FURTHERANCE OF NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES OF HILBERT SPACE OPERATORS

PINTU BHUNIA AND KALLOL PAUL

ABSTRACT. If A, B are bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space, then

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right),$$

$$w(AB \pm BA) \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|B\| \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}},$$

where $w(.), \|.\|, c(.)$ and r(.) are the numerical radius, the operator norm, the Crawford number and the spectral radius respectively, and $\Re(A), \Im(A)$ are the real part, the imaginary part of A respectively. The inequalities obtained here generalize and improve on the existing well known inequalities.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . As usual the norm induced by the inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$ is denoted by $\|.\|$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\|A\|$ be the operator norm of A, i.e., $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, A^* denotes the adjoint of A and $|A|, |A^*|$ respectively denote the positive part of A, A^* , i.e., $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}, |A^*| = (AA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the unit sphere of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The numerical range of A, denoted by W(A), is defined as $W(A) := \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}\}$. Considering the continuous mapping $x \longmapsto \langle Ax, x \rangle$ from $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ to the scalar field \mathbb{C} , it is easy to see that W(A) is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} if \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional. The famous Toeplitz-Hausdorff theorem states that the numerical range is a convex set. The numerical radius and the Crawford number of A, denoted as w(A) and c(A), respectively, are defined as

$$w(A) := \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|$$

and

$$c(A) := \inf_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A12, 47A30.

Key words and phrases. Numerical radius, Spectral radius, Operator norm, Bounded linear operator, Inequality.

First author would like to thank UGC, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of SRF.

The numerical radius is a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|A\| \le w(A) \le \|A\|.$$
(1.1)

Clearly, (1.1) implies that the numerical radius norm is equivalent to the operator norm. The inequality (1.1) is sharp, w(A) = ||A|| if $AA^* = A^*A$ and $w(A) = \frac{||A||}{2}$ if $A^2 = 0$. For further readings on the numerical range and the numerical radius of bounded linear operators, we refer to the book [12]. The spectral radius of A, denoted as r(A), is defined as

$$r(A) := \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|,$$

where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A. Since $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$, $r(A) \leq w(A)$. Also, r(A) = w(A) if $A^*A = AA^*$. Kittaneh [16, Th. 1] and [17, Th. 1] improved on the inequality (1.1), to prove that

$$\frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| \le w^2(A) \le \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + AA^*\|$$
(1.2)

and

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{\|A^2\|} \right),$$
 (1.3)

respectively. Bhunia and Paul [10, Cor. 2.5] improved on the right hand inequalities of both (1.1) and (1.2) to prove that

$$w^{2}(A) \leq \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left\| \alpha |A|^{2} + (1 - \alpha) |A^{*}|^{2} \right\|.$$
 (1.4)

In [9, Th. 2.1], Bhunia and Paul also improved on the left hand inequalities of both (1.1) and (1.2) to prove that

$$\frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq \frac{1}{8} (\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) \\
\leq \frac{1}{8} (\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{8} c^2 (A + A^*) + \frac{1}{8} c^2 (A - A^*) \\
\leq w^2 (A).$$

Fong and Holbrook [11] obtained the remarkable numerical radius inequality that

$$w(AB + BA) \le 2\sqrt{2} ||B|| w(A).$$
 (1.5)

Hirzallah and Kittaneh [14] improved on the inequality (1.5) in the following form:

$$w(AB \pm BA) \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|B\| \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{\|\Re(A)\|^2 - \|\Im(A)\|^2\|}{2}}.$$
 (1.6)

Over the years many mathematicians have developed various inequalities improving (1.1), we refer to [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and references therein.

In this paper, we obtain an improvement and generalization of the inequality (1.3). Some inequalities for the numerical radius of the commutators of bounded linear operators are also obtained, which improve on (1.5).

2. Improvement of inequality (1.3)

Our improvement of the inequality (1.3), is stated as the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, $w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right)$.

Remark 2.2. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $r(|A||A^*|) \leq w(|A||A^*|) \leq ||(|A||A^*|)|| = ||A^2||$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 improves (1.3). To show proper improvement we consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $|A| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|A^*| = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that $r(|A||A^*|) = 9 < ||(|A||A^*|)|| = ||A^2|| = \sqrt{59 + 10\sqrt{34}} \approx 10.83$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following sequence of lemmas. First lemma can be found in [18].

Lemma 2.3. ([18, Cor. 2]) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. Then $||A + B|| \le \max\{||A||, ||B||\} + ||A^{1/2}B^{1/2}||.$

The second lemma which contains a mixed schwarz inequality, can be found in [13, pp. 75-76].

Lemma 2.4. ([13, pp. 75-76]) Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $|\langle Ax, x \rangle| \leq \langle |A|x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle |A^*|x, x \rangle^{1/2}, \ \forall \ x \in \mathcal{H}.$

The third lemma is as follows.

Lemma 2.5. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. Then

$$r(AB) = \left\| A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \right\|^2$$
.

Proof. By commutativity property of the spectral radius we have that

$$r(AB) = r \left(A^{1/2} A^{1/2} B^{1/2} B^{1/2} \right) = r \left(A^{1/2} B^{1/2} B^{1/2} A^{1/2} \right)$$
$$= r \left(A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \left(A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \right)^* \right) = \left\| A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \left(A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \right)^* \right\|$$
$$= \left\| A^{1/2} B^{1/2} \right\|^2.$$

Now we prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then by Lemma 2.4 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| &\leq \langle |A|x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle |A^*|x, x \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\langle |A|x, x \rangle + \langle |A^*|x, x \rangle) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \| |A| + |A^*| \| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + \||A|^{1/2} |A^*|^{1/2}\|), \ by \ Lemma \ 2.3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + \sqrt{r (|A||A^*|)}), \ by \ Lemma \ 2.5. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by taking supremum over $x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ we get,

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right),$$

This completes the proof.

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we prove the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $r(|A||A^*|) = 0$, then $w(A) = \frac{||A||}{2}$.

Proof. It follows from (1.1) and Theorem 2.1 that

$$\frac{\|A\|}{2} \le w(A) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right).$$

This implies that if $r(|A||A^*|) = 0$, then $w(A) = \frac{||A||}{2}$.

Remark 2.7. It should be mentioned here that the converse of Corollary 2.6 does not hold if dim(\mathcal{H}) ≥ 3 . As for example, we consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we see that $w(A) = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{\|A\|}{2}$, but $r(|A||A^*|) \neq 0$.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.8. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $w(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{||A^2||} \right)$, then $r(|A||A^*|) = ||A^2||$.

Proof. Using Remark 2.2, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{\|A^2\|} \right).$$

This implies that if $w(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{\|A^2\|} \right)$, then $r(|A||A^*|) = \|A^2\|.$

Remark 2.9. It should be mentioned that the converse of Corollary 2.8 is not true. Considering the same example as in Remark 2.7, i.e., $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we see that $r(|A||A^*|) = ||A^2|| = 1$, but $w(A) = \frac{3}{2} < 2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{||A^2||} \right)$.

We give a sufficient condition for $w(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right)$, when A is a complex $n \times n$ matrix.

Proposition 2.10. Let A be a complex $n \times n$ matrix. Suppose A satisfies either one of the following conditions. (i) A is unitarily similar to $[\alpha] \oplus B$, where B is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix with $||B|| \le |\alpha|$. (ii) $r(|A||A^*|) = 0$.

Then,
$$w(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right)$$

Proof. Let (i) holds. Then $w(A) = |\alpha|$ and $||A|| = |\alpha|$. Also it is not difficult to verify that $r(|A||A^*|) = |\alpha|^2$. Hence, $\frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right) = |\alpha|$. Now let (ii) holds. Then from Corollary 2.6 we get, $w(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right) = \frac{||A||}{2}$. Thus, we complete the proof.

Next we give a generalized result of Theorem 2.1. For this purpose we need the following lemma, which is the generalization of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.11. ([19, Th. 5]). Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $|A|B = B^*|A|$ and let f, g be non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty]$ satisfy $f(t)g(t) = t, \forall t \ge 0$. Then, $|\langle ABx, y \rangle| \le r(B) ||f(|A|)x|| ||g(|A^*|)y||, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Using Lemma 2.11 and proceeding similarly as in Theorem 2.1, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.12. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $|A|B = B^*|A|$ and let f, g be as in Lemma 2.11. Then

$$w(AB) \le \frac{r(B)}{2} \Big(\max\left\{ \|f(|A|)\|^2, \|g(|A^*|)\|^2 \right\} + \||f(|A|)|||g(|A^*|)||\| \Big).$$

Considering $f(t) = g(t) = \sqrt{t}$ in Theorem 2.12 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $|A|B = B^*|A|$. Then

$$w(AB) \leq \frac{r(B)}{2} \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(||B|| + \sqrt{r(|B||B^*|)} \right) \left(||A|| + \sqrt{r(|A||A^*|)} \right).$$

Remark 2.14. If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $|A|B = B^*|A|$, then Alomari [2, Cor. 3.2] proved that

$$w(AB) \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\|B\| + \sqrt{\|B^2\|} \right) \left(\|A\| + \sqrt{\|A^2\|} \right).$$
(2.1)

Clearly our inequalities in Corollary 2.13 improve on the inequality (2.1).

3. Improvement of inequality (1.5)

In order to obtain an improvement of the inequality (1.5) we need the following lemma [9]. First, we note the Cartesian decomposition of $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $A = \Re(A) + i\Im(A)$, where $\Re(A) = \frac{A+A^*}{2}$ and $\Im(A) = \frac{A-A^*}{2i}$.

Lemma 3.1. ([9, Cor. 2.3]) Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$||AA^* + A^*A|| \le 4\left[w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}\right].$$

Now we prove the desired result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$w(AXB \pm BYA) \le 2\sqrt{2} \|B\| \max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\} \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}$$

Proof. First we assume that $||X|| \leq 1$ and $||Y|| \leq 1$. Let $x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (AX \pm YA)x, x \rangle| &\leq |\langle AXx, x \rangle| + |\langle YAx, x \rangle| \\ &= |\langle Xx, A^*x \rangle| + |\langle Ax, Y^*x \rangle| \\ &\leq ||A^*x|| + ||Ax||, \ by \ Cauchy \ Schwarz \ inequality \\ &\leq \sqrt{2(||A^*x||^2 + ||Ax||^2)}, \ by \ convexity \ of \ f(x) = x^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2||AA^* + A^*A||} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}, \ by \ Lemma \ 3.1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by taking supremum over ||x|| = 1 we get,

$$w(AX \pm YA) \leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}.$$
 (3.1)

Now we consider the general case, i.e., $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be arbitrary operators. If X = Y = 0 then Theorem 3.2 holds trivially. Let $\max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\} \neq 0$. Then clearly $\left\|\frac{X}{\max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\}}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\left\|\frac{Y}{\max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\}}\right\| \leq 1$. So, replacing X and Y by $\frac{X}{\max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\}}$ and $\frac{Y}{\max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\}}$, respectively, in (3.1) we get,

$$w(AX \pm YA) \le 2\sqrt{2} \max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\} \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}.$$
 (3.2)

Now replacing X by XB and Y by BY in (3.2) we get,

 $w(AXB \pm BYA) \leq 2\sqrt{2} \max\left\{\|XB\|, \|BY\|\right\} \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}},$ which implies that

$$w(AXB \pm BYA) \le 2\sqrt{2} \|B\| \max\{\|X\|, \|Y\|\} \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}.$$

On the basis of Theorem 3.2 we prove the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$w(AB \pm BA) \leq 2\sqrt{2} ||B|| \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}.$$
 (3.3)

and

$$w(AB \pm BA) \leq 2\sqrt{2} ||A|| \sqrt{w^2(B) - \frac{c^2(\Re(B)) + c^2(\Im(B))}{2}}.$$
 (3.4)

Proof. By considering X = Y = I in Theorem 3.2 we get, (3.3). Interchanging A and B in (3.3) we get, (3.4).

Remark 3.4. Clearly, the inequality (3.3) is stronger than the inequality (1.5). As an application of the inequality (3.3) we prove the following result. **Corollary 3.5.** Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $B \neq 0$. If $w(AB \pm BA) = 2\sqrt{2} ||B|| w(A)$, then $0 \in W(\Re(A)) \cap W(\Im(A))$.

Proof. Let $w(AB \pm BA) = 2\sqrt{2} ||B|| w(A)$. Then it follows from (3.3) that

$$w(A) = \sqrt{w^2(A) - \frac{c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A))}{2}}.$$

Hence, $c^2(\Re(A)) + c^2(\Im(A)) = 0$, i.e., $c(\Re(A)) = c(\Im(A)) = 0$. Therefore, there exist norm one sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} such that $|\langle \Re(A)x_n, x_n\rangle| \to 0$ and $|\langle \Im(A)y_n, y_n\rangle| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So, $0 \in W(\Re(A)) \cap W(\Im(A))$.

For our next result we need the following three lemmas, the first two of which can be found in [1] and [15], respectively.

Lemma 3.6. ([1, Remark 2.2]) Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $w^2(AX \pm BY) \le ||AA^* + Y^*Y|| ||X^*X + BB^*||.$

Lemma 3.7. ([15, Th. 1.1]) Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ Y & B \end{pmatrix} \right\| \le \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \|A\| & \|X\| \\ \|Y\| & \|B\| \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

The next lemma is as follows.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $||AA^* + B^*B|| \le \mu(A, B)$, where $\mu(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \left[||A||^2 + ||B||^2 + \sqrt{(||A||^2 - ||B||^2)^2 + 4||BA||^2} \right].$

Proof. $AA^* + B^*B$ being a self-adjoint operator, we have

$$\begin{split} \|AA^* + B^*B\| &= r(AA^* + B^*B) \\ &= r\left(\begin{array}{cc} AA^* + B^*B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ &= r\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*| & |B| \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*| & 0 \\ |B| & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ &= r\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*| & 0 \\ |B| & 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*| & |B| \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right), \ r(XY) = r(YX) \\ &= r\left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*|^2 & |A^*||B| \\ |B||A^*| & |B|^2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left\| \left(\begin{array}{cc} |A^*|^2 & |A^*||B| \\ |B||A^*| & |B|^2 \end{array} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\begin{array}{cc} \|A\|^2 & \||A^*||B|\| \\ \||B||A^*|\| & \|B\|^2 \end{array} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\begin{array}{cc} \|A\|^2 & \|BA\| \\ \|BA\| & \|B\|^2 \end{array} \right) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2)^2 + 4\|BA\|^2} \right]. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\|AA^* + B^*B\| \le \mu(A, B).$$

Remark 3.9. Notice that $\mu(A, B) \le \max\{||A||^2, ||B||^2\} + ||BA||$. In particular, if A = B then $\mu(A, A) = ||A||^2 + ||A^2||$. Hence, we have $||AA^* + A^*A|| \le ||A||^2 + ||A^2||$.

Now we are in a position to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.10. Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$w(AX \pm BY) \le \sqrt{\mu(A,Y)} \ \mu(B,X).$$

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8.

An application of Theorem 3.10 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$w(AB \pm BA) \le \sqrt{\left(\|A\|^2 + \|A^2\|\right)\left(\|B\|^2 + \|B^2\|\right)}.$$

Remark 3.12. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $A^2 = B^2 = 0$. Then it follows from Corollary 3.11 that $w(AB \pm BA) \leq ||A|| ||B|| < 2\sqrt{2} ||B|| w(A) = \sqrt{2} ||A|| ||B||$.

References

- A. Abu-Omar and F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for products and commutators of operators, Houston J. Math. 41(4) (2015) 1163-1173.
- 2. M.W. Alomari, Refinements of some numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2019).https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1624682
- S. Bag, P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Bounds of numerical radius of bounded linear operator using t-Aluthge transform, Math. Inequal. Appl. 23(3) (2020) 991-1004.
- 4. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Some improvement of numerical radius inequalities of operators and operator matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2020). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1781037
- 5. P. Bhunia, K. Paul and R.K. Nayak, Sharp inequalities for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators and operator matrices, Math. Inequal. Appl. (2020), to appear.
- 6. P. Bhunia, S. Bag and K. Paul, Bounds for zeros of a polynomial using numerical radius of Hilbert space operators, Ann. Funct. Anal. 12, 21 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43034-020-00107-4
- Bhunia, $\mathbf{S}.$ Bag and K. Paul, Numerical 7. P. radius inequalities of operator matrices with applications, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2019)https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1634673
- P. Bhunia, S. Bag and K. Paul, Numerical radius inequalities and its applications in estimation of zeros of polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl. 573 (2019) 166-177.
- P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Refinements of norm and numerical radius inequalities, (2020). arXiv:2010.12750 [math.FA]
- P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Proper improvement of well-known numerical radius inequalities and their applications, (2020), arXiv:2009.03206 [math.FA].
- C.-K. Fong and J.A.R. Holbrook, Unitarily invariant operator norms, Canad. J. Math. 35(1983) 274-299.
- 12. K.E. Gustafson and D.K.M. Rao, Numerical Range, Springer, New York, 1997.
- 13. P.R. Halmos, A Hilbert space problems book, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.

- O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for several operators, Math. Scand. 114(1) (2014) 110-119.
- 15. J.-C. Hou and H.-K. Du, Norm inequalities of positive operator matrices. Integr Equ Oper Theory 22(1995) 281-294.
- F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Studia Math. 168(1) (2005), 73-80.
- 17. F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, Studia Math. 158(1) (2003), 11-17.
- F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for certain operator sums, J. Funct. Anal. 143 (1997), 337-348.
- F. Kittaneh, Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 24 (1988) 283-293.

(Bhunia) Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India

Email address: pintubhunia5206@gmail.com

(Paul) Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India

Email address: kalloldada@gmail.com;kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in