

On the spectral radius, energy and Estrada index of the Sombor matrix of graphs *

Zhen Lin[†], Lianying Miao

School of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology,
Xuzhou, 221116, Jiangsu, P.R. China

Abstract

Let G be a simple undirected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The Sombor matrix $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of a graph G is defined so that its (i, j) -entry is equal to $\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2}$ if the vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent, and zero otherwise, where d_i denotes the degree of vertex v_i in G . In this paper, lower and upper bounds on the spectral radius, energy and Estrada index of the Sombor matrix of graphs are obtained, and the respective extremal graphs are characterized.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 05C50, 05C35, 05C90

Keywords: Sombor matrix; Sombor spectral radius; Sombor energy; Sombor Estrada index

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple undirected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $v \in V(G)$, $N_G(v)$ denotes the neighborhood of v in G , and $d_v = |N_G(v)|$ denotes the degree of vertex v in G . The minimum and maximum degree of a vertex in G are denoted by δ and Δ , respectively.

Given a graph G , the adjacency matrix A is the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 if $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ and zero otherwise. Thus A is a real symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues must be real and arranged in non-increasing order $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, where λ_1 is called

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071411, 11771443).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: lnlzhen@163.com (Z. Lin), miaolianying@cumt.edu.cn (L. Miao).

the spectral radius of G . For the adjacency spectra, one may refer to [24, 27, 28] and the references therein.

The energy of G , introduced by Gutman [6], is defined as $E_A(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$, which is intensively studied in chemistry, since it can be used to approximate the total π -electron energy of a molecule, see for example [7, 8]. There is a wealth of literature relating to the energy see, for example, [9] for surveys, and see [22], for monograph.

In 2021, a new vertex-degree-based molecular structure descriptor was put forward by Gutman [10], the Sombor index of a graph G , defined as $SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_u^2 + d_v^2}$. The study of the Sombor index of graphs has quickly received much attention. Cruz et al. [2] studied the Sombor index of chemical graphs, and characterized the graphs extremal with respect to the Sombor index over the following sets: (connected) chemical graphs, chemical trees, and hexagonal systems. Deng et al. [4] obtained a sharp upper bound for the Sombor index among all molecular trees with fixed numbers of vertices, and characterized those molecular trees achieving the extremal value. Das et al. [3] gave lower and upper bounds on the Sombor index of graphs by using some graph parameters. Moreover, they obtained several relations on Sombor index with the first and second Zagreb indices of graphs. Réti et al. [26] characterized graphs with the maximum Sombor index in the classes of all connected unicyclic, bicyclic, tricyclic, tetracyclic, and pentacyclic graphs of a fixed order. Redžepović [25] showed that the Sombor index has good predictive potential. For other related results, one may refer to [11, 18, 20, 30] and the references therein.

The aim of this paper is to study the Sombor index from an algebraic viewpoint, which is a natural idea in mathematical chemistry. The Sombor matrix $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of a graph G is defined so that its (i, j) -entry is equal to $\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2}$ if the vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent, and zero otherwise, where d_i denotes the degree of vertex v_i in G . The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ are denoted by $\rho_1(G) \geq \rho_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \rho_n(G)$, where $\rho_1(G)$ is called the Sombor spectral radius of G . The Sombor energy and Estrada index of the Sombor matrix of graphs are defined as $\mathcal{E}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i(G)|$ and $EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\rho_i(G)}$, respectively. We obtain lower and upper bounds on the Sombor spectral radius, Sombor energy and Sombor Estrada index of graphs, and characterize the respective extremal graphs.

2 Preliminaries

The diameter of a graph G , denoted by $\text{diam}(G)$, is the maximum distance between any pair of vertices of G . Let $K_{s,t}$ and K_n denote the complete bipartite graph with $s + t$ vertices and the complete graph with n vertices, respectively. The first Zagreb index [14] Z_1 and forgotten topological index [5] F of G are defined as

$$Z_1 = Z_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d_i + d_j), \quad F = F(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d_i^2 + d_j^2).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([1, 32]) *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\sqrt{\frac{Z_1}{n}} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \Delta.$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if G is regular or semiregular. If G is a connected graph, then the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if G is regular.

Lemma 2.2 ([1, 16]) *Let G be a connected graph of order n with m edges. Then*

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{2m - n + 1}.$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if G is a regular graph, and the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$ or $G \cong K_n$.

Lemma 2.3 ([15]) *Let A be a symmetric matrix of order n with eigenvalues $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots \geq \xi_n$, and let B be its principal submatrix with eigenvalues $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2 \geq \dots \geq \eta_k$ and $n > k$. Then $\xi_i \geq \eta_i \geq \xi_{n-k+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.*

Lemma 2.4 ([19]) *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i + n(n-1) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{a_i} \right)^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n}.$$

Lemma 2.5 ([12]) *Let M be an $n \times n$ non-negative symmetric matrix such that its underlying graph is connected. Let $\lambda_1(M), \lambda_2(M), \dots, \lambda_k(M)$ be all the eigenvalues of M with absolute value equal to $\lambda_1(M)$. Then $k > 1$ if and only if all closed walks in G have length divisible by k .*

Lemma 2.6 ([13, 22]) *Let T_n be a tree with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$2\sqrt{n-1} = E_A(K_{1,n-1}) \leq E_A(T_n) \leq E_A(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2 \csc \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} - 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \cot \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} - 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.7 *If G is a connected graph with $k \geq 2$ distinct Sombor eigenvalues, then $\text{diam}(G) \leq k - 1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the Sombor matrix of G and $\rho_1 > \rho_2 > \dots > \rho_k$ be its k distinct Sombor eigenvalues. Let X be the unit (column) eigenvector corresponding the largest eigenvalues ρ_1 . Then X is a positive vector. From Theorem 2.1 in [21], it follows that

$$\prod_{i=2}^k (\mathcal{S} - \rho_i I) = \mathcal{S}^{k-1} + c_1 \mathcal{S}^{k-2} + c_{k-2} \mathcal{S} + c_{k-1} I = \prod_{i=2}^k (\rho_1 - \rho_i) X X^T = M.$$

Observe that $(M)_{ij} > 0$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, for $i \neq j$, there is a positive integer l with $1 \leq l \leq k - 1$ such that $(\mathcal{S}^l)_{ij} > 0$, which implies that there is a path of length l between v_i and v_j , that is, $\text{diam}(G) \leq k - 1$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.8 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then $|\rho_1| = |\rho_2| = \dots = |\rho_n|$ if and only if $G \cong \overline{K_n}$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$.*

Proof. First we assume that $|\rho_1| = |\rho_2| = \dots = |\rho_n|$. Let t be the number of isolated vertices in G . If $t \geq 1$, then $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_n = 0$ and hence $G \cong \overline{K_n}$. Otherwise, $t = 0$. If $\Delta = 1$, then $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n = 1$ and hence $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$. Otherwise, $\Delta \geq 2$. Then G contains a connected component H with at least 3 vertices. If H is a complete graph with $p \geq 3$ vertices, then $|\rho_1(H)| = \sqrt{2}(p-1)^2 > \sqrt{2}(p-1) = |\rho_2(H)|$, a contradiction. Otherwise, H is not a complete graph. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho_2(H) \geq 0$. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem, $\rho_1(H) > \rho_2(H)$, a contradiction. Conversely, one can easily check that $|\rho_1| = |\rho_2| = \dots = |\rho_n|$ holds for $\overline{K_n}$ and $\frac{n}{2}K_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.9 *Let G be a graph with Sombor eigenvalues $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$. Then*

$$(i) \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 = -2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_i \rho_j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 = \text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^2) = 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d_i^2 + d_j^2) = 2F.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^3 &= \text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3) = 2 \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)}. \\
\text{(iii)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^4 &= \text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{i \sim k} (d_i^2 + d_k^2) \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) It follows from definition.

(ii) Suppose $i \neq j$. Then

$$(\mathcal{S}^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathcal{S})_{ik} (\mathcal{S})_{kj} = \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} (\mathcal{S})_{ik} (\mathcal{S})_{kj} = \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)}.$$

For the matrix \mathcal{S} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{S}^3)_{ii} &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{S})_{ij} (\mathcal{S}^2)_{jk} = \sum_{i \sim j} (\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2}) (\mathcal{S}^2)_{jk} \\
&= \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)} \\
&= 2 \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) The trace of \mathcal{S} is

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\mathcal{S}^2)_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i=j} (\mathcal{S}^2)_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (\mathcal{S}^2)_{ij}^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{i \sim k} (d_i^2 + d_k^2) \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\sum_{k \sim i, k \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i^2 + d_k^2)(d_j^2 + d_k^2)} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3 On spectral radius of the Sombor matrix

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a graph with n vertices, the maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ . Then*

$$\sqrt{2}\delta\lambda_1 \leq \rho_1 \leq \sqrt{2}\Delta\lambda_1 \quad (3.1)$$

with equality if and only if G is a regular graph.

Proof. Firstly, we prove the left hand side of (3.1). Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a unit eigenvector of G corresponding to λ_1 . By the Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem, we have

$$\rho_1 \geq X^T \mathcal{S} X \geq 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} x_i x_j \geq 2\sqrt{2}\delta \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} x_i x_j = \sqrt{2}\delta \lambda_1. \quad (3.2)$$

Now suppose that equality in the left hand side of (3.1) holds. Then inequality in (3.2) must be equality if and only if $d_i = d_j$ for each edge $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, that is, G is regular. Clearly, if G is a regular graph, the equality in the left hand side of (3.1) holds.

Secondly, we prove the right hand side of (3.1). Let $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be a unit eigenvector of G corresponding to ρ_1 . By the Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem, we have

$$\lambda_1 \geq Y^T A Y \geq 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} y_i y_j.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\rho_1 = Y^T \mathcal{S} Y = 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} y_i y_j \leq 2\sqrt{2}\Delta \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} y_i y_j \leq \sqrt{2}\Delta \lambda_1. \quad (3.3)$$

Now suppose that equality in the right hand side of (3.1) holds. Then inequality in (3.3) must be equality if and only if $d_i = d_j$ for any $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, that is G is a regular graph. Conversely, it is easy to verify that the equality in the right hand side of (3.1) holds when G is a regular graph.

Combining the above arguments, we have the proof. \square

By Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.2 *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges, the maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ . Then*

$$\delta \sqrt{\frac{2Z_1}{n}} \leq \rho_1 \leq \sqrt{2}\Delta^2.$$

The equality holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Corollary 3.3 *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges, the maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ . Then*

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2m\delta}}{n} \leq \rho_1 \leq \Delta\sqrt{4m - 2n + 2}.$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if G is a regular graph, and the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2F}{n}} \leq \rho_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)F}{n}}. \quad (3.4)$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if $G \cong \overline{K_n}$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$. If G is a connected graph, then the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, we have $n\rho_1^2 \geq 2F$. The equality holds if and only if $|\rho_1| = |\rho_2| = \dots = |\rho_n|$, by Lemma 2.8, we have the result. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\rho_1^2 = 2F - \sum_{i=2}^n \rho_i^2 \leq 2F - \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \rho_i \right)^2 = 2F - \frac{1}{n-1} \rho_1^2.$$

Thus $\rho_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)F}{n}}$. The equality holds if and only if $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = \dots = \rho_n$, by Lemma 2.7, we have $\text{diam}(G) = 1$, that is $G \cong K_n$. Conversely, if $G \cong K_n$, then $\mathcal{S}(G) = \sqrt{2}(n-1)A(G)$. Thus $\rho_1(G) = \sqrt{2}(n-1)^2$, $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_n(G) = -\sqrt{2}(n-1)$. It is easy to check that equality holds in the right hand side in (3.4). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5 *Let uv be an edge of a connected graph G with $d_u \geq 2$ and $d_v \geq 2$. Let X be a unit eigenvector of G corresponding to $\rho_1(G)$, and let $N_G(u) \cap N_G(v) = \Phi$ and $G^* = G - \{vw : w \in N_G(v) \setminus \{u\}\} + \{uw : w \in N_G(v) \setminus \{u\}\}$. If $x_u \geq x_v$, then $\rho_1(G^*) > \rho_1(G)$.*

Proof. Since X is a unit eigenvector of $\rho_1(G)$, X is positive if G is connected. From the hypothesis, we have

$$\rho_1(G^*) - \rho_1(G) \geq X^T \mathcal{S}(G^*)X - X^T \mathcal{S}(G)X$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \sum_{p \in N_G(u) \setminus \{v\}} (\sqrt{d_p^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} - \sqrt{d_p^2 + d_u^2}) x_u x_p + \\
&\quad 2 \sum_{w \in N_G(v) \setminus \{u\}} (\sqrt{d_w^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} x_u - \sqrt{d_w^2 + d_v^2} x_v) x_w + \\
&\quad 2(\sqrt{1^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} - \sqrt{d_u^2 + d_v^2}) x_u x_v \\
&\geq 2 \sum_{p \in N_G(u) \setminus \{v\}} (\sqrt{d_p^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} - \sqrt{d_p^2 + d_u^2}) x_u x_p + \\
&\quad 2 \sum_{w \in N_G(v) \setminus \{u\}} (\sqrt{d_w^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} - \sqrt{d_w^2 + d_v^2}) x_v x_w + \\
&\quad 2(\sqrt{1^2 + (d_u + d_v - 1)^2} - \sqrt{d_u^2 + d_v^2}) x_u x_v \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let T_n be a tree with n vertices. Then*

$$\rho_1(T_n) \leq \sqrt{(n-1)(n^2 - 2n + 2)}$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_{1, n-1}$.

Li and Wang [17] showed that the path P_n is uniquely the tree on $n \geq 9$ vertices with the smallest Sombor spectral radius among all trees. Thus we have

Theorem 3.7 ([17]) *Let T_n be a tree with $n \geq 9$ vertices. Then*

$$\rho_1(P_n) \leq \rho_1(T_n) \leq \rho_1(K_{1, n-1}).$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if $T_n \cong P_n$, and the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $T_n \cong K_{1, n-1}$.

Problem 3.8 *For a given class of graphs, characterize the graphs with the maximum or minimum Sombor spectral radius.*

4 On the Sombor energy

Theorem 4.1 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\sqrt{2F + n(n-1) (|\det \mathcal{S}(G)|)^{2/n}} \leq \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2(n-1)F + n (|\det \mathcal{S}(G)|)^{2/n}}.$$

Proof. Replacing $a_i = \rho_i^2(G)$ in Lemma 2.4, we have the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$2\sqrt{F} \leq \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2nF}. \quad (4.1)$$

The equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong \overline{K_n}$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$. If G is a connected graph, then the equality in the left hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{s,t}$, $s + t = n$.

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i| \leq \sqrt{n \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2} = \sqrt{2nF}.$$

with equality if and only if $|\rho_1| = |\rho_2| = \dots = |\rho_n|$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $G \cong \overline{K_n}$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 = -2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_i \rho_j$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^2(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\rho_i| |\rho_j| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 + 2 \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \rho_i \rho_j \right| = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2 = 4F$$

with equality if and only if $\rho_1 = -\rho_n$, $\rho_2 = \dots = \rho_{n-1} = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, we may conclude that all closed walks in G have even length, which implies that G is bipartite. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\text{diam} = 2$. Thus G is a complete bipartite graph $G \cong K_{s,t}$, $s + t = n$. Conversely, if $G \cong K_{s,t}$, then $\mathcal{S}(G) = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2}A(G)$. Thus $\rho_1(G) = -\rho_n(G) = \sqrt{s^3t + st^3} = \sqrt{F}$, $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_{n-1}(G) = 0$. It is easy to check that equality holds in the left hand side in (4.1). This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3 *Let G be a complete bipartite graph with n vertices. Then*

$$2\sqrt{(n-1)(n^2 - 2n + 2)} \leq \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2\sqrt{\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil^3 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor^3 \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil}.$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$, and the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(K_{s,t}) = 2\sqrt{s^3t + st^3} = 2\sqrt{(n-t)^3t + (n-t)t^3}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Let $f(x) = 2\sqrt{(n-x)^3x + (n-x)x^3}$. By derivative, we know that $f(x)$ is a strictly increasing function in the interval $[1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}(K_{1,n-1}) = f(1) \leq \mathcal{E}(K_{s,t}) = f(t) \leq f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) = \mathcal{E}(K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.4 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$2\rho_1 \leq \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \rho_1 + \sqrt{(n-1)(2F - \rho_1^2)}.$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i = 0$, we have $\mathcal{E}(G) = \rho_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n |\rho_i| \geq \rho_1 + |\sum_{i=2}^n \rho_i| = 2\rho_1$. Since $\mathcal{E}(G) = \rho_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n |\rho_i|$, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \rho_1 + \sqrt{(n-1)(2F - \rho_1^2)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5 *Let G be a non-trivial graph. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^2)^3}{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}}.$$

Proof. Let $a_i = |\rho_i|^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $b_i = |\rho_i|^{\frac{4}{3}}$, $p = \frac{3}{2}$ and $q = 3$ in the Hölder inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|^{\frac{2}{3}} (|\rho_i|^4)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i| \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

that is,

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^2)^3}{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

For a graph G , we use $M_k(G)$ to denote the set of all k -matchings of G . If $e = v_i v_j \in E(G)$, then we denote

$$SO_G(e) = SO_G(v_i v_j) = \left(\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \right)^2 = d_i^2 + d_j^2,$$

and we say that $SO_G(e)$ is the SO -value of the edge e . If $\beta = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\} \in M_k(G)$, we call that $\prod_{i=1}^k SO_G(e_i)$ is the SO -value of matching β , and write $SO_G(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^k SO_G(e_i)$.

If G is a bipartite graph with n vertices, then the characteristic polynomial of G can be written as (see [13, 22])

$$\phi_A(G, x) = |xI - A(G)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k m(G, k) x^{n-2k},$$

where $m(G, 0) = 1$, and $m(G, k)$ equals the number of k -matchings of G for $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. The energy of G can be expressed as the Coulson integral formula (see [13, 22])

$$E_A(G) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} m(G, k) x^{2k} \right) dx.$$

Then $E_A(G)$ is a strictly monotonously increasing function of $m(G, k)$.

For a bipartite graph G with n vertices, the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ and Sombor matrix $\mathcal{S}(G)$ are nonnegative real symmetric matrices with zero diagonal, and $A(G)$ and $\mathcal{S}(G)$ have the same zero-nonzero pattern, that is, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, (i, j) -entry of $A(G)$ is nonzero (or zero) if and only if (i, j) -entry of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is nonzero (or zero). Thus the Sombor characteristic polynomial of G can be written as

$$\phi_{SO}(G, x) = |xI - \mathcal{S}(G)| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k b(\mathcal{S}(G), k) x^{n-2k},$$

where $b(\mathcal{S}(G), 0) = 1$, and $b(\mathcal{S}(G), k)$ equals the sum of SO -values of all k -matchings of G for $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Similarly, the Coulson integral formula for Sombor energy of a bipartite graph G can be expressed as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} b(\mathcal{S}(G), k) x^{2k} \right) dx. \quad (4.2)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is a strictly monotonously increasing function of $b(\mathcal{S}(G), k)$. So the following two results are direct.

Proposition 4.6 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two bipartite graphs with n vertices, and their Sombor characteristic polynomials be*

$$\phi_{SO}(G_1, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k b(\mathcal{S}(G_1), k) x^{n-2k}, \quad \phi_{SO}(G_2, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k b(\mathcal{S}(G_2), k) x^{n-2k},$$

respectively. If $b(\mathcal{S}(G_1), k) \geq b(\mathcal{S}(G_2), k)$ for all $k \geq 0$, and there is a positive integer k such that $b(\mathcal{S}(G_1), k) > b(\mathcal{S}(G_2), k)$, then $\mathcal{S}(G_1) > \mathcal{S}(G_2)$.

Proposition 4.7 *Let G be a bipartite of with n vertices, and both B_1 and B_2 be two $n \times n$ nonnegative real symmetric matrices having the same zero-nonzero pattern with $\mathcal{S}(G)$. If $B_1 > \mathcal{S}(G) > B_2$, then*

$$E_{B_1} > \mathcal{E}(G) > E_{B_2},$$

where E_{B_1} is the sum of the absolute values of all eigenvalues of B_1 .

Theorem 4.8 *Let G be a bipartite graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G - e) < \mathcal{E}(G).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(G - e) < \mathcal{S}(G)$, by Proposition 4.7, we have the proof. \square

The inverse sum indeg index, introduced by Vukićević and Gašperov [29], is defined as

$$ISI(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{d_i d_j}{d_i + d_j}.$$

Xu et al. [31] obtained lower and upper bounds on the inverse sum indeg energy $E_{ISI}(G)$ of a graph G , and characterized the respective extremal graphs.

Theorem 4.9 *Let G be a bipartite graph with n vertices. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq 2\sqrt{2}E_{ISI}(G)$$

with equality if and only if G is regular.

Proof. Since $\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}d_i d_j}{d_i + d_j}$ with equality if and only if G is regular, by Proposition 4.7, we have the proof. \square

Theorem 4.10 *Let T_n be a tree with n vertices and maximum degree Δ . Then*

$$2\sqrt{2n-2} < \mathcal{E}(T_n) < \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{2}\Delta \csc \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} - 2\sqrt{2}\Delta, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2\sqrt{2}\Delta \cot \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} - 2\sqrt{2}\Delta, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\sqrt{2} < \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \leq \sqrt{2}\Delta$ for a tree, by Proposition 4.7, we have $\sqrt{2}E_A(T_n) < \mathcal{E}(T_n) < \sqrt{2}\Delta E_A(T_n)$. By Lemma 2.6, we have the proof. \square

Problem 4.11 *For a given class of graphs, characterize the graphs with the maximum or minimum Sombor energy.*

5 On the Sombor Estrada index

Theorem 5.1 *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$EE(G) \leq n - 1 + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + e^{\sqrt{2F}} - \sqrt{2F} - \frac{1}{3}F\sqrt{2F} - \frac{1}{6}F^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if G is an empty graph.

Proof. By the definition of the Sombor Estrada index of graphs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} EE(G) &\leq n + \sum_{t=1}^n \rho_t(G) + \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\rho_t^2(G)}{2!} + \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\rho_t^3(G)}{3!} + \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\rho_t^4(G)}{4!} + \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 5}^{\infty} \frac{|\rho_t(G)|^k}{k!} \\ &= n + F + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 5}^{\infty} \frac{|\rho_t(G)|^k}{k!} \\ &= n + F + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{tr(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + \sum_{k \geq 5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t=1}^n |\rho_t(G)|^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n + F + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + \sum_{k \geq 5} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t=1}^n (\rho_t^2(G))^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
&\leq n + F + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + \sum_{k \geq 5} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n \rho_t^2(G) \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
&= n + F + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2F)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{k!} - 1 - \sqrt{2F} - F - \frac{1}{3}F\sqrt{2F} - \frac{1}{6}F^2 \\
&= n - 1 + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^3)}{6} + \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{S}^4)}{24} + e^{\sqrt{2F}} - \sqrt{2F} - \frac{1}{3}F\sqrt{2F} - \frac{1}{6}F^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.2 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$EE(G) \geq e^{\rho_1(G)} + n_0 + (p-1)e^{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2} - \rho_1(G)}{p-1}} + qe^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2q}} \quad (5.1)$$

with equality if and only if $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_p(G)$ and $\rho_{n-q+1}(G) = \dots = \rho_n(G)$, where p , n_0 and q are the number of positive, zero and negative Sombor eigenvalues of G , respectively.

Proof. Let $\rho_1(G) \geq \rho_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \rho_p(G)$ be the positive, and $\rho_{n-q+1}(G) \geq \rho_{n-q+2}(G) \geq \dots \geq \rho_n(G)$ be the negative Sombor eigenvalues of G . By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^p e^{\rho_i(G)} \geq (p-1)e^{\frac{\rho_2(G) + \dots + \rho_p(G)}{p-1}} = (p-1)e^{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2} - \rho_1(G)}{p-1}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=n-q+1}^n e^{\rho_i(G)} \geq qe^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2q}}. \quad (5.3)$$

For the zero eigenvalues, we have

$$\sum_{p+1}^{n-q} e^{\rho_i(G)} = n_0.$$

Thus we have

$$EE(G) \geq e^{\rho_1(G)} + n_0 + (p-1)e^{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2} - \rho_1(G)}{p-1}} + qe^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2q}}.$$

The equality holds in (5.1) if and only if equality holds in both (5.2) and (5.3) and these happen if and only if $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_p(G)$ and $\rho_{n-q+1}(G) = \dots = \rho_n(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.3 *Let G be a bipartite graph with n vertices. Then*

$$EE(G) \geq n_0 + 2 \cosh(\rho_1(G)) + (r - 2) \cosh\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(G) - 2\rho_1(G)}{r - 2}\right) \quad (5.4)$$

with equality if and only if $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_p(G)$, where r is the rank of Sombor matrix.

Proof. Since G is bipartite, we have that its Sombor eigenvalues are symmetric with respect to zero, i.e. $\rho_i(G) = -\rho_{n-i+1}(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. By a similar argument as the proof of Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} EE(G) &= n_0 + e^{\rho_1(G)} + e^{-\rho_1(G)} + \sum_{i=2}^p e^{\rho_i(G)} + \sum_{i=2}^p e^{-\rho_i(G)} \\ &\geq n_0 + e^{\rho_1(G)} + e^{-\rho_1(G)} \\ &\quad + (p-1) \left(e^{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2} - \rho_1(G)}{p-1}} + e^{-\frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2} - \rho_1(G)}{p-1}} \right) \\ &= n_0 + 2 \cosh(\rho_1(G)) + (r-2) \cosh\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(G) - 2\rho_1(G)}{r-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $r = 2p$. Equality holds in (5.4) if and only if $\rho_2(G) = \dots = \rho_p(G)$. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 5.4 *Let G be a connected bipartite graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then*

$$EE(G) \leq n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{F} \quad (5.5)$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{s,t}$, $s+t = n$.

Proof. Since G is bipartite, we have that its Sombor eigenvalues are symmetric with respect to zero. Let p and n_0 be the number of positive and zero Sombor eigenvalues of G , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} EE(G) &= n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p (e^{\rho_i(G)} + e^{-\rho_i(G)}) \\ &= n_0 + 2p + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^{2k}(G)}{(2k)!} \\ &\leq n + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^2(G) \right)^k}{(2k)!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n - 2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{F})^{2k}}{(2k)!} \\
&= n - 2 + e^{\sqrt{F}} + e^{-\sqrt{F}} \\
&= n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

If equality holds in (5.5), then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^{2k}(G) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^2(G) \right)^k$$

for $k \geq 1$. Since G is a connected graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices, we have $\rho_1(G) > 0$, that is $p \geq 1$. For $k \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^{2k}(G) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i^2(G) \right)^k$$

implies that $p \leq 1$, as $\rho_i(G)$'s are positive eigenvalues. Thus $p = 1$, that is $\rho_1(G) = -\rho_n(G) = \sqrt{F}$, $\rho_2(G) = \cdots = \rho_{n-1}(G) = 0$. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\text{diam}(G) = 2$. Thus G is a complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$, $s + t = n$. Conversely, if $G \cong K_{s,t}$, then $\mathcal{S}(G) = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} A(G)$. Thus $\rho_1(G) = -\rho_n(G) = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} = \sqrt{F}$, $\rho_2(G) = \cdots = \rho_{n-1}(G) = 0$. It is easy to check that equality holds in (5.5). The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 5.5 *Let G be a complete bipartite graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then*

$$n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{(n-1)(n^2 - 2n + 2)} \leq EE(G) \leq n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil^3 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor^3 \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil}$$

The equality in the left hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$, and the equality in the right hand side holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 5.4, we have

$$EE(K_{s,t}) = n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{s^3 t + s t^3} = n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{(n-t)^3 t + (n-t) t^3}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Let $f(x) = n - 2 + 2 \cosh \sqrt{(n-x)^3 x + (n-x) x^3}$. By derivative, we know that $f(x)$ is a strictly increasing function in the interval $[1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$. Thus

$$EE(K_{1,n-1}) = f(1) \leq EE(K_{s,t}) = f(t) \leq f\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) = EE(K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] L. Collatz, U. Sinogowitz, Spektren endlicher Grafen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 21 (1957) 63-77.
- [2] R. Cruz, I. Gutman, J. Rada, Sombor index of chemical graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 399 (2021) 126018.
- [3] K.Ch. Das, A.S. Çevik, I.N. Cangul, Y. Shang, On Sombor Index, Symmetry, 13, (2021) 140.
- [4] H. Deng, Z. Tang, R. Wu, Molecular trees with extremal values of Sombor indices, Int J Quantum Chem. DOI: 10.1002/qua.26622.
- [5] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, J Math Chem , 53 (2015) 1184-1190.
- [6] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz 103 (1978) 1-22.
- [7] I. Gutman, Topology and stability of conjugated hydrocarbons. The dependence of total π -electron energy on molecular topology, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 70 (2005) 441-456.
- [8] I. Gutman, Comparative studies of graph energies, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts (Cl. Sci. Math. Natur.) 144 (2012) 1-17.
- [9] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: Old and new results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (Eds.), Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196-211.
- [10] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 11-16.
- [11] I. Gutman, Some basic properties of Sombor indices, Open J. Discret. Appl. Math. 4 (2021) 1-3.
- [12] C. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1993.
- [13] I. Gutman, O.E. Polansky, Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [14] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17 (1972) 535-538.
- [15] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 226-228 (1995) 593-616.
- [16] Y. Hong, A bound on the spectral radius of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 108 (1988) 135-140.
- [17] X. Li, Z. Wang, Trees with extremal spectral radius of weighted adjacency matrices among trees weighted by degree-based indices, Linear Algebra Appl. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2021.02.023>.

- [18] V.R. Kulli, Sombor indices of certain graph operators, *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, 10 (2021) 127-134.
- [19] H. Kober, On the arithmetic and geometric means and on Hölders inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1958) 452-459.
- [20] V.R. Kulli, I. Gutman, Computation of Sombor Indices of Certain Networks, *International Journal of Applied Chemistry*, 8 (2021) 1-5.
- [21] R. Liu, W.C. Shiu, General Randić matrix and general Randić incidence matrix, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 186 (2015) 168-175.
- [22] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [23] I. Milovanović, E. Milovanović, M. Matejić, On Some mathematical properties of Sombor indeces, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.* 11 (2021) 341-353.
- [24] V. Nikiforov, Some new results in extremal graph theory. *Surveys in combinatorics 2011*, 141-181, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 392, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [25] I. Redžepović, Chemical applicability of Sombor indices, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC201215006R>.
- [26] T. Réti, T. Došlić, A. Ali, On the Sombor index of graphs, *Contrib. Math.* 3 (2021) 11-18.
- [27] D. Stevanović, *Spectral Radius of Graphs*, Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015.
- [28] M. Taita, J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* 126 (2017) 137-161.
- [29] D. Vukičević, M. Gašperov, Bond additive modelling 1. Adriatic indices, *Croat. Chem. Acta* 83 (2010) 261-273.
- [30] Z. Wang, Y. Mao, Y. Li, B. Furtula, On relations between Sombor and other degree-based indices, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-021-01516-x>.
- [31] B. Xu, S. Li, R. Yu, Q. Zhao, On the spectral radius and energy of the weighted adjacency matrix of a graph, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 340 (2019) 156-163.
- [32] B. Zhou, On the spectral radius of nonnegative matrices, *Australas. J. Comb.* 22 (2000) 301-306.