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#### Abstract

We introduce the notion of a g-atomic subspace for a bounded linear operator and construct several useful resolutions of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of g-fusion frames. Also we shall describe the concept of frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences and some of their properties.
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## 1 Introduction

Frames for Hilbert spaces were first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [6] in 1952 to study some fundamental problems in non-harmonic Fourier series. Later on, after some decades, frame theory was popularized by Daubechies, Grossman, Meyer [4]. At present, frame theory has been widely used in signal and image processing, filter bank theory, coding and communications, system modeling and so on. Several generalizations of frames namely, $K$-frames, $g$-frames, fusion frames etc. have been introduced in recent times.
$K$-frames were introduced by L. Gavruta [8] to study the atomic system with respect to a bounded linear operator. Using frame theory techiques, the author also studied the atomic decompositions for operators on reporducing kernel Hilbert spaces 9]. Sun 15 introduced a $g$-frame and a $g$-Riesz basis in complex Hilbert spaces and discussed several properties of them. Huang [11] began to study $K-g$ frame by combining $K$-frame and $g$-frame. P. Casazza [2] was first to introduce the notion of fusion frames or frames of subspaces and gave various ways to obtain a resolution of the identity operator from a fuison frame. The concept of an atomic subspace with respect to a bounded linear operator were introduced by A. Bhandari and S. Mukherjee [1]. Construction of $K-g$-fusion frames and their dual were presented by Sadri and Rahimi [14] to generalize the theory of $K$-frame, fusion frame
and $g$-frame. P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta [10] studied the stability of dual $g$-fusion frames in Hilbert spaces.

In this paper, we present some useful results about resolution of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of $g$-fusion frames. We give the notion of $g$-atomic subspace with respect to a bounded linear operator. The frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences are discussed and some properties are going to be established.

The paper is organized as follows; in Section 2, we briefly recall the basic definitions and results. Various ways of obtaining resolution of the identity operator on a Hilbert space in $g$-fusion frame are studied in Section 3. $g$-atomic subspaces are introduced and discussed in Section 4. In Section 5, frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences are given and establish various properties.

Throughout this paper, $H$ is considered to be a separable Hilbert space with associated inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces, where $J$ is subset of integers $\mathbb{Z} . I_{H}$ is the identity operator on $H . \mathcal{B}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is a collection of all bounded linear operators from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$. In particular $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators on $H$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote $\mathcal{N}(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ for null space and range of $T$, respectively. Also, $P_{V} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is the orthonormal projection onto a closed subspace $V \subset H$. Define the space

$$
l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)=\left\{\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}: f_{j} \in H_{j}, \sum_{j \in J}\left\|f_{j}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

with inner product is given by $\left\langle\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in J}\left\langle f_{j}, g_{j}\right\rangle_{H_{j}}$. Clearly $l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with the pointwise operations [14.

## 2 Preliminaries

Theorem 2.1. (Douglas' factorization theorem) [5] Let $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{R}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(V)$.
(2) $U U^{*} \leq \lambda^{2} V V^{*}$ for some $\lambda>0$.
(3) $U=V W$ for some bounded linear operator $W$ on $H$.

Theorem 2.2. [12] The set $\mathcal{S}(H)$ of all self-adjoint operators on $H$ is a partially ordered set with respect to the partial order $\leq$ which is defined as for $T, S \in \mathcal{S}(H)$

$$
T \leq S \Leftrightarrow\langle T f, f\rangle \leq\langle S f, f\rangle \forall f \in H
$$

Theorem 2.3. 77] Let $V \subset H$ be a closed subspace and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $P_{V} T^{*}=P_{V} T^{*} P_{\overline{T V}}$. If $T$ is an unitary operator (i.e $T^{*} T=I_{H}$ ), then $P_{\overline{T V}} T=T P_{V}$.

Definition 2.4. [3] A sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of elements in $H$ is a frame for $H$ if there exist constants $A, B>0$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J}\left|\left\langle f, f_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are called frame bounds.
Definition 2.5. [2] Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of $H$ and $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights. A family of weighted closed subspaces $\left\{\left(W_{j}, v_{j}\right): j \in J\right\}$ is called a fusion frame for $H$ if there exist constants $0<$ $A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H
$$

The constants $A, B$ are called fusion frame bounds. If $A=B$ then the fusion frame is called a tight fusion frame, if $A=B=1$ then it is called a Parseval fusion frame.

Definition 2.6. [1] Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces of $H$ and $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of positive weights and $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $\left\{\left(W_{j}, v_{j}\right): j \in J\right\}$ is said to be an atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$ if following conditions hold:
(I) $\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} f_{j}$ is convergent for all $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{l^{2}}$.
(II) For every $f \in H$, there exists $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{l^{2}}$ such that

$$
K(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} f_{j} \text { and }\left\|\left\{f_{j}\right\}\right\|_{\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{l 2}} \leq C\|f\|_{H}
$$

for some $C>0$, where

$$
\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{l^{2}}=\left\{\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}: f_{j} \in W_{j}, \sum_{j \in J}\left\|f_{j}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

with inner product is given by $\left\langle\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in J}\left\langle f_{j}, g_{j}\right\rangle_{H}$.
Definition 2.7. [15] A sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right): j \in J\right\}$ is called a generalized frame or $g$-frame for $H$ with respect to $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ if there are two positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J}\left\|\Lambda_{j} f\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H
$$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are called the lower and upper frame bounds, respectively.

Definition 2.8. [13, 14] Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of $H$ and $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and let $\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is called a generalized fusion frame or a $g$-fusion frame for $H$ respect to $\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ if there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are called the lower and upper bounds of $g$-fusion frame, respectively. If $A=B$ then $\Lambda$ is called tight $g$-fusion frame and if $A=B=1$ then we say $\Lambda$ is a Parseval g-fusion frame. If $\Lambda$ satisfies only the condition

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

then it is called a g-fusion Bessel sequence with bound $B$ in $H$.
Definition 2.9. 144 Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a $g$-fusion Bessel sequence in $H$ with a bound $B$. The synthesis operator $T_{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$ is defined as

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{\Lambda}: l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right) \rightarrow H, \\
T_{\Lambda}\left(\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} f_{j} \quad \forall\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the analysis operator is given by

$$
T_{\Lambda}^{*}: H \rightarrow l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right), T_{\Lambda}^{*}(f)=\left\{v_{j} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\}_{j \in J} \forall f \in H .
$$

The $g$-fusion frame operator $S_{\Lambda}: H \rightarrow H$ is defined as follows:

$$
S_{\Lambda}(f)=T_{\Lambda} T_{\Lambda}^{*}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)
$$

and it can be easily verify that

$$
\left\langle S_{\Lambda}(f), f\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

Furthermore, if $\Lambda$ is a g-fusion frame with bounds $A$ and $B$ then from (1),

$$
\langle A f, f\rangle \leq\left\langle S_{\Lambda}(f), f\right\rangle \leq\langle B f, f\rangle \forall f \in H
$$

The operator $S_{\Lambda}$ is bounded, self-adjoint, positive and invertible. Now, according to the Theorem (2.2), we can write, $A I_{H} \leq S_{\Lambda} \leq B I_{H}$ and this gives

$$
B^{-1} I_{H} \leq S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \leq A^{-1} I_{H}
$$

Definition 2.10. 14] Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of $H$ and $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and let $\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right)$ for each $j \in J$ and $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then the family $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is called a $K$-g-fusion frame for $H$ if there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.11. [14] Let $\Lambda$ be a g-fusion Bessel sequence in $H$. Then $\Lambda$ is a $K$ - $g$-fusion frame for $H$ if and only if there exists $A>0$ such that $S_{\Lambda} \geq A K K^{*}$.

Definition 2.12. [2] A family of bounded operators $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ on $H$ is called a resolution of identity operator on $H$ if for all $f \in H$, we have $f=\sum_{j \in J} T_{j}(f)$, provided the series converges unconditionally for all $f \in H$.

## 3 Resolution of the identity operator in $g$-fusion frame

In this section, we present several useful results of resolution of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of $g$-fusion frames.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-fusion frame for $H$ with frame bounds $C, D$ and $S_{\Lambda}$ be its associated $g$-fusion frame operator. Then the family $\left\{v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is resolution of the identity operator on $H$, where $T_{j}=\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}, j \in J$. Furthermore, for all $f \in H$, we have

$$
\frac{C}{D^{2}}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{D}{C^{2}}\|f\|^{2}
$$

Proof. For any $f \in H$, we have the reconstruction formula for $g$-fusion frame:

$$
f=S_{\Lambda} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f) .
$$

Thus, $\left\{v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$. Since $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame with bounds $C$ and $D$, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq D\left\|S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq D\left\|S_{\Lambda}^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|f\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{D}{C^{2}}\|f\|^{2}\left[\text { since } D^{-1} I_{H} \leq S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \leq C^{-1} I_{H}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)\right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\geq C\left\|S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(f)\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{C}{D^{2}}\|f\|^{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{C}{D^{2}}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{D}{C^{2}}\|f\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a $g$-fusion frame for $H$ with frame bounds $C, D$ and let $T_{j}: H \rightarrow H_{j}$ be a bounded operator such that $\left\{v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{D}\left\|\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

Proof. Assume $I \subset J$ with $|I|<\infty$. If our inequality holds for all finite subsets then it would holds for all subset. Let $f \in H$ and set $g=\sum_{j \in I} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|g\|^{4}=(\langle g, g\rangle)^{2}=\left(\left\langle g, \sum_{j \in I} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
&=\left(\sum_{j \in I} v_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(g), v_{j} T_{j}(f)\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j \in I} v_{j}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(g)\right\|\left\|v_{j} T_{j}(f)\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j \in I} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(g)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j \in I}\left\|v_{j} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq D\|g\|^{2} \sum_{j \in I}\left\|v_{j} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2}[\text { since } \Lambda \text { is a } g \text {-fusion frame }] . \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{D}\|g\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in I}\left\|v_{j} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{D}\left\|\sum_{j \in I} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in I} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \forall f \in H .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the inequality holds for any finite subset $I \subset J$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{D}\left\|\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-fusion frame for $H$ with frame bounds $C, D$ and let $T_{j}: H \rightarrow H_{j}$ be a bounded operator such that $\left\{v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$. If $T_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}=$ $T_{j}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{D}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq D E\|f\|^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

where $E=\sup _{j}\left\|T_{j}\right\|^{2}<\infty$.
Proof. Since $\left\{v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity on $H$,

$$
f=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f), f \in H
$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, using Theorem (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{D}\|f\|^{2} & =\frac{1}{D}\left\|\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\left[\text { since } T_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}=T_{j}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|T_{j}\right\|^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq E \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\left[\text { using } E=\sup _{j}\left\|T_{j}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq D E\|f\|^{2}[\text { since } \Lambda \text { is a } g \text {-fusion frame }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces of $H$ and $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of bounded weights and let $\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right), j \in J$. Then $\Lambda=$ $\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-fusion frame for $H$ if the following conditions are hold:
(I) For all $f \in H$, there exists $A>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in J}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{A}\|f\|^{2}
$$

(II) $\left\{v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$.

Proof. Since $\left\{v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$, for $f \in H$, we have

$$
f=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|^{4}=(\langle f, f\rangle)^{2}=\left(\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), f\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
= & \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\rangle\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{j \in J}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{A}\|f\|^{2} \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}[\text { using given condition }(I)] \\
\Rightarrow & A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} & \leq B \sum_{j \in J}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\left[\text { where } B=\sup _{j \in J}\left\{v_{j}^{2}\right\}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{B}{A}\|f\|^{2}[\text { using given condition }(I)]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame.

## $4 g$-atomic subspace

In this section, we define generalized atomic subspace or $g$-atomic subspace of a Hilbert space with respect to a bounded linear operator.

Definition 4.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of $H$, let $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and $\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is said to be a generalized atomic subspace or $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$ if the following statements hold:
(I) $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion Bessel sequence in $H$.
(II) For every $f \in H$, there exists $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)$ such that

$$
K(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} f_{j} \text { and }\left\|\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{H}
$$

for some $C>0$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of $H$, let $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and $\Lambda_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, H_{j}\right)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(I) $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.
(II) $\Lambda$ is a $K$ - $g$-fusion frame for $H$.

Proof. $(I) \Rightarrow(I I)$ Suppose $\Lambda$ is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$. Then $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion Bessel sequence, So, there exists $B>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H
$$

Now, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
\left\|K^{*} f\right\|=\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left|\left\langle K^{*} f, g\right\rangle\right|=\sup _{\|g\|=1}|\langle f, K g\rangle|
$$

by definition (4.1), for $g \in H$, there exists $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)$ such that

$$
K(g)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} f_{j} \text { and }\left\|\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{H}
$$

for some $C>0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K^{*} f\right\|= & \sup _{\|g\|=1}\left|\left\langle f, \sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} f_{j}\right\rangle\right|=\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left|\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), f_{j}\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{\|g\|=1}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left\|f_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & C \sup _{\|g\|=1}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|g\| \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\Lambda$ is a $K$ - $g$-fusion frame for $H$ with bounds $\frac{1}{C^{2}}$ and $B$.
$(I I) \Rightarrow(I)$ Suppose that $\Lambda$ is a $K$ - $g$-fusion frame with the corresponding synthesis operator $T_{\Lambda}$. Then obviously $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion Bessel sequence in $H$. Now, for each $f \in H$,

$$
A\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}=\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*} f\right\|^{2}
$$

gives $A K K^{*} \leq T_{\Lambda} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ and by Theorem (2.1), $\exists L \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)\right)$ such that $K=T_{\Lambda} L$. Define $L(f)=\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$, for every $f \in H$. Then, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(f)=T_{\Lambda} L(f)=T_{\Lambda}\left(\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} f_{j} \text { and } \\
\left\|\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right\|_{l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)}=\|L(f)\|_{l^{2}\left(\left\{H_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right)} \leq C\|f\|,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C=\|L\|$. Hence, $\Lambda$ is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a $g$-fusion frame for $H$. Then $\Lambda$ is a g-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to its $g$-fusion frame operator $S_{\Lambda}$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame in $H$, there exist $A, B>0$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}\left(T_{\Lambda}\right)=H=\mathcal{R}\left(S_{\Lambda}\right)$, by Theorem (2.1), there exists some $\alpha>0$ such that $\alpha S_{\Lambda} S_{\Lambda}^{*} \leq T_{\Lambda} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ and therefore for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\alpha\left\|S_{\Lambda}^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2}
$$

Thus, $\Lambda$ is a $S_{\Lambda}-g$-fusion frame and hence by Theorem (4.2), $\Lambda$ is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $S_{\Lambda}$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be two g-atomic subspaces of $H$ with respect to $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with the corresponding synthesis operators $T_{\Lambda}$ and $T_{\Gamma}$, respectively. If $T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}=\theta_{H}\left(\theta_{H}\right.$ is a null operator on $H)$ and $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $U+V$ is invertible operator on $H$ with $K(U+V)=(U+V) K$, then

$$
\left\{\left((U+V) W_{j},\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}
$$

is a g-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are $g$-atomic subspaces with respect to $K$, by Theorem (4.2), they are $K-g$-fusion frames for $H$. So, for each $f \in H$, there exist positive constants $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B_{1}\|f\|^{2} \text { and } \\
A_{2}\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \leq B_{2}\|f\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}=\theta_{H}$, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Lambda}\left\{v_{j} \Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\}_{j \in J}=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $U+V$ is invertible, so

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left((U+V)^{-1}\right)^{*}(U+V)^{*} K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|(U+V)^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|(U+V)^{*} K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*} P_{(U+V) W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& = \\
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*}(f)\right\|^{2}[\text { using Theorem (2.3) }] \\
& = \\
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right),\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right)\right\rangle[\text { taking } T=U+V] \\
& = \\
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle T P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right), f\right\rangle\right) \\
& = \\
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(T^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2} \quad[\text { using (3) }] \\
& \leq \\
& =B_{1}\left\|T^{*} f\right\|^{2}+B_{2}\left\|T^{*} f\right\|^{2}[\text { since } \Lambda, \Gamma \text { are } K \text { - } g \text {-fusion frames }] \\
& = \\
& \leq\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\left\|(U+V)^{*} f\right\|^{2}[\text { since } T=U+V] \\
& \leq\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\|U+V\|^{2}\|f\|^{2}[\text { as } U+V \text { is bounded }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*} P_{(U+V) W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*} f\right\|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*} f\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*} f\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq A_{1}\left\|K^{*}(U+V)^{*} f\right\|^{2} \quad[\text { since } \Lambda \text { is } K \text { - } g \text {-fusion frame }] \\
& =A_{1}\left\|(U+V)^{*} K^{*} f\right\|^{2}[\text { using } K(U+V)=(U+V) K] \\
& \geq A_{1}\left\|(U+V)^{-1}\right\|^{-2}\left\|K^{*} f\right\|^{2} \quad[\text { using (4) }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{\left((U+V) W_{j},\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}}(U+V)^{*}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a $K$ - $g$-fusion frame and by Theorem (4.2), it is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be two g-atomic subspaces of $H$ with respect to $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with the corresponding synthesis operators $T_{\Lambda}$ and $T_{\Gamma}$. If $T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}=\theta_{H}$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an invertible operator with $K U=U K$, then $\left\{\left(U W_{j},\left(\Lambda_{j}+\Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j}} U^{*}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a $g$ atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.

Proof. The proof of this Corollary directly follows from the Theorem (4.4), by putting $V=\theta_{H}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-atomic subspace for $K \in$ $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $S_{\Lambda}$ be the frame operator of $\Lambda$. If $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a positive and invertible operator on $H$, then $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}, \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$. Moreover, for any natural number $n$, $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\left(\left(I_{H}+U^{n}\right) W_{j}, \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U^{n}\right)^{*}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is a $g$-atomic subspace with respect to $K$, by Theorem (4.2), it is a $K-g$-fusion frame for $H$. Then, according to the Theorem (2.11), there exists $A>0$ such that $S_{\Lambda} \geq A K K^{*}$. Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f)\right\|^{2}[\text { using Theorem (2.3) }] \\
\leq & B\left\|\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f)\right\|^{2}[\text { since } \Lambda \text { is a } K \text { - } g \text {-fusion frame }] \\
\leq & B\left\|I_{H}+U\right\|^{2}\|f\|^{2}\left[\text { since }\left(I_{H}+U\right) \in \mathcal{B}(H)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a $g$-fusion Bessel sequence in $H$. Also, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}(f) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right) P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}\right)^{*} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j}\left(P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} P_{\left(I_{H}+U\right) W_{j}}(f)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f) \text { [using Theorem (2.3) ] } \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(I_{H}+U\right) P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f) \\
& =\left(I_{H}+U\right) \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f)=\left(I_{H}+U\right) S_{\Lambda}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the frame operator of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is $\left(I_{H}+U\right) S_{\Lambda}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*}$. Now,

$$
\left(I_{H}+U\right) S_{\Lambda}\left(I_{H}+U\right)^{*} \geq S_{\Lambda} \geq A K K^{*} \quad\left[\text { since } U, S_{\Lambda} \text { are positive }\right]
$$

Then by Theorem (2.11), we can conclude that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a $K-g$-fusion frame and therefore by Theorem (4.2), $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a $g$-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$. According to the preceding procedure, for any natural number $n$, the frame operator of $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ is $\left(I_{H}+U^{n}\right) S_{\Lambda}\left(I_{H}+U^{n}\right)^{*}$ and similarly it can be shown that $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ is a g-atomic subspace of $H$ with respect to $K$.

## 5 Frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences

In this section, we shall discussed about the frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences and established some properties relative to frame operator. At the end of this section, we shall construct a new $g$-fusion frame for the Hilbert space $H \oplus X$, using the $g$-fusion frames of the Hilbert spaces $H$ and $X$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, w_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\left(V_{j}, \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be two $g$-fusion Bessel sequences in $H$ with bounds $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Then the operator $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}: H \rightarrow H$, defined by

$$
S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f) \quad \forall f \in H
$$

is called the frame operator for the pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$.
Theorem 5.2. The frame operator $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ for the pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ is bounded and $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*}=S_{\Lambda \Gamma}$.

Proof. For each $f, g \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f), g\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), g\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\rangle . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain $\left|\left\langle S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f), g\right\rangle\right|$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{6}\\
& \leq \sqrt{D_{2}}\|g\| \sqrt{D_{1}}\|f\| .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ is a bounded operator with $\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda}\right\| \leq \sqrt{D_{1} D_{2}}$. Now,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\|=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f), g\right\rangle\right|:\|g\|=1\right\} \\
\leq \sup \left\{\sqrt{D_{2}}\|g\|\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}:\|g\|=1\right\}[\text { using (6) }] \\
\leq \sqrt{D_{2}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and similarly it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*} g\right\| \leq \sqrt{D_{1}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, for each $f, g \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f), g\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), g\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j}\left\langle f, P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f, \sum_{j \in J} w_{j} v_{j} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\rangle=\left\langle f, S_{\Lambda \Gamma}(g)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*}=S_{\Lambda \Gamma}$.
Theorem 5.3. Let $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ be the frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ with bounds $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(I) $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ is bounded below.
(II) There exists $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$, where $T_{j}=v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}, j \in J$.

If one of the given conditions hold, then $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame.
Proof. $(I) \Rightarrow(I I)$ Suppose that $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ is bounded below. Then for each $f \in H$, there exists $A>0$ such that
$\|f\|^{2} \leq A\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\|^{2} \Rightarrow\left\langle I_{H} f, f\right\rangle \leq A\left\langle S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*} S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f, f\right\rangle \Rightarrow I_{H}^{*} I_{H} \leq A S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*} S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$.
So, by Theorem (2.1), there exists $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $K S_{\Gamma \Lambda}=I_{H}$. Therefore, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =K S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f)=K\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} T_{j}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$, where $T_{j}=$ $v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}$.
$(I I) \Rightarrow(I)$ Since $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on $H$, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\sum_{j \in J} T_{j}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f) \\
& =K\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right)=K S_{\Gamma \Lambda}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $I_{H}=K S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$. So, by Theorem (2.1), there exists some $\alpha>0$ such that $I_{H} I_{H}^{*} \leq \alpha S_{\Gamma \Lambda} S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*}$ and hence $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ is bounded below.

Last part: First we suppose that $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ is bounded below. Then for all $f \in H$, there exists $M>0$ such that $\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \geq M\|f\|$ and this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{2}\|f\|^{2} & \leq\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\|^{2} \leq D_{2} \sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \quad[\text { using (7) }] \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{M^{2}}{D_{2}}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H$ with bounds $\frac{M^{2}}{D_{2}}$ and $D_{1}$.
Next, we suppose that the given condition $(I I)$ holds. Then for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
f=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), K \in \mathcal{B}(H)
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^{2} & =\langle f, f\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j} K P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), f\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j} w_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}\left(K^{*} f\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}\left(K^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{D_{2}}\left\|K^{*} f\right\|\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{D_{2}\|K\|\|f\|\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{D_{2}\|K\|^{2}}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in this case $\Lambda$ is also a $g$-fusion frame for $H$.
Theorem 5.4. Let $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ be the frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ with bounds $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, respectively. Suppose $\lambda_{1}<1, \lambda_{2}>$ -1 such that for each $f \in H$,

$$
\left\|f-S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}\|f\|+\lambda_{2}\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\|
$$

Then $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H$.
Proof. For each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|-\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \leq\left\|f-S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}\|f\|+\lambda_{2}\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \\
\Rightarrow & \left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)\|f\| \leq\left(1+\lambda_{2}\right)\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \\
\Rightarrow & \left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{2}}\right)\|f\| \leq \sqrt{D_{2}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad[\text { using (7) }] \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{1}{D_{2}}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{2}}\right)^{2}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H$ with bounds $\frac{1}{D_{2}}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{2}}\right)^{2}$ and $D_{1}$.

Theorem 5.5. Let $S_{\Gamma \Lambda}$ be the frame operator for a pair of $g$-fusion Bessel sequences $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ of bounds $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, respectively. Assume $\lambda \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\|f-S_{\Gamma \Lambda} f\right\| \leq \lambda\|f\| \quad \forall f \in H
$$

Then $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are $g$-fusion frames for $H$.
Proof. By putting $\lambda_{1}=\lambda$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$ in (9), we get

$$
\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{D_{2}}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} w_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}
$$

and therefore $\Lambda$ is a $g$-fusion frame. Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f-S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*} f\right\|=\left\|\left(I_{H}-S_{\Gamma \Lambda}\right)^{*} f\right\| \leq\left\|\left(I_{H}-S_{\Gamma \Lambda}\right)\right\|\|f\| \leq \lambda\|f\| \\
\Rightarrow(1-\lambda)\|f\| \leq\left\|S_{\Gamma \Lambda}^{*} f\right\| \leq \sqrt{D_{1}}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad[\operatorname{using}(\text { (8) })] \\
\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(f)\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{D_{1}}\|f\|^{2} \quad \forall f \in H
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $\Gamma$ is a $g$-fusion frame with bounds $\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{D_{1}}$ and $D_{2}$.
Definition 5.6. Let $H$ and $X$ be two Hilbert spaces. Define

$$
H \oplus X=\{(f, g): f \in H, g \in X\} . \text { Then } H \oplus X \text { forms } a
$$

Hilbert space with respect to point-wise operations and inner product defined by

$$
\left\langle(f, g),\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle f, f^{\prime}\right\rangle_{H}+\left\langle g, g^{\prime}\right\rangle_{X} \forall f, f^{\prime} \in H \& \forall g, g^{\prime} \in X
$$

Now, if $U \in \mathcal{B}(H, Z), V \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ then for all $f \in H, g \in X$, we define

$$
U \oplus V \in \mathcal{B}(H \oplus X, Z \oplus Y) \quad \text { by }(U \oplus V)(f, g)=(U f, V g)
$$

and $(U \oplus V)^{*}=U^{*} \oplus V^{*}$, where $Z, Y$ are Hilbert spaces and also we define $P_{M \oplus N}(f, g)=\left(P_{M} f, P_{N} g\right)$, where $P_{M}, P_{N}$ and $P_{M \oplus N}$ are the orthonormal projection onto the closed subspaces $M \subset H, N \subset X$ and $M \oplus N \subset H \oplus X$, respectively.

From here we assume that for each $j \in J, W_{j} \oplus V_{j}$ are the closed subspaces of $H \oplus X$ and $\Gamma_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(X, X_{j}\right)$, where $\left\{X_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces and $\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H \oplus X, H_{j} \oplus X_{j}\right)$.

Theorem 5.7. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\left(W_{j}, \Lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-fusion frame for $H$ with bounds $A, B$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\left(V_{j}, \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a $g$-fusion frame for $X$ with bounds $C, D$. Then $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma=\left\{\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}, \Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus$ $X$ with bounds $\min \{A, C\}$, max $\{B, D\}$. Furthermore, if $S_{\Lambda}, S_{\Gamma}$ and $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}$ are $g$-fusion frame operators for $\Lambda, \Gamma$ and $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma$ respectively then we have $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}=$ $S_{\Lambda} \oplus S_{\Gamma}$.

Proof. Let $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$ be an arbitrary element. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g),\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\left(P_{W_{j}}(f), P_{V_{j}}(g)\right), \Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\left(P_{W_{j}}(f), P_{V_{j}}(g)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right),\left(\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(\left\langle\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\rangle_{H}+\left\langle\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\rangle_{X}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\|_{X}^{2}\right) \\
& = \\
& \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right\|_{X}^{2} \\
& \leq B\|f\|_{H}^{2}+D\|g\|_{X}^{2}[\operatorname{since} \Lambda, \Gamma \operatorname{are} g \text {-fusion frames }] \\
& \leq \max \{B, D\}\left(\|f\|_{H}^{2}+\|g\|_{X}^{2}\right)=\max \{B, D\}\|(f, g)\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$
\min \{A, C\}\|(f, g)\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\|^{2}
$$

Therefore, for all $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
A_{1}\|(f, g)\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\|^{2} \leq B_{1}\|(f, g)\|^{2}
$$

and hence $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with bounds $A_{1}=\min \{A, C\}$ and $B_{1}=\max \{B, D\}$. Furthermore, for $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}(f, g) & =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)\left(P_{W_{j}}(f), P_{V_{j}}(g)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j}^{*} \oplus \Gamma_{j}^{*}\right)\left(\Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j}} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f), \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{V_{j}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} P_{V_{j}}(g)\right) \\
& =\left(S_{\Lambda}(f), S_{\Gamma}(g)\right)=\left(S_{\Lambda} \oplus S_{\Gamma}\right)(f, g) \forall(f, g) \in H \oplus X
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}=S_{\Lambda} \oplus S_{\Gamma}$. This completes the proof.
Theorem 5.8. Let $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma=\left\{\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}, \Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with frame operator $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}$. Then

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\left(S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right),\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}
$$

is a Parseval $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$.
Proof. Since $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}$ is a positive operator, there exists a unique positive square root $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\right.$ or $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ) and they commute with $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}$ and $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}$. Therefore, each $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, can be written as $(f, g)=S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g)$

$$
=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g)
$$

Now, for each $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(f, g)\|^{2}=\langle(f, g),(f, g)\rangle \\
=\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g),(f, g)\right\rangle \\
=\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g),\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, g)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} P\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}(f, g)\right\| \quad[\text { by Theorem (2.3) }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a Parseval $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$.
Theorem 5.9. Let $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma=\left\{\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}, \Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a g-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with bounds $A_{1}, B_{1}$ and $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}$ be the corresponding frame operator. Then

$$
\Delta=\left\{\left(S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right),\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}
$$

is a g-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with frame operator $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}$.
Proof. For any $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(f, g)= & S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem (2.3), for any $(f, g) \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} \| & \left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}(f, g) \|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)\right\|^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& \leq B_{1}\left\|S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|(f, g)\|^{2}[\text { since } \Lambda \oplus \Gamma \text { is } g \text {-fusion frame }]
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using (10), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(f, g)\|^{4}=|\langle(f, g),(f, g)\rangle|^{2} \\
=\left|\left\langle\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g),(f, g)\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
=\left|\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g),\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\rangle\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)\right\|^{2} \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}(f, g)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)\right\|^{2} B_{1}\|(f, g)\|^{2}[\text { as } \Lambda \oplus \Gamma \text { is } g \text {-fusion frame }] \\
& =B_{1}\|(f, g)\|^{2} \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}(f, g)\right\|^{2}[\text { from (11) }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
B_{1}^{-1}\|(f, g)\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left\|\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}(f, g)\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence, $\Delta$ is a $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$. Let $S_{\Delta}$ be the $g$-fusion frame operator for $\Delta$ and take $\Delta_{j}=\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}$. Now, for each $(f, g) \in H \oplus X, S_{\Delta}(f, g)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}\left(\Delta_{j} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\Delta_{j} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right) P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}(f, g) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}\right)^{*} \Delta_{j}^{*} \Delta_{j}\left(P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(W_{j} \oplus V_{j}\right)}\right)(f, g) \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2}\left(P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right)^{*} \Delta_{j}^{*} \Delta_{j}\left(P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right)(f, g)[\text { using Theorem (2.3) }] \\
& =\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)\left(P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\right)(f, g) \\
& =S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{j} \oplus \Gamma_{j}\right) P_{W_{j} \oplus V_{j}}\left(S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)\right)\right) \\
& =S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1} S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}\left(S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)\right) \quad\left[\text { by definition of } S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}\right] . \\
& =S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}(f, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $S_{\Delta}=S_{\Lambda \oplus \Gamma}^{-1}$. This completes the proof.
Note 5.10. Form Theorem (5.9), we can conclude that if $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma$ is a g-fusion frame for $H \oplus K$, then $\Delta$ is also a $g$-fusion frame for $H \oplus K$. The $g$-fusion frame $\Delta$ is a called the canonical dual $g$-fusion frame of $\Lambda \oplus \Gamma$.
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