_{\mu}{}^{A} = \partial_{\mu}\sigma^{A} - \varepsilon^{A}{}_{B}\sigma^{B}\Omega_{\mu} + \Lambda \varepsilon^{A}{}_{B}m_{\mu}{}^{B} + \Lambda^{A}{}_{a}e_{\mu}{}^{a} - \tau_{\mu}{}^{B}\sigma^{A}{}_{B} , \qquad (1.20)$$

where $\Lambda, \Lambda^{Aa}, \Lambda^{ab}, \sigma^A, \sigma^{AB}$, with $\sigma^A{}_A = 0$, are infinitesimal parameters associated with the SNC gauge transformations. We refer to [4] for further detail. To compare different sets of SNC data, infinitesimal SNC transformations are usually not enough, as the fields can differ by a finite quantity. For instance, $m_{\mu}{}^A$ in polar coordinates vanishes, whereas in Cartesian coordinates it has a finite non-zero value. In general, one would need a *finite* SNC gauge transformation to relate the two sets of SNC data. Although we should be able to obtain them from the exponentiation of the infinitesimal transformations, this process can be quite involved.

If the transformation that relates two coordinate systems is analytic in the $1/\omega$, we expect that the change of coordinates commutes with the process of taking the NR limit, and therefore the two sets of SNC data are equivalent in the sense described above.

2 Uniform light-cone gauge in the large R and T limit

In this section, we shall fix uniform light-cone gauge for the bosonic sector of nonrelativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory [2]. We consider the non-relativistic action (1.15) derived from Cartesian global coordinates, where the String Newton-Cartan vielbeine are given in (1.7). The boost invariant tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ reads

$$H_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = H_{tt} \mathrm{d}t^2 + H_{\phi\phi} \mathrm{d}\phi^2 + H_{IJ} \mathrm{d}X^I \mathrm{d}X^J , \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$H_{tt} = -\frac{\left(1 + \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2\right) z_m z_m}{R^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2\right)^3}, \qquad H_{\phi\phi} = 1,$$

$$H_{IJ} dX^I dX^J = \frac{z_m z_m}{2R^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2\right)^3} dz_1^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2\right)^2} dz_m dz_m + dy_i dy_i, \qquad (2.2)$$

and we remind that the non-zero components of the longitudinal vielbein $\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}$ are

$$\tau_t^0 = -\frac{1 + \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2}{1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2} , \qquad \tau_{z_1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2R}\right)^2} .$$
(2.3)

Here, we denoted by $X^I \equiv (z_1, z_m, y_i)$ the coordinates transverse to the (t, ϕ) -coordinates, which will be used to construct light-cone coordinates. We should not confuse X^I , which are coordinates trasverse to the light-cone, with $\{t, z_1\}$ and $\{z_m, \phi, y_i\}$, which are nonrelativistic longitudinal and transverse coordinates respectively. The two vector fields ∂_t and ∂_{ϕ} are isometries for the String Newton-Cartan vielbeine (1.7), and therefore they leave the non-relativistic action invariant. In the limit $R \to \infty$ one recovers the string Newton-Cartan data for non-relativistic flat space derived from Cartesian coordinates.

The range of σ is $0 \leq \sigma \leq 2\pi$, and the string embedding coordinates are assumed to be periodic in σ , except for z_1 , which is assumed to be anti-periodic. In our analysis, we shall assume that the string does not wrap the ϕ -direction.

To begin, we shall write the action (1.15) in the first order formalism. The momenta are defined as

$$p_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\delta S^{NR}}{\delta \dot{X}^{\mu}} = -T\gamma^{0\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\nu} H_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T}{2} \lambda_A \tau_{\mu}{}^A , \qquad (2.4)$$

where we use the notation $\dot{X}^{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\tau} X^{\mu}$ and $X^{\mu'} \equiv \partial_{\sigma} X^{\mu}$. The action then takes the form

$$S^{NR} = \int d\tau \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \left(p_\mu \dot{X}^\mu + \frac{\gamma^{01}}{\gamma^{00}} C_1 + \frac{1}{2T\gamma^{00}} C_2 \right) , \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$C_1 = p_{\mu} X^{\mu'} , \qquad (2.6)$$

and

$$C_{2} = H^{\mu\nu}p_{\mu}p_{\nu} + T^{2}H_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu'}X^{\nu'} + T\lambda_{A}\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}p_{\nu}H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{T^{2}}{4}\lambda_{A}\lambda_{B}\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}\tau_{\nu}{}^{B}H^{\mu\nu} - T^{2}\lambda_{A}\varepsilon^{AB}\eta_{BC}\tau_{\mu}{}^{C}X^{\mu'}, \qquad (2.7)$$

are combinations of the Virasoro constraints.⁶ Here $H^{\mu\nu}$ is the usual inverse of $H_{\mu\nu}$, i.e. $H^{\mu\rho}H_{\rho\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$. ⁷ We refer to [23] for its expression in terms of the Newton-Cartan data. It

 $^6\mathrm{Precisely},\,C_1$ and C_2 are linear combinations of the world-sheet stress-energy tensor

$$C_1 = -h\left(\gamma^{01}T^{00} - \gamma^{00}T^{01}\right), \qquad C_2 = 2hTT^{00}, \qquad T^{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S^{NR}}{\delta h_{\alpha\beta}}$$

⁷Instead, we could have used a non-relativistic action where the Stückelberg symmetry has been fixed, so that it is described by a degenerate tensor $H^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu}{}^{a}e_{\nu}{}^{b}\tilde{\delta}_{ab}$ and a non-relativistic B-field $B^{\rm NR}_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{AB}\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}m_{\nu}{}^{B}$. In this case, we should be able to write the first-order action using the projective is interesting to note that although the non-relativistic action apparently has a different structure from the relativistic one, at the end it is still possible to bring it into this form, which indicates that our action still comes from a Hamiltonian that is the sum of two first class constraints.

The action (2.5) is invariant under a transformation that changes the sign of the transverse coordinates. However, the transformation that changes the sign of z_1 , which was a symmetry of the original $AdS_5 \times S^5$ appears to be broken at first sight. If we look a bit more in detail, we will notice that the transformation $z_1 \rightarrow -z_1$ is clearly a symmetry of the action in the Nambu-Goto formalism. Furthermore, the action in the Polyakov formalism written by using the zwiebein of the world-sheet metric has a z_1 parity symmetry, which requires at the same time to act also on the Lagrange multipliers and on the zweibein, see [10].

Now we wonder if there is a way to make the $z_1 \rightarrow -z_1$ symmetry manifest on the action (2.5). The answer is positive, and it is given in terms of a parity transformation on flat indices

$$\lambda_1 \to -\lambda_1 , \qquad \varepsilon^{AB} \to -\varepsilon^{AB} , \qquad \tau_{z_1}^{\ 1} \to -\tau_{z_1}^{\ 1} .$$
 (2.8)

Here ε^{AB} changes sign because the parity transformation changes the orientation of the flat internal space. Thanks to the specific form of our τ vielbein (2.3), namely that it is diagonal and quadratic in z_1 , under the change of sign $z_1 \to -z_1$ it transforms as

$$\tau_{z_1}{}^1\partial_{\alpha} z_1 \to -\tau_{z_1}{}^1\partial_{\alpha} z_1 \ . \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, the previous symmetry of the action can also be expressed as

$$z_1 \to -z_1$$
, $p_{z_1} \to -p_{z_1}$, $\lambda_1 \to -\lambda_1$, $\varepsilon^{AB} \to -\varepsilon^{AB}$. (2.10)

Notice that we had to change the sign of p_{z_1} , as it is associated to the time derivative of z_1 through (2.4).

We will make use of this symmetry in the following section to write a classical solution of the equations of motion associated to (2.5) with twisted periodic boundary condition on the z_1 coordinate.

To proceed further in our computations, we need to fix the light-cone gauge. For this, it is necessary to introduce light-cone coordinates

$$X_{+} = (1-a)t + a\phi , \qquad X_{-} = \phi - t ,$$

$$p_{+} = (1-a)p_{\phi} - ap_{t} , \qquad p_{-} = p_{\phi} + p_{t} , \qquad (2.11)$$

inverse, i.e. $H^{\mu\rho}H^{\perp}_{\rho\nu} = (\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \tau^{\mu}{}_{A}\tau_{\nu}{}^{A})$. The final result should be similar to ours, but with the additional new term coming from the non-relativistic B-field.

where a is a gauge freedom that we will leave unfixed⁸. We fix uniform light-cone gauge by imposing the following conditions

$$X_{+} = \tau$$
, $p_{+} = KT$, (2.12)

where K is a constant, fixed as follows

$$K = a\kappa - |\kappa|\sqrt{1 - 2a + 2a^2} , \qquad (2.13)$$

where a is the gauge parameter and κ is a *non-zero* real number, entering the twisted BMN-like solution, which will be presented later in (2.22). This constant is real because the argument inside the square root is never negative for $0 \le a \le 1$. This choice of K avoids the appearance in the action of imaginary units, and it will canonically normalise, up to an overall factor, the quadratic free-fields Hamiltonian.

The light-cone momentum P_+ defined as

$$P_{+} \equiv \int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\sigma \, p_{+} \,, \qquad (2.14)$$

is fixed in terms of the string tension as

$$P_{+} = 2\pi K T , \qquad (2.15)$$

which is obtained by integrating over σ the light-cone gauge condition (2.12). We are interested in studying the non-relativistic action in the limit where $T \to \infty$ and $P_+ \to \infty$, keeping P_+/T fixed to $2\pi K$.

To proceed, we integrate the Lagrange multipliers out. To do so, we point out that the conjugate momenta to λ_A is identically zero, since in the non-relativistic action (1.15) there is no $\dot{\lambda}_A$ appearing. Therefore, we have identically that

$$p_{\lambda_A} = 0 . (2.16)$$

This condition must be preserved in the world-sheet time evolution, which means that

$$\partial_{\tau} p_{\lambda_A} = \{ p_{\lambda_A}, \mathcal{H} \} \approx 0 , \qquad (2.17)$$

where the symbol \approx indicates equality up to terms proportional to the constraint. This gives two independent equations that can be solved for (λ_0, λ_1) in terms of coordinates and momenta. By plugging their solution back into (2.5) one gets an action fully independent of the Lagrange multipliers.

⁸The purpose of the parameter a, which was first introduced in [25], is to check the correctness of the procedure, as observables, like the spectrum, have to be independent of it.

Next, we need to impose the Virasoro constraints $C_1 = C_2 \approx 0$. The first Virasoro constraint, after fixing light-cone gauge, can be solved in terms of X'_{-}

$$C_1 = p_+ X'_- + p_I X^{I'} \approx 0 , \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad X'_- = -\frac{1}{KT} p_I X^{I'} .$$
 (2.18)

The second Virasoro constraint $C_2 \approx 0$, in the light-cone gauge and after solving the first Virasoro constraint in terms of X'_{-} , is an equation that only depends on $p_{-}, X^{I}, X^{I'}, p_{I}$. In particular, this is a quadratic equation in p_{-} which can be solved in terms of $X^{I}, X^{I'}, p_{I}$. In this way, the action (2.5) becomes

$$S^{NR} = \int d^2 \sigma \left(p_I \dot{X}^I - \mathcal{H} \right) \,, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = -p_{-}(X^{I}, X^{I'}, p_{I}) , \qquad (2.20)$$

and where we neglected the total derivative \dot{X}_{-} .

2.1 The expansion

At this stage, we fixed light-cone gauge and all constraints have been solved, therefore we remained with an action that depends only on the minimal physical degrees of freedom of the theory. The next step is to expand our action around a classical solution, which we choose to be the twisted periodic BMN-like solution [10]. In the fundamental domain $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi]$, the solution is

$$t = \kappa \tau$$
, $z_1 = 2R \tan\left[-\frac{\kappa}{2R}(\sigma - \pi)\right]$, $\phi = \omega \tau$, $\lambda_0 = \frac{\omega}{\kappa} \cos\left[\frac{\kappa}{R}(\sigma - \pi)\right]$, (2.21)

where κ, ω are two unconstrained real parameters. Outside the σ fundamental domain, this solution is defined via a gluing procedure, such that the embedding coordinates are 2π periodic in σ , except of z_1 and λ_1 which are anti-periodic, as allowed by the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry (2.10). The profile for z_1 is shown in figure 1, and due to the gluing procedure, the derivative of z_1 is not defined at $\sigma = 2\pi n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, it is still possible to assign a value for the derivative of z_1 in these points by using a small parameter ε prescription, namely $z'_1(2\pi n) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} z'_1(2\pi n + \varepsilon)$, where ε is here conventionally assumed to be a small positive quantity. With this prescription, the derivative of z_1 in $2\pi n$ has alternating sign. The solution (2.21) was found in conformal gauge, but it was checked in [10] that it also satisfies the equations of motion in light-cone gauge with all constraints implemented, as relevant in our case.

It is important to note that, as it was shown in [10], solving the equations of motion imposed by the Lagrange multipliers implies that both non-relativistic longitudinal coor-



Figure 1: z_1 profile of the twisted periodic BMN-like solution for values of the parameters $\kappa = 1$ and R = 2. Courtesy of [10].

dinates t and z_1 must be non-zero. Therefore, by requiring that the vacuum has $t = \kappa \tau$, it requires for consistency that z_1 behaves like (2.21). This has a remarkable consequence on the expansion of the non-relativistic action around this solution, as z_1 is not an isometry, and terms depending on σ will appear in the expanded action. However, as we shall see, these σ terms may be regarded as corrections to a free-field theory at large R.

We are now ready to expand the light-cone gauge fixed action around the twisted periodic BMN-like vacuum. First, we fluctuate the embedding coordinates around the classical solution $X^{I} = X_{cl}^{I} + \tilde{X}^{I}$, which amounts to defining

$$z_1 = z_{\rm cl} + \tilde{z} , \qquad z_{\rm cl} = 2R \tan\left[-\frac{\kappa}{2R}(\sigma - \pi)\right] , \qquad (2.22)$$

where $\tilde{z} \equiv \tilde{z}(\tau, \sigma)$ is a fluctuation and assumed to satisfy twisted periodic boundary conditions, i.e. $\tilde{z}(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) = -\tilde{z}(\tau, \sigma)$. For the remaining coordinates appearing in the gauge fixed Lagrangian, the classical solution is identically zero, and therefore we omit the tilde notation on their fluctuations. Next, we rescale fields and momenta with the following canonical transformation⁹

$$\tilde{z} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \tilde{z} , \qquad z_m \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} z_m , \qquad y_i \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} y_i ,
p_{z_1} \to \sqrt{T} p_{\tilde{z}} , \qquad p_{z_m} \to \sqrt{T} p_{z_m} , \qquad p_{y_i} \to \sqrt{T} p_{y_i} .$$
(2.23)

Notice that the classical momentum associated with $z_{\rm cl}$ is identically zero. For the purpose

⁹There is also a non-canonical expansion that gives same results as the canonical one. In this case, one needs to fix the light-cone gauge condition $p_+ = K$ instead of (2.12), to scale $\sigma \to T\sigma$ and to rescale both coordinates and momenta with $1/\sqrt{T}$. The non-canonical expansion has the advantage of being more efficient computationally speaking. We thank G. Arutyunov for discussion.

of the expansion, we choose $\kappa = -1$ and a = 1, for which it corresponds K = -2 from (2.12). In the large T and R limit the gauge fixed non-relativistic action expands as

$$S_{gf}^{NR} = \int d\tau d\sigma \left(\mathcal{L}_2 + \frac{1}{R^2} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \mathcal{L}_3 + \frac{1}{R^2 \sqrt{T}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_3 + \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}_4 + \frac{1}{R^2 T} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_4 + \dots \right), \quad (2.24)$$

where we omitted the constant term and the total derivative term linear in the fields, which are irrelevant for the dynamics. After rescaling the world-sheet time $\tau \to 2\tau$ in order to make the overall coefficient of the quadratic Hamiltonian canonical¹⁰, we find that the leading term \mathcal{L}_2 describes 8 free massless fields in 2d flat space,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = p_I \dot{X}^I - \frac{1}{2} \left(p_{\tilde{z}}^2 + p_{z_m}^2 + p_{y_i}^2 + \tilde{z}'^2 + z_m'^2 + y_i'^2 \right) \,. \tag{2.25}$$

The $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_2$ term is a radius correction and it is quadratic in the fields,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{2} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[2\tilde{z}^{2} + 3z_{m}^{2} + (\sigma - \pi)^{2} (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} - p_{z_{m}}^{2}) + 2s(\sigma - \pi)\tilde{z}\tilde{z}' - (\sigma - \pi)^{2}\tilde{z}'^{2} + (\sigma - \pi)^{2}z_{m}'^{2} \right],$$
(2.26)

where $s \equiv s(\sigma)$ is defined as s = +1 for $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi[$, and s = -1 for $\sigma = 2\pi$. The function s appears to take into account the definition of derivative of z_{cl} in the extrema of the fundamental domain, which flips sign as discussed above, and to keep track of the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry acting on a remnant of ε^{AB} . From the expression of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_2$, we notice that \tilde{z} and z_m gain a mass term as a $1/R^2$ correction.

The cubic and quartic Lagrangians are given in Appendix B, and they do not show any pathological behaviour (e.g. non-locality, broken Hermiticity). Moreover, the structure of all perturbative terms shows that the light-cone gauge preserves a manifest $SO(3) \times SO(4)$ symmetry.

Physical states should satisfy the level-matching condition, which is obtained by integrating out in σ the linear Virasoro constraint $C_1 \approx 0$. In our setting, where the string has no winding mode around ϕ , the level-matching condition reads

$$p_{\rm ws} = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\sigma \, p_{\tilde{z}} z'_{\rm cl} \,, \qquad (2.27)$$

where

$$p_{\rm ws} \equiv -\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma (p_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{z}' + p_{z_m} z'_m + p_{y_i} y'_i) , \qquad (2.28)$$

is the total world-sheet momentum of the string, and $z_{\rm cl}$ is the classical solution around

 $^{^{10}{\}rm The}$ quadratic Hamiltonian appears in the expansion with an overall factor of 1/4, instead of the canonical 1/2.

which the expansion in eqn. (2.22) takes place. Here we observe two things:

- the gauge fixed action is not invariant under shifts of σ , and therefore p_{ws} is not a conserved charge.
- in the near-BMN limit of the relativistic theory, it was found that a winding mode around the ϕ -direction makes p_{ws} to be large [26]. This is in turn responsible of making the quadratic Hamiltonian to be large on physical states. We remark that this does not happen in our setting since the level-matching condition implies that p_{ws} is finite. However if we were instead to turn on a winding mode around the ϕ direction, then we would find in the non-relativistic theory that p_{ws} is large and the quadratic Hamiltonian is large on physical states, like in the relativistic theory¹¹.

We comment now on the choices of κ and a. We can identify three different scenarios, accordingly to the three choices of $a = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$.

- a = 0. In this case one can derive an expansion similar to (2.24), but with the only difference that the sign of the quadratic Hamiltonian is governed by the sign of κ. Only for positive κ one has a positive definite quadratic Hamiltonian¹².
- $a = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case we obtain a similar expansion as in (2.24). The positive definite quadratic Hamiltonian exists for every choice of κ . Computations are more involved in this gauge.
- a = 1. In this case computations turn out to be simpler. The positive definite quadratic Hamiltonian exists for every choice of κ .

Finally, we close this section by commenting on the expansion around different vacua. In particular, a first natural thing to do is to expand the action around the BMN-like solution with the periodic boundary condition. The z_1 profile of such solution is

$$z_1^{\text{periodic}} = -2R \tan\left(\frac{n}{2}\sigma\right) , \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z} .$$
 (2.29)

$$\mathcal{H} = Ax \pm \sqrt{Bx + C}$$

where x is the Lagrange multiplier and A, B, C are generic expressions depending on the fields. To integrate out the Lagrange multipliers, one has to solve this type of equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{d}x} = A \pm \frac{B}{2\sqrt{Bx+C}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 , \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{H} = \frac{A}{B} \left[\left(\frac{B}{2A} \right)^2 - C \right] - \frac{B}{2A} .$$

This result points out that \mathcal{H} is clearly independent of the choice one can make between the two solutions of the second Virasoro constraint, once the Lagrange multipliers are integrated out. This does not happen for the other choices of gauge parameter a, where one can always find one solution of the second Virasoro constraint that gives a positive definite quadratic Hamiltonian.

¹¹We thank G. Arutyunov for discussion.

¹²This is because when a = 0 the Hamiltonian obtained by solving the Virasoro constraints is schematically of the form

The difference with the twisted periodic BMN-like solution (2.21) is that the z_1 coordinate of the latter one expands at large R as

$$z_1^{\text{twisted}} = -\kappa(\sigma - \pi) + \mathcal{O}(R^{-2}) , \qquad (2.30)$$

i.e. it linearises in σ , whereas (2.29) retains the tangent behaviour at large R. The Hamiltonian quadratic in fluctuations is schematically of the form $(\partial X_{\rm fl})^2 g^{\rm cl}$, where $g^{\rm cl}$ is a function of the background data $H_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau_{\mu}{}^A$ evaluated on the classical solution. The classical solution introduces σ terms via the z_1 coordinates, and $H_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau_{\mu}{}^A$ depend on z_1 only via the combination $b_{\pm} \equiv 1 \pm (z_1^{\rm cl}/2R)^2$. Then we need to ask what happens to b_{\pm} at large R. For the twisted periodic solution which has expansion (2.30), we have that $b_{\pm} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(R^{-2})$, whereas for the periodic solution (2.29), we have $b_{\pm} = 1 \pm \tan^2(n\sigma/2)$. In order to have a quadratic Hamiltonian describing free fields, only the $b_{\pm} \sim 1$ guarantees the absence of σ terms, and therefore one needs to expand around the twisted periodic vacuum.

2.2 Comments on finite R

In the previous section we showed that if the radius R is sufficiently large and the string expands around a classical solution whose z_1 coordinates linearises in σ in the large radius expansion, the light-cone gauge fixed non-relativistic action admits a large string tension limit around the dynamics of free massless scalar fields. The role of the large R expansion is to render the z_1 coordinate an isometry in first approximation. The next question we want to ask is the following: what happens if we keep R finite?

If one tries to implement the procedure described in the previous section for finite R, one will find that the action does not expand around the dynamics of free particles, but instead it will expand around a more complicated quadratic action, which is not free due to the introduction of σ dependent terms, since z_1 is not an isometry for $H_{\mu\nu}$ and τ_{μ}^{A} .

The large R limit has the property of taming the σ dependent terms, in such a way that they disappear from the leading order action and only appear through subleading corrections. The large R limit has also the effect of making the \tilde{z} and z_m fields becoming massless, and their mass only appears as a correction in $1/R^2$. This is in agreement with the fact that non-relativistic string theory in flat spacetime (in static gauge) is just a theory of massless scalar fields in Mink₂.

One may wonder whether the problem of getting a complicated leading order action with σ terms is just because of a bad choice of coordinates. To analyse further this question, first we remark that the following conditions are necessary in order to apply the light-cone gauge to our problem:

1. There must exist two coordinates, one timelike and one spacelike, which are isome-

tries for $H_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}$ (i.e. the latter do not depend on those coordinates), such that they can be used to define light-cone directions X_{\pm} .

- 2. The two non-relativistic longitudinal directions must be coordinates describing an AdS_2 spacetime. The timelike direction must be a non-relativistic longitudinal direction.
- 3. The classical string must have winding around the non-relativistic longitudinal *spa-tial* direction, for consistency with the Lagrange multipliers equations of motion.

By keeping in mind the above conditions, we can notice the following. The two nonrelativistic longitudinal directions cannot be chosen to be the timelike and spacelike isometries given in point (1). If this was possible, it would imply that the longitudinal metric $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ describes the 2d Minkowski spacetime, and therefore (2) would not be fulfilled.

Next, we consider the set of coordinates in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ given in Appendix A, and we discuss their advantages and disadvantages regarding conditions (1)-(3).

GGK coordinates. In this set of coordinates, the longitudinal spatial coordinate x_1 is an isometry, and therefore it looks promising in terms of winding the string, since this will not generate any σ dependent term. However, in agreement with the argument above, the timelike coordinate x_0 is not an isometry in this case, and hence this set of coordinates does not fulfil point (1). In other words, if one tries to fix light-cone gauge in this set of coordinates, one will end up at leading order with a time-dependent Hamiltonian.

Cartesian global coordinates. In this set of global coordinates, all conditions (1)-(3) are satisfied¹³. Since the (longitudinal) timelike direction is an isometry, the longitudinal spatial direction cannot be an isometry as well and one has to deal with the σ terms generated by wrapping the longitudinal spatial direction, which we were able to shift them into large R corrections.

Polar global coordinates. This set of coordinates has the same features as the Cartesian global coordinates, with the difference that $H_{\mu\nu}$ is not invertible, which nevertheless seems not to be a problem in order to apply the procedure described in this paper.

3 Conclusions

In this article, we studied the non-relativistic limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory action in different coordinates. At the relativistic level, there is no physical difference between

¹³In this article, we considered only *global* set of coordinates for $AdS_5 \times S^5$. If one was allowed to consider also *local* set of coordinates, then one could check what happens, for instance, in the Poincaré coordinates.

actions written in different coordinate systems. However, the coordinate transformation might not commute with the process of computing the limit, leading to inequivalent theories. We expect that, if the transformation is analytic in $1/\omega$, there should be no problem. However, to truly check if that is the case, we would need to study if there is a finite SNC gauge transformation relating the two sets of SNC data. Currently, only infinitesimal SNC gauge transformations are available in the literature. The finite SNC gauge transformations can be computed by exponentiating the infinitesimal ones, which is a task that we leave for future research.

In the second part of this article, we considered the non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string action derived from Cartesian coordinates, and we fixed light-cone gauge. Then we studied the semiclassical expansion at large string tension of the gauge fixed action around the twisted periodic BMN-like solution found in [10], and we showed the action expands about free fields. The z_1 direction of the classical solution depends non-trivially on σ , and since z_1 is not an isometry, we proposed in addition to take a large AdS radius expansion in order to shift the σ terms into large radius corrections. We discussed that this procedure can be applied to the vacuum with twisted periodic boundary conditions, but not in the periodic case.

The next step would be to consider the perturbative S-matrix associated with the expanded action. This step would require to take the so-called decompactification limit, where the topology of the world-sheet changes from being the one of the cylinder to the one of the infinitely extended 2d plane, where scattering states are prepared at the infinities. In this procedure, the σ/R terms, which in the cylinder topology represent corrections at large R to the free field dynamics, may contribute to the quadratic Lagrangian in the decompactification limit, as σ is allowed to span the real line. It would be interesting to explore if there is any procedure that allows to re-sum the R corrections before taking the decompactification limit.

There are interesting future directions regarding the expansion of the action around the periodic BMN-like vacuum. As shown in [11], such vacuum arise from the non-relativistic limit of the non-compact folded string with zero spin. Such relativistic solution has infinite energy, but it was shown that the contribution from the closed critical B-field makes it finite. Because of its apparent infinite energy, such solution has not been properly studied in the past as a vacuum for the relativistic action. However, as now we know such infinity is precisely tamed by the critical closed B-field, one could try to expand the relativistic action around it, similarly to [27], and the non-relativistic action may also be similarly expanded around the periodic BMN-like vacuum. Although we showed the action does not expand around free fields, it might still be possible to compute quantum corrections to the vacuum classical energy.

Other interesting, more general, questions regard the inclusion of *supersymmetry* into the procedure of light-cone gauge fixing and understanding what is the holographic dual field theory of non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string theory. Answering the latter question requires defining what the *boundary geometry* of a String Newton-Cartan manifold, which in general is still an open problem. For past work connected to this topic, see [21].

Acknowledgements

We are in debt of gratitude to our collaborator A. Torrielli, who co-authored a previous version of this manuscript but decided to opt out in this new revised version. We acknowledge that many of the ideas presented in this work emerged during our collaboration. We thank G. Arutyunov, N. Obers and G. Oling for reading a first version of this manuscript and for providing very useful comments and insights. In addition, we thank A. Tseytlin and S. Frolov for useful discussions related to this work. AF also thanks S. van Tongeren for useful discussions and for a collaboration on related topics. AF has been supported by the German Research Foundation DFG via the Emmy Noether program "Exact Results in Extended Holography". JMNG and AT are supported by the EPSRC-SFI grant EP/S020888/1 Solving Spins and Strings. AF thanks Lia for her permanent support.

Appendices

A String Newton-Cartan data

In this section we shall derive the set of Newton-Cartan vielbeine (or "data") $\{\tau_{\mu}{}^{A}, m_{\mu}{}^{A}, e_{\mu}{}^{a}\}$ for various set of coordinates of AdS₅×S⁵. The idea consists of the following steps:

- 1. Choose a given coset representative $g \in SO(4,2) \times SO(6)$. This determines the choice of coordinates for $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In what follows we will choose coset representatives corresponding to three sets of global coordinates: Cartesian, polar and GGK.
- 2. Rescale the generators of the isometry algebra $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ by a parameter ω . This rescaling is made in such a way that if one takes the limit ω to ∞ , the $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ algebra contracts to the 5d Newton-Hooke algebra. In general there are several ways of making such contraction, which are all equivalent from the algebraic point of view. However not all of them can give a sensible physical result. The physically sensible choice is the one that singles out an AdS₂ space inside AdS₅, where the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry preserved by the contraction limit can act on.
- 3. Compute the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan 1-form $g^{-1}dg$.
- 4. Extract the Newton-Cartan data, i.e. the non-relativistic vielbeine, by expanding the relativistic vielbein in powers of ω .

$\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ algebra contraction A.1

The rescaling of the $\mathfrak{so}(4,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$ generators which makes the contraction of $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ to 5d Newton-Hooke is the following. We will follow the notation and convention used in [18]. The generators of $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ are $m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$, with $\hat{i},\hat{j},\ldots=0,\ldots,5$, where 0,5 describe the two time directions of the space where $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ is acting on, and they satisfy the algebra

$$[m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}, m^{\hat{k}\hat{\ell}}] = \eta^{\hat{j}\hat{k}}m^{\hat{i}\hat{\ell}} - \eta^{\hat{i}\hat{k}}m^{\hat{j}\hat{\ell}} + \eta^{\hat{i}\hat{\ell}}m^{\hat{j}\hat{k}} - \eta^{\hat{j}\hat{\ell}}m^{\hat{i}\hat{k}}, \qquad (A.1)$$

where $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1)$. One can identify the $\mathfrak{so}(4, 1)$ subalgebra by choosing one particular time direction, e.g. $\hat{j} = 5$, such that $m^{i5} \equiv P^i$ are the momentum generators on AdS₅, and $m^{ij} \equiv J^{ij}$ are the angular momentum generators spanning $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$, where $i, j, \dots = 0, \dots, 4.$

The generators of $\mathfrak{so}(6)$ are denoted by $n^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$, with $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 6$, and they satisfy the algebra

$$[n^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}, n^{\hat{c}\hat{d}}] = \delta^{\hat{b}\hat{c}} n^{\hat{a}\hat{d}} - \delta^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} n^{\hat{b}\hat{d}} + \delta^{\hat{a}\hat{d}} n^{\hat{b}\hat{c}} - \delta^{\hat{b}\hat{d}} n^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} .$$
(A.2)

One can identify the $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ subalgebra by choosing one spatial direction, e.g. $\hat{b} = 6$, such that $n^{a6} \equiv P^a$ are the momentum generators on S⁵, and $n^{ab} \equiv J^{ab}$ are the angular momentum generators spanning $\mathfrak{so}(5)$, where $a, b, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 5$.

Then the rescaling performed in [2] is equivalent to the following one¹⁴

$$m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \to \omega m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \qquad \text{if} \quad \hat{i} \in \{0, 5, s_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{j} \in \{s_2, s_3, s_4\}, \qquad (A.3)$$
$$m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \to m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \qquad \text{otherwise}, \qquad (A.4)$$

$$m^{ij}$$
 otherwise, (A.4)

where s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 take a fixed value in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and

$$n^{a6} \to \omega n^{a6}$$
, $n^{ab} \to n^{ab}$. (A.5)

In the limit $\omega \to \infty$, the $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ algebra contracts to the Newton-Hooke algebra in 5dimensions. Moreover, the subalgebra generated by $m^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$ with $\hat{i}, \hat{j} \in \{0, 5, s_1\}$ is the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebra, which will act as a global symmetry of the AdS_2 divergent part of the metric.

In the spinorial representation, the generators are

$$m^{ij} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^i, \gamma^j], \qquad m^{i5} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^i, \qquad n^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b], \qquad n^{a6} = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^a, \tag{A.6}$$

¹⁴We remark that in (A.3), (A.4) we have already taken into account the rescaling of the common AdS_5 and S^5 radius, which is imposed separately as an additional condition in [2].

and we represent the gamma matrices as

$$\begin{split} \gamma^{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^{4} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{0} = i\gamma^{5}. \end{split}$$

A.2 Cartesian global coordinates

Here we introduce the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ analogue of the Cartesian coordinates for Minkowski space. The coset representative of $SO(4, 2) \times SO(6)$ is of the type $g = \text{diag}(g_a, g_s)$, where g_a and g_s are coset representatives for SO(4, 2) and SO(6) respectively. In the Cartesian global coordinates, g_a and g_s are taken as

$$g_a = \Lambda(t) \cdot G(z)$$
, $g_s = \Lambda(\phi) \cdot G(y)$, (A.7)

where

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp(t \, m^{05}) , \qquad \Lambda(\phi) = \exp(\phi \, n^{56}) ,$$

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{z^2}{4}}} \left(\mathbb{1} + z_i \, m^{i5}\right) , \qquad G(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{y^2}{4}}} \left(\mathbb{1} + y_i \, n^{i6}\right) , \quad (A.8)$$

We remind the reader that we denote $z^2 \equiv z_i z^i$, where $z_i = z^i$, and i = 1, ..., 4. The same applies for the y coordinates. Here t is the global time in AdS₅ and ϕ is the angle describing the great circle in S⁵. The AdS₅×S⁵ metric in these coordinates becomes

$$ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{1+\frac{z^{2}}{4}}{1-\frac{z^{2}}{4}}\right)^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{1}{(1-\frac{z^{2}}{4})^{2}}dz_{i}dz_{i} + \left(\frac{1-\frac{y^{2}}{4}}{1+\frac{y^{2}}{4}}\right)^{2}d\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{(1+\frac{y^{2}}{4})^{2}}dy_{i}dy_{i} .$$
(A.9)

In these coordinates, every choice of $s_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ entering in the rescaling (A.3) and (A.4) will give a sensible non-relativistic model based on String Newton-Cartan geometry. For instance, one can take $s_1 = 1$, and the rescaling of coordinates that induce the algebra contraction (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5) is

$$t \to t$$
, $z_1 \to z_1$, $z_m \to \frac{1}{\omega} z_m$, $\phi \to \frac{1}{\omega} \phi$, $y_i \to \frac{1}{\omega} y_i$, (A.10)

where m = 2, 3, 4. By taking this choice of decomposition, from the vielbeine expansion in powers of ω we read off the following String Newton-Cartan data

where the ordering of the coordinates is $X^{\mu} = \{t, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, \phi, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}.$ The *longitudinal* metric $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d} X^{\mu} \mathrm{d} X^{\nu} = -\left(\frac{1 + (\frac{z_1}{2})^2}{1 - (\frac{z_1}{2})^2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d} t^2 + \frac{1}{(1 - (\frac{z_1}{2})^2)^2} \mathrm{d} z_1^2 \;,$$

and the *boost invariant* tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$H_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = -\frac{\left(1 + \left(\frac{z_1}{2}\right)^2\right) z_m z_m}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2}\right)^2\right)^3} \,\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{z_m z_m}{2\left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2}\right)^2\right)^3} \,\mathrm{d}z_1^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{2}\right)^2\right)^2} \,\mathrm{d}z_m \mathrm{d}z_m + \mathrm{d}\phi^2 + \mathrm{d}y_i \mathrm{d}y_i \,\mathrm{d}z_m \,\mathrm{$$

The closed B-field compensating the divergent part of the metric is

$$B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = \omega^2 \frac{1 + (\frac{z_1}{2})^2}{(1 - (\frac{z_1}{2})^2)^2} \mathrm{d}t \wedge \mathrm{d}z_1 \ . \tag{A.14}$$

(A.12)

A.3 Polar global coordinates

The set of polar global coordinates is obtained via the coset representative

$$g_a = \Lambda_a(t, \psi_1, \psi_2) \cdot \Theta_a(x) \cdot G_a(\rho) , \qquad g_s = \Lambda_s(\phi, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot \Theta_s(w) \cdot G_s(r) , \quad (A.15)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{a}(t,\psi_{1},\psi_{2}) = \exp(t \, m^{05} - \psi_{1} \, m^{12} - \psi_{2} \, m^{34}) ,$$

$$\Theta_{a}(x) = \exp(\arcsin(x) \, m^{13}) ,$$

$$G_{a}(\rho) = \exp(\operatorname{arcsinh}(\rho) \, m^{15}) ,$$
(A.16)

and

$$\Lambda_{s}(\phi, \chi_{1}, \chi_{2}) = \exp(\phi n^{56} - \chi_{1} n^{12} - \chi_{2} n^{34}) ,$$

$$\Theta_{s}(w) = \exp(\arcsin(w) n^{13}) ,$$

$$G_{s}(r) = \exp(\arcsin(r) n^{16}) .$$
(A.17)

In this set of coordinates the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ metric reads as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}s_a^2 + \mathrm{d}s_s^2 \;, \tag{A.18}$$

where

$$ds_a^2 = -(1+\rho^2)dt^2 + \frac{1}{1+\rho^2}d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{1-x^2}dx^2 + \rho^2(1-x^2)d\psi_1^2 + \rho^2x^2d\psi_2^2 ,$$

$$ds_s^2 = (1-r^2)d\phi^2 + \frac{1}{1-r^2}dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{1-w^2}dw^2 + r^2(1-w^2)d\chi_1^2 + r^2w^2d\chi_2^2 . (A.19)$$

In these coordinates, there is only one choice of s_1 entering in (A.3) and (A.4) which produces a sensible non-relativistic action. This choice is $s_1 = 1$, i.e. the index associated to the ρ coordinate, and it produces an AdS₂ divergent part of the metric where the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ algebra coming from the contraction of $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ is acting on. All other choices of s_1 will produce a divergent part of the metric which is the \mathbb{R} metric, and this is not the non-relativistic model described in [2].

Therefore, for the unique sensible choice $s_1 = 1$, the coordinate rescaling induced by the algebra contraction (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5) is

$$t \to t , \qquad \rho \to \rho \qquad \psi_2 \to \psi_2 , \qquad \psi_1 \to \frac{1}{\omega} \psi_1 , \qquad x \to \frac{1}{\omega} x ,$$

$$\chi_1 \to \chi_1 , \qquad \chi_2 \to \chi_2 , \qquad w \to w , \qquad \phi \to \frac{1}{\omega} \phi , \qquad r \to \frac{1}{\omega} r , \qquad (A.20)$$

and from the vielbeine expansion we read off the String Newton-Cartan data

$$\tau_{\mu}{}^{A} = \operatorname{diag}(-\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}}, 0, ..., 0) ,$$

$$m_{\mu}{}^{A} = 0 , \qquad (A.21)$$

where the ordering of the coordinates is $X^{\mu} = \{t, \rho, x, \psi_1, \psi_2, \phi, r, w, \chi_1, \chi_2\}.$

The longitudinal metric $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = -(1+\rho^2) \,\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{1+\rho^2} \,\mathrm{d}\rho^2 \;, \tag{A.22}$$

,

and the boost invariant tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$H_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = \rho^2 (\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}\psi_1^2 + x^2 \mathrm{d}\psi_2^2) + \mathrm{d}\phi^2 + \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - w^2} \mathrm{d}w^2 + (1 - w^2) \mathrm{d}\chi_1^2 + w^2 \mathrm{d}\chi_2^2\right).$$
(A.23)

We remark that in this set of coordinates, the boost invariant tensor has not full rank, and therefore it may only be inverted (in the usual sense) only on a subspace of the coordinate system.

The closed B-field that compensates the divergent part of the metric is

$$B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = \omega^2 \mathrm{d}t \wedge \mathrm{d}\rho \;. \tag{A.24}$$

A.4 GGK coordinates

The set of coordinates used by Gomis, Gomis and Kamimura [2] is, in our convention, given by the following choice of coset representative

$$g_a = \exp(x_1 m^{15}) \exp(x_0 m^{05}) \exp(x_a m^{a5}) ,$$

$$g_s = \exp(\tilde{x}_{m'} n^{m'6}) , \qquad (A.25)$$

where a = 2, 3, 4, and m' = 1, ..., 5. In this set of coordinates, the spacetime metric takes a complicated form given in [2], which we do not report here.

Also in this set of coordinates, there is only one sensible choice of s_1 entering in (A.3) and (A.4). This is $s_1 = 1$, and intuitively it is because the choice of coset representa-

tive made here treats x_1 differently from the other AdS₅ coordinates. The associated coordinates rescaling is

$$x_0 \to x_0 \qquad x_1 \to x_1 \qquad x_a \to \frac{1}{\omega} x_a \qquad x_{m'} \to \frac{1}{\omega} x_{m'}$$
(A.26)

The String Newton-Cartan data is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mu}{}^{A} &= \operatorname{diag}(-1, \cos x_{0}, 0, ..., 0) , \\
m_{\mu}{}^{A} &= \frac{x_{a}x_{a}}{2} \operatorname{diag}(-1, \cos x_{0}, 0, ..., 0) , \\
e_{\mu}{}^{a} &= \operatorname{diag}(0, 0, 1, ..., 1) ,
\end{aligned}$$
(A.27)

where the ordering of the coordinates is $X^{\mu} = \{x_0, x_1, x_a, x_{m'}\}.$

The longitudinal metric $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = -\mathrm{d}x_0^2 + \cos^2 x_0 \,\mathrm{d}x_1^2 \,, \qquad (A.28)$$

and the boost invariant tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$H_{\mu\nu} dX^{\mu} dX^{\nu} = x_a x_a (-dx_0^2 + \cos^2 x_0 dx_1^2) + dx_a dx_a + d\tilde{x}_{m'} d\tilde{x}_{m'} .$$
(A.29)

The closed B-field compensating the divergent part of the metric is

$$B_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}X^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}X^{\nu} = \omega^2 \cos x_0 \,\mathrm{d}x_0 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_1 \;. \tag{A.30}$$

B Cubic and Quartic Lagrangians

Here we list the cubic and quartic Lagrangians entering in the expansion (2.24). This shows that the perturbative expansion of the action in the large string tension parameter is well-defined. They all show a manifest $SO(3) \times SO(4)$ symmetry.

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = -\frac{s}{4} \left[2p_{\tilde{z}}(p_{\tilde{z}}\tilde{z}' + p_{z_{m}}z'_{m} + p_{y_{i}}y'_{i}) - \tilde{z}'(p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2} + \tilde{z}'^{2} + z''_{m} + y'^{2}_{i}) \right],$$
(B.1)

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{3} = -\frac{s}{16} \left[(\sigma - \pi)^{2} \tilde{z}' (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + 3p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2}) + 4 \tilde{z}' \tilde{z}^{2} + 18 \tilde{z}' z_{m}^{2} + (\sigma - \pi)^{2} \tilde{z}' (-z_{m}'^{2} + y_{i}'^{2}) \right. \\ \left. + 2(\sigma - \pi)^{2} p_{\tilde{z}} p_{z_{m}} z_{m}' + 2(\sigma - \pi)^{2} p_{\tilde{z}} p_{y_{i}} y_{i}' + 3(\sigma - \pi)^{2} \tilde{z}'^{3} \right]$$

$$- \frac{(\sigma - \pi)}{8}\tilde{z}\left(3p_{\tilde{z}}^2 - 3p_{z_m}^2 + p_{y_i}^2 + 5z_m^{\prime 2} + y_i^{\prime 2} - \tilde{z}^{\prime 2}\right) , \qquad (B.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{4} = -\frac{1}{32} \left[\tilde{z}^{\prime 2} (-2p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + 6p_{z_{m}}^{2} + 6p_{y_{i}}^{2} + 6z_{m}^{\prime 2} + 6y_{i}^{\prime 2}) + (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2} + z_{m}^{\prime 2} + y_{i}^{\prime 2})^{2} - 8p_{\tilde{z}}\tilde{z}^{\prime}(p_{z_{m}}z_{m}^{\prime} + p_{y_{i}}y_{i}^{\prime}) + 5\tilde{z}^{\prime 4} \right],$$
(B.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{4} &= -\frac{1}{32} \left[\tilde{z}^{2} (6p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} - 6p_{z_{m}}^{2} + 2p_{y_{i}}^{2}) + 3z_{m}^{2} (-p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2}) + 2(\sigma - \pi)s\tilde{z}\tilde{z}'^{3} \\ &+ (\sigma - \pi)^{2} (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} - p_{z_{m}}^{2}) (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2}) + (\sigma - \pi)^{2} (z_{m}'^{4} - 5\tilde{z}'^{4}) \\ &+ 12(\sigma - \pi)s\tilde{z}p_{\tilde{z}}(p_{z_{m}}z_{m}' + p_{y_{i}}y_{i}') + y_{i}'^{2} \left(2\tilde{z}^{2} + 3z_{m}^{2} + (\sigma - \pi)^{2} (p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} - p_{z_{m}}^{2})\right) \\ &+ 2(\sigma - \pi)s\tilde{z}\tilde{z}' \left(3p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + 3p_{z_{m}}^{2} - p_{y_{i}}^{2} - 5z_{m}'^{2} - y_{i}'^{2}\right) \\ &+ z_{m}^{2} \left(10\tilde{z}^{2} + 3z_{m}^{2} + (\sigma - \pi)^{2} (2p_{\tilde{z}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2} + y_{i}'^{2})\right) \\ &- \tilde{z}^{2} \left(2\tilde{z}^{2} - 9z_{m}^{2} + 3(\sigma - \pi)^{2} (2p_{z_{m}}^{2} + p_{y_{i}}^{2} + y_{i}'^{2})\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$
(B.4)

References

- J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, "Nonrelativistic closed string theory",
 J. Math. Phys. 42, 3127 (2001), hep-th/0009181. U. H. Danielsson, A. Guijosa and
 M. Kruczenski, "IIA/B, wound and wrapped", JHEP 0010, 020 (2000), hep-th/0009182.
- J. Gomis, J. Gomis and K. Kamimura, "Non-relativistic superstrings: A New soluble sector of AdS(5) x S^{**5}", JHEP 0512, 024 (2005), hep-th/0507036.
- [3] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and Z. Yan, "Nonrelativistic String Theory and T-Duality", JHEP 1811, 133 (2018), arxiv:1806.06071.
- [4] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, J. Rosseel, C. Şimşek and Z. Yan, "String Theory and String Newton-Cartan Geometry", J. Phys. A 53, 014001 (2020), arxiv:1907.10668.
- J. Gomis, Z. Yan and M. Yu, "Nonrelativistic Open String and Yang-Mills Theory", JHEP 2103, 269 (2021), arxiv:2007.01886. • J. Gomis, Z. Yan and M. Yu, "T-Duality in Nonrelativistic Open String Theory", JHEP 2102, 087 (2021), arxiv:2008.05493.
- [6] T. Harmark, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, "Nonrelativistic strings and limits of the AdS/CFT correspondence", Phys. Rev. D 96, 086019 (2017), arxiv:1705.03535.
 T. Harmark, J. Hartong, L. Menculini, N. A. Obers and Z. Yan, "Strings with Non-Relativistic Conformal Symmetry and Limits of the AdS/CFT Correspondence", JHEP 1811, 190 (2018), arxiv:1810.05560.

N. A. Obers and G. Oling, "Relating non-relativistic string theories", JHEP 1911, 071 (2019), arxiv:1907.01663.

- [7] J. Hartong and E. Have, "Nonrelativistic Expansion of Closed Bosonic Strings", Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 021602 (2022), arxiv:2107.00023. J. Hartong and E. Have, "Nonrelativistic approximations of closed bosonic string theory", JHEP 2302, 153 (2023), arxiv:2211.01795.
- [8] A. Fontanella and L. Romano, "Lie Algebra Expansion and Integrability in Superstring Sigma-Models", JHEP 2007, 083 (2020), arxiv: 2005.01736.
- [9] J. Gomis, J. Oh and Z. Yan, "Nonrelativistic String Theory in Background Fields", JHEP 1910, 101 (2019), arxiv:1905.07315. • A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy and N. Zinnato, "Torsional Newton Cartan gravity from non-relativistic strings", JHEP 2009, 172 (2020), arxiv:1906.01607.
- [10] A. Fontanella and J. M. N. García, "Classical string solutions in non-relativistic $AdS_5 \times S^5$: closed and twisted sectors", J. Phys. A 55, 085401 (2022), arxiv:2109.13240.
- [11] A. Fontanella and J. M. Nieto García, "Flowing from relativistic to non-relativistic string vacua in AdS₅×S⁵", arxiv:2305.19316.
- [12] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity", Phys.Rept. 323, 183 (2000), hep-th/9905111.
- [13] N. Beisert, C. Ahn, L. F. Alday, Z. Bajnok, J. M. Drummond et al., "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview", Lett.Math.Phys. 99, 3 (2012), arxiv:1012.3982.
- [14] T. Harmark, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers and G. Oling, "Spin Matrix Theory String Backgrounds and Penrose Limits of AdS/CFT", JHEP 2103, 129 (2021), arxiv:2011.02539.
- [15] A. Fontanella and S. J. van Tongeren, "Coset space actions for nonrelativistic strings", JHEP 2206, 080 (2022), arxiv:2203.07386.
- [16] A. Fontanella and J. M. Nieto García, "Extending the nonrelativistic string AdS coset", Phys. Rev. D 106, L121901 (2022), arxiv:2208.02295.
- [17] A. Fontanella, J. M. Nieto García and O. Ohlsson Sax, "Non-relativistic string monodromies", JHEP 2301, 165 (2023), arxiv:2211.04479.
- [18] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, "Foundations of the AdS₅×S⁵ Superstring. Part I", J.Phys. A42, 254003 (2009), arxiv:0901.4937.
- [19] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, "Uniform light-cone gauge for strings in AdS₅ × S⁵: Solving su(1|1) sector", JHEP 0601, 055 (2006), hep-th/0510208. S. Frolov, J. Plefka and M. Zamaklar, "The AdS₅ × S⁵ superstring in light-cone gauge and its Bethe equations", J. Phys. A39, 13037 (2006), hep-th/0603008.
- [20] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Non-relativistic string and D-branes on AdS(5) x S**5 from semiclassical approximation", JHEP 0705, 051 (2007), hep-th/0703061.

- [21] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Holography of Non-relativistic String on AdS(5) x S**5", JHEP 0802, 092 (2008), arxiv:0712.4112.
- [22] D. Anninos, D. M. Hofman and J. Kruthoff, "Charged Quantum Fields in AdS₂", SciPost Phys. 7, 054 (2019), arxiv:1906.00924.
- [23] J. Klusoň, "Nonrelativistic String Theory Sigma Model and Its Canonical Formulation", Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 108 (2019), arxiv:1809.10411.
- [24] J. Kluson, "Note About Integrability of Non-Relativistic String", Mod. Phys. Lett. A 34, 1950132 (2019), arxiv:1705.10951.
- [25] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and M. Zamaklar, "Finite-size effects from giant magnons", Nucl. Phys. B778, 1 (2007), hep-th/0606126.
- [26] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, "Integrable Hamiltonian for classical strings on AdS₅ × S⁵", JHEP 0502, 059 (2005), hep-th/0411089.
- [27] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, "Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in AdS(5) x S^{**5}", JHEP 0206, 007 (2002), hep-th/0204226. M. Beccaria, G. V. Dunne, V. Forini, M. Pawellek and A. A. Tseytlin, "Exact computation of one-loop correction to energy of spinning folded string in AdS₅×S⁵", J. Phys. A 43, 165402 (2010), arxiv:1001.4018.