

The Boundedness of Fractional Integral Operators in Local and Global Mixed Morrey-type Spaces *

Houkun Zhang, Jiang Zhou[†]

College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046

People's Republic of China

Abstract: In this paper, we introduced the local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces, and some properties of these spaces are also studied. After that, the necessary conditions of the boundedness of fractional integral operators I_α are studied respectively in mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces and the local mixed Morrey-type spaces. Last but not least, the boundedness of I_α is obtained by Hardy operators' boundedness in weighted Lebesgue spaces. As corollaries, we acquire the boundedness of I_α in mixed Morrey spaces (Corollary 5.6) and mixed Lebesgue spaces (Corollary 5.7) respectively. Particularly, Corollary 5.7 obtains a sufficient and necessary condition.

Keywords: Local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces; Fractional integral operators; Hardy operators

1 Introduction

If E is a nonempty measurable subset on \mathbb{R}^n and f is a measurable function on E , then we put

$$\|f\|_{L_p(E)} := \|f\chi_E\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p \chi_E dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $Q(x, r)$ denote the open cube centered at x of length $2r$ and $Q(x, r)^c$ denote the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q(x, r)$.

Let $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$, $\vec{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ \dots are n -tuples and $0 < p_i, q_i, s_i < \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We define that $\frac{1}{\vec{p}} = (\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n})$ and $\vec{p} < \vec{q}$ means $p_i < q_i$ holds for each i . In particular, $\vec{p} < r$ means $p_i < r$ hold for each i .

*The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(12061069).

[†]Corresponding author E-mail address: zhoujiang@xju.edu.cn.

Let $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The fractional integral operators I_α were defined by

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < n.$$

These operators I_α play an essential role in real and harmonic analysis [1, 2].

In partial differential equations, Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ are widely used to investigate the local behavior of solutions to elliptic and parabolic differential equations. In 1938, Morrey introduced these spaces [3] and they were defined as following: For $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we say that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ if $f \in L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(Q(x,r))} < \infty.$$

It is obvious that if $\lambda = 0$ then $\mathcal{M}_{p,0} = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$; if $\lambda = n$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,n} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$; if $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > n$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda} = \Theta$, where Θ is the set of all functions almost everywhere equivalent to 0 on \mathbb{R}^n .

For nearly two decades, due to the more precise structure of mixed-norm function spaces than the corresponding classical function spaces, the mixed-norm function spaces are widely used in the partial differential equations [20–23].

In 1961, the mixed Lebesgue spaces $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were studied by Benedek and Panzone [15]. These spaces were natural generalizations of the classical Lebesgue spaces L_p . The definition was stated as following: Let f is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n and $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$; we say that f belongs to the mixed Lebesgue spaces $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if the number obtained after taking successively the p_1 -norm for x_1 , the p_2 -norm for x_2 , \dots , the p_n -norm for x_n , and in that order, is finite; the number obtained will be denoted by $\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \|\cdot\|_{L_{p_2}(\mathbb{R})} \cdots \|\cdot\|_{L_{p_n}(\mathbb{R})}$.

When $\vec{p} < \infty$, we can write $\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ as following,

$$\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \cdots dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} < \infty.$$

If $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n = p$, then $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are reduced to classical Lebesgue spaces L_p and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Particularly, if $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n = \infty$, then the result is similar: $L_{\vec{p}} = L_\infty$.

After that, a host of function spaces with mixed norm were introduced, such as mixed-norm Lorentz spaces [24], mixed-norm Lorentz-Marcinkiewicz spaces [27], mixed-norm Orlicz spaces [25], anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces [26], mixed-norm Triebel-Lizorkin spaces [28] and weak mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces [29]. More information can be found in [33].

Moreover, combining mixed Lebesgue spaces and Morrey spaces, Nogayama, in 2019, introduced mixed Morrey spaces [4, 5] and these spaces were defined as following: let $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in (0, \infty]^n$ and $p \in (0, \infty]$ satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j} \geq \frac{n}{p};$$

the mixed Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that their quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup \left\{ |Q|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j})} \|f\chi_Q\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} : Q \text{ is a cube in } \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

are finite. It is obvious that if $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_n = q$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p = \mathcal{M}_q^p = \mathcal{M}_{q,\lambda}$ and if $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p = L_{\vec{q}}$.

In particular, before these definitions of mixed Morrey spaces, another definitions were given by [30] in 2017. Their norms were defined by taking successively the $\mathcal{M}_{q_1}^{p_1}$ -norm for x_1 , the $\mathcal{M}_{q_2}^{p_2}$ -norm for x_2 . It is easy to prove that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \left\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q_1}^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q_2}^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})},$$

if $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}$.

In 1981, Adams introduced a variant of Morrey-type spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p\theta,\lambda}$ [19]. In 2004, Burdenkov and Guliyev developed these spaces and defined local Morrey-type spaces $LM_{p\theta,\omega}$ and global Morrey-type spaces $GM_{p\theta,\omega}$ with their some properties were studied [6]. They are defined as follows: let $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$ and let ω be a non-negative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$. We say f belong to the local Morrey-type spaces $LM_{p\theta,\omega}$, if the quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{LM_{p\theta,\omega}} = \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\omega(r)\| \|f\|_{L_p(Q(0,r))} \|L_{\theta(0,\infty)}.$$

are finite and f belong to the global Morrey-type spaces $GM_{p\theta,\omega}$, if the quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{GM_{p\theta,\omega}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x + \cdot)\|_{LM_{p\theta,\omega}}.$$

are finite.

Note that $GM_{p\theta,r-\lambda/p} = \mathcal{M}_{p\theta,\lambda}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$ and $GM_{p\infty,r-\lambda/p} = \mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$.

This paper will give the definitions of local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces and their some properties.

In 1955, Plessis studied the boundedness of fractional integral operators [7] in classical Lebesgue spaces. Adams in 1975 investigated boundedness of the fractional integral operators in Morrey spaces [8]. If ω , which is a positive measurable function defined on

$(0, \infty)$, replace the power function $r^{-\lambda/p}$ in the definitions of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$, then these become the Morrey-type spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}$. Mizuhara [9], Nakai [10], and Guliyev [11] generalized Adams' result respectively. The boundedness of fractional integral operators in local Morrey-type spaces was also studied in [12–14].

In [15], Benedek and Panzone proved the boundedness of fractional integral operators in mixed lebesgue spaces and it was stated as follows: Let $X = E^n$, and $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ an n -tuple of real numbers, $0 < \alpha_i < 1$. If \vec{p} and \vec{q} are such that $\frac{1}{\vec{p}} - \frac{1}{\vec{q}} = \vec{\alpha}$, $1 < \vec{p} < \frac{1}{\vec{\alpha}}$, then

$$\|I_\beta f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}$$

holds for every $f \in L_{\vec{p}}$, where $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and $C = C(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p})$.

In 1974, Adams and David studied the boundedness of the fractional integral operators I_α in the mixed lebesgue spaces $L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [31]. Their results are stated as the following: Let $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, $1 \leq p_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$, $1 < p_2 < q_2 < \infty$, and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i} - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{q_i}$, we have

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}};$$

let $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, $1 < p_1 < q_1 < \infty$, $1 < p_2 = q_2 < \infty$, and $\alpha = \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}$, then

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}.$$

It is unlucky that we fail to prove that the second result is also right when $n > 2$ and p_2, q_2 are replaced by $\mathbf{p}' = (p_{l+1}, \dots, p_n)$, $\mathbf{q}' = (q_{l+1}, \dots, q_n)$ ($n - l \geq 2$) respectively because of the application of Fubini's theorem.

In [4], Toru Nogayama proved the boundedness of fractional integral operators in mixed Morrey spaces and it was stated as following: Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < \vec{q}, \vec{s} < \infty$ and $0 < p, r < \infty$; assume that $\frac{n}{p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j}$ and $\frac{n}{r} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{s_j}$; if

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \quad \frac{\vec{q}}{p} = \frac{\vec{s}}{r};$$

then, for $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{s}}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It is obvious that this result can not be reduced to the result of the above, even if $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j}$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{s_j}$.

Let $\vec{p}_1 = (p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{1n})$, $\vec{p}_2 = (p_{21}, p_{22}, \dots, p_{2n})$, and $0 < p_{1i}, p_{2i} < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, we define that $f \in L_{\vec{p}}^{loc}$ means that $f\chi_E \in L_{\vec{p}}$, if E is compact set. If E is a nonempty measurable subset on \mathbb{R}^n and f is a measurable function on E , then we put

$$\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(E)} := \|f\chi_E\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By $A \lesssim B$ ($B \gtrsim A$), we denote that $A \leq CB$ where $C > 0$ depends on unessential parameters, and $A \sim B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. We define that $t_+ = t$ if $t > 0$ and $t_+ = 0$ if $t < 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. We start with defining the local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces, and some properties of these spaces are also studied in Section 2. In Section 3, We will give the necessary conditions of the boundedness of fractional integral operators I_α in mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces and the local mixed Morrey-type spaces. In order to acquire relationship between fractional integral operators I_α and Hardy operators H , $L_{\vec{p}}$ -estimates of I_α over the cube $Q(x, r)$ is investigated in the Section 4. In the last section, according to results of Section 4, the inequality $\|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}} \lesssim \|Hg_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L\theta_2,\nu_2(0, \infty)}$ is proved. As corollaries, we acquire the boundedness of fractional integral operators in global mixed Morrey-type spaces, the boundedness of fractional maximal operators in local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces, and the other two new results of the boundedness of fractional integral operators in mixed Lebesgue spaces and mixed Morrey spaces.

2 Local and Global Mixed Morrey-type Spaces

In this section, we will give the definitions of local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces.

From [15], we know Hölder's inequality and Minkowski's inequality in mixed Lebesgue spaces. In [4], Fatou's property for $L_{\vec{p}}$ was also given.

Lemma 2.1 (Hölder's inequality for $L_{\vec{p}}$). Let $1 \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \frac{1}{\vec{p}'} = 1$. Then for any $f \in L_{\vec{p}}$ and $g \in L_{\vec{p}'}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx \leq \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}\|g\|_{L_{\vec{p}'}}$$

holds.

Remark 2.2. In particular, if $0 < \vec{r}, \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$, $\frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \frac{1}{\vec{q}} = \frac{1}{\vec{r}}$, $f \in L_{\vec{p}}$ and $g \in L_{\vec{q}}$, then

$$\|fg\|_{L_{\vec{r}}} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}\|g\|_{L_{\vec{q}}}$$

also holds.

They are easily proved by successive applications of classical Hölder's inequality.

Lemma 2.3 (Minkowski's inequality for $L_{\vec{p}}$). Let $1 \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$. If $f, g \in L_{\vec{p}}$, then

$$\|f + g\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} + \|g\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}.$$

It is easy to prove it by successive applications of classical Minkowski's inequality.

Lemma 2.4 (Fatou's property for $L_{\vec{p}}$). Let $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\left\| \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i \right\| \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i\|.$$

Remark 2.5. By classical Fatou's property, it also can be proved that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i\| \leq \left\| \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i \right\|.$$

We state the Lebesgue differential theorem in the setting of mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces as the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let $f \in L_{\vec{p}}^{loc}$ and $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|\chi_{Q(x,r)}\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} = |f(x)| \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof: Let $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $n = 2$.

It is easy to know that

$$\|\chi_{Q(x,r)}\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} = |2r|^{\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}},$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)$. Therefore, by the classical Lebesgue differential theorem, we get that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$, such that $r < \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{Q(x,r)}\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} &= |2r|^{-\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y_1, y_2)|^{p_1} \chi_{Q(x,r)}(y_1, y_2) dy_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2r} \int_{I_2} \left(\frac{1}{2r} \int_{I_1} |f(y_1, y_2)|^{p_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\leq \left((|f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_1} + \epsilon)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} + \epsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ &\lesssim |f(x_1, x_2)| + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p_1}} + \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $I_1 = (x_1 - r, x_1 + r)$, $I_2 = (x_2 - r, x_2 + r)$. By the definition of limit,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|\chi_{Q(x,r)}\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} = |f(x)| \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Based on the definition of local and global Morrey-type spaces and mixed Morrey spaces, the definitions of local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces are introduced.

Definition 2.7. Let $0 < \vec{p}, \theta \leq \infty$ and let ω be a non-negative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$. We denote the local mixed Morrey-type spaces and the global mixed Morrey-type spaces by $LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}$, $GM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}$ respectively. For any functions $f \in L_{\vec{p}}^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we say $f \in LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}$ when the quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}} = \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,r))}\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} \leq \infty;$$

we say $f \in GM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}$ when the quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{GM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x + \cdot)\|_{LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}} \leq \infty.$$

In particular, we say $f \in LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}^{[x]}$, if

$$\|f(x + \cdot)\|_{LM_{\vec{p}\theta, \omega}} = \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))}\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)} < \infty.$$

Remark 2.8. Note that if $\omega(r) = r^{\frac{n}{q} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j}}$, $\theta = \infty$, then $GM_{\vec{p},\omega} = \mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^q$.

Next, some properties of local and global mixed Morrey-type spaces are given.

Theorem 2.9. Let $0 < \vec{p}, \theta \leq \infty$ and let ω be a non-negative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$.

(1) If for all $t > 0$

$$\|\omega(r)\|_{L_\theta(t,\infty)} = \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

then $LM_{\vec{p},\omega} = GM_{\vec{p},\omega} = \Theta$.

(2) If for all $t > 0$

$$\|\omega(r)r^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} = \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

then $f(0) = 0$ for all $f \in LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ continuous at 0 and $GM_{\vec{p},\omega} = \Theta$ for all $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$.

Proof: (1) Suppose that (2.1) holds true for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and f is not the element zero. Then there is t_0 such that $A = \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,t_0))} > 0$. Hence,

$$\|f\|_{GM_{\vec{p},\omega}} \geq \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} \geq \|\omega(r)\|_{L_\theta(t_0,\infty)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,r))} \|f\|_{L_\theta(t_0,\infty)} \geq A \|\omega(r)\|_{L_\theta(t_0,\infty)} = \infty.$$

Therefore, $\|f\|_{GM_{\vec{p},\omega}} = \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} = \infty$.

(2) Suppose that (2.2) holds true for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,r))} = B = C|f(0)| \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

where $C = 2^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}$. If $B > 0$, then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$r^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,r))} \geq \frac{B}{2} \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

holds for all $0 < r \leq t_0$. Consequently,

$$\|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} \geq \|\omega(r)\|_{L_\theta(0,t_0)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0,r))} \|f\|_{L_\theta(0,t_0)} \geq \frac{B}{2} \|\omega(r)r^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}\|_{L_\theta(0,t_0)} = \infty \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here, $\|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} = \infty$, so $f \notin LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ and we have arrived at a contradiction.

Next let $0 < \vec{p} < \infty$, $f \in GM_{\vec{p},\omega}$. By lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} = C|f(x)| \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $C = 2^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}$. By the above argument, $f(x) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. \blacksquare

Due to the above argument, the sets Ω_θ and $\Omega_{\vec{p},\theta}$ are defined as following.

Definition 2.10. Let $0 < \vec{p}, \theta \leq \infty$. We denote by Ω_θ the set of all functions ω which are non-negative, measurable on $(0, \infty)$, not equivalent to 0, and such that for some $t > 0$

$$\|\omega\|_{L_\theta(t,\infty)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, we denote by $\Omega_{\vec{p},\theta}$ the set of all functions ω which are non-negative, measurable on $(0, \infty)$, not equivalent to 0, and such that for some $t_1, t_2 > 0$

$$\|\omega\|_{L_\theta(t_1, \infty)} < \infty, \quad \|\omega(r)r^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j}}\|_{L_\theta(0, t_2)} = \infty.$$

The following Theorem tells us that $LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ and $GM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ are complete, when $1 \leq \vec{p}, \theta < \infty$.

Theorem 2.11. (1) Let $1 \leq \vec{p}, \theta < \infty$, and $\omega \in \Omega_\theta$. Suppose $f_n \in LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} < \infty.$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ exist. If $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$, then $f \in LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ and

$$\|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}}.$$

Hence, $LM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ is complete.

(2) Let $1 \leq \vec{p}, \theta < \infty$, and $\omega \in \Omega_{\vec{p}\theta}$. Suppose $f_n \in GM_{\vec{p},\omega}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{GM_{\vec{p},\omega}} < \infty.$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ exist. If $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$, then $f \in GM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ and

$$\|f\|_{GM_{\vec{p},\omega}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{GM_{\vec{p},\omega}}.$$

Hence, $GM_{\vec{p},\omega}$ is complete.

Proof: (1) It is easy to see that for any $R > 0$

$$\|\omega\|_{L_\theta(R, \infty)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0, R))} \leq \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0, R))} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{LM_{\vec{p},\omega}}.$$

Since $L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0, r))$ is complete [15], then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges a.e. to some $f \in L_{\vec{p}}^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = f$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0, R))} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(0, R))}.$$

Therefor,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}} &= \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}}(Q(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\
&\leq \|\omega(r)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{L_{\bar{p}}(Q(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\omega(r)\|f_n\|_{L_{\bar{p}}(Q(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the complete of $LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}$ will be proved. let $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}$. Without less of generality, assume $\{f_n\}$ satisfies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n - f_{n-1}\|_{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}} < \infty,$$

where $f_0 = 0$.

Thanks to the above argument, there exist $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n - f_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ and $f \in LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}$. It is easy via Fatou's property to obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}} \leq \|\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f - f_n|\|_{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}} = 0$$

Hence, the complete of $LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}$ is proved.

(2) We can prove (2) through the same method as (1), so we omit the proof. \blacksquare

Definition 2.12. [34] The intersection of a family function space $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a Banach space X such that

- (a) $X \hookrightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$;
- (b) if for a certain Banach Space Y we have

$$Y \hookrightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$$

then $Y \hookrightarrow X$.

It is easy to prove the following prosperity.

Prosperity 2.13. $GM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}$ is the interaction of $\{LM_{\bar{p}\theta,\omega}^{[x]}\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$.

3 the necessary condition of the boundedness of I_α

In [15,31], the boundedness of fractional integral operators is proved in mixed Lebesgue spaces. Next, a sufficient and necessary condition of fractional integral operators' bound-

edness will be given in mixed norm Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 3.1. Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < \vec{p} < \vec{q} < \infty$. Then

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}} \quad (3.1)$$

if and only if

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{q_j}.$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, let $n = 2$. Duce to $|y - z| = |(y_1, y_2) - (z_1, z_2)| \geq |y_i - z_i|$, $i = 1, 2$, it is easy to acquire that

$$|y - z|^{\alpha-2} \leq \prod_{i=1}^2 |y_i - z_i|^{\alpha_i-1},$$

where $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{q_i}$, $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$. Duce to Minkowski's inequality and boundedness of I_α in classical Lebesgue spaces, It is easy to acquire that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(z_1, z_2)}{|x - z|^{2-\alpha}} dz_1 dz_2 \right|^{q_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|x_2 - z_2|^{1-\alpha_2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(z_1, z_2)}{|x_1 - z_1|^{1-\alpha_1}} dz_1 \right|^{q_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dz_2 \right)^{q_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|f(\cdot, z_2)\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R})}}{|x_2 - z_2|^{1-\alpha_2}} dz_2 \right)^{q_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, sufficiency is proved.

Let $\delta_t f(x) = f(tx)$. Then

$$\delta_{t^{-1}} I_\alpha \delta_t = t^{-\alpha} I_\alpha, \quad \|\delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = t^{-\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

$$\|\delta_{t^{-1}} I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = t^{\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}} \|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Assume (3.1) is satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= t^\alpha \|\delta_{t^{-1}} I_\alpha \delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{q_i}} \|I_\alpha \delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq C t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{q_i}} \|\delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = C t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{q_i} - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefor, $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_i} - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{q_i}$ is obtained. \blacksquare

Remark 3.2. By translation invariance of I_α , we can prove that $\vec{p} \leq \vec{q}$ is a necessary condition for the boundedness of fractional integral operators in mixed Lebesgue spaces.

Let $\tau_h^i f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}$. By translation invariant of I_α ,

$$\|I_\alpha f + \tau_h^i I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} = \|I_\alpha(f + \tau_h^i f)\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq C\|f + \tau_h^i f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}.$$

Duce to Lemma 2.3 of [32], let $h \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{q_i}} C\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}.$$

Therefore, $\vec{p} \leq \vec{q}$.

The following theorem states the necessity of I_α in local mixed Morrey-type spaces.

Theorem 3.3. (1) Let $1 \leq \vec{p}_1 \leq \infty$, $0 < \vec{p}_2 \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \infty$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$. Moreover, let $\omega_1 \in L_{\theta_1}(0, \infty)$. Then

$$\alpha \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_{1i}} \right)_+$$

is necessary for the boundedness of I_α from $LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1, \omega_1}$ to $LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2, \omega_2}$.

(2) Let $1 \leq \vec{p}_1 \leq \infty$, $0 < \vec{p}_2 \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \infty$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1}$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2}$. Moreover, let $\omega_1 \in L_{\theta_1}(0, \infty)$. Then

$$\alpha \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_{1i}} \right)_+$$

is necessary for the boundedness of I_α from $GM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1, \omega_1}$ to $GM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2, \omega_2}$.

Proof: Suppose that

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2, \omega_2}} \leq \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1, \omega_1}} \quad \forall f \in LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1, \omega_1}.$$

Let $f \in L_{\vec{p}_1} \subseteq LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1, \omega_1}$, and f is not almost everywhere 0. Then for $t > 1$

$$\|\delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(0,r))} = t^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(0,tr))},$$

$$\delta_t^{-1} I_\alpha \delta_t = t^{-\alpha} I_\alpha,$$

$$\|\delta_t^{-1} I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(0,r))} = t^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(0,r/t))}.$$

So, it is easy for us to acquire that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2, \omega_2}} &= t^\alpha \|\delta_t^{-1} I_\alpha(\delta_t f)\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2, \omega_2}} \\ &= t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \|\omega_2(r)\| I_\alpha(\delta_t f) \|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(0,r/t))} \|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \|\omega_2(r)\| I_\alpha(\delta_t f) \|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(0,r))} \|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq C t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \|\omega_1(r)\| \|\delta_t f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(0,r))} \|_{L_{\theta_1}(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq C t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \|\omega_1(r)\| \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(0,tr))} \|_{L_{\theta_1}(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq C t^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \|\omega_1(r)\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0, \infty)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}$, then $0 < \alpha < n$ is necessary because of definition of I_α . If $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} > \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} < \alpha < n$ is necessary because of the above discussion.

(2) We can prove (2) through the same method as (1), so we omit the proof. \blacksquare

4 $L_{\vec{p}}$ -Estimates of I_α Over the Cube $Q(x, r)$

We consider the following ‘‘partia’’ fractional integral operators

$$\underline{I}_{\alpha,r}f(x) = I_\alpha(f\chi_{Q(x,r)})(x) = \int_{Q(x,r)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

$$\bar{I}_{\alpha,r}f(x) = I_\alpha(f\chi_{Q(x,r)^c})(x) = \int_{Q(x,r)^c} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < n$ and $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for any $Q(x, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \sim \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} + r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r}f(x).$$

Proof: Clearly

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \leq \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} + \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)^c})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))}.$$

If $y \in Q(x, r)$, $z \in Q(x, 2r)^c$, then $|y-z| \sim |x-z|$. therefor

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)^c})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} &= \left\| \int_{Q(x,2r)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \\ &\sim \left\| \int_{Q(x,2r)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \\ &= \int_{Q(x,2r)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \| \chi_{Q(x,r)} \|_{L_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\sim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

For the left-hand side inequalities, in the one hand,

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \leq \|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))};$$

in the other hand, if $y \in Q(x, r)$ and $z \in Q(x, 2r)^c$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} &\geq \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)^c})\|_{L_{\vec{p}}(Q(x,r))} \\ &\sim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.2. Let

$$1 < \vec{p}_1 \leq \vec{p}_2 < \infty, \vec{p}_1 \neq \vec{p}_2 \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} \leq \alpha < n, \quad (4.1)$$

or

$$0 < \vec{p}_2 < \vec{p}_1 \leq \infty, \vec{p}_1 > 1, 0 < \alpha < n, \quad (4.2)$$

or

$$0 < \vec{p}_2 < \infty, 1 < \vec{p}_1 < \infty, \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \frac{1}{p_{2i}} \right)_+ < \alpha < n, \quad (4.3)$$

Then

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))}.$$

Proof: (1) When $1 < \vec{p}_1 < \vec{p}_2 < \infty$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} \leq \alpha < n,$$

it is easy to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(y) &= \int_{Q(x,2r)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\ &\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \int_{Q(x,2r)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^{n-\beta}} dz \\ &= r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} I_\beta(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}$ and $y \in Q(x, r)$. Duce to [Lemma 3.1](#), It is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} &\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|I_\beta(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} \\ &\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))} \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $1 < \vec{p}_1 \leq \vec{p}_2 < \infty$, we take $\vec{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ such that $1 < \vec{s} < \vec{p}_1 \leq \vec{p}_2 < \infty$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{s_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} \leq \alpha < n.$$

Thanks to (1) and Hölder's inequality, it is easy to acquire that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} &\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{s_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{s}}(Q(x,2r))} \\ &\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $0 < \vec{p}_2 \leq \vec{p}_1 < \infty$, $\vec{p}_1 > 1$, then

$$0 < \alpha < n.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r))}.$$

Duce to Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r))} &\lesssim \left\| \int_{Q(x,2r)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|\cdot - z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r))} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{B(0,3\sqrt{n}r)} \frac{|(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(\cdot - z)|}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \right\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r))} \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,3\sqrt{n}r)} \frac{1}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \|f\chi_{Q(x,2r)}\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim r^\alpha \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}})} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))}.$$

(4) For other cases that there are $i \neq j$ such that

$$p_{1i} < p_{2i}, \quad p_{1j} > p_{2j}$$

hold, the proof is given as following.

To simplify the process of the proof, let $\vec{p}_1 = (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_1, \mathbf{p}'_1)$, $\bar{\mathbf{p}}_1 = (p_{1,1}, p_{1,2}, \dots, p_{1,l})$ and $\mathbf{p}'_1 = (p_{1,l+1}, p_{1,l+2}, \dots, p_{1,n})$. Similarly, let $\vec{p}_2 = (\bar{\mathbf{p}}_2, \mathbf{p}'_2)$, $x = (\bar{x}, x')$, and $z = (\bar{z}, z')$. Moreover,

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_1 \leq \bar{\mathbf{p}}_2, \quad \mathbf{p}'_1 > \mathbf{p}'_2.$$

Duce to (1), (2), and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} &= \left\| \left\| \int_{Q(x',2r)} \int_{Q(\bar{x},2r)} \frac{|(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(\bar{z}, z')|}{|\cdot - (\bar{z}, z')|^{n-\alpha}} d\bar{z} dz' \right\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(\bar{x},r))} \right\|_{L_{\mathbf{p}'_2}(Q(x',r))} \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{Q(x',2r)} \frac{1}{|\cdot - z'|^{n-l-\alpha_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left\| \int_{Q(\bar{x},2r)} \frac{|(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(\bar{z}, z')|}{|\cdot - \bar{z}|^{l-\alpha_1}} d\bar{z} \right\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(\bar{x},r))} dz' \left\|_{L_{\mathbf{p}'_2}(Q(x',r))} \\
&\lesssim r^{\alpha_1 - (\sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{p_{2i}})} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \int_{Q(x',2r)} \frac{\|(f\chi_{Q(x,2r)})(\cdot, z')\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(\bar{x},2r))}}{|\cdot - z'|^{n-l-\alpha_1}} dz' \right\|_{L_{\mathbf{p}'_2}(Q(x',r))} \\
&\lesssim r^{\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}})} \|\|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(\bar{x},2r))}\|_{L_{\mathbf{p}'_1}(Q(x',2r))} \\
&\lesssim r^{\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}})} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and they satisfy that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{p_{2i}} < \alpha_1 < l, \quad 0 < \alpha_2 < n - l.$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Before starting the next theorem, the following lemma [16] is given.

Lemma 4.3. Let f is be a non-negative measurable function, then for any $r > 0$

$$\int_{|x|>r} \frac{f(x)}{|x|^\beta} dx = \beta \int_r^\infty \int_{r \leq |x| \leq t} f(x) dx \frac{dt}{t^{\beta+1}}.$$

Theorem 4.4. Let (4.1) or (4.2) or (4.3) is satisfied. Then

$$\|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} \lesssim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}},$$

where $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \alpha$.

Proof: Note that if $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} \leq \alpha$ and f is not almost everywhere equivalent to 0 on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\sigma + 1 \leq 1$,

$$\int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}} \geq \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,r))} \int_r^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}} = \infty.$$

Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}$. By [Theorem 4.1](#) and [Theorem 4.2](#)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_\alpha(|f|)\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} &\sim \|I_\alpha(|f|\chi_{Q(x,2r)})\|_{L_{\bar{p}_2}(Q(x,r))} + r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r} f(x) \\
&\lesssim r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))} + r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r} f(x) \\
&= \text{(I)} + \text{(II)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(I)} &= r^{\alpha - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}\right)} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))} \\
&\sim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,2r))} \\
&\leq r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_r^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the other hand, thanks to [Lemma 4.3](#) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(II)} &= r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \bar{I}_{\alpha,2r} f(x) \\
&= r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_{Q(x,2r)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\
&= (n-\alpha) r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \int_{2r \leq |x-y| \leq t} |f(y)| dy \frac{dt}{t^{n-\alpha+1}} \\
&\lesssim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_1(Q(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n-\alpha+1}} \\
&\lesssim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_r^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_{\bar{p}_1}(Q(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

5 the sufficient condition of the boundedness of I_α

In this section, the boundedness of the fractional Integration is obtained by Hardy operators' boundedness in weighted Lebesgue spaces. According to [\[17, 18\]](#), the boundedness of Hardy operators was obtained. Hence the sufficient condition of the boundedness of I_α and its corollaries are given.

Let H be the Hardy operators,

$$(Hg)(t) := \int_0^t g(r) dr, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where $g(t)$ is non-negative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let (4.1) or (4.2) or (4.3) is satisfied. Moreover, let $0 < \theta_2 \leq \infty$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$. Then

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}} \lesssim \|Hg_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_2,\nu_2}(0,\infty)},$$

where

$$g_{\vec{p}_1} = \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,t^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}))}, \quad \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \alpha.$$

$$\nu_2(r) = \omega_2(r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}})r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} - \frac{1}{\theta_2\sigma} - \frac{1}{\theta_2}}.$$

Proof: Duce to [Theorem 4.4](#),

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}} &= \|\omega_2(r)\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_2}(Q(x,r))}\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\ &\lesssim \|\omega_2(r)r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}}\int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,t))}\frac{dt}{t^{\sigma+1}}\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\ &\sim \|\omega_2(r)r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}}\int_0^{r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}}\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,t^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}))}dt\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\ &\sim \|\omega_2(r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}})r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}} - \frac{1}{\theta_2\sigma} - \frac{1}{\theta_2}}Hg_{\vec{p}_1}(r)\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\ &= \|Hg_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_2,\nu_2}(0,\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.2. Let (4.1) or (4.2) or (4.3) is satisfied. Moreover, let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$. Then if

$$\|Hg_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_2,\nu_2}(0,\infty)} \lesssim \|g_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_1,\nu_1}(0,\infty)},$$

where ν_2 is same as theorem 5.1 and

$$\nu_1(r) = \omega_1(r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}})r^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1\sigma} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}},$$

I_α is bounded from $LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1}$ to $LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}$.

Proof: Assume that the operator H is boundedness from $L_{\theta_1,\nu_1}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\theta_2,\nu_2}(0, \infty)$. By [Theorem 5.1](#),

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}} \lesssim \|Hg_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_2,\nu_2}(0,\infty)} \lesssim \|g_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_1,\nu_1}(0,\infty)}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\vec{p}_1}\|_{L_{\theta_1,\nu_1}(0,\infty)} &= \|\nu_1(r)\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}))}\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0,\infty)} \\ &= \|\omega_1(r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}})r^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1\sigma} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}}\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}))}\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0,\infty)} \\ &\sim \|\omega_1(r)\|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}_1}(Q(x,r))}\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0,\infty)} \\ &= \|f\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \blacksquare

According to [Theorem 5.2](#) and [\[17, 18\]](#), we get the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.3. Let [\(4.1\)](#) or [\(4.2\)](#) or [\(4.3\)](#) is satisfied. Moreover, let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$.

Then I_α is bounded from $LM_{\vec{p}_1, \theta_1, \omega_1}$ to $LM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}$, if

(1) If $1 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < \infty$, then

$$A_1^1 := \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_t^\infty \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2(\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}))} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) dr \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}} < \infty,$$

and

$$A_2^1 := \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \frac{\omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) r^{\theta_1(\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}})}}{\left(\int_r^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\rho) d\rho \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}}} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} < \infty.$$

(2) If $1 < \theta_1 \leq 1$, $0 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < \infty$, then $A_1^1 < \infty$ and

$$A_2^2 := \sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \left(\int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) dr \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}} < \infty.$$

(3) If $1 < \theta_1 < \infty$, $0 < \theta_2 < \theta_1 < \infty$, $\theta_2 \neq 1$, then

$$A_1^3 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\int_t^\infty \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2(\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}))} dr}{\int_r^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) dr} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}} \times \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{\theta_2(\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}))} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_1 \theta_2}} < \infty$$

and

$$A_2^3 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\left(\int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \frac{\omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) r^{\theta_1(\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}})}}{\left(\int_r^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\rho) d\rho \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}}} dr \right)^{\frac{\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_2}} \right]^{\frac{\theta_1 \theta_2}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}} \times \frac{\omega_1^{\theta_1}(t) t^{\theta_1(\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}})}}{\left(\int_t^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\rho) d\rho \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}}} dt \right)^{\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_1 \theta_2}} < \infty.$$

(4) If $1 = \theta_2 < \theta_1 < \infty$, then $A_1^3 < \infty$ and

$$A_2^4 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\int_t^\infty \omega_2(r) r^{\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}})} dr + t^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr}{\int_t^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) dr} \right)^{\theta_1 - 1} \times t^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}} \int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\theta_1} < \infty.$$

(5) If $0 < \theta_2 < \theta_1 \leq 1$, then $A_1^3 < \infty$ and

$$A_2^5 := \left(\int_0^\infty \sup_{t \leq s < \infty} \frac{s^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} \frac{\theta_1 \theta_2}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}}}{\left(\int_s^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\rho) d\rho \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}}} \left(\int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(r) r^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dr \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}} \right. \\ \left. \times \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{\theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} dt \right)^{\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_1 \theta_2}} < \infty.$$

(6) If $0 < \theta_1 \leq 1$, $\theta_2 = \infty$, then

$$A^6 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t \leq s < \infty} \frac{\omega_2(2)(t) t^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}}}{s^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \alpha} \left(\int_s^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}}} < \infty.$$

(7) If $1 < \theta_1 < \infty$, $\theta_2 = \infty$, then

$$A^7 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t > 0} \omega_2(t) t^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \left(\int_t^\infty \frac{r^{\theta_1(\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}})}}{\left(\int_r^\infty \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{\theta_1 - 1} r} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} < \infty.$$

(8) If $\theta_1 = \infty$, $0 < \theta_2 < \infty$, then

$$A^8 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \alpha} \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - 1}}{\omega_1(s)} ds \right)^{\theta_2} \right. \\ \left. \times \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{\theta_2(\alpha - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}))} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} < \infty.$$

(9) If $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \infty$, then

$$A^9 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t > 0} \omega_2(t) t^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}} \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}} - 1}}{\omega_1(s)} ds < \infty.$$

Assume that I_α is bounded from $LM_{\vec{p}_1, \theta_1, \omega_1}$ to $LM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha f\|_{GM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|I_\alpha f(\cdot - x)\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(\cdot - x)\|_{LM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}} \\ &= \|I_\alpha f\|_{GM_{\vec{p}_2, \theta_2, \omega_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we get the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. If the conditions of [Theorem 5.3](#) are satisfied. Moreover, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1}$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2}$. Then I_α are bounded from $GM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1}$ to $GM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}$.

It is well-known that $M_\alpha f < I_\alpha(|f|)$, then the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 5.5. If the conditions of [Theorem 5.3](#) are satisfied, then M_α are bounded from $LM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1}$ to $LM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}$. Moreover, if $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1}$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2}$. Then M_α are also bounded from $GM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1}$ to $GM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2}$.

If $\omega_1(r) = r^{\frac{n}{q_1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}}$, $\omega_2(r) = r^{\frac{n}{q_2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2j}}}$, $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \infty$ and , then

$$GM_{\vec{p}_1\theta_1,\omega_1} = \mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}_1}^{q_1} \quad GM_{\vec{p}_2\theta_2,\omega_2} = \mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}_2}^{q_2}.$$

Moreover, if $\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$, then $A^9 < \infty$ is satisfied.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\frac{n}{q_1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{1i}}$, $\frac{n}{q_2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_{2i}}$ and [\(4.1\)](#) or [\(4.2\)](#) or [\(4.3\)](#) is satisfied. Moreover, if

$$\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{\alpha}{n},$$

then for $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}_1}^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}_2}^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}_1}^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Corollary 5.7. Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$. Then

$$1 < \vec{p} \leq \vec{q} < \infty, \quad \vec{p} \neq \vec{q}, \quad \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i}.$$

if and only if

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_{\vec{q}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{\vec{p}}}$$

Proof: It easy to prove the sufficiency by [Corollary 5.6](#). For the necessity, by [Lemma 3.1](#) and [Remark 3.2](#),

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i}, \quad 1 < \vec{p} \leq \vec{q} < \infty.$$

It is obvious that $\vec{p}_1 \neq \vec{p}_2$. ■

References

- [1] Stein E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability of Functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
- [2] Stein E.M. and Weiss, G.: Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)
- [3] Morrey C.B.: On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43: 126-166 (1938)

- [4] Nogayama T.: Mixed Morrey spaces, *Positivity* (2019), DOI: 10.1007/s11117-019-00646-8 or arXiv: 1806.09293.
- [5] Nogayama T.: Boundedness of commutators of fractional integral operators on mixed Morrey spaces, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* (2019)
- [6] Burenkov V. and Guliyev. H.V.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces, *Studia Math.* 163(2):157-176 (2004)
- [7] Plessis N.D.: Some theorems about the Riesz fractional integral. *Transactions of the AMS*, 80(1):124-124 (1955)
- [8] Adams and David R.: A note on Riesz potentials. *Duke Math. J.*, 42(4):765-778 (1975)
- [9] Mizuhara T.: Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces. In: Igari, S. (ed.) *Harmonic Analysis, ICM 90 Satellite Proceedings*, pp. 183-189. Springer, Tokyo (1991)
- [10] Nakai E.: Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 166:95-103 (1994)
- [11] Guliyev V.S.: Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in \mathbb{R}^n . Doctor of Sciences, Moscow, Mat. Inst. Steklova, pp. 1-329 (1994, Russian)
- [12] Burenkov V.I., Guliyev H.V. and Guliyev V.S.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the Riesz operator in the local Morrey-type spaces. *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk* 412(5):585-589 (2007)
- [13] Burenkov V.I. and Guliyev V.S.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the Riesz operator in local Morrey-type spaces. *Potential Anal.* 30(3):211-249 (2009)
- [14] Burenkov V.I., Gogatishvili A., Guliyev V.S. et al: Boundedness of the Riesz Potential in Local Morrey-Type Spaces. *Dokl. Math.*, 35(1):67-87 (2011)
- [15] Benedek A. and Panzone R.: The space L^p , with mixed norm, *Duke Math.* 28:301-324 (1961)
- [16] Burenkov V.I. and Guliyev H.V.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces, *Studia Math.* 163(2):157-176 (2004)
- [17] Carro M., Pick L., Soria J. and Stepanov V.D.: On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 4(3):397-428 (2001)

- [18] Carro M., Gogatishvili A., Martin J. and Pick L.: Weighted inequalities involving two Hardy operators with applications to embeddings of function spaces. *Oper. Theory* 59(2):309-332 (2008)
- [19] Adams D.R.: Lectures on L_p -potential theory. Umea U, Report no. 2, pp. 1-74 (1981)
- [20] Antonic N. and Ivec I.: On the Hörmander-Mihlin theorem for mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces, *Math. Anal. Appl.* 433:176-199 (2016)
- [21] Kenig C.E.: On the local and global well-posedness theory for the KP-I equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 21:827-838 (2004)
- [22] Kim D.: Elliptic and parabolic equations with measurable coefficients in $L_{\vec{p}}$ -spaces with mixed norms, *Methods Appl. Anal.* 15:437-468 (2008)
- [23] Krylov N.V.: Parabolic equations with VMO coefficients in Sobolev spaces with mixed norms, *Funct. Anal.* 250:521-558 (2007)
- [24] Fernandez D.L.: Lorentz spaces, with mixed norms, *Funct. Anal.* 25:128-146 (1977)
- [25] Milman M.: A note on $L(p,q)$ spaces and Orlicz spaces with mixed norms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83:743-746 (1981)
- [26] Cleanthous G., Georgiadis A.G. and Nielsen M.: Anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* (2017)
- [27] Milman M.: Embeddings of Lorentz-Marcinkiewicz spaces with mixed norms, *Anal. Math.* 4:215-223 (1978)
- [28] Besov O.V., Il'in V.P. and Nikolskii S.M.: Integral Representations of Functions, and Embedding Theorems, Second edition, Fizmatlit "Nauka", Moscow, 480, (Russian, 1996)
- [29] Chen T. and Sun W.: Iterated and mixed weak norms with applications to geometric inequalities, *J. Geom. Anal.* (2019)
- [30] Ragusa M.A. and Scapellato A.: Mixed Morrey spaces and their applications to partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 151:51-65 (2017)
- [31] Adams and David R. et al: Translation-Dilation Invariant Estimates for Riesz Potentials, *Indiana U. Math. J.*, vol. 23(11):1051-1067 (1974)
- [32] Sun W. and Chen, T.: Extension of Multilinear Fractional Integral Operators to Linear Operators on Lebesgue Spaces with Mixed Norms. *Math. Ann.*, (2020)
- [33] Huang L. and Yang D.: On Function Spaces with Mixed Norms – A Survey. (2019)

- [34] Brudnyi Yu.A. and Krugljak N.Ya.: Interpolation functors and Interpolation Spaces, in: North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 47, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1991)